

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**HIGHEST-WEIGHT VECTORS FOR THE ADJOINT ACTION
OF GL_n ON POLYNOMIALS**

RUDOLF TANGE

HIGHEST-WEIGHT VECTORS FOR THE ADJOINT ACTION OF GL_n ON POLYNOMIALS

RUDOLF TANGE

Let $G = GL_n$ be the general linear group over an algebraically closed field k , and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ be its Lie algebra. Let U be the subgroup of G that consists of the upper unitriangular matrices. Let $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ be the algebra of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{g} , and let $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ be the algebra of invariants under the conjugation action of G . For certain special weights, we give explicit bases for the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U$ of highest-weight vectors of weight λ . For five of these special weights, we show that this basis is algebraically independent over $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ and generates the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -algebra $\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} k[\mathfrak{g}]_{r\lambda}^U$. Finally, we formulate the question whether in characteristic zero, $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module generators of $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U$ can be obtained by applying one explicit highest-weight vector of weight λ in the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ to varying tuples of fundamental invariants.

Introduction

Let GL_n be the general linear group over an algebraically closed field k , and let \mathfrak{gl}_n be its Lie algebra. We are interested in explicit formulas for highest-weight vectors in the ring $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]$ of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{gl}_n under the conjugation action. It is natural to take into account the fact that the highest-weight vectors of a given weight form a module over the invariant algebra $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{GL_n}$. A crude method would be to map the highest-weight vectors in the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ (see, for example, [Benkart et al. 1994]) into the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, which is GL_n -equivariantly isomorphic to $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]$. Mostly one will be projecting to zero. For example, in [Premet and Tange 2005, Section 5, Corollary 2], it was shown that the lowest degree in $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]$ where the irreducible of highest weight $n\varpi_1$ occurs is $n(n-1)/2$. But the lowest degree in $T(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ where this irreducible occurs is $n-1$. Our method involves differentiation of the fundamental invariants and applies to any relevant weight, although we can only prove that it provides a $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{GL_n}$ -module basis for a special family of weights.

This research was funded by a research grant from The Leverhulme Trust.

MSC2010: primary 13A50; secondary 16W22, 20G05.

Keywords: highest-weight vectors, semi-invariants, adjoint action.

Kostant [1963] showed that, for any reductive group G over \mathbb{C} , the coordinate rings of the fibres of the adjoint quotient are all isomorphic as G -modules to the space H of harmonic functions, and determined the multiplicities of the irreducibles in H . Hesselink [1980] obtained a completely general formula for the graded character of H (or the coordinate ring of the nilpotent cone). For more results on multiplicities in the tensor, symmetric and exterior algebra of the Lie algebra we refer the reader to [Hanlon 1985; Stembridge 1987; Gupta 1987; Brylinski 1989; Reeder 1997; Bazlov 2001] and the references in there.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 1, we introduce some basic notation and recall some results from the literature. Section 2 contains the main results: Theorem 1 gives explicit $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ -module bases for the space of highest-weight vectors for a family of $2(n-1)-1$ weights, and Theorem 2 extends this to all the multiples of 5 of these weights. Theorems 1 and 2 generalise the results in [Premet and Tange 2005, Section 5] for the weight $n\varpi_1$. See also [Dixmier 1976, lemme 3.4] for the case of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n . In Section 3, we briefly consider the example GL_3 . Here one can actually determine $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ -module bases for the space of highest-weight vectors for all relevant weights; that is, one can completely determine the algebra $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{U_n}$, where U_n consists of the upper unitriangular matrices. In Section 4, we formulate the question whether in characteristic zero, $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ -module generators of $k[\mathfrak{gl}_n]_\lambda^U$ can be obtained by applying one explicit highest-weight vector of weight λ in the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ to varying tuples of fundamental invariants.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper k is an algebraically closed field and $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, $n \geq 2$, is the general linear group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices. Its natural module is $V = k^n$ and its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n \cong V \otimes V^*$. The standard basis elements of V are denoted by e_1, \dots, e_n and the dual basis elements are denoted by e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* . We identify $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ with $\mathrm{End}(V)$, the endomorphisms of the vector space V . We denote by E_{ij} the matrix which is 1 on position (i, j) and 0 elsewhere. Under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong V \otimes V^*$, E_{ij} corresponds to $e_i \otimes e_j^*$. The elements of the dual basis of E_{ij} are denoted by ξ_{ij} . So the algebra $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{g} is a polynomial algebra in the ξ_{ij} . The group G acts on \mathfrak{g} via the adjoint action (conjugation) and therefore also on $k[\mathfrak{g}]$. For any group H and any kH -module W we denote the space of H -fixed vectors in W by W^H .

The Borel subgroup of G which consists of the invertible upper triangular matrices is denoted by B and its unipotent radical, which consists of the upper unitriangular matrices, by U . We denote by T the maximal torus of G which consist of the invertible diagonal matrices. The character group of T is denoted by X and its

standard basis elements are denoted by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$. Recall that the positive roots relative to B are the roots $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ for $i < j$, and that $\lambda \in X$ is dominant if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Furthermore, $\lambda \in X$ occurs in the root lattice if and only if its coordinate sum is 0. The all-zero and the all-one vector in X are denoted by $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$ respectively. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ the i -th fundamental weight $\varpi_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ is defined by

$$\varpi_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_j - \frac{i}{n} \underline{1} = \frac{1}{n} \left((n - i) \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_j - i \sum_{j=i+1}^n \varepsilon_j \right).$$

The \mathbb{Z} -span of the fundamental weights contains the root lattice. For $\lambda \in X$ and W a T -module the weight space W_λ is defined by

$$W_\lambda = \{x \in W \mid t \cdot x = \lambda(t)x \text{ for all } t \in T\}.$$

We denote the irreducible $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module of highest weight λ by $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$. The Weyl group of G relative to T is the symmetric group Sym_n which permutes the coordinates. We denote the longest Weyl group element by w_0 . We have $w_0(\varepsilon_i) = \varepsilon_{n-i+1}$, put differently, $w_0(\lambda)$ is the reversed tuple of λ .

