

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

ON SECTIONS OF GENUS TWO LEFSCHETZ FIBRATIONS

SINEM ÇELİK ONARAN

Volume 248 No. 1

November 2010

## ON SECTIONS OF GENUS TWO LEFSCHETZ FIBRATIONS

SINEM ÇELİK ONARAN

**We find new relations in the mapping class group of a genus 2 surface with  $n$  boundary components for  $n = 1, \dots, 8$  that induce a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  with  $n$  disjoint sections. As a consequence, we show any holomorphic genus 2 Lefschetz fibration without separating singular fibers admits a section.**

### 1. Introduction

The study of Lefschetz fibrations is important in low-dimensional topology because of a close relationship between symplectic 4-manifolds and Lefschetz fibrations, [Donaldson 1999; Gompf and Stipsicz 1999]. Sections of Lefschetz fibrations play an important role in the theory. For example, in the presence of a section, the fundamental group and the signature of a Lefschetz fibration can be easily computed.

Here, we provide sections for genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ , with global monodromy given by the relation  $(t_{c_1} t_{c_2} t_{c_3} t_{c_4} t_{c_5}^2 t_{c_4} t_{c_3} t_{c_2} t_{c_1})^2 = 1$  in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_2$  of a closed genus 2 surface, where each  $c_i$  is a simple closed curve as in Figure 3, and  $t_{c_i}$  is a right-handed Dehn twist about  $c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ . In [Korkmaz and Ozbagci 2008], similar relations were found in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{1,n}$  of a genus 1 surface with  $n$  boundary components for  $n = 4, \dots, 9$ , giving an elliptic Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  with  $n$  disjoint sections.

In Section 2, we recall definitions and relations in the mapping class group to be used in our computations, and we fix notation. In Section 3, we give brief background information on Lefschetz fibrations. In Section 4, we provide the necessary relations in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{2,n}$  for a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  with  $n$  disjoint sections for  $n = 1, \dots, 6$ . In Section 5 we list several observations and open problems related to sections of Lefschetz fibrations. We show that a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  may admit at most 12 disjoint sections. We provide relations in the corresponding mapping class

---

*MSC2000:* primary 57M50; secondary 57R17.

*Keywords:* Lefschetz fibrations, sections of Lefschetz fibrations.

The author is supported in part by TUBITAK-2214.

group that give  $n = 7$  and  $n = 8$  disjoint sections for genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ . We conclude that any holomorphic genus 2 Lefschetz fibration without separating singular fibers admits a section.

### 2. Mapping class groups

Let  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  denote an oriented, connected, genus  $g$  surface, with  $n$  boundary components and  $k$  marked points. The *mapping class group* of  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  is defined as the isotopy classes of orientation-preserving self-diffeomorphisms of  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  that fix the marked points and the points on the boundary. Denote the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  by  $\Gamma_{g,n}^k$ . When  $k = 0$ , denote the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_{g,n}$  by  $\Gamma_{g,n}$ .

Let  $a$  be a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$ . A *right-handed Dehn twist*  $t_a$  about  $a$  is the isotopy class of a self-diffeomorphism of  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  obtained by cutting  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  along  $a$  and gluing it back after twisting one side by  $2\pi$  to the right. The inverse of a right-handed Dehn twist is a *left-handed Dehn twist*, denoted by  $t_a^{-1}$ .

We now briefly mention the facts and relations to be used in our computations; for the proofs, see [Farb and Margalit 2005; Ivanov 2002]. If  $f : \Sigma_{g,n}^k \rightarrow \Sigma_{g,n}^k$  is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism, then  $f t_a f^{-1} = t_{f(a)}$  for  $a$  a simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$ .

For simplicity, we will denote a right-handed Dehn twist  $t_a$  along  $a$  by  $a$ , and a left-handed Dehn twist  $t_a^{-1}$  by  $\bar{a}$ . The product  $ab$  means that we first apply the Dehn twist  $b$ , then the Dehn twist  $a$ . A simple closed curve parallel to a boundary component of a given surface will be called a *boundary curve* of the surface.

