

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR THIRD ORDER
MULTIPOINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM**

YANG LIU, ZHANG WEIGUO, LIU XIPING, SHEN CHUNFANG AND CHEN HUA

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR THIRD ORDER MULTIPOINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

YANG LIU, ZHANG WEIGUO, LIU XIPING, SHEN CHUNFANG AND CHEN HUA

By using the Avery–Peterson fixed point theorem, we obtain the existence of three positive solutions for a third order multipoint boundary value problem. An example illustrates the main results.

1. Introduction

We study the existence of positive solutions for the third order m -point boundary value problem

$$(1-1) \quad \begin{aligned} x'''(t) + f(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \\ x(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i), \quad x'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x'(\xi_i), \\ x''(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \alpha_i < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta_i < 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i < 1 \end{aligned}$$

and $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times R^2, [0, +\infty))$.

Third order differential equations arise in various areas of applied mathematics and physics, such as the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, three layer beams, electromagnetic waves, gravity driven flows, and so on [Greguš 1987]. In recent years, much attention has focused on positive solutions of boundary value problems (BVPs for short) for third order ordinary

MSC2000: primary 34B10; secondary 34B15.

Keywords: third order multipoint boundary value problem, positive solution, cone, fixed point.

Sponsored by the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (number S30501), the Shanghai Natural Science Foundation (number 10ZR1420800), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Educational Department (number Kj2010B163), and the Youth Research Fund of ChangZhou Campus (number XZX/08B001-05).

Zhang is the corresponding author.

differential equations. For example, Anderson [1998] established the existence of at least three positive solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -x'''(t) + f(x(t)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(t_2) = x''(1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t_2 \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $f : R \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and $1/2 \leq t_2 < 1$. Palamides and Smyrlis [2008] proved that there exists at least one positive solution for the third order three-point BVP

$$\begin{aligned} x'''(t) &= a(t)f(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x(1) &= 0, \\ x''(\eta) &= 0 \quad \text{for } \eta \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The results are based on the well-known Guo–Krasnoselskiĭ fixed point theorem [Guo and Lakshmikantham 1988]. Guo, Sun and Zhao [Guo et al. 2008] studied the positive solutions of the third order three-point problem

$$\begin{aligned} x'''(t) &= a(t)f(x(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) &= 0, \\ x'(1) = x'(\eta) & \quad \text{for } \eta \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and obtained the existence of such solutions by using the Guo–Krasnoselskiĭ fixed point theorem. For more existence results for third order boundary value problems, see [Hopkins and Kosmatov 2007; Chu and Zhou 2006; Graef and Kong 2009; Lin et al. 2008; Pei and Chang 2007; Li 2006; Yao 2004] and references therein.

In these works they concentrate on the two- or three-point BVPs. Few papers deal with the existence of positive solutions to m -point BVPs for third order differential equations, and in those that do, first and second order derivatives are not involved in the nonlinear term.

We do allow first and second order derivatives to appear explicitly in the nonlinear term of multipoint third order boundary value problems (1-1), and consider the positive solutions of these problems. By using the Avery–Peterson fixed point theorem [2001] and analysis techniques, we prove that there exist at least three concave positive solutions of problem (1-1). The results so established are more general those of previous papers. We illustrate our results with an example.

2. Background

In this section, we present the necessary definitions from cone theory in Banach spaces and a fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson.

Definition 2.1. Let E be a real Banach space over \mathbb{R} . A nonempty convex closed set $P \subset E$ is said to be a cone if

- (1) $au \in P$ for all $u \in P$ and $a \geq 0$, and
- (2) $u, -u \in P$ implies $u = 0$.

Definition 2.2. An operator is called completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact sets.

Definition 2.3. A map α is said to be a nonnegative continuous convex functional on a cone P of a real Banach space E if $\alpha : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and

$$\alpha(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq t\alpha(x) + (1 - t)\alpha(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in P, t \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.4. A map β is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on a cone P of a real Banach space E if $\beta : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and

$$\beta(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq t\beta(x) + (1 - t)\beta(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in P, t \in [0, 1].$$

Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , let α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P and let ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P . Then for positive numbers a, b, c and d , we define the convex sets

$$\begin{aligned} P(\gamma, d) &= \{x \in P \mid \gamma(x) < d\}, \\ P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) &= \{x \in P \mid b \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \\ P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) &= \{x \in P \mid b \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq c, \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \end{aligned}$$

and a closed set

$$R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) = \{x \in P \mid a \leq \psi(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let P be a cone in a Banach space E . Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , let α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and let ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P satisfying

$$(2-1) \quad \psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda\psi(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,$$

such that for some positive numbers l and d ,

$$(2-2) \quad \alpha(x) \leq \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \leq l\gamma(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}.$$

Suppose $T : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers a, b, c with $a < b$ such that

- (S₁) $\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) \mid \alpha(x) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(Tx) > b$
for $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$,
- (S₂) $\alpha(Tx) > b$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(Tx) > c$,

(S₃) $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ and $\psi(Tx) < a$ for $x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(x) = a$.

