Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE NUMBER OF PAIRS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS $x_1, x_2 \le H$ SUCH THAT x_1x_2 IS A *k*-TH POWER

DOYCHIN I. TOLEV

Volume 249 No. 2

February 2011

ON THE NUMBER OF PAIRS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS $x_1, x_2 \le H$ SUCH THAT x_1x_2 IS A *k*-TH POWER

DOYCHIN I. TOLEV

We find an asymptotic formula for the number of pairs of positive integers $x_1, x_2 \le H$ such that the product x_1x_2 is a *k*-th power.

1. Notation

Let *H* be a sufficiently large positive number and $k \ge 2$ be a fixed integer. By the letters j, l, m, n, u, v, x, y, z we denote positive integers. The letter *p* is reserved for primes, and \prod_p denotes a product over all primes. By the letters *s* and *w*, we denote complex numbers, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. By ε we denote an arbitrary small positive number. The constants in the Vinogradov and Landau symbols are absolute or depend on ε and *k*. As usual, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. By V_k we denote the set of *k*-free numbers (that is, positive integers not divided by a *k*-th power of a prime), and N_k is the set of *k*-th powers of natural numbers. We denote by $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function and by $\tau(n)$ the number of positive divisors of *n*. Further, we define $\eta(n) = \prod_{p|n} p$. We write (u, v) for the greatest common divisor of *u* and *v*. We assume that min $(1, 0^{-1}) = 1$.

2. Introduction and statement of the result

Let $S_k(H)$ be the number of pairs of positive integers $x_1, x_2 \le H$ whose product x_1x_2 is in N_k . We will establish an asymptotic formula for $S_k(H)$. This problem is related to a result of Heath-Brown and Moroz [1999]. They considered the diophantine equation $x_1x_2x_3 = x_0^3$ and found an asymptotic formula for the number of primitive solutions such that $1 \le x_1, x_2, x_3 \le H$.

It is easy to find an asymptotic formula for the quantity

$$S_k^*(H) = \#\{x_1, x_2 \mid x_1, x_2 \le H, (x_1, x_2) = 1, x_1 x_2 \in N_k\}.$$

Supported by Sofia University grant 028/2009.

MSC2000: 11D45.

Keywords: counting solutions of Diophantine equations.

Indeed, if $(x_1, x_2) = 1$, then $x_1 x_2 \in N_k$ exactly when $x_1 \in N_k$ and $x_2 \in N_k$. Hence

$$S_k^*(H) = \#\{x_1, x_2 \mid x_1, x_2 \le H, (x_1, x_2) = 1, x_1 \in N_k, x_2 \in N_k\}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \le H^{1/k}, \\ (z_1, z_2) = 1}} 1,$$

and using the well-known property of the Möbius function we get

$$S_k^*(H) = \sum_{z_1, z_2 \le H^{1/k}} \sum_{d \mid (z_1, z_2)} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \le H^{1/k}} \mu(d) \left(\frac{H^{1/k}}{d} + O(1)\right)^2.$$

.

Therefore

(1)
$$S_k^*(H) = H^{2/k} \sum_{d \le H^{1/k}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} + O(H^{1/k} \log H)$$
$$= \zeta(2)^{-1} H^{2/k} + O(H^{1/k} \log H).$$

It is also easy to evaluate $S_2(H)$. Indeed, we have

$$S_{2}(H) = \sum_{d \leq H} \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, x_{2} \leq H, \\ (x_{1}, x_{2}) = d, \\ x_{1}x_{2} \in N_{2}}} 1 = \sum_{d \leq H} \sum_{\substack{y_{1}, y_{2} \leq H/d, \\ (y_{1}, y_{2}) = 1, \\ y_{1}y_{2}d^{2} \in N_{2}}} 1 = \sum_{d \leq H} S_{2}^{*}(H/d).$$

Now we apply (1) and after calculations that we leave to the reader, we find

$$S_2(H) = \zeta(2)^{-1} H \log H + O(H).$$

However it is not clear how to apply (1) in order to evaluate $S_k(H)$ for $k \ge 3$.

