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If a knot $K$ bounds a genus-one Seifert surface $F \subset S^3$ and $F$ contains an essential simple closed curve $\alpha$ that has induced framing 0 and is smoothly slice, then $K$ is smoothly slice. Conjecturally, the converse holds. It is known that if $K$ is slice and the determinant of $K$ is not 1, then there are strong constraints on the algebraic concordance class of such $\alpha$, and it was thought that these constraints might imply that $\alpha$ is at least algebraically slice. We present a counterexample; in the process we answer negatively a question of Cooper and relate the result to a problem of Kauffman. Results of this paper depend on the interplay between the Casson–Gordon invariants of $K$ and algebraic invariants of $\alpha$.

1. Introduction

For $n > 1$, if a smooth knotted $S^{2n-1}$ in $S^{2n+1}$ bounds an embedded disk in $B^{2n+2}$, such a smooth slicing disk can be constructed from a $2n$-manifold bounded by $K$ in $S^{2n+1}$ by ambient surgery. Whether the same is true for knots in $S^3$ has remained an open question for 40 years, though counterexamples exist in the topological category [Freedman and Quinn 1990].

One well-known and simply stated conjecture [Kirby 1978, Problem 1.38] is a special case: the untwisted Whitehead double of a knot $J \subset S^3$ is smoothly slice if and only if $J$ is smoothly slice. More generally, if $K$ is a knot in $S^3$ that bounds a genus-one Seifert surface $F$ and is algebraically slice, then up to isotopy and orientation change, there are exactly two essential simple closed curves on $F$, $J_1$ and $J_2$, with self-linking 0 with respect to the Seifert form of $F$. In this situation, we will call $J_1$ and $J_2$ surgery curves for $F$. Conjecturally, if $K$ is smoothly slice, then one of $J_1$ or $J_2$ is necessarily smoothly slice (see [Kauffman 1987, Strong conjecture, page 226] for instance).

Shortly after Casson and Gordon [1986] developed obstructions to slicing algebraically slice knots, it was noticed that Casson–Gordon invariants could be...
expressed in terms of signature invariants of curves on Seifert surfaces [Gilmer 1983; Litherland 1984]. Moreover, Casson–Gordon invariants could be interpreted in this way as obstructions to slicing \( K \) by slicing a surgery curve on a genus-one Seifert surface for \( K \). Casson–Gordon invariants actually obstruct topological locally flat slice disks.

A genus-one knot \( K \) is algebraically slice if and only if it has an Alexander polynomial of the form

\[
\Delta_K(t) = (mt - (m + 1))((m + 1)t - m)
\]

for some \( m \geq 0 \). Observe that if \( \Delta_K \) has the form above, then the nonnegative integer \( m \) is determined. For a genus-one algebraically slice knot \( K \), let \( m(K) \) denote this number; note that the determinant of \( K \) is \( (2m(K) + 1)^2 \).

We let \( \sigma_K(t) \) denote the Levine–Tristram signature function of \( K \) [Levine 1969; Tristram 1969], as defined on the unit interval \([0, 1]\) and redefined to be the average of the one-sided limits at the jumps. Casson–Gordon theory implies that if a genus-one knot \( K \) is slice and \( m(K) \neq 0 \), then the signature function of one of the surgery curves satisfies strong constraints. To state these, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.** A knot \( J \) satisfies the \((m, p)\)-signature conditions for integers \( m > 0 \) and \( p \) relatively prime to \( m \) and \( m + 1 \) if

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sigma_J(ca^i/p) = 0
\]

for all \( c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), and \( a = (m + 1)/m \mod p \), where \( r \) is the order of \( a \) modulo \( p \).

To get a feeling for this summation, consider the case of \( m(K) = 1 \) and \( p = 73 \). In \( \mathbb{Z}_{73} \), the number 2 generates the multiplicative subgroup \( \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 55, 37\} \). This subgroup has 8 cosets in the group of units \( (\mathbb{Z}_{73})^* \). For instance, the coset containing \( c = 5 \) is \( \{5, 7, 10, 14, 20, 28, 39, 40, 56\} \). Thus the following arises as one of the sums in the \((1, 73)\)-signature condition:

\[
\sigma_J(\frac{5}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{7}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{10}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{14}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{20}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{28}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{39}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{40}{73}) + \sigma_J(\frac{56}{73}).
\]

Notice that the cosets appear to be fairly randomly distributed in the unit interval. Nonetheless, as we show, the vanishing of all such sums is not sufficient to imply the vanishing of the signature function itself. Consider the following simple consequence of Theorem 8 below.

**Theorem 2.** If \( K \) is a genus-one smoothly slice knot, then one of the surgery curves \( J \) satisfies \((m(K), p)\)-signature conditions for an infinite set of primes \( p \).

In his thesis, Cooper states a stronger result:
Theorem 3 [Cooper 1982]. Let $K$ be a genus-one smoothly slice knot, then one of the surgery curves $J$ satisfies the $(m(K), p)$-signature conditions for all $p$ relatively prime to $m$ and $m + 1$.

One quick corollary, first observed by Cooper, of either of these theorems is that for a genus one slice knot $K$ with $m(K) > 0$, the integral of the signature function of one of the slice curves $J$ is 0. This follows by summing the signature sums in the theorem over all values of $c$ to get a sum of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_f(i/p) = 0$ and then noting that for large $p$, this sum approximates the integral. (This integral condition was later seen to follow from the $L^2$-signature approach of [Cochran et al. 2003, Theorem(1.4)].)

Clearly, the constraints given by these theorems are quite extensive. One explicit question asked by Cooper is whether the vanishing of the combined sum $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_f(i/p)$ for the appropriate infinite sets of $p$ implies the vanishing of the signature function [Cooper 1982, Question (3.16)]. We will show that the answer is no. In fact, the much stronger constraints given in Theorems 2 and 3 are not sufficient to imply the vanishing of the signature function of one of the surgery curves. Here is the algebraic formulation of the question.

Question 4. Let $\sigma$ be an integer-valued step function defined on $[0, 1]$ with the property that $\sigma(x) = \sigma(1-x)$ for all $x$. Assume also $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = 0$, that there are no jumps at points with denominator a prime power, and that $\sigma$ is equal to the average of the one-sided limits at the jumps. Suppose that for all $p > 1$ coprime to $m$ and $m + 1$, for $G$ the multiplicative subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^*$ generated by $(m + 1)/m$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\sum_{r \in nG} \sigma(r/p) = 0.$$ 

Then does $\sigma(t) = 0$ for all $t$?

For each $m > 0$, the answer to the above question is emphatically no. Let $K_{(r,s)}$ denote the $(r, s)$-cable of $K$ (that is, $r$ longitudes, and $s$ meridians). Let $-K$ denote the mirror image of $K$.