Then T has at least three fixed points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ such that

$$(2-3) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma(x_i) &\leq d \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ b < \alpha(x_1), \quad a < \psi(x_2), \quad \alpha(x_2) < b, \quad \psi(x_3) < a. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main results

Consider the problem

$$(3-1a) \quad x'''(t) + y(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1],$$

$$(3-1b) \quad x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x'(\xi_i), \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0 = 0, \quad \xi_{m-1} = 1, \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha_{m-1} = \beta_0 = \beta_{m-1} = 0, \\ \rho = (1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i)(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $y(t) \in C[0, 1]$, the problem (3-1) has the unique solution

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^s y(\tau) d\tau ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k ((s-t) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (t-s) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (t-\xi_k)) \\ \quad + (1 - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k)((1-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (s-\xi_k)) & \text{if } t \leq s, \\ (1 - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k)((1-t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (t-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (t-\xi_k)) \\ \quad + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (s-\xi_k) & \text{if } t \geq s, \end{cases}$$

for $\xi_{i-1} < s < \xi_i$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$.

Proof. Integrating both sides of (3-1a) and considering the boundary condition $x''(0) = 0$, we have

$$(3-2) \quad -x''(t) = \int_0^t y(s) ds.$$

Let $G(t, s)$ be the Green function for the problem

$$(3-3a) \quad -x''(t) = 0,$$

$$(3-3b) \quad x'(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i x'(\xi_i), \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i x(\xi_i),$$

Then for $\xi_{i-1} < s < \xi_i$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, we can assume

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} A + Bt & \text{if } t \leq s, \\ C + Dt & \text{if } t \geq s. \end{cases}$$

From the definition and properties of the Green function together with (3-3b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A + Bs &= C + Ds, & B &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k B + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k D, \\ B - D &= 1, & C + D &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (A + B\xi_k) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (C + D\xi_k), \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k \xi_k - 1 \right) + \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \right) \left(1 - s + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (s - \xi_k) \right) \right), \\ B &= - \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k / \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \right), \\ C &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(- \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k s + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k \xi_k - 1 \right) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (s - \xi_k) \right), \\ D &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k - 1 \right) / \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the Green function explicitly. Considering (3-2) together, we obtain that (3-1) has the unique solution stated. □

Lemma 3.2. *The Green function above satisfies $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. For $\xi_{i-1} \leq s \leq \xi_i$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, and $t \leq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (s - t) &+ \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (t - \xi_k) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (t - s) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \beta_k (s - t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (t - \xi_k) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (t - s) = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k (s - \xi_k) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 - s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (s - \xi_k) \geq \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k (1 - \xi_k) \geq 0.$$

For $\xi_{i-1} \leq s \leq \xi_i$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and $t \geq s$,

$$(1-t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k(t-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k(t-\xi_k) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_k(1-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \beta_k(1-\xi_k) \geq 0.$$

These give that $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in [0, 1]$. □

Lemma 3.3. *If $y(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $u(t)$ is the solution of (3-1), then*

- (1) $\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)| \geq \delta \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|$ and
- (2) $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)| \leq \gamma_1 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'(t)|$, where

$$\delta = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i(1-\xi_i) \right) / \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_1 = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i \right) / \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right)$$

are constants.

