

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

MODULAR L -VALUES OF CUBIC LEVEL

ANDREW KNIGHTLY AND CHARLES LI

Volume 260 No. 2

December 2012

MODULAR L -VALUES OF CUBIC LEVEL

ANDREW KNIGHTLY AND CHARLES LI

In memory of Jonathan Rogawski

Using a simple relative trace formula, we compute averages of twisted modular L -values for newforms of cubic level. In the case of Maass forms, we obtain an exact formula. For holomorphic forms of weight $k > 2$, we obtain an asymptotic formula, which agrees with the estimate predicted by the Lindelöf hypothesis in the weight and level aspects.

1. Introduction

A *simple* trace formula is one in which a local discrete series matrix coefficient is used, thereby annihilating the contribution of the continuous spectrum (see Lecture V of [Gelbart 1996] for a general overview). By choosing the matrix coefficient appropriately, one can also project onto a particular local new vector. For example, using the matrix coefficient attached to a lowest weight vector for the weight k discrete series of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, one isolates the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight k from the rest of the automorphic spectrum. In essence, this was the method used by Selberg [1956, §4] in his formula for the trace of a Hecke operator.

In this paper we give a nonarchimedean illustration of this technique, using matrix coefficients attached to certain supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We work with a relative trace formula to compute averages of the form

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{\lambda_n(u) \overline{a_r(u)} \Lambda(s, u, \chi)}{\|u\|^2} B_r(u),$$

where u ranges over the set of newforms of weight k and level N^3 for N squarefree and $k > 2$ or $k = 0$, $\lambda_n(u)$ is the associated eigenvalue of the Hecke operator T_n , $a_r(u)$ is the r -th Fourier coefficient, $\Lambda(s, u, \chi)$ is the completed L -function, twisted by a fixed primitive character χ of conductor D prime to N , and $B_r(u)$ is a function

We thank the NSF for supporting this work through grant DMS 0902145.

MSC2010: primary 11; secondary 11F41, 11F70, 11F72.

Keywords: L -functions, relative trace formula, supercuspidal representations, Maass forms.

of the spectral parameter of u with sufficient decay, which we take to be 1 in the case of holomorphic forms (that is, when $k > 2$).

We have two main results, one for Maass newforms and one for holomorphic newforms. Each is an explicit version of the relative trace formula introduced by Jacquet [1987]. In broad terms, we start with a kernel function attached to the Hecke operator T_n , and integrate it (against a character) over the group $N \times M$, where N is unipotent and M is diagonal. The unipotent integral gives the Fourier coefficient $\overline{a_r(u)}$, and the diagonal integral gives the L -function. The geometric side reduces to the calculation of numerous local orbital integrals.

The result for Maass forms is given in Theorem 5.4 below. A special case of it is the following exact expression for a weighted average of Maass newform L -values:

Theorem 1.1. *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character with modulus D . Let $h(iz)$ be any even Paley–Wiener function, and let $h_1(s)$ be the $e^{-2\pi ix}$ -twisted spherical transform of the inverse Selberg transform of h ; cf. (5-5). Then there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ depending only on h , such that for all squarefree integers $N > C$ prime to D and all complex numbers s ,*

$$(1-1) \quad \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{F}_+^{\text{new}}(N^3)} \frac{\Lambda(s, u_j, \chi)}{\psi(N^3)\|u_j\|^2} h(t_j) K_{it_j}(2\pi) = 2h_1(s) \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Here, $\mathcal{F}_+^{\text{new}}(N^3)$ denotes the set of even Maass newforms on $\Gamma_0(N^3)$ of weight 0 and trivial central character, normalized with first Fourier coefficient $a_1(u) = 1$, t_j is the spectral parameter of u_j , $K_\nu(x)$ is the Bessel function, and $\psi(N^3)$ denotes the index $[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N^3)]$.

Remarks. (1) It is interesting to note that the right-hand side of (1-1) (and hence also the left-hand side for N sufficiently large) is independent of χ .

(2) Given any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we can choose h so that $h_1(s)$ is nonzero. Therefore an immediate consequence is the existence of a Maass newform of level N^3 with nonvanishing twisted L -value at s .

(3) We normalize the Petersson norm on page 530 so that it is independent of the choice of level and coincides with the adelic L^2 -norm. Many people write $\|u\|^2$ where we have written $\psi(N^3)\|u\|^2$.

The analogous result for holomorphic cusp forms is stated in Theorem 4.1. In that case, we no longer have an exact formula because the archimedean discrete series matrix coefficient is not compactly supported. But the resulting asymptotic formula still gives nonvanishing, as well as a bound for the sum of the central L -values that is as strong as that predicted by the Lindelöf hypothesis in the weight and level aspects; see Corollary 4.3. In Corollary 4.4, we compare the contribution of newforms and oldforms in the analogous sum for the full space of cusp forms

of level N^3 . When N is prime, the contribution of oldforms becomes negligible as $N \rightarrow \infty$, but in the other extreme, if N is the product of the first m primes, the contribution of newforms becomes negligible as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

In both of our main results, we project onto the newforms of cubic level by using the simple supercuspidal representations defined by Gross and Reeder [2010]. Matrix coefficients for these representations have previously been used in the trace formula by Gross [2011], where, for a simple group over a totally real number field, he computed the multiplicities of cuspidal representations with certain prescribed local behavior in terms of values of modified Artin L -functions at negative integers. The local test vector used by Gross has a very simple matrix coefficient and is ideally suited for counting representations. However, it is not a new vector so it cannot be used for our purpose here.

In [KL 2012], we defined simple supercuspidal representations for the group $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, showing that they have conductor p^{n+1} and exhibiting the new vector. We then gave an explicit formula for the matrix coefficient attached to the new vector in the case where $n = 2$. Lastly, we showed that every irreducible admissible representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with conductor p^3 is a simple supercuspidal representation, assuming that its central character is unramified or tamely ramified. In the present paper, at each place $p \mid N$ we sum the new vector matrix coefficients attached to the $2(p - 1)$ distinct simple supercuspidal representations to obtain a test function which projects onto the newforms of level N^3 and annihilates the continuous spectrum.

We restrict to the field \mathbb{Q} throughout for simplicity, but since all of the computations are local, there would be no serious obstruction to working over an arbitrary totally real number field.

2. Preliminaries

2A. Orthogonality of matrix coefficients. The proposition below, which has been attributed to Langlands, will be a key ingredient in what follows.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a unimodular locally compact group with center Z . Let (π, V) be an irreducible unitary square integrable representation of G with formal degree d_π . Let $w \in V$ be a unit vector, and suppose that the function $f(g) = d_\pi \overline{\langle \pi(g)w, w \rangle}$ is absolutely integrable over $\overline{G} = G/Z$. Then for any irreducible unitary representation (ρ, W) of G with the same central character as π (but not necessarily square integrable), the operator $\rho(f)$ is identically zero on W unless $\rho \cong \pi$. Furthermore, $\pi(f)$ is the orthogonal projection operator from V onto $\mathbb{C}w$.*

Remark. The formal degree d_π depends on a choice of Haar measure on \overline{G} , as does the operator $\pi(f)$. We must assume that these measures are the same.

Proof. See Corollary 10.29 of [KL 2006]. □

2B. Notation and measure. Given a prime number p and an integer x , we write $x_p = \text{ord}_p(x)$, so that $x = \prod_p p^{x_p}$.

Let $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}$ be the adeles and finite adeles of \mathbb{Q} , and henceforth let $G = \text{GL}(2)$. Write $\bar{G} = G/Z$, where Z is the center of G . We let $Z_p = Z(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $Z_\infty = Z(\mathbb{R})$ be the respective centers of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $G(\mathbb{R})$. We also set $K_\infty = \text{SO}(2)$ and $K_p = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We take Lebesgue measure dx on \mathbb{R} , and we use the measure $d^*y = dy/|y|$ on \mathbb{R}^* . On \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{Q}_p^* we normalize the Haar measures so that $\text{meas}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$ and $\text{meas}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) = 1$, respectively. With these choices, the product measure on \mathbb{A} has the property that $\text{meas}(\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}) = 1$. In $\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}^*$ we have $\text{meas}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*) = 1$. We normalize Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by taking $\text{meas}(K_p) = 1$. Likewise in $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we take $\text{meas}(\bar{K}_p) = 1$. On $\bar{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})$, we give $\bar{G}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ the measure 1. We normalize Haar measure on $\bar{G}(\mathbb{A})$ so that $\text{meas}(\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \bar{G}(\mathbb{A})) = \pi/3$. See [KL 2006] for further details about this normalization.

We let $\theta : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the nontrivial character given locally by

$$(2-1) \quad \theta_p(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi i x} & \text{if } p = \infty \ (x \in \mathbb{R}), \\ e^{2\pi i r_p(x)} & \text{if } p < \infty \ (x \in \mathbb{Q}_p), \end{cases}$$

where $r_p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the p -principal part of x , a number with p -power denominator characterized up to \mathbb{Z} by $x \in r_p(x) + \mathbb{Z}_p$. The kernel of θ_p is \mathbb{Z}_p , and θ is trivial on $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{A}$.

2C. Cusp forms. Let k be a nonnegative integer. Eventually we will assume further that $k \neq 1, 2$. Let N be a positive integer, and let ω' be a Dirichlet character modulo N satisfying $\omega'(-1) = (-1)^k$. Define the Hecke congruence subgroups

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \in N\mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \mid d \in 1 + N\mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and let

$$(2-2) \quad \psi(N) = [\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p \mid N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Consider the space of measurable complex-valued functions u on the complex upper half-plane \mathbb{H} that have the following properties:

- (1) For all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and all

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N),$$

$$(2-3) \quad u\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \overline{\omega'(d)}(cz+d)^k u(z).$$

(2) u has finite Petersson norm:

$$\|u\|^2 = \frac{1}{\psi(N)} \int_{\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}} |u(x+iy)|^2 y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} < \infty.$$

(3) u is holomorphic if $k > 0$.

(4) u is cuspidal: at each cusp of $\Gamma_1(N)$ it has a constant term which vanishes almost everywhere (see for example [KL 2013, §4.1] for a detailed definition).

We denote this space by $S_k(N, \omega')$ if $k > 0$, and by $L_0^2(N, \omega')$ if $k = 0$. The latter space is infinite-dimensional if nonzero, but it has a basis consisting of Maass forms, that is, those elements which are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian $\Delta = -y^2((\partial^2/\partial x^2) + (\partial^2/\partial y^2))$. We write the Laplace eigenvalue as

$$\Delta u = \left(\frac{1}{4} + t^2\right)u,$$

and refer to t as the *spectral parameter* of u . We know that $t \in \mathbb{R}^* \cup i(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, with the number of u with *exceptional* (nonreal) parameter being finite.

If u is continuous, condition (1) implies u has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$u(x+iy) = \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n(u, y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

The coefficient $a_n(u, y)$ has the well-known form

$$(2-4) \quad a_n(u, y) = \begin{cases} a_n(u) e^{-2\pi n y} & \text{if } n, k > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0, n < 0, \\ a_n(u) y^{1/2} K_{it}(2\pi |n| y) & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where K_{it} is the Bessel function and t is the spectral parameter of u .