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define $s_i \in k[\mathfrak{g}]$ by

$$s_i(x) = \text{tr} \wedge^i(x),$$

where $\wedge^i(x)$ denotes the i -th exterior power of x . Then the s_i are up to sign the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial. Note that $s_1 = \text{tr}$ and $s_n = \det$. Furthermore, the s_i are algebraically independent generators of $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$. See, e.g., [Jantzen 2004, Section 7].

The reader who only wants to understand the precise statements of the main results can now continue to Section 2, read definitions (1) and (2) and then Theorems 1 and 2.

We now state some auxiliary results that will be needed for the proofs of the main results. The result below was mentioned to me by S. Donkin.

Lemma 1. $\dim k[\mathfrak{g}]^U = \dim B = n(n + 1)/2.$

Proof. For $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ put

$$\Delta_m = \det((\xi_{ij})_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}).$$

Then $\Delta_m \in k[\mathfrak{g}]^U$ for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k[\mathfrak{g}][\Delta_1^{-1}, \dots, \Delta_n^{-1}] = k[Bw_0B]$. It follows that

$$k[\mathfrak{g}]^U[\Delta_1^{-1}, \dots, \Delta_n^{-1}] = k[Bw_0B]^U$$

and $\dim k[\mathfrak{g}]^U = \dim k[Bw_0B]^U$. Now $k[Bw_0B]^U \cong k[B]$ via the isomorphism that sends $f \in k[B]$ to the function $uw_0b \mapsto f(bu)$. \square

We recall the graded Nakayama lemma. For its proof we refer to [Passman 1991, Chapter 13], Lemma 4, Exercise 3, Lemma 3.

Lemma 2 [Passman 1991, Chapter 13]. *Let $S = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i$ be a positively graded ring with S^0 a field, let M be a graded S -module and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of homogeneous elements of M . Put $S^+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} S^i$.*

- (i) *If the images of the x_i in M/S^+M span the vector space M/S^+M over S^0 , then the x_i generate M .*
- (ii) *If M is projective and the images of the x_i in M/S^+M form an S^0 -basis of M/S^+M , then $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is an S -basis of M .*

The closed subvariety of \mathfrak{g} which consists of the nilpotent matrices is denoted by \mathcal{N} . Since \mathcal{N} is G -stable, G acts on the algebra $k[\mathcal{N}]$ of regular functions on \mathcal{N} . The two results below are actually valid, under some mild assumptions, for arbitrary reductive groups, but we will not need this generality.

Proposition 1 [Kostant 1963, Theorem 11; Jantzen 2004, Section 7; Donkin 1988, Theorem 2.2; Donkin 1990, Proposition 1.3b(i)].

- (i) *The vanishing ideal of \mathcal{N} in $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_n and for each λ the restriction $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U \rightarrow k[\mathcal{N}]_\lambda^U$ is surjective and has kernel $(k[\mathfrak{g}]^G)^+ k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U$.*
- (ii) *We have $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U \neq 0$ if and only if λ is dominant and lies in the root lattice.*
- (iii) *If λ is dominant and lies in the root lattice, then $\dim k[\mathcal{N}]_\lambda^U = \dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)_{\underline{0}}$ and $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U$ is a free $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module of rank $\dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)_{\underline{0}}$.*

Note that $\dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)_{\underline{0}} = \dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(-w_0(\lambda))_{\underline{0}}$, since the nondegenerate pairing between $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$ and $L_{\mathbb{C}}(-w_0(\lambda)) = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)^*$ restricts to one between $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)_{\underline{0}}$ and $L_{\mathbb{C}}(-w_0(\lambda))_{\underline{0}}$.

We will call a weight $\lambda \in X$ *primitive* if it is nonzero, dominant, occurs in the root lattice and cannot be written as the sum of two such weights. Note that $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ is a unique factorisation domain, since it is isomorphic to a polynomial ring.

Lemma 3. *Let $u \in k[\mathfrak{g}]$ be nonzero. Assume that its top degree term does not vanish on \mathcal{N} and is a B -semi-invariant of primitive weight λ . Then u is irreducible.*

Proof. If the top degree term of u is irreducible, then so is u . So we may assume that u is homogeneous. We now finish with the arguments from part 3 of the proof of [Premet and Tange 2005, Proposition 3]. Let $u = u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_r^{m_r}$ be the factorisation of u into irreducibles. Then the u_i are homogeneous. By a standard argument using the uniqueness of the prime factorisation and the connectedness of B , we get that the u_i are B -semi-invariants. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be their weights. Then these are dominant by [Jantzen 2003, Proposition II.2.6] and we have $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i$. So, by the primitivity of λ , we get that for precisely one i , $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and for this i we have $m_i = 1$. We may assume $i = 1$. Then $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_r = 0$. So

u_2, \dots, u_r are B -invariants and therefore G -invariants. Since u is nonzero on \mathcal{N} , we have by Proposition 1(i) that $r = 1$. □

2. The basic semi-invariants

For $t \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we define the weights

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^t &= \sum_{i=n-t+1}^n (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i) = (t, 0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1), \\ \mu^t &= \sum_{i=1}^t (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_n) = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -t). \end{aligned}$$


Note that λ^t and μ^t are dominant and in the root lattice. We have $\lambda^1 = \mu^1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_n$ and $\mu^t = -w_0(\lambda^t)$. Furthermore, we have $\lambda^t = t\varpi_1 + \varpi_{n-t}$ and $\mu^t = \varpi_t + t\varpi_{n-1}$. A weight $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \varpi_i$ occurs in the root lattice if and only if $n \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i m_i$. From this we easily deduce that λ^t and μ^t are primitive.

