

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UNIVERSAL INEQUALITIES FOR THE EIGENVALUES
OF THE BIHARMONIC OPERATOR ON SUBMANIFOLDS**

SAÏD ILIAS AND OLA MAKHOUL

UNIVERSAL INEQUALITIES FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF THE BIHARMONIC OPERATOR ON SUBMANIFOLDS

SAÏD ILIAS AND OLA MAKHOUL

We establish universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem on compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, of spheres and of real, complex and quaternionic projective spaces. We prove similar results for the biharmonic operator on domains of Riemannian manifolds that admit spherical eigenmaps (this includes compact homogeneous Riemannian spaces) and finally on domains of hyperbolic space.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let Δ be the Laplacian operator on M .

We will be concerned with the following eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet biharmonic operator, called the clamped plate problem:

$$(1-1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in M , Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator in M and ν is the outward unit normal. It is well known that the eigenvalues of this problem form a countable family $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$.

For the case when $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, Payne, Pólya and Weinberger [1956] established the following inequality, for each $k \geq 1$:

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2 k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

This work was partially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the FOG project (ANR-07-BLAN-0251-01).

MSC2000: 35P15, 58A10, 58C40, 58J50.

Keywords: eigenvalue, biharmonic operator, universal inequality, submanifold, eigenmap.

Implicit in [Payne et al. 1956], as noticed by Ashbaugh [1999], is the better inequality

$$(1-2) \quad \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2 k^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{1/2} \right)^2.$$

Later, Hile and Yeh [1984] extended ideas from earlier work on the Laplacian by Hile and Protter [1980] and proved the better bound

$$\frac{n^2 k^{3/2}}{8(n+2)} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i^{1/2}}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \right)^{1/2}.$$

Implicit in their work is the stronger inequality

$$\frac{n^2 k^2}{8(n+2)} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i^{1/2}}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{1/2} \right),$$

which was proved independently by Hook [1990] and Chen and Qian [1990]; see also [Chen and Qian 1993a; 1993b; 1994].

Cheng and Yang [2006] obtained the bound

$$(1-3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \leq \left(\frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i))^{1/2}.$$

Very recently, Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [2009b] obtained an inequality for eigenvalues of Laplacian with any order l on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, they showed that for $l = 2$,

$$(1-4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i.$$

For the case when $M = \mathbb{S}^n$, Wang and Xia [2007] showed that

$$(1-5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 (n^2 + (2n+4)\lambda_i^{1/2}) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (n^2 + 4\lambda_i^{1/2}) \right)^{1/2},$$

from which they deduced, using a variant of Chebyshev's inequality,

$$(1-6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (2(n+2)\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2) (4\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2).$$

This last inequality was also obtained by a different method by Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [2009a].

On the other hand, Wang and Xia [2007] also considered the problem (1-1) on domains of an n -dimensional complete minimal submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^m and proved

$$(1-7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \left(\frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \lambda_i^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i^{1/2} \right)^{1/2},$$

from which they deduced the following generalization of inequality (1-4) to minimal Euclidean submanifolds:

$$(1-8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i.$$

Recently, Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [2010] extended this last inequality to any complete Riemannian submanifold M in \mathbb{R}^m and showed

$$(1-9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (n^2 \delta + 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{1/2}) (n^2 \delta + 4 \lambda_i^{1/2}),$$

with

$$\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2,$$

where H is the mean curvature of M .

The goal of Section 2 of this article is to study the relation between eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator and the local geometry of Euclidean submanifolds M of arbitrary codimension. The approach is based on an algebraic formula (see Theorem 2.3) we proved in [Ilias and Makhoul 2010]. This approach is useful for the unification and for the generalization of all the results in the literature. In fact, using this general algebraic inequality, we obtain (see Theorem 2.4) the inequality

$$(1-10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) (2(n+2) \lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2 \delta) \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} (4 \lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2 \delta) \right)^{1/2},$$

where f and g are two functions satisfying some functional conditions (see Definition 2.1), $\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2$ and H is the mean curvature of M . The family of such pairs of functions is large. And particular choices for f and g lead to the known results. For instance, if we take $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, then (1-10) becomes

$$(1-11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 (2(n+2)\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (4\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta) \right)^{1/2},$$

which gives easily (see [Remark 2.2](#)) inequality (1-9) of Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [2010].

