

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**THE BRIN–THOMPSON GROUPS  $sV$  ARE OF TYPE  $F_\infty$**

MARTIN G. FLUCH, MARCO MARSCHLER,  
STEFAN WITZEL AND MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY

## THE BRIN–THOMPSON GROUPS $sV$ ARE OF TYPE $F_\infty$

MARTIN G. FLUCH, MARCO MARSCHLER,  
STEFAN WITZEL AND MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY

**We prove that the Brin–Thompson groups  $sV$ , also called higher-dimensional Thompson’s groups, are of type  $F_\infty$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . This result was previously shown for  $s \leq 3$ , by considering the action of  $sV$  on a naturally associated space. Our key step is to replace this space by a subspace  $sX$  that is easier to analyze.**

Recall that a group is of *type*  $F_\infty$  if it admits a classifying space with finitely many cells in each dimension. Well-known examples of groups of type  $F_\infty$  include Thompson’s groups  $F$ ,  $T$ , and  $V$ . Some generalizations of  $V$  were introduced by Brin [2004; 2005] and shown to be simple. We denote these groups  $sV$ , for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $1V = V$ . These groups are usually termed higher-dimensional Thompson’s groups or Brin–Thompson groups. All of the groups  $sV$  are known to be finitely presented [Hennig and Matucci 2012], and Kochloukova, Martínez-Pérez, and Nucinkis [Kochloukova et al. 2013] showed that  $2V$  and  $3V$  are of type  $F_\infty$ . We prove that this result extends to all dimensions.

**Main Theorem.** *The Brin–Thompson group  $sV$  is of type  $F_\infty$  for all  $s$ .*

Fix some  $s$ . There is a natural poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$  associated to  $sV$ . The realization  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  of this poset is contractible and the action of  $sV$  is proper but not cocompact. To prove the Main Theorem it suffices to produce a cocompact filtration of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  whose connectivity tends to infinity. The tool to study relative connectivity is discrete Morse theory. This was carried out for  $s = 2, 3$  in [Kochloukova et al. 2013]. However, for larger  $s$  this space quickly becomes cumbersome.

We therefore consider a subspace  $sX$  of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ , which we call the *Stein space* for  $sV$ . As before, the Stein space is contractible and the action is not cocompact. The advantage of the Stein space is that the Morse theory becomes easier to handle.

In Section 1 we recall the definition of  $sV$ . The Stein space  $sX$  is defined in Section 2 and some basic properties are verified. In Section 3 we analyze the connectivity of the subspaces in the filtration and deduce the Main Theorem.

---

The second author was formerly known as Marco Schwandt.

MSC2010: primary 20F65; secondary 57Q12.

Keywords: Thompson’s groups, finiteness properties.

## 1. The Brin–Thompson groups

The elements of the Brin–Thompson group  $sV$  can be described as dyadic self-maps of  $s$ -dimensional cubes. We will first give a brief intuition for these maps, and then delve into some formalism.

To get an intuition for the elements of  $sV$  for arbitrary  $s$ , recall first that elements of Thompson’s group  $V = 1V$  can be thought of as left-continuous, piecewise linear maps from the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  to itself, where the slope of any linear piece is a positive dyadic rational. An equivalent description of such an element is obtained as follows: First divide the unit interval representing the domain into two halves and iterate this procedure by further subdividing some of the resulting pieces. Then similarly cut up the unit interval representing the codomain into the same number of pieces as the domain, and finally identify the pieces of the domain and codomain via a permutation. Note that the intervals identified in the last step will usually have different lengths. For more details see [Cannon et al. 1996].

To describe elements of  $sV$ , we no longer think of the unit interval but the unit  $s$ -cube  $[0, 1]^s$ . The unit  $s$ -cube can be halved by dyadic hyperplanes in  $s$  different directions, as can any iterated piece obtained this way. As with  $V$ , an element of  $sV$  can be described as a sequence of halvings of the domain and codomain and an identification of the resulting pieces by a permutation. Again the identification will affinely deform the individual pieces. Alternatively we can describe an element by a dyadic map from the  $s$ -cube to itself. A sequence of halvings of the  $s$ -cube will be modeled by “dyadic coverings”. To get an intuition, the reader might want to look at Figure 1 (the map  $f_1$  represents an element of  $2V$ ). It may also be helpful to read Section 1 of [Burillo and Cleary 2010], which additionally details the *paired trees* model for elements of  $sV$ .

**1A. Dyadic maps and the group  $sV$ .** We now describe more formally the notions needed to define the group  $sV$ , and also a certain poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , which will then be used to define the space  $sX$  for our main argument.

A real number is called *dyadic* if it is of the form  $k/2^l$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote by  $I$  the subspace of  $[0, 1]$  of nondyadic numbers. By a *dyadic interval* we mean a set of the form  $[k/2^l, (k+1)/2^l] \cap I$  with  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and the *length* of the dyadic interval is defined to be  $1/2^l$ . A bijection  $A \rightarrow B$  between dyadic intervals is called a *simple dyadic map* if it is affine of positive slope. Note that this slope will necessarily be a power of two.