Proof. (1) For $x'''(t) = -y(t) \leq 0$ with $t \in [0, 1]$, we see that $x''(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$. Considering $x''(0) = 0$, we have $x''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Next we claim that $x'(0) \leq 0$. Otherwise, if $x'(0) > 0$, we have the contradiction

$$0 = x'(0) - x'(0) = x'(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x'(\xi_i) > \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (x'(0) - x'(\xi_i)) \geq 0.$$

Thus, $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) = x(0)$ and $\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) = x(1)$. From the concavity of $x(t)$, we have

$$\xi_i(x(1) - x(0)) \leq x(\xi_i) - x(0).$$

Multiplying both sides by β_i and considering $x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i)$, we have

$$(3-4) \quad \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i \right) x(1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (1 - \xi_i) x(0).$$

(2) By the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$x(1) - x(\xi_i) = (1 - \xi_i)x'(\eta_i) \quad \text{for } \eta \in (\xi_i, 1).$$

From the concavity of x , similar to what we did above, we see that

$$(3-5) \quad \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \right) x(1) < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (1 - \xi_i) |x'(1)|.$$

Considering (3-4) together with (3-5) we have $x(0) \leq \gamma_1 |x'(1)|$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.4. *If $y \in C[0, 1]$ for $y \geq 0$ and $\gamma_2 = 1 + (\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i \xi_i) / (1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i)$ is a positive constant, then $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'(t)| \leq \gamma_2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)|$.*

Proof. Since $x'(t) = x'(0) + \int_0^t x''(s)ds$, we have

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i\right)x'(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\xi_i} x''(s)ds \geq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i \xi_i x''(1).$$

Thus

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i\right)|x'(0)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i \xi_i |x''(1)|.$$

Considering the concavity of $x(t)$ and $x'(t)$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'(t)| = |x'(1)| \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)| = |x''(1)|,$$

and $x'(1) - x'(0) = x''(\eta) \geq x''(1)$ which give that

$$|x'(1)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i \xi_i}{1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i}\right) |x''(1)|. \quad \square$$

Remark. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 ensure that

$$\max\left\{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|, \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'(t)|, \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)|\right\} \leq \gamma_3 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)|,$$

where $\gamma_3 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 > 1$.

Let the Banach space $E = C^2[0, 1]$ be endowed with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max\left\{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|, \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'(t)|, \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)|\right\} \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

We define the cone $P \subset E$ by

$$P = \left\{x \in E \mid x(t) \geq 0, x''(0) = 0, x'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x'(\xi_i), x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i), x(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1]\right\}.$$

Let the nonnegative continuous concave functional α , the nonnegative continuous convex functionals γ and θ , and the nonnegative continuous functional ψ be defined on the cone by

$$\gamma(x) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)|, \quad \theta(x) = \psi(x) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|, \quad \alpha(x) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|.$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, the functionals defined above satisfy

$$\delta\theta(x) \leq \alpha(x) \leq \theta(x) = \psi(x) \quad \text{for } \|x\| \leq \gamma_3 \gamma(x).$$

Therefore condition (2-2) of Lemma 2.5 is satisfied. Let

$$m = \int_0^1 sG(1, s)ds, \quad N = \int_0^1 sG(0, s)ds, \quad \lambda = \min\{m, \delta\gamma_3\}.$$

Assume that there exist constants $0 < a, b, d$ with $a < b < \lambda d$ such that

- (A₁) $f(t, u, v, w) \leq d$ for $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \gamma_3d] \times [-\gamma_2d, 0] \times [-d, 0]$;
- (A₂) $f(t, u, v, w) > b/m$ for $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [b, b/\delta] \times [-\gamma_2d, 0] \times [-d, 0]$;
- (A₃) $f(t, u, v, w) < a/N$ for $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a] \times [-\gamma_2d, 0] \times [-d, 0]$.

Theorem 3.5. *Under assumptions (A₁)–(A₃), problem (1-1) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 satisfying $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_i''(t)| \leq d$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where*

$$\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_2(t)| < b < \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_1(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_3(t)| \leq a < \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_2(t)|.$$

Proof. Problem (1-1) has a solution $x = x(t)$ if and only if x solves the operator equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^s f(\tau, x(\tau), x'(\tau), x''(\tau))d\tau ds = (Tx)(t).$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$(Tx)''(t) = - \int_0^t f(s, x, x', x'')ds.$$

For $x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$, we have $\gamma(x) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x''(t)| \leq d$. Considering Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 and assumption (A₁), we obtain

$$f(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(\tau)) \leq d,$$

$$\gamma(Tx) = |(Tx)''(1)| = \left| - \int_0^1 f(s, x, x', x'')ds \right| \leq d.$$

Hence, $T : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ and clearly T is a completely continuous operator.

The fact that the constant function $x(t) = b/\delta$ is in $P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$ and that $\alpha(b/\delta) > b$ implies that $\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d \mid \alpha(x) > b)\} \neq \emptyset$. This gives that condition (S₁) of Lemma 2.5 holds.