All (Young) tableaux that we consider will have entries in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Recall that a tableaux is called *standard* if the entries in the rows are increasing (i.e., non-decreasing) from left to right and if the entries in the columns are strictly increasing from top to bottom.

Lemma 4. *Let $t \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$.*


- (i) *We have $\dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{\lambda^t}^U = \dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{\mu^t}^U = \binom{n-1}{t}$.*
- (ii) *Assume $t = 1$ or $n \geq 3$ and $t \in \{1, n - 2, n - 1\}$, let $r \geq 0$ be an integer and put $s = \binom{n-1}{t}$. Then $\dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{r\lambda^t}^U = \dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{r\mu^t}^U = \binom{r+s-1}{r}$.*

Proof. (i) We only have to consider the case of λ^t . The given dimension is by Proposition 1 equal to $\dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda^t)_0$. Put $\nu := \lambda^t + \underline{1} = (t + 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, where the number of zeros is t . Then $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\nu) = \det \otimes L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda^t)$. So it suffices to show that $\dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\nu)_{\underline{1}} = \binom{n-1}{t}$. This dimension is well-known to be equal to the number of standard tableaux of shape ν and weight $\underline{1}$, that is, each integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ must occur precisely once. The shape ν is a hook diagram as shown:




Clearly the box in the top left corner must contain 1 and the tableaux is completely determined by the choices for the other boxes in the first column. So our standard tableaux are in one-one correspondence with the $n - t - 1$ -subsets of $\{2, \dots, n\}$.

(ii) We only have to consider the case of λ^t . By the same arguments as in (i), it suffices to show that the number of standard tableaux of shape ν and weight $r\underline{1}$ is $\binom{r+s-1}{r}$, where $\nu := r\lambda^t + r\underline{1}$. So each integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ must occur precisely r times. First assume $t = 1$. Then $s = n - 1$ and the shape ν is a diagram as shown:



Clearly the first r boxes in the top row must contain 1. If we ignore the first row, then each column is a strictly increasing subsequence of $\{2, \dots, n\}$ of length $n - 2$. So it is determined by an integer from $\{2, \dots, n\}$ (the one that does not occur). If we write these in the order of the columns, then the standardness implies that we get an increasing sequence. This sequence is what goes in the final r boxes in the first row and it determines the tableaux completely. The number of such sequences is the same as the number of monomials of degree r in $n - 1$ variables, so it equals $\binom{n+r-2}{r}$.

Now assume that $t = n - 2$. Then $s = n - 1$ and the shape ν is a diagram as shown below.



Again the first r boxes in the top row must contain 1. Now the diagram is completely determined by the second row, which is an increasing subsequence of $\{2, \dots, n\}$. So again we get $\binom{n+r-2}{r}$ standard tableaux. The case $t = n - 1$ is trivial, since the shape ν is then a single row of length nr . □

We now define some basic B -semi-invariants in $k[g]$. For $t \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $I \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = t$ we define

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{t,I} &:= \det((\partial_{1i} s_j)_{n-t+1 \leq i \leq n, j \in I}), \\ v_{t,I} &:= \det((\partial_{in} s_j)_{1 \leq i \leq t, j \in I}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the indices from I are taken in their natural order and ∂_{ij} is the partial derivative $\partial/\partial\xi_{ij}$. Note that $u_{t,I}$ and $v_{t,I}$ are homogeneous of degree $(\sum_{j \in I} j) - t$.

Define the involution φ of the vector space \mathfrak{g} by $\varphi(A) = PA^T P$, where P is the permutation matrix corresponding to w_0 and A^T denotes the transpose of A . Then $\varphi(g \cdot A) = P(g^{-1})^T P \cdot \varphi(A)$, where the dot denotes conjugation action. If we denote the corresponding automorphism of $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ also by φ , then this formula also holds with A replaced by $f \in k[\mathfrak{g}]$. So $\varphi(k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U) = k[\mathfrak{g}]_{-w_0(\lambda)}^U$. In accordance with this we have $\varphi(u_{t,I}) = \pm v_{t,I}$.

We set up some notation which will give another, more general, way to construct the elements $u_{t,I}$ and $v_{t,I}$. This will make clear why they are B -semi-invariants (see the proof of [Theorem 1\(ii\)](#) below). If λ is a partition, then we denote its length by $l(\lambda)$. For $\lambda^+, \lambda^- \in X$ we put $[\lambda^+, \lambda^-] := \lambda^+ - w_0(\lambda^-)$. It is easy to see that for any $\lambda \in X$ dominant there exist unique partitions λ^+ and λ^- with $l(\lambda^+) + l(\lambda^-) \leq n$ and $\lambda = [\lambda^+, \lambda^-]$. In the sequel, when λ^+ and λ^- are introduced after λ , they are supposed to have these properties. Let λ be a partition of t . We define the tableau T_λ of shape λ by $T_\lambda(i, j) = (\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \lambda_l) + j$. Furthermore we define the subgroup C_λ of the symmetric group Sym_t as the column stabiliser of T_λ . Define the element A_λ in the group algebra $k\langle \text{Sym}_t \rangle$ by $A_\lambda = \sum_{\pi \in C_\lambda} \text{sgn}(\pi)\pi$. Finally, define $e_\lambda \in V^{\otimes t}$ and $e_\lambda^* \in V^{*\otimes t}$ by

$$e_\lambda = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} e_i^{\otimes \lambda_i} \quad \text{and} \quad e_\lambda^* = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} e_{n-i+1}^* \otimes^{\lambda_i}.$$

Then, as is well-known (see, e.g., [\[Benkart et al. 1994\]](#)), $A_\lambda \cdot e_\lambda$ and $A_\lambda \cdot e_\lambda^*$ are highest-weight vectors of weight λ and $-w_0(\lambda)$ respectively.