In general we consider the unit  $s$ -cube  $I^s$  (or rather, the set of nondyadic points in the unit  $s$ -cube), which is the  $s$ -fold product of  $I$ . A *brick* is a subset  $C$  of  $I^s$  that is a product of  $s$  dyadic intervals, called the *edges* of  $C$ , and the *volume* of  $C$  is the product of the lengths of its edges. Note that the volume of a brick is always a power of two. A *dyadic covering* is a finite set of bricks that disjointly cover  $I^s$ .

Note that by our definition the set  $I$  does not contain any dyadic numbers.

For a natural number  $m$ , denote by  $I^s(m)$  the disjoint union

$$I^s(m) := B_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup B_m,$$

where each  $B_i$  is a copy of  $I^s$ . Note that  $I^s$  is the same as  $I^s(1)$ . We call  $B_i$  the  $i$ -th block of  $I^s(m)$ . A covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $I^s(m)$  is called *dyadic* if it is a disjoint union  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{U}_m$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is a dyadic covering of the block  $B_i$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_m := \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  the *trivial* dyadic covering of  $I^s(m)$ , in which the bricks are just the blocks themselves.

**Observation 1.1.** The set of dyadic coverings of  $I^s(m)$  is a lattice with respect to the refinement relation.

*Proof.* Existence of joins (that is, coarsest common refinements) as well as existence of a unique minimum (namely,  $\mathcal{T}_m$ ) are clear. The statement now follows from standard order theory. □

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be dyadic coverings of  $I^s(m)$  and  $I^s(n)$ , respectively, and let  $f: I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$  be a map. We say that the pair of dyadic coverings  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  is *compatible* with  $f$  if for every  $C \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $f|_C$  is a product of simple dyadic maps and  $f(C) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Less formally, this means that every brick in the domain maps in an affine way to a brick in the codomain. If such a pair of dyadic coverings exists, then we say that  $f$  is a *dyadic map*. It is easy to see that composition of two dyadic maps is again a dyadic map, that every dyadic map is invertible, and that the inverse of a dyadic map is dyadic.


There is a combinatorial description of dyadic maps. If  $f: I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$  is a dyadic map and  $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  is a compatible covering, then  $f$  induces a bijection of dyadic coverings  $\mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$ . Conversely, every bijection of dyadic coverings  $\mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$  induces a dyadic map  $I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$ .

Note that two bijections  $\mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$  induce the same map  $I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$  if and only if there are common refinements  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  such that the induced bijections  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  coincide.

**Definition 1.2.** The Brin–Thompson group  $sV$  is the group of all dyadic self maps of  $I^s$  with the multiplication given by composition,  $gh := g \circ h$ .

**1B. The poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .** In order to define the poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$  on which  $sV$  acts we need some more notation.

Denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{m,n}$  the set of all dyadic maps  $f: I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$ , so for example  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1} = sV$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  be the union of the  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{m,n}$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  range over all positive integers. Also denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m$  the subset of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  where the domain of the maps consists of  $m$  blocks.



**Figure 1.** An example of a dyadic map  $f_1 : I^2(1) \rightarrow I^2(1)$  and a dyadic map  $f_2 : I^2(1) \rightarrow I^2(2)$ , obtained from  $f_1$  by splitting along a horizontal line. The map  $f_2$  is equivalent in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  to the one where the blocks on the right are interchanged.

There is a natural action of  $sV$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$  given by precomposition:  $f^s := f \circ g$  for  $g \in sV$  and  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ . For each positive  $n$  there is also an action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{m,n}$  by permuting the blocks of the codomain. We denote the quotient  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{m,n}/S_n$  by  $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}$ . In other words, an element of  $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}$  is obtained from  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{m,n}$  by forgetting the order of the blocks in the codomain. We set

$$\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{n,m \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_{m,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_1 := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_{1,n}.$$

Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,n}$  is an  $sV$ -invariant subset of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ , and the action of  $sV$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,n}$  commutes with the action of the symmetric group  $S_n$ , so we get an action of  $sV$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{1,n}$  for every  $n$ . In particular the  $sV$ -action on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$  induces an action of  $sV$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** The function  $t : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  assigns to each  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  the number of blocks in the codomain of  $x$ , that is, if  $x \in \mathcal{P}_{m,n}$  for some  $m$ , then  $t(x) = n$ .

Next we define a poset structure on  $\mathcal{P}$  using the notion of ‘‘splitting’’. A dyadic map  $z : I^s(m) \rightarrow I^s(n)$  is called a *splitting (along  $\mathcal{U}$ )* if  $z$  is compatible with a pair of dyadic coverings of the form  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_n)$ . The splitting  $z$  is called *nontrivial* if  $n > m$ . Colloquially then, as the name suggests, a nontrivial splitting is given by splitting up some cubes (and then not sticking any resulting cubes together). The inverse of a splitting (along  $\mathcal{U}$ ) is called a *merging (along  $\mathcal{U}$ )*.

