

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**IDEAL DECOMPOSITIONS OF A TERNARY RING  
OF OPERATORS WITH PREDUAL**

MASAYOSHI KANEDA

Volume 266 No. 2

December 2013

## IDEAL DECOMPOSITIONS OF A TERNARY RING OF OPERATORS WITH PREDUAL

MASAYOSHI KANEDA

**We show that any TRO (ternary ring of operators) with predual can be decomposed into the direct sum of a two-sided ideal, a left ideal, and a right ideal in some von Neumann algebra using an extreme point of the unit ball of the TRO.**

Recall that an operator space  $X$  is called a *triple system* or a *ternary ring of operators* (TRO for short) if there exists a complete isometry  $\iota$  from  $X$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $\iota(x)\iota(y)^*\iota(z) \in \iota(X)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Our main result is that any TRO with predual can be decomposed into the direct sum of a two-sided ideal, a left ideal, and a right ideal in some von Neumann algebra:

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a TRO which is also a dual Banach space. Then  $X$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of TROs  $X_T$ ,  $X_L$ , and  $X_R$ ,*

$$X = X_T \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_L \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_R,$$

*so that there is a complete isometry  $\iota$  from  $X$  into a von Neumann algebra in which  $\iota(X_T)$ ,  $\iota(X_L)$ , and  $\iota(X_R)$  are a weak\*-closed two-sided, left, and right ideal, respectively, and*

$$\iota(X) = \iota(X_T) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \iota(X_L) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \iota(X_R).$$

In the special case that the TRO is finite-dimensional, the decomposition is into a direct sum of rectangular matrices, as first proved essentially by R. R. Smith [2000]. In the Appendix we give a short proof of that result. The following lemma is a version of Kadison's theorem [1951, Theorem 1] as found in [Pedersen 1979, Proposition 1.4.8] or [Sakai 1971, Proposition 1.6.5]. Together with the idea of embedding an off-diagonal corner into a diagonal corner developed in [Blecher and Kaneda 2004, Section 2] (see also [Kaneda 2003, Section 2.2]), it plays a key role in the proof of our theorem.

---

The author was supported by a research fund from Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine.

MSC2010: primary 46L45, 47L20; secondary 46L07, 47L25, 47L50, 47L07.

Keywords: extreme points, dual operator spaces, von Neumann algebras, ideals, ternary rings of operators.

**Lemma** (Kadison's theorem). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $p, q$  be orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then an element  $x \in p\mathcal{A}q$  is an extreme point of  $\text{Ball}(p\mathcal{A}q)$  if and only if  $(p - xx^*)\mathcal{A}(q - x^*x) = \{0\}$ . In this case,  $x$  is a partial isometry.*

*Proof of the Theorem.* By [Effros et al. 2001, Theorem 2.6], we may regard  $X$  as a weak\*-closed subspace of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $XX^*X \subset X$ . We may assume that  $[X\mathcal{K}] = \mathcal{H}$  and  $[X^*\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{K}$ . We also identify  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$  with the  $(1, 2)$ -corner of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$ , and let  $1_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$  and  $1_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$  denote the orthogonal projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{XX^*}^{w*} & X \\ X^* & \overline{X^*X}^{w*} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the linking von Neumann algebra,  $1_{\mathcal{H}}, 1_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , and  $X = 1_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{L}(X)1_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Since  $\text{Ball}(X)$  is weak\*-closed in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ , there is an extreme point  $e \in \text{Ball}(X)$ . By Kadison's theorem above,

$$(1) \quad (1_{\mathcal{H}} - ee^*)X(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e) = \{0\},$$

and  $e$  is a partial isometry. Let  $p \in \overline{X(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e)X^*}^{w*}$  and  $q \in \overline{X^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - ee^*)X}^{w*}$  be the identities of these two von Neumann algebras. Then by the adjoint of (1), it follows that

$$(2) \quad pXq = \{0\},$$

$$(3) \quad p = pee^* = ee^*p = pee^*p \quad \text{and} \quad q = e^*eq = qe^*e = qe^*eq.$$

Noting that  $pxy^* \in \overline{X(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e)X^*}^{w*}$  and  $qx^*y \in \overline{X^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - ee^*)X}^{w*}$ , we also get

$$(4) \quad pxy^* = pxy^*p = xy^*p \quad \text{and} \quad qx^*y = qx^*yq = x^*yq \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Put

$$q_1 := e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 := 1_{\mathcal{K}} - q - q_1.$$

We claim that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are orthogonal projections. Indeed, (4) and the fact that  $pe \in X$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^* &= (1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e = e^*e - e^*pe - qe^*e + qe^*pe \\ &= e^*e - e^*pe - e^*eq + e^*peq = q_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^2 &= e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)eq_1^*(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \\ &= e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)eq_1(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)ee^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \\ &= e^*ee^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \\ &= q_1. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $q_1q = 0$ , we have  $q_2^2 = q_2 = q_2^*$ .