Now let $\lambda = [\lambda^+, \lambda^-]$ be dominant and in the root lattice. Then λ^+ and λ^- are partitions of the same number, t say and we define $E_\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t}$ as the element corresponding to $A_{\lambda^+} \cdot e_{\lambda^+} \otimes A_{\lambda^-} \cdot e_{\lambda^-}^* \in V^{\otimes t} \otimes V^{*\otimes t}$ under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t} \cong V^{\otimes t} \otimes V^{*\otimes t}$. By the above, E_λ is a highest-weight vector of weight λ .

For each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we can extend the evaluation at x , considered as a linear map $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow k \subseteq k[\mathfrak{g}]$, to a derivation of degree -1 of the algebra $k[\mathfrak{g}]$. Then the evaluation at E_{ij} extends to the derivation ∂_{ij} . So we obtain a G -equivariant linear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(k[\mathfrak{g}])$ and therefore also a G -equivariant linear map

$$\psi_t : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t} \rightarrow \text{End}(k[\mathfrak{g}]^{\otimes t}).$$

We denote the G -equivariant multiplication map $k[\mathfrak{g}]^{\otimes t} \rightarrow k[\mathfrak{g}]$ by ϑ .

Theorem 1. *Let $t \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and let $\lambda^t, \mu^t, u_{t,I}, v_{t,I}$ be given by [\(1\)](#) and [\(2\)](#).*

- (i) *The $u_{t,I}, I \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = t$, form a basis of the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module $k[\mathfrak{g}]_{\lambda^t}^U$. The same holds for the $v_{t,I}$ and μ^t .*

- (ii) Any nontrivial k -linear combination of the $u_{t,I}$, $I \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = t$, is an irreducible B -semi-invariant of weight λ^t . The same holds for the $v_{t,I}$ and μ^t .

Proof. (i) Using the involution φ we see that we only have to prove the assertion for μ^t and the $v_{t,I}$. By [Proposition 1](#) and [Lemmas 2](#) and [4\(i\)](#) it suffices to show that the restrictions of the $v_{t,I}$ to \mathcal{N} are linearly independent. For $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathfrak{g}$ set $A_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} = (a_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}$, where the indices are taken in their natural order. Furthermore, put $\mathcal{X} = (\xi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. If $|\Lambda_1| = |\Lambda_2|$, then we have, as in [\[Premet and Tange 2005\]](#), the following basic fact which follows from the Laplace expansion formulae for the determinant:

$$(3) \quad \partial_{ij}(\det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2})) = \begin{cases} \pm \det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{i\}, \Lambda_2 \setminus \{j\}}) & \text{when } (i, j) \in \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{when } (i, j) \notin \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2. \end{cases}$$

For $l \leq n$ we have $s_l = \sum_{\Lambda} \det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda, \Lambda})$ where the sum ranges over all l -subsets Λ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

For a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s)$ of distinct integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ we define $A_\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ by $A_\sigma(e_{\sigma_i}) = e_{\sigma_{i-1}}$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, s\}$ and $A_\sigma(e_i) = 0$ for $i \notin \{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_s\}$. Then A_σ is nilpotent and its restriction to the span of the e_{σ_i} , $1 \leq i \leq s$, is regular.

If $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Lambda_1| = |\Lambda_2| > 0$ and $\det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2})(A_\sigma) \neq 0$, then

- $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{s-1}\}$ and $\Lambda_2 \subseteq \{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_s\}$,
- (4) • $\sigma_j \in \Lambda_1 \Rightarrow \sigma_{j+1} \in \Lambda_2$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$,
- $\sigma_j \in \Lambda_2 \Rightarrow \sigma_{j-1} \in \Lambda_1$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, s\}$.

Let σ be as above with $\sigma_1 = n$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\Lambda \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Lambda| = l$ and assume that $(\partial_{in} \det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda, \Lambda}))(A_\sigma) \neq 0$. Then it follows from [\(3\)](#) and [\(4\)](#) that $i = \sigma_l$, that $\Lambda = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\}$ and that $(\partial_{in} \det(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda, \Lambda}))(A_\sigma) = \pm 1$. So for such a σ we have $(\partial_{in s_l})(A_\sigma) \neq 0 \Rightarrow l \leq s$, $i = \sigma_l$ and $(\partial_{in s_l})(A_\sigma) = \pm 1$.

So for $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s)$ and $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t)$ sequences of distinct integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\pi \in \text{Sym}_t$ with $\sigma_1 = n$ and $(\partial_{\pi_1 n s_{\tau_1}}) \cdots (\partial_{\pi_t n s_{\tau_t}})(A_\sigma) \neq 0$ we have

- (a) $\tau_i \leq s$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$,
- (b) $\sigma \circ \tau = \pi$,
- (c) $(\partial_{\pi_1 n s_{\tau_1}}) \cdots (\partial_{\pi_t n s_{\tau_t}})(A_\sigma) = \pm 1$.

Note that (a) implies that $\sigma(\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t\}) = \{1, \dots, t\}$, so the set $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t\}$ is determined by σ .

Now we choose for each subset $I = \{i_1 > \dots > i_t\}$ of $\{2, \dots, n\}$ a sequence $\sigma(I)$ of $i_1 \geq t+1$ distinct integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sigma(I)_1 = n$ and $\sigma(I)_{i_j} = j$ for

all $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then we get for $I, J \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = |J| = t$ that

$$v_{t,I}(A_{\sigma(J)}) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } I = J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So the linear map $f \mapsto f(A_{\sigma(J)})_J : k[\mathcal{N}] \rightarrow k^{\binom{n-1}{t}}$ sends the family $(v_{t,I}|_{\mathcal{N}})_I$ to a basis and therefore the restrictions of the $v_{t,I}$ to \mathcal{N} are linearly independent.

