

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

TRUNCATION OF EISENSTEIN SERIES

EREZ LAPID AND KEITH OUELLETTE

Volume 260 No. 2

December 2012

TRUNCATION OF EISENSTEIN SERIES

EREZ LAPID AND KEITH OUELLETTE

To the memory of Jonathan Rogawski

We give a generalization of the Maass–Selberg relations for general Eisenstein series, providing a different approach to Arthur’s asymptotic inner product formula.

1. Introduction	665
2. Notation and conventions	666
3. Cuspidal Eisenstein series	672
4. Some combinatorial lemmas	673
5. Truncation of a general Eisenstein series	680
6. Maass–Selberg relations	682
References	685

1. Introduction

In this short note we study truncation of Eisenstein series. The truncation operator was introduced by Arthur [1980]. It plays a ubiquitous role in the trace formula. In the case of a cuspidal Eisenstein series (that is, one induced from a cuspidal representation) one can write its truncation as a modified Eisenstein series (previously introduced by Langlands). From this, one obtains the Maass–Selberg relations for the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series [Arthur 1980, §4] (see also Section 3). In the case of Eisenstein series induced from the discrete spectrum, Arthur [1982] obtained an asymptotic formula for the inner product above. His method was rather indirect and in particular, it required Langlands’ description of the discrete spectrum in terms of residues of Eisenstein series. A different approach which avoids this description was taken in [Lapid 2011]. It uses the regularized integral developed in [Jacquet et al. 1999]. While the approach of [Lapid 2011] is reasonably conceptual, one still encounters some unpleasant technical difficulties. The purpose of this short paper is to rederive Arthur’s asymptotic result more directly

Lapid was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation Center of Excellence, grant 1691/10. Ouellette would like to thank the Hebrew University of Jerusalem for its hospitality.

MSC2010: 11F70, 11F72.

Keywords: Eisenstein series, spectral theory.

by writing down explicitly the truncation of a general Eisenstein series. This is a pleasant combinatorial exercise in truncation. As explained in [Lapid 2011], the asymptotic formula can be used to compute the inner product of Eisenstein integrals, a key fact in Langlands spectral theory.

We cannot close the introduction without recalling our deep appreciation to our teacher Jonathan Rogawski. His unlimited encouragement and keen interest in mathematics, even in difficult times, will not be forgotten. We miss him greatly.

2. Notation and conventions

Let F be a number field and \mathbb{A} its ring of adèles. Throughout, we denote by boldface letters, such as Y , algebraic varieties over F and we write $Y = Y(F)$, $Y_{\mathbb{A}} = Y(\mathbb{A})$. Sometimes we will not distinguish between Y and Y . Let G be a reductive group over a number field F . (Henceforth, all the algebraic subgroups of G that we consider are implicitly assumed to be defined over F .) We fix a maximal F -split torus T_0 and a minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 containing T_0 . We have a Levi decomposition $P_0 = M_0 \ltimes U_0$ where $M_0 = C_G(T_0)$. Let \mathfrak{a}_0^* be the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by the lattice $X^*(T_0)$ of F -rational characters of T_0 (or alternatively, by the commensurable lattice $X^*(M_0)$ of F -rational characters of M_0). The dimension of \mathfrak{a}_0^* is the split rank of G . The dual space \mathfrak{a}_0 of \mathfrak{a}_0^* is the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by the lattice of cocharacters $X_*(T_0)$ of T_0 . We write $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ for the complexification of \mathfrak{a}_0 . We denote by $\Delta_0 \subseteq X^*(T_0)$ the set of simple roots of T_0 on $\text{Lie } U_0$ and by $\Delta_0^\vee \subseteq X_*(T_0)$ the set of simple coroots.

We write $H^g = gHg^{-1}$ for any subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and an element $g \in G$.

For any algebraic group Y , we write δ_Y for the modulus function on $Y_{\mathbb{A}}$. We also write $Y_{\mathbb{A}}^1 = \bigcap \text{Ker}|\chi|$ where χ ranges over the lattice of F -rational characters of Y and $|\chi|(y) = \prod_v |\chi_v(y_v)|_v$ for $y = (y_v) \in Y_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Let $P = M \ltimes U$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of G defined over F , with $M \supset M_0$. Let $\Delta_0^M \subseteq \Delta_0$ be the set of simple roots of T_0 in $\text{Lie}(U_0 \cap M)$ and denote the span of Δ_0^M by $(\mathfrak{a}_0^M)^*$. Let T_M be the identity component of the split part of the center of M — a subtorus of T_0 . We identify $\mathfrak{a}_M^* = X^*(T_M) \otimes \mathbb{R} = X^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with a subspace of \mathfrak{a}_0^* . Occasionally we also write $\mathfrak{a}_P = \mathfrak{a}_M$. In particular, $\mathfrak{a}_{P_0} = \mathfrak{a}_{M_0} = \mathfrak{a}_0$. We write $r(P) = r(M) = \dim \mathfrak{a}_M$. We have $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_M \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0^M$ and similarly for \mathfrak{a}_0^* . Denote by $\Delta_M = \Delta_P \subseteq X^*(T_M)$ the simple roots of T_M on $\text{Lie } U$ — these are the projections of $\Delta_0 \setminus \Delta_0^M$ to \mathfrak{a}_M^* . For any $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ we have the corresponding coroot $\alpha^\vee \in X_*(T_M)$.

We reserve the letters $P = MU$ and $Q = LV$ (possibly appended with primes or subscripts) for standard parabolic subgroups of G with their standard Levi decomposition. Since M and P determine each other, we often use them interchangeably as subscripts or superscripts in various notation. Occasionally we will use R and S

to denote auxiliary standard parabolic subgroups. We write M_R for the standard Levi subgroup of R and N_R for its unipotent radical.

For any $Q \subseteq P$, we write $\Delta_L^M = \Delta_Q^P \subseteq \Delta_Q$ for the simple roots of T_L on $\text{Lie}(V \cap M)$. We have $\mathfrak{a}_L = \mathfrak{a}_L^M \oplus \mathfrak{a}_M$ where $\mathfrak{a}_L^M = \mathfrak{a}_Q^P$ is the span of

$$(\Delta_Q^P)^\vee = (\Delta_L^M)^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee : \alpha \in \Delta_Q^P\}.$$

Consequently, $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_0^L \oplus \mathfrak{a}_L^M \oplus \mathfrak{a}_M$. The dual basis of $(\Delta_L^M)^\vee$ in $(\mathfrak{a}_L^M)^*$ will be denoted by $\hat{\Delta}_L^M$. We write X_Q^P or X_L^M for the image of $X \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ under the projection from \mathfrak{a}_0 to \mathfrak{a}_L^M .

We write $[P, Q]$ for the set of parabolic subgroups of Q containing P . Thus, $[P_0, G]$ is the set of all standard parabolic subgroups of G .

Denote by $W = W_G$ the Weyl group $N_G(T_0)/M_0$ of G . For any M , we identify the cosets $W^M \backslash W$ (resp. W/W^M) with the set of left- (resp. right-) W^M reduced elements of W , that is, those for which $w^{-1}\alpha > 0$ (resp. $w\alpha > 0$) for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^M$.

Now let M and L be standard Levi subgroups. We identify $W^M \backslash W/W^L$ with the set of left- W^M and right- W^L reduced elements of W . Define subsets

$$W(L, M) \subseteq W(L; M) \subseteq W^M \backslash W/W^L$$

by

$$W(L, M) = \{w \in W^M \backslash W : L^w = M\} = \{w \in W^M \backslash W : w\Delta_0^L = \Delta_0^M\}$$

and

$$W(L; M) = \{w \in W^M \backslash W : L^w \subseteq M\} = \{w \in W^M \backslash W : w\Delta_0^L \subseteq \Delta_0^M\}.$$

Note that if $L' \subseteq L$ then $W(L; M) \subseteq W(L'; M)$.