To see that

$$(5) \quad (1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)X(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e) = \{0\},$$

let  $\{u_\alpha\}$  be an approximate identity of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $X^*X$ . Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $px(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e)u_\alpha = x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e)u_\alpha$ . Taking the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  yields that

$$px(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e) = x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - e^*e)$$

for  $x \in X$ , and hence (5) holds. Similarly,

$$(6) \quad (1_{\mathcal{H}} - ee^*)X(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = \{0\}$$

also holds.

Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_1x^*y &= e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)x^*y \\ &= e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)ex^*y(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= e^*ex^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)y(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= x^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)y(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{by the adjoint of (5)} \\ &= x^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)ye^*e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= x^*ye^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= x^*yq_1, \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$(7) \quad q_1x^*y = x^*yq_1 = q_1x^*yq_1 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Put  $X_T := Xq_1$ ,  $X_L := Xq$ , and  $X_R := Xq_2$ . Then these are weak\*-closed TROs, and  $X = X_T \oplus X_L \oplus X_R$ . Using (4) and (7) and noting that  $q_1$ ,  $q$ , and  $q_2$  are mutually disjoint, we have

$$X_T^*X_L = X_T^*X_R = X_L^*X_T = X_L^*X_R = X_R^*X_T = X_R^*X_L = \{0\}$$

and

$$X^*X = X_T^*X_T \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_L^*X_L \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_R^*X_R.$$

This proves that  $X = X_T \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_L \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_R$ .

Define

$$\iota : X \rightarrow \overline{X X^*}^{w^*} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \overline{X^* X}^{w^*}$$

by

$$\iota(x) := (x_T + x_L)e^* \oplus e^*x_R,$$

where  $x = x_T + x_L + x_R$  is the unique decomposition of  $x \in X$  such that  $x_T \in X_T$ ,  $x_L \in X_L$ , and  $x_R \in X_R$ . First note that  $\iota(X_T) \cap \iota(X_L) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, assume that  $\iota(x_T) + \iota(x_L) = 0$ , that is,  $xq_1e^* + xqe^* = 0$ . Then by multiplying both sides by  $e$  on the right and using (3) and (7), we obtain that  $xe^*eq_1 + xq = 0$ . Multiplying both sides by  $q$  on the right noting that  $q_1q = 0$  yields that  $xq = 0$ , and hence  $xq_1e^* = xqe^* = 0$ , that is,  $\iota(x_T) = \iota(x_L) = 0$ . Since  $\iota(X_T)^*\iota(X_L) = eX_T^*X_Le^* = \{0\}$  and  $\iota(X_L)^*\iota(X_T) = eX_L^*X_Te^* = \{0\}$ , we obtain

$$(\iota(X_T) \oplus \iota(X_L))^*(\iota(X_T) \oplus \iota(X_L)) = \iota(X_T)^*\iota(X_T) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \iota(X_L)^*\iota(X_L)$$

noting that  $\iota(X_T)^*\iota(X_T) = q_1X_T^*X_Tq_1$  and  $\iota(X_L)^*\iota(X_L) = qX_L^*X_Lq$ . Thus  $\iota(X) = \iota(X_T) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \iota(X_L) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \iota(X_R)$ . To show that  $\iota$  is a complete isometry, it suffices to show that each of  $\iota|_{X_T}$ ,  $\iota|_{X_L}$ , and  $\iota|_{X_R}$  is a complete isometry. Since  $e^*eq_1 = q_1$ ,

$$\|\iota(x_T)\|^2 = \|\iota(x_T)\iota(x_T)^*\| = \|xq_1e^*eq_1x^*\| = \|xq_1x^*\| = \|xq_1\|^2 = \|x_T\|^2.$$

A similar calculation works at the matrix level, which concludes that  $\iota|_{X_T}$  is a complete isometry. Similarly, (3) yields that  $\iota|_{X_L}$  is a complete isometry.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\iota(x_R)\|^2 &= \|\iota(x_R)^*\iota(x_R)\| = \|q_2x^*ee^*xq_2\| = \|q_2x^*ee^*x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q - q_1)\| \\ &= \|q_2x^*x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)\| = \|q_2x^*x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q - q_1)\| = \|q_2x^*xq_2\| = \|x_R\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6) and (7) as well as the fact that  $q_2q_1 = 0$  in the fourth equality, and (7) together with the fact that  $q_2q_1 = 0$  in the fifth equality. A similar calculation works at the matrix level, which concludes that  $\iota|_{X_R}$  is a complete isometry.

