

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

THE NONEXISTENCE OF QUASI-EINSTEIN METRICS

JEFFREY S. CASE

Volume 248 No. 2

December 2010

## THE NONEXISTENCE OF QUASI-EINSTEIN METRICS

JEFFREY S. CASE

We study complete Riemannian manifolds satisfying the equation

$$\text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = 0$$

by studying the associated PDE  $\Delta_f f + m\mu \exp(2f/m) = 0$  for  $\mu \leq 0$ . By developing a gradient estimate for  $f$ , we show there are no nonconstant solutions. We then apply this to show that there are no nontrivial Ricci flat warped products with fibers that have nonpositive Einstein constant. We also show that for nontrivial steady gradient Ricci solitons, the quantity  $R + |\nabla f|^2$  is a positive constant.

### 1. Introduction

An interesting question posed by Besse [1987] is that of determining when one can construct examples of Einstein manifolds that are warped products. If  $(M, g)$  and  $(N^m, h)$  are Riemannian manifolds, the warped product  $(M \times N, \bar{g})$ , where  $\bar{g} = g \oplus \exp(-2f/m)h$ , is Einstein if and only if  $(N, h)$  is Einstein and

$$(1-1) \quad \text{Ric}_f^m = \lambda g,$$

$$(1-2) \quad \Delta_f f - m\lambda = -m\mu \exp\left(\frac{2}{m}f\right),$$

where  $\text{Ric}(h) = \mu h$ ,  $\text{Ric}(\bar{g}) = \lambda \bar{g}$ ,

$$\text{Ric}_f^m = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df$$

is the Bakry–Émery–Ricci tensor,  $\nabla^2$  is the Hessian, and  $\Delta_f u = \Delta u - \nabla f \cdot \nabla u$ . We will call  $f$  the potential. As a result of the Bianchi identity, Kim and Kim [2003] proved that (1-1) implies (1-2) for some constant  $\mu$ , and thus one can study Einstein warped product manifolds by studying only (1-1) on the base  $(M, g)$ .

If one takes  $m = \infty$  in (1-1), one is studying gradient Ricci solitons. In this case, the Bianchi identity yields

$$(1-3) \quad \Delta_f f + 2\lambda f = -\mu$$

---

*MSC2000:* primary 53C21; secondary 58J60.

*Keywords:* Einstein, warped product, quasi-Einstein.

Partially supported by the AAS and NSF grant OISE-0812807.

for some constant  $\mu$ ; see [Ivey 1994]. The sign here is chosen so that one can view (1-3) as the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  of (1-2).

In [Case et al. 2008], a quasi-Einstein metric  $g$  is defined as a metric such that  $\text{Ric}_f^m(g) = \lambda g$  for some constant  $\lambda$ , where  $0 < m \leq \infty$ . The observation of Kim and Kim together with its generalization to the  $m = \infty$  case allows us to study the nonexistence of nontrivial warped product Einstein metrics by considering only (1-2) and (1-3), where a quasi-Einstein metric is nontrivial if the potential is nonconstant. This will be the point of view of this paper.

Besse [1987] constructed examples of quasi-Einstein manifolds with  $\lambda < 0$  and  $\mu$  of arbitrary sign, as well as examples with  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  in (1-1) and (1-2). In the latter case, all of the nontrivial examples have  $\mu > 0$ , while the trivial quasi-Einstein metric  $\text{Ric}_f^m = 0$  necessarily satisfies  $\mu = 0$ . More recently, Lü, Page and Pope [2004] constructed nontrivial quasi-Einstein metrics with  $\lambda > 0$  and  $m > 1$ , which also satisfy  $\mu > 0$ . On the other hand, if  $m < \infty$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $M$  is necessarily compact; see [Wei and Wylie 2007]. Thus, the maximum principle applied to (1-2) yields  $\mu > 0$ . From these results, all that remains to be understood is whether there are nontrivial quasi-Einstein metrics with  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu \leq 0$ .