The following relations will be useful:

The *commutativity relation*. If  $a$  and  $b$  are two disjoint simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$ , then the Dehn twists along  $a$  and  $b$  commute:  $ab = ba$ .


The *braid relation*. If  $a$  and  $b$  are two simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{g,n}^k$  intersecting transversely at a single point, then their Dehn twists satisfy  $aba = bab$ .

The *lantern relation*. Consider a sphere with four holes, the boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4$ , and the simple closed curves  $\alpha, \gamma, \sigma$ , as shown in Figure 1. We have  $\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 = \gamma \sigma \alpha$ . Dehn discovered this relation; it was then rediscovered and named by D. Johnson.

The *star relation* [Gervais 2001]. Let  $\Sigma_{1,3}$  be a torus with three boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ . In  $\Gamma_{1,3}$  we have  $\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 = (a_1 a_2 a_3 b)^3$  for the simple closed curves  $a_1, a_2, a_3, b$  from Figure 1.

The *chain relation* for a two-holed torus. Consider a torus  $\Sigma_{1,2}$  with two boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ , and the simple closed curves  $c_1, c_2, b$ , as shown in Figure 1. We have  $\delta_1 \delta_2 = (c_1 b c_2)^4$ .

The *chain relations* for the genus 2 case: If  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5$  is the chain of curves



**Figure 1.** Counterclockwise from the top: The lantern relation,  $\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4 = \gamma\sigma\alpha$ , the star relation,  $\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3 = (a_1a_2a_3b)^3$ , and the two-holed torus relation,  $\delta_1\delta_2 = (c_1bc_2)^4$ .


shown in Figure 2, then for a genus 2 surface  $\Sigma_{2,1}$  with one boundary curve  $\delta_1$  we have  $\delta_1 = (c_1c_2c_3c_4)^{10}$ , while for a genus 2 surface  $\Sigma_{2,2}$  with two boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  we have  $\delta_1\delta_2 = (c_1c_2c_3c_4c_5)^6$ .

### 3. Lefschetz fibrations


A *Lefschetz fibration* on a closed, connected, oriented smooth 4-manifold  $X$  is a map  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a closed, connected, oriented smooth surface, such that  $f$  is surjective, has isolated critical points, and for each critical point  $p$  there is an orientation-preserving local complex coordinate chart on which  $f$  takes the form  $f(z_1, z_2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2$ .

The Lefschetz fibration  $f$  is a smooth fiber bundle away from critical points. A regular fiber of  $f$  is diffeomorphic to a closed, oriented smooth genus  $g$  surface. We define the *genus* of the Lefschetz fibration to be the genus of a regular fiber.

A *singular fiber* is a fiber containing a critical point. We assume that each singular fiber contains only one critical point. The singular fiber can be obtained by taking a simple closed curve on a regular fiber and shrinking it to a point. This



**Figure 2.** The chain relations:  $\delta_1 = (c_1c_2c_3c_4)^{10}$  and  $\delta_1\delta_2 = (c_1c_2c_3c_4c_5)^6$ .



**Figure 3.**  $\Sigma_2$ .

simple closed curve describing the singular fiber is called a *vanishing cycle*. If this curve is a nonseparating curve, then the singular fiber is called *nonseparating*; otherwise it is called *separating*. For a genus  $g$  Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$ , the product of Dehn twists along the vanishing cycles gives us the *global monodromy* of the Lefschetz fibration.

On a closed surface  $\Sigma_g$ , the right-handed Dehn twists  $c_i$  along the simple closed curves  $c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , with the relation  $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_s = 1$ , define a genus  $g$  Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$  with vanishing cycles  $c_1, \dots, c_s$ . In particular, in  $\Gamma_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5^2 c_4 c_3 c_2 c_1)^2 &= 1, \\ (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5)^6 &= 1, \\ (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4)^{10} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_5$  are simple closed curves as in Figure 3. For each relation above, we have genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations over  $S^2$  with total spaces  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ ,  $K3 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ , and the Horikawa surface  $H$ , respectively. For more on Lefschetz fibrations, see [Auroux 2003; Gompf and Stipsicz 1999].