We write $\mathcal{C}_{0,-}$ for the closed negative obtuse Weyl chamber

$$\mathcal{C}_{0,-} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee : x_\alpha \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \right\}.$$

More generally, for any $Q \subseteq P$ we write

$$\mathcal{C}_{Q,-}^P = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q^P} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee : x_\alpha \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \right\}.$$

We fix a positive definite W -invariant scalar product, and hence a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathfrak{a}_0 . This defines a measure on any subspace of \mathfrak{a}_0 .

We fix a ‘‘good’’ maximal compact subgroup K of $G_\mathbb{A}$. Using the Iwasawa decomposition, we define $H : G_\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_0$ to be the left- $U_{0,\mathbb{A}}$ right- K invariant function such that

$$e^{\langle \chi, H(m) \rangle} = \prod_v |\chi_v(m_v)|_v$$

for any $\chi \in X^*(M)$ where $m = (m_v)_v$ and χ_v is the extension of χ to $M(F_v)$.

Let A_0 be the identity component of $T_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq T_{0,\mathbb{A}}$ where \mathbb{R} is embedded in \mathbb{A} diagonally at the archimedean places. The map H gives rise to an isomorphism $A_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_0$. We denote by $X \mapsto e^X$ the inverse map. More generally, for any M let $A_M = A_0 \cap T_M$. The map H restricts to an isomorphism $A_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_M$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_{0,+}$ be the positive Weyl chamber

$$\mathfrak{a}_{0,+} = \{X \in \mathfrak{a}_0 : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0\}.$$

Similarly, we write for any P

$$\mathfrak{a}_{M,+}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_M^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_P\}.$$

Let \mathcal{A}_P be the space of automorphic forms on $PU_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}$, that is, the smooth, K -finite, and \mathfrak{z} -finite functions of moderate growth where as usual \mathfrak{z} is the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the complexified Lie algebra of $G(\mathbb{R})$. We write \mathcal{A}_P^n for those $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ such that $\varphi(ag) = \delta_P(a)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(g)$ for all $a \in A_M, g \in G$. We denote by \mathcal{A}_P^2 the subspace of \mathcal{A}_P^n consisting of the functions such that

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{A_M U_{\mathbb{A}} M \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} = \|\varphi\|_2^2 = \int_{A_M U_{\mathbb{A}} M \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

and by $\mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}}$ the subspace consisting of the cuspidal automorphic forms.

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$ let

$$\varphi_\lambda(g) = e^{\langle \lambda, H_P(g) \rangle} \varphi(g), \quad g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

For any $Q \supset P$ the Eisenstein series is defined by

$$E_P^Q(g, \varphi, \lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash Q} \varphi_\lambda(\gamma g).$$

(If $Q = G$ we omit it from the notation.) The series converges absolutely for $\text{Re } \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,+}^*$ sufficiently regular. We will *assume* that $E(\cdot, \varphi, \lambda)$ admits meromorphic continuation with hyperplane singularities. This is proved in [Langlands 1976] (cf. [Mœglin and Waldspurger 1994]) first for $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}}$ and then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^2$ as a consequence of the description of the discrete spectrum in terms of residues of Eisenstein series. An argument of Bernstein gives such a result (for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$) without appealing to Langlands' description of the discrete spectrum. Unfortunately, this argument is still unpublished. However, for our purposes we will simply admit it.

Alongside, we have the intertwining operators

$$M(w, \lambda) : \mathcal{A}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{P'}$$

for any $w \in W(M, M')$ given by

$$(M(w, \lambda)\varphi)_{w\lambda}(g) = \int_{(U'_A \cap U^w_A) \setminus U'_A} \varphi_\lambda(w^{-1}ug) du.$$

Once again, the integral converges absolutely provided that $\text{Re}\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0$ for all roots α of T_M on $\text{Lie}(U)$ such that $w\alpha < 0$. We admit the meromorphic continuation of $M(w, \lambda)$ and the functional equations

$$M(w_1 w_2, \lambda) = M(w_1, w_2 \lambda) M(w_2, \lambda).$$

for any $w_1 \in W(M', L)$ and $w_2 \in W(M, M')$. In particular,

$$M(w, \lambda)^{-1} = M(w^{-1}, w\lambda).$$

We also have

$$M(w, \lambda)^* = M(w^{-1}, -w\bar{\lambda})$$

on \mathcal{A}_P^2 . Thus, $M(w, \lambda)$ is unitary (and in particular, holomorphic) on \mathcal{A}_P^2 for $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_M^*$.

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $Q \subseteq P$, we write φ_Q for the constant term along Q , namely

$$\varphi_Q(g) = \int_{V \setminus V_A} \varphi(vg) dv = \int_{(V \cap M) \setminus (V_A \cap M_A)} \varphi(vg) dv.$$

Occasionally we also write φ_V or φ_L for φ_Q .

For any $w \in W^M \setminus W/W^L$ let $P_w \subseteq P$ be the parabolic subgroup with Levi $M_w = M \cap L^w$ and let Q_w be the parabolic subgroup with Levi $L_w = L \cap M^{w^{-1}}$. Note that $w \in W(L_w, M_w)$. The constant term of the Eisenstein series $E_P(\varphi, \lambda)$ along Q is given by

$$(1) \quad \sum_{w \in W^M \setminus W/W^L} E_{Q_w}^Q(M(w^{-1}, \lambda)\varphi_{P_w}, w^{-1}\lambda).$$

This is proved in [Mœglin and Waldspurger 1994, II.1.7] in the case $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}}$, in which only the terms involving w such that $L^w \supset M$ (that is, $M_w = M$) contribute. The proof easily extends to the general case — there are simply more contributions. Note that (1) is an identity of meromorphic functions on $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^*$; the terms in (1) are absolutely convergent for $\text{Re } \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P, +}^*$ sufficiently regular.

It will also be useful to introduce the following notation for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$, $w \in W(L; M)$, and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^*$:

$$B_Q(g, \varphi, w, \lambda) = (M(w^{-1}, \lambda)\varphi_{L^w})_{w^{-1}\lambda}(g)$$

so that $B_Q(\varphi, w, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_Q$. The following result is standard. For completeness we include the proof.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $w \in W(L'; M)$ and $L \subseteq L'$. Then the constant term of $B_{Q'}(\varphi, w, \lambda)$ along Q is $B_Q(\varphi, w, \lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $Q = LV$ (resp. $Q' = L'V', R, R'$) be the parabolic subgroups with Levi parts L (resp. L', L^w, L'^w). Since $w \in W(L'; M)$ we have

$$(V \cap L')^w = N_R \cap L'^w.$$

The constant term of $B_{Q'}(\varphi, w, \lambda)$ along Q is

$$\int_{(V \cap L') \backslash (V_{\mathbb{A}} \cap L'_{\mathbb{A}})} \int_{V'_{\mathbb{A}} \cap N_{R', \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}} \backslash V'_{\mathbb{A}}} (\varphi_{L'^w})_{\lambda}(wuv \cdot) \, du \, dv.$$

Since $V \cap L'$ normalizes both $V'_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $N_{R', \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}}$ we can change variables in u to get

$$\int_{(V \cap L') \backslash (V_{\mathbb{A}} \cap L'_{\mathbb{A}})} \int_{V'_{\mathbb{A}} \cap N_{R', \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}} \backslash V'_{\mathbb{A}}} (\varphi_{L'^w})_{\lambda}(wvu \cdot) \, du \, dv$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(N_R \cap L'^w) \backslash (N_{R, \mathbb{A}} \cap L'^w_{\mathbb{A}})} \int_{V'_{\mathbb{A}} \cap N_{R', \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}} \backslash V'_{\mathbb{A}}} (\varphi_{L'^w})_{\lambda}(vuw \cdot) \, du \, dv \\ = \int_{V'_{\mathbb{A}} \cap N_{R', \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}} \backslash V'_{\mathbb{A}}} (\varphi_{L^w})_{\lambda}(wu \cdot) \, du. \end{aligned}$$