We define an order  $\leq$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  by saying that  $x < y$  if there exists a nontrivial splitting  $z$  such that  $y = z \circ x$ , that is, if  $y$  is obtained from  $x$  by nontrivial splitting.

We also denote the induced order on  $\mathcal{P}$  by  $\leq$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is ordered by  $\leq$ . See [Figure 1](#) for an example of dyadic maps and splitting.

The poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is filtered by the  $t$ -sublevel sets

$$\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n} = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{P}_{1,k}.$$

We make the following easy observations:

**Observation 1.4.** The poset  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$  is directed (that is, any two elements have a common upper bound). Therefore,  $|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1|$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  are contractible.

**Observation 1.5.** The action of  $sV$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$  is free. Thus, for any vertex  $x$  in  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ , the stabilizer  $\text{Stab}_{sV}(x)$  is finite. Hence all cell stabilizers are finite and of type  $F_\infty$ .

**Observation 1.6.** The action of  $sV$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq 1}$  is transitive, and for each  $n \geq 1$  the sublevel set  $|\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n}|$  is locally finite. Hence  $|\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n}|$  is finite modulo  $sV$ .

These observations suggest that the filtration  $(|\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n}|)_n$  of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  could be used to show that  $sV$  is of type  $F_\infty$ , using Brown’s criterion.

**Brown’s criterion** [[Brown 1987](#), Corollary 3.3]. *Let  $G$  be a group and  $X$  a contractible  $G$ -CW-complex such that the stabilizer of every cell is of type  $F_\infty$ . Let  $\{X_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  be a filtration of  $X$  such that each  $X_j$  is finite mod  $G$ . Suppose that the connectivity of the pair  $(X_{j+1}, X_j)$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $j$  tends to  $\infty$ . Then  $G$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

It would suffice now to show that the connectivity of the pair  $(|\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n+1}|, |\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq n}|)$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ . This was proved for the cases  $s = 2, 3$  [[Kochloukova et al. 2013](#)]. However, it becomes increasingly difficult to verify for higher  $s$ . The main difference of our approach here is that we consider a certain subcomplex  $sX$  of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ . Analyzing the relative connectivity in  $sX$  turns out to be substantially easier than in  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ .

## 2. The Stein space for $sV$

The idea of passing to what we are calling a “Stein space” was first introduced by Stein [[1992](#)], and in particular was used to obtain a new proof that  $F$  is of type  $F_\infty$ . This construction generalizes nicely to deal with some more complicated versions of Thompson’s groups. For example Stein spaces were used in [[Bux et al. 2012](#)] to prove that braided Thompson’s groups are of type  $F_\infty$ . The key idea is that the splitting establishing a relation  $x \leq y$  can be obtained from “elementary splittings” that give rise to elementary relations  $x \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_r \leq y$ , and these small steps are much easier to understand locally. Heuristically, an elementary splitting amounts to halving an  $s$ -cube at most once in any given direction. We now describe more rigorously the construction of the Stein space.

**Definition 2.1.** Call a brick  $C$  *elementary* if every edge of  $C$  has length at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Call an elementary brick *very elementary* if it has volume at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ . A dyadic covering  $\mathcal{U}$  is called *(very) elementary* if every brick in  $\mathcal{U}$  has this property. Likewise, a splitting or merging along  $\mathcal{U}$  is *(very) elementary* if  $\mathcal{U}$  is.

For  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , if  $y$  can be obtained from  $x$  by an elementary splitting, write  $x \preceq y$ ; if moreover  $x \neq y$  then we write  $x < y$ . If  $y$  is obtained from  $x$  by a very elementary splitting, write  $x \sqsubseteq y$ ; if moreover  $x \neq y$ , then we write  $x \sqsubset y$ . Note that the relations  $\preceq$  and  $\sqsubseteq$  are not transitive. In particular, the length of a chain of very elementary splittings is bounded by the number of blocks. However, if  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3$  and  $x_1 \preceq x_3$  then  $x_1 \preceq x_2$  and  $x_2 \preceq x_3$ , and analogously for  $\sqsubseteq$ . It is clear that the action of  $sV$  respects the relations  $\preceq$ ,  $\leq$  and  $\sqsubseteq$ .

Clearly  $I^s(m)$  has a unique maximal elementary covering  $\mathcal{E}$  by  $m \cdot 2^s$  bricks all of which have volume  $2^{-s}$ . A covering is elementary if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a refinement of it.

The closed interval  $[x, y]$  in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is defined to be  $[x, y] := \{w \in \mathcal{P}_1 \mid x \leq w \leq y\}$ ; the open and half-open intervals are defined analogously. Call an interval  $[x, y]$  in  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  *elementary* if  $x \preceq y$ , and *very elementary* if  $x \sqsubseteq y$ . A simplex of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  is *(very) elementary* if there is a *(very) elementary* interval that contains all of its vertices.