The weight k Hecke operator T_n is defined by

$$T_n u(z) = n^{\alpha(k)} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a>0}} \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \overline{\omega'(a)} d^{-k} u\left(\frac{az+r}{d}\right),$$

where $\alpha(k) = k - 1$ if $k > 0$ and $\alpha(k) = -\frac{1}{2}$ if $k = 0$. If u is a Hecke eigenform, we denote the eigenvalues by $T_n u = \lambda_n(u)u$. We say that u is a *newform* if its Hecke eigenvalue packet $\{\lambda_p(u)\}_{p \nmid N}$ has an eigenspace that is exactly one-dimensional. In this case, $a_1(u) \neq 0$, and we will normalize so that $a_1(u) = 1$. Under this normalization,

$$(2-5) \quad a_n(u) = \lambda_n(u)$$

for all n . We let

$$\mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N, \omega') = \{\text{newforms } u, \text{ with } a_1(u) = 1\}.$$

We also define $T_{-1}u(x + iy) = u(-x + iy)$. A Maass cusp form is *even* or *odd* if $T_{-1}u = u$ or $T_{-1}u = -u$, respectively. If u is even, then in (2-4) we have $a_{-n}(u) = a_n(u)$, while if u is odd, $a_n(u) = -a_{-n}(u)$. It is a basic fact that $L_0^2(N, \omega')$ has an orthogonal basis consisting of Maass eigenforms which are also eigenfunctions of T_{-1} . We let

$$\mathcal{F}_+^{\text{new}}(N, \omega') = \{u \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\text{new}}(N, \omega') \mid u \text{ is even}\}.$$

We define the L -function of u by

$$L(s, u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(u)n^{-s}.$$

This converges absolutely when $\text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large. We define the completed L -function by

$$(2-6) \quad \Lambda(s, u) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(s, u) & k > 0, \\ \pi^{-s}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon+it}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon-it}{2}\right)L(s, u) & k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 according to whether u is even or odd. It has an analytic continuation, which satisfies a functional equation relating values at s and $1 - s$ when $k = 0$, and at s and $k - s$ when $k > 0$.

2D. Adelic cusp forms. Let ω be the Hecke character attached to ω' by

$$(2-7) \quad \omega : \mathbb{A}^* = \mathbb{Q}^*(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where the first two arrows are the canonical projections, and the last arrow is ω' . For $q > 0$, let $L^q(\omega) = L^q(\overline{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A}), \omega)$ denote the space of measurable $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant functions $\phi : G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that transform under the center by ω , and satisfy $\int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A})} |\phi(g)|^q dg < \infty$. When $q = 2$, we let $L_0^2(\omega) \subset L^2(\omega)$ denote the subspace of cuspidal functions.

Letting

$$K_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid c, d - 1 \in N\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \right\},$$

we embed $S_k(N, \omega')$ and $L_0^2(N, \omega')$ (taking $k = 0$ in the latter case) isometrically into $L_0^2(\omega)$ by defining

$$(2-8) \quad \phi_u(\gamma(g_\infty \times g_{\text{fin}})) = j(g_\infty, i)^{-k} u(g_\infty(i))$$

for $\gamma(g_\infty \times g_{\text{fin}}) \in G(\mathbb{Q})(G(\mathbb{R})^+ \times K_1(N)) = G(\mathbb{A})$, and

$$j\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, z\right) = (ad - bc)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(cz + d).$$

In the $k = 0$ case, the map $u \mapsto \phi_u$ is a surjective linear isometry from $L_0^2(N, \omega')$ to $L_0^2(\omega)^{K_\infty \times K_1(N)}$ (the $K_\infty \times K_1(N)$ -invariant vectors); see [KL 2013, Proposition 4.5].

Lemma 2.2. *Let u be a holomorphic Hecke eigenform ($k > 0$) or a Maass eigenform with spectral parameter t ($k = 0$). Then for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \theta(rx) dx = \begin{cases} a_r(u) K_{it}(2\pi|r|) & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 0, \\ e^{-2\pi r} a_r(u) & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where θ is the character defined in (2-1). For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) |y|^{s-\frac{k'}{2}} d^*y = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(s, u) & \text{if } k = 0, u \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0, u \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda(s, u) & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Lambda(s, u)$ is the completed L-function defined in (2-6) and

$$k' = \begin{cases} k & k > 2, \\ 1 & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Each of the above integrals is absolutely convergent.

Proof. For a proof of the first statement, see [KL 2006, Corollary 12.4; 2013, Lemma 7.1]. For the second, suppose $k = 0$. Using the fundamental domain $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ for $\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) |y|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^*y = \int_0^\infty u(iy) y^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy}{y}.$$

The result then follows by a well-known classical computation using the Fourier expansion; see [Goldfeld 2006, p. 86]. The proof when $k > 0$ is similar; see, e.g., [KL 2010, Lemma 3.1]. □

2E. Newforms. Here we will define a space of adelic newforms, and realize the orthogonal projection onto it as an integral operator.

We wish to study newforms with certain local behavior. Let N be an integer multiple of the conductor of ω with the property that $N_p \geq 2$ for all $p \mid N$. For each $p \mid N$, let σ_p be a fixed supercuspidal representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with central character ω_p and conductor p^{N_p} . Let $\widehat{\sigma}$ denote the tuple $\{\sigma_p\}_{p \mid N}$.

Under the action of $G(\mathbb{A})$ on $L_0^2(\omega)$ by right translation, the space decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible cuspidal representations π . Given a nonnegative integer $k \neq 1, 2$ (that is, $k \in \{0, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$), we define the subspace

$$(2-9) \quad H_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega) = \bigoplus \pi \subset L_0^2(\omega),$$

where π ranges through the irreducible cuspidal representations for which:

- (1) $\pi_p = \sigma_p$ for all $p \mid N$.
- (2) π_p is unramified for all finite $p \nmid N$.
- (3) π_∞ is a spherical principal series representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ with trivial central character if $k = 0$.
- (4) π_∞ is the weight k discrete series representation π_k of $G(\mathbb{R})$ with central character

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & \\ & z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{sgn}(z)^k \quad \text{if } k > 2.$$

For each such $\pi = \bigotimes' \pi_p$, define a vector (the “newform”) $w_\pi = \bigotimes w_{\pi_p}$ in the space of π by taking

$$w_{\pi_p} = \begin{cases} \text{unit new vector [Casselman 1973]} & \text{if } p \mid N, \\ \text{unit unramified vector} & \text{if } p \nmid N\infty, \\ \text{unit spherical vector} & \text{if } p = \infty, k = 0, \\ \text{unit lowest weight vector} & \text{if } p = \infty, k > 2, \end{cases}$$

where, in almost every unramified case, the unit vector is the one predetermined by the restricted tensor product. In each case, the vector w_{π_p} is unique up to unitary scaling. Let

$$(2-10) \quad A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega) = \bigoplus_{\pi} \mathbb{C}w_\pi \subset H_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega).$$

This corresponds to a classical space of newforms of level N on the upper half-plane. Letting $\phi_\pi \in L_0^2(\omega)$ denote the function defined by w_π , the associated cusp form on \mathbb{H} is given by

$$(2-11) \quad u(x + iy) = y^{-\frac{k}{2}} \phi_\pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_\infty \times 1_{\text{fin}} \right), \quad y > 0.$$

This is the inverse of the association (2-8), that is, $\phi_u = \phi_\pi$.

For $p \mid N$, define a function $f_p : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(2-12) \quad f_p(g) = d_p \overline{\langle \sigma_p(g)w_{\sigma_p}, w_{\sigma_p} \rangle}, \quad p \mid N,$$

where d_p is the formal degree of the supercuspidal representation σ_p relative to our choice of Haar measure on $\overline{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and the inner product is $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant.

Likewise, if $p = \infty$ and $k > 2$ we take

$$(2-13) \quad f_\infty(g) = d_k \overline{\langle \pi_\infty(g) w_{\pi_\infty}, w_{\pi_\infty} \rangle}, \quad k > 2,$$

where d_k is the formal degree of the discrete series representation $\pi_\infty = \pi_k$. The latter function is supported on the subgroup

$$G(\mathbb{R})^+ = \{g \in G(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det(g) > 0\}.$$

(We rule out $k = 2$ because the function (2-13) is integrable precisely when $k > 2$, and integrability is required by Proposition 2.1.)

For $p \nmid N\infty$, we assume that f_p is a bi- K_p -invariant function on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with compact support modulo the center, and that for all but finitely many such p , $f_p = \phi_p$ is the function supported on $Z_p K_p$ given by

$$(2-14) \quad \phi_p(z\kappa) = \overline{\omega_p(z)}, \quad z \in Z_p, \kappa \in K_p.$$

Likewise if $p = \infty$ and $k = 0$, we take

$$(2-15) \quad f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R})^+ // K_\infty), \quad k = 0.$$

The latter is the space of smooth functions on $G(\mathbb{R})^+$ which are biinvariant under $Z(\mathbb{R})K_\infty$ and have compact support modulo $Z(\mathbb{R})$. Such a function enables us to project onto the K_∞ -invariant space of $L^2(\omega)$, which contains the Maass forms of weight $k = 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *With local functions as above, let $f = \prod f_p$ be the associated function on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Let $R(f)$ be the operator on $L^2(\omega)$ defined by*

$$R(f)\phi(x) = \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A})} f(g)\phi(xg) dg.$$

Then $R(f)$ annihilates $L_0^2(\omega)^\perp$. In fact, it factors through the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\omega)$ onto $A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)$, and acts diagonally on the latter space, the vectors w_π being eigenvectors.

Proof. For a proof of the first statement, see [Rogawski 1983, Proposition 1.1]. Now suppose $v \in L_0^2(\omega)$. Since the latter space is a direct sum of cuspidal representations, we may assume that $v \in V_\pi$ for some $\pi = \bigotimes' \pi_p$. Likewise, we may assume that $v = \bigotimes' v_p$ is a pure tensor. For the purposes of this proof, let G' denote the restricted direct product $G' = \prod'_{p \nmid N} G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Decompose π as

$$\pi = \pi_\infty \otimes \pi' \otimes \bigotimes_{p \mid N} \pi_p,$$

where π' is a representation of G' , and write

$$v = v_\infty \otimes v' \otimes \bigotimes_{p \mid N} v_p$$

accordingly. Then (by [KL 2006, Proposition 13.17], for instance)

$$R(f)v = \pi_\infty(f_\infty)v_\infty \otimes \pi'(f')v' \otimes \bigotimes_{p|N} \pi_p(f_p)v_p.$$

If $p \mid N$, or $p = \infty$ and $k > 2$, then by Proposition 2.1, the above vanishes unless $\pi_p = \sigma_p$ or, respectively, $\pi_p = \pi_k$, and in these cases $\pi_p(f_p)$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}w_{\pi_p}$. Because f' is biinvariant under $\prod_{p \nmid N} K_p$, $\pi'(f')$ has its image in the space

$$\mathbb{C}w' = \bigotimes_{p \nmid N}' \mathbb{C}w_{\pi_p} \subset V_{\pi'},$$

and it annihilates the orthogonal complement of this subspace (see for instance [KL 2013, Lemma 3.10]). The analogous statement holds for $\pi_\infty(f_\infty)$ if $k = 0$ for the same reasons. It follows that $R(f)$ annihilates $A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)^\perp$, and acts by scalars on the vectors $w_\pi \in A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)$. □

2F. Twisting. Let D be a positive integer with $\gcd(D, N) = 1$, and let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo D . Given a cusp form

$$u(z) = \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n(u, y) e^{2\pi i n x}$$

in $S_k(N, \omega')$ or $L_0^2(N, \omega')$, its twist by χ is the form

$$u_\chi(z) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \chi(n) a_n(u, y) e^{2\pi i n x},$$

which belongs to $S_k(D^2N, \overline{\chi}^2\omega')$ or $L_0^2(D^2N, \overline{\chi}^2\omega')$. If u is a Maass form with spectral parameter t , then so is u_χ . In this section we will define a function f^χ on $G(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})$ for which $R(f^\chi)$ encodes the twisting operation adelically. See §3 of [Jackson and Knightly 2012] (henceforth referred to as [JK 2012]) for more detail. Beware that the nebentypus ψ in that paper plays the role of $\overline{\omega}'$ here, since we have a complex conjugate in (2-3) which is not present in [JK 2012].