By [Blecher 2001, Lemma 1.5(3)] or [Blecher and Le Merdy 2004, Theorem A.2.5(3)] for example,  $\iota(X_T)$ ,  $\iota(X_L)$ , and  $\iota(X_R)$  are weak\*-closed. Clearly,  $\iota(X_T)$  and  $\iota(X_L)$  are left ideals and  $\iota(X_R)$  is a right ideal in the von Neumann algebra  $\overline{XX^*}^{\text{w}^*} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \overline{X^*X}^{\text{w}^*}$ . To see that  $\iota(X_T)$  is a right ideal as well, it suffices to show that  $\iota(X_T)^* \subset \iota(X_T)$ , in which case necessarily  $\iota(X_T)^* = \iota(X_T)$ . To show this, first note that it follows from the adjoint of (6) that

$$q_1x^* = e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)x^* = e^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q)x^*ee^* = q_1x^*ee^* \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Therefore, together with (7), we obtain

$$\iota(x_T)^* = eq_1x^* = eq_1x^*ee^* = ex^*eq_1e^* \in Xq_1e^* = \iota(X_T) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad \square$$

**Definition.** We call the decomposition  $X = X_T \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_L \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_R$  obtained in the proof of Theorem the *ideal decomposition* of the TRO  $X$  with predual with respect to an extreme point  $e$  of  $\text{Ball}(X)$ .

**Remarks.** (A) The reader should distinguish ideal decompositions from Peirce decompositions in the literature of Jordan triples. In fact, a TRO can be regarded as a Jordan triple with the canonical symmetrization of the triple product. However, an ideal decomposition and a Peirce decomposition give totally different decompositions.

(B) It is also possible to define  $\iota : X \rightarrow \overline{XX^*}^{w*} \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \overline{X^*X}^{w*}$  by

$$\iota(x) := x_L e^* \oplus e^*(x_R + x_T) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

(C) Simpler expressions for  $X_T$  and  $X_R$  are  $X_T = \{x - px - xq \mid x \in X\}$  and  $X_R = pX$ , which would be more helpful in understanding what is going on in the decomposition. To see the equivalences of expressions, let  $x \in X$ . Then, using (4), (5), and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_T &:= xq_1 = xe^*(1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = (1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)xe^*e(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) \\ &= (1_{\mathcal{H}} - p)x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) = x - px - xq. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, it follows that

$$x_R := xq_2 = x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q - q_1) = x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) - xq_1 = x(1_{\mathcal{K}} - q) - (x - px - xq) = px.$$

(D) The ideal decomposition highly depends on the extreme point chosen. Indeed, let  $X$  be a von Neumann algebra,  $u \in X$  be a unitary element, and  $w \in X$  be an isometry which is not unitary. Then the ideal decomposition with respect to  $u$  is just  $X = X_T$ , while the one with respect to  $w$  is  $X = X_T \overset{\infty}{\oplus} X_L$ .

### Appendix: A short proof of Smith’s result

The following theorem was proved in [Smith 2000] (also see [Effros and Ruan 2000, Lemma 6.1.7 and Corollary 6.1.8]). We observed it independently in 2000, together with Corollary A.2. Since these results are a special case of this paper’s Theorem, and our proof is short enough to understand the essence of the results transparently, it seems worthwhile to present them here. The key to the shortness of the proof is the obvious fact that if a TRO  $X$  is finite-dimensional, then so are the  $C^*$ -algebras  $XX^*$  and  $X^*X$ .

**Theorem A.1** [Smith 2000]. *If  $X$  is a finite-dimensional TRO, then there exist a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and an orthogonal projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $X \cong p\mathcal{A}p^\perp$  completely isometrically.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$  be a finite-dimensional TRO and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$  be its base. We may assume that  $[X\mathcal{K}] = \mathcal{H}$  and  $[X^*\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{K}$ . Then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $XX^* := \text{span}\{xy^* \mid x, y \in X\}$  is equal to the set  $\text{span}\{x_i x_j^* \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ , and the latter is obviously a finite-dimensional vector space. Similarly,  $X^*X := \text{span}\{x^*y \mid$

$x, y \in X$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be the linking  $C^*$ -algebra for  $X$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \begin{bmatrix} XX^* & X \\ X^* & X^*X \end{bmatrix} (\subset B(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})).$$

Let  $e, f$  be the identities of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $XX^*$  and  $X^*X$ , respectively, and let

$$p := \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(X).$$

Then

$$p^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $X \cong p\mathcal{L}(X)p^\perp$  completely isometrically.  $\square$