**Definition 2.2.** The *Stein space* for  $sV$ , denoted  $sX$ , is the subcomplex of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  consisting of elementary simplices.

The following statement is the key to showing the contractibility of the Stein space:


**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_1$  with  $x \leq y$ . There exists a unique  $y_0 \in [x, y]$  such that  $x \leq y_0$  and for any  $x \leq w \leq y$ , we have  $w \leq y_0$ . If  $x < y$ , then  $x < y_0$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $m := t(x)$  and  $n := t(y)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}$  be a representative in  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$  for  $x$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the dyadic covering of  $I^s(m)$  such that  $y$  is obtained from  $\tilde{x}$  by splitting along  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the maximal elementary covering of  $I^s(m)$ . The element  $y_0$  is obtained from  $\tilde{x}$  by splitting along the finest common coarsening  $\mathcal{E} \wedge \mathcal{U}$ . The desired properties follow from [Observation 1.1](#).  $\square$

For  $x \leq y$ , call the  $y_0$  from the lemma the *elementary core of  $y$  with respect to  $x$* , and denote it  $\text{core}_x(y) := y_0$ . When  $x$  is understood we omit the subscript. Observe that if  $y_1 \leq y_2$  then  $\text{core}(y_1) \leq \text{core}(y_2)$ , that is, taking elementary cores respects the poset relation. [Figure 2](#) gives an example of an elementary core.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $x < y$  with  $x \not\leq y$ ,  $|(x, y)|$  is contractible.*

The proof is essentially the same as the proof of the lemma in Section 4 of [\[Brown 1992\]](#).



**Figure 2.** A nonelementary dyadic covering, for  $s = 2$ . The thick lines indicate the elementary core.

*Proof.* If  $w \in (x, y]$ , then  $\text{core}(w) \in [x, y)$  because  $x \neq y$ , and  $\text{core}(w) \in (x, y)$  because  $x < w$ . So in fact  $\text{core}(w) \in (x, y)$ . Also,  $\text{core}(w) \leq \text{core}(y)$  by the previous discussion. The inequalities  $w \geq \text{core}(w) \leq \text{core}(y)$  provide a contraction of  $|(x, y)|$ , by Section 1.5 of [Quillen 1978].  $\square$

As was done in [Brown 1992] for the Stein space of  $V$ , we can build up from  $sX$  to  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  to show that  $sX$  is contractible.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The Stein space  $sX$  is contractible for all  $s$ .*

*Proof.* By Observation 1.4,  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  is contractible. We build up from  $sX$  to  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  without changing the homotopy type.

Given a closed interval  $[x, y]$ , define  $r([x, y]) := t(y) - t(x)$ . We attach the contractible subcomplexes  $||[x, y]|$  for  $x \neq y$  to  $sX$  in increasing order of  $r$ -value. In particular, when we attach  $||[x, y]|$ , we attach it along  $|[x, y]| \cup |(x, y)|$ . But this is the suspension of  $|(x, y)|$ , and so is contractible by the previous lemma. We conclude that attaching  $||[x, y]|$  does not change the homotopy type, and since  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  is contractible, so is  $sX$ .  $\square$

For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $sX^{\leq n}$  be the full subcomplex of  $sX$  spanned by vertices  $x$  with  $t(x) \leq n$ . Similarly define  $sX^{< n}$ , and let  $sX^{=n}$  be the set of vertices  $x$  with  $t(x) = n$ . Note that all of these sets are invariant under the action of  $sV$ . We will show that the filtration  $(sX^{\leq n})_n$  of  $sX$  satisfies the assumptions of Brown’s criterion.

Thanks to Observations 1.5 and 1.6 and to Corollary 2.5, the only remaining feature of the filtration  $(sX^{\leq n})_n$  of  $sX$  that we need to verify is that the connectivity of the pair  $(sX^{\leq n+1}, sX^{\leq n})$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ . This is exactly the condition that proved difficult to verify for the filtration of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$  in [Kochloukova et al. 2013].

We will verify the relative connectivity in the next section using discrete Morse theory. The idea is to treat  $t$  as a height function on  $sX$  and inspect descending links.