In [Section 3](#) we consider the case of manifolds admitting spherical eigenmaps and obtain similar results. As a consequence, we obtain universal inequalities for the clamped plate problem on domains of any compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold.

In [Section 4](#), we show how one can easily obtain, from the algebraic techniques used in the previous sections, universal inequalities for eigenvalues of (1-1) on domains of hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n .

All our results hold if we add a potential to Δ^2 (that is, $\Delta^2 + q$ where q is a smooth potential). For instance, in this case instead of inequality (1-10), we obtain

$$(1-12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) (2(n+2)\bar{\lambda}_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} (4\bar{\lambda}_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta) \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - \inf_{\Omega} q$.

Finally, the case of the clamped problem with weight

$$(1-13) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda \rho u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

can be easily treated with minor changes.

2. Euclidean submanifolds

Before stating the main result of this section, we introduce a family of pairs of functions and a theorem obtained in [Ilias and Makhoul 2010], which will play an essential role in the proofs of all our results.

Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. A pair (f, g) of functions defined on $]-\infty, \lambda[$ belongs to \mathfrak{S}_λ if f and g are positive and, for any distinct $x, y \in]-\infty, \lambda[$,

$$(2-1) \quad \left(\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{(f(x))^2}{g(x)(\lambda - x)} + \frac{(f(y))^2}{g(y)(\lambda - y)} \right) \left(\frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y} \right) \leq 0.$$

Remark 2.2. This definition of the family \mathfrak{S}_λ differs slightly from that given in [Ilias and Makhoul 2010], but all the results there are still valid.

A direct consequence of our definition is that g must be nonincreasing.

If we multiply f and g of \mathfrak{S}_λ by positive constants, the resulting functions are also in \mathfrak{S}_λ . In the case where f and g are differentiable, one can easily deduce from (2-1) the necessary condition

$$((\ln f(x))')^2 \leq \frac{-2}{\lambda-x} (\ln g(x))'.$$

This last condition helps us to find many pairs (f, g) satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(1, (\lambda - x)^\alpha) \mid \alpha \geq 0\}, \\ &\{((\lambda - x), (\lambda - x)^\beta) \mid \beta \geq \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ &\{((\lambda - x)^\delta, (\lambda - x)^\delta) \mid 0 < \delta \leq 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$. For any two operators A and B , we denote by $[A, B]$ their commutator, defined by $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A : \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense domain \mathfrak{D} , which is semibounded below and has a discrete spectrum

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

Let

$$\{T_p : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\}_{p=1}^n$$

be a collection of skew-symmetric operators and

$$\{B_p : T_p(\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\}_{p=1}^n$$

a collection of symmetric operators, leaving \mathfrak{D} invariant. Denote by

$$\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$$

a basis of orthonormal eigenvectors of A , u_i corresponding to λ_i . Let $k \geq 1$ and assume that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$. Then, for any (f, g) in $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$

$$\begin{aligned} (2-2) \quad &\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n f(\lambda_i) \langle [T_p, B_p]u_i, u_i \rangle \right)^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n g(\lambda_i) \langle [A, B_p]u_i, B_p u_i \rangle \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \|T_p u_i\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Our first result is the following application of this inequality to the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem (1-1) on a domain of a Euclidean submanifold:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an isometric immersion of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M in \mathbb{R}^m . Let Ω be a bounded domain of M and consider the clamped plate problem (1-1) on Ω . Then for any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any (f, g) in $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have*

$$(2-3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{2}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) (2(n+2)\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(\lambda_i^{1/2} + \frac{n^2}{4}\delta \right) \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2$ and H be the mean curvature vector field of the immersion X (that is, which is given by $\frac{1}{n}$ trace h , where h is the second fundamental form of X).

Proof. We apply inequality (2-2) of Theorem 2.3 with $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = X_p$ and $T_p = [\Delta, X_p]$, $p = 1, \dots, m$, where X_1, \dots, X_m are the components of the immersion X . This gives

$$(2-4) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ \leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right) \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \| [\Delta, X_p] u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

where u_i are the L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions. First we have, for $p = 1, \dots, m$, $[\Delta^2, X_p] u_i = \Delta^2 X_p u_i + 2 \nabla \Delta X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + 2 \Delta (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2 \Delta X_p \Delta u_i + 2 \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i$.

