ON THE WITTEN RIGIDITY THEOREM
FOR STRING\(c\) MANIFOLDS

JIANQING YU AND BO LIU

We establish family rigidity and vanishing theorems on the equivariant \(K\)-theory level for the Witten type operators on string\(c\) manifolds introduced by Chen, Han, and Zhang.

1. Introduction

Witten [1988] derived a series of elliptic operators on the free loop space \(\mathcal{L}M\) of a spin manifold \(M\). In particular, the index of the formal signature operator on the loop space turns out to be exactly the elliptic genus constructed by Landweber and Stong [1988] and Ochanine [1987] in a topological way. Motivated by physics, Witten proposed that these elliptic operators should be rigid with respect to the circle action.

This claim of Witten was first proved by Taubes and Bott [Taubes 1989; Bott and Taubes 1989]. See also [Hirzebruch 1988; Krichever 1990] for other interesting cases. Using the modular invariance property, Kefeng Liu [1995; 1996] presented a simple and unified proof of the above result as well as various further generalizations. In particular, Liu established several new vanishing theorems.

Chen, Han, and Zhang [Chen et al. 2011] introduced a topological condition which they called the string\(c\) condition for even-dimensional spin\(c\) manifolds. Under this string\(c\) condition, they constructed a Witten type genus which is the index of a Witten type operator, a linear combination of twisted spin\(c\) Dirac operators. Furthermore, by applying Liu’s method [1995; 1996], Chen, Han, and Zhang established the rigidity and vanishing theorems for this Witten type operator under the relevant anomaly cancellation condition; see [Chen et al. 2011, Theorem 3.2].

In many situations in geometry, it is rather natural and necessary to generalize the rigidity and vanishing theorems to the family case. On the equivariant Chern character level, Liu and Ma [2000; 2002] established several family rigidity and vanishing theorems. In [Liu et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2003], inspired by [Taubes 1989], Liu, Ma, and Zhang established the corresponding family rigidity and vanishing theorems on the equivariant \(K\)-theory level. As explained in [Liu et al. 2000; Liu
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et al. 2003], taking the Chern character might kill some torsion elements involved in the index bundle. Therefore, the rigidity and vanishing properties on the $K$-theory level are more subtle than those on the Chern character level.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the family rigidity and vanishing theorems on the equivariant $K$-theory level for the Witten type operators introduced in [Chen et al. 2011]. In fact, our main results in Theorem 2.2 may be regarded as an analogue of [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.1; Liu et al. 2003, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2]. In particular, if the base manifold is a point, from our family rigidity theorem, one deduces [Chen et al. 2011, Theorem 3.2(i)]. Both the statement and the proof of Theorem 2.2 are inspired by those of [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.1; Liu et al. 2003, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2], which essentially depend on the techniques developed by Taubes [1989] and Bismut and Lebeau [1991].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state (in Theorem 2.2) and prove our main results, providing rigidity and vanishing for the family Witten type operators introduced in [Chen et al. 2011]. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of two intermediate results, Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, which are used in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

2. Rigidity and vanishing theorems in $K$-theory

In this section, we establish the main results of this paper, the rigidity and vanishing theorems on the equivariant $K$-theory level for a family of spin$^c$ manifolds. Such theorems hold under some anomaly cancellation assumption which is inspired by the string$^c$ condition from [Chen et al. 2011]. For the particular case when the base manifold is a point, our results imply Theorem 3.2(i) of that reference.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 2A, we reformulate a $K$-theory version of the equivariant family index theorem which is proved in [Liu et al. 2003, Theorem 1.2; Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 1.1]. In Section 2B, we state our main results, the rigidity and vanishing theorems on the equivariant $K$-theory level for a family of spin$^c$ manifolds. In Section 2C, we state two intermediate results on the relations between the family indices on the fixed point set, which are used to prove our main results stated in Section 2A. In Section 2D, we prove the family rigidity and vanishing theorems.

2A. A K-theory version of the equivariant family index theorem. Let $M$, $B$ be two compact manifolds, and $\pi : M \to B$ a smooth fibration with compact fiber $X$ such that $\dim X = 2l$. Let $TX$ denote the relative tangent bundle carrying a Riemannian metric $g^{TX}$. We assume that $TX$ is oriented. Let $(W, h^W)$ be a complex Hermitian vector bundle over $M$.