Theorem 5. Let $K$ be a knot with a nonzero signature function, and $m > 0$. The signature function of $K_{(m,1)} \# -K_{(m+1,1)}$ is nonzero and satisfies the $(m, p)$-signature conditions for all $p$ relatively prime to $m$ and $m + 1$.

We have a perhaps nicer family to work with in the case $m = 1$. Let $T_{r,s}$ denote the $(r, s)$-torus knot, which is the $(r, s)$-cable of the unknot.

Theorem 6. If $r$ is an odd number and $r \geq 3$, the signature function of $(T_{2,r})_{(2,-r)}$ is nonzero and satisfies the $(1, p)$-signature conditions for odd $p$. 
Although Casson–Gordon theory gives a somewhat weaker version of Cooper’s theorem, it provides access to the more powerful Witt class analogs of Theorem 2, which carry more information than is given by signatures. Also, Casson–Gordon theory obstructs topological sliceness, whereas Cooper worked in the smooth category. We now describe these Witt class invariants.

If \( K \) is a knot, let \( V_t = (1 - t)V + (1 - t^{-1})V' \), where \( V \) is a Seifert matrix of \( K \) and \( t \) is an indeterminate. For \( p \) a prime power and \( j/p \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p] / \mathbb{Z} \), let \( w_K(j/p) \) denote the element represented by \( V e^{2\pi i j/p} \) in \( W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(2) \). Here, \( W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \) denotes the Witt group of hermitian forms over the field \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \) and \( \mathbb{Z}(2) \) denotes \( \mathbb{Z} \) localized at 2. An elementary proof shows that this defines a homomorphism on the concordance group.

Definition 7. We say a knot \( J \) satisfies the \((m, p)\)-Witt conditions for integers \( m > 0 \) and \( p \) relatively prime to \( m \) and \( m + 1 \) if

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} w_J[(c + ai)/p] = 0 \in W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(2)
\]

for all \( c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), \( a = (m + 1)/m \mod p \), and \( r \) the order of \( a \) modulo \( p \).

If a knot \( J \) satisfies the \((m, p)\)-Witt conditions, it satisfies the \((m, p)\)-signature conditions as well. But the Witt conditions are stronger. For instance, one can define a discriminant invariant on \( W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(2) \), which is discussed in [Gilmer and Livingston 1992b].

Theorem 8. Let \( K \) be a genus one topologically slice knot. There is some finite set of bad primes \( P \) such that one of the surgery curves \( J \) satisfies the \((m(K), p)\)-Witt conditions for all \( p \) in the set

\[
\{ r^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, r \text{ is prime, } r \notin P, r^n \text{ divides } (m+1)^q -(m)^q \text{ for some prime power } q \}.
\]

Consider \( \text{Wh}(J, n) \), the \( n \)-twisted Whitehead double of \( J \). It is well-known that this knot is algebraically slice if and only if \( n = m(m+1) \). Moreover \( m(\text{Wh}(J, m(m+1))) = m \). It is also known that the two surgery curves for

**Figure 1.** Signature function of \((T_{2,3})_{(2,-3)}\) satisfying the \((1, p)\)-signature conditions for odd \( p \).
Wh(J, m(m + 1)) both have the isotopy type of J \# T_{(m, m+1)}. One can see this using the techniques discussed in [Kauffman 1987, pages 214–223]. Using this fact, for these knots one can sometimes remove the exceptions created by the unknown set of bad primes.

**Theorem 9.** Let m > 0. If Wh(J, m(m + 1)) is topologically slice, then J \# T_{(m, m+1)} satisfies the (m, p)-Witt conditions for all p in the set

\[ \{ p \mid p \text{ is prime, } \gcd(p^2, (m + 1)^q - (m)^q) = p \text{ for some odd prime power } q \} \].

Our examples of knots satisfying (m, p)-signature conditions also satisfy Witt conditions.

**Theorem 10.** For any knot K and m > 0, K_{(m,1)} \# -K_{(m+1,1)} satisfies the (m, p)-Witt conditions for all p relatively prime to m and m + 1. For any odd integer n, (T_{2,n})_{(2,−n)} satisfies the (1, p)-Witt conditions for all odd p.

In the next theorems, we focus on some particularly nice examples.

**Theorem 11.** Let J = (T_{2,3})_{(2,−3)}, the (2,−3)-cable of trefoil knot T_{2,3}. Let K = Wh(J, 2).

1. K is a genus one algebraically slice knot with both surgery curves having the same knot type, J.
2. J satisfies the (1, p)-Witt conditions for all odd p. In particular J satisfies the (1, p)-signature conditions for all odd p. Another consequence is that the constraints of Theorems 3, 8, and 9 on K are satisfied.
3. The signature function of J is nonzero.
4. Δ_J(t) = (t^{-1} - 1 + t)(t^{-2} - 1 + t^2) does not satisfy the Fox–Milnor condition; that is, Δ_J(t) cannot be written as f(t)f(t^{-1}) for f(t) ∈ Z[t, t^{-1}].
5. Arf J ≠ 0.

We do not know whether Wh((T_{2,3})_{(2,−3)}, 2) is topologically locally flat slice or smoothly slice. A conjecture made by Kauffman [1987, Weak Conjecture, page 226; Kirby 1978, Problem 1.52] implies that Wh((T_{2,3})_{(2,−3)}, 2) is not smoothly slice since Arf((T_{2,3})_{(2,−3)}) ≠ 0. Thus examples such as this one offer a route to possible counterexamples to this conjecture.

By modifying the example slightly (without changing the relevant signature function, Alexander polynomial, Arf invariant, or even Witt class invariant), using results of Hedden [2007; 2009] on the Ozsváth–Szabó invariant of cables and Whitehead doubles, obstructing sliceness becomes possible. This is described in the first part of the following theorem. We also give a second example of a knot with similar properties.
Theorem 12. Let \( J' = (T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0))_{(2, -3)} \). Then \( K' = \text{Wh}(J', 2) \) is not smoothly slice. Moreover the conclusions of Theorem 11 hold when \( K \) is replaced by \( K' \) and \( J \) is replaced by \( J' \).

Let \( J'' = (T_{2,3})_{(2, -3)} \# (T_{2,3})_{(2, -3)} \). Then \( K'' = \text{Wh}(J'', 2) \) is not smoothly slice. Moreover conclusions (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 11 hold when \( K \) is replaced by \( K'' \) and \( J \) is replaced by \( J'' \).

In Section 2, we outline the proofs of Theorems 5, 6 and 10. Section 3 presents the proof of Theorem 12 using tools from Heegaard–Floer theory. In Section 4 and Appendices B and C, we review Casson–Gordon theory and prove Theorems 8 and 9. Similar arguments have appeared, but some depend on Theorem 1 of [Gilmer 1993], whose proof contains a gap (shared with [Gilmer 1983, Theorem (0.1)]). We show how to modify this proof to obtain the results stated above. In Section 5, we give some restrictions on signature functions which satisfy the \( m \)-signature averaging conditions.