For steady gradient Ricci solitons, this question is also interesting. The Bryant and Ivey steady solitons [Ivey 1994] are all examples of nontrivial steady gradient Ricci solitons with  $\mu > 0$ . Dancer and Wang [2009] later generalized the construction to provide an even larger class of examples of nontrivial steady gradient Ricci solitons, and they too all had  $\mu > 0$ . Thus one is also led to wonder if there are nontrivial steady gradient Ricci solitons with  $\mu \leq 0$ .

In this paper, we show that there are no such quasi-Einstein metrics:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold such that  $\text{Ric}_f^m = 0$  for some smooth function  $f$  and  $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ , and let  $\mu$  be the constant given by*

$$(1-4) \quad \Delta_f f + \mu \exp\left(\frac{2}{m}f\right) = 0.$$

*Then  $\mu \geq 0$ , and equality holds if and only if  $(M, g)$  is Ricci flat.*

In fact, we shall actually prove a slightly stronger theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold such that  $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$  for some smooth function  $f$  and  $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ , and suppose that*

$$\Delta_f f = c_1 \exp(c_2 f) \quad \text{for constants } c_1, c_2 \geq 0.$$

*Then  $f$  is constant.*

In Theorem 1.1, the condition (1-4) encompasses both (1-2) and (1-3), where the exponential term is understood to be equal to one if  $m = \infty$ . We also note that in Theorem 1.1 for the case  $m = \infty$ , the definition of  $\mu$  given by (1-4) is equivalent to the definition  $R + |\nabla f|^2 = \mu$ . Thus, in this case our result would

follow immediately from knowing that the scalar curvature  $R$  is nonnegative. As was pointed out to me by McKenzie Wang after an early version of this paper was made available, this has been shown in the context of ancient solutions of the Ricci flow by B.-L. Chen [2009a], and explicitly in our setting by Z. Zhang [2009]. However, this result does not imply Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 is also of interest in that it is an example of a result whereby one can “take the limit”  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , even though the underlying Laplacian comparison result does not extend.

Together with the maximum principle result for quasi-Einstein metrics with  $\lambda > 0$ , Theorem 1.1 then yields a partial answer to the question posed by Besse:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $M \times N$  be a nontrivial Einstein warped product manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. Then at least one of  $M$  and  $N$  is Einstein with positive scalar curvature.*

Another interesting corollary, pointed out to me by Yujen Shu, deals with conformally Einstein Riemannian products. If  $(M, g)$  and  $(N, h)$  are Riemannian manifolds, then the manifold  $M \times N$  is a conformally Einstein Riemannian product if the standard product metric is conformally Einstein. Using a characterization by Cleyton [2008] of such manifolds, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose  $M \times N$  is a complete conformally Ricci flat Riemannian product. Then either one of  $M$  or  $N$  is Einstein with positive Einstein constant, or both  $M$  and  $N$  are Ricci flat.*

Our result was motivated by a result of Anderson [1999] for static metrics in general relativity; see [Wald 1984, Chapter 6]. In that setting, static metrics are Riemannian triples  $(M^3, g, u)$ , where  $u$  is called the static potential, such that

$$u \operatorname{Ric} = \nabla^2 u \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u = 0.$$

This yields a Ricci-flat spacetime metric  $-u^2 dt^2 \oplus g$  on the product  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ . In the language of quasi-Einstein metrics, static metrics are then just quasi-Einstein metrics  $(M^3, g, f)$  with the constants  $\lambda = 0 = \mu$ , where  $u = e^{-f}$ . Using PDE methods, Anderson proved that if  $(M, g, u)$  is a complete static metric with  $u > 0$ , then  $u$  must in fact be constant. One of our original observations was that, using comparison results for manifolds with  $\operatorname{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$  and the Harnack inequality for the  $f$ -Laplacian of Li [2005], Anderson’s proof generalizes almost immediately to the case when  $\lambda = \mu = 0$  and  $m < \infty$ , thus providing the original inspiration to study nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics using PDE methods.