(ii) Let $I \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = t$ and write $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_t\}$. Then it follows immediately from the definitions that $u_{t,I} = \vartheta(\psi_t(F) \cdot s_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes s_{i_t})$, where

$$F = \sum_{\pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) E_{1,\pi_{n-t+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{1,\pi_n},$$

the sum over all permutations $\pi \in \text{Sym}(\{n-t+1, \dots, n\})$. Now $\lambda_t^+ = t\varepsilon_1$ and $\lambda_t^- = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_t$. So $A_{\lambda_t^+} = \text{id}$ and $A_{\lambda_t^-} = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}_t} \text{sgn}(\pi)\pi$ for $e_{\lambda_t^+} = e_1^{\otimes t}$ and $e_{\lambda_t^-} = e_{n-t+1}^* \otimes \dots \otimes e_n^*$. It follows that, under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t} \cong V^{\otimes t} \otimes V^{*\otimes t}$, F corresponds to $A_{\lambda_t^+} \cdot e_{\lambda_t^+} \otimes A_{\lambda_t^-} \cdot e_{\lambda_t^-}$. So $F = E_{\lambda_t}$. Similarly, we get $v_{t,I} = \vartheta(\psi_t(E_{\mu^t}) \cdot s_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes s_{i_t})$. Since the s_i are invariants, this shows that $u_{t,I}$ and $v_{t,I}$ are B -semi-invariants of the given weights. Since λ_t and μ_t are primitive, the assertion follows from Lemma 3 and the linear independence proved in (i). \square

Remarks 1. 1. Kostant [1963, Remark 26] gave an explicit basis for the isotypic component of the space of harmonics H corresponding to the highest root. So the statement of Theorem 1 in the case of λ^1 extends to all complex reductive groups.

2. Assume $k = \mathbb{C}$, let $t \leq s$ and let $\lambda = [\lambda^+, \lambda^-]$ be dominant and in the root lattice with λ^+ and λ^- partitions of t . Then

$$(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes s})_{\lambda}^U \cong (V^{\otimes s} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})_{\lambda}^U$$

is a simple module for the walled Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{s,s}(n)$, see [Benkart et al. 1994]. Note that in the definition of the vectors $t_{\tau,m,n}$ in Definition 2.4 of that reference, the symmetrisation can be omitted. Above we only considered the case $s = t$, the lowest tensor power of \mathfrak{g} which contains $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes s})_{\lambda}^U$ is an irreducible $\text{Sym}_t \times \text{Sym}_t$ -module and the ideal of $\mathfrak{B}_{t,t}(n)$ spanned by the diagrams with at least one horizontal edge acts as 0.

3. Another natural definition of e_{λ} and e_{λ}^* is

$$e_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l(\lambda')} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\lambda'_i} e_j \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\lambda}^* = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l(\lambda')} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\lambda'_i} e_{n-j+1}^*,$$

where λ' denotes the partition of t whose shape is the transpose of that of λ . In the definition of A_{λ} one then has to replace T_{λ} by its transpose (or C_{λ} by the row stabiliser R_{λ}). Then $A_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}$ and $A_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}^*$ are again highest-weight vectors of weight

λ and $-w_0(\lambda)$ and one can define E_λ as before. Note that this E_λ is $\text{Sym}_t \times \text{Sym}_t$ -conjugate to the original one.

4. Assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. **Theorem 1** answers the so-called *first occurrence* question for $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ and the weights λ^t and μ^t : The lowest degree where $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda^t)$ (or $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu^t)$) occurs in $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ is $(\sum_{i=2}^{t+1} i) - t = t(t+1)/2$.

Theorem 2. *Assume $t = 1$ or $n \geq 3$ and $t \in \{1, n-2, n-1\}$. Then the $u_{t,I}$, $I \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = t$, are algebraically independent over $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ and generate the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -algebra $\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} k[\mathfrak{g}]_{r\lambda^t}^U$. Furthermore, the same holds for the $v_{t,I}$ and μ^t .*

Proof. Using the involution φ we see that we only have to prove the assertion for μ^t and the $v_{t,I}$. By **Proposition 1** and **Lemmas 2** and **4(ii)** it suffices to show that the restrictions of the $v_{t,I}$ to \mathcal{N} are algebraically independent. If $t = n-1$, then this follows from the fact that $v_{n-1, \{2, \dots, n\}}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is nonzero by **Theorem 1(i)** and of degree > 0 . Now we observe the following. If $f_1, \dots, f_l \in k[\mathcal{N}]$, then the morphism $(f_1, \dots, f_l) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow k^l$ is dominant if and only if the f_i are algebraically independent and its differential at a point $x \in \mathcal{N}$ is surjective if and only if the differentials at x of the f_i are linearly independent. So, by [**Borel 1991**, AG 17.3], it suffices to show that the differentials of the $v_{t,I}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ are linearly independent at some smooth point $x \in \mathcal{N}$. For $x \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that $T_x(\mathcal{N})$ is the intersection of the kernels of the differentials $d_x s_i$ and x is a smooth point of \mathcal{N} if and only if the $d_x s_i$ are linearly independent. So it suffices to show that the differentials of the s_i and the $v_{t,I}$ at some nilpotent element x are together linearly independent. We will take $x = A = A_\sigma$, where $\sigma = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$ and the notation is as in the proof of **Theorem 1(i)**. Put

$$\alpha = ((1, 1), \dots, (1, n), (n, 1), \dots, (n, n-2), (2, 1)).$$

Let M be the Jacobian matrix of s_1, \dots, s_n and the $v_{t,I}$ and let M_α be the $(2n-1)$ -square submatrix of M consisting of the columns with indices from α . We will show that $\det(M_\alpha)(A) = \pm 1$. This will prove the required linear independence.