2. Proofs of Theorems 5, 6 and 10

Let \( S \) be a finite set in \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \). For any function \( f(t) \) on \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) taking values in an abelian group, define \( \mu_S(f(t)) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) \). We let \( \phi_k : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) denote multiplication by the integer \( k \). Observe that if \( \phi_k \) is injective on \( S \), then \( \mu_{\phi_k(S)}(f(t)) = \mu_S(f(kt)) \). In particular, we have the following.

Lemma 13. If \( S \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) is a finite set on which \( \phi_m \) and \( \phi_n \) are both injective and \( \phi_m(S) = \phi_n(S) \), then for all \( f \), \( \mu_S(f(mt) - f(nt)) = 0 \).

In the current case of interest, we have an integer \( m \), an integer \( p \) relatively prime to \( m(m + 1) \), and an integer \( c \) representing an element in \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \). We let \( a = (m + 1)/m \mod p \) and \( S = \{ca^i/p\} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \). Notice that \( ma^i = (m + 1)a^{i-1} \). Thus, in this setting \( \phi_m(S) = \phi_{m+1}(S) \).

Corollary 14. With the notation of the previous paragraph, for all \( f \),

\[
\mu_S(f((m + 1)t) - f(mt)) = 0.
\]

An immediate application is the case that \( f \) is the signature function of a knot \( J \), in which case \( f(mt) \) is the signature function of the knot \( J_{m, \pm 1} \).

In the proof of Lemma 13, it is not required that \( f \) be defined on all of \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \), but only on the sets \( S, \phi_m(S) \) and \( \phi_n(S) \). For instance, for a knot \( J \) and prime power \( p \), there is the function \( \omega_J : \{j/p\} \to W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(2) \) defined by

\[
\omega_J(j/p) = (1 - \zeta_p^j)V + (1 - \zeta_p^{-j})V',
\]

where \( \zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p} \).
The only missing ingredient in the proofs of Theorems 5, 6, and 10 is the following theorem.

**Theorem 15.** If $S$ is a satellite of $C$ with orbit $P$ and winding number $n$, then

$$ w_S(j/p) = w_P(j/p) + w_C(nj/p). $$

This result is very close to a result of Litherland [1984, Theorem 1], which states that if $V_t(K) = (1 - t)V + (1 - t^{-1})V'$, where $V$ is the Seifert form of $K$, then $V_t(S)$ is Witt equivalent to the form $V_p(C) \oplus V_t(P)$ in the Witt group $W(\mathbb{Q}(t))$ of the function field. One would like to argue at this point that the substitution of $\zeta_p$ for $t$ defines a map $W(\mathbb{Q}(t)) \to W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p))$, and Theorem 15 results. Unfortunately, this procedure does not lead to a well-defined map $W(\mathbb{Q}(t)) \to W(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p))$, as a class in $W(\mathbb{Q}(t))$ may be represented by a matrix whose entries have poles at $\zeta_p$. We leave it to Appendix A to show how this hurdle can be overcome.

### 3. Smooth obstructions to slicing

In [Ozsváth and Szabó 2003], an invariant $\tau$ is defined with the property that if $K$ is smoothly slice, then $\tau(K) = 0$. In order to apply this, we need to modify our knot $K$ slightly. Let $K' = \text{Wh}((T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0))(2, -3), 2)$. We show $\tau(K') = 1$.

As a first step, it follows from [Ozsváth and Szabó 2003] that $\tau(T_{2,3}) = 1$. Next, Hedden [2007] proved that for any $J$, $\tau(\text{Wh}(J, t)) = 1$ for all $t < 2\tau(J)$. Thus, $\tau(\text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0)) = 1$. By additivity, $\tau(T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0)) = 2$.

According to another theorem of Hedden [2009], if $\tau(J) = \text{genus}(J)$, then

$$ \tau(J(s, sn+1)) = s\tau(J) + \frac{1}{2}sn(s - 1) + s - 1. $$

In the case of interest to us, we have $s = 2$ and $n = -2$, so $\tau(J(2, -3)) = 2\tau(J) - 1$. We do have $\tau(T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0)) = \text{genus}(T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0)) = 2$, so

$$ \tau((T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0))(2, -3)) = 2\tau(T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0)) - 1 = 2(2) - 1 = 3. $$

Finally, again by Hedden’s computation of $\tau$ of doubled knots,

$$ \tau(\text{Wh}((T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0))(2, -3), t)) = 1 $$

if $t < 6$. So in particular, $\tau(\text{Wh}((T_{2,3} \# \text{Wh}(T_{2,3}, 0))(2, -3), 2)) = 1$.

We can also consider $K'' = \text{Wh}((T_{2,3})(2, -3) \# (T_{2,3})(2, -3), 2)$. Using the same formula of Hedden’s for cables, we have

$$ \tau((T_{2,3})(2, -3)) = 1, $$

which gives us

$$ \tau((T_{2,3})(2, -3) \# (T_{2,3})(2, -3)) = 2. $$

Then using Hedden’s formula for doubles, $\tau(K'') = 1$. 
4. Casson–Gordon theory

By a character $\chi$ on $X$, we mean a homomorphism $\chi : H_1(X) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. This is a $d$-character if $\chi : H_1(X) \to (1/d)\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Given a knot $K$ and a prime power $q$, let $S_q$ denote the $q$-fold branched cyclic cover of $S^3$ along $K$. Given a $d$-character on $S_q$, Casson and Gordon [1986] defined an invariant $\tau(K, \chi)$ taking values in $W(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_d](t)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Here, $W(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_d](t))$ is the Witt group of Hermitian forms over $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_d](t)$. If $d$ is odd (as will be the case when $K$ is a genus one algebraically slice knot), then $\tau(K, \chi)$ may be refined to take values in $W(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_d](t)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[2]$ [Gilmer and Livingston 1992a; 1992b]. This refinement is useful as these Witt groups have 2-torsion. Here is the theorem of Casson and Gordon [1986; 1978] which asserts that certain $\tau(K, \chi)$ vanish when $K$ is slice. (Casson and Gordon proved this theorem for smooth slice disks, and later, based on [Freedman and Quinn 1990], it was seen to hold in the topological locally flat category.)

**Theorem 16** [Casson and Gordon 1986]. Let $K$ be a slice knot bounding a slice disk $\Delta \subset B^4$. Let $W_q$ be the $q$-fold cyclic branched cover of $B^4$ over $\Delta$.