We have $V = V' \rtimes (V \cap L')$ and $N_R = N_{R'} \rtimes (N_R \cap L'^w)$. Therefore $N_R^{w^{-1}} = N_{R'}^{w^{-1}} \rtimes (V \cap L')$, and we can rewrite the integral above as

$$\int_{V_{\mathbb{A}} \cap N_{R, \mathbb{A}}^{w^{-1}} \backslash V_{\mathbb{A}}} (\varphi_{L^w})_{\lambda}(wu \cdot) \, du = (M(w^{-1}, \lambda)\varphi_{L^w})_{w^{-1}\lambda}$$

as required. □

2.1. Truncation. For convenience we recall a few facts about Arthur’s truncation operator Λ^T [Arthur 1980]. For any $P \subseteq Q$, let τ_P^Q be the characteristic function of the Weyl chamber

$$(\mathfrak{a}_P^Q)_+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{a}_P^Q : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_P^Q\}$$

and let $\hat{\tau}_P^Q$ be the characteristic function of the obtuse Weyl chamber

$$\left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^Q} x_{\alpha} \alpha^{\vee} : x_{\alpha} > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \right\}.$$

We extend τ_P^Q and $\hat{\tau}_P^Q$ to \mathfrak{a}_0 by letting $\tau_P^Q(X) = \tau_P^Q(X_P^Q)$ and $\hat{\tau}_P^Q(X) = \hat{\tau}_P^Q(X_P^Q)$.

For T sufficiently regular in \mathfrak{a}_0^+ , the truncation operator is given by

$$\Lambda^T \varphi(g) = \sum_{P \supset P_0} (-1)^{r(P)-r(G)} \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash G} \varphi_P(\gamma g) \hat{\tau}_P(H(\gamma g) - T)$$

for any locally bounded measurable function φ on $G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1$. It defines an orthogonal projection on $L^2(G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1)$. If φ is of uniform moderate growth, then $\Lambda^T \varphi$ is rapidly decreasing.

More generally, for any Q , one defines the relative truncation with respect to Q by

$$\Lambda^{T,Q} \varphi(g) = \sum_{P \in [P_0, Q]} (-1)^{r(P)-r(Q)} \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash Q} \varphi_P(\gamma g) \hat{\tau}_P^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

By the Langlands combinatorial lemma, we have the inversion formula

$$(2) \quad \varphi_P(g) = \sum_{Q \subseteq P} \sum_{\gamma \in Q \backslash P} \Lambda^{T,Q} \varphi_Q(\gamma g) \tau_Q^P(H(\gamma g) - T)$$

[Arthur 1980, Lemma 1.5].

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $Q \subseteq P$, we write $\mathcal{E}_Q(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}_Q(\varphi_Q) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{Q, \mathbb{C}}^*$ for the multiset of cuspidal exponents of φ along Q — see [Mœglin and Waldspurger 1994, I.3.4]. We also write $\mathcal{E}_{\subseteq P}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{Q \in [P_0, P]} \mathcal{E}_Q(\varphi)$. In the case $P = G$ we simply write $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ for $\mathcal{E}_{\subseteq G}(\varphi)$.

For a multiset $A = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{0, \mathbb{C}}^*$ (including multiplicities) we write $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(A)$ for the space of polynomial exponential functions on \mathfrak{a}_0 with exponents $\subseteq A$. This means that any $f \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(A)$ has the form

$$f(X) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} P_{\lambda}(X) e^{\langle \lambda, X \rangle},$$

where for any $\lambda \in A$, P_{λ} is a polynomial in \mathfrak{a}_0 whose degree is smaller than the multiplicity of λ in A . Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(A)$ if and only if for any $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathfrak{a}_0$, f is annihilated by the differential operator

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (D_{v_i} - \langle \lambda_i, v_i \rangle),$$

where D_v denotes taking the partial derivative along $v \in \mathfrak{a}_0$. We also write $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}_{-} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}_{0,-} \setminus \{0\})$, where we limit the exponents λ to $\mathcal{C}_{0,-} \setminus \{0\}$, but we do not limit the degree of P_{λ} .

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the properties of truncation.

Lemma 2 [Lapid and Rogawski 2003, Proposition 8.4.1]. *For any automorphic forms $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{A}_G^n$, $i = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\langle \varphi_1, \Lambda^T \varphi_2 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}(\varphi_1) + \overline{\mathcal{E}(\varphi_2)}).$$

Moreover, if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$ then

$$\langle \varphi_1, \Lambda^T \varphi_2 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} - \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}_{-}.$$

We also recall the following elementary fact.

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i : a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0 \}$ be a salient¹ polyhedral cone in a finite dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{R} (for some $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V \setminus \{0\}$). Then for any $A \subseteq V^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ the function*

$$\int_V \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X - T) f(X) e^{\langle \lambda, X \rangle} dX$$

converges for $\{ \lambda \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^ : \operatorname{Re} \langle \lambda, v_i \rangle \ll 0, i = 1, \dots, m \}$ and extends to a meromorphic function on $V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with hyperplane singularities. As a function of T , it belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}(A + \lambda)$.*

This is a straightforward computation if \mathcal{C} is simplicial. Otherwise, it follows by subdivision of \mathcal{C} into simplicial subcones.

3. Cuspidal Eisenstein series

For the convenience of the reader we recall the results of Langlands and Arthur for cuspidal Eisenstein series.²

For any $w \in W(L, M)$ let ϕ_L^w be the function on \mathfrak{a}_L^G given by

$$\phi_L^w \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q} x_{\alpha} \alpha^{\vee} \right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\#\{\alpha \in \Delta_Q : x_{\alpha} > 0\}} & \text{if } \{\alpha \in \Delta_Q : x_{\alpha} > 0\} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_Q : w\alpha < 0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Laplace transform of ϕ_L^w is given by

$$(3) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{a}_L^G} e^{\langle \lambda, X \rangle} \phi_L^w(X) dX = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_L^G / \mathbb{Z} \Delta_Q^{\vee})}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle}, \quad \lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}_L^G)_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \quad \operatorname{Re} w\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,+}^*.$$

By [Arthur 1980, Lemma 4.1], for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,+}^*$ sufficiently regular we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Lambda^T E_P(\varphi, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{Q \in [P_0, G]} \sum_{w \in W(L, M)} \sum_{\gamma \in Q \backslash G} (M(w^{-1}, \lambda) \varphi)_{w^{-1}\lambda}(\gamma g) \phi_L^w(H(\gamma g) - T). \end{aligned}$$

¹That is, such that $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$.

²A similar argument to the one below was given by Labesse in the 1983 Friday morning seminar on the twisted trace formula. See lecture 12 in <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~cass/Langlands/friday/friday.html> and [Labesse and Waldspurger 2012, §5.4].