Another quantity related to $S_k(H)$ is

$$T_k(H) = \#\{x_1, x_2 \mid x_1 x_2 \le H^2, \ x_1 x_2 \in N_k\} = \sum_{n \le H^{2/k}} \tau(n^k).$$

Using well-known analytic methods, based on Perron's formula and the simplest properties of $\zeta(s)$, we are able to prove the asymptotic formula

$$T_k(H) \sim \gamma_k H^{2/k} (\log H)^k$$
,

where $\gamma_k > 0$ depends only on *k*. In this paper we show that using the same analytic tools, as well as an idea of Heath-Brown and Moroz [1999], we may find an asymptotic formula for $S_k(H)$ for any $k \ge 2$:

Theorem. For any integer $k \ge 2$, we have

(2)
$$S_k(H) = c_k H^{2/k} (\log H)^{k-1} + O(H^{2/k} (\log H)^{k-2}),$$

where

(3)
$$c_{k} = \frac{\mathscr{P}_{k}}{((k-1)!)^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k^{k-2}} \sum_{k/2 < m \le k-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-m} (2m-k)^{k-1} {\binom{k-1}{m}}}{k-m} \right),$$

(4)
$$\mathscr{P}_{k} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{p} \right).$$

3. Some lemmas

- **Lemma 1.** (i) Every positive integer x can be represented uniquely in the form x = yz, where $y \in V_k$ and $z \in N_k$.
- (ii) Every integer $y \in V_k$ can be written uniquely in the form $y = u_1 u_2^2 u_3^3 \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1}$, where $u_j \in V_2$ for $1 \le j \le k-1$ and $(u_i, u_j) = 1$ for $1 \le i, j \le k-1, i \ne j$.
- (iii) If $y_1, y_2 \in V_k$ and $y_1y_2 \in N_k$, then $\eta(y_1) = \eta(y_2) = (y_1y_2)^{1/k}$.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and (ii) can by obtained easily from the fundamental theorem of arithmetic and we leave this to the reader. Let us prove (iii). By our assumption, any prime in the factorization of y_1y_2 occurs with exponent at most 2k-2, and hence with exponent exactly k. Since the exponent of each prime in y_1 and y_2 is $\leq k-1$, the integers y_1 and y_2 have the same prime factors.

The next lemma is a version of the Perron formula. Denote

(5)
$$E(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma \ge 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < \gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. If $\gamma > 0$, $0 < c < c_0$ and T > 1, then

$$E(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{\gamma^s}{s} \, ds + O(\gamma^c \min(1, T^{-1} |\log \gamma|^{-1})).$$

The constant in the Landau symbol depends only on c_0 .

Proof. This is a slightly simplified version of a lemma from [Davenport 2000, Section 17]. \Box

Some of the basic properties of Riemann's zeta function are presented in the next lemma.

- **Lemma 3.** (i) $\zeta(s)$ is meromorphic in the complex plane and has a pole only at s = 1. It is simple and with a residue equal to 1.
- (ii) If $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, then $\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} (1 p^{-s})^{-1}$.
- (iii) If $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge \sigma > 1$, then $\zeta(s) \ll (\sigma 1)^{-1} + 1$.
- (iv) If $1/2 \le \sigma_0 \le 1$, $\sigma \ge \sigma_0$ and $|t| \ge 2$, then $\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll |t|^{(1-\sigma_0)/2+\varepsilon}$.

(v) There exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that if $X \ge 2$, $|t| \le X$ and $\sigma \ge 1 - \lambda_0 / \log X$, then $\zeta(\sigma + it) \ne 0$.

Proof. See [Titchmarsh 1986, Chapters 1–3 and 5].

4. Proof of the theorem

4.1. We already considered the case k = 2, so we may assume that $k \ge 3$.

Working as in [Heath-Brown and Moroz 1999] we apply Lemma 1(i) and find that $S_k(H)$ is equal to the number of quadruples y_1 , y_2 , z_1 , z_2 such that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \quad z_1, z_2 \in N_k, \quad y_1 z_1 \le H, \quad y_2 z_2 \le H, \quad y_1 z_1 y_2 z_2 \in N_k.$$

Obviously the last of the above conditions is equivalent to $y_1y_2 \in N_k$ because z_1 and z_2 are k-th powers. Hence

$$S_k(H) = \sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \le H, \\ y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \\ y_1, y_2 \in N_k}} \sum_{\substack{m_j \le (H/y_j)^{1/k}, \\ j=1,2 \\ y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \\ y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \\ y_1, y_2 \in N_k}} ((H/y_1)^{1/k} + O(1))((H/y_2)^{1/k} + O(1)).$$