- If $\chi$ is a character on $S_q$ of prime power order that extends to $W_q$, then $\tau(K, \chi) = 0$.
- A character $\chi$ on $S_q$ extends to $W_q$ if and only if it vanishes on $\kappa(\Delta, q)$, the kernel of $H_1(S_q) \to H_1(W_q)$.
- The kernel $\kappa(\Delta, q)$ is a metabolizer for the linking form on $H_1(S_q)$ and is invariant under the group of covering transformations.
- The set of characters $\chi$ on $S_q$ that extend to $W_q$ form a metabolizer $m(q, \Delta)$ for the linking form on $H^1(S_q, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

If $p$ is a prime and $G$ is an abelian group, let $G(p)$ denote the $p$-primary summand of $G$. Note that the obstruction to sliceness given by Theorem 16 can be reduced to a sequence of obstructions associated to each prime $p$: $\tau(K, \chi) = 0$ for $\chi \in m(q, \Delta)(p)$.

Let $F$ be a Seifert surface $K$. Then $F \cup \Delta$ bounds a 3-manifold $R \subset B^4$. In [Gilmer 1993, Theorem 1], the first author related $m(q, \Delta)$ to the metabolizer $H$ for Seifert form on $H_1(F)$ that arises as the kernel of the map induced by inclusion $H_1(F) \to H_1(R)/\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))$. However, Stefan Friedl [2004] found a gap in the proof, appearing in the second to last sentence on page 6 of [Gilmer 1993]. We now want to state a corrected version of the theorem.

**Theorem 17.** Assume the notations and suppositions of Theorem 16, and let $R$ and $H$ be as above. Let $p$ be a prime relatively prime to $|\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))|$. Let $\{x'_i\}$ be a basis for $H$. Let $\{y'_i\}$ be a complementary dual basis in $H_1(F)$ to $\{x'_i\}$ with respect to the intersection pairing. View $F$ as built from a disk by adding $2g$ bands, with
cores representing the $x_i'$ and $y_i'$. Let the linking circles to those bands be denoted $x_i$ and $y_i$. Let $\mathbb{Y}$ be the subgroup of $H_1(S_q)$ generated by the lifts of the $y_i$ to a single component of the inverse image of $S^3 \setminus F$ in $S_q$. Then $\kappa(\Delta, q)(p) = \mathbb{Y}(p)$.

Two independent proofs of Theorem 17 are presented in Appendices B and C. In [Friedl 2004, Theorem 8.6] and [Cochran et al. 2003, page 511], an equivalent result is asserted for almost all primes $p$ (rather than for all primes not dividing $|\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))|$).

To each element $z \in H_1(S_q)(p)$, there is an associated character
$$\chi_z : H_1(S_q)(p) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^k \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$
(for some value of $k$), defined by $\chi_z(w) = \ell_k(w, z) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

**Corollary 18.** Assuming the notations and suppositions of Theorems 16 and 17, then $m(q, 1)(p) = \{\chi_z | z \in \mathbb{Y}(p)\}$.

We can now summarize the proof of Theorem 8. Details follow as in [Gilmer 1993].

**Proof of Theorem 8.** By Theorem 17, one needs to show that the vanishing of the Casson–Gordon invariants for characters $\chi_z$ with $z \in \mathbb{Y}_p$ implies the surgery curve $J$ satisfies the specified $(m(K), p)$-Witt conditions. There are two steps. First, one considers a new knot $K'$, formed from $K$ by tying a knot $-J$ in the band of the Seifert surface representing $J$. This new knot is slice, since it has surgery curve $J \# -J$, which is slice. The manifold $R$ for $K'$ is built by adding a two-handle to $F \times [0, 1]$, and can be seen to be a solid handlebody, in fact, a solid torus. Thus, Theorem 17 implies that for all the relevant characters, the Casson–Gordon invariants vanish. The proof is completed by proving that the effect of changing $K$ to $K'$ on the Casson–Gordon invariants is to add the sum of invariants appearing in the $(m(K), p)$-Witt conditions. □

(We take this opportunity to remark that Theorem (3.5) of [Gilmer 1983] remains valid. Although its proof uses Theorem 1.1 of the same paper, it only does so in the case that $R$ is a handlebody. For similar reasons, the proof of [Naik 1996, Theorem 7] is valid.)

**Proof of Theorem 9.** If $K$ is an algebraically slice knot of genus one, $m = m(K)$, and $q$ is odd, then $H_1(S_q)$ is the direct sum of two cyclic groups of order $(m + 1)^q - m^q$. For each odd prime $p$ such that $\gcd(p^2, (m + 1)^q - (m)^q) = p$, the $p$-primary part of $H_1(S_q)$ (denoted $H_1(S_q)(p)$) is a two-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. An analysis of $H_1(S_q)$ (as in the proof of [Gilmer 1993, top of page 16]) shows that the two metabolizers for the Seifert form spanned by the two surgery curves, say $J_1$ and $J_2$, lead to two distinct metabolizers for the linking form restricted to $H_1(S_q)(p)$. In

---

1 This is the same as [Gilmer 1993, Theorem 1] in the case $q = 2$. 
fact, these metabolizers are eigenspaces for a generator of the group of covering transformations with the distinct eigenvalues \((m + 1)/m\) and \(m/(m + 1)\). Thus this linking form on \(H_1(S_q)(p)\) is hyperbolic. It follows that an element in \(H_1(S_q)(p)\), in the complement of the union of these two metabolizers cannot have self-linking zero. Therefore, the linking form on \(H_1(S_q)(p)\) has only these two metabolizers.

If \(K\) is slice, then \(\kappa(\Delta, q)(p)\) must be one of these two metabolizers. Thus by Theorem 16, if \(\chi : H_1(S_q) \to (1/p)\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\) vanishes on \(\kappa(\Delta, q)(p)\), then \(\tau(K, \chi) = 0\). By [Gilmer 1993, proof of Theorem 3], for each of these \(p\), either \(J_1\) or \(J_2\) must satisfy the \((m, p)\)-Witt conditions. But for \(K = W(J, m(m + 1))\), both \(J_1\) and \(J_2\) have the isotopy type of \(J \# T_{(m,m+1)}\).

5. The averaging conditions restrict where the jumps can occur

We consider the family \(\mathcal{G}\) of step functions \(f\) on \([0, 1]\) that vanish at 0 and 1 and have a finite number of jumps, with value at the jumps the average of the one-sided limits. For \(f \in \mathcal{G}\), define

\[
\Sigma_p(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f(i/p).
\]

Consider, also, the family of symmetric jump functions

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ f \in \mathcal{G} \mid f(x) = f(1-x) \}.
\]

These include the knot signature functions.

We say that \(\sigma \in \mathcal{F}\) satisfies the \(m\)-signature averaging condition if \(\Sigma_p(\sigma) = 0\) for each \(p\) relatively prime to \(m\) and \(m + 1\). The \(m\)-signature averaging condition is a consequence of the \((m, p)\)-signature conditions for all \(p\) relatively prime to \(m\) and \(m + 1\).

The Alexander polynomial of the knot \(5_2\) is \(2 - 3t + 2t^2\) [Cha and Livingston 2011] which has simple roots at \(\frac{1}{4}(3 \pm i\sqrt{7})\). These roots lie on the unit circle and have argument \(\pm 2\pi a\), where \(a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(\frac{1}{4}(3 + i\sqrt{7})) \approx 0.115\).