### 3. Connectivity of the descending links and proof of the Main Theorem

We will use the following Morse-theoretic tools: Fix a vertex  $x$  in  $sX$ , say with  $t(x) = n$ , and call  $n$  the *height* of  $x$ . The *descending link*  $\text{lk}_\downarrow(x)$  of  $x$  is defined to be the intersection of  $\text{lk}(x)$  with  $sX^{< n}$ . The fact that vertices with equal heights



cannot share an edge means that we can obtain  $sX^{\leq n}$  from  $sX^{< n}$  by “gluing in” each vertex at height  $n$  along its descending link. This is made rigorous by the Morse lemma (compare Corollary 2.6 of [Bestvina and Brady 1997]):

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex and let  $f: X^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  for adjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ . If  $\text{lk}\downarrow(x)$  is  $(k-1)$ -connected for every vertex  $x \in X^{=n}$ , then the pair  $(X^{\leq n}, X^{< n})$  is  $k$ -connected, that is, the inclusion  $X^{< n} \hookrightarrow X^{\leq n}$  induces an isomorphism in  $\pi_j$ ,  $j < k$  and an epimorphism in  $\pi_k$ .*

Fix a vertex  $x$  in  $sX$  and consider  $L(x) := \text{lk}\downarrow(x)$ . As a subcomplex of  $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ ,  $L(x)$  is the collection of simplices given by chains  $y_k < \cdots < y_0 < x$  with  $y_k < x$ . We first consider the subcomplex  $L_0(x)$  of  $L(x)$  consisting of such chains with  $y_k \sqsubset x$ .

The complex  $L_0(x)$  naturally projects onto a matching complex.


**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. The *matching complex*  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the simplicial complex with a  $k$ -simplex for every collection  $\{e_0, \dots, e_k\}$  of  $k+1$  pairwise disjoint edges, with the face relation given by inclusion. If we regard every edge as consisting of two oriented edges (effectively doubling each edge), we get the *oriented matching complex*  $\mathcal{M}^o(\Gamma)$ .

The specific graphs that we will need are generalizations of complete graphs. For  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $sK_n$  be the graph with  $n$  nodes and  $s$  edges between any two distinct nodes. In particular  $1K_n$  is just  $K_n$ , the complete graph on  $n$  nodes. Color the edges from 1 to  $s$  so that any two distinct nodes have precisely one edge of each color between them. For a fixed labeling 1 through  $n$  of the nodes of each  $sK_n$ , we have a projection  $s\pi: sK_n \rightarrow K_n$  for each  $s$ , given by sending an edge with endpoints  $i$  and  $j$  to the unique edge of  $K_n$  with endpoints  $i$  and  $j$ . Since disjoint edges map to disjoint edges, this induces a map  $\mathcal{M}(s\pi): \mathcal{M}(sK_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K_n)$ .

For any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\nu(l) := \lfloor (l-2)/3 \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  $\mathcal{M}(sK_n)$  is  $(\nu(n)-1)$ -connected, as is  $\mathcal{M}^o(sK_n)$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that  $\mathcal{M}(K_n)$  is  $(\nu(n)-1)$ -connected; see for example [Athanasiadis 2004; Bux et al. 2012; Björner et al. 1994]. For any  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{M}(K_n)$ , the fiber  $\mathcal{M}(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$  is the join of the fibers of the vertices of  $\sigma$ , so it is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension  $k$ . It is clear also that links in  $\mathcal{M}(K_n)$  are themselves matching complexes of complete graphs. Therefore the hypotheses of Theorem 9.1 in [Quillen 1978] are satisfied, and we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}(sK_n)$  is  $(\nu(n)-1)$ -connected. We also have an obvious map  $\mathcal{M}^o(sK_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(sK_n)$  obtained by forgetting the orientation on the edges. The fibers of this map are similarly spherical of the right dimension, so again using Theorem 9.1 of [Quillen 1978] we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}^o(sK_n)$  is  $(\nu(n)-1)$ -connected.  $\square$



**Figure 3.** An example of  $\pi : VE_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^o(sK_n)$  in the case  $n = 5$  and  $s = 2$ . The solid arrow corresponds to a merge along a vertical face, and the dashed arrow corresponds to a merge along a horizontal face.

Every vertex  $y \in L_0(x)$ , say with  $t(y) = m$ , is obtained from  $x$  by applying a nontrivial very elementary merging. The merging is given by a very elementary covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $m$  blocks whose  $n$  bricks are indexed by the blocks of  $x$ . Two such mergings define the same element  $y$  if and only if they differ by a permutation of the blocks. Consequently, denoting by  $VE_n$  the set of very elementary coverings by  $n$  labeled bricks up to permutation of the blocks, we get a one-to-one correspondence between  $L_0(x)$  and  $VE_n$ . We obtain a partial order  $VE_n$  from the partial order on  $\mathcal{P}_1$  via this identification.

**Corollary 3.4.**  $VE_n$ , and therefore  $L_0(x)$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}^o(sK_n)$ . Hence, both are  $(v(n) - 1)$ -connected.

*Proof.* Consider a nontrivial very elementary dyadic covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $I^s(m)$  with  $n$  bricks labeled 1 to  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is very elementary, each block consists of at most two bricks. If it does consist of two bricks, then it defines an oriented edge in the graph  $sK_n$  as follows. The two bricks are

$$I^{k-1} \times \left( I \cap \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \right) \times I^{s-k} \quad \text{and} \quad I^{k-1} \times \left( I \cap \left[ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right] \right) \times I^{s-k}$$

for some  $1 \leq k \leq s$ . Say the first brick is labeled  $i$  and the second brick is labeled  $j$ . Then the edge in  $sK_n$  defined by this block points from  $i$  to  $j$  and has color  $k$ . See Figure 3 for an example.