Thus

$$\langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \\ = \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 X_p \Delta^2 X_p + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \nabla \Delta X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \Delta (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i) \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \Delta X_p \Delta u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i \\ = \int_{\Omega} \Delta X_p \Delta (X_p u_i^2) - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (X_p u_i \nabla u_i) \Delta X_p + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta (X_p u_i) \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p \Delta X_p u_i \Delta u_i - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (X_p u_i \nabla X_p) \Delta u_i.$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$(2-5) \quad \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 4 \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2 \\ + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i \Delta u_i.$$

Since X is an isometric immersion, we have

$$(2-6) \quad nH = (\Delta X_1, \dots, \Delta X_m)$$

and

$$(2-7) \quad \sum_{p=1}^m u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i = 0, \quad \sum_{p=1}^m (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 = |\nabla u_i|^2.$$

Incorporating these identities in (2-5) and summing on p from 1 to m , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 - 2n \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta u_i + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &= 2(n+2) \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ (2-8) \quad &\leq 2(n+2) \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &= 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ (2-9) \quad &\leq 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2 \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gave (2-8) and where $\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2$.

On the other hand, we have

$$[\Delta, X_p]u_i = 2\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + u_i \Delta X_p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \|[\Delta, X_p]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (2\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + u_i \Delta X_p)^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities (2-6) and (2-7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-10) \quad \sum_{p=1}^m \|\Delta, X_p]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\
 &= 4 \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) \cdot u_i + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\
 &\leq 4 \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + n^2 \delta \\
 &= 4\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2 \delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta(X_p^2 u_i) - 2X_p \Delta(X_p u_i) + X_p^2 \Delta u_i) u_i \\
 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2-11) \quad \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2 = 2n.$$

To conclude, we simply use the estimates (2-9), (2-10) and (2-11) together with inequality (2-4). \square

Remarks 2.5. • As indicated in the end of the introduction, [Theorem 2.4](#) holds for a general operator $\Delta^2 + q$, where q is a smooth potential. Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the fact that $[\Delta^2 + q, X_p] = [\Delta^2, X_p]$ and the entire proof of [Theorem 2.4](#) works in this situation. The only modification is in the estimation of the term $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2$. In this case, letting $\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - \inf_{\Omega} q$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\lambda_i - \int_{\Omega} q u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (\bar{\lambda}_i)^{1/2}.$$

Taking into account this modification in inequalities (2-8) and (2-10), we obtain inequality (1-12).

- If $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, then inequality (2-3) extends inequality (1-7) of Wang and Xia [2007] to any Riemannian submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^m . By using a Chebyshev inequality (for instance the one of [Cheng et al. 2009b, Lemma 1]), inequality (1-9) of Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [2010] can be easily deduced from inequality (2-3).
- If $f(x) = g(x)^2 = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)$, then inequality (2-3) generalizes inequality (1-3) of Cheng and Yang [2006] to the case of Euclidean submanifolds.

Using the standard embeddings of the rank one compact symmetric spaces in a Euclidean space (see for instance [El Soufi et al. 2009, Lemma 3.1] for the values of $|H|^2$ of these embeddings), we can extend easily the previous theorem to domains or submanifolds of these symmetric spaces and obtain:

Theorem 2.6. *Let \bar{M} be the sphere \mathbb{S}^m , the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^m$, the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ or the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{Q}P^m$ endowed with their respective metrics. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let $X : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be an isometric immersion of mean curvature H . Consider the clamped plate problem on a bounded domain Ω of M . For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have*

$$(2-12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{2}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) (2(n+2)\lambda_i^{1/2} + n^2\delta') \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(\lambda_i^{1/2} + \frac{n^2}{4}\delta' \right) \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\delta' = \sup(|H|^2 + d(n)), \quad \text{where } d(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{S}^m, \\ 2(n+1)/n & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{R}P^m, \\ 2(n+2)/n & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{C}P^m, \\ 2(n+4)/n & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{Q}P^m. \end{cases}$$