**Proposition 19.** The number \(a\) is irrational. The signature function of \(5_2 \# -(5_2)_{2,1}\) has jumps in the interval \([0, \frac{1}{2}]\) at \(a/2\), \(a\), and \((1-a)/2\), and this signature function satisfies the \((1, p)\)-signature conditions for all odd \(p\).

**Proof.** If \(a\) were rational, \(2 - 3t + 2t^2\) would have to be a factor of some cyclotomic polynomial; but these are monic. The signature function of \(5_2\) viewed as a function on \([0, 1]\) has jumps at \(a\) and \(1 - a\). Using [Litherland 1984] or [Livingston and Melvin 1985], the signature function of the knot \((5_2) \# -(5_2)_{2,1}\) jumps at exactly \(a/2\), \(a\), \((1-a)/2\), \((1+a)/2\), \(1 - a\), and \(1 - a/2\). By Theorem 5, \((5_2) \# -(5_2)_{2,1}\) satisfies the \((1, p)\)-signature conditions for all odd \(p\).
This example contradicts a claim that we once (see the sentence beginning on the first line of [Gilmer and Livingston 1992a, page 486]) deferred to a future publication, but now retract. Note that the locations of the irrational jumps \( a/2, a, \) and \((1-a)/2\) in the first half interval together with 1 are linearly dependent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Our next theorem says that this is necessary for the jumps of a signature function which satisfies the \( m \)-signature averaging condition.

For \( 0 < a < 1 \), let \( \chi_a \) denote the characteristic function taking the value 1 on \([0, a)\), value \( \frac{1}{2} \) at \( a \) and value 0 on \((a, 1]\). We have

\[
\Sigma_p(\chi_a) = \begin{cases} 
\lfloor pa \rfloor & \text{if } pa \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\
\lfloor pa \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } pa \in \mathbb{Z},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the greatest integer in \( x \).

For \( 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \), consider the symmetric jump function \( S_a = \chi_{1-a} - \chi_a \) on \([0, 1]\). Then \( S_a \in \mathcal{F} \) and

\[
\Sigma_p(S_a) = \lfloor p(1-a) \rfloor - \lfloor pa \rfloor.
\]

We define \( F_p(a) \) by

\[
(5-1) \quad \Sigma_p(S_a) - p \int_0^1 S_a(x) \, dx = F_p(a) = \begin{cases} 
2\lfloor pa \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } pa \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\
0 & \text{if } pa \in \mathbb{Z},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \langle x \rangle = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the fractional part of \( x \).

Theorem 20. Let \( \sigma \in \mathcal{F} \) and let \( \{j_1, \ldots, j_s\} \) be the irrational points of discontinuity of \( \sigma \) that lie in the interval \([0, \frac{1}{2}]\). Suppose \( s \geq 1 \). If \( \sigma \) satisfies the \( m \)-signature averaging condition, then \( \{j_1, \ldots, j_s, 1\} \) are linearly dependent over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Proof. It is easily seen that the integral of \( \sigma \) must be zero. We assume that there is a jump at an irrational point. Thus \( s \geq 1 \).

We have that \( \sigma \) can be written uniquely as \( \sum_{i=1}^r c_i S_{a_i} \) with the \( c_i \) nonzero and the \( a_i \) distinct. By reordering, we can assume that \( a_i \) is rational if and only if \( i > s \), for some \( s \leq r \). Thus \( \{j_1, \ldots, j_s\} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\} \). For each \( i > s \), write \( a_i = b_i/d_i \) in lowest terms. Let \( D \) be the least common multiple of the elements of \( \{d_i \mid i > s\} \cup \{m, m+1\} \). Let \( N = \{p \mid p > 0, p \equiv -1 \pmod{D}\} \). For all \( p \in N \), \( \Sigma_p \sigma = 0 \), and \( pa_i \notin \mathbb{Z} \). Hence, using (5-1), we have that \( \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \langle pa_i \rangle = r/2 \) for all \( p \in N \).

Since \( p \in N \) is constant modulo \( D \), \( \sum_{i=s+1}^r c_i \langle pa_i \rangle \) is constant for \( p \in N \). Hence the sum over the irrational terms, \( \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \langle pa_i \rangle \) is constant for \( p \in N \), as well. Thus

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{\langle pa_1 \rangle, \langle pa_2 \rangle, \ldots, \langle pa_s \rangle \mid p \in N\}
\]

is not dense in \( I^s \). Kronecker’s Theorem [Hardy and Wright 1938, Theorem 442] states that if the fractional parts of the positive integral multiples of a vector \((a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)\) are not dense in \( I^s \), then \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_s, 1\} \) are linearly dependent over
It is not hard to see that the same holds for multiples by any arithmetic sequence, like $N$.

The above theorem still holds if one relaxes the hypothesis by removing the condition that the value of $\sigma$ at the jump points be given by the average of the one sided limits, as one could redefine the values at these points without changing the values of $\Sigma_p(\sigma)$ for the specified $p$’s.

Note that, if $a$ is a rational whose denominator divides $d$, then

$$F_p(a) = F_{p+kd}(a) = -F_{p+kd}(a).$$

**Definition 21.** Given an odd number $d > 1$, let $\mathbb{D}(d)$ be the determinant of the $(d-1)/2 \times (d-1)/2$ matrix indexed by $1 \leq i, j \leq (d-1)/2$ with entries

$$F_i(j/d) = \begin{cases} 
2\langle ij/d \rangle - 1 & \text{if } d \nmid ij, \\
0 & \text{if } d \mid ij.
\end{cases}$$

**Conjecture 22.** For all odd numbers $d > 1$, $\mathbb{D}(d) \neq 0$.

This conjecture is true for $d$ prime according to the next proposition. We have verified the conjecture for $d < 1500$ using Mathematica.

**Proposition 23.** If $s$ is an odd prime, then $\mathbb{D}(s) = \pm 2^{(s-3)/2} h_s/s$, where $h_s$ is the first factor of the class number of the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_s]$. Thus $\mathbb{D}(s) \neq 0$.

**Proof.**

The result follows from Equations (1.7), (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) of [Carlitz and Olson 1955].

**Theorem 24.** Let $d > 1$ be a fixed odd integer for which $\mathbb{D}(d) \neq 0$. Suppose $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ has all jumps at rational points whose denominator divides $d$. If $\Sigma_p(\sigma) = 0$ for all odd $p$, then $\sigma = 0$.