To extend this result to the steady gradient Ricci soliton case  $m = \infty$ , as well as to rule out the possibility  $\mu < 0$ , we instead focus on the gradient estimate that leads to the Harnack inequality; see the gradient estimate of Schoen and Yau [1994, Theorem 3.1]. More precisely, we arrive at the following estimate:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(M^n, g, f, m)$  be such that  $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$ ,  $m < \infty$ , and  $\Delta_f f = \phi(f)$ , where  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies*

$$\phi'(t) + \frac{2}{n}\phi(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Then for all  $x \in M$  and  $a > 0$  such that  $B(x, a)$  is geodesically connected in  $M$  and the closure  $\overline{B(x, a)}$  is compact, we have*

$$|\nabla f|^2(x) \leq 2n(m + n + 6)/a^2.$$

The crucial aspect of this theorem is the dependence of the gradient estimate on  $m$ . Though the gradient estimate itself does not hold when  $m = \infty$ , we will be able to find a conformally related triple  $(M, \tilde{g}, \tilde{f})$  that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5 for any  $0 < \tilde{m} < \infty$ . The dependence of the gradient estimate on  $m$  will then allow us to prove that  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is complete for  $\tilde{m}$  large enough, which then yields Theorem 1.2. There is a natural interpretation for this conformal transformation coming from the relationship between warped product Einstein metrics and conformally Einstein products; this will be discussed in Section 2.

To fix notation, we will be considering a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g, f, m)$  that is not necessarily complete. Unless otherwise stated, we assume  $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ .

### 2. The conformal rescaling

As mentioned before, we will find it useful to conformally rescale steady gradient Ricci solitons. The desired rescaling is suggested by the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, let  $f$  be a smooth function on  $M$ , and let  $0 < m < \infty$ . Define the conformally related triple  $(M, \tilde{g}, \tilde{f})$  by*

$$\tilde{g} = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m+n-2}f\right)g \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = \frac{m}{m+n-2}f.$$

*Then*

$$(2-1) \quad \text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^m(\tilde{g}) = \text{Ric}(g) + \nabla_g^2 f + \frac{1}{m+n-2}df \otimes df + \frac{1}{m+n-2}\Delta_f f g,$$

$$(2-2) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{f}} = \exp\left(\frac{2}{m+n-2}f\right)\Delta_f.$$

The proof, which we omit, is a straightforward calculation using the well-known formulas for the change of the Ricci curvature and the Hessian of a function under a conformal change of metric, as can be found in [Besse 1987]. A closely related expression appears in the recent preprint of D. Chen [2009b], where he constructs conformally Einstein Riemannian products. The choice of conformal change comes from an equivalence of two metrics:

$$\tilde{g} \oplus \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m}\tilde{f}\right)h = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{m+n-2}f\right)(g \oplus h),$$

where the constants are chosen so that the Ricci curvature restricted to horizontal vector fields is given by (2-1). This “duality” between warped product metrics and conformal Riemannian products is a useful tool for the study of quasi-Einstein metrics, as will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

For the purposes of this paper, the important feature of the lemma above is that on the right hand side of (2-1), the coefficient of the quadratic term is positive. In particular, since

$$\text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f + \frac{1}{m+n-2} df \otimes df \geq \text{Ric}_f,$$

we can use Lemma 2.1 to pass a lower bound for  $\text{Ric}_f$  to a lower bound for  $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^m$  for a conformally related metric, arriving at the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose  $(M^n, g, f)$  satisfies  $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$  and  $\Delta_f f = c_1 \exp(c_2 f)$ . Fix  $m < \infty$ , and define  $(M, \tilde{g}, \tilde{f})$  as in Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^m(\tilde{g}) &\geq \frac{c_1}{m+n-2} \exp\left(\left(c_2 + \frac{2}{m+n-2}\right)f\right) \tilde{g} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{f}} \tilde{f} &= \frac{mc_1}{m+n-2} \exp\left(\left(c_2 + \frac{2}{m+n-2}\right)f\right). \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, if  $c_1 \geq 0$ , then  $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^m(\tilde{g}) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The second equality follows immediately from (2-2), and the first inequality follows immediately from (2-1) and the observation that if  $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ , then

$$\text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^m(\tilde{g}) \geq \frac{1}{m+n-2} \Delta_f f g. \quad \square$$