Expanding brackets, we get

(6)
$$S_k(H) = H^{2/k} U_k(H) + O(H^{1/k} W_k(H)),$$

where

$$U_k(H) = \sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \le H, \\ y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \\ y_1 y_2 \in N_k}} (y_1 y_2)^{-1/k} \text{ and } W_k(H) = \sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \le H, \\ y_1, y_2 \in V_k, \\ y_1 y_2 \in N_k}} y_1^{-1/k}.$$

Using Lemma 1(iii), we see that for a given y_1 the integer y_2 is determined uniquely. Therefore we have

(7)
$$U_k(H) = \sum_{\substack{y \le H, \\ y \in V_k, \\ \eta(y)^k \le Hy}} \eta(y)^{-1}$$
 and $W_k(H) = \sum_{\substack{y \le H, \\ y \in V_k, \\ \eta(y)^k \le Hy}} y^{1/k} \eta(y)^{-1}.$

To prove the theorem we have to find an asymptotic formula for $U_k(H)$ and to estimate $W_k(H)$.

4.2. Consider first $W_k(H)$. Applying Lemma 1(ii), we get

$$W_{k}(H) \leq \sum_{u_{1}u_{2}^{2}\cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} \leq H} \frac{(u_{1}u_{2}^{2}\cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1})^{1/k}}{u_{1}u_{2}\cdots u_{k-1}}$$

=
$$\sum_{u_{1}u_{2}^{2}\cdots u_{k-2}^{k-2} \leq H} u_{1}^{-1+1/k} u_{2}^{-1+2/k} \cdots u_{k-2}^{-1+(k-2)/k} \sum_{u_{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{H}{u_{1}u_{2}^{2}\cdots u_{k-2}^{k-2}}\right)^{1/(k-1)}} u_{k-1}^{-1/k}.$$

The inner sum is $\ll H^{1/k}(u_1u_2^2...u_{k-2}^{k-2})^{-1/k}$; hence

(8)
$$W_k(H) \ll H^{1/k} \sum_{u_1 u_2^2 \cdots u_{k-2}^{k-2} \leq H} (u_1 u_2 \dots u_{k-2})^{-1} \ll H^{1/k} (\log H)^{k-2}.$$

It remains to show that

(9)
$$U_k(H) = c_k (\log H)^{k-1} + O\left((\log H)^{k-2} \right).$$

Formula (2) is a consequence of (6), (8) and (9).

4.3. Using (5) and (7), we write $U_k(H)$ in the form

$$U_{k}(H) = \sum_{\substack{y \le H, \\ y \in V_{k}}} \eta(y)^{-1} E(Hy\eta(y)^{-k}).$$

We put

(10)
$$c = (\log H)^{-1}$$
 and $T = (\log H)^{100k^3}$

and applying Lemma 2 we find that

(11)
$$U_k(H) = U^{(1)} + O(\Delta)$$

where

(12)
$$U^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{H^s}{s} \Phi(s) ds, \text{ and } \Phi(s) = \sum_{\substack{y \le H, \\ y \in V_k}} y^s \eta(y)^{-ks-1}$$

and $\Delta = \sum_{y \le H, \eta(y)^{-1}} \min(1, T^{-1} |\log(Hy\eta(y)^{-k})|^{-1}).$

4.4. Consider first the sum Δ . We put

 $y \in V_k$

$$(13) \qquad \qquad \varkappa = T^{-1/2}$$

and write

(14)
$$\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2,$$

where in Δ_1 the summation is taken over y satisfying $|\log(H y \eta(y)^{-k})| \ge \varkappa$ and in Δ_2 over the other y. To estimate Δ_1 we apply Lemma 1(iii), (10) and (13) to find

(15)
$$\Delta_1 \ll T^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{y \le H, \\ y \in V_k}} \eta(y)^{-1} \ll T^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{u_1 u_2^2 \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} \le H \\ \ll \frac{(\log H)^{k-1}}{T^{1/2}}} \ll 1.$$