**Proof.** We have $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{(d-1)/2} a_j S_{j/d}$ for some $a_j$. Since $\Sigma_p(\sigma) = 0$ for all odd $p$, we have $\int_0^1 \sigma(x) \, dx = 0$. We pick an odd integer $p(i)$ congruent to $i$ modulo $p$ for every $i$ in the range $0 \leq i \leq (d - 1)/2$. For each $i$, we have $\Sigma_p(i) \sigma - p(i) \int_0^1 \sigma(x) \, dx = 0$. Using Equations (5-1) and (5-2), this gives us the linear equation $\sum_{j=1}^{(d-1)/2} a_j F_i(j/d) = 0$. The resulting system of $(d - 1)/2$ equations in the $(d - 1)/2$ unknowns $a_j$ has only the trivial solution if $\mathbb{D}(d) \neq 0$.

**Corollary 25.** Suppose $d > 1$ is an odd integer and $\mathbb{D}(d) \neq 0$. A nonzero knot signature function satisfying the 1-signature averaging condition cannot have jumps only at points whose denominator is a divisor of $d$.

Since knot signature functions cannot jump at points with prime denominators [Tristram 1969], Proposition 23 does not say anything about knots, except to the extent that it makes Conjecture 22 plausible.
Appendix A: Witt invariants of cable knots

The proof of Theorem 15 follows fairly readily from work of Litherland, some basic knot theoretic results, and consideration of Witt groups.

We begin with an observation: if $\mathcal{S}$ is a satellite of $K$ with orbit $P$ and winding number $n$, then for an appropriate choice of Seifert surfaces for $K$, $P$, and $\mathcal{S}$, the Seifert matrix for $\mathcal{S}$ is the direct sum of a Seifert matrix for $P$ and one for $C_{n,1}$.

The construction of the Seifert surfaces for a satellite knot, which leads to the above result, goes back to [Seifert 1950].

Thus, to prove Theorem 15 we need only prove the following:

**Theorem 26.** For $C_{(n,1)}$, the $(n, 1)$-cable of $C$,

$$w_{C_{(n,1)}}(j/p) = w_C(nj/p).$$

**Proof.** The proof is largely contained in a diagram; note in the following description that the central square of the diagram is not apparently commutative, while one has commutativity around the other interior faces of the diagram.

Here is the notation and necessary background:

- $H$ is the concordance group; $\mathcal{G}$ is Levine’s algebraic concordance group of Seifert matrices; $\alpha$ is the homomorphism induced by $K \to V_K$.
- $W(\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}](\phi_p))$ is the Witt group of the localization of $\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ at the $p$-cyclotomic polynomial $\phi_p$ (that is, the domain formed by inverting all polynomials relatively prime to $\phi_p$); $\beta$ is the map induced by

$$V \to (1 - t)V + (1 - t^{-1})V^t.$$

- $W(\mathbb{Q}(t))$ is the Witt group of the field of fractions of $\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$; $\gamma$ is induced by inclusion. The inclusion map is injective (see [Milnor and Husemoller 1973, Corollary IV 3.3] in the symmetric case, and [Ranicki 1981, Proposition 4.2.1 iii] for the hermitian case that arises here).
- $\lambda_n$ is the function induced by forming the $(n, 1)$-cable; $\lambda_n'$ is the homomorphism induced by $\lambda_n$. This map can be given explicitly in terms of Seifert matrices. That this induces a map on $\mathcal{G}$ and that the map is a homomorphism is elementary (see [Cha et al. 2008; Kawauchi 1980] for further discussion).
The map $\rho$ is induced by the map $t \to \zeta_p$.

The map $\eta_n$ (respectively $\eta'_n$) is induced by the embedding of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ (respectively $\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]_{(\phi_p)}$) into itself which sends $t$ to $t^n$.

The proof of Theorem 15 is seen to be equivalent to showing that $$\rho' \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_n = \rho \circ \eta'_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha.$$ 

By writing $\rho' = \rho \circ \beta$, we see this will follow from $$\beta \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_n = \eta'_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha.$$ 

According to Litherland [1984], we have $$\gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_n = \eta_n \circ \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha.$$ 

Using commutativity of the rightmost square, we have $\eta_n \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \eta'_n$, so Litherland’s equality can be rewritten as $$\gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_n = \gamma \circ \eta'_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha.$$ 

Finally, because $\gamma$ is injective, this implies $\beta \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_n = \eta'_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha$, as desired. □

Appendix B: One approach to Theorem 17

Let $\mathbb{Q}' = \{r/s \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \gcd(s, r) = \gcd(s, \lvert\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))\rvert) = 1\}$.

Lemma 27. If $T$ is a finitely generated torsion group, and the prime divisors of $\lvert T \rvert$ are all divisors of $\lvert\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))\rvert$, then $T \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and $\text{Tor}(T, \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $T$ a finite cyclic group of order $k$ relatively prime to all the denominators of elements of $\mathbb{Q}'$. From the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to T \to 0,$$

we obtain

$$0 \to \text{Tor}(T, \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z} \to T \otimes \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$ 

Suppose $s$ is a denominator of an element in $\mathbb{Q}'$; then $\gcd(k, s) = 1$, so there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ka + sb = 1$. It follows that $k \cdot a/s \equiv 1/s \pmod{1}$. Thus $k \cdot : \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective. It is easy to see that it is also injective. □

Lemma 28. A short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to T_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} T_2 \oplus F_2 \xrightarrow{\phi} T_3 \oplus F_3 \to 0,$$

where the $F_i$ are free abelian groups and the $T_i$ are torsion groups, induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1 \xrightarrow{\pi T_2 \circ \psi} T_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_{|T_2}} T_3 \to 0.$$
Proof. Exactness on the left and at the middle of this sequence is immediate. We only need to show that \( \phi|_{T_2} \) is surjective. Let \( x \in T_3 \); there exist \((y, z) \in T_2 \oplus F_2\) with \( \phi((y, z)) = x \). We wish to show that \( z = 0 \). There exist nonzero integers \( n \) and \( m \) such that \( nx = 0 \) and \( my = 0 \). Then \( \phi((0, mnz)) = \phi((mny, mnz)) = mnx = 0 \). By exactness of the original sequence, \((0, mnz) \in \psi(T_1)\). Since \( z \in F_2 \), we have that \( z = 0 \). \( \Box \)

Lemma 29. If \( \mathcal{T} = \text{Torsion}(H_1(R)) \) and \( H \) denotes the kernel of \( H_1(F) \to H_1(R)/\mathcal{T} \), then \( H \otimes \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z} \) is the kernel of the natural map \( H_1(F) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \).