Suppose that $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{A}_{P_j}^{\text{cusp}}$, $j = 1, 2$. Set $f_i = E_{P_i}(\varphi_i, \lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Using (4) we write $\langle f_1, \Lambda^T f_2 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}$ as the sum over Q and $w_2 \in W(L, M_2)$ of

$$\left\langle f_1, \sum_{\gamma \in Q \backslash G} (M(w_2^{-1}, \lambda_2)\varphi_2)_{w_2^{-1}\lambda_2}(\gamma g)\phi_L^{w_2}(H(\gamma g) - T) \right\rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}$$

provided $\text{Re } \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular. (This will be justified in Lemma 14 below.) Each summand is equal to

$$\langle (f_1)_Q, (M(w_2^{-1}, \lambda_2)\varphi_2)_{w_2^{-1}\lambda_2}\phi_L^{w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

Using the formula for the constant term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & (E_{P_1}(\varphi_1, \lambda_1), \Lambda^T E_{P_2}(\varphi_2, \lambda_2))_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} \\ &= \sum_Q \sum_{w_1 \in W(L, M_1)} \sum_{w_2 \in W(L, M_2)} \langle (M(w_1^{-1}, \lambda_1)\varphi_1)_{w_1^{-1}\lambda_1}, \\ & \quad (M(w_2^{-1}, \lambda_2)\varphi_2)_{w_2^{-1}\lambda_2}\phi_L^{w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (3) we get

$$(E_{P_1}(\varphi_1, \lambda_1), \Lambda^T E_{P_2}(\varphi_2, \lambda_2))_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} = \mathfrak{M}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \mathfrak{M}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2) \\ &= \sum_Q \sum_{w_1 \in W(L, M_1)} \sum_{w_2 \in W(L, M_2)} \frac{e^{\langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_2, T \rangle}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q} \langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_2, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \\ & \quad \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_L^G / \mathbb{Z} \Delta_Q^\vee) \langle M(w_1^{-1}, \lambda_1)(\varphi_1), M(w_2^{-1}, \lambda_2)(\varphi_2) \rangle_{A_L V_{\mathbb{A}} L \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}}. \end{aligned}$$

These are the usual Maass–Selberg relations proved in [Arthur 1980, §4]. Note that the intricate residue calculus of [loc. cit.] is unnecessary.

4. Some combinatorial lemmas

In order to analyze the truncation of Eisenstein series and the Maass–Selberg relations in the general case we will need a few combinatorial definitions and lemmas in the spirit of [Arthur 1978, §6].

Let L' and M be standard Levi subgroups and let $w \in W(L'; M)$ and $Q \supset Q'$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ with $X_{Q'}^Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{Q'}^Q} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q'}^Q$ we set

$$D_{Q',+}^Q(X) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{Q'}^Q : x_\alpha > 0\} \subseteq \Delta_{Q'}^Q.$$

Observe that for any $Q_2 \supset Q_1$, $D_{Q_2,+}^Q(X)$ consists of the nonzero projections of the elements of $D_{Q_1,+}^Q(X)$.

Also set

$$\phi_{L',M,w}^Q(X) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|D_{Q',+}^Q(X)|} & \text{if } D_{Q',+}^Q(X) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{Q'}^Q : w\alpha < 0 \text{ or } w\alpha \in \Delta_{(L')^w}^M\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the condition $w\alpha \in \Delta_{(L')^w}^M$ is equivalent to $(w\alpha)_M = 0$.

As usual, we suppress the superscript if $Q = G$.

Note that if $w \in W(L, M)$ then $\phi_{L,M,w}$ is the function denoted by ϕ_L^w in the previous section. In particular, in this case

$$(7) \int_{\mathfrak{a}_L^G} e^{\langle \lambda, X \rangle} \phi_{L,M,w}(X) dX = \frac{\text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_L^G / \mathbb{Z} \Delta_Q^\vee)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle}, \quad \lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}_L^G)_\mathbb{C}^*, \quad \text{Re } w\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,+}^*.$$

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q$ and $w \in W(M_S; M)$. Then*

$$\sum_{Q' \in [R, S]} \phi_{L',M,w}^Q(X) = \begin{cases} \phi_{S,M,w}^Q(X) & \text{if } D_{R,+}^Q(X) \cap \Delta_R^S = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We observe that for any $Q' \in [R, S]$ we have $\phi_{L',M,w}^Q(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $\phi_{M_S,M,w}^Q(X) \neq 0$ and $D_{Q',+}^Q(X) \supset \Delta_{Q'}^S$. In this case,

$$\phi_{L',M,w}^Q(X) = (-1)^{r(Q')-r(S)} \phi_{M_S,M,w}^Q(X).$$

The lemma follows from [Arthur 1978, Proposition 1.1]. □

We also recall the following version of Langlands' combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 5 (Arthur). *Let $w \in W(L'; M)$ and $Q \supset Q'$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{R \in [Q', Q]} \phi_{L',M,w}^R(X) \tau_R^Q(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w\alpha > 0 \text{ and } w\alpha \notin \Delta_{(L')^w}^M \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_{Q'}^Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, taking $Q' = P$ and $w = 1$, for any $X \in \mathfrak{a}_P$ there exists a unique $Q \in [P, G]$ such that $\tau_Q(X) = 1$ and $X^Q \in \mathcal{C}_{P,-}^Q$. Moreover, $\langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_P \setminus \Delta_P^Q$ and $D_{P,+}(X) \supset \Delta_P \setminus \Delta_P^Q$.

This follows from [Arthur 1978, Lemma 6.3] by taking $\Lambda = -w^{-1} \Lambda_0$ where $\Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M,+}^*$.

For nonnegative quantities A and B (depending on parameters) we will write $A \ll B$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ (independent of the parameters) such that $A \leq cB$.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that $P \in [R, Q]$, $X \in \mathfrak{a}_R^Q$, and*

$$D_{R,+}^Q(X) \cap \Delta_R^P = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^P : \langle \alpha, X \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Then $\|X\| \ll \|X_M\|$.

Proof. Write $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee$ as $X_1 + X_2$ where

$$X_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^P} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R \setminus \Delta_R^P} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee.$$

We have to show that under the conditions of the lemma we have $\|X_1\| \leq C\|X_2\|$ for some constant C which is independent of X . Let $S(X)$ be such that

$$\Delta_R^{S(X)} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^P : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0\} = \Delta_R^P \setminus D_{R,+}^Q(X).$$

Fix $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}_R^{S(X)})_+^*$. Since the coefficients of λ in the basis $\Delta_R^{S(X)}$ are positive, we have

$$0 \leq \langle \lambda, X \rangle = \langle \lambda, X_1 \rangle + \langle \lambda, X_2 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, we have $\lambda = \sum_{\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_R^Q} \lambda_\varpi \varpi$ where $\lambda_\varpi > 0$ for $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_R^Q \setminus \hat{\Delta}_{S(X)}^Q$ and $\lambda_\varpi \leq 0$ for $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_{S(X)}^Q$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^{S(X)}} |x_\alpha| \ll -\langle \lambda, X_1 \rangle.$$

(There are of course only finitely many possibilities for $S(X)$, so the dependence of the implied constant on λ is immaterial.)

Similarly, fix $\mu \in (\mathfrak{a}_R^{S'(X)})_+^*$ where

$$\Delta_R^{S'(X)} = \Delta_R^P \setminus \Delta_R^{S(X)} = \Delta_R^P \cap D_{R,+}^Q(X).$$

Then

$$\langle \mu, X_1 \rangle \leq -\langle \mu, X_2 \rangle$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^{S'(X)}} |x_\alpha| \ll \langle \mu, X_1 \rangle.$$

Thus, $\langle \mu - \lambda, X_1 \rangle \leq \langle \lambda - \mu, X_2 \rangle$ while $\|X_1\| \ll \langle \mu - \lambda, X_1 \rangle$. The claim follows. \square

As before, fix P and Q . For any $R \subseteq Q$ and $w \in W(M_R; M)$ define

$$(8) \quad \chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) = \sum_{Q' \in [R, Q]: w \in W(L'; M)} \tau_R^{Q'}(X) \phi_{L', M, w}^Q(X).$$

Lemma 7. *We have*

$$\chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|D_{R,+}^Q(X)|} & \text{if } D_{R,+}^Q(X) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha < 0 \text{ or} \\ & (w\alpha \in \Delta_{M_R}^M \text{ and } \langle \alpha, X \rangle \leq 0)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) \neq 0$ and $X \in \mathfrak{a}_R^Q$ then $wX \in \mathcal{C}_{M_R^w, -}$ and $\|X\| \ll \|(wX)_M\|$.