Consider Δ_2 . Using its definition and Lemma 1(iii), we find

$$\Delta_{2} \ll \sum_{\substack{u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{k-1}:\\ |\log(H/(u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2} \cdots u_{k-2}^{2}u_{k-1}))| < \varkappa}} (u_{1}u_{2} \cdots u_{k-1})^{-1} \\ \ll \sum_{He^{-\varkappa} < u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2} \cdots u_{k-2}^{2} < 2H} (u_{1}u_{2} \cdots u_{k-2})^{-1} \sum_{\frac{He^{-\varkappa}}{u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2} \cdots u_{k-2}^{2}} < u_{k-1} < \frac{He^{\varkappa}}{u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2} \cdots u_{k-2}^{2}}} u_{k-1}^{-1}.$$

To estimate the inner sum we apply the obvious inequality

(16)
$$\sum_{a < n \le b} n^{-1} \le a^{-1} + \log(b/a) \quad \text{for } 0 < a < b$$

and find that

(17)
$$\Delta_2 \ll \sum_{u_1^{k-1}u_2^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-2}^2 < 2H} \frac{H^{-1}u_1^{k-1}u_2^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-2}^2 + \varkappa}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{k-2}} \ll H^{-1}\Delta_3 + \varkappa (\log H)^{k-2},$$

where

(18)
$$\Delta_3 = \sum_{u_1^{k-1}u_2^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-2}^2 < 2H} u_1^{k-2}u_2^{k-3}\cdots u_{k-2}.$$

If k > 3, then

(19)
$$\Delta_{3} \ll \sum_{u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-3}^{3}<2H} u_{1}^{k-2}u_{2}^{k-3}\cdots u_{k-3}^{2} \sum_{u_{k-2}<(2H/(u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-3}^{3}))^{1/2}} u_{k-2}$$
$$\ll H \sum_{u_{1}^{k-1}u_{2}^{k-2}\cdots u_{k-3}^{3}<2H} (u_{1}u_{2}\cdots u_{k-3})^{-1} \ll H(\log H)^{k-3}.$$

The last estimate for Δ_3 is obviously true also for k = 3. From (10), (13)–(15), (17) and (19), we get

(20)
$$\Delta \ll (\log H)^{k-3}.$$

4.5. Consider the expression $\Phi(s)$ defined by (12). Let *c* and *T* be specified by (10) and

$$(21) T_1 = 2kT.$$

We apply Lemma 2 again and show that if Re(s) = c, then

(22)
$$\Phi(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT_1}^{c+iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s, w) \, dw + O(\Delta^*),$$

where

~

(28)

(23)
$$\mathcal{M}(s, w) = \sum_{y=1, y \in V_k}^{\infty} y^{s-w} \eta(y)^{-ks-1},$$

(24)
$$\Delta^* = \sum_{y=1, y \in V_k}^{\infty} \eta(y)^{-kc-1} \min(1, T_1^{-1} |\log(H/y)|^{-1}).$$

To justify (22) we note from Euler's identity, (10) and parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3 it follows that

(25)
$$\sum_{\substack{y=1, \\ y \in V_k}}^{\infty} \eta(y)^{-kc-1} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{p^{kc+1}}\right) \ll \zeta^{k-1}(kc+1) \ll c^{-k+1} \ll (\log H)^{k-1}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(s, w)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent in $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \operatorname{Re}(w) = c$ because under this assumption we have $\mathcal{M}(s, w) \ll \sum_{y=1, y \in V_k}^{\infty} \eta(y)^{-kc-1}$. This completes the verification of (22).

4.6. Consider the expression Δ^* defined by (24). We write it in the form

$$\Delta^* = \Delta_1^* + \Delta_2^*,$$

where the summation in Δ_1^* is taken over y such that $|\log(H/y)| \ge x$ and in Δ_2^* over the other y. Using (10), (13), (21) and (25), we find

(27)
$$\Delta_1^* \ll T^{-1/2} \sum_{y=1, y \in V_k}^{\infty} \eta(y)^{-kc-1} \ll (\log H)^{k-1-50k^3} \ll 1.$$