Proof. Let \( \mathcal{J} \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{J}} \) be the images of \( H_1(F) \to H_1(R) \) and \( H_1(F) \to H_1(R)/\mathcal{T} \), respectively. We have a short exact sequence

\[
0 \to H \to H_1(F) \to \hat{\mathcal{J}} \to 0.
\]

As \( \hat{\mathcal{J}} \) is free abelian, \( \text{Tor}(\hat{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \), and we then have a short exact sequence

\[
0 \to H \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(F) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \to \hat{\mathcal{J}} \otimes (\mathbb{Q}'/\mathbb{Z}) \to 0.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{R} \) denote \( H_1(R) \), and note that \( \mathcal{J}/(\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T}) = \hat{\mathcal{J}} \). Consider the lattice of subgroups consisting of \( \mathcal{R} \), \( \mathcal{J} \), \( \mathcal{T} \), and \( \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T} \). Their inclusions fit into the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & \\
0 & \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T} & \mathcal{T} & \mathcal{T}/(\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T}) & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & \\
0 & \mathcal{J} & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & \\
0 & \hat{\mathcal{J}} & \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{T} & (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{T})/\hat{\mathcal{J}} & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

To see exactness, view the first two columns as the inclusion of one chain complex into another. The third column is the quotient chain complex. Thus we have a short exact sequence of chain complexes. The first two chain complexes are clearly exact. It follows that the third column is exact, using the associated long exact sequence of homology groups.

Using the long exact sequence of the pair \((R, F)\), we may identify \( \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} \) with \( H_1(R, F) \). Using Lefschetz duality and the universal coefficient theorem, we have \( H_1(R, F) \approx H^2(R, \Delta) \approx H^2(R) \approx \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\beta_2(R)} \). With these identifications, the last
column of the diagram becomes a short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{F}/(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \to \text{Torsion}((\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F})/\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \oplus \mathcal{F}' \to 0, \]

where \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{F}' \) are free abelian groups. By Lemma 28, there is a short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{F}/(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F} \to \text{Torsion}((\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F})/\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \to 0. \]

We conclude that \( |\text{Torsion}((\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F})/\hat{\mathcal{F}})| = |\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{F}|. \) By Lemma 27, we have

\[ \text{Tor}((\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F})/\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Tor}(\text{Torsion}((\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F})/\hat{\mathcal{F}}), \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) = 0, \]

so the sequence obtained from the last row of the diagram upon tensoring with \( \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z} \) is exact. In particular, the map \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to (H_1(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) is injective. It follows that \( H \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \), the kernel of \( H_1(F) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to \hat{\mathcal{F}} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}), \) is the same as the kernel of \( H_1(F) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to (H_1(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}). \)

Considering the middle column, we obtain the following exact sequence:

\[ \mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to H_1(\mathcal{R}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to H_1(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to 0. \]

Since \( \mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) = 0 \) by Lemma 27, we see that

\[ H_1(\mathcal{R}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to (H_1(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \]

is injective. Thus the kernel of \( H_1(F) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to (H_1(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \) is also

the kernel of \( H_1(F) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}) \to H_1(\mathcal{R}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z}). \)

The second to last sentence of [Gilmer 1993, page 6] asserts without justification, in the situation of Lemma 29, that \( H \otimes \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z} \) is the kernel of the natural map

\[ H_1(F) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z}) \to H_1(\mathcal{R}) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z}). \]

The original proof of the theorem may then be modified using Lemma 29 and replacing \( \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z} \) by \( \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Z} \) judiciously. This proof then yields the conclusion: \( A^q \cap (H \otimes \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z}) \) (in the notation of [Gilmer 1993]) is equal to \( m(q, \Delta)_{(p)} \) for primes \( p \) relatively prime to \( |\text{Torsion}(H_1(\mathcal{R})|. \) This, in turn, can be rephrased as Theorem 17.

### Appendix C: Another approach to Theorem 17

#### C.1. Notation.

- \( K \) is a slice knot with a genus \( g \) Seifert surface \( F; \) \( K \) bounds a slice disk \( \Delta; \)
  \( R \subset B^4 \) is a 3-manifold bounded by \( F \cup \Delta. \)
- \( S_q \) is the \( q \)-fold branched cover of \( S^3 \) branched over \( K; \) \( W_q \) is the \( q \)-fold branched cover of \( B^4 \) branched over \( \Delta. \)
- \( H \) is the kernel of \( H_1(F) \to H_1(\mathcal{R})/\text{Torsion}(H_1(\mathcal{R})); \) \( \kappa(q, \Delta) \) is the kernel of
  \( H_1(S_q) \to H_1(W_q). \)
We further choose generators for various homology groups:

- \([x'_i] \cup [y'_i]\) is a symplectic basis of \(H_1(F)\) where the \(x_i\) generate \(H\).
- \(F\) is built from a disk with 1-handles added corresponding to this basis. The dual linking circles to the bands represent homology classes in \(H_1(S^3 \setminus F)\) denoted \([x_i] \cup [y_i]\).

Recall (see [Rolfsen 1976]) that \(S_q\) is built from \(q\) copies of \(S^3 \setminus F\). These copies can be enumerated cyclically, corresponding to translates under the deck transformation. There is a corresponding enumeration of the lifts of \(F\) to \(S_q\).

- The lifts of the \(x_i\) are denoted \(\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}\), and similarly for the \(\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{x}'_i\) and \(\tilde{y}'_i\). The \(\alpha\) are indices denoting the appropriate lift of \(S^3 \setminus F\) and \(F\). Here, \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_q\).
- \(\mathcal{Y}\) denotes the subgroup of \(H_1(S_q)\) generated by the \(\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}\). Similarly for \(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}',\) and \(\mathcal{X}'\).
- \(\mathcal{Y}\) denotes the subgroup of \(H_1(S_q)\) generated by a single set of lifts \(\{\tilde{y}_{i,0}\}\).

### C.2. Statement and proof summary.

Theorem 17 can now be stated succinctly: if \(p\) is relatively prime to the order of \(\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))\), then \(\kappa(\Delta, q)(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)\). The proof has several steps:

- **Lemma 30:** \(H_1(S_q)(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p) \oplus \mathcal{X}(p)\) and \(|\mathcal{Y}(p)| = |\mathcal{X}(p)|\).
- **Lemma 31:** \(\mathcal{X}'(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)\).
- **Lemma 32:** \(\mathcal{X}'(p) \subset \kappa(\Delta, q)(p)\) and \(|\kappa(\Delta, q)(p)|^2 = |H_1(S_q)(p)|\).
- **Lemma 33:** \(\mathcal{Y}(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)\).

**Proof of Theorem 17.** We want to show that \(\kappa(\Delta, q)(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)\). By Lemma 33, this is equivalent to showing that \(\kappa(\Delta, q)(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)\). By Lemmas 30 and 32, the orders of these two groups are the same. By Lemmas 31 and 32, \(\mathcal{Y}(p) \subset \kappa(\Delta, q)\), and the proof is complete.

### C.3. Proofs of lemmas.

**Lemma 30.** \(H_1(S_q)(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p) \oplus \mathcal{X}(p)\) and \(|\mathcal{Y}(p)| = |\mathcal{X}(p)|\).

**Proof.** We use the convention that the Seifert form \(V\) is the pairing \(V(a, b) = \text{link}(i_+(a), b)\), where \(i_+\) is the positive push-off. For transformations, we have matrices acting on the left; in presentation matrices, the rows give the relations.