A section of a Lefschetz fibration is a map  $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  such that  $f\sigma = \text{id}_\Sigma$ . Consider a collection of simple closed curves  $c_1, \dots, c_s$  on a genus  $g$  surface  $\Sigma_{g,n}$  with the relation

$$c_1 \cdots c_s = \delta_1^{k_1} \cdots \delta_n^{k_n}$$

in  $\Gamma_{g,n}$ , where  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  are boundary curves, and  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are positive integers. This relation defines a genus  $g$  Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$  admitting  $n$  disjoint sections, with global monodromy  $c_1 \cdots c_s = 1$ . Moreover, the self-intersection of the  $i$ -th section is  $-k_i$ . To see this, note that after gluing a disk along each boundary curve one gets the relation  $c_1 \cdots c_s = 1$  in  $\Gamma_g$ . Thus, this relation will give us a genus  $g$  Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$  as before. One can then use the centers of the capping disks to construct sections. For details, see [Gompf and Stipsicz 1999]; for more on self-intersection of sections, see [Smith 1998].

In the following sections, we will find relations of the above type,  $c_1 \cdots c_s = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_n$ , in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{2,n}$  for  $n = 1, \dots, 8$ .

### 4. Relations in $\Gamma_{2,n}$

For each  $n = 1, \dots, 6$ , we write the product of right-handed Dehn twists along the boundary curves  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  as a product of twenty right-handed Dehn twists along nonboundary parallel simple closed curves on a genus 2 surface  $\Sigma_{2,n}$ . Namely, we provide relations of the form  $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{20}$ , where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{20}$  are nonboundary parallel simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{2,n}$ . After gluing disks along the boundary curves  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ , we get the relation  $1 = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{20}$  in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_2$ . By using the commutativity relation and the braid relation, one can simplify the right-hand side of the equation  $1 = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{20}$  so that it gives us the global monodromy  $(c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5^2 c_4 c_3 c_2 c_1)^2 = 1$  of a Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ . For the simple closed curves  $c_1, \dots, c_5$ , see Figure 3. Here, twenty right-handed Dehn twists along nonboundary parallel, nonseparating simple closed curves  $c_i$  correspond to twenty nonseparating singular fibers. The consecutive simple closed curves  $c_i$  are the vanishing cycles.

In the following subsections, the relations we find in  $\Gamma_{2,n}$  will give us a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13 \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  admitting  $n$  disjoint sections of self-intersection  $-1$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, 6$ .


**4.1. Genus two surface with one hole.** Consider the genus 2 surface  $\Sigma_{2,1}$  with one boundary curve  $\delta_1$ , as in Figure 4. We have

$$\delta_1 = (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^{10} = (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^5 (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^5.$$

Using the commutativity and braid relations, one can show


$$(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2)^5 = (a_1 b_1 a_2)^4 (b_2 a_2 b_1 a_1^2 b_1 a_2 b_2).$$

(For the proof, see the appendix.) Notice the two-holed torus embedded in  $\Sigma_{2,1}$  with two boundary curves  $a_3, a_4$ ; then, by the chain relation for this torus, we have  $(a_1 b_1 a_2)^4 = a_3 a_4$ .