To estimate Δ_2^* we apply Lemma 1(iii) and (10), (13), (16) to get

$$\Delta_{2}^{*} \ll \sum_{\substack{He^{-\varkappa} < y < He^{\varkappa}, \\ y \in V_{k}}} \eta(y)^{-1} \ll \sum_{\substack{He^{-\varkappa} < u_{1}u_{2}^{2} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} < He^{\varkappa}}} (u_{1}u_{2} \cdots u_{k-1})^{-1} \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{u_{2}^{2}u_{3}^{3} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} < 2H}} (u_{2}u_{3} \cdots u_{k-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{He^{-\varkappa} \\ u_{2}^{2}u_{3}^{3} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} < 2H}} \sum_{\substack{u_{2}^{2}u_{3}^{3} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} + \varkappa}} u_{1}^{-1} \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{u_{2}^{2}u_{3}^{3} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} < 2H}} \frac{H^{-1}u_{2}^{2}u_{3}^{3} \cdots u_{k-1}^{k-1} + \varkappa}{u_{2}u_{3} \cdots u_{k-1}} \\ \ll H^{-1}\Delta_{3} + 1,$$

where Δ_3 is given by (18). Applying (19), (26)–(28) we find

(29)
$$\Delta^* \ll (\log H)^{k-3}.$$

We substitute in formula (12) the expression for $\Phi(s)$ given by (22) and find a new form of $U^{(1)}$. Using (10) and (29) we see that the contribution to $U^{(1)}$ coming from Δ^* is

$$\ll (\log H)^{k-3} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{c^2 + t^2}} \ll (\log H)^{k-2}.$$

Therefore, taking also into account (11) and (20), we find

(30)
$$U_k(H) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{H^s}{s} \int_{c-iT_1}^{c+iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s,w) \, dw \, ds + O((\log H)^{k-2}).$$

4.7. For a fixed *s* satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s) = c$ the infinite series $\mathcal{M}(s, w)$ defined by (23) is absolutely and uniformly convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(w) \ge c$ and represents a holomorphic function in $\operatorname{Re}(w) > c$. Applying Euler's identity we find

$$\mathcal{M}(s,w) = \prod_{p} (1+p^{-ks-1}(p^{s-w}+p^{2(s-w)}+\dots+p^{(k-1)(s-w)}))$$
$$= \prod_{p} \left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} p^{-(k-j)s-jw-1}\right).$$

Using Lemma 3(ii), we conclude that for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = c$ and $\operatorname{Re}(w) \ge c$, we have

(31)
$$\mathcal{M}(s,w) = \mathcal{H}(s,w) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \zeta((k-j)s + jw + 1),$$

where

$$\mathscr{H}(s,w) = \prod_{p} \left(\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} p^{-(k-j)s-jw-1} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (1 - p^{-(k-j)s-jw-1}) \right).$$

It is clear that there exists $\delta = \delta(k) \in (0, 1/100)$ such that in the region

(32)
$$\operatorname{Re}(s) > -\delta$$
 and $\operatorname{Re}(w) > -\delta$

the function $\mathcal{K}(s, w)$ is holomorphic with respect to *s* as well as to *w* and satisfies

$$(33) 0 < |\mathcal{K}(s,w)| \ll 1.$$

We have also

(34)
$$\mathscr{K}(0,0) = \mathscr{P}_k,$$

where \mathcal{P}_k is given by (4).

Suppose that we have a fixed s = c + it with $-T \le t \le T$. From (31), (33) and Lemma 3(i), we conclude that the function $H^w w^{-1} \mathcal{M}(s, w)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\operatorname{Re}(w) > -\delta$ and that poles may occur only at the points

(35)
$$w = 0$$
 and $w = (1 - k/m)s$ for $1 \le m \le k - 1$.

All these points are actually simple poles. Indeed, for w = 0 this follows immediately from (33) and parts (i) and (v) of Lemma 3. In the case $1 \le m \le k - 1$, the point w = (1 - k/m)s is a simple pole of $\zeta((k - m)s + mw + 1)$ and, due to Lemma 3(v) and (10), it cannot be a pole or zero of $\zeta((k - j)s + jw + 1)$ for $1 \le j \le k - 1$ with $j \ne m$.

For $1 \le m \le k - 1$, we denote by $\Re_m(s)$ the residue of $H^w w^{-1} \mathcal{M}(s, w)$ at w = (1-k/m)s and let $\Re_0(s)$ be the residue at w = 0. A straightforward calculation, based on the arguments above, (33) and Lemma 3(i), leads to

$$\mathcal{R}_0(s) = \mathcal{K}(s, 0) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \zeta(js+1)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(s) = \frac{H^{(1-k/m)s}}{(m-k)s} \mathscr{K}\left(s, \left(1-\frac{k}{m}\right)s\right) \prod_{\substack{j=1,\\j\neq m}}^{k-1} \zeta\left(k\left(1-\frac{j}{m}\right)s+1\right)$$
for $1 \le m \le k-1$.