Proof. Let $R_1 \in [R, Q]$ be the parabolic subgroup such that

$$\Delta_R^{R_1} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha \in \Delta_{M_R^w}^M\}$$

so that $w \in W(L'; M)$ if and only if $Q' \in [R, R_1]$. Let $R_2 \in [R, Q]$ be such that

$$\Delta_R^{R_2} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0\}$$

so that $\tau_R^{Q'}(X) = 1$ if and only if $Q' \subseteq R_2$. Let $S = R_1 \cap R_2$. Then

$$\chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) = \sum_{Q' \in [R, S]} \phi_{L', M, w}^Q(X).$$

The first part now follows from Lemma 4.

In order to prove the second part, let $X \in \mathfrak{a}_R^Q$ and write $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee$. Suppose that $\chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) \neq 0$ and let

$$A = \{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha \in \Delta_{M_R^w}^M \text{ and } \langle \alpha, X \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Let Q_1 be the parabolic subgroup with Levi M_R^w . We write

$$wX = \sum_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha w\alpha^\vee + \sum_{\alpha \notin A} x_\alpha w\alpha^\vee$$

and observe that the first sum is a linear combination of roots in $wA \subseteq \Delta_{Q_1}^M$ with positive coefficients, while the second sum lies in $\mathcal{C}_{Q_1, -}$. Thus, $D_{Q_1, +}(wX) \subseteq wA$. Using Lemma 5, let $R_1 \in [Q_1, G]$ be such that $\tau_{R_1}(wX) = 1$ and $(wX)^{R_1} \in \mathcal{C}_{Q_1, -}^{R_1}$. Then $\langle \alpha, wX \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{Q_1} \setminus \Delta_{Q_1}^{R_1}$ and $D_{Q_1, +}(wX) \supset \Delta_{Q_1} \setminus \Delta_{Q_1}^{R_1}$. In particular, $\Delta_{Q_1} \setminus \Delta_{Q_1}^{R_1} \subseteq wA$. On the other hand, from the definition of A , we have $\langle w\alpha, wX \rangle = \langle \alpha, X \rangle \leq 0$ for any $\alpha \in A$. It follows that $R_1 = G$, that is, $wX \in \mathcal{C}_{Q_1, -}$ as required.

It remains to show that $\|X\| \ll \|(wX)_M\|$ if $X \in \mathfrak{a}_R^Q$ and $\chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(X) \neq 0$. Write $X = X_1 + X_2$ where

$$X_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha \in \Delta_{M_R^w}^M} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha \notin \Delta_{M_R^w}^M} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee.$$

We can apply Lemma 6 (with $L \cap M^{w^{-1}}$ instead of M) to infer that $\|X_1\| \ll \|X_2\|$. On the other hand, since

$$(wX_2)_M = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_R^Q : w\alpha \notin \Delta_{M_R^w}^M} x_\alpha (w\alpha^\vee)_M$$

and each $w\alpha^\vee$ has the opposite sign of x_α , we conclude that $\|X_2\| \ll \|(wX_2)_M\|$. Our claim follows. \square

Corollary 8. *For any k , we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_R^G} \chi_{M_R, M, w}(X) e^{k\|X\| + \langle w^{-1}\lambda, X \rangle} dX < \infty$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{M,+}^*$ sufficiently regular (depending on k).

For the rest of the section, suppose that we are given Q, M_i , and $w_i \in W(L; M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Corollary 9. *For any k and $Q \subseteq Q_2$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_Q^G} \chi_{L, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(X) e^{k\|X\| + \langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\lambda_2, X \rangle} dX < \infty$$

provided that $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on k) and $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on λ_1 and k).

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7 that for any $C > 0$ we have

$$-\langle \lambda_2, w_2 X \rangle \geq C \|X_{Q_2}\|$$

if $\chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(X) \neq 0$ provided that $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on C , but not on X). Similarly, for any $C > 0$ we have

$$-\langle \lambda_1, w_1 X \rangle = -\langle \lambda_1, w_1 X^{Q_2} \rangle - \langle \lambda_1, w_1 X_{Q_2} \rangle \geq C \|X^{Q_2}\| - C_2 \|X_{Q_2}\|$$

if $\chi_{L, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \neq 0$ provided that $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular, depending on C , but not on X , and with C_2 depending only on λ_1 . Thus for any C , we have

$$-\langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\lambda_2, X \rangle \geq C \|X\|$$

if $\chi_{L, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(X) \neq 0$, provided that $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on C) and $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on λ_1 and C). The corollary follows. \square

We define

$$\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = \sum_{Q_2 \supset Q : w_2 \in W(L_2; M_2)} \chi_{L, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(X).$$

We can explicate the function $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}$ as follows.

Proposition 10. *Let $R_i, i = 1, 2$, be such that*

$$\Delta_Q^{R_i} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta_Q : w_i \alpha \in \Delta_{L^{M_i}^{w_i}} \}$$

and let R'_1 be such that

$$\Delta_Q^{R'_1} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta_Q : w_1 \alpha > 0 \text{ and } w_1 \alpha \notin \Delta_{L^{M_1}^{w_1}} \}.$$

Then

$$\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|D_{Q,+}(X)|} & \text{if } D_{Q,+}(X) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_Q : w_2\alpha < 0\} \\ & \cup (\Delta_Q^{R_2} \setminus (\{\alpha \in \Delta_Q^{R_1} : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0\} \cup \Delta_Q^{R'_1})), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2} \neq \Psi_{L, M_2, w_2, M_1, w_1}$.

Proof. Note that for $L_i \supset L$, we have $w_i \in W(L_i; M_i)$ if and only if $Q_i \subseteq R_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus, upon substituting (8) for χ , we get that $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{Q_2 \in [Q, R_2]} \sum_{Q_1 \in [Q, Q_2 \cap R_1]} \tau_{Q_1}^{Q_1}(X) \phi_{Q_1, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \sum_{Q'_2 \in [Q_2, R_2]} \tau_{Q'_2}^{Q'_2}(X) \phi_{Q'_2, M_2, w_2}(X).$$

We write this differently as

$$\sum_{Q_1 \in [Q, R_1]} \tau_{Q_1}^{Q_1}(X) \sum_{Q'_2 \in [Q_1, R_2]} \phi_{Q'_2, M_2, w_2}(X) \sum_{Q_2 \in [Q_1, Q'_2]} \phi_{Q_1, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(X) \tau_{Q_2}^{Q'_2}(X).$$

By Lemma 5, we get

$$\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = \sum_{Q_1 \in [Q, R_1]} \tau_{Q_1}^{Q_1}(X) \sum_{Q'_2 \in [Q_1, R_2 \cap Q_1^\sharp]} \phi_{Q'_2, M_2, w_2}(X),$$

where Q_1^\sharp is such that

$$\Delta_{Q_1^\sharp} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{Q_1} : w_1\alpha > 0 \text{ and } w_1\alpha \notin \Delta_{L_1}^{M_1}\}.$$

Observe that $\Delta_{Q_1^\sharp}$ consists of the projections of $\Delta_Q^{R'_1}$, that is,

$$\Delta_{Q_1^\sharp} = \Delta_Q^{Q_1} \cup \Delta_Q^{R'_1}$$

(disjoint union). In particular, $Q_1^\sharp = R'_1$. Thus, by Lemma 4, we get

$$\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = \sum \tau_{Q_1}^{Q_1}(X) \phi_{R_2 \cap Q_1^\sharp, M_2, w_2}(X),$$

where the sum is over $Q_1 \in [Q, R_1 \cap R_2]$ such that $D_{Q_1,+}(X) \cap \Delta_{Q_1}^{R_2 \cap Q_1^\sharp} = \emptyset$, or equivalently, $Q_1 \in [S_1(X), R_1 \cap R_2]$ where

$$\Delta_Q^{S_1(X)} = \Delta_Q^{R_2 \cap R'_1} \cap D_{Q,+}(X).$$

On the other hand, let $S_2(X)$ be such that

$$\Delta_Q^{S_2(X)} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_Q : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0\}.$$