From **(3)** and **(4)** we deduce easily that $(\partial_{n_i} s_j)(A) = 0$ and $(\partial_{2_1} s_j)(A) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and that $(\partial_{1_i} s_j)(A) = \pm \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. So it suffices to show that the matrix $(\partial_{\alpha_i} v_{t,J})(A)_{n+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1, J}$ is diagonal with the diagonal entries equal to ± 1 , when the subsets J are suitably ordered.

Assume $t = n-2$. For $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ put $w_j = v_{t, \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}}$. Put

$$\tau(j) = (2, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n).$$

Then we have

$$(5) \quad \partial_{\alpha_m} w_j = \partial_{\alpha_m} \sum \pm (\partial_{\pi_1, n} s_{\tau(j)_1}) \cdots (\partial_{\pi_{n-2}, n} s_{\tau(j)_{n-2}}),$$

where the sum is over all $\pi \in \text{Sym}(\{1, \dots, n-2\})$. We can expand this further by applying the product rule for differentiation. Then each term in (5) produces $n-2$ terms, the differentiation ∂_{α_m} being applied to each factor in turn. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we have

$$(6) \quad (\partial_{i_n} s_l)(A) \neq 0 \implies (\partial_{i_n} s_l)(A) = \pm 1 \text{ and } i = \sigma_l = n-l+1.$$

Now assume $j \geq 3$, i.e., $\sigma_j \leq n-2$. Then $\sigma_{\tau(j)_1} = \sigma_2 = n-1$. Since π never takes the value $n-1$, the only term in the expanded form of

$$(7) \quad \partial_{\alpha_m} \left((\partial_{\pi_1, n} s_{\tau(j)_1}) \cdots (\partial_{\pi_{n-2}, n} s_{\tau(j)_{n-2}}) \right)$$

that can be nonzero at A is $(\partial_{\alpha_m} (\partial_{\pi_1, n} s_2)) (\partial_{\pi_2, n} s_{\tau(j)_2}) \cdots (\partial_{\pi_{n-2}, n} s_{\tau(j)_{n-2}})$. By (6) we must then have $\pi_i = \sigma_{\tau(j)_i}$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ and $\pi_1 = \sigma_j$. Finally (3) and (4) give us then that $\alpha_m = (n, \sigma_j)$ and that the value of the term is ± 1 .

Now assume that $j = 2$. Then $\tau(2) = (3, \dots, n)$. So for a term in the expanded form of (7) to be nonzero at A we must, by (6), have $\pi_i = \sigma_{\tau(2)_i}$ for all but one and therefore for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. So $\pi = (n-2, \dots, 1)$. Now we check that $(\partial_{n_l} (\partial_{\pi_i, n} s_{\tau(2)_i})) (A) = 0$ for all $l, i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$ by considering a term $\det(A_{\Lambda \setminus \{\pi_i, n\}, \Lambda \setminus \{l, n\}})$ for $\Lambda \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Lambda| = \tau(2)_i = i+2$. Assume first $1 \in \Lambda$. Then $\pi_i = 1$, since otherwise the first row of $A_{\Lambda \setminus \{\pi_i, n\}, \Lambda \setminus \{l, n\}}$ would be zero. So $i = n-2$ and $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, n\}$. But then the column of index $n-1$ in $A_{\Lambda \setminus \{\pi_i, n\}, \Lambda \setminus \{l, n\}}$ is zero. So $1 \notin \Lambda$. The cases $l < \pi_i$ and $l = \pi_i$ are now easily dealt with using (3) and (4). So assume $\pi_i < l$. Then we get, using (3) and (4), $\Lambda = \{\pi_i, \dots, l, n\}$. Then $i+2 = |\Lambda| = l-n+i+3$, so $l = n-1$, which is impossible. Finally we check that $(\partial_{2,1} (\partial_{\pi_i, n} s_{i+2})) (A) = \pm \delta_{i, n-2}$, by considering a term $\det(A_{\Lambda \setminus \{2, \pi_i\}, \Lambda \setminus \{1, n\}})$ for $\Lambda \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Lambda| = i+2$. Since $1 \in \Lambda$ we must have $\pi_i = 1$, so $i = n-2$ and $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, n\}$. The value of this term is then ± 1 .

In conclusion we have shown that, for $m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $(\partial_{\alpha_m} w_j)(A) = \pm \delta_{m-n, w_0(j)}$.

Now assume $t = 1$. Then we put $w_j = v_{i, \{j\}} = \partial_{1, n} s_j$ and we show that, for $m \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $(\partial_{\alpha_m} w_j)(A) = \pm \delta_{m-n, j-1}$. Since this case is much easier we leave it to the reader. \square

Remarks 2. 1. Assume $k = \mathbb{C}$, let $t \in \{1, n-2, n-1\}$ and let $r \geq 0$. Then, by Theorem 2, the lowest degree where $L_{\mathbb{C}}(r\lambda^t)$ (or $L_{\mathbb{C}}(r\mu^t)$) occurs in $k[\mathfrak{g}]$ is $r(\sum_{i=2}^{t+1} i) - t = rt(t+1)/2$.

2. Computer calculations suggest that, for $t \notin \{1, n-2, n-1\}$ and $r \geq 2$, $\dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{r\lambda^t}^U < \binom{r+s-1}{r}$, where $s = \dim k[\mathcal{N}]_{\lambda^t}^U$. So for such t one cannot expect the $u_{i,l}$ to be algebraically independent over $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$, but one could still conjecture

that they generate the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -algebra $\bigoplus_{r \geq 0} k[\mathfrak{g}]_{r\lambda^t}^U$. Similar remarks apply to μ^t and the $v_{t,I}$.