The Seifert matrix of \(V\) for the surface \(F\) with respect to the basis \([x'_i] \cup [y'_i]\) for \(H_1(F)\) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & M \\
M' + I & B
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for some \(g\) dimensional square matrices \(M\) and \(B\), with \(B\) symmetric.
The first homology of $S_q$ is generated by (all) the lifts of the $x_i$ and $y_i$, which we have denoted $\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}$. As described, for instance in [Rolfsen 1976, page 213], a presentation matrix of the first homology of $S_q$ with respect to this basis is determined by $V$. In this case, the result is a matrix of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & M \\
M' & B
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $M$ and $B$ are $qg$ dimensional matrices that are built out of the blocks of $V$ as follows (we illustrate in the case $q = 3$):

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix}
M + I & -M & 0 \\
0 & M + I & -M \\
-M & 0 & M + I
\end{pmatrix}, \quad M' = \begin{pmatrix}
M' & -M' - I & 0 \\
0 & M' & -M' - I \\
-M' - I & 0 & M'
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
B = \begin{pmatrix}
B & -B & 0 \\
0 & B & -B \\
-B & 0 & B
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The first columns correspond to the $\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}$ and the later columns to the $\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}$.

Notice first that $|M| = |M'|$ and $|M|^2 = |H_1(S_q)|$.

Forming the quotient, $H_1(S_q)/\mathcal{Y}$ yields a group $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ generated by the image of $\mathcal{X}$. This quotient is presented by $M'$, and thus has order $\sqrt{|H_1(S_q)|}$, so $\mathcal{X}$ has order at least this large. Thus $|\mathcal{X}(p)|^2 \geq |H_1(S_q)(p)|$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{X}(p)$ is a self-annihilating subgroup for a nonsingular form, $|\mathcal{X}(p)|^2 \leq |H_1(S_q)(p)|$.

We now have $|\mathcal{X}(p)|^2 = |H_1(S_q)(p)|$, and thus $|\mathcal{X}(p)| = |\mathcal{X}(p)|$. From this we can conclude that $\mathcal{X}_p \cap \mathcal{Y}(p) = 0$, so $H_1(S_q)(p) = \mathcal{X}(p) \oplus \mathcal{Y}(p)$. $\square$

**Lemma 31.** $\mathcal{X}(p) = \mathcal{Y}(p)$.

**Proof.** The positive and negative push-off maps $i_\pm : H_1(F) \to S^3 \setminus F$ send the span of the $x_i$ to the span of the $y_i$. Denote the restriction of these maps by $j_\pm : \{x_i\} \to \{y_i\}$. With respect to these bases, the maps $j_\pm$ are given by the matrices $M'$ and $M' + I$. Now view these matrices as defining maps from $\mathbb{Z}^g$ to itself with $M'$ corresponding to an automorphism $T$. Then any element $y \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ can be written $y = \text{Id}(y) = (T + \text{Id})(y) - T(y)$. Thus, $\text{Image}(j_+) + \text{Image}(j_-) = \text{Span}(\{y_i\})$.

Lifting to the $q$-fold branched covers, we see that the $\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}$ are all in the image of the $\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}$ (in more detail, each $\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}$ is in the span of the images of the $\{\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha+1}\}$). Also, the images of the $\tilde{x}_{i,\alpha}$ are all in $\text{Span}(\{\tilde{y}_{i,\alpha}\})$. The same thus holds on the level of the $p$-torsion, completing the proof of the lemma. $\square$

**Lemma 32.** $\mathcal{X}(p) \subset \kappa(\Delta, q)(p)$ and $|\kappa(\Delta, q)(p)|^2 = |H_1(S_q)(p)|$.

**Proof.** Let $\gamma = |\text{Torsion}(H_1(R))|$. Then $\gamma z = 0 \in H_1(R)$ for all $z \in H$. Lifting, we see that $z' \in \mathcal{X}(p)$ for all $\gamma z' = 0 \in H_1(W_q)$, so $\gamma \mathcal{X}(p) \subset \kappa(\Delta, q)(p)$. But multiplication by $\gamma$ is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{X}(p)$ since $p$ is relatively prime to $\gamma$. 
We have from Theorem 16 that $|\kappa(\Delta, q)|^2 = |H_1(S_q)|$, so the same holds for the $p$-torsion. □

Lemma 33. $\mathcal{Y}_{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}_{(p)}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_q]$, the group ring of the cyclic group. We write $\mathbb{Z}_q$ multiplicatively, generated by $t$. The standard derivation of a presentation of the homology $H_1(S_q)$, such as in [Rolfsen 1976], is a Mayer–Vietoris argument. The homology groups involved are all modules over $\Lambda$, where $t$ acts by the deck transformation. From this viewpoint, the Mayer–Vietoris sequence now yields that as a $\Lambda$-module the homology is given as a quotient $H_1(S_q) \cong \Lambda^{2g}/(V - tV')\Lambda^{2g}$.

Since $V - V'$ is invertible, we can multiply the quotienting submodule by $(V - V')^{-1}$ without changing the quotient space. Some elementary algebra then shows that

$$H_1(S_q) \cong \Lambda^{2g}/(\Gamma + t(I - \Gamma))\Lambda^{2g},$$

where $\Gamma = (V - V')^{-1}V$.

It is clear from this that for any $z \in \Lambda^{2g}$, we have $\Gamma z = t(\Gamma - I)z \in H_1(S_q)$. Thus, $\Gamma^qz = t^q(\Gamma - I)^qz \in H_1(S_q)$. However, $t^q = 1$, so $\Gamma^q - (\Gamma - I)^q$ annihilates $H_1(S_q)$.

Expanding, we have that for some polynomial $f$ with constant term 0 and of degree $q - 1$, the action of $f(\Gamma)$ on $H_1(S_q)$ coincides with $I$. The leading coefficient of $f$ is $q$. If $p$ does not divide the order $|H_1(S_q)|$, the lemma is immediately true, so assume $p$ divides the order $|H_1(S_q)|$. We know that $p$ is relatively prime to $q$. Thus, we can switch to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coefficients, in which case the leading coefficient of $f$ is a unit, and we see that with $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coefficients, $\Gamma$ is invertible.

We now focus on the Seifert matrix $V$ of the algebraically slice knot. In the coordinates we have been using, we see that

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} M + I & B \\ 0 & -M \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

From this we conclude that with $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coefficients, $M$ and $M + I$ are both invertible. Recall that for each $k$, $M$ and $M + I$ determine the maps from $\text{Span}(\tilde{x}'_{i,k})$ and $\text{Span}(\tilde{x}'_{i,k+1})$ to $\text{Span}(\tilde{y}_{i,k})$. Thus, any element in $\text{Span}(\tilde{y}_{i,k})$ is also in $\text{Span}(\tilde{y}_{i,k+1})$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □
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