Then $\tau_Q^{Q_1}(X) = 1$ if and only if $Q_1 \subseteq S_2(X)$. All in all, we get

$$\sum_{Q_1 \in [S_1(X), R_1 \cap R_2 \cap S_2(X)]} \phi_{R_2 \cap Q_1^\sharp, M_2, w_2}(X).$$

Note that since $R_1 \cap R'_1 = Q$ and $S_1(X) \subseteq R'_1$, we have $S_1(X) \subseteq R_1 \cap R_2 \cap S_2(X)$ if and only if $S_1(X) = Q$. In this case, the map $Q_1 \mapsto R_2 \cap Q_1^\sharp$ is a bijection between $[S_1(X), R_1 \cap R_2 \cap S_2(X)]$ and $[R_2 \cap R'_1, S'_2(X)]$ where $S'_2(X) = R_2 \cap (R_1 \cap R_2 \cap S_2(X))^\sharp$. We thus get (assuming $S_1(X) = Q$)

$$\sum_{Q'_1 \in [R_2 \cap R'_1, S'_2(X)]} \phi_{Q'_1, M_2, w_2}(X).$$

Invoking Lemma 4 once again, we get that

$$\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = \phi_{S'_2(X), M_2, w_2}(X)$$

if $S_1(X) = Q$ and

$$(9) \quad D_{R_2 \cap R'_1, +}(X) \cap \Delta_{R_2 \cap R'_1}^{S'_2(X)} = \emptyset.$$

Otherwise, $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) = 0$. We can rewrite condition (9) equivalently as

$$D_{Q, +}(X) \cap \Delta_Q^{S'_2(X)} \subseteq \Delta_Q^{R_2 \cap R'_1}.$$

Once again, since $R_1 \cap R'_1 = Q$, this becomes

$$\Delta_Q^{R_1 \cap R_2 \cap S_2(X)} \cap D_{Q, +}(X) = \emptyset.$$

The proposition follows. □

Corollary 11. *For any k we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_Q^G} \Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X) e^{k\|X\| + \langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\lambda_2, X \rangle} dX < \infty$$

provided that $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1, +}^$ is sufficiently regular (depending on k) and $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2, +}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on λ_1 and k). Moreover, for any $f_i \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}(A_i)$, $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_Q^G} \Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(X - T) f_1(w_1 X) f_2(w_2 X) e^{\langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\lambda_2, X \rangle} dX$$

has meromorphic continuation for $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_i, \mathbb{C}}^$, $i = 1, 2$, with hyperplane singularities, and as a function of T , it belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}(w_1^{-1}A_1 + w_2^{-1}A_2 + w_1^{-1}\lambda_1 + w_2^{-1}\lambda_2)$.*

Proof. The first part follows from Corollary 9 and the defining expression for Ψ_{L,M_1,w_1,M_2,w_2} . Alternatively, we can deduce it from Proposition 10 as follows. Suppose that

$$X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee$$

and $\Psi_{L,M_1,w_1,M_2,w_2}(X) \neq 0$. Write $X = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ where

$$X_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q \setminus \Delta_Q^{R_2}} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee, \quad X_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q^{R_2} \setminus \Delta_Q^{R_1}} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee, \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_Q^{R_1 \cap R_2}} x_\alpha \alpha^\vee.$$

By Proposition 10, the coefficients x_α in X_1 are positive precisely when $w_2\alpha < 0$, the coefficients in X_2 are positive precisely when $w_1\alpha < 0$, and the coefficients in X_3 are positive precisely when $\langle \alpha, X \rangle \leq 0$. Then $w_2X = w_2X_1 + w_2(X_2 + X_3)$ where $w_2X_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{0,-}$ and $w_2(X_2 + X_3) \in w_2\mathfrak{a}_Q^{R_2} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0^{M_2}$. Thus, $\langle \lambda_2, w_2X \rangle = \langle \lambda_2, w_2X_1 \rangle$. Note that the kernel of the map $X \mapsto (w_2X)_{M_2}$ is $\mathfrak{a}_Q^{R_2}$. Therefore, for any $C_1 > 0$, we have

$$-\langle w_2^{-1}\lambda_2, X \rangle = -\langle \lambda_2, w_2X \rangle \geq C_1\|X_1\|$$

provided that $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular (depending on C_1 , but not on X).

We also have $w_1X_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{0,-}$ and $\langle \lambda_1, w_1X \rangle = \langle \lambda_1, w_1X_1 \rangle + \langle \lambda_1, w_1X_2 \rangle$. By the same reasoning, we infer that for any $C_2 > 0$, we have

$$-\langle w_1^{-1}\lambda_1, X \rangle = -\langle \lambda_1, w_1X \rangle \geq C_2\|X_2\| - C_3\|X_1\|$$

for all $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1,+}^*$ sufficiently regular (depending on C_2 but not on X) where C_3 depends on λ_1 but not on X .

Thus for any $C > 0$ and for $\lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1,+}^*$ sufficiently regular (depending on C) and $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2,+}^*$ sufficiently regular (depending on C and λ_1), we have

$$(10) \quad -\langle \lambda_1, w_1X \rangle - \langle \lambda_2, w_2X \rangle \geq C\|X_1 + X_2\|.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6, it follows that $\|X_3\| \ll \|X_1 + X_2\|$ on the support of Ψ_{L,M_1,w_1,M_2,w_2} . Thus we can replace the right-hand side of (10) by $C\|X\|$. The first part of the corollary follows.

The second part follows from Lemma 3. □

5. Truncation of a general Eisenstein series

We will use the notation of the previous sections.

We have the following generalization of (4).

Lemma 12. For $\text{Re } \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{p,+}^*$ sufficiently regular we have

$$(11) \quad \Lambda^{T,Q} E_P(g, \varphi, \lambda) = \sum_{Q' \in [P_0, Q]} \sum_{w \in W(L'; M)} \sum_{\gamma \in Q' \backslash Q} B_{Q'}(\gamma g, \varphi, w, \lambda) \phi_{L', M, w}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

Proof. Let $E = E_P(\varphi, \lambda)$. Then

$$\Lambda^{T,Q} E_P(g, \varphi, \lambda) = \sum_{P' \in [P_0, Q]} (-1)^{r(P')-r(Q)} \sum_{\gamma \in P' \backslash Q} (E_P(\varphi, \lambda))_{P'}(\gamma g) \hat{\tau}_{P'}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

Using (1) for the constant term of Eisenstein series we get

$$\sum_{P' \in [P_0, Q]} (-1)^{r(P')-r(Q)} \sum_{\gamma \in P' \backslash Q} \sum_{w \in W^M \backslash W / W^{M'}} E_{P'_w}^{P'}(\gamma g, M(w^{-1}, \lambda) \varphi_{P_w}, w^{-1} \lambda) \times \hat{\tau}_{P'}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T),$$

where P_w (resp. P'_w) is the standard parabolic with Levi part $M_w = M \cap M'^w$ (resp. $M'_w = M' \cap M^{w^{-1}}$). Unfolding the Eisenstein series, we get

$$\sum_{P' \in [P_0, Q]} (-1)^{r(P')-r(Q)} \sum_{w \in W^M \backslash W / W^{M'}} \sum_{\gamma \in P'_w \backslash Q} B_{P'_w}(\gamma g, \varphi, w, \lambda) \hat{\tau}_{P'_w}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

The sum is absolutely convergent by the assumption on λ . For any $w \in W^M \backslash W / W^{M'}$, we have $w \in W(M'_w; M)$. Therefore, we may rearrange the sums differently as

$$(12) \quad \sum_{Q' \in [P_0, Q]} \sum_{w \in W(L'; M)} \sum_{\gamma \in Q' \backslash Q} B_{Q'}(\gamma g, \varphi, w, \lambda) \sum_{P' \in [P_0, Q]: w \in W / W^{M'}, P'_w = Q'} (-1)^{r(P')-r(Q)} \hat{\tau}_{P'}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