We let $\chi^* : \mathbb{A}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the Hecke character attached to χ as in (2-7) (but using D in place of N).¹ We let χ_p be the local component of χ^* . It is a character of \mathbb{Q}_p^* , and when $p \mid D$ it can be viewed as a primitive character of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{D_p}\mathbb{Z})^*$. The Gauss sum attached to χ is

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/D\mathbb{Z})^*} \chi(m) e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{D}}.$$

¹Thus we use two sets of notation: ω' and χ are Dirichlet characters and ω, χ^* are the associated Hecke characters. This was done in order to conform to notation in papers we reference.

If we set

$$(2-16) \quad \tau(\chi)_p = \chi_p\left(\frac{D}{p^{D_p}}\right)\tau(\chi_p),$$

then $\tau(\chi) = \prod_{p|D} \tau(\chi)_p$; see [JK 2012], (3.10).

For each prime $p \mid D$, we define a local test function $f_p^\chi : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_p^\chi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\omega_p(z)} \overline{\chi_p(m)}}{\tau(\overline{\chi})_p} & \text{if } x = zg \text{ for } z \in Z_p \\ & \text{and } g \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m/D \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_p \text{ for } m \in (\mathbb{Z}_p/D\mathbb{Z}_p)^*, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the primes $p \mid N$, we take f_p^χ to be the function supported on $Z_p K_1(N)_p$ given by

$$(2-17) \quad f_p^\chi(z\kappa) = \frac{\overline{\omega_p(z)}}{\text{meas}(K_1(N)_p)} = \frac{\psi_p(N)}{\omega_p(z)},$$

where $\psi_p(N) = [K_p : K_1(N)_p] = p^{N_p}(1+(1/p))$. Lastly, for $p \nmid DN$, we take f_p^χ to be the function defined in (2-14). Now let $f^\chi = \prod_{p < \infty} f_p^\chi$, and define the operator

$$(2-18) \quad R(f^\chi)\phi(x) = \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})} f^\chi(g)\phi(xg) dg, \quad \phi \in L^2(\omega).$$

We call this the *twisting operator of level N* attached to χ .

Proposition 2.4. *For $y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \cong \mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*$ and u a holomorphic or Maass cusp form of level N and nebentypus ω' ,*

$$R(f^\chi)\phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \chi^*(y)\phi_{u_x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Proof. See Proposition 3.2 of [JK 2012]. That result is stated for holomorphic cusp forms, but the proof carries over verbatim to the case of Maass forms. \square

Given two functions $f_1, f_2 \in L^1(\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}), \overline{\omega})$, we define their convolution by

$$f_1 * f_2(x) = \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})} f_1(g)f_2(g^{-1}x) dg = \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})} f_1(xg^{-1})f_2(g) dg.$$

Then $f_1 * f_2 \in L^1(\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}), \overline{\omega})$. It is straightforward to show $R(f_1 * f_2) = R(f_1)R(f_2)$ as operators on $L^2(\omega)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f = f_\infty \times f_{\text{fin}}$ be a function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ of the type defined in Section 2E, with the property that for all $p \mid D$, f_p is the function (2-14). Then*

$$(2-19) \quad R(f_\infty \times (f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}})) = R(f^\chi)R(f).$$

As a result, the above operator factors through the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\omega)$ onto $A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)$ by Proposition 2.3.

Proof. As mentioned above, $R(f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}}) = R(f^\chi)R(f_{\text{fin}})$. The local components of the convolution are given as follows:

$$(2-20) \quad (f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}})_p = f_p^\chi * f_p = \begin{cases} f_p^\chi & \text{if } p \mid D, \\ f_p & \text{if } p \nmid D. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if $p \nmid DN$, then the assertion is immediate because f_p is bi- K_p -invariant and f_p^χ is the identity element of the local Hecke algebra of bi- K_p -invariant functions. Similarly, the case $p \mid D$ follows easily by the right K_p -invariance of f_p^χ and our assumption that f_p is given by (2-14). If $p \mid N$, then for $\kappa \in K_1(N)_p$, by (2-12) we have

$$f_p(\kappa^{-1}x) = d_p \overline{\langle \sigma_p(x)w_{\sigma_p}, \sigma_p(\kappa)w_{\sigma_p} \rangle} = f_p(x),$$

since w_{σ_p} is fixed by $K_1(N)_p$. Thus by (2-17),

$$f_p^\chi * f_p(x) = \int_{K_1(N)_p} f_p^\chi(\kappa) f_p(\kappa^{-1}x) d\kappa = f_p(x) \int_{K_1(N)_p} f_p^\chi(\kappa) d\kappa = f_p(x),$$

as claimed.

In view of (2-20), we may apply Proposition 2.3 to both sides of the proposed equality (2-19) to see that they each vanish on $L_0^2(\omega)^\perp$. Therefore it suffices to show that they agree on $L_0^2(\omega)$. Let (π, V_π) be a cuspidal representation in $L_0^2(\omega)$. Given $v = v_\infty \otimes v_{\text{fin}} \in V_\pi$, by (2-18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(f^\chi)v &= \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})} f^\chi(g)\pi(1_\infty \times g)v dg \\ &= \int_{\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})} v_\infty \otimes f^\chi(g)\pi_{\text{fin}}(g)v_{\text{fin}} dg = v_\infty \otimes \pi_{\text{fin}}(f^\chi)v_{\text{fin}}. \end{aligned}$$

For details justifying the movement of the tensor outside the integral, see [KL 2006, Lemma 13.16]. Applying the above identity with $R(f)v$ in place of v , the result follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R(f^\chi)R(f)v &= \pi_\infty(f_\infty)v_\infty \otimes \pi_{\text{fin}}(f^\chi)\pi_{\text{fin}}(f_{\text{fin}})v_{\text{fin}} \\ &= \pi_\infty(f_\infty)v_\infty \otimes \pi_{\text{fin}}(f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}})v_{\text{fin}} = R(f_\infty \times (f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}}))v. \end{aligned}$$

For a justification of the last step, see, e.g., [ibid., Proposition 13.17]. □

2G. A particular choice of function. The above discussion is rather general, and we will now define a very specific function f as in Section 2E, designed to project onto the newforms of cubic level and then act as a Hecke operator. For our main test function in the trace formula, we will then take $F = f_\infty \times (f^\chi * f_{\text{fin}})$, with f^χ a twisting operator defined as above.

Henceforth we take $N > 1$ to be a squarefree integer. We make the following assumption in all that follows:

(**) ω' is a Dirichlet character of modulus N^3 whose conductor divides N .

As before, we let ω be the associated Hecke character.

For each $p \mid N$, the conductor of ω_p divides p . Therefore by Proposition 7.2 of [KL 2012], there are exactly $2(p - 1)$ irreducible admissible representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of conductor p^3 and central character ω_p , up to isomorphism. These are the simple supercuspidal representations, which are parametrized naturally by the pairs (t, ζ) with $t \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\zeta^2 = \omega_p(tp)$. The construction depends on the choice of a nontrivial character of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, which we fix to be $x \mapsto \theta_p(x/p)$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{t,\zeta}$ be the supercuspidal representation indexed by (t, ζ) . It is defined precisely in [KL 2012], but all that we need here is the formula for its matrix coefficient

$$f^\sigma = d_\sigma \overline{\langle \sigma(g)w_\sigma, w_\sigma \rangle},$$

where the formal degree d_σ is taken relative to the Haar measure for which $\text{meas}(\overline{K}_p)$ is 1, and w_σ is a unit new vector as before. By Theorem 7.1 of the same reference,

$$(2-21) \quad f^\sigma = f_1^\sigma + f_2^\sigma,$$

where f_1^σ and f_2^σ have disjoint support, and for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$(2-22) \quad f_1^\sigma(zg) = \frac{p+1}{2\omega_p(z)} \sum_{w \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} \theta_p\left(\frac{-bw - tc(aw)^{-1}}{p}\right)$$

$$\text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & bp^{-1} \\ cp^2 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^* & \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

(a Kloosterman sum), and

$$(2-23) \quad f_2^\sigma(zg) = \frac{(p+1)\zeta}{2\omega_p(z)\omega_p(t)} \theta_p\left(\frac{-b - tc(ad)^{-1}}{p}\right)$$

$$\text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} c & dp^{-2} \\ ap & b \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & \frac{1}{p^2}\mathbb{Z}_p^* \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p^* & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

The function f^σ vanishes outside the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^* & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix} \cup \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p^{-2}\mathbb{Z}_p^* \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p^* & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fix an integer $n > 0$ with $\text{gcd}(n, DN) = 1$. Let

$$M(n)_p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid ad - bc \in n\mathbb{Z}_p^* \right\}.$$

Define, for $p \mid n$, the local Hecke operator $f_p^n : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, supported on $Z_p M(n)_p$:

$$(2-24) \quad f_p^n(zg) = \omega_p(z), \quad z \in Z_p, g \in M(n)_p.$$

This plays the role of the classical Hecke operator $T_{p^{n_p}}$.

Finally, we let f_∞ be the matrix coefficient (2-13) if $k > 2$, or a spherical function as in (2-15) if $k = 0$.

With these choices, we define the global function $f : G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f = f_\infty \times \prod_{p \mid N} \left(\sum_{(t, \zeta)} f^{\sigma_{t, \zeta}} \right) \prod_{p \mid n} f_p^n \prod_{p \nmid nN} \phi_p,$$

where, in the case $p \nmid nN$, ϕ_p is the unramified function supported on $Z_p K_p$ defined in (2-14). We remark that at the places $p \mid N$,

$$\sum_{(t, \zeta)} f^{\sigma_{t, \zeta}} = \sum_{(t, \zeta)} f_1^{\sigma_{t, \zeta}},$$

since from the definition (2-23) it follows easily that for each t , $f_2^{\sigma_{t, \zeta}} + f_2^{\sigma_{t, -\zeta}} = 0$. Nevertheless, we will compute the contribution of f_2^σ to the local orbital integrals in the trace formula that follows, since these do not vanish individually and may be of interest in other applications.

The function f defined above is a finite sum of functions of the type considered in Section 2E. Thus any new vector w_π belonging to the space

$$(2-25) \quad A_k(N^3, \omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{\widehat{\sigma}} A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)$$

is an eigenvector of $R(f)$. Here, $\widehat{\sigma}$ runs through all tuples $\{\sigma_p\}_{p \mid N}$ of simple supercuspidal representations ($\sigma_p = \sigma_{t, \zeta}$) with central character ω_p , and $A_k(\widehat{\sigma}, \omega)$ is the space defined in (2-10).