**Figure 4.**  $\Sigma_{2,1}$ .






**Figure 6.**  $\Sigma_{2,3}$ .

Now, combine these relations, substitute  $\delta_3$  and  $\gamma$ , then simplify by using the commutativity and braid relations. Note that all the  $a_i$  commute for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ . The simple closed curves  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  intersect  $b_1$  transversely at a single point, and  $a_4, a_5, a_3$  intersect  $b_2$  transversely at a single point. Thus, with  $\beta = \bar{a}_5 \bar{a}_4 b_2 a_4 a_5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \delta_2 &= \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \gamma \sigma a_6, \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 &= \delta_3 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \gamma \sigma a_6 \\ &= \delta_3 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 (a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2)^3 \sigma a_6 \\ &= \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 (\delta_3) \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 (a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2) (a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2)^2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 ((a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5) a_3 b_2 (a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2)^2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^2 a_3 (\bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 b_2 a_4 a_5) a_3 b_2 a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^2 a_3 \beta a_3 b_2 a_4 a_5 a_3 b_2 \sigma a_6. \end{aligned}$$

**4.4. Genus two surface with four holes.** We will use the three-holed genus two relation we found in Section 4.3. Notice in Figure 7 the genus 2 surface with three boundary curves  $\delta_3, \delta_4, \gamma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_3 \delta_4 \gamma &= a_3 b_2 (a_5 a_4 a_3 b_2)^2 a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_5 a_4 a_3 b_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$



**Figure 7.**  $\Sigma_{2,4}$ .

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_2 a_1$ . Note that we identify the curves  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,3}$  from Figure 6 with the curves  $(a_5, a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_7)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,4}$  from Figure 7.

By the lantern relation for the sphere with four boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2, a_4, a_5$ , we have

$$a_4 a_5 \delta_1 \delta_2 = \gamma \sigma a_6.$$

Now, combine the above relations to get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_5 a_4 a_3 b_2)^2 \bar{\gamma} \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \gamma \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_5 a_4 a_3 b_2) a_3 a_5 a_4 b_2 (\bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \sigma a_6) \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_5 a_4 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma a_6, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_2 a_1$  and  $\beta_2 = a_5 a_4 b_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5$ .

**4.5. Genus two surface with five holes.** The lantern relation for the sphere with four boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2, a_4, a_5$  in  $\Sigma_{2,5}$  from Figure 8 is  $a_4 a_5 \delta_1 \delta_2 = \gamma \sigma a_6$ . In Figure 8, notice the genus 2 surface with four boundary curves  $\delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \gamma$ . Identify the curves  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, a_5, a_6, \sigma)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,4}$  from Figure 7 with  $(\delta_5, \gamma, a_8, a_5, \sigma_2)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,5}$  from Figure 8. Then, by the relation given in Section 4.4, we have


$$\delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \gamma = a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_8 a_4 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_2 a_5,$$

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_2 a_1$  and  $\beta_2 = a_8 a_4 b_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_8$ .

Now, combine the above relations and simplify the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_8 a_4 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_2 a_5 \bar{\gamma} \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \gamma \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 b_2 (a_8 a_4 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_2 \bar{a}_4 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 (\bar{a}_4) b_2 (a_4 a_8 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 \beta_3 (a_8 a_3 b_2) a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_1 a_2$ ,  $\beta_2 = a_8 a_4 b_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_8$ , and  $\beta_3 = \bar{a}_4 b_2 a_4$ .



**Figure 8.**  $\Sigma_{2,5}$ .




Figure 9.  $\Sigma_{2,6}$ .

**4.6. Genus two surface with six holes.** The lantern relation for the sphere with four boundary curves  $\delta_1, \delta_2, a_4, a_5$  in  $\Sigma_{2,6}$  from Figure 9 is  $a_4 a_5 \delta_1 \delta_2 = \gamma \sigma a_6$ . Now, identify the curves  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, a_6, a_8, \sigma, \sigma_2)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,5}$  from Figure 8 with the curves  $(\delta_6, \gamma, a_5, a_9, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,6}$  from Figure 9. By the relation given in Section 4.5 for the genus 2 surface with five boundary curves  $\delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6, \gamma$ , we have

$$\delta_6 \gamma \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 = a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 \beta_3 a_9 a_3 b_2 a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 a_5.$$

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_2 a_1$ ,  $\beta_2 = a_9 a_4 b_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_9$ , and  $\beta_3 = \bar{a}_4 b_2 a_4$ .