This procedure defines an isomorphism of ordered sets  $VE_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^o(sK_n)$ . The connectivity statement now follows from Lemma 3.3. □

The next step is to show that  $L(x)$  is highly connected by building up from  $L_0(x)$  to  $L(x)$  along highly connected links. If  $s = 1$ , then  $L_0(x) = L(x)$  so we may assume  $s > 1$  in what follows.

We start by giving a combinatorial description of  $L(x)$  similar to the one given for  $L_0(x)$  before. Every vertex in  $L(x)$  is obtained from  $x$  via a nontrivial elementary merging. We can therefore replace “very elementary” by “elementary” in the

discussion of  $VE_n$  above. We get that the poset  $E_n$  of elementary mergings of  $n$  labeled bricks up to permutation of blocks is isomorphic to  $L(x)$ .

We now describe the Morse function that determines in which order we build up from  $L_0(x)$  to  $L(x)$ . For any  $\mathcal{U} \in E_n$ , the volume of any brick in  $\mathcal{U}$  is at least  $1/2^s$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq s$  define  $c_i$  to be the number of bricks in  $\mathcal{U}$  with volume  $1/2^i$ . Then define  $c$  to be the lexicographically ordered function  $c = (c_s, c_{s-1}, \dots, c_3, c_2)$ . Note that we *do not* include  $c_1$  or  $c_0$  in this tuple; this will be crucial to our arguments. Denote by  $b$  the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The *height*  $h$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  is now defined to be  $h = (c, b)$ , ordered lexicographically.

**Observation 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be vertices in  $E_n$  with  $\mathcal{X} < \mathcal{Y}$ . Then  $c(\mathcal{X}) \geq c(\mathcal{Y})$  and  $b(\mathcal{X}) < b(\mathcal{Y})$ , so in particular  $h(\mathcal{X}) < h(\mathcal{Y})$  if and only if  $c(\mathcal{X}) = c(\mathcal{Y})$ , and  $h(\mathcal{X}) > h(\mathcal{Y})$  if and only if  $c(\mathcal{X}) > c(\mathcal{Y})$ .

Fix a vertex  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $E_n \setminus VE_n$ . The descending link of  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to  $h$  will be denoted  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$ . There are two types of vertices  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$ . First, we could have  $\mathcal{U} > \mathcal{V}$  and  $h(\mathcal{U}) > h(\mathcal{V})$ , which by the above observation implies that  $c(\mathcal{U}) = c(\mathcal{V})$ . The full subcomplex of  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  spanned by such vertices will be called the (*descending*) *down-link*. Second, we could have  $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{V}$  and  $h(\mathcal{U}) > h(\mathcal{V})$ , which implies that  $c(\mathcal{U}) > c(\mathcal{V})$ . The full subcomplex of  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  spanned by these vertices will be called the (*descending*) *up-link*.

**Observation 3.6.** Vertices  $\mathcal{V}$  in the down-link and  $\mathcal{W}$  in the up-link automatically satisfy  $\mathcal{V} < \mathcal{W}$ . Therefore  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  is a join of the down-link and the up-link.


This allows us to study the up-link and the down-link separately.

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $\mathcal{U}$  has a block with precisely two bricks, then the up-link of  $\mathcal{U}$  is contractible, and hence so is  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a block in  $\mathcal{U}$  with two bricks. Note that splitting only  $B$  does not yield a vertex with lower height. For a vertex  $\mathcal{V}$  of the up-link we define a vertex  $\mathcal{V}_0$  as follows (see [Figure 4](#)): Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is in the up-link, it is obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by splitting. Let  $\mathcal{V}_0$  be the covering obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by doing all the same splittings as for  $\mathcal{V}$ , except that  $B$  is not split (whether or not it was split for  $\mathcal{V}$ ). Then  $\mathcal{V}_0 > \mathcal{U}$ , since  $\mathcal{V}$  was obtained by splitting more than just  $B$ , as observed above. It is also clear that  $c(\mathcal{V}_0) < c(\mathcal{U})$ , and so  $\mathcal{V}_0$  is again in the up-link of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now let  $\mathcal{X}_B$  be the maximal elementary splitting of  $\mathcal{U}$  that does not split  $B$ . Then for all  $\mathcal{V}$  in the up-link, we have  $\mathcal{V}_0 \leq \mathcal{X}_B$ . Hence we have the inequalities  $\mathcal{V} \geq \mathcal{V}_0 \leq \mathcal{X}_B$ , which provide a contraction of the up-link of  $\mathcal{U}$ , by Section 1.5 of [\[Quillen 1978\]](#).  $\square$

For  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\eta(l) := \lfloor (l-2)/2^s \rfloor$ . Note that, for a fixed  $s$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\eta(n)$  increases monotonically to  $\infty$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $\mathcal{U}$  has no block with precisely two bricks, then  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  is at least  $(\eta(n)-2)$ -connected.*



**Figure 4.** A step in building up from  $VE_6$  to  $E_6$  as described in the proof of Lemma 3.7. The block  $B$  of the covering  $\mathcal{U}$  and its images under the various splittings are highlighted.