Proposition 2.6. *Given a new vector $w_\pi \in A_k(N^3, \omega)$, let u be the associated newform. Then $R(f)w_\pi = \lambda_f(u)w_\pi$, for*

$$(2-26) \quad \lambda_f(u) = \begin{cases} n^{1-k/2} \lambda_n(u) & \text{if } k > 2, \\ n^{1/2} h(t) \lambda_n(u) & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_n(u)$ is the eigenvalue of the classical Hecke operator T_n acting on u , and in the $k = 0$ case, t is the spectral parameter of u and $h(t)$ is the Selberg transform of f_∞ (defined in (5-3) below).

Proof. We may write

$$R(f)w_\pi = \pi_\infty(f_\infty)w_\infty \otimes \pi'(f')w' \bigotimes_{p \mid N} \pi_p(f_p)w_p \bigotimes_{p \mid n} \pi_p(f_p)w_p,$$

where the $'$ indicates the contribution of the primes $p \nmid Nn\infty$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. If $p \mid N$, then

$$\pi_p(f_p)w_p = \sum_{\sigma} \pi_p(f^{\sigma})w_p = w_p$$

by Proposition 2.1, since exactly one of the representations σ is isomorphic to π_p . Likewise if $p \nmid nN$, then $\pi_p(f_p)w_p = \pi_p(\phi_p)w_p = w_p$ by the definition of ϕ_p . Hence

$$R(f)w_{\pi} = \pi_{\infty}(f_{\infty})w_{\infty} \otimes w' \otimes_{p \mid N} w_p \otimes_{p \mid n} \pi_p(f_p)w_p.$$

Now

$$\pi_{\infty}(f_{\infty})w_{\infty} = \begin{cases} w_{\infty} & \text{if } k > 2 \text{ (by Proposition 2.1),} \\ h(t)w_{\infty} & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ (by [KL 2013, Proposition 3.9]).} \end{cases}$$

From the product over the places $p \mid n$, we get the scalar $\sqrt{n}\lambda_n(u)$ if $k = 0$ [ibid., Lemma 4.6], and $n^{1-k/2}\lambda_n(u)$ if $k > 2$ [KL 2006, Proposition 13.6]. \square

To incorporate twisting, we consider the function

$$(2-27) \quad F = f_{\infty} \times (f^{\chi} * f_{\text{fin}}) = f_{\infty} \times \prod_{p \mid N} \left(\sum_{(t,\zeta)} f^{\sigma_{t,\zeta}} \right) \prod_{p \mid D} f_p^{\chi} \prod_{p \mid n} f_p^n \prod_{p \nmid nDN} \phi_p,$$

where f^{χ} is the twisting operator of level N^3 as defined in Section 2F (where the level was denoted by N rather than N^3 used here). The second equality in (2-27) follows from (2-20). We will use the above as our test function in the trace formula. The kernel of the operator $R(F)$ is

$$(2-28) \quad K(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})} F(x^{-1}\gamma y).$$

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')$ be the set of newforms of weight k , level N^3 and central character ω' . Then the kernel function above has the spectral form*

$$(2-29) \quad K(x, y) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_f(u)R(f^{\chi})\phi_u(x)\overline{\phi_u(y)}}{\|u\|^2}$$

for $\lambda_f(u)$ as in (2-26). The kernel function is continuous on $G(\mathbb{A}) \times G(\mathbb{A})$ and the above equality is valid for all points (x, y) .

Proof. First, note that by Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, $R(F)\phi_u = \lambda_f(u)R(f^{\chi})\phi_u$. Therefore the right-hand side of (2-29) is the same as

$$(2-30) \quad \sum_{\phi} \frac{R(F)\phi(x)\overline{\phi(y)}}{\|\phi\|^2},$$

where ϕ ranges through an orthogonal basis for $A_k(N^3, \omega)$ (defined in (2-25)). In fact we may even allow ϕ to range over an orthogonal basis for the whole space $L^2(\omega)$ since $R(F)$ annihilates $A_k(N^3, \omega)^\perp$. The restriction of $R(F)$ to the cuspidal subspace is well-known to be Hilbert–Schmidt, and hence $R(F)$ is itself Hilbert–Schmidt since it vanishes on $L_0^2(\omega)^\perp$. (In fact, $R(F)$ has finite rank when $k > 2$, but not when $k = 0$.) Hence its kernel is equal to (2-30), proving that (2-29) holds almost everywhere.

The continuity of (2-28) is trivial when $k = 0$, since in that case the defining sum is locally finite, F having compact support modulo the center and $\overline{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ being discrete and closed in $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A})$. When $k > 2$, f_∞ is not compactly supported, so the continuity is not trivial, but a proof is given in [KL 2006, Proposition 18.4].

On the other hand, the continuity of the right-hand side of (2-29) is trivial when $k > 2$ since in that case it is a finite sum of continuous functions. When $k = 0$, a proof is given in [KL 2013, Corollary 6.12]. In all cases, it follows that (2-29) is valid everywhere. \square

3. A relative trace formula

Our goal is to compute the relative trace formula given by the integral

$$(3-1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} K\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\theta(rx)} \overline{\chi^*(y)} |y|^{s - \frac{k'}{2}} dx d^*y,$$

where $k' = k$ if $k > 2$ and $k' = 1$ if $k = 0$.

On the spectral side we evaluate the double integral using (2-29).

Proposition 3.1. *The integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')}$$

is convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $k > 2$, and in some right half-plane when $k = 0$. Hence for such s , (3-1) is equal to

$$(3-2) \quad \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_f(u) \overline{a_r(u)} \Lambda(s, u, \chi)}{\|u\|^2} P_r(u),$$

where $\lambda_f(u)$ is given in (2-26) and

$$P_r(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} K_{it}(2\pi|r|) & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } u \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } u \text{ is odd,} \\ e^{-2\pi r} & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$R(f^\chi)\phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \chi^*(y)\phi_{u_\chi}\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \cong \mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*$. Therefore, whenever the double integral in the statement of the proposition is convergent, (3-1) is equal to

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_f(u)}{\|u\|^2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \phi_{u_\chi}\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) |y|^{s-\frac{k'}{2}} d^*y \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \overline{\phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)} \theta(rx) dx,$$

which is equal to (3-2) by Lemma 2.2. Each of the above integrals is absolutely convergent, so the first assertion of the proposition is immediate when $k > 2$ since the sum over u is finite in that case. For the nonholomorphic case, we refer to Proposition 5.1 below. \square

For the geometric side, we use the expression (2-28) and formally unfold (3-1) to obtain

$$(3-3) \quad \sum_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{A}^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}} F\left(\begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\theta(rx)} \overline{\chi^*(y)} |y|^{s-\frac{k'}{2}} dx d^*y,$$

where δ ranges over $\overline{M}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{Q}) / N(\mathbb{Q})$. (See §7 of [JK 2012] for details.) By the Bruhat decomposition, the elements

$$1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}^* \right\},$$

form a set of representatives for these double cosets.

Proposition 3.2. *The convergence*

$$\sum_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{A}^*} \int_{\mathbb{A}} \left| F\left(\begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\theta(rx)} \overline{\chi^*(y)} |y|^{s-k'/2} \right| dx d^*y < \infty$$

is valid for all s when $k = 0$, and for $1 < \text{Re}(s) < k - 1$ when $k > 2$. Hence the spectral side (3-2) is equal to the geometric side (3-3) when $1 < \text{Re}(s) < k - 1$ if $k > 2$, and when $\text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large if $k = 0$.

Proof. We will show in the proof of Proposition 5.3 below that when $k = 0$, the integrand vanishes identically for all but finitely many δ . Since F also has compact support modulo the center in this case, the remaining integrals are absolutely convergent. When $k > 2$, the proof is essentially identical to that of Proposition 7.1 of [JK 2012], in view of the proof of Proposition 4.5 below. \square

We let $I_{\delta}(s)$ denote the double integral attached to δ in (3-3). This orbital integral can be computed locally. The archimedean integral in the case $k = 0$ will be

considered in Section 5 below. In the holomorphic case $k > 2$, the archimedean orbital integral was computed in [KL 2010] and [JK 2012]. The nonarchimedean local calculations at places $p \nmid N$ were carried out in [JK 2012]. Thus it remains here to compute the local integrals at places $p \mid N$. The results will be given in (3-5), (3-7) and (3-10) below.

3A. Orbital integrals for $p \mid N$. To simplify notation in this section, we will write k rather than k' . Suppose $p \mid N$, and let $\sigma = \sigma_{r,\zeta}$ be a supercuspidal representation of conductor p^3 and central character ω_p . Define

$$J_\delta(s, f^\sigma) = J_\delta(s, f_1^\sigma) + J_\delta(s, f_2^\sigma),$$

as in (2-21), where for $i = 1, 2$,

$$J_\delta(s, f_i^\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_i^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} \chi_p(y) |y|_p^{\frac{k}{2}-s} dx d^*y.$$

Then replacing y by y^{-1} in (3-3), we see that

$$I_\delta(s)_p = \sum_\sigma J_\delta^\sigma(s).$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\delta = 1$, so that*

$$J_1(s, f^\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & xy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(y) |y|_p^{k/2-s} d^*y.$$

Then

$$(3-4) \quad J_1(s, f^\sigma) = J_1(s, f_1^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(p+1)}{2} & \text{if } p \nmid r, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid r, \end{cases}$$

$$(3-5) \quad I_1(s)_p = \begin{cases} p^3 - p & \text{if } p \nmid r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (2-23), the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} y & xy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

never belongs to $\text{Supp}(f_2^\sigma)$, so $J_1(s, f^\sigma) = J_1(s, f_1^\sigma)$. Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y & xy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Supp}(f_1^\sigma) = Z_p \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^* & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

if and only if $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and $x \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$. We substitute $u = y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, and replace yx by $p^{-1}x$, so now $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then dx becomes $p dx$, and

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(s, f_1^\sigma) &= p \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_1^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} u & p^{-1}x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p \left(\frac{ru^{-1}x}{p} \right)} dx d^*u \\ &= \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \sum_{w \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{-xw}{p} \right) \theta_p \left(\frac{-ru^{-1}x}{p} \right) dx d^*u. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over \mathbb{Z}_p is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{(-w - ru^{-1})x}{p} \right) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \equiv -rw^{-1} \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, this vanishes if $p \mid r$. Assuming $p \nmid r$,

$$J_1(s, f_1^\sigma) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \sum_{w \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} \int_{-rw^{-1} + p\mathbb{Z}_p} d^*u = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \text{meas}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*),$$

which proves (3-4). The number of pairs (t, ζ) is $2(p-1)$. Since (3-4) is independent of σ (the parameters (t, ζ) not appearing in (3-4)),

$$I_1(s)_p = 2(p-1)J_1(s, f^\sigma),$$

and (3-5) follows. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let*

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$J_\delta(s, f^\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(y) |y|^{\frac{k}{2}-s} d^*y.$$

Then

$$(3-6) \quad J_\delta(s, f^\sigma) = J_\delta(s, f_2^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p^3)^{\frac{k}{2}-s} p(p+1) \omega_p(p)^2}{2\zeta \chi_p(p^3)} & \text{if } r \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(3-7) \quad I_\delta(s)_p = 0.$$