### 3. Gradient estimate

In this section we establish our gradient estimate for the potential. As a corollary, we arrive at a Liouville-type theorem that, together with an argument using the conformally related metrics of the previous section, yields Theorem 1.2. To start, we will need two standard comparison results for the Bakry–Émery–Ricci tensor, the Bochner formula and the Laplacian comparison theorem; both of these results can be found, for example, in the survey article [Wei and Wylie 2007].

**Theorem 3.1** (Bochner formula). *Let  $u, f \in C^\infty(M)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta_f u \rangle + \text{Ric}_f^m(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{m} \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle^2.$$

One can quickly derive from the Bochner formula the Laplacian comparison theorem. In the following, when we say that  $U \subset M$  is geodesically connected in  $M$ , we mean that for all  $p, q \in U$ , there is a minimal geodesic lying in  $M$  that connects  $p$  to  $q$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (Laplacian comparison). *Let  $U \subset M$  be geodesically connected in  $M$  and suppose that  $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$  on  $M$ . Fix  $x \in U$  and let  $r$  denote the distance function from  $x$ . Then outside the cut locus of  $x$  in  $U$ , we have  $\Delta_f r \leq (m + n - 1)/r$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Applying the Bochner formula to  $f$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 \geq |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \phi'(f) |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{m} |\nabla f|^4$$

On the other hand, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 f|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta f)^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_f f + |\nabla f|^2)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \phi^2(f) + \frac{2}{n} \phi(f) |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla f|^4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} |\nabla f|^4 + \frac{2}{n} \phi(f) |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the assumption on  $\phi$ , we arrive at the estimate  $\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 \geq \frac{2}{n} |\nabla f|^4$ .

Now consider the function  $F = (a^2 - r^2)^2 |\nabla f|^2$  defined on  $B(x, a)$ , where  $r(y) = d(x, y)$  is the radial distance function. Then there is a point  $x_0$  in the interior of  $B(x, a)$  at which  $F$  achieves its maximum. Using the method of support functions if necessary, we may assume that  $x_0$  lies outside the cut locus of  $x$ . At the point  $x_0$ , we necessarily have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d|\nabla f|^2}{|\nabla f|^2} &= \frac{2d(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2}, \\ 0 &\geq -\frac{2\Delta_f r^2}{a^2 - r^2} + \frac{\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2}{|\nabla f|^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla r^2|^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} - \frac{4\langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \nabla r^2 \rangle}{(a^2 - r^2) |\nabla f|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Laplacian comparison theorem yields  $\Delta_f r^2 \leq 2(m + n)$ , and so combining the above inequalities with the estimate for  $\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2$ , we see that

$$0 \geq \frac{2}{n} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{4(m + n)}{a^2 - r^2} - \frac{24r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2}.$$

Multiplying through by  $(a^2 - r^2)^2$ , we see that  $0 \geq (2/n)F - 4(m + n + 6)a^2$ , so

$$\sup_{B(x,a)} (a^2 - r^2)^2 |\nabla f|^2 \leq 2n(m + n + 6)a^2.$$

In particular,  $a^4 |\nabla f|^2(x) \leq 2n(m + n + 6)a^2$ . □

In particular, Theorem 1.5 can be applied to manifolds satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 with  $m < \infty$  to achieve a Liouville-type theorem:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and let  $0 < m < \infty$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  be such that  $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$  and  $\Delta_f f = c_1 \exp(c_2 f)$  for  $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ . Then  $f$  is constant.*