*Proof.* Call a block in  $\mathcal{U}$  with more than two bricks *big*, and a block with only one brick *small*. Let  $k_b$  be the number of big blocks and  $k_s$  the number of small blocks. By assumption  $k_b + k_s$  is the number  $m$  of blocks in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The up-link of  $\mathcal{U}$  is clearly at least  $(k_b - 2)$ -connected, since splitting a big block in any way produces a vertex with lower height, and so each big block contributes a nonempty join factor to the up-link. The down-link of  $\mathcal{U}$  is isomorphic to  $VE_{k_s}$ , and therefore is  $(v(k_s) - 1)$ -connected by Corollary 3.4. This implies that  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  is  $(k_b + v(k_s) - 1)$ -connected. Also,  $n$  is the number of bricks in  $\mathcal{U}$ , so  $n \leq 2^s k_b + k_s$ .

Since  $s > 1$ ,  $2^s > 3$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_b + v(k_s) - 1 &\geq k_b + \left\lfloor \frac{k_s - 2}{2^s} \right\rfloor - 1 \geq k_b + \frac{k_s - 2}{2^s} - 2 \\ &= \frac{2^s k_b + k_s - 2}{2^s} - 2 \geq \frac{n - 2}{2^s} - 2 \geq \eta(n) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  is at least  $(\eta(n) - 2)$ -connected. □

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $s = 1$  then  $E_n$ , and hence  $L(x)$  is  $(v(n) - 1)$ -connected. If  $s > 1$ , then  $E_n$ , and hence  $L(x)$  is  $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* The  $s = 1$  case is already done, since then  $E_n = VE_n$ . Now suppose  $s > 1$ . Then  $\eta \leq v$ , so  $VE_n$  is at least  $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. Also, for  $\mathcal{U} \in E_n \setminus VE_n$ ,  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow h}(\mathcal{U})$  is  $(\eta(n) - 2)$ -connected by Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $E_n$  is at least  $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. □

**Proposition 3.10.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ , the pair  $(sX^{\leq n}, sX^{< n})$  is  $\eta(n)$ -connected for  $s > 1$ , and the pair  $(1X^{\leq n}, 1X^{< n})$  is  $v(n)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $sX^{\leq n}$ . By [Corollary 3.9](#), the descending link  $\text{lk}_{\downarrow}(x)$  of  $x$  in  $sX$  is at least  $(\eta(n)-1)$ -connected for  $s > 1$ , or  $(\nu(n)-1)$ -connected for  $s = 1$ . The result now follows from [Lemma 3.1](#).  $\square$

We are now in a position to apply Brown’s criterion.

*Proof of Main Theorem.* Consider the action of  $sV$  on  $sX$ . By [Corollary 2.5](#),  $sX$  is contractible, by [Observation 1.5](#), the stabilizer of every cell is finite, and by [Observation 1.6](#), each  $sX^{\leq n}$  is finite modulo  $sV$ . By [Proposition 3.10](#), the connectivity of the pairs  $(sX^{\leq n}, sX^{< n})$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ . Hence,  $sV$  is of type  $F_{\infty}$  by Brown’s criterion.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Kai-Uwe Bux for suggesting this project and for many helpful discussions. We also gratefully acknowledge support through the SFB 701 in Bielefeld (first, second, and fourth author) and the SFB 878 in Münster (third author). The research for this article was carried out during the 2012 PCMI Summer Session in Geometric Group Theory and we thank the organizers and the PCMI for this opportunity. Finally, we thank Matt Brin for his helpful suggestions and remarks.