Let $(V, g^V)$ and $(V', g^{V'})$ be oriented real Euclidean vector bundles over $M$, of respective dimensions $2p$ and $2p'$. Let $(L, h^L)$ be a complex Hermitian line
bundle over $M$ with the property that the vector bundle $U = TX \oplus V \oplus V'$ satisfies 
$\omega_2(U) = c_1(L) \mod 2$, where $\omega_2$ denotes the second Stiefel–Whitney class, and 
c_1 denotes the first Chern class. Then the vector bundle $U$ has a spin$^c$-structure. 
Let $S(U, L)$ be the fundamental complex spinor bundle for $(U, L)$; see [Lawson 
and Michelsohn 1989, Appendix D].

Assume that there is a fiberwise $S^1$-action on $M$ which lifts to $V$, $V'$, $L$, and $W$, 
and assume the metrics $g^{TX}$, $g^V$, $g^{V'}$, $h^L$, and $h^W$ are $S^1$-invariant. Also assume 
that the $S^1$-actions on $TX$, $V$, $V'$, $L$ lift to $S(U, L)$. 

Let $\nabla^{TX}$ be the Levi–Civita connection on $(TX, g^{TX})$ along the fiber $X$. Let 
$\nabla^V$ and $\nabla^{V'}$ be $S^1$-invariant Euclidean connections on $(V, g^V)$ and $(V', g^{V'})$, 
respectively. Let $\nabla^L$ and $\nabla^W$ be $S^1$-invariant Hermitian connections on $(L, h^L)$ and 
$(W, h^W)$, respectively.

The Clifford algebra bundle $C(TX)$ is the bundle of Clifford algebras over $X$ 
whose fiber at $x \in X$ is the Clifford algebra $C(T_xX)$; see [Lawson and Michelsohn 1989]. Let $C(V)$ and $C(V')$ be the Clifford algebra bundles of $(V, g^V)$ and 
$(V', g^{V'})$.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2l}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{2p}$ be oriented orthonormal bases for $(TX, g^{TX})$ and $(V, g^V)$, 
respectively. We denote by $c(\cdot)$ the Clifford action of $C(TX)$, $C(V)$, and $C(V')$ on 
$S(U, L)$. Let $\tau$ be the involution of $S(U, L)$ given by 

\begin{equation}
\tau = (\sqrt{-1})^{l+p} c(e_1) \cdots c(e_{2l}) c(f_1) \cdots c(f_{2p}).
\end{equation}

In the rest of the paper, we say that $\tau$ is the involution determined by $TX \oplus V$. 
We decompose $S(U, L) = S_+(U, L) \oplus S_-(U, L)$ corresponding to $\tau$ such that 
$\tau|_{S_{\pm}(U, L)} = \pm 1$. Let $\nabla^{S(U, L)}$ be the Hermitian connection on $S(U, L)$ induced by 
$\nabla^{TX}$, $\nabla^V$, $\nabla^{V'}$, and $\nabla^L$; see [Lawson and Michelsohn 1989, Appendix D]. Then 
$\nabla^{S(U, L)}$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of $S(U, L)$ induced by (2-1). Let $\nabla^{S(U, L) \otimes W}$ be 
the Hermitian connection on $S(U, L) \otimes W$ obtained from the tensor product of 
$\nabla^{S(U, L)}$ and $\nabla^W$. Let $D^X \otimes W$ be the family twisted spin$^c$-Dirac operator on the 
fiber $X$ defined by 

\begin{equation}
D^X \otimes W = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} c(e_i) \nabla^{S(U, L) \otimes W}_{e_i}.
\end{equation}

By [Liu and Ma 2000, Proposition 1.1], the index bundle $\text{Ind}_\tau (D^X \otimes W)$ over $B$ is 
well-defined in the equivariant $K$-group $K_{S^1}(B)$. Using the same notations as in 
[Liu et al. 2003, (1.4)–(1.7)], we write, as an identification of virtual $S^1$-bundles, 

\begin{equation}
\text{Ind}_\tau (D^X \otimes W) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ind}_\tau (D^X \otimes W, n) \otimes [n],
\end{equation}

where by $[n]$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) we mean the one-dimensional complex vector space on which 
$S^1$ acts as multiplication by $g^n$ for a generator $g \in S^1$. 