For $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$, we have $b \leq x(t) \leq b/\delta$ and $|x''(t)| < d$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. From assumption (A₂), we have $f(t, x, x', x'') > b/m$. By definition of α and the cone P , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tx) &= (Tx)(1) = \int_0^1 G(1, s) \int_0^s f(\tau, x, x', x'')d\tau ds \\ &\geq \frac{b}{m} \int_0^1 sG(1, s)ds > \frac{b}{m}m = b, \end{aligned}$$

which means $\alpha(Tx) > b$ for all $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, b/\delta, d)$. Second, with (3-4) and $b < \lambda d$, we have

$$\alpha(Tx) \geq \delta\theta(Tx) > \delta(b/\delta) = b$$

for all $x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(Tx) > b/\delta$.

Thus, condition (S_2) of Lemma 2.5 holds. Finally we show that (S_3) also holds. We see that $\psi(0) = 0 < a$ and $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$. Suppose that $x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(x) = a$. Then by assumption (A_3) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Tx) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tx)(t)| = \int_0^1 G(0, s) \int_0^s f(\tau, x, x', x'') d\tau ds \\ &< \frac{a}{N} \int_0^1 sG(0, s) ds = a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all conditions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Hence (1-1) has at least three positive concave solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 satisfying the conditions of the theorem. \square

4. Example

Consider the third order four-point boundary value problem

$$(4-1) \quad \begin{aligned} x'''(t) + f(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \\ x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) &= \frac{1}{4}x'(\frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{2}x'(\frac{2}{3}), \quad x(1) = \frac{1}{3}x(\frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{2}x(\frac{2}{3}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(t, u, v, w) = \frac{1}{60}e^t + \frac{1}{60}\left(\frac{w}{1800}\right)^4 + \begin{cases} \frac{u^5}{10\pi} & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq 10, \\ \frac{10000}{\pi} & \text{if } u \geq 10, \end{cases}$$

By a simple computation, the function $G(t, s)$ is given by

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} 24(5/9 - t/8 - s/24) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1/3, & t \leq s, \\ 24(5/9 - t/6) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1/3, & t \geq s, \\ 24(4/9 - s/8 - t/12) & \text{if } 1/3 \leq s \leq 2/3, & t \leq s, \\ 24(4/9 - t/8 - s/12) & \text{if } 1/3 \leq s \leq 2/3, & t \geq s, \\ 6(1 - s) & \text{if } 2/3 \leq s \leq 1, & t \leq s, \\ 6(1 - t/6 - 5s/6) & \text{if } 2/3 \leq s \leq 1, & t \geq s, \end{cases}$$

Choosing $a = 1, b = 4$ and $d = 1800$, we note that

$$\gamma = \frac{80}{9}, \quad \delta = \frac{7}{10}, \quad m = \int_0^1 sG(1, s)ds = \frac{35}{108}, \quad N = \int_0^1 sG(0, s)ds < 10.$$

We can check that $F = f(t, u, v, w)$ satisfies

$$F \leq 1800 \quad \text{in the region } [0, 1] \times [0, 16000] \times [-4800, 0] \times [-1800, 0],$$

$$F \geq 432/35 \quad \text{in the region } [0, 1] \times [4, 40/7] \times [-4800, 0] \times [-1800, 0],$$

$$F \leq 1/10 \quad \text{in the region } [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [-4800, 0] \times [-1800, 0].$$

Then all assumptions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Thus, problem (4-1) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 such that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_i'(t)| \leq 1800 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_1(t) > 4, \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_2(t) > 1,$$

$$\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_2(t) < 4, \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_3(t) < 1.$$

Remark. Problem (4-1) is a third order four-point BVP and the nonlinear term is involved in the first and second order derivative explicitly. Earlier results for positive solutions, to the authors' knowledge, do not apply to problem (4-1).