*Proof.* The function  $\phi(t) = c_1 \exp(c_2 t)$  clearly satisfies  $\phi' + (2/n)\phi \geq 0$ , and so we can apply Theorem 1.5. Because  $(M, g)$  is complete, we may take  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , which yields the result. □

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

If  $m < \infty$ , Corollary 3.3 implies that  $f$  is constant. On the other hand, if  $m = \infty$ , choose some  $\tilde{m} < \infty$  and let  $(M, \tilde{g}, \tilde{f})$  be the conformally related triple defined by

$$\tilde{g} = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\tilde{m}+n-2}f\right)g \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = \frac{\tilde{m}}{\tilde{m}+n-2}f.$$

Corollary 2.2 then implies that there are constants  $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2$  such that

$$\text{Ric}_{\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{g}) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{f}}\tilde{f} = \tilde{c}_1 \exp(\tilde{c}_2 f).$$

If  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is complete, then applying Corollary 3.3 again yields the desired result. However, a priori we do not know that  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is complete, and so we turn to addressing this issue.

Define  $R = \sup\{r : B(x_0, r) \text{ is compactly contained in } M\}$ . If  $R = \infty$ , then  $(M, \tilde{g})$  is complete, so suppose instead that  $R < \infty$ . Thus there is an inextendable unit speed  $\tilde{g}$ -geodesic  $\gamma : [0, R) \rightarrow (M, \tilde{g})$  with  $\gamma(0) = x_0$ . Because  $(M, g)$  is complete, we know that

$$L_g(\gamma) = \int_0^R \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{f}(\gamma(t))}{\tilde{m}}\right) dt = \infty.$$

We will derive a contradiction by showing that  $L_g(\gamma) < \infty$ . Let  $t \in [0, R)$ , and consider the  $\tilde{g}$ -geodesic balls  $B_t = B(\gamma(t), (R-t)/2)$ . The triangle inequality implies  $B_t$  is geodesically connected in  $(M, \tilde{g})$ , and so we can apply our gradient estimate to  $f$  in  $B_t$ , yielding  $|\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{f}|(\gamma(t)) \leq C/(R-t)$ , where  $C = \sqrt{8n(\tilde{m} + n + 6)}$ . In particular,  $C \in O(\tilde{m}^{1/2})$ . Integrating the inequality above yields

$$\exp\left(\frac{\tilde{f}(\gamma(t))}{\tilde{m}}\right) \leq C_1(R-t)^{-C/\tilde{m}}$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ . Since  $C/\tilde{m} \in O(\tilde{m}^{-1/2})$ , we can choose  $\tilde{m}$  sufficiently large so that  $C/\tilde{m} < 1$ . Hence  $L_g(\gamma) < \infty$ , a contradiction, and so we see that  $(M, \tilde{g})$  must be complete. □

**Acknowledgments**

I would like to thank Robert Bartnik and Pengzi Miao for bringing to my attention the connection between static metrics and quasi-Einstein metrics and many interesting discussions, from which the idea for this paper arose. I would also like to thank Xianzhe Dai, Yujen Shu, and Guofang Wei for helpful discussions on relations with Ricci solitons and comments on early drafts of this paper. I thank Monash University for their hospitality while he visited in Summer 2008. Finally, I thank the reviewer for many helpful comments, and in particular the suggestion that I explicitly state the more general Theorem 1.2.