Let $F = \{F_\alpha\}$ be the fixed point set of the circle action on $M$. Then $\pi : F_\alpha \to B$ (respectively $\pi : F \to B$) is a smooth fibration with fiber $Y_\alpha$ (respectively $Y$). Let $\widetilde{\pi} : N \to F$ denote the normal bundle to $F$ in $M$. Then $N = TX / TY$. We identify $N$ as the orthogonal complement of $TY$ in $TX|_F$. Then $TX|_F$ admits the following $S^1$-equivariant decomposition (see [Liu et al. 2003, (1.8)]):

$$TX|_F = \bigoplus_{v \neq 0} N_v \oplus TY,$$

where $N_v$ is a complex vector bundle such that $g \in S^1$ acts on it by $g^v$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Clearly, $N = \bigoplus_{v \neq 0} N_v$. We regard $N$ as a complex vector bundle and write $N_R$ for the underlying real vector bundle of $N$. For $v \neq 0$, let $N_{v,R}$ denote the underlying real vector bundle of $N_v$.

Similarly, let (see [Liu et al. 2003, (1.9) and (1.46)])

$$V|_F = \bigoplus_{v \neq 0} V_v \oplus V_0^0, \quad V'|_F = \bigoplus_{v \neq 0} V'_v \oplus V_0^0, \quad W|_F = \bigoplus_{v} W_v,$$

be the $S^1$-equivariant decompositions of the restrictions of $V$, $V'$, and $W$ over $F$, respectively, where $V_v$, $V'_v$, and $W_v$ ($v \in \mathbb{Z}$) are complex vector bundles over $F$ on which $g \in S^1$ acts by $g^v$, and $V_0^\mathbb{R}$ and $V_0^\mathbb{C}$ are the real subbundles of $V$ and $V'$, respectively, such that $S^1$ acts as identity. For $v \neq 0$, let $V_{v,R}$ and $V'_{v,R}$ denote the underlying real vector bundles of $V_v$ and $V'_v$. Write $2p_0 = \dim V_0^\mathbb{R}$ and $2l_0 = \dim Y$.

Let us write (compare with [Liu et al. 2003, (1.47)])

$$L_F = L \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \det N_v \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \det V_v \right)^{-1}.$$
Let $C(N_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $C(V_{v, \mathbb{R}})$ be the Clifford algebra bundle of
\[ (N_{\mathbb{R}}, g^{TX}|_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{v, \mathbb{R}}, g^V|_{V_{v, \mathbb{R}}}, \]
respectively. By [Liu et al. 2003, (1.10)], $\Lambda(\tilde{N}^*)$ is a $C(N_{\mathbb{R}})$-Clifford module with the involution $\tau^N|_{\Lambda_{\text{even/odd}}(\tilde{N}^*)} = \pm 1$. Similarly to [Liu et al. 2003, (1.10)], we can define the Clifford action of $C(V_{v, \mathbb{R}})$ on $\Lambda(\tilde{V}_v^*)$. Then $\Lambda(\tilde{V}_v^*)$ is a $C(V_{v, \mathbb{R}})$-Clifford module with the involution $\tau^V|_{\Lambda_{\text{even/odd}}(\tilde{V}_v^*)} = \pm 1$.

By restricting to $F$, one has the isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $C(TX)$-Clifford modules over $F$ as follows (compare with [Liu et al. 2003, (1.49)]):
\[ (2-8) \quad (S(U, L), \tau)|_F \]
\[ \cong (S(TY \oplus V_0^\mathbb{R} \oplus V_0^\mathbb{R}, L_F), \tau) \otimes (\Lambda \tilde{N}^*, \tau^N) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda V_v^*, \tau^V) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda \tilde{V}_v^*, \text{id}, \]
where id denotes the trivial involution and $\otimes$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded tensor product (see [Lawson and Michelsohn 1989, p. 11]). Furthermore, the isomorphism (2-8) gives the identifications of the canonical connections on the bundles (compare with [Liu et al. 2003, (1.13)]).