Proof. By (2-22), the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

never belongs to $\text{Supp}(f_1^\sigma)$, so $J_\delta(s, f^\sigma) = J_\delta(s, f_2^\sigma)$. Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Supp}(f_2^\sigma)$$

if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -py \\ p & px \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p^{-2}\mathbb{Z}_p^* \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p^* & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, we may write $y = p^{-3}u$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, and $x' = px \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $dx' = p^{-1} dx$, and dropping the ' from the notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\delta(s, f_2^\sigma) &= p \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_2^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & \\ & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p^{-2}u \\ p & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \theta_p \left(\frac{-rx}{p} \right) dx \chi_p(p^{-3})(p^3)^{\frac{k}{2}-s} d^*u \\ &= \frac{(p^3)^{k/2-s} p(p+1)\zeta \omega_p(p)}{2\chi_p(p^3)\omega_p(t)} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{-x}{p} \right) \theta_p \left(\frac{-rx}{p} \right) dx d^*u. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over \mathbb{Z}_p is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(-\frac{(1+r)x}{p} \right) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equality (3-6) now follows, using the fact that

$$\frac{\zeta \omega_p(p)}{\omega_p(t)} = \frac{\zeta \omega_p(p)^2}{\omega_p(pt)} = \frac{\omega_p(p)^2}{\zeta}.$$

For fixed t , if we sum (3-6) over $\pm\zeta$, we get 0. It follows that

$$I_\delta(s)_p = \sum_{\sigma} J_\delta(s, f^\sigma) = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.5. *For $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, let*

$$\delta_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$J_{\delta_a}(s, f^\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa-1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(y) |y|_p^{\frac{k}{2}-s} d^*y.$$

Then $J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma)$ vanishes unless $a \in p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $p \nmid r$. In this case, writing $a = p^{a_p}a_0$ for $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \cap \mathbb{Q}^*$, we have

$$(3-8) \quad J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{|a|_p^{2s-k} p(p+1)\omega_p(p^{a_p})}{2\chi_p(a^2)} \theta_p\left(\frac{ta}{rp^3} - \frac{r}{a}\right) & \text{if } a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The integral $J_{\delta_a}(s, f_2^\sigma)$ vanishes unless $a \in p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$. For such a ,

$$(3-9) \quad J_{\delta_a}(s, f_2^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p^3)^{k/2-s} p(p+1)\omega_p(p^2)}{2\chi_p(p^3)\zeta} \theta_p\left(\frac{ta}{p^3}\right) & \text{if } r \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, $I_{\delta_a}(s)_p$ vanishes unless $p \nmid r$ and $a = p^{a_p}a_0$ for $a_p \geq 2$ and $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$. If these conditions are satisfied, then

$$(3-10) \quad I_{\delta_a}(s)_p = \frac{|a|_p^{2s-k} p(p+1)\omega_p(p^{a_p})\theta_p\left(-\frac{r}{a}\right)}{\chi_p(a^2)} \Delta_p(a),$$

$$\text{for } \Delta_p(a) = \begin{cases} p-1, & a_p > 2 \\ -1, & a_p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start by computing $J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma)$. From (2-22) we see that the determinant of any matrix in the support of f_1^σ is of the form $(p^m)^2u$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ (the square factor coming from the center). Since

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = y,$$

it follows that we may assume $y = u/p^{2\ell}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, and that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p^\ell & \\ & p^\ell \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa-1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-\ell}au & p^{-\ell}u(xa-1) \\ p^\ell & p^\ell x \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^* & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1+p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies $a_p = \ell \geq 2$, and that $p^\ell x = 1 + px'$ for some $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $p^{-\ell} dx = p^{-1} dx'$. Making this substitution, we find that $J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma)$ is equal to

$$\frac{(p^{\ell-1})\omega_p(p^\ell)(p^{2\ell})^{\frac{k}{2}-s}}{\chi_p(p^{2\ell})} \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_1^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_0u & \frac{1}{p^\ell}u((1+px')a_0-1) \\ p^\ell & 1+px' \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p\left(\frac{r(1+px')}{p^\ell}\right)} dx' d^*u.$$

In order that the integrand be nonzero, we need $p^{-\ell}((1+px')a_0-1) \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$, that is, $1+px' \equiv a_0^{-1} \pmod{p^{\ell-1}\mathbb{Z}_p}$. This is only possible if $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Assuming the latter condition holds, we set $1+px' = a_0^{-1} + p^{\ell-1}x''$, so $p^{-1} dx' = p^{1-\ell} dx''$.

Then, writing x in place of x'' , the double integral becomes

$$\frac{p\omega_p(p^\ell)(p^{2\ell})^{\frac{k}{2}-s}}{\chi_p(p^{2\ell})} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_1^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_0u & p^{-1}xua_0 \\ p^\ell & a_0^{-1} + p^{\ell-1}x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p \left(\frac{r(a_0^{-1} + p^{\ell-1}x)}{p^\ell} \right)} dx d^*u.$$

After replacing u by ua_0^{-1} , this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p^{2\ell})^{\frac{k}{2}-s} p(p+1)\omega_p(p^\ell)}{2\chi_p(p^{2\ell})} \theta_p \left(\frac{-r}{p^\ell a_0} \right) \\ & \times \sum_{w \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{-xuw - tp^{\ell-2}(uw)^{-1}}{p} \right) \theta_p \left(\frac{-rx}{p} \right) dx d^*u. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing u by $-uw^{-1}$, we eliminate w , and the sum contributes a factor of $(p-1)$. The integral over x is then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{(u-r)x}{p} \right) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \in r + p\mathbb{Z}_p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, it vanishes if $p \mid r$. Assuming $p \nmid r$, the sum over w of the double integral thus becomes

$$(p-1) \int_{r+p\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p \left(\frac{tp^{\ell-2}u^{-1}}{p} \right) d^*u = \theta_p \left(\frac{tp^{\ell-2}}{rp} \right).$$

Hence

$$J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma) = \frac{(p^{2\ell})^{k/2-s} p(p+1)\omega_p(p^\ell)}{2\chi_p(p^{2\ell})} \theta_p \left(\frac{tp^{\ell-2}}{rp} - \frac{r}{a} \right),$$

which establishes (3-8).

Now consider

$$J_{\delta_a}(s, f_2^\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_2^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa-1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(y) |y|_p^{\frac{k}{2}-s} d^*y.$$

By (2-23), the integrand is nonzero precisely when

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa-1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} pya & py(xa-1) \\ p & px \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p^{-2}\mathbb{Z}_p^* \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p^* & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the determinant, this says in particular that $p^2y \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p^*$, so we may write $y = u/p^3$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Writing $px = x'$, we have $p^{-1}dx = dx'$, and the double integral above equals

$$\frac{(p^3)^{\frac{k}{2}-s} p\omega_p(p)}{\chi_p(p^3)} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_2^\sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{ua}{p^2} & \frac{u}{p^2} \left(\frac{xa}{p} - 1 \right) \\ p & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \theta_p \left(\frac{-rx'}{p} \right) dx d^*u.$$

From the upper left entry, the integrand is nonzero only if $a_p \geq 2$. Assuming the latter, we also have $(xa/p) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, so the upper right entry belongs to $p^{-2}\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ as required. Hence the above equals

$$\frac{(p^3)^{k/2-s} p(p+1)\zeta\omega_p(p)}{2\omega_p(t)\chi_p(p^3)} \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p\left(\frac{-x - tp^{-2}ua\left(u\left(\frac{xa}{p} - 1\right)\right)^{-1}}{p}\right) \theta_p\left(\frac{-rx}{p}\right) dx d^*u.$$

Note that u disappears, and that $((xa/p) - 1)^{-1} \equiv -1 \pmod p$. Hence the above equals

$$\frac{(p^3)^{\frac{k}{2}-s} p(p+1)\zeta\omega_p(p)}{2\omega_p(t)\chi_p(p^3)} \theta_p\left(\frac{ta}{p^3}\right) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta_p\left(\frac{-(r+1)x}{p}\right) dx.$$

Equation (3-9) follows upon using $\zeta\omega_p(p)/\omega_p(t) = \omega_p(p)^2/\zeta$.

Since $J_{\delta_a}(s, f_2^{\sigma_t, \zeta}) + J_{\delta_a}(s, f_2^{\sigma_t, -\zeta}) = 0$, we see that

$$I_{\delta_a}(s)_p = \sum_{(t, \zeta)} J_{\delta_a}(s, f_1^\sigma) = \frac{|a|_p^{2s-k} p(p+1)\omega_p(p)^{a_p}}{\chi_p(a^2)} \theta_p\left(-\frac{r}{a}\right) \Delta_p(a),$$

assuming $p \nmid r, a \in p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod p$, where

$$\Delta_p(a) = \sum_{t \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} \theta_p\left(\frac{tp^{a_p-2}}{rp}\right) = \begin{cases} p-1 & \text{if } a_p > 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } a_p = 2. \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof. □

3B. Summary of local results. We summarize here the contribution of $\delta = 1$, which turns out to be the main term. By (7.7) and (7.8) of [JK 2012], and (3-5) above, we have

$$(3-11) \quad I_1(s)_p = \begin{cases} \chi_p(r) & \text{if } p \mid D, \\ p(p+1)(p-1) & \text{if } p \mid N, \\ (p^{n_p})^{\frac{k'}{2}-s} \sum_{\substack{\min(r_p, n_p) \\ d_p=0}} (p^{d_p})^{2s-k'+1} \overline{\omega_p\left(\frac{p^{d_p}}{p^{n_p}}\right)} \chi_p\left(\frac{p^{2d_p}}{p^{n_p}}\right) & \text{if } p \mid n, \\ \frac{2^{k-1}(2\pi r)^{k-s-1}}{(k-2)! e^{2\pi r}} \Gamma(s) & \text{if } p = \infty, k > 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \nmid NDn\infty. \end{cases}$$

The local integrals for $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are irrelevant, since those at places dividing N vanish by (3-7).

We will discuss the local integrals for $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in Section 4A.

4. Results for holomorphic cusp forms

In this section, we will prove the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $r, n, D, N, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with N squarefree, $k > 2$, $(rn, ND) = 1$, and $(D, N) = 1$. Let ω' be a Dirichlet character modulo N , with $\omega'(-1) = (-1)^k$, and let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo D . Then for all $s = \sigma + i\tau$ in the strip $1 < \sigma < k - 1$,*

$$(4-1) \quad \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_n(u) \overline{a_r(u)} \Lambda(s, u, \chi)}{\psi(N^3) \|u\|^2} = F + E,$$

where

$$F = \frac{2^{k-1} (2\pi rn)^{k-s-1}}{(k-2)!} \Gamma(s) \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{d|(n,r)} d^{2s-k+1} \overline{\omega'\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{rn}{d^2}\right)$$

is the main term, and the error term E (an infinite series involving confluent hypergeometric functions) satisfies

$$|E| \leq \frac{(4\pi rn)^{k-1} \varphi(D) \gcd(r, n) B(\sigma, k-\sigma) \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{N^{2\sigma} D^{\sigma-k+\frac{1}{2}} (k-2)!} 2 \cosh \frac{\pi\tau}{2} \zeta(k-\sigma) \zeta(\sigma)$$

for the beta function $B(x, y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y) \leq 1$ and Euler's φ -function.