**Corollary A.2.** *A finite-dimensional TRO is completely isometric to the direct sum of rectangular matrices:  $\mathbb{M}_{l_1, k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \cdots \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \mathbb{M}_{l_m, k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional TRO. By Theorem A.1, we may assume that  $X = p \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \right) p^\perp$ , where  $p$  is an orthogonal projection in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , let us denote by  $1_i$  the identity of  $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  which is identified with an element of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  in the obvious way, and let  $p_i := p1_i$ . Then  $X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) p_i^\perp$ . By a unitary transform which is a complete isometry, we may assume that

$$p_i = \text{diag} \left\{ \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{l_i \text{ times}}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{(n_i - l_i) \text{ times}} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad p_i^\perp = \text{diag} \left\{ \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{l_i \text{ times}}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{(n_i - l_i) \text{ times}} \right\}$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Blecher 2001] D. P. Blecher, "Multipliers and dual operator algebras", *J. Funct. Anal.* **183**:2 (2001), 498–525. MR 2003c:46075 Zbl 1027.47077
- [Blecher and Kaneda 2004] D. P. Blecher and M. Kaneda, "The ideal envelope of an operator algebra", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132**:7 (2004), 2103–2113. MR 2005a:46106 Zbl 1046.46042
- [Blecher and Le Merdy 2004] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their modules: an operator space approach*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series **30**, Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004. MR 2006a:46070 Zbl 1061.47002
- [Effros and Ruan 2000] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series **23**, Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR 2002a:46082 Zbl 0969.46002
- [Effros et al. 2001] E. G. Effros, N. Ozawa, and Z.-J. Ruan, "On injectivity and nuclearity for operator spaces", *Duke Math. J.* **110**:3 (2001), 489–522. MR 2002k:46151 Zbl 1010.46060
- [Kadison 1951] R. V. Kadison, "Isometries of operator algebras", *Ann. Math. (2)* **54** (1951), 325–338. MR 13,256a Zbl 0045.06201

- [Kaneda 2003] M. Kaneda, *Multipliers and algebrizations of operator spaces*, PhD thesis, University of Houston, 2003, Available at <http://search.proquest.com/docview/305326901>. MR 2704919
- [Pedersen 1979] G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs **14**, Academic Press, London, 1979. MR 81e:46037 Zbl 0416.46043
- [Sakai 1971] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **60**, Springer, New York, 1971. MR 56 #1082 Zbl 0219.46042
- [Smith 2000] R. R. Smith, "Finite dimensional injective operator spaces", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**:11 (2000), 3461–3462. MR 2001d:46087 Zbl 0962.46042

Received September 30, 2012.

MASAYOSHI KANEDA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE  
340 ROWLAND HALL  
IRVINE, CA 92697-3875

UNITED STATES

*Current address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
NAZARBAYEV UNIVERSITY  
53 KABANBAY BATYR AVENUE  
ASTANA 010000  
KAZAKHSTAN

[mkaneda@uci.edu](mailto:mkaneda@uci.edu)

[http://sst.nu.edu.kz/sst/Academics/departments/Mathematics/Mathematics\\_People/MasayoshiKaneda](http://sst.nu.edu.kz/sst/Academics/departments/Mathematics/Mathematics_People/MasayoshiKaneda)



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Don Blasius  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
yang@math.princeton.edu

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2013 is US \$400/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 266 No. 2 December 2013

---

Rate of attraction for a semilinear wave equation with variable coefficients and critical nonlinearities	257
FÁGNER DIAS ARARUNA and FLANK DAVID MORAIS BEZERRA	
The Brin–Thompson groups $sV$ are of type $F_\infty$	283
MARTIN G. FLUCH, MARCO MARSCHLER, STEFAN WITZEL and MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY	
Ideal decompositions of a ternary ring of operators with predual	297
MASAYOSHI KANEDA	
A study of real hypersurfaces with Ricci operators in 2-dimensional complex space forms	305
DONG HO LIM, WOON HA SOHN and HYUNJUNG SONG	
On commensurability of fibrations on a hyperbolic 3-manifold	313
HIDETOSHI MASAI	
Multiplicative Dirac structures	329
CRISTIÁN ORTIZ	
On the finite generation of a family of Ext modules	367
TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL	
Index formulae for Stark units and their solutions	391
XAVIER-FRANÇOIS ROBLLOT	
The short time asymptotics of Nash entropy	423
GUOYI XU	
Several splitting criteria for vector bundles and reflexive sheaves	449
STEPHEN S.-T. YAU and FEI YE	
The minimal volume orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold with 4 cusps	457
KEN'ICHI YOSHIDA	
On the Witten rigidity theorem for string <sup>c</sup> manifolds	477
JIANQING YU and BO LIU	
Acknowledgement	509



0030-8730(201312)266:2;1-3