Let $S^1$ act on $L|_F$ by sending $g \in S^1$ to $g^{l_c} (l_c \in \mathbb{Z})$ on $F$. Then $l_c$ is locally constant on $F$. Following [Liu et al. 2003, (1.50)], we define the following elements in $K(F)[[q^{1/2}]]$:
\[ (2-9) \quad R(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_v |v| \dim N_v - \sum_v v \dim V_v - \sum_v v \dim V'_v + l_c \right)} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{v > 0} \Sym_{q^{-v}}(N_v) \otimes \det N_v \right) \]
\[ \otimes \bigotimes_{v < 0} \Sym_{q^{-v}}(\tilde{N}_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda_{-q^v}(V_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda_{q^v}(V'_v) \otimes \left( \sum_v q^v W_v \right) \]
\[ = \sum_n R_n q^n \]
and
\[ (2-10) \quad R'(q) = q^{1/2 \left( - \sum_v |v| \dim N_v - \sum_v v \dim V_v - \sum_v v \dim V'_v + l_c \right)} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{v > 0} \Sym_{q^{-v}}(N_v) \otimes \det N_v \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda_{-q^v}(V_v) \]
\[ \otimes \bigotimes_{v < 0} \Sym_{q^v}(N_v) \otimes \det N_v \otimes \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} \Lambda_{q^v}(V'_v) \otimes \left( \sum_v q^v W_v \right) = \sum_n R'_n q^n. \]

As explained in [Liu et al. 2003, p. 139], since $TX \oplus V \oplus V' \oplus L$ is spin, one gets
\[ (2-11) \quad \sum_v v \dim N_v + \sum_v v \dim V_v + \sum_v v \dim V'_v + l_c \equiv 0 \mod 2. \]

Therefore, $R(q)$, $R'(q) \in K(F)[[q]]$. 

The following theorem was essentially proved in [Liu et al. 2003, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 2.1.** For \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), the following identity holds in \( K(B) \):

\[
\text{Ind}_{\tau}(D^X \otimes W, n) = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim N_\epsilon} \dim N_\epsilon} \text{Ind}_{\tau}(D^{Y_\alpha} \otimes R_n)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim N_\epsilon} \dim N_\epsilon} \text{Ind}_{\tau}(D^{Y_\alpha} \otimes R_n').
\]

**2B. Family rigidity and vanishing theorems.** Let \( \pi : M \to B \) be a fibration of compact manifolds with compact fiber \( X \) and \( \dim X = 2l \). We assume that \( S^1 \) acts fiberwise on \( M \) and \( TX \) has an \( S^1 \)-invariant spin\(^c\) structure. Let \( K_X \) be the \( S^1 \)-equivariant complex line bundle over \( M \) which is induced by the \( S^1 \)-invariant spin\(^c\) structure of \( TX \). Let \( S(TX, K_X) \) be the complex spinor bundle of \( (TX, K_X) \); see [Lawson and Michelsohn 1989, Appendix D].

Let \( V \) be an even-dimensional real vector bundle over \( M \). We assume that \( V \) has an \( S^1 \)-invariant spin structure. Let \( S(V) = S^+(V) \oplus S^-(V) \) be the spinor bundle of \( V \). Let \( W \) be an \( S^1 \)-equivariant complex vector bundle over \( M \). Let \( K_W = \text{det}(W) \) be the determinant line bundle of \( W \).