Now, combine the above relations to get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 \beta_3 a_9 a_3 b_2 a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 a_5 \bar{\gamma} \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5 \gamma \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 \beta_3 a_9 a_3 b_2 a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 (\bar{a}_4) \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 (\bar{a}_4) (\beta_3) a_9 a_3 b_2 a_3 \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 (\bar{a}_4) (\bar{a}_4 b_2 a_4) a_9 (a_3 b_2 a_3) \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 (\bar{a}_4) (b_2 a_4 \bar{b}_2) a_9 (b_2 a_3 b_2) \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6 \\ &= a_3 \beta_1 a_3 b_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 b_1 \sigma_1 a_7 a_3 \beta_3 \beta_4 a_3 b_2 \beta_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma a_6 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_1 = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_2 a_1$ ,  $\beta_2 = a_5 a_4 b_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_5$ ,  $\beta_3 = \bar{a}_4 b_2 a_4 = b_2 a_4 \bar{b}_2$ , and  $\beta_4 = \bar{b}_2 a_9 b_2$ .

### 5. Final remarks

**Lemma 5.1.** *A genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  admits at most 12 disjoint sections.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  admits 13 disjoint sections. Each section is a sphere with self-intersection  $-1$ . Furthermore, each section intersects a regular fiber, a genus 2 surface  $\Sigma_2$  with self-intersection 0, at one point. Now, by blowing down all  $-1$  spheres, we get a genus 2 surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}_2$  with self-intersection 13, which cannot exist in a manifold with second homology  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

In Section 4, we found relations giving  $n$  disjoint sections for genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \rightarrow S^2$  for  $n = 1, \dots, 6$ . The technique applied in Section 4 stops at  $n = 6$ . However, by using results from [Korkmaz and Ozbagci 2008], we can find relations in the corresponding mapping class group that give  $n = 7$  and  $n = 8$  disjoint sections for genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \rightarrow S^2$ . We will next show how to derive these relations. This method does not go further, and it remains unknown whether there are more than eight sections.

The seven-holed torus relation from [Korkmaz and Ozbagci 2008] sits in  $\Sigma_{2,7}$ :


$$\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4\delta_5\delta_6\delta_7 = \alpha_3\alpha_4\alpha_1b_1\sigma_5\alpha_2\beta_5\sigma_3\sigma_6\alpha_6\beta_3\sigma_4,$$

where  $\beta_3 = \alpha_3b_1\bar{\alpha}_3$  and  $\beta_5 = \alpha_5b_1\bar{\alpha}_5$  in  $\Sigma_{2,7}$ ; see Figure 10. We identify the boundary curves  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6, \delta_7)$  in  $\Sigma_{1,7}$  from Figure 10 with the curves  $(\delta_6, \delta_5, a_2, a_1, \delta_2, \delta_1, \delta_7)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,7}$ . The seven-holed torus relation gives


$$a_1a_2\delta_1\delta_2\delta_5\delta_6\delta_7 = a_3a_4a_9b_2\sigma_5a_{10}\beta_5\sigma_3\sigma_6a_5\beta_3\sigma_4$$

where  $\beta_3 = a_3b_2\bar{a}_3$  and  $\beta_5 = a_6b_2\bar{a}_6$ .

Next, we combine this with the lantern relation  $a_1a_2\delta_3\delta_4 = \gamma\sigma a_7$  for the sphere with four boundary curves  $\delta_3, \delta_4, a_1, a_2$  in  $\Sigma_{2,7}$ . The star relation for the torus with three boundary curves  $\gamma, a_4, a_{10}$  is  $a_4a_{10}\gamma = (a_1a_2a_3b_1)^3$ . We now substitute