3. With a bit more effort one can show that the matrix $M_\alpha(A)$ from the proof of [Theorem 2](#) is diagonal with the diagonal entries equal to ± 1 .

3. The case of GL_3

In this section we describe the algebra $k[\mathfrak{g}]^U$ in the case of GL_3 . So throughout this section $n = 3$, $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_3$. We have $\lambda^1 = \mu^1 = \varpi_1 + \varpi_2$, $\lambda^2 = 3\varpi_1 = (2, -1, -1)$ and $\mu^2 = 3\varpi_2 = (1, 1, -2)$. Note that a weight $l_1\varpi_1 + l_2\varpi_2$ is in the root lattice if and only if $3 \mid (l_1 - l_2)$. Put $\mathcal{X} = (\xi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we denote by $\mathcal{X}^{(i,j)}$ the matrix \mathcal{X} with the i -th row and j -th column omitted and we denote its determinant by $|\mathcal{X}^{(i,j)}|$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \xi_{21}|\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}| + \xi_{31}|\mathcal{X}^{(1,2)}| = -u_{2,\{2,3\}}, \\ d_2 &= \xi_{31}|\mathcal{X}^{(2,3)}| + \xi_{32}|\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}| = v_{2,\{2,3\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\lambda = l_1\varpi_1 + l_2\varpi_2$ be dominant and in the root lattice. Put $a = \min(l_1, l_2)$. Then $\dim L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)_0 = a + 1$.*

Proof. Put $b = (l_1 + 2l_2)/3$ and $\nu = \lambda + b\underline{1} = (l_1 + l_2, l_2, 0)$. Then $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\nu) = \det^b \otimes L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$. So it suffices to show that there are $a + 1$ standard tableaux of shape ν and weight $b\underline{1}$. This we leave as an exercise for the reader. One has to distinguish the cases $l_1 \geq l_2$ and $l_2 \geq l_1$. \square

Proposition 2. (i) *Let $\lambda = l_1\varpi_1 + l_2\varpi_2$ be dominant and in the root lattice and put $a = \min(l_1, l_2)$. Put $d = d_1^{(l_1 - l_2)/3}$ if $l_1 \geq l_2$ and put $d = d_2^{(l_2 - l_1)/3}$ otherwise. Then the elements $d \xi_{31}^i |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|^{a-i}$, $0 \leq i \leq a$, form a basis of the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module $k[\mathfrak{g}]_{\lambda}^U$.*

(ii) *The k -algebra $k[\mathfrak{g}]^U$ is generated by $s_1, s_2, s_3, \xi_{31}, |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|, d_1$ and d_2 . A defining relation is given by*

$$d_1 d_2 - |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|^3 - \xi_{31} |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|^2 s_1 - \xi_{31}^2 |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}| s_2 - \xi_{31}^3 s_3 = 0.$$

Proof. (i) By [Proposition 1](#) and [Lemmas 2](#) and [5](#) it suffices to show that the given elements are independent on \mathcal{N} . Since they all have different degrees, it suffices to show they are nonzero on \mathcal{N} . One easily checks that they are all nonzero on

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) By (i) the 7 given elements generate $k[\mathfrak{g}]^U$ and by [Lemma 1](#) $\dim k[\mathfrak{g}]^U = 6$. A straightforward computation shows that the given equation holds and it is clearly irreducible (by Gauss's lemma, for instance). \square

Remark 3. Proposition 2 also shows that the k -algebra $k[\mathcal{N}]^U$ is generated by ξ_{31} , $|\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|$, d_1 and d_2 with defining relation $d_1 d_2 - |\mathcal{X}^{(1,3)}|^3 = 0$.

4. The method in general

As the reader may have noticed after reading the proof of Theorem 1(ii) our method for producing highest-weight vectors applies to any dominant weight in the root lattice. So one may wonder whether we always get $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module generators. We formulate this as a question. We assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$ and use the notation of Section 2 before Theorem 1.

Question. Let $\lambda = [\lambda^+, \lambda^-]$ be dominant and in the root lattice with λ^+ and λ^- partitions of t . Do the elements $\vartheta(\psi_t(E_\lambda) \cdot s_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{i_t})$ for $2 \leq i_1, \dots, i_t \leq n$ generate the $k[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module $k[\mathfrak{g}]_\lambda^U$? Equivalently, do their restrictions to \mathcal{N} span $k[\mathcal{N}]_\lambda^U$?

Note that the only thing that varies here is the tuple (i_1, \dots, i_t) . Note also that we allow repetitions in the arguments s_{i_j} . As an example we consider the case $n = 4$ and $\lambda = 2\varpi_2 = (1, 1, -1, -1)$, a primitive weight. Then the Hesselink–Peterson formula [Hesselink 1980] shows that $k[\mathcal{N}]_\lambda^U$ has dimension 2 with a generator in degree 2 and one in degree 4. We have

$$\vartheta(\psi_t(E_\lambda) \cdot s_{i_1} \otimes s_{i_2}) = \pm \sum \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \partial_{\sigma_1 \tau_3 s_{i_1}} \partial_{\sigma_2 \tau_4 s_{i_2}},$$

where the sum is over all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(\{1, 2\})$ and all $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(\{3, 4\})$. It follows that $\vartheta(\psi_t(E_\lambda) \cdot s_2 \otimes s_2) = \pm 2 \det(\mathcal{X}_{\{3,4\},\{1,2\}})$, where $\mathcal{X}_{\{3,4\},\{1,2\}}$ is defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. Clearly this is nonzero on the nilpotent cone. Note that the choice (s_2, s_2) is the only choice that gives the degree 2 generator. One can check that (s_3, s_3) and (s_2, s_4) both produce semi-invariants of degree 4 that are nonzero on \mathcal{N} . In the case (s_2, s_4) it is nonzero on \mathcal{N} in any characteristic.