We define the following elements in \( K(M)[[q^{1/2}]] \):

\[
R_1(V) = \left( S^+(V) + S^-(V) \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_q^n(V),
\]

\[
R_2(V) = \left( S^+(V) - S^-(V) \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q}^n(V),
\]

\[
R_3(V) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q_{n-1/2}}^n(V), \quad R_4(V) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{q_{n-1/2}}^n(V),
\]

\[
Q_1(W) = \bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_q^n(\overline{W}) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_q^n(W) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q_{n-1/2}}^n(\overline{W})
\]

\[
\otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q_{n-1/2}}(W) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{q_{n-1/2}}(\overline{W}) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{q_{n-1/2}}(W).
\]

For \( N \in \mathbb{Z}, N \geq 1 \), let \( y = e^{2\pi i/N} \in \mathbb{C} \). Let \( G_y \) be the multiplicative group generated by \( y \). Following [Witten 1988], as in [Liu et al. 2000, Section 2.1], we consider the fiberwise action \( G_y \) on \( W \) and \( \overline{W} \) by sending \( y \in G_y \) to \( y \) on \( W \) and \( y^{-1} \) on \( \overline{W} \). Then \( G_y \) acts naturally on \( Q_1(W) \).

Let \( H^*_{S^1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(M \times_{S^1} ES^1, \mathbb{Z}) \) denote the \( S^1 \)-equivariant cohomology group of \( M \), where \( ES^1 \) is the universal \( S^1 \)-principal bundle over the classifying space \( BS^1 \) of \( S^1 \). So \( H^*_{S^1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \) is a module over \( H^*(BS^1, \mathbb{Z}) \) induced by the projection \( \overline{\pi} : M \times_{S^1} ES^1 \to BS^1 \). Let \( p_1(\cdot)_{S^1} \) denote the first \( S^1 \)-equivariant
Pontryagin class and $\omega_2(\cdot)_{S^1}$ the second $S^1$-equivariant Stiefel–Whitney class. As $V \times_{S^1} E_{S^1}$ is spin over $M \times_{S^1} E_{S^1}$, one knows that $\frac{1}{2} p_1(V)_{S^1}$ is well-defined in $H^*_{{S^1}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$; see [Taubes 1989, pp. 456–457]. Recall that

$$H^*(B_{S^1}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[u]$$

with $u$ a generator of degree 2.

In the following, we denote by $D^X \otimes R$ the family twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operator acting fiberwise on $S(TX, K_X) \otimes R$. Recall that if $\text{Ind}(D^X \otimes R, n)$ vanishes for $n \neq 0$, we say that $D^X \otimes R$ is rigid on the equivariant $K$-theory level for the $S^1$-action.

Now we can state the main results of this paper, which can be thought of as analogous to [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume $w_2(W)_{S^1} = w_2(TX)_{S^1}, \frac{1}{2} p_1(V + 3W - TX)_{S^1} = e \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$ ($e \in \mathbb{Z}$) in $H^*_{S^1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and $c_1(W) = 0 \mod N$. For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, consider the family of $G_y \times S^1$-equivariant twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operators

$$D^X \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_i(V) \otimes Q_1(W).$$

(i) If $e = 0$, these operators are rigid on the equivariant $K$-theory level for the $S^1$-action.

(ii) If $e < 0$, the index bundles of these operators are zero in $K_{G_y \times S^1}(B)$. In particular, these index bundles are zero in $K_{G_y}(B)$.

**Remark 2.3.** As explained in [Liu et al. 2000, Remark 2.1], $w_2(W)_{S^1} = w_2(TX)_{S^1}$ means that $\frac{1}{2} p_1(3W - TX)_{S^1}$ is well defined and that $c_1(K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})_{S^1} = 0 \mod 2$. By [Hattori and Yoshida 1976, Corollary 1.2], the $S^1$-action on $M$ can be lifted to $(K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2}$ and is compatible with the $S^1$-action on $K_W \otimes K_X^{-1}$.

Take $N = 1$, that is, we forget the $G_y$-action on $W$ and remove the corresponding assumption $c_1(W) = 0 \mod N$. Furthermore, take $W = K_X$ and $V = 0$. Then an interesting consequence of Theorem 2.2 is the following family rigidity and vanishing property, which may be thought of as an extension of [Liu et al. 2003, Theorem 2.3] to the spin$^c$ case. When the base manifold is a point, it turns out to be exactly [Chen et al. 2011, Theorem 3.2(ii)].

**Corollary 2.4.** Assume $\frac{1}{2} p_1(3K_X - TX)_{S^1} = e \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$ ($e \in \mathbb{Z}$) in $H^*_{S^1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the family of $S^1$-equivariant twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operators

$$D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes Q_1(K_X).$$
If $e = 0$, these operators are rigid on the equivariant K-theory level for the $S^1$-action.