**Figure 10.** Seven-holed torus relation.



**Figure 11.** Eight-holed torus relation.

$\gamma = \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_{10} (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3$  into the lantern relation; then we simplify the equation and write the product of right-handed Dehn twists along the boundary curves  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_7$  as a product of twenty right-handed Dehn twists along nonboundary parallel simple closed curves on  $\Sigma_{2,7}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 \delta_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_4 a_9 b_2 \sigma_5 a_{10} \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \gamma \sigma a_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_9 b_2 \sigma_5 a_{10} \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 (a_4) \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_{10} (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3 \sigma a_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_9 b_2 \sigma_5 a_{10} \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^2 (\bar{a}_{10}) \sigma a_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_9 (\bar{a}_{10}) b_2 \sigma_5 a_{10} \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 a_3 (\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_1 a_2) a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_9 (\bar{a}_{10} b_2 a_{10}) (\bar{a}_{10} \sigma_5 a_{10}) \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 a_3 \tilde{\beta} a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7 \\
 &= a_3 a_9 \tilde{\beta}_1 \tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_5 \beta_3 \sigma_4 a_3 \tilde{\beta} a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \bar{a}_{10} b_2 a_{10}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \bar{a}_{10} \sigma_5 a_{10}$ ,  $\beta_5 = a_6 b_2 \bar{a}_6$ ,  $\beta_3 = a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_3$ , and  $\tilde{\beta} = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_1 a_2$ . Note that the simple closed curves  $\sigma_5$  and  $a_{10}$  intersect at 2 points.

Similarly, the eight-holed torus relation from [Korkmaz and Ozbagci 2008] sits in  $\Sigma_{2,8}$  (see Figure 11):

$$\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 \delta_7 \delta_8 = \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \alpha_2 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \alpha_7 \beta_4 \sigma_5 = \alpha_4 b_1 \sigma_5 \alpha_5 \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \alpha_2 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \alpha_7,$$

where  $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 b_1 \bar{\alpha}_1$ ,  $\beta_4 = \alpha_4 b_1 \bar{\alpha}_4$ , and  $\beta_6 = \alpha_6 b_1 \bar{\alpha}_6$  in  $\Sigma_{2,8}$ . We identify the curves

$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6, \delta_7, \delta_8)$  in  $\Sigma_{1,8}$  with  $(\delta_1, \delta_8, \delta_7, \delta_6, \delta_5, a_2, a_1, \delta_2)$  in  $\Sigma_{2,8}$ .

By applying the same technique, we also get the necessary relation for  $n = 8$ . The eight-holed torus relation gives

$$a_1 a_2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_5 \delta_6 \delta_7 \delta_8 = a_{10} b_2 \sigma_5 a_{11} \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_4,$$

where  $\beta_1 = a_5 b_2 \bar{a}_5$  and  $\beta_6 = a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_3$ . We combine this with the lantern relation  $a_1 a_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 = \gamma \sigma a_7$  for the sphere with four boundary curves  $\delta_3, \delta_4, a_1, a_2$  in  $\Sigma_{2,8}$ . Using the star relation  $a_4 a_{11} \gamma = (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3$  for the torus with three boundary curves  $\gamma, a_4, a_{11}$ , we substitute  $\gamma = \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_{11} (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3$  in the lantern relation. We simplify the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4 \delta_5 \delta_6 \delta_7 \delta_8 \\ &= a_{10} b_2 \sigma_5 a_{11} \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_4 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \gamma \sigma a_7 \\ &= a_{10} b_2 \sigma_5 a_{11} \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_4 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_4 \bar{a}_{11} (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^3 \sigma a_7 \\ &= a_{10} b_2 \sigma_5 a_{11} \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1)^2 (\bar{a}_{11}) \sigma a_7 \\ &= a_{10} (\bar{a}_{11}) b_2 \sigma_5 a_{11} \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_3 (\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_1 a_2) a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7 \\ &= a_{10} (\bar{a}_{11} b_2 a_{11}) (\bar{a}_{11} \sigma_5 a_{11}) \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_3 \tilde{\beta} a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7 \\ &= a_{10} \tilde{\beta}_1 \tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 a_8 \beta_6 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 a_3 \tilde{\beta} a_3 b_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1) \sigma a_7, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_1 = a_5 b_2 \bar{a}_5$ ,  $\beta_6 = a_3 b_2 \bar{a}_3$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 b_1 a_1 a_2$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \bar{a}_{11} \beta_2 a_{11}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \bar{a}_{11} \sigma_5 a_{11}$ . Note that the simple closed curves  $\sigma_5$  and  $a_{11}$  intersect at 2 points.