4.8. Let us define

(37)
$$\theta = \frac{\delta}{2k^3}$$

By (10) and (21) and since s = c + it, where $-T \le t \le T$, we see that all points (35) are inside the rectangle with vertices $c - iT_1$, $-\theta - iT_1$, $-\theta + iT_1$, $c + iT_1$. Applying the residue theorem we find that

$$\int_{c-iT_1}^{c+iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s, w) \, dw = 2\pi i \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{R}_m(s) + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{c-iT_1}^{-\theta-iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s, w) \, dw, \quad I_2 = \int_{-\theta-iT_1}^{-\theta+iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s, w) \, dw,$$
$$I_3 = \int_{-\theta+iT_1}^{c+iT_1} \frac{H^w}{w} \mathcal{M}(s, w) \, dw.$$

From the formula above and (30) we get

(38)
$$U_k(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{H^s}{s} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \Re_m(s) \, ds + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + O((\log H)^{k-2}).$$

Here J_{μ} are the contributions coming from I_{μ} for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$ and we will see that we may neglect them.

To estimate J_{μ} we will first show that if s = c + it, where $|t| \le T$, and if w belongs to some of the sets of integration of I_1 , I_2 or I_3 , then

(39)
$$\mathcal{M}(s,w) \ll T^{k^2\theta}.$$

Having in mind (31) and (33), we see that in order to verify this it is enough to establish that for *s* and *w* satisfying the conditions above, we have

(40)
$$\zeta(\lambda) \ll T^{k\theta}$$
, where $\lambda = (k-j)s + jw + 1$ for $1 \le j \le k-1$.

If $w = \beta + iT_1$ (or $w = \beta - iT_1$), where $-\theta \le \beta \le c$, then from (10), (21), (37) it follows that for the number λ given by (40), we have $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \ge 1 - k\theta$ and $T \ll |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| \ll T$. Hence the estimate (40) is a consequence of Lemma 3(iv). Suppose now that $w = -\theta + it_1$, where $|t_1| \le T_1$. From (10), (21) and (37), we get $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \ge 1 - k\theta$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| \ll T$. If $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| \ge 2$, then the estimate (40) follows again from Lemma 3(iv). In the case $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| < 2$ we use also the inequality $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \le 1 - \theta/2$ to conclude that $\zeta(\lambda) \ll 1$, so the estimate (40) is true again.

From the definitions of J_{μ} and (10), (21), (37) and (39), we find

$$J_1, J_3 \ll \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + t^2}} \int_{-\theta}^{c} \frac{T^{k^2 \theta}}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + T_1^2}} \, d\beta \, dt \ll c^{-1} + \log T \ll \log H,$$

$$J_2 \ll \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + t^2}} \int_{-T_1}^{T_1} \frac{H^{-\theta} T^{k^2 \theta}}{\sqrt{\theta^2 + t_1^2}} \, dt_1 \, dt \ll H^{-\theta} (c^{-1} + \log T) T^{k^2 \theta} \log T \ll 1.$$

This means that the terms J_{μ} in formula (38) can be omitted. Then using (36), we get

(41)
$$U_k(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\mathfrak{N}_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m-k} \mathfrak{N}_m \right) + O((\log H)^{k-2}),$$

where

(42)
$$\mathfrak{N}_m = \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds$$

and

(43)
$$\Xi_0(s) = s^{-1} H^s \mathscr{K}(s, 0) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \zeta(js+1),$$

(44)
$$\Xi_m(s) = s^{-2} H^{(2-k/m)s} \mathscr{K}\left(s, \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right)s\right) \prod_{\substack{j=1, \\ j \neq m}}^{k-1} \zeta\left(k\left(1 - \frac{j}{m}\right)s + 1\right)$$
for $1 \le m \le k-1$.