## References

- [Anderson 1999] M. T. Anderson, “Scalar curvature, metric degenerations and the static vacuum Einstein equations on 3-manifolds, I”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9**:5 (1999), 855–967. MR 2000k:53033 Zbl 0976.53046
- [Besse 1987] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) **10**, Springer, Berlin, 1987. MR 88f:53087 Zbl 0613.53001
- [Case et al. 2008] J. S. Case, Y. Shu, and G. Wei, “Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics”, preprint, 2008. arXiv 0805.3132
- [Chen 2009a] B.-L. Chen, “Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow”, *J. Differential Geom.* **82**:2 (2009), 363–382. MR 2010h:53095 Zbl 1177.53036
- [Chen 2009b] D. Chen, “Construction of conformally compact Einstein metrics”, preprint, 2009. arXiv 0908.1430
- [Cleyton 2008] R. Cleyton, “Riemannian products which are conformally equivalent to Einstein metrics”, preprint, 2008. arXiv 0805.3630
- [Dancer and Wang 2009] A. S. Dancer and M. Y. Wang, “Some new examples of non-Kähler Ricci solitons”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **16**:2 (2009), 349–363. MR 2010e:53108
- [Ivey 1994] T. Ivey, “New examples of complete Ricci solitons”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122**:1 (1994), 241–245. MR 94k:53057 Zbl 0812.53045
- [Kim and Kim 2003] D.-S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, “Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**:8 (2003), 2573–2576. MR 2004b:53063 Zbl 1029.53027
- [Li 2005] X.-D. Li, “Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds”, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **84**:10 (2005), 1295–1361. MR 2006f:58046 Zbl 1082.58036
- [Lü et al. 2004] H. Lü, D. N. Page, and C. N. Pope, “New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein–Kähler manifolds”, *Phys. Lett. B* **593**:1–4 (2004), 218–226. MR 2005f:53063
- [Schoen and Yau 1994] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994. MR 97d:53001 Zbl 0830.53001
- [Wald 1984] R. M. Wald, *General relativity*, University of Chicago Press, 1984. MR 86a:83001 Zbl 0549.53001
- [Wei and Wylie 2007] G. Wei and W. Wylie, “Comparison geometry for the smooth metric measure spaces”, pp. 191–202 in *Proc. of the 4th ICCM*, vol. 2, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2007.
- [Zhang 2009] Z.-H. Zhang, “On the completeness of gradient Ricci solitons”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137**:8 (2009), 2755–2759. MR 2010a:53057 Zbl 1176.53046

Received September 9, 2009. Revised January 23, 2010.

JEFFREY S. CASE  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106  
 UNITED STATES

casej@math.ucsb.edu  
<http://math.ucsb.edu/~casej>

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by  
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Darren Long  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[long@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:long@math.ucsb.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Alexander Merkurjev  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[merkurev@math.ucla.edu](mailto:merkurev@math.ucla.edu)

Jonathan Rogawski  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[jonr@math.ucla.edu](mailto:jonr@math.ucla.edu)

## PRODUCTION

[pacific@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:pacific@math.berkeley.edu)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [www.pjmath.org](http://www.pjmath.org) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS  
at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840  
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2010 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 248 No. 2 December 2010

---

Topological description of Riemannian foliations with dense leaves	257
JESÚS A. ÁLVAREZ LÓPEZ and ALBERTO CANDEL	
The nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics	277
JEFFREY S. CASE	
Twisted symmetric group actions	285
AKINARI HOSHI and MING-CHANG KANG	
Optimal transportation and monotonic quantities on evolving manifolds	305
HONG HUANG	
Hopf structures on the Hopf quiver $Q(\langle g \rangle, g)$	317
HUA-LIN HUANG, YU YE and QING ZHAO	
Minimal surfaces in $S^3$ foliated by circles	335
NIKOLAI KUTEV and VELICHKA MILOUSHEVA	
Prealternative algebras and prealternative bialgebras	355
XIANG NI and CHENGMING BAI	
Some remarks about closed convex curves	393
KE OU and SHENGLIANG PAN	
Orbit correspondences for real reductive dual pairs	403
SHU-YEN PAN	
Graphs of bounded degree and the $p$ -harmonic boundary	429
MICHAEL J. PULS	
Invariance of the BFV complex	453
FLORIAN SCHÄTZ	
Some elliptic PDEs on Riemannian manifolds with boundary	475
YANNICK SIRE and ENRICO VALDINOCI	
Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic, III	493
LEI ZHAO	



0030-8730(201012)248:2;1-A