Remark. If $(r, N) > 1$, then (4-1) vanishes. This is a consequence of the fact that the local representations at $p|N$ are supercuspidal, which implies that $a_p(u) = 0$ (see, e.g., the proof of Corollary 45 of [Goldfeld et al. 2010]) and hence $a_r(u) = 0$. This is reflected on the geometric side in (3-5).

The L -functions in Theorem 4.1 are normalized so that the central point is $k/2$. In order to free s from dependence on k we shift the variable so that the critical strip becomes $[0, 1]$ in the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose for simplicity that $(n, r) = 1$. Then for any point s in the critical strip $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$, the sum*

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_n(u) \overline{a_r(u)} \Lambda\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}, u, \chi\right)}{\psi(N^3) \|u\|^2}$$

is nonzero as long as $N + k$ is sufficiently large.

Proof. See the proof of Corollary 4.4 below. □

As another corollary, we can show that the central values $L(k/2, h, \chi)$ satisfy the Lindelöf hypothesis on average as $k + N \rightarrow \infty$ when χ is real and ω' is trivial. (Under these conditions, the central value is a nonnegative real number [Guo 1996].)

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that ω' is trivial and χ is real. Then for $k > 2$,*

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3)} L(k/2, u, \chi) \ll_D (kN^3)^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Corollary 1.3 of [JK 2012]. □

Remark. This is the same bound we would get by assuming the Lindelöf hypothesis $L(k/2, u, \chi) \ll (D^2kN^3)^\varepsilon$, in view of the fact that

$$|\mathcal{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3)| \sim \frac{k-1}{12} \psi^{\text{new}}(N^3),$$

where $(N^3)^{1-\varepsilon} \ll \psi^{\text{new}}(N^3) \leq N^3$ [Serre 1997, (60) on p. 86].

Returning to the case of general ω' and χ , let $\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle^{\text{new}}$ denote the sum in (4-1). We can regard this as an inner product of elements of the dual space of $S_k(N^3, \omega')^{\text{new}}$. One can also define $\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle$ in the same way, but where the sum is taken over an orthogonal basis for the full space $S_k(N^3, \omega')$. It is interesting to compare the two.

Corollary 4.4. *Let s belong to the critical strip $(k-1)/2 < \text{Re}(s) < (k+1)/2$, and suppose that $(n, r) = 1$. Then with notation as above, we have*

$$\frac{\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle^{\text{new}}}{\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle} \sim \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \quad \text{as } N+k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. From this we can observe two extremes in behavior. If $N = p$ is prime, and $p \rightarrow \infty$, the above tends to 1, so the contribution of oldforms becomes negligible. This agrees with a prediction of Ellenberg [2004, Remark 3.11]. On the other hand, if we take N to be the product of the first ℓ primes not dividing D and let $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, the above goes to 0 and the contribution of the newforms becomes negligible.

Proof. In Theorem 1.1 of [JK 2012], it is proven that

$$\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle = F' + E', \quad \text{where } F' = \frac{F}{\prod_{p|N} (1 - \frac{1}{p})},$$

and $|E'|$ satisfies a bound similar to the one given for $|E|$ in the above theorem, but without the factor of $\prod_{p|N} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$, and with $N^{3\sigma}$ in the denominator rather than $N^{2\sigma}$. In the last line of [JK 2012], it is shown using Stirling's approximation that for $s = (k/2) + \delta + i\tau$ with $|\delta| < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\left| \frac{E'}{F'} \right| \ll \frac{(4D\pi r n e)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(N^3)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\frac{k-1}{2}}},$$

where the implied constant depends on δ, D, R, n, τ . Clearly the above goes to 0 as $N+k \rightarrow \infty$. The same bound holds for $|E/F|$, but with N^2 in place of N^3 ,

since the extra factor of $\prod(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ in the numerator and denominator cancels out. Likewise,

$$\left| \frac{E}{F'} \right| = \left| \frac{E}{F} \right| \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \leq \left| \frac{E}{F} \right| \ll \frac{(4D\pi r n e)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(N^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}.$$

Now consider the quotient

$$\frac{\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle^{\text{new}}}{\langle \Lambda_n(s, \chi), a_r \rangle} = \frac{F+E}{F'+E'} = \frac{\frac{F}{F'} + \frac{E}{F'}}{1 + \frac{E'}{F'}} = \frac{\prod_{p|N} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) + O\left(\frac{(4D\pi r n e)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(N^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}\right)}{1 + O\left(\frac{(4D\pi r n e)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(N^3)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}\right)}$$

The corollary now follows easily. □

4A. Proof of Theorem 4.1. In the holomorphic case, the spectral side (3-2) becomes

$$\frac{n^{1-\frac{k}{2}}}{e^{2\pi r}} \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{F}_k^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_n(u) \overline{a_r(u)}}{\|u\|^2} \Lambda(s, u, \chi).$$

By the local calculation (3-7), the geometric side has the form

$$I_1(s) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^*} I_{\delta_a}(s).$$

As is typical, the identity term $I_1(s)$ is the dominant term as $N+k \rightarrow \infty$. Multiplying the local results (3-11) together, when $k > 2$ (so $k' = k$) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{2\pi r} n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\psi(N^3)} I_1(s) \\ &= \frac{2^{k-1} (2\pi r n)^{k-s-1}}{(k-2)!} \Gamma(s) \prod_{p|N} \frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{p^2(p+1)} \sum_{d|(r,n)} d^{2s-k+1} \overline{\omega'\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{rn}{d^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is the leading term of (4-1).

Theorem 4.1 now follows immediately from the following proposition involving the remaining orbital integrals.

Proposition 4.5. For $\delta_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, the orbital integral $I_{\delta_a}(s)$ is absolutely convergent on the strip $0 < \sigma < k$. It vanishes unless $a = (N^2 b)/(nD)$ for $b \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. When $s = \sigma + i\tau$ for $1 < \sigma < k-1$, the sum $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^*} I_{\delta_a}(s)$ is absolutely

convergent, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{2\pi r} n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\psi(N^3)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^*} |I_{\delta_a}(s)| \\ & \leq \frac{(4\pi r n)^{k-1} \varphi(D) \gcd(r, n) B(\sigma, k - \sigma) \prod_{p|N} (1 - \frac{1}{p})}{N^{2\sigma} D^{\sigma-k+\frac{1}{2}} (k-2)!} 2 \cosh\left(\frac{\pi \tau}{2}\right) \zeta(k - \sigma) \zeta(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Using the results of [JK 2012] and (3-10) above, one can actually give a rather explicit formula for the sum of the $I_{\delta_a}(s)$ as an infinite series. However, this involves a lot of bookkeeping and seems of limited value, so we will just present the bound. First, by (3-10), we note that for $p | N$, $I_{\delta_a}(s)_p$ vanishes unless $a = (N^2 b)/(nD) \in N^2 \mathbb{Z}_p$, and

$$(4-2) \quad |I_{\delta_a}(s)_p| \leq |N^2 b|_p^{2\sigma-k} p(p+1)(p-1) \leq |N^2|_p^{2\sigma-k} p(p+1)(p-1) \sum_{d_p=0}^{b_p} |p^{d_p}|_p^{2\sigma-k}.$$

Now suppose $p \nmid N\infty$. Then the value of $I_{\delta_a}(s)_p$ is not quite stated explicitly in [JK 2012], but a closely related integral is given. Start with

$$I_{\delta_a}(s)_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_p \left(\begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa-1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(y) |y|_p^{\frac{k}{2}-s} d^* y.$$

A matrix belongs to the support of f_p only if its determinant is of the form $(p^m)^2 nu$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. This can be seen from (2-24) (for $p | n$), from the expression for f_p^x three lines above (2-17) if $p | D$, and from (2-14) if $p \nmid nDN\infty$. (In the latter two cases, n is a unit.) The determinant of the matrix in the above integral is y , so the integrand vanishes unless $y \in p^{-2d_p} n \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for some $d_p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $a = N^2 b/(nD)$, where (for now) $b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$. It will be convenient to write $y = nu/(N^2 d)^2$ for $d = p^{d_p}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Then the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d_p \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\chi_p\left(\frac{n}{N^4 d^2}\right)}{|d^2|_p^{s-\frac{k}{2}}} \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_p \left(\begin{pmatrix} N^2 d & \\ & N^2 d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{nu}{N^2 d} \frac{N^2 b}{nD} & \frac{nu}{N^2 d} \left(\frac{xN^2 b}{nD} - 1\right) \\ N^2 d & dN^2 x \end{pmatrix} \right) \overline{\theta_p(rx)} dx \chi_p(u) d^* u. \end{aligned}$$

Since the second matrix has determinant $un \in n\mathbb{Z}_p^*$, all entries must lie in \mathbb{Z}_p for the integrand to be nonzero. In particular, $d_p \geq 0$. We may substitute $x' = dN^2 x$, so that $dx' = |dN^2|_p dx = |d|_p dx$. Using the fact that the central character ω_p is

unramified, we obtain

$$\sum_{d_p \geq 0} \frac{\chi_p\left(\frac{n}{N^4 d^2}\right) \omega_p(d)}{\left|\frac{n}{d^2}\right|_p^{s-\frac{k}{2}}} |d|_p^{-1} \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_p\left(\left(\frac{ub}{dD} \frac{ubx}{N^2 d^2 D} - \frac{nu}{N^2 d}\right)\right) \overline{\theta_p\left(\frac{rx}{N^2 d}\right)} dx \chi_p(u) d^* u.$$

The latter double integral coincides with (8.4) of [JK 2012], but with N where we have N^2 . It is computed explicitly in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 of that reference. In particular, it vanishes unless $0 \leq d_p \leq b_p$, proving the assertion in Proposition 4.5 that the global integral vanishes unless $a = N^2 b / (nD)$ for nonzero $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying the coefficient by the double integral, whose value is given in (8.7), (8.8), and (8.12) of the same work, we find

$$(4-3) \quad |I_{\delta_a}(s)_p| \leq \frac{\varphi(p^{D_p})}{|\tau(\bar{\chi})_p|} \sum_{d_p=0}^{b_p} |p^{d_p}|_p^{2\sigma-k} \quad \text{if } (p \mid D),$$

$$(4-4) \quad |I_{\delta_a}(s)_p| \leq \frac{|n|_p^{\frac{k}{2}-\sigma}}{|\gcd(r, n)|_p} \sum_{d_p=0}^{b_p} |p^{d_p}|_p^{2\sigma-k} \quad \text{if } (p \nmid DN\infty).$$

(For the latter, we have used the fact that $\gcd(\frac{b}{d}, Nd) \mid \gcd(r, Dn)$ in [JK 2012].) Multiplying the local bounds (4-2)–(4-4) together, we have

$$(4-5) \quad |I_{\delta_a}(s)_{\text{fin}}| \leq \frac{n^{\sigma-\frac{k}{2}} \varphi(D) \gcd(r, n)}{(N^2)^{2\sigma-k} |\tau(\bar{\chi})|} \left(\prod_{p \mid N} p(p+1)(p-1) \right) \sum_{d \mid b} \frac{1}{d^{2\sigma-k}}.$$