### References

- [Athanasiadis 2004] C. A. Athanasiadis, “Decompositions and connectivity of matching and chessboard complexes”, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **31**:3 (2004), 395–403. [MR 2005a:05217](#) [Zbl 1061.05104](#)
- [Bestvina and Brady 1997] M. Bestvina and N. Brady, “Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups”, *Invent. Math.* **129**:3 (1997), 445–470. [MR 98i:20039](#) [Zbl 0888.20021](#)
- [Björner et al. 1994] A. Björner, L. Lovász, S. T. Vrećica, and R. T. Živaljević, “Chessboard complexes and matching complexes”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **49**:1 (1994), 25–39. [MR 95c:52021](#) [Zbl 0790.57014](#)
- [Brin 2004] M. G. Brin, “Higher dimensional Thompson groups”, *Geom. Dedicata* **108** (2004), 163–192. [MR 2005m:20008](#) [Zbl 1136.20025](#)
- [Brin 2005] M. G. Brin, “Presentations of higher dimensional Thompson groups”, *J. Algebra* **284**:2 (2005), 520–558. [MR 2007e:20062](#) [Zbl 1135.20022](#)
- [Brown 1987] K. S. Brown, “Finiteness properties of groups”, pp. 45–75 in *Proceedings of the Northwestern conference on cohomology of groups* (Evanston, IL, 1985), vol. 44, edited by E. M. Friedlander and S. Priddy, 1987. [MR 88m:20110](#) [Zbl 0613.20033](#)
- [Brown 1992] K. S. Brown, “The geometry of finitely presented infinite simple groups”, pp. 121–136 in *Algorithms and classification in combinatorial group theory* (Berkeley, CA, 1989), edited by G. Baumslag, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **23**, Springer, New York, 1992. [MR 94f:20059](#) [Zbl 0753.20007](#)
- [Burillo and Cleary 2010] J. Burillo and S. Cleary, “Metric properties of higher-dimensional Thompson’s groups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **248**:1 (2010), 49–62. [MR 2012c:20111](#) [Zbl 1236.20045](#)

- [Bux et al. 2012] K.-U. Bux, M. Fluch, M. Schwandt, S. Witzel, and M. C. B. Zaremsky, “The braided Thompson’s groups are of type  $F_\infty$ ”, preprint, 2012.
- [Cannon et al. 1996] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry, “Introductory notes on Richard Thompson’s groups”, *Enseign. Math.* (2) **42**:3-4 (1996), 215–256. MR 98g:20058 Zbl 0880.20027
- [Hennig and Matucci 2012] J. Hennig and F. Matucci, “Presentations for the higher-dimensional Thompson groups  $nV$ ”, *Pacific J. Math.* **257**:1 (2012), 53–74. MR 2948458 Zbl 1248.20035
- [Kochloukova et al. 2013] D. H. Kochloukova, C. Martínez-Pérez, and B. E. A. Nucinkis, “Cohomological finiteness properties of the Brin–Thompson–Higman”, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **56**:3 (2013), 777–804.
- [Quillen 1978] D. Quillen, “Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial  $p$ -subgroups of a group”, *Adv. in Math.* **28**:2 (1978), 101–128. MR 80k:20049 Zbl 0388.55007
- [Stein 1992] M. Stein, “Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **332**:2 (1992), 477–514. MR 92k:20075 Zbl 0798.20025

Received September 12, 2012. Revised November 20, 2012.

MARTIN G. FLUCH  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY  
PO BOX 100131  
D-33501 BIELEFELD  
GERMANY  
[mfluch@math.uni-bielefeld.de](mailto:mfluch@math.uni-bielefeld.de)

MARCO MARSCHLER  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY  
PO BOX 100131  
D-33501 BIELEFELD  
GERMANY  
[marco.marschler@math.uni-bielefeld.de](mailto:marco.marschler@math.uni-bielefeld.de)

STEFAN WITZEL  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
UNIVERSITY OF MÜNSTER  
EINSTEINSTRASSE 62  
D-48149 MÜNSTER  
GERMANY  
[s.witzel@uni-muenster.de](mailto:s.witzel@uni-muenster.de)

MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY  
BINGHAMTON, NY 13902  
UNITED STATES  
[zaremsky@math.binghamton.edu](mailto:zaremsky@math.binghamton.edu)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Don Blasius  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2013 is US \$400/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 266    No. 2    December 2013

---

Rate of attraction for a semilinear wave equation with variable coefficients and critical nonlinearities	257
FÁGNER DIAS ARARUNA and FLANK DAVID MORAIS BEZERRA	
The Brin–Thompson groups $sV$ are of type $F_\infty$	283
MARTIN G. FLUCH, MARCO MARSCHLER, STEFAN WITZEL and MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY	
Ideal decompositions of a ternary ring of operators with predual	297
MASAYOSHI KANEDA	
A study of real hypersurfaces with Ricci operators in 2-dimensional complex space forms	305
DONG HO LIM, WOON HA SOHN and HYUNJUNG SONG	
On commensurability of fibrations on a hyperbolic 3-manifold	313
HIDETOSHI MASAI	
Multiplicative Dirac structures	329
CRISTIÁN ORTIZ	
On the finite generation of a family of Ext modules	367
TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL	
Index formulae for Stark units and their solutions	391
XAVIER-FRANÇOIS ROBLOT	
The short time asymptotics of Nash entropy	423
GUOYI XU	
Several splitting criteria for vector bundles and reflexive sheaves	449
STEPHEN S.-T. YAU and FEI YE	
The minimal volume orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold with 4 cusps	457
KEN'ICHI YOSHIDA	
On the Witten rigidity theorem for string <sup>c</sup> manifolds	477
JIANQING YU and BO LIU	
Acknowledgement	509