Remarks 2.7. • As in [El Soufi et al. 2009, Remark 3.2], in some special geometrical situations, the constant $d(n)$ in the inequality of Theorem 2.6 can be replaced by a sharper one. For instance, when $\bar{M} = \mathbb{C}P^m$ and

- M is odd-dimensional, then $d(n)$ can be replaced by $d'(n) = (2/n)(n+2-1/n)$,
- $X(M)$ is totally real, then $d(n)$ can be replaced by $d'(n) = 2(n+1)/n$.
- When $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, and \bar{M} is a sphere, (2-12) generalizes to submanifolds inequality (1-5) established by Wang and Xia for spherical domains.
- As for Theorem 2.4, the result of Theorem 2.6 holds for a more general operator $\Delta^2 + q$, with the same modification (that is, $\bar{\lambda}_i^{-1/2}$ instead of $\lambda_i^{1/2}$).

3. Manifolds admitting spherical eigenmaps

In this section, as before, we let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and Ω be a bounded domain of M . A map $X : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ is called an eigenmap if its components X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are all eigenfunctions associated to the same eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian of (M, g) . This is equivalent to say that the map X is a harmonic map from (M, g) into \mathbb{S}^{m-1} with constant energy λ (that is, $\sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 = \lambda$). The most important examples of such manifolds M are the compact homogeneous

Riemannian manifolds. In fact, they admit eigenmaps for all the positive eigenvalues of their Laplacian; see [Li 1980].

Theorem 3.1. *Let λ be an eigenvalue of the Laplacian of (M, g) and suppose that (M, g) admits an eigenmap X associated to this eigenvalue λ . Let Ω be a bounded domain of M and consider the clamped plate problem (1-1) on Ω . For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have*

$$(3-1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i)(\lambda + 6\lambda_i^{1/2}) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} (\lambda + 4\lambda_i^{1/2}) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we apply Theorem 2.3 with $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = X_p$ and $T_p = [\Delta, X_p]$, $p = 1, \dots, m$, to obtain

$$(3-2) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right) \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \|[\Delta, X_p] u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

where $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a complete L^2 -orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Δ^2 associated to $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. As in (2-11), and using the equality

$$\sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 = \lambda,$$

we have

$$(3-3) \quad \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2 = 2\lambda.$$

We further have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=1}^m \|[\Delta, X_p] u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} ([\Delta, X_p] u_i)^2 \\ &= 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy–Schwarz and the equalities

$$\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad X_p = -\lambda X_p,$$

we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=1}^m \|[\Delta, X_p]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) u_i^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) \cdot \nabla u_i \\ & = 4\lambda \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) u_i + \lambda^2 \leq 4\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \lambda^2 \\ & = 4\lambda \lambda_i^{1/2} + \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we infer from (2-5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \\ & = \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) \cdot \nabla u_i^2 + 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) u_i \\ & \leq \lambda^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + 2\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \lambda^2 + 4\lambda \lambda_i^{1/2} + 2\lambda \lambda_i^{1/2} \\ & = \lambda^2 + 6\lambda \lambda_i^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating these two bounds, together with (3-3), in inequality (3-2) gives the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let (M, g) be a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold without boundary and let λ_1 be the first nonzero eigenvalue of its Laplacian. Then the inequality (3-1) of Theorem 3.1 holds with $\lambda = \lambda_1$.*

Remark 3.3. As before, one can get a similar result for the operator $\Delta^2 + q$.

4. Domains in hyperbolic space

We turn next to the case of a domain Ω of hyperbolic space. It is easy to establish a universal inequality for eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem (1-1) on Ω in the

vein of the preceding ones. Unfortunately, until now we have not succeeded in obtaining a simple generalization for the case of domains of hyperbolic submanifolds. In what follows, we take the half-space model for \mathbb{H}^n , that is,

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$$

with the standard metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2}.$$

In terms of the coordinates $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, the Laplacian of \mathbb{H}^n is given by

$$\Delta = x_n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + (2-n)x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$, the eigenvalues λ_i of the clamped problem (1-1) on the bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{H}^n must satisfy for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$,*

$$(4-1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) (6\lambda_i^{1/2} - (n-1)^2) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \right) (4\lambda_i^{1/2} - (n-1)^2) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Theorem 2.3 remains valid for $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = F = \ln x_n$ and $T_p = [\Delta, F]$, for all $p = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, denoting by u_i the eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i , we have

$$(4-2) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ \leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} \right) \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \right) \| [\Delta, F]u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