For the archimedean part, we have from [ibid., (8.15)]

$$(4-6) \quad |I_{\delta_a}(s)_{\infty}| = \left| \frac{(4\pi r)^{k-1} (N^2)^{\sigma-k} b^{s-k} e^{\frac{i\pi s}{2}}}{(k-2)! (nD)^{\sigma-k} e^{2\pi r}} {}_1f_1\left(s; k; -\frac{2\pi i r n D}{N^2 b}\right) \right|,$$

where $b^s = e^{-i\pi s} |a|^s$ if $b < 0$, and for $\text{Re}(k) > \text{Re}(s) > 0$,

$${}_1f_1(s, k; w) = B(s, k-s) {}_1F_1(s; k; w) = \int_0^1 e^{wx} x^{s-1} (1-x)^{k-s-1} dx$$

[Slater 1960, §3.1]. Noting that

$$\left| {}_1f_1\left(s; k; -\frac{2\pi i r n D}{N^2 b}\right) \right| \leq \int_0^1 x^{\sigma-1} (1-x)^{k-\sigma-1} dx = B(\sigma, k-\sigma),$$

and that

$$\frac{\prod_{p|N} p(p+1)(p-1)}{\psi(N^3)} = \prod_{p|N} \frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{p^2(p+1)} = \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

we multiply (4-5) by (4-6) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{2\pi r} n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\psi(N^3)} |I_{\delta_a}(s)| \\ & \leq \frac{(4\pi r n)^{k-1} \varphi(D) e^{-\pi \frac{\tau}{2}}}{N^{2\sigma} D^{\sigma-k+\frac{1}{2}} (k-2)!} \left(\prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right) |b^{s-k}| B(\sigma, k-\sigma) \sum_{d|b} \frac{\gcd(r, n)}{d^{2\sigma-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to bound the sum over $b \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. Write $b = \pm cd$ for $c, d > 0$, and group the $c, -c$ terms together, so that

$$|c^{s-k}| + |(-c)^{s-k}| = c^{\sigma-k} + |(e^{-i\pi})^{s-k} c^{s-k}| = c^{\sigma-k} (1 + e^{\pi\tau}).$$

Noting that $e^{-\pi\tau/2} (1 + e^{\pi\tau}) = 2 \cosh(\pi\tau/2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\pi\tau}{2}} \sum_{b \neq 0} |b^{s-k}| \sum_{d|b} \frac{1}{d^{2\sigma-k}} \\ = 2 \cosh\left(\frac{\pi\tau}{2}\right) \sum_{c,d>0} c^{\sigma-k} d^{-\sigma} = 2 \cosh\left(\frac{\pi\tau}{2}\right) \zeta(k-\sigma) \zeta(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.5 now follows immediately.

5. The case of nonholomorphic cusp forms

5A. Integral transforms. Here we define various integral transforms involving spherical functions. We refer to §3 of [KL 2013] for further detail.

Let $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R})^+ // K_\infty)$ as in (2-15). The *Harish-Chandra transform* of f_∞ is the function on \mathbb{R}^+ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}f_\infty(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_\infty\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) dx.$$

We will also encounter the twisted variant

$$(5-1) \quad \mathcal{H}_r f_\infty(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_\infty\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx$$

for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and a twisted variant in the big Bruhat cell

$$(5-2) \quad \mathcal{H}_{r,\alpha} f_\infty(y) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_\infty\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Each of the above functions is smooth with compact support in \mathbb{R}^+ .

For $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the Mellin transform is denoted

$$\mathcal{M}_s \phi = \int_0^\infty \phi(y) y^s d^* y.$$

Composing with the Harish-Chandra transform, we obtain the *spherical transform*

$$\mathcal{S} f_\infty(s) = \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{H} f_\infty.$$

The *Selberg transform* of f_∞ is defined by

$$(5-3) \quad h(t) = \mathcal{S} f_\infty(it) = \mathcal{M}_{it} \mathcal{H} f_\infty.$$

Then $h(it)$ is an even Paley–Wiener function. This means that it is holomorphic and there exists a real number $C \geq 1$ depending only on h such that for any integer $M > 0$, we have

$$(5-4) \quad h(a + ib) \ll_{M,h} \frac{C^{|b|}}{(1 + |a|)^M}.$$

Using (5-1) we also define a *twisted spherical transform* of f_∞ by

$$(5-5) \quad h_r(s) = \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{H}_r f_\infty,$$

and a twisted variant in the big Bruhat cell

$$(5-6) \quad h_{r,\alpha}(s) = \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{H}_{r,\alpha} f_\infty,$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, as in (5-2). These functions likewise are holomorphic and satisfy (5-4), though they are not even in general. Note that $h_0(s) = h(s)$.

5B. Nonholomorphic case: spectral side. When $k = 0$, the spectral side (3-2) of the relative trace formula becomes

$$(5-7) \quad I = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{F}_+^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $k = 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $\sigma = \text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, then the integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \backslash \mathbb{A}^*} \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \left| \frac{\lambda_f(u) R(f^x) \phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\phi_u\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)}}{\|u\|^2} |y|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \right| dx d^* y$$

is absolutely convergent. Hence, as in Proposition 3.1, the integral (3-1) is equal to (5-7) for such s . The sum (5-7) converges absolutely for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, and defines an entire function.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, by the fact there are at most finitely many u with exceptional spectral parameters, it suffices to sum over the set \mathcal{F}' of newforms for which t is real. Thus we need to show that

$$(5-8) \quad \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}'} \frac{|\lambda_f(u)|}{\|u\|^2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^* \setminus \mathbb{A}^*} \left| \phi_{u_\chi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right| y^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} d^*y \int_{\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{A}} \left| \phi_u \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right| dx$$

is finite. The second integral is bounded by an absolute constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{A}} \left| \phi_u \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right| dx &= \int_0^1 |u(i+x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 \sum_{m \neq 0} |a_m(u) K_{it}(2\pi|m|) e^{2\pi imx}| dx \\ &= \sum_{m \neq 0} |a_m(u) K_{it}(2\pi|m|)| \ll \sum_{m \neq 0} |m|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} K_0(2\pi|m|) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the fact that since t is real,

$$(5-9) \quad |K_{it}(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y(w+w^{-1})}{2}} w^{it} d^*w \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y(w+w^{-1})}{2}} d^*w = K_0(y),$$

and also the bound $|a_m(u)| \ll |m|^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, (5.92)]. The strongest known bound of this nature is that of Kim and Sarnak [2003]:

$$(5-10) \quad |a_m(u)| \leq \tau(|m|) |m|^{\frac{7}{64}},$$

where τ is the divisor function. This, together with (2-26), gives $|\lambda_f(u)| \ll_n |h(t)|$. By the above observations, and following the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that (5-8) is

$$(5-11) \quad \ll \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}'} \frac{|h(t)|}{\|u\|^2} \int_0^\infty |u_\chi(iy)| y^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} d^*y.$$

Using the Fourier expansion of u_χ , the above integral is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m \neq 0} |\chi(m) a_m(u) K_{it}(2\pi|m|y)| y^\sigma d^*y \\ \leq (2\pi)^{-\sigma} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|a_m(u)|}{m^\sigma} \int_0^\infty |K_{it}(y)| y^\sigma d^*y. \end{aligned}$$

Once again invoking (5-9), we have

$$\int_0^\infty |K_{it}(y)| y^\sigma d^*y \leq \int_0^\infty K_0(y) y^\sigma d^*y = 2^{\sigma-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^2,$$

by a well-known identity for $\sigma > 0$ (cf. [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007, 6.561.16]). Using (5-10), we see that the sum over m is bounded by an absolute constant when $\sigma \geq 3$. This shows that the integral of u_χ in (5-11) is bounded by a constant

independent of u and depending continuously on $\sigma \geq 3$. As shown by Goldfeld, Hoffstein and Lieman (see [Hoffstein and Lockhart 1994, Appendix]),

$$(5-12) \quad \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \ll_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon (1 + |t|)^\varepsilon$$

for an absolute (ineffective) implied constant. Thus we reduce to proving that

$$(5-13) \quad \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{F}'} |h(t_j)|(1 + |t_j|)^\varepsilon < \infty.$$

This follows from (5-4) and the fact that $|t_j| \rightarrow \infty$ (for details, see the end of the proof of Proposition 7.5 of [KL 2013]).

Now we prove that the sum (5-7) is absolutely convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Once again, it suffices to sum over $u \in \mathcal{F}'$. Thus (using (5-10) to bound $a_r(u)$ and $\lambda_n(u)$), we need to show

$$(5-14) \quad \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{F}'} \frac{|\Gamma(\frac{s+it_j}{2})\Gamma(\frac{s-it_j}{2})L(s, u_j, \chi)h(t_j)K_{it_j}(2\pi|r|)|}{\|u_j\|^2} < \infty.$$

By Stirling’s formula [Abramowitz and Stegun 1964, 6.1.39], for real $t \neq 0$ (taking $\arg(it) = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$) we have

$$(5-15) \quad \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{s+it}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s-it}{2}\right) \right| \sim 2\pi \left| \left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^{\frac{s+it-1}{2}} \left(-\frac{it}{2}\right)^{\frac{s-it-1}{2}} \right| \\ = 2\pi \left(\frac{|t|}{2}\right)^{\sigma-1} e^{-\pi \frac{|t|}{2}}$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, because t is real, we have

$$(5-16) \quad K_{it}(2\pi|r|) \ll e^{-\pi|t|/2}$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$; see (19) on p. 88 of [Erdélyi et al. 1953].

To bound the L -functions, by the functional equation we can assume without losing generality that $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. For such s , we have the uniform convexity bound

$$L(s, u_j, \chi) \ll_\varepsilon (D^2 N^3)^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon} (|s| + 3)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} (|t_j| + 3)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

[Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Theorem 5.41 and (5.8)]. Here the implied constant is independent of u_j .

Using (5-12), we now find that the left-hand side of (5-14) is

$$\ll (|s| + 3)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{u_j} (|t_j| + 3)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{|t_j|}{2}\right)^{\sigma-1} |h(t_j)| e^{-\pi|t_j|}.$$

The finiteness of the above sum follows as for (5-13). It is clear as well that the convergence is uniform for s in compact sets, giving an entire function. \square

5C. Nonholomorphic case: geometric side. By Proposition 3.2 and (3-7), the geometric side is equal to

$$I_1(s) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^*} I_{\delta_a}(s)$$

for $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The only changes from the holomorphic case discussed earlier are archimedean. For example, it remains true here that $I_{\delta_a}(s) \neq 0$ only if $a = N^2b/(nD)$ for some nonzero integer b . The local orbital integrals at ∞ are now given as general integral transforms of $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R})^+//K_\infty)$. Using the fact that f_∞ has compact support modulo Z_∞ , we will see that all but finitely many of the geometric terms vanish, and indeed if N is sufficiently large, the only nonzero term is the main term.

For the main term we have, upon replacing y by y^{-1} in (3-3),

$$I_1(s)_\infty = \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & xy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{2\pi irx} dx \chi_\infty(y) |y|^{\frac{1}{2}-s} d^*y.$$

Since f_∞ is supported on $G(\mathbb{R})^+$, the first integral can be taken over \mathbb{R}^+ , where χ_∞ is trivial. Furthermore, since f_∞ is biinvariant under $Z_\infty K_\infty$, it follows easily (using the Cartan decomposition [KL 2013, §3.1]) that

$$(5-17) \quad f_\infty(g) = f_\infty(g^{-1}).$$

Therefore

$$(5-18) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(s)_\infty &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{2\pi irx} dx y^{\frac{1}{2}-s} d^*y \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[y^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{-2\pi irx} dx \right] y^s d^*y \\ &= \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{H}_r f_\infty = h_r(s), \end{aligned}$$

as in (5-5).