4.9. Consider first \mathfrak{N}_m for $1 \le m \le k/2$. Since $\Xi_m(s)$ is a holomorphic function in the rectangle with vertices c - iT, $\theta - iT$, $\theta + iT$ and c + iT, we have

(45)
$$\mathfrak{N}_m = \int_{c-iT}^{\theta-iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds + \int_{\theta-iT}^{\theta+iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds + \int_{\theta+iT}^{c+iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds$$
$$= \mathfrak{N}_m^{(1)} + \mathfrak{N}_m^{(2)} + \mathfrak{N}_m^{(3)},$$

say. If *s* belongs to the sets of integration of $\mathfrak{N}_m^{(1)}$ or $\mathfrak{N}_m^{(3)}$ and if $1 \le j \le k-1$, $j \ne m$, then from Lemma 3(iv), it follows that

$$\zeta(k(1-j/m)s+1) \ll T^{k^2\theta}$$

Hence, using (33), (37) and our assumption $1 \le m \le k/2$, we find

(46)
$$\mathfrak{N}_{m}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{N}_{m}^{(3)} \ll \int_{c}^{\theta} \frac{H^{(2-k/m)\beta}}{\beta^{2}+T^{2}} T^{k^{3}\theta} d\beta \ll T^{k^{3}\theta-2} \ll 1.$$

Suppose now that *s* belongs to the set of integration of $\mathfrak{N}_m^{(2)}$ (that is, $s = \theta + it$ for $|t| \leq T$) and consider the number $\tilde{\lambda} = k(1 - j/m)s + 1$. It is easy to see that for each *j* that occurs in (44), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\lambda}) \ge 1 - k^2 \theta$$
, $|\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\lambda}) - 1| \ge \theta$, $|\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\lambda})| \le k^2 |t|$.

Hence an application of Lemma 3(iv) gives

$$\zeta(\tilde{\lambda}) \ll (1+|t|)^{k^2\theta}$$

Therefore

(47)
$$\mathfrak{N}_m^{(2)} \ll \int_{-T}^T \frac{H^{(2-k/m)\theta}}{\theta^2 + t^2} (1+|t|)^{k^3\theta} dt \ll 1.$$

From (45)–(47), we get $\mathfrak{N}_m \ll 1$ for $1 \le m \le k/2$ and using (41) we find

(48)
$$U_k(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\mathfrak{N}_0 + \sum_{k/2 < m \le k-1} \frac{1}{m-k} \mathfrak{N}_m \right) + O((\log H)^{k-2}).$$

4.10. Consider now \mathfrak{N}_m for $k/2 < m \le k-1$. The function $\Xi_m(s)$ has a pole only at s = 0 and it is not difficult to compute that the corresponding residue is equal to

$$\mathscr{L}_m(\log H)^{k-1} + O((\log H)^{k-2}),$$

where

(49)
$$\mathscr{L}_m = \frac{(2m-k)^{k-1}(-1)^{k-m-1}\binom{k-1}{m}\mathscr{P}_k}{((k-1)!)^2k^{k-2}}.$$

We leave the standard verification to the reader. From (42) and the residue theorem we get

(50)
$$\mathfrak{N}_m = 2\pi i \mathscr{L}_m (\log H)^{k-1} + \mathfrak{N}'_m + \mathfrak{N}''_m + \mathfrak{N}''_m + O((\log H)^{k-2})$$

where

$$\mathfrak{N}'_m = \int_{c-iT}^{-\theta-iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds, \quad \mathfrak{N}''_m = \int_{-\theta-iT}^{-\theta+iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds, \quad \mathfrak{N}'''_m = \int_{-\theta+iT}^{c+iT} \Xi_m(s) \, ds.$$

Using Lemma 3(iv), we find that if *s* belongs to the set of integration of some of the integrals above, then the product of the values of the zeta-function in the definition (44) is $\ll T^{k^3\theta}$. Hence from (10), (33), (37) and our assumption $k/2 < m \le k - 1$, it follows that

(51)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}'_{m}, \mathfrak{N}'''_{m} \ll \int_{-\theta}^{c} \frac{T^{k^{3}\theta}}{\beta^{2} + T^{2}} d\beta \ll 1 \\ \mathfrak{N}''_{m} \ll \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{H^{-(2-k/m)\theta}}{\theta^{2} + t^{2}} T^{k^{3}\theta} dt \ll H^{-(2-k/m)\theta} T^{k^{3}\theta} \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