By Lemma 5.1, for  $n > 12$  there is no relation in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_{2,n}$  inducing a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  with  $n$  disjoint sections. For  $n = 1, \dots, 8$ , we did find relations giving  $n$  disjoint sections for genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ . As a consequence, by using a result of K. Chakiris, we observe:

**Corollary 5.2.** *Any genus 2 holomorphic Lefschetz fibration without separating singular fibers admits a section.*

*Proof.* Chakiris [1978] showed that any genus 2 holomorphic Lefschetz fibration without separating singular fibers is obtained by fiber-summing the three genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations given by the relations

$$(c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5^2 c_4 c_3 c_2 c_1)^2 = 1, \quad (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5)^6 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4)^{10} = 1$$

in  $\Gamma_2$ , where  $c_1, \dots, c_5$  are the simple closed curves shown in Figure 3. As noted in Section 2, each relation gives us a genus 2 Lefschetz fibration with total spaces  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ ,  $K3 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , and the Horikawa surface  $H$ , respectively.

For the Lefschetz fibrations with total spaces  $K3 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  and  $H$ , it is known that they have sections: The relation  $(c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5)^6 = \delta_1 \delta_2$  in  $\Gamma_{2,2}$  gives 2 disjoint

sections for the Lefschetz fibration  $K3\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ , and  $(c_1c_2c_3c_4)^{10} = \delta_1$  in  $\Gamma_{2,1}$  gives a section for the Lefschetz fibration  $H \rightarrow S^2$ ; see Figure 2.

Earlier, we found sections for the genus 2 Lefschetz fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2\#13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$ . By sewing the sections during the fiber-sum operation, we get a section for any genus 2 holomorphic Lefschetz fibration without separating singular fibers.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** One may continue and try to write similar relations for  $9 \leq n \leq 12$  to see the exact number of disjoint sections that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2\#13\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow S^2$  can admit. One can also try to find the exact number of disjoint sections of the genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations with total spaces  $K3\#2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  and  $H$ , respectively. It is still not known whether every genus  $g$  Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$  admits a section.

### Appendix

In this appendix, we deduce the relation

$$(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^5 = (a_1b_1a_2)^4(b_2a_2b_1a_1^2b_1a_2b_2),$$

used in Section 4.1; for the corresponding curves, see Figure 4.

Note that  $a_1$  intersects  $b_1$  transversely at a single point, and commutes with  $a_2$  and  $b_2$ . Also note that  $a_2$  intersects  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  transversely at a single point, and the simple closed curves  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  commute. By the commutativity and braid relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1b_1a_2b_2)^5 &= (a_1b_1a_2(b_2))(a_1b_1a_2b_2)(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^3 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)a_1b_1(b_2a_2b_2)(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^3 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)a_1b_1(a_2b_2a_2)(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^3 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^2b_2a_2((a_1)b_1a_2b_2)(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^2 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^2a_1b_2(a_2b_1a_2)b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^2 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^2a_1b_2((b_1)a_2(b_1))b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2)^2 \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^2a_1b_1(b_2a_2b_2)(b_1a_1b_1)a_2b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^2a_1b_1(a_2b_2a_2)(a_1b_1a_1)a_2b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^3b_2a_2((a_1)b_1a_1)(a_2)b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^3a_1b_2(a_2b_1a_2)a_1b_2(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^3a_1b_2((b_1)a_2b_1)a_1(b_2)(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^3a_1b_1(b_2a_2b_2)b_1a_1(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^3a_1b_1(a_2b_2a_2)b_1a_1(a_1b_1a_2b_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1a_2)^4(b_2a_2b_1a_1^2b_1a_2b_2). \end{aligned}$$

### Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Anar Akhmedov for his suggestions and interest in this work. I would like to thank Kenneth L. Baker, John B. Etnyre, and Mustafa Korkmaz for helpful comments on the paper.