We start with the calculation of

$$\langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\Omega} ([\Delta, F](Fu_i) - F[\Delta, F]u_i)u_i \\ = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta(F^2u_i) - 2F\Delta(Fu_i) + F^2\Delta u_i)u_i.$$

Note that

$$(4-3) \quad \Delta F = 1 - n \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla F|^2 = 1.$$

Thus a direct calculation gives

$$(4-4) \quad \langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 u_i^2 = 2.$$

On the other hand, using again the identities of (4-3), we obtain

$$(4-5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[\Delta, F]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta F u_i + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta F)^2 u_i^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \Delta F u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i \\ &= (1-n)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 4(1-n) \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i = - \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i - \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \Delta F,$$

hence

$$(4-6) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Then we infer from (4-3), (4-5) and (4-6) that

$$(4-7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[\Delta, F]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq -(n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 \\ &= -(n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 = -(n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\ &\leq -(n-1)^2 + 4 \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= 4\lambda_i^{1/2} - (n-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(4-8) \quad \begin{aligned} [\Delta^2, F]u_i &= \Delta^2(Fu_i) - F\Delta^2 u_i = \Delta(\Delta F u_i + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i + F\Delta u_i) - F\Delta^2 u_i \\ &= 2(1-n)\Delta u_i + 2\Delta(\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} &= 2(1-n) \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta(\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2 \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i \\ &= 2(1-n) \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta(Fu_i) \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(Fu_i \nabla F) \Delta u_i \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta F u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 u_i \Delta u_i. \end{aligned}$$

We infer from (4-3) and (4-6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-9) \quad \langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} &\leq -(n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\
 &= -(n-1)^2 + 6 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\
 &\leq 6 \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} - (n-1)^2 \\
 &= 6\lambda_i^{1/2} - (n-1)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (4-2) along with (4-4), (4-7) and (4-9) gives the theorem. \square

Remarks 4.2. • It will be interesting to look for an extension of [Theorem 4.1](#) to domains of hyperbolic submanifolds.

• Our method works for any bounded domain Ω of a Riemannian manifold admitting a function such that $|\nabla h|$ is constant and $|\Delta h| \leq C$, where C is a constant.

• As before, we have the same statement as in [Theorem 4.1](#) for the operator $\Delta^2 + q$; it suffices to replace $\lambda_i^{1/2}$ by $\bar{\lambda}_i^{1/2}$.

References

- [Ashbaugh 1999] M. S. Ashbaugh, “[Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues](#)”, pp. 95–139 in *Spectral theory and geometry* (Edinburgh, 1998), edited by B. Davies and Y. Safarov, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **273**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999. [MR 2001a:35131](#) [Zbl 0937.35114](#)
- [Chen and Qian 1990] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian, “[Estimates for discrete spectrum of Laplacian operator with any order](#)”, *J. China Univ. Sci. Tech.* **20**:3 (1990), 259–266. [MR 92c:35087](#) [Zbl 0748.35022](#)
- [Chen and Qian 1993a] Z. Chen and C. Qian, “[Hile–Yeh estimates of eigenvalues of polyharmonic operators](#)”, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* **9**:4 (1993), 432–437. [MR 96m:35239](#) [Zbl 0812.35090](#)
- [Chen and Qian 1993b] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian, “[On the difference of consecutive eigenvalues of uniformly elliptic operators of higher orders](#)”, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **14**:4 (1993), 435–442. [MR 95a:35105](#) [Zbl 0782.35046](#)
- [Chen and Qian 1994] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian, “[On the upper bound of eigenvalues for elliptic equations with higher orders](#)”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **186**:3 (1994), 821–834. [MR 95f:35181](#) [Zbl 0814.35082](#)
- [Cheng and Yang 2006] Q.-M. Cheng and H. Yang, “[Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem](#)”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358**:6 (2006), 2625–2635. [MR 2006m:35263](#) [Zbl 1096.35095](#)
- [Cheng et al. 2009a] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka, “[Estimates for eigenvalues of the poly-Laplacian with any order in a unit sphere](#)”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **36**:4 (2009), 507–523. [MR 2010k:35332](#) [Zbl 1180.35389](#)
- [Cheng et al. 2009b] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka, “[Inequalities for eigenvalues of Laplacian with any order](#)”, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **11**:4 (2009), 639–655. [MR 2010j:35113](#) [Zbl 1181.35153](#)