It remains to analyze the inner sum. Fix $Q', w \in W(L'; M), \gamma \in Q' \backslash Q$, and $g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let $X = H(\gamma g) - T$ and let $R \in [Q', Q]$ be the parabolic subgroup such that $\hat{\Delta}_R^Q = \{\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_{Q'}^Q : \langle \varpi, X \rangle > 0\}$. Note that $\hat{\tau}_{P'}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T) = 1$ if and only if $P' \in [R, Q]$. On the other hand, we can rewrite the conditions $w \in W / W^{M'}$ and $P'_w = Q'$ as $P' \in [Q', S]$ where $S \in [Q', Q]$ is such that

$$\Delta_{Q'}^S = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{Q'}^Q : w\alpha > 0 \text{ but } w\alpha \notin \Delta_{L'^w}^M\}.$$

We infer that the inner sum of (12) is nonzero only if $S = R$ and this happens exactly when $\phi_{L', M, w}^Q(X) \neq 0$. In this case, $\phi_{L', M, w}^Q(X) = (-1)^{r(S)-r(Q)}$. The lemma follows. \square

The lemma just proved is not so useful as it stands, for in practice, it may be difficult to work analytically with the right-hand side of (11) since the constant

terms of φ are not rapidly decreasing in general. We seek a similar expression where B_Q is replaced by a function which is rapidly decreasing on $L \backslash L^1_{\mathbb{A}}$. To that end we will use the inversion formula (2) to rewrite the right-hand side of (11) as

$$\sum_{Q' \in [P_0, Q]} \sum_{w \in W(L'; M)} \sum_{\gamma \in Q' \backslash Q} \sum_{R \subseteq Q'} \sum_{\delta \in R \backslash Q'} \Lambda^{T, R} B_{Q'}(\delta \gamma g, \varphi, w, \lambda) \tau_R^{Q'}(H(\delta \gamma g) - T) \phi_{L', w, M}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T).$$

Applying Lemma 1 (with R instead of Q) and combining the sums over γ and δ , we get:

Proposition 13. *With χ given by Lemma 7,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda^{T, Q} E_P(\varphi, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{R \in [P_0, Q]} \sum_{w \in W(M_R; M)} \sum_{\gamma \in R \backslash Q} \Lambda^{T, R} B_R(\gamma g, \varphi, w, \lambda) \chi_{M_R, M, w}^Q(H(\gamma g) - T). \end{aligned}$$

6. Maass–Selberg relations

We will use Proposition 13 to obtain the Maass–Selberg relations in this context. First we need a lemma.

Lemma 14. *Let f be a function of moderate growth on $G \backslash G^1_{\mathbb{A}}$ and let φ be a function of moderate growth on $QV_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}$ which is rapidly decreasing in $L \backslash L^1_{\mathbb{A}} \times K$. Then for any $w \in W(L; M)$ and for $\text{Re } \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{M, +}$ sufficiently regular, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle f, \sum_{\gamma \in Q \backslash G} \varphi_{w^{-1}\lambda}(\gamma g) \chi_{L, M, w}(H(\gamma g) - T) \right\rangle_{G \backslash G^1_{\mathbb{A}}} \\ &= \langle f_Q, \varphi_{w^{-1}\lambda} \chi_{L, M, w}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q \backslash G^1_{\mathbb{A}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is the usual unfolding. In order to justify it, we need to show the convergence of

$$\int_{Q \backslash G^1_{\mathbb{A}}} |f|_Q(g) |\varphi_{w^{-1}\lambda}(g) \chi_{L, M, w}(H(g) - T)| dg.$$

We use Iwasawa decomposition to write this as

$$\int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^G_L} \int_{L \backslash L^1_{\mathbb{A}}} |f|_Q(e^X lk) |\varphi(e^X lk)| \delta_Q(e^X)^{-1} e^{\text{Re}(w^{-1}\lambda, X)} |\chi_{L, M, w}(X - T)| dl dX dk.$$

By the moderate growth of f and φ , there exist c and N such that

$$|f|_Q(e^X lk) |\varphi(e^X lk)| \leq c(e^{\|X\|} \|l\|)^N, \quad X \in \mathfrak{a}^G_L, l \in L_{\mathbb{A}}, k \in K.$$

The convergence follows from the rapid decay of φ in $L \backslash L^1_{\mathbb{A}}$ and Corollary 8. \square

Proposition 15. *We have the identity (in the sense of meromorphic continuation)*

$$(13) \quad \langle E_{P_1}(\varphi_1, \lambda_1), \Lambda^T E_{P_2}(\varphi_2, \lambda_2) \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} = \sum_Q \sum_{w_1 \in W(L; M_1)} \sum_{w_2 \in W(L; M_2)} \langle \Lambda^{T, Q} B_Q(\varphi_1, w_1, \lambda_1), B_Q(\varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1},$$

where each summand converges for $\text{Re } \lambda_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1, +}^*$ sufficiently regular and $\text{Re } \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2, +}^*$ sufficiently regular (depending on $\text{Re } \lambda_1$) and as a function of T belongs to

$$\mathcal{PE}(\mathcal{E}_{\subseteq L w_1}(\varphi_1) + \overline{\mathcal{E}_{\subseteq L w_2}(\varphi_2)} + w_1^{-1} \lambda_1 + w_2^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_2).$$

Interestingly, because of the asymmetry of Ψ , the individual terms on the right-hand side are *not* invariant (up to complex conjugation) under interchanging φ_i , w_i , and M_i .

Proof. Set $f_i = E_{P_i}(\varphi_i, \lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Using Proposition 13 we write $\langle f_1, \Lambda^T f_2 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}$ as the sum over Q_2 and $w_2 \in W(L_2; M_2)$ of

$$\left\langle f_1, \sum_{\gamma \in Q_2 \backslash G} \Lambda^{T, Q_2} B_{Q_2}(\gamma g, \varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(H(\gamma g) - T) \right\rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}$$

provided that each term is defined. By Lemma 14, this is indeed the case for $\text{Re } \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_2, +}^*$ sufficiently regular and each summand is equal to

$$\langle (f_1)_{Q_2}, \Lambda^{T, Q_2} B_{Q_2}(\varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q_2 \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

This is equal to

$$\langle \Lambda^{T, Q_2} f_1, B_{Q_2}(\varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q_2 \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

Using Proposition 13 once more, we obtain the sum over $Q_1 \in [P_0, Q_2]$ and $w_1 \in W(L_1; M_1)$ of

$$\left\langle \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash Q_2} \Lambda^{T, Q_1} B_{Q_1}(\gamma g, \varphi_1, w_1, \lambda_1) \chi_{L_1, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(H(\gamma g) - T), B_{Q_2}(\varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \right\rangle_{Q_2 \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

Using the argument of Lemma 14 together with Corollary 9 and applying Lemma 1 we get

$$\sum_{Q_1 \subseteq Q_2} \sum_{w_1 \in W(L_1; M_1)} \sum_{w_2 \in W(L_2; M_2)} \langle \Lambda^{T, Q_1} B_{Q_1}(\varphi_1, w_1, \lambda_1) \chi_{L_1, M_1, w_1}^{Q_2}(H(\cdot) - T), B_{Q_2}(\varphi_2, w_2, \lambda_2) \chi_{L_2, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T) \rangle_{Q_1 \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

Upon rewriting, we obtain (13) from the definition of $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}$. The last part follows from Corollary 11 and Lemma 2. □

Remark 16. The careful reader would have noticed that the exact description of $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}$ provided by Proposition 10 was not really used in the argument above. It will be of interest to describe the Laplace transform of $\Psi_{L, M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2}$ explicitly, thereby explicating further the Maass–Selberg relations above. We will not go in this direction in this paper. We mention, however, the following special case: the volume of the truncated fundamental domain, namely $\langle 1, \Lambda^T 1 \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}$, was computed explicitly in [Kim and Weng 2007].