Multiplying the above by the local nonarchimedean values given in (3-11) (taking $k' = 1$), we obtain the following:

Proposition 5.2. *The global integral $I_1(s)$ is nonzero only if $\gcd(r, N) = 1$. In this case,*

$$(5-19) \quad I_1(s) = \frac{h_r(s)}{n^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} N \prod_{p|N} (p^2 - 1) \sum_{d|\gcd(n,r)} d^{2s} \overline{\omega\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{rn}{d^2}\right).$$

For $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the archimedean orbital integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\delta_a}(s)_\infty &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{2\pi i r x} dx \chi_\infty(y) |y|^{\frac{1}{2}-s} d^*y \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{2\pi i r x} dx y^{\frac{1}{2}-s} d^*y \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\infty \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx y^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^*y \\
 &= \mathcal{M}_s(\mathcal{H}_{r,a} f_\infty) = h_{r,a}(s),
 \end{aligned}$$

as in (5-6).

Proposition 5.3. *For any choice of $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R})^+//K_\infty)$, $I_\delta(s) = 0$ for all but finitely many δ . Indeed, there exists a constant C , depending on f_∞ and n , such that*

$$(5-20) \quad \sum_\delta I_\delta(s) = I_1(s) = \frac{h_r(s)}{n^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} N \prod_{p|N} (p^2 - 1) \sum_{d|\gcd(n,r)} d^{2s} \overline{\omega'\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{rn}{d^2}\right)$$

whenever $N > C$.

Proof. The function

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}$$

is well-defined in $\overline{G}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence it is bounded on the compact set $\text{Supp}(f_\infty)/Z_\infty$.

Taking

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ya & y(xa - 1) \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\alpha\gamma/(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma) = a$. This shows that if $|a|$ is sufficiently large, the above matrix lies outside the support of f_∞ for all x, y , and hence $I_{\delta_a}(s) = 0$. Furthermore, because

$$|a| = \frac{N^2}{n} |b| \geq \frac{N^2}{n} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, when N is sufficiently large the only nonzero term is $I_1(s)$. □

Putting everything together, we now arrive at the main result for Maass forms.

Theorem 5.4. *Let r, n, N be positive integers with N squarefree and $(rn, N) = 1$. Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus D , where $(D, rnN) = 1$. Let $h(iz)$ be an even Paley–Wiener function. When the squarefree integer N is sufficiently large, we have for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5-21) \quad \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{F}_+^{\text{new}}(N^3, \omega')} \frac{\lambda_n(u_j) \overline{a_r(u_j)} \Lambda(s, u_j, \chi)}{\psi(N^3) \|u_j\|^2} h(t_j) K_{it_j}(2\pi|r|) \\
 = \frac{2}{n^s} h_r(s) \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{d|\gcd(n,r)} d^{2s} \overline{\omega'\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} \chi\left(\frac{rn}{d^2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $h_r(s)$ as in (5-5).

Remarks. (1) An immediate corollary (at least when $\gcd(n, r) = 1$) is the existence of a Maass newform of level N^3 for which $\lambda_n(u)$, $a_r(u)$, and $\Lambda(s, u, \chi)$ are simultaneously nonzero.

(2) When $\gcd(r, n) = 1$, the sum on the right becomes $\overline{\omega'(n)}\chi(rn)$. If $r = n = 1$, then the right-hand side is independent of χ . This is the case stated as Theorem 1.1.

(3) Both sides vanish when $(r, N) > 1$. See the remark after Theorem 4.1.

(4) One can weaken the hypotheses somewhat. It is sufficient for $h(iz)$ to be Paley–Wiener of order $m \geq 8$; cf. [KL 2013, Corollary 6.12 and (3.14)].

Proof. As a consequence of Proposition 3.2 and the above discussion, the equality between the spectral side (5-7) and the geometric side (5-20) has been established in some right half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \alpha$ for α sufficiently large. Multiplying both sides of this relative trace formula by $2/(\sqrt{n}\psi(N^3))$, we obtain (5-21) for such s . On the other hand, by Proposition 5.1, each side of (5-21) is an entire function of s . Hence the equality is valid for all complex s . \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for several helpful comments and for pointing out some typos in an earlier draft.

When we were in graduate school as students of Jon Rogawski, he introduced us to the trace formula and encouraged us to work it out explicitly in various situations. At the time, he was working on a project with Ramakrishnan to compute certain averages of L -series using the relative trace formula [Ramakrishnan and Rogawski 2005]. The trace formula we develop here can be viewed as a cross between theirs and the Kuznetsov formula. We dedicate this paper to the memory of Rogawski, an inspirational teacher and mathematician.

References

- [Abramowitz and Stegun 1964] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series **55**, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964. Reprinted by Dover, New York, 1974. MR 29 #4914 Zbl 0171.38503
- [Casselman 1973] W. Casselman, “On some results of Atkin and Lehner”, *Math. Ann.* **201** (1973), 301–314. MR 49 #2558 Zbl 0239.10015
- [Ellenberg 2004] J. S. Ellenberg, “Galois representations attached to \mathbb{Q} -curves and the generalized Fermat equation $A^4 + B^2 = C^p$ ”, *Amer. J. Math.* **126**:4 (2004), 763–787. MR 2005g:11089 Zbl 1059.11041

- [Erdélyi et al. 1953] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions, II*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR 15,419i Zbl 0052.29502
- [Gelbart 1996] S. Gelbart, *Lectures on the Arthur–Selberg trace formula*, University Lecture Series **9**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 98d:22017 Zbl 0876.11022
- [Goldfeld 2006] D. Goldfeld, *Automorphic forms and L -functions for the group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **99**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2008d:11046 Zbl 1108.11039
- [Goldfeld et al. 2010] D. Goldfeld, J. Hundley, and M. Lee, “Fourier expansions of $GL(2)$ newforms at various cusps”, preprint, 2010. arXiv 1009.0028
- [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 7th ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007. MR 2008g:00005 Zbl 1208.65001
- [Gross 2011] B. H. Gross, “Irreducible cuspidal representations with prescribed local behavior”, *Amer. J. Math.* **133**:5 (2011), 1231–1258. MR 2012i:22030 Zbl 1228.22017
- [Gross and Reeder 2010] B. H. Gross and M. Reeder, “Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters”, *Duke Math. J.* **154**:3 (2010), 431–508. MR 2012c:11252 Zbl 1207.11111
- [Guo 1996] J. Guo, “On the positivity of the central critical values of automorphic L -functions for $GL(2)$ ”, *Duke Math. J.* **83**:1 (1996), 157–190. MR 97h:11055 Zbl 0861.11032
- [Hoffstein and Lockhart 1994] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, “Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **140**:1 (1994), 161–181. MR 95m:11048 Zbl 0814.11032
- [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. MR 2005h:11005 Zbl 1059.11001
- [Jackson and Knightly 2012] J. Jackson and A. Knightly, “Averages of twisted L -functions”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1204.2253
- [Jacquet 1987] H. Jacquet, “On the nonvanishing of some L -functions”, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **97**:1-3 (1987), 117–155. MR 90e:11079 Zbl 0659.10031
- [Kim and Sarnak 2003] H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak, “Appendix 2: Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:1 (2003), 175–181. MR 2003k:11083 Zbl 1018.11024
- [KL 2006] A. Knightly and C. Li, *Traces of Hecke operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **133**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2008g:11090 Zbl 1120.11024
- [KL 2010] A. Knightly and C. Li, “Weighted averages of modular L -values”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**:3 (2010), 1423–1443. MR 2010k:11070 Zbl 1230.11061
- [KL 2012] A. Knightly and C. Li, “Simple supercuspidal representations of $GL(n)$ ”, preprint, 2012, available at <http://www.math.umaine.edu/~knightly/research/super.pdf>.
- [KL 2013] A. Knightly and C. Li, “Kuznetsov’s trace formula and the Hecke eigenvalues of Maass forms”, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2013). arXiv 1202.0189
- [Ramakrishnan and Rogawski 2005] D. Ramakrishnan and J. D. Rogawski, “Average values of modular L -series via the relative trace formula”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **1**:4 (2005), 701–735. MR 2007c:11096 Zbl 1143.11029
- [Rogawski 1983] J. D. Rogawski, “Representations of $GL(n)$ and division algebras over a p -adic field”, *Duke Math. J.* **50**:1 (1983), 161–196. MR 84j:12018 Zbl 0523.22015
- [Selberg 1956] A. Selberg, “Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series”, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **20** (1956), 47–87. MR 19,531g Zbl 0072.08201

[Serre 1997] J.-P. Serre, “Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l’opérateur de Hecke T_p ”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10**:1 (1997), 75–102. MR 97h:11048 Zbl 0871.11032

[Slater 1960] L. J. Slater, *Confluent hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960. MR 21 #5753 Zbl 0086.27502

Received July 13, 2012.

ANDREW KNIGHTLY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MAINE
5752 NEVILLE HALL, RM 333
ORONO ME 04469-5752
UNITED STATES
knightly@math.umaine.edu

CHARLES LI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
ROOM 218
LADY SHAW BUILDING
SHATIN
HONG KONG
charles@charlesli.org

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Don Blasius
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, pacific@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright © 2012 Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 260 No. 2 December 2012

Special issue devoted to the memory of Jonathan Rogawski

In memoriam: Jonathan Rogawski	257
DON BLASIUS, DINAKAR RAMAKRISHNAN and V. S. VARADARAJAN	
p -adic Rankin L -series and rational points on CM elliptic curves	261
MASSIMO BERTOLINI, HENRI DARMON and KARTIK PRASANNA	
The syntomic regulator for K_4 of curves	305
AMNON BESSER and ROB DE JEU	
Unique functionals and representations of Hecke algebras	381
BENJAMIN BRUBAKER, DANIEL BUMP and SOLOMON FRIEDBERG	
A relative trace formula for $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ in the local setting	395
BROOKE FEIGON	
On the degrees of matrix coefficients of intertwining operators	433
TOBIAS FINIS, EREZ LAPID and WERNER MÜLLER	
Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction	457
GUY HENNIART and MARIE-FRANCE VIGNERAS	
The functional equation and beyond endoscopy	497
P. EDWARD HERMAN	
A correction to <i>Conducteur des Représentations du groupe linéaire</i>	515
HERVÉ JACQUET	
Modular L -values of cubic level	527
ANDREW KNIGHTLY and CHARLES LI	
On occult period maps	565
STEPHEN KUDLA and MICHAEL RAPOPORT	
A prologue to “Functoriality and reciprocity”, part I	583
ROBERT LANGLANDS	
Truncation of Eisenstein series	665
EREZ LAPID and KEITH OUELLETTE	
Some comments on Weyl’s complete reducibility theorem	687
JONATHAN ROGAWSKI and V. S. VARADARAJAN	
On equality of arithmetic and analytic factors through local Langlands correspondence	695
FREYDOON SHAHIDI	



0030-8730(201212)260:2;1-A