By Theorem 1 the answer to our question is affirmative for the weights λ_t and μ_t . The basis elements of the spaces $k[\mathfrak{g}]_{r\lambda_t}^U$ and $k[\mathfrak{g}]_{r\mu_t}^U$, $r > 1$ and $t \in \{1, n-2, n-1\}$, from Theorem 2 are not formed in accordance with our question.

One can probably formulate a more complicated question for k of arbitrary characteristic, where one divides the expression $\vartheta(\psi_t(E_\lambda) \cdot s_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{i_t})$ by a suitable integer in case of repeated arguments.

References

[Bazlov 2001] Y. Bazlov, “Graded multiplicities in the exterior algebra”, *Adv. Math.* **158**:2 (2001), 129–153. MR 2002c:17004 Zbl 0979.17003

[Benkart et al. 1994] G. Benkart, M. Chakrabarti, T. Halverson, R. Leduc, C. Lee, and J. Stroomeer, “Tensor product representations of general linear groups and their connections with Brauer algebras”, *J. Algebra* **166**:3 (1994), 529–567. MR 95d:20071 Zbl 0815.20028

- [Borel 1991] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer, New York, 1991. [MR 92d:20001](#) [Zbl 0726.20030](#)
- [Brylinski 1989] R. K. Brylinski, “Limits of weight spaces, Lusztig’s q -analogs, and fiberings of adjoint orbits”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2**:3 (1989), 517–533. [MR 90g:17011](#) [Zbl 0729.17005](#)
- [Dixmier 1976] J. Dixmier, “Sur les algèbres enveloppantes de $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ et $\mathfrak{af}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ”, *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **100**:1 (1976), 57–95. [MR 55 #8131](#) [Zbl 0328.17003](#)
- [Donkin 1988] S. Donkin, “On conjugating representations and adjoint representations of semisimple groups”, *Invent. Math.* **91**:1 (1988), 137–145. [MR 89a:20047](#) [Zbl 0639.20021](#)
- [Donkin 1990] S. Donkin, “The normality of closures of conjugacy classes of matrices”, *Invent. Math.* **101**:3 (1990), 717–736. [MR 91j:14040](#) [Zbl 0822.20045](#)
- [Gupta 1987] R. K. Gupta, “Characters and the q -analog of weight multiplicity”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **36**:1 (1987), 68–76. [MR 88i:17010](#) [Zbl 0649.17009](#)
- [Hanlon 1985] P. Hanlon, “On the decomposition of the tensor algebra of the classical Lie algebras”, *Adv. Math.* **56**:3 (1985), 238–282. [MR 88a:17011](#) [Zbl 0577.17003](#)
- [Hesselink 1980] W. H. Hesselink, “Characters of the nullcone”, *Math. Ann.* **252**:3 (1980), 179–182. [MR 82c:17004](#) [Zbl 0447.17006](#)
- [Jantzen 2003] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **107**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. [MR 2004h:20061](#) [Zbl 1034.20041](#)
- [Jantzen 2004] J. C. Jantzen, “Nilpotent orbits in representation theory”, pp. 1–211 in *Lie theory*, edited by J.-P. Anker and B. Orsted, Progr. Math. **228**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004. [MR 2005c:14055](#) [Zbl 1169.14319](#)
- [Kostant 1963] B. Kostant, “Lie group representations on polynomial rings”, *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 327–404. [MR 28 #1252](#) [Zbl 0124.26802](#)
- [Passman 1991] D. S. Passman, *A course in ring theory*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, 1991. [MR 91m:16001](#) [Zbl 0783.16001](#)
- [Premet and Tange 2005] A. Premet and R. Tange, “Zassenhaus varieties of general linear Lie algebras”, *J. Algebra* **294**:1 (2005), 177–195. [MR 2006i:17016](#) [Zbl 1097.17010](#)
- [Reeder 1997] M. Reeder, “Exterior powers of the adjoint representation”, *Canad. J. Math.* **49**:1 (1997), 133–159. [MR 98m:22021](#) [Zbl 0878.20028](#)
- [Stembridge 1987] J. R. Stembridge, “First layer formulas for characters of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ ”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **299**:1 (1987), 319–350. [MR 88g:20088](#) [Zbl 0613.20027](#)

Received August 23, 2011. Revised February 22, 2012.

RUDOLF TANGE
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN
COLLEGE GREEN
DUBLIN
IRELAND
tanger@tcd.ie

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Mathew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 258 No. 2 August 2012

Uniqueness theorems for CR and conformal mappings	257
YOUNG-JUN CHOI and JAE-CHEON JOO	
Some finite properties for vertex operator superalgebras	269
CHONGYING DONG and JIANZHI HAN	
On the geometric flows solving Kählerian inverse σ_k equations	291
HAO FANG and MIJIA LAI	
An optimal anisotropic Poincaré inequality for convex domains	305
GUOFANG WANG and CHAO XIA	
Einstein metrics and exotic smooth structures	327
MASASHI ISHIDA	
Noether's problem for \hat{S}_4 and \hat{S}_5	349
MING-CHANG KANG and JIAN ZHOU	
Remarks on the behavior of nonparametric capillary surfaces at corners	369
KIRK E. LANCASTER	
Generalized normal rulings and invariants of Legendrian solid torus links	393
MIKHAIL LAVROV and DAN RUTHERFORD	
Classification of singular \mathbb{Q} -homology planes II: \mathbb{C}^1 - and \mathbb{C}^* -rulings.	421
KAROL PALKA	
A dynamical interpretation of the profile curve of CMC twizzler surfaces	459
OSCAR M. PERDOMO	
Classification of Ising vectors in the vertex operator algebra V_L^+	487
HIROKI SHIMAKURA	
Highest-weight vectors for the adjoint action of GL_n on polynomials	497
RUDOLF TANGE	