### References

- [Auroux 2003] D. Auroux, “Monodromy invariants in symplectic topology”, preprint, 2003. arXiv math.SG/0304113
- [Chakiris 1978] K. Chakiris, *The monodromy of genus 2 pencils*, thesis, Columbia University, 1978.
- [Donaldson 1999] S. K. Donaldson, “Lefschetz pencils on symplectic manifolds”, *J. Differential Geom.* **53**:2 (1999), 205–236. MR 2002g:53154 Zbl 1040.53094
- [Farb and Margalit 2005] B. Farb and D. Margalit, “A primer on mapping class groups”, preprint, 2005, available at <http://www.math.utah.edu/~margalit/primer/>.
- [Gervais 2001] S. Gervais, “A finite presentation of the mapping class group of a punctured surface”, *Topology* **40**:4 (2001), 703–725. MR 2002m:57025 Zbl 0992.57013
- [Gompf and Stipsicz 1999] R. E. Gompf and A. I. Stipsicz, *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **20**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 2000h:57038 Zbl 0933.57020
- [Ivanov 2002] N. V. Ivanov, “Mapping class groups”, pp. 523–633 in *Handbook of geometric topology*, edited by R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002. MR 2003h:57022 Zbl 1002.57001
- [Korkmaz and Ozbagci 2008] M. Korkmaz and B. Ozbagci, “On sections of elliptic fibrations”, *Michigan Math. J.* **56**:1 (2008), 77–87. MR 2009f:57043 Zbl 1158.57033
- [Smith 1998] I. Smith, *Symplectic geometry of Lefschetz fibrations*, thesis, University of Oxford, 1998.

Received October 4, 2009.

SINEM ÇELİK ONARAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY  
ANKARA  
TURKEY  
e114485@metu.edu.tr

and

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
ATLANTA, GA  
UNITED STATES  
sinemo@math.gatech.edu

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by  
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Darren Long  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[long@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:long@math.ucsb.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Alexander Merkurjev  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[merkurev@math.ucla.edu](mailto:merkurev@math.ucla.edu)

Jonathan Rogawski  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[jonr@math.ucla.edu](mailto:jonr@math.ucla.edu)

## PRODUCTION

[pacific@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:pacific@math.berkeley.edu)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [www.pjmath.org](http://www.pjmath.org) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS  
at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840  
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2010 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 248 No. 1 November 2010

---

An existence theorem of conformal scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary	1
SÉRGIO DE MOURA ALMARAZ	
Parasurface groups	23
KHALID BOU-RABEE	
Expressions for Catalan Kronecker products	31
ANDREW A. H. BROWN, STEPHANIE VAN WILLIGENBURG and MIKE ZABROCKI	
Metric properties of higher-dimensional Thompson's groups	49
JOSÉ BURILLO and SEAN CLEARY	
Solitary waves for the Hartree equation with a slowly varying potential	63
KIRIL DATCHEV and IVAN VENTURA	
Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids	91
PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ and IGNACIO OJEDA	
The unitary dual of $p$ -adic $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2)$	107
MARCELA HANZER and IVAN MATIĆ	
A Casson–Lin type invariant for links	139
ERIC HARPER and NIKOLAI SAVELIEV	
Semiquandles and flat virtual knots	155
ALLISON HENRICH and SAM NELSON	
Infinitesimal rigidity of polyhedra with vertices in convex position	171
IVAN IZMESTIEV and JEAN-MARC SCHLENKER	
Robust four-manifolds and robust embeddings	191
VYACHESLAV S. KRUSHKAL	
On sections of genus two Lefschetz fibrations	203
SINEM ÇELİK ONARAN	
Biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds	217
YE-LIN OU	
Singular fibers and 4-dimensional cobordism group	233
OSAMU SAEKI	



0030-8730(201011)248:1;1-C