From (50) and (51), we find

(52)
$$\mathfrak{N}_m = 2\pi i \mathscr{L}_m (\log H)^{k-1} + O((\log H)^{k-2}) \quad \text{for } k/2 < m \le k-1.$$

4.11. It remains to consider \mathfrak{N}_0 . It is not difficult to see that the function $\Xi_0(s)$ specified by (43) has a pole only at s = 0, with residue equal to

$$\mathscr{L}_0(\log H)^{k-1} + O((\log H)^{k-2}),$$

where

(53)
$$\mathscr{L}_0 = \frac{\mathscr{P}_k}{((k-1)!)^2}$$

From (42) and the residue theorem we find

$$\mathfrak{N}_0 = 2\pi i \mathscr{L}_0(\log H)^{k-1} + \mathfrak{N}'_0 + \mathfrak{N}''_0 + \mathfrak{N}''_0 + O((\log H)^{k-2}),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{N}'_0 = \int_{c-iT}^{-\theta-iT} \Xi_0(s) \, ds, \quad \mathfrak{N}''_0 = \int_{-\theta-iT}^{-\theta+iT} \Xi_0(s) \, ds, \quad \mathfrak{N}'''_0 = \int_{-\theta+iT}^{c+iT} \Xi_0(s) \, ds.$$

Arguing as above, we conclude that $\mathfrak{N}'_0, \mathfrak{N}''_0, \mathfrak{N}''_0 \ll 1$ (we leave the verification to the reader). Hence

(54)
$$\mathfrak{N}_0 = 2\pi i \mathscr{L}_0 (\log H)^{k-1} + ((\log H)^{k-2}).$$

From (3), (34), (48), (49), and (52)–(54), we obtain (9), and the proof of the theorem is complete. \Box

References

- [Davenport 2000] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 74, Springer, New York, 2000. MR 2001f:11001 Zbl 1002.11001
- [Heath-Brown and Moroz 1999] D. R. Heath-Brown and B. Z. Moroz, "The density of rational points on the cubic surface $X_0^3 = X_1 X_2 X_3$ ", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **125**:3 (1999), 385–395. MR 2000f:11080 Zbl 0938.11016
- [Titchmarsh 1986] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1986. MR 88c:11049 Zbl 0601.10026

Received September 17, 2009.

DOYCHIN I. TOLEV FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS SOFIA UNIVERSITY "ST. KL. OHRIDSKY" 5 J.BOURCHIER 1164 SOFIA BULGARIA

dtolev@fmi.uni-sofia.bg http://www.tolev.org/

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://www.pjmath.org

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 pacific@math.ucla.edu

Darren Long Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 long@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Alexander Merkurjev Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 merkurev@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV. STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[™] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840 A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Typeset in IAT<u>EX</u> Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Finn Department of Mathematics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2125 finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Jonathan Rogawski Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 jonr@math.ucla.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 249 No. 2 February 2011

A gluing construction for prescribed mean curvature	257
Adrian Butscher	
Large eigenvalues and concentration	271
BRUNO COLBOIS and ALESSANDRO SAVO	
Sur les conditions d'existence des faisceaux semi-stables sur les courbes multiples primitives	291
JEAN-MARC DRÉZET	
A quantitative estimate for quasiintegral points in orbits	321
LIANG-CHUNG HSIA and JOSEPH H. SILVERMAN	
Möbius isoparametric hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures, II ZEJUN HU and SHUJIE ZHAI	343
Discrete Morse theory and Hopf bundles DMITRY N. KOZLOV	371
Regularity of canonical and deficiency modules for monomial ideals MANOJ KUMMINI and SATOSHI MURAI	377
SL ₂ (C)-character variety of a hyperbolic link and regulator WEIPING LI and QINGXUE WANG	385
Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences LING LONG	405
Necessary and sufficient conditions for unit graphs to be Hamiltonian H. R. MAIMANI, M. R. POURNAKI and S. YASSEMI	419
Instability of the geodesic flow for the energy functional DOMENICO PERRONE	431
String structures and canonical 3-forms CORBETT REDDEN	447
Dual pairs and contragredients of irreducible representations BINYONG SUN	485
On the number of pairs of positive integers $x_1, x_2 \le H$ such that x_1x_2 is a k-th power DOYCHIN I. TOLEV	495
Correction to the article A Floer homology for exact contact embeddings KAI CIELIEBAK and URS ADRIAN FRAUENFELDER	509