Acknowledgment

We are very grateful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [Anderson 1998] D. Anderson, "Multiple positive solutions for a three-point boundary value problem", *Math. Comput. Modelling* **27**:6 (1998), 49–57. MR 99b:34040 Zbl 0906.34014
- [Avery and Peterson 2001] R. I. Avery and A. C. Peterson, "Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces", *Comput. Math. Appl.* **42**:3-5 (2001), 313–322. MR 2002i:47070 Zbl 1005.47051
- [Chu and Zhou 2006] J. Chu and Z. Zhou, "Positive solutions for singular non-linear third-order periodic boundary value problems", *Nonlinear Anal.* **64**:7 (2006), 1528–1542. MR 2006i:34058
- [Graef and Kong 2009] J. R. Graef and L. Kong, "Positive solutions for third order semipositone boundary value problems", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**:8 (2009), 1154–1160. MR 2010g:34134 Zbl 1173.34313
- [Greguš 1987] M. Greguš, *Third order linear differential equations*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series) **22**, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987. MR 88a:34002 Zbl 0602.34005
- [Guo and Lakshmikantham 1988] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering **5**, Academic, Boston, MA, 1988. MR 89k:47084 Zbl 0661.47045
- [Guo et al. 2008] L.-J. Guo, J.-P. Sun, and Y.-H. Zhao, "Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear third-order three-point boundary value problems", *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**:10 (2008), 3151–3158. MR 2009d:34055 Zbl 1141.34310

- [Hopkins and Kosmatov 2007] B. Hopkins and N. Kosmatov, “Third-order boundary value problems with sign-changing solutions”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**:1 (2007), 126–137. MR 2008b:34026 Zbl 1130.34010
- [Li 2006] S. Li, “Positive solutions of nonlinear singular third-order two-point boundary value problem”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323**:1 (2006), 413–425. MR 2007g:34045 Zbl 1107.34019
- [Lin et al. 2008] X. Lin, Z. Du, and W. Liu, “Uniqueness and existence results for a third-order nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem”, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **205**:1 (2008), 187–196. MR 2466622 Zbl 1166.34008
- [Palamides and Smyrlis 2008] A. P. Palamides and G. Smyrlis, “Positive solutions to a singular third-order three-point boundary value problem with an indefinitely signed Green’s function”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**:7 (2008), 2104–2118. MR 2008k:34092 Zbl 1153.34016
- [Pei and Chang 2007] M. Pei and S. K. Chang, “Existence and uniqueness of solutions for third-order nonlinear boundary value problems”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**:1 (2007), 23–35. MR 2007i:34031 Zbl 1160.34312
- [Yao 2004] Q. Yao, “Solution and positive solution for a semilinear third-order two-point boundary value problem”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17**:10 (2004), 1171–1175. MR 2005i:34030 Zbl 1061.34012

Received October 18, 2009. Revised March 9, 2010.

YANG LIU
UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SHANGHAI 200093
CHINA

and

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HEFEI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
HEFEI 230601
CHINA
yliu722@163.com

ZHANG WEIGUO
UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SHANGHAI 200093
CHINA
zwgzwm@126.com

LIU XIPING
UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SHANGHAI 200093
CHINA
xipingliu@163.com

SHEN CHUNFANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HEFEI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
HEFEI 230601
CHINA
Shencf2003@163.com

CHEN HUA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HOHAI UNIVERSITY, CHANGZHOU CAMPUS
CHANGZHOU 213022
CHINA
chenhua112@163.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic.

Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 249 No. 1 January 2011

Metabelian $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations of knot groups, II: Fixed points	1
HANS U. BODEN and STEFAN FRIEDL	
Lewis–Zagier correspondence for higher-order forms	11
ANTON DEITMAR	
Topology of positively curved 8-dimensional manifolds with symmetry	23
ANAND DESSAI	
Strong Kähler with torsion structures from almost contact manifolds	49
MARISA FERNÁNDEZ, ANNA FINO, LUIS UGARTE and RAQUEL VILLACAMPA	
Connections between Floer-type invariants and Morse-type invariants of Legendrian knots	77
MICHAEL B. HENRY	
A functional calculus for unbounded generalized scalar operators on Banach spaces	135
DRAGOLJUB KEČKIĆ and ĐORĐE KRINIĆ	
Geometric formality of homogeneous spaces and of biquotients	157
D. KOTSCHICK and S. TERZIĆ	
Positive solutions for a nonlinear third order multipoint boundary value problem	177
YANG LIU, ZHANG WEIGUO, LIU XIPING, SHEN CHUNFANG and CHEN HUA	
The braid group surjects onto G_2 tensor space	189
SCOTT MORRISON	
Analogues of the Wiener Tauberian and Schwartz theorems for radial functions on symmetric spaces	199
E. K. NARAYANAN and ALLADI SITARAM	
Semidirect products of representations up to homotopy	211
YUNHE SHENG and CHENCHANG ZHU	
Homology sequence and excision theorem for Euler class group	237
YONG YANG	
Acknowledgement	255



0030-8730(201101)249:1;1-D