If $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{A}_{P_j}^{\text{cusp}}$, the identity (13) reduces to (5), which is equal to the expression $\mathfrak{M}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2)$ defined in (6).

In the case where $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{A}_{P_j}^2$, we recover Arthur’s asymptotic result.

Proposition 17 [Arthur 1982]. *Suppose $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{A}_{P_j}^2$ and $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{ia}_{M_j}^*$, $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\langle E_{P_1}(\varphi_1, \lambda_1), \Lambda^T E_{P_2}(\varphi_2, \lambda_2) \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} = \mathfrak{M}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2) + \mathfrak{E}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{E}^T(\varphi_1, \lambda_1, \varphi_2, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{PE}_-.$$

Proof. Consider the right-hand side of (13). Each summand belongs to \mathcal{PE}_- unless $w_1 \in W(L, M_1)$ and $w_2 \in W(L, M_2)$. In this case, the summand is equal to

$$\langle (\Lambda^{T, Q}(M(w_1^{-1}, \lambda_1)\varphi_1)_{w_1^{-1}\lambda_1}, (M(w_2^{-1}, \lambda_2)\varphi_2)_{w_2^{-1}\lambda_2} \phi_{Q, M_2, w_2}(H(\cdot) - T)) \rangle_{Q \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1}.$$

The proposition follows from Lemma 2 applied with L instead of G , using the Iwasawa decomposition and (7) □

As in [Lapid 2011, §8] one can infer from Proposition 17 the holomorphy of $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ on $\lambda \in \mathfrak{ia}_M^*$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^2$. Moreover, for any smooth compactly supported function $\varphi : \mathfrak{ia}_M^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P^2$ with values in a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{A}_P^2 , define the Eisenstein integral

$$\Theta_{P, \varphi} = \int_{\mathfrak{ia}_M^*} E(\varphi(\lambda), \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Then $\Theta_{P, \varphi} \in L^2(G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1)$ and

$$(14) \quad \langle \Theta_{P, \varphi}, \Theta_{P', \varphi'} \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} = \int_{\mathfrak{ia}_M^*} \sum_{w \in W(M, M')} \langle M(w, \lambda)\varphi(\lambda), \varphi'(w\lambda) \rangle_{A_{M'}U'_{\mathbb{A}}M' \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} d\lambda.$$

We note that the argument in [Lapid 2011, §8] depends on the second half of [ibid., §7] (which is elementary), but is otherwise self-contained.

We can write (14) more symmetrically as follows. For any parabolic subgroup R , write

$$\varphi_{\#}^{P, R}(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W(P, R)} M(w, w^{-1}\lambda)\varphi(w^{-1}\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{ia}_R^*.$$

By the properties of the intertwining operators, we have

$$\varphi_{\#}^{P,Q}(s\lambda, g) = M(s, \lambda)\varphi_{\#}^{P,R}(\lambda, g)$$

for any $s \in W(R, Q)$. Therefore, for any Q and $s \in W(P, Q)$ we can write the right-hand side of (14) as

$$\int_{i\mathfrak{a}_Q^*} \langle M(s, s^{-1}\mu)\varphi(s^{-1}\mu), \varphi_{\#}^{P',Q}(\mu) \rangle_{A_L V_{\mathbb{A}} L \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} d\mu.$$

Averaging over Q and s we get

$$\langle \Theta_{P,\varphi}, \Theta_{P',\varphi'} \rangle_{G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1} = n(\mathfrak{a}_P)^{-1} \sum_Q \int_{i\mathfrak{a}_Q^*} \langle \varphi_{\#}^{P,Q}(\mu), \varphi_{\#}^{P',Q}(\mu) \rangle_{A_L V_{\mathbb{A}} L \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} d\mu,$$

where $n(\mathfrak{a}_P) = \sum_Q |W(P, Q)|$ is the number of chambers for \mathfrak{a}_P [Arthur 1978, p. 919].

References

- [Arthur 1978] J. G. Arthur, “A trace formula for reductive groups, I: Terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$ ”, *Duke Math. J.* **45**:4 (1978), 911–952. MR 80d:10043
- [Arthur 1980] J. Arthur, “A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator”, *Compositio Math.* **40**:1 (1980), 87–121. MR 81b:22018
- [Arthur 1982] J. Arthur, “On the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series”, *Duke Math. J.* **49**:1 (1982), 35–70. MR 83e:22023 Zbl 0518.22012
- [Jacquet et al. 1999] H. Jacquet, E. Lapid, and J. Rogawski, “Periods of automorphic forms”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12**:1 (1999), 173–240. MR 99c:11056 Zbl 1012.11044
- [Kim and Weng 2007] H. H. Kim and L. Weng, “Volume of truncated fundamental domains”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**:6 (2007), 1681–1688. MR 2007m:11073 Zbl 1193.11050
- [Labesse and Waldspurger 2012] J.-P. Labesse and J.-L. Waldspurger, “La formule des traces tordue d’après le Friday Morning Seminar”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1204.2888
- [Langlands 1976] R. P. Langlands, *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **544**, Springer, Berlin, 1976. MR 58 #28319 Zbl 0332.10018
- [Lapid 2011] E. Lapid, “On Arthur’s asymptotic inner product formula of truncated Eisenstein series”, pp. 309–331 in *On certain L-functions*, edited by J. Arthur et al., Clay Math. Proc. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011. MR 2012e:22029 Zbl 1242.22025
- [Lapid and Rogawski 2003] E. M. Lapid and J. D. Rogawski, “Periods of Eisenstein series: the Galois case”, *Duke Math. J.* **120**:1 (2003), 153–226. MR 2004m:11077 Zbl 1037.11033
- [Mœglin and Waldspurger 1994] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Décomposition spectrale et séries d’Eisenstein: une paraphrase de l’Écriture*, Progress in Mathematics **113**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994. Translated as *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **113**, Cambridge University Press, 1995. MR 95d:11067 Zbl 0794.11022

Received May 25, 2012. Revised June 29, 2012.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
91904 JERUSALEM
ISRAEL
erezla@math.huji.ac.il

KEITH OUELLETTE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555
UNITED STATES
kouellet@math.ucla.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Don Blasius
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, pacific@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright © 2012 Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 260 No. 2 December 2012

Special issue devoted to the memory of Jonathan Rogawski

In memoriam: Jonathan Rogawski	257
DON BLASIUS, DINAKAR RAMAKRISHNAN and V. S. VARADARAJAN	
p -adic Rankin L -series and rational points on CM elliptic curves	261
MASSIMO BERTOLINI, HENRI DARMON and KARTIK PRASANNA	
The syntomic regulator for K_4 of curves	305
AMNON BESSER and ROB DE JEU	
Unique functionals and representations of Hecke algebras	381
BENJAMIN BRUBAKER, DANIEL BUMP and SOLOMON FRIEDBERG	
A relative trace formula for $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ in the local setting	395
BROOKE FEIGON	
On the degrees of matrix coefficients of intertwining operators	433
TOBIAS FINIS, EREZ LAPID and WERNER MÜLLER	
Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction	457
GUY HENNIART and MARIE-FRANCE VIGNERAS	
The functional equation and beyond endoscopy	497
P. EDWARD HERMAN	
A correction to <i>Conducteur des Représentations du groupe linéaire</i>	515
HERVÉ JACQUET	
Modular L -values of cubic level	527
ANDREW KNIGHTLY and CHARLES LI	
On occult period maps	565
STEPHEN KUDLA and MICHAEL RAPOPORT	
A prologue to “Functoriality and reciprocity”, part I	583
ROBERT LANGLANDS	
Truncation of Eisenstein series	665
EREZ LAPID and KEITH OUELLETTE	
Some comments on Weyl’s complete reducibility theorem	687
JONATHAN ROGAWSKI and V. S. VARADARAJAN	
On equality of arithmetic and analytic factors through local Langlands correspondence	695
FREYDOON SHAHIDI	



0030-8730(201212)260:2;1-A