- [Cheng et al. 2010] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka, “Estimates for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem on Riemannian manifolds”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62**:2 (2010), 673–686. [MR 2011e:58039](#) [Zbl 1191.35192](#)
- [El Soufi et al. 2009] A. El Soufi, E. M. Harrell, II, and S. Ilias, “Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger operators on submanifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361**:5 (2009), 2337–2350. [MR 2010e:58032](#) [Zbl 1162.58009](#)
- [Hile and Protter 1980] G. N. Hile and M. H. Protter, “Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29**:4 (1980), 523–538. [MR 82c:35052](#) [Zbl 0454.35064](#)
- [Hile and Yeh 1984] G. N. Hile and R. Z. Yeh, “Inequalities for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator”, *Pacific J. Math.* **112**:1 (1984), 115–133. [MR 85k:35170](#) [Zbl 0541.35059](#)
- [Hook 1990] S. M. Hook, “Domain-independent upper bounds for eigenvalues of elliptic operators”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **318**:2 (1990), 615–642. [MR 90h:35075](#) [Zbl 0727.35096](#)
- [Ilias and Makhoul 2010] S. Ilias and O. Makhoul, “Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of a power of the Laplace operator”, *Manuscripta Math.* **132**:1-2 (2010), 75–102. [MR 2609289](#) [Zbl 1189.35206](#)
- [Li 1980] P. Li, “Eigenvalue estimates on homogeneous manifolds”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55**:3 (1980), 347–363. [MR 81k:58067](#) [Zbl 0451.53036](#)
- [Payne et al. 1956] L. E. Payne, G. Pólya, and H. F. Weinberger, “On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues”, *J. Math. and Phys.* **35** (1956), 289–298. [MR 18,905c](#) [Zbl 0073.08203](#)
- [Wang and Xia 2007] Q. Wang and C. Xia, “Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on Riemannian manifolds”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **245**:1 (2007), 334–352. [MR 2008e:58033](#) [Zbl 1113.58013](#)

Received April 28, 2010.

SAÏD ILIAS

UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS DE TOURS

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UMR CNRS 6083

PARC DE GRANDMONT

37200 TOURS

FRANCE

ilias@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

OLA MAKHOUL

UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS DE TOURS

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UMR CNRS 6083

PARC DE GRANDMONT

37200 TOURS

FRANCE

ola.makhoul@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Mathew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 251 No. 2 June 2011

Two Kazdan–Warner-type identities for the renormalized volume coefficients and the Gauss–Bonnet curvatures of a Riemannian metric	257
BIN GUO, ZHENG-CHAO HAN and HAIZHONG LI	
Gonality of a general ACM curve in \mathbb{P}^3	269
ROBIN HARTSHORNE and ENRICO SCHLESINGER	
Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on submanifolds	315
SAÏD ILIAS and OLA MAKHOUL	
Multigraded Fujita approximation	331
SHIN-YAO JOW	
Some Dirichlet problems arising from conformal geometry	337
QI-RUI LI and WEIMIN SHENG	
Polycyclic quasiconformal mapping class subgroups	361
KATSUHIKO MATSUZAKI	
On zero-divisor graphs of Boolean rings	375
ALI MOHAMMADIAN	
Rational certificates of positivity on compact semialgebraic sets	385
VICTORIA POWERS	
Quiver grassmannians, quiver varieties and the preprojective algebra	393
ALISTAIR SAVAGE and PETER TINGLEY	
Nonautonomous second order Hamiltonian systems	431
MARTIN SCHECHTER	
Generic fundamental polygons for Fuchsian groups	453
AKIRA USHIJIMA	
Stability of the Kähler–Ricci flow in the space of Kähler metrics	469
KAI ZHENG	
The second variation of the Ricci expander entropy	499
MENG ZHU	