(ii) If $e < 0$, the index bundles of these operators are zero in $K_{S^1}(B)$. In particular, these index bundles are zero in $K(B)$.

Remark 2.5. The operators in (2-16) are the Witten type operators introduced in [Chen et al. 2011]. By taking $N = 1$, $W = K_X$, $V = 0$, and letting the base manifold $B$ be a point in [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.1], we get [Chen et al. 2011, Theorem 3.2(ii)]. It is rather natural to establish an analogue of [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.1], which corresponds to [Chen et al. 2011, Theorem 3.2(i)]. That is one of the motivations of Theorem 2.2.

Actually, as in [Liu et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2003], our proof of Theorem 2.2 works under the following slightly weaker hypothesis. Let us first explain some notations.

For each $n > 1$, consider $Z_n \subset S^1$, the cyclic subgroup of order $n$. We have the $Z_n$-equivariant cohomology of $M$ defined by

$$H^*_Z(M, Z) = H^*(M \times_{Z_n} ES^1, Z),$$

and there is a natural “forgetful” map

$$\alpha(S^1, Z_n) : M \times_{Z_n} ES^1 \rightarrow M \times_{S^1} ES^1$$

which induces a pullback

$$\alpha(S^1, Z_n)^* : H^*_S(M, Z) \rightarrow H^*_Z(M, Z).$$

We denote by $\alpha(S^1, 1)$ the arrow which forgets the $S^1$-action. Thus

$$\alpha(S^1, 1)^* : H^*_S(M, Z) \rightarrow H^*(M, Z)$$

is induced by the inclusion of $M$ into $M \times_{S^1} ES^1$ as a fiber over $BS^1$.

Finally, note that if $Z_n$ acts trivially on a space $Y$, then there is a new arrow $t^* : H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*_Z(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the projection $t : Y \times_{Z_n} ES^1 = Y \times BZ_n \rightarrow Y$.

Let $Z_\infty = S^1$. For each $1 < n \leq +\infty$, let $i : M(n) \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion of the fixed point set of $Z_n \subset S^1$ in $M$, and so $i$ induces $i_{S^1} : M(n) \times_{S^1} ES^1 \rightarrow M \times_{S^1} ES^1$.

In the rest of this paper, we suppose that there exists some integer $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for $1 < n \leq +\infty$,

$$\alpha(S^1, Z_n)^* \circ i_{S^1}^*(-\frac{1}{2} p_1(V + 3W - TX))_{S^1} - e \cdot \pi^* u^2) = t^* \circ \alpha(S^1, 1)^* \circ i_{S^1}^*(-\frac{1}{2} p_1(V + 3W - TX)_{S^1}).$$

As indicated in [Liu et al. 2000, Remark 2.4], the relation (2-17) clearly follows from the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 by pulling back and forgetting. Thus it is a weaker hypothesis.

We can now state a slightly more general version of Theorem 2.2.
Theorem 2.6. Let the hypothesis be as in (2-17).

(i) If $e = 0$, the index bundles of the twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operators in Theorem 2.2 are rigid on the equivariant $K$-theory level for the $S^1$-action.

(ii) If $e < 0$, the index bundles of the twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operators in Theorem 2.2 are zero as elements in $K_{G \times S^1}(B)$, and, in particular, these index bundles are zero in $K_{G_y}(B)$.

The rest of this section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2.6.

2C. Two recursive formulas. Let $F = \{F_\alpha\}$ be the fixed point set of the circle action. Then $\pi : F \rightarrow B$ is a fibration with compact fiber denoted by $Y = \{Y_\alpha\}$.

As in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.5)], we may and we will assume that

\begin{equation}
TX|_F = TY \oplus \bigoplus_{v > 0} N_v,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
TX|_F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = TY \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{v > 0} (N_v \oplus \bar{N}_v),
\end{equation}

where $N_v$ are complex vector bundles on which $S^1$ acts by sending $g \in S^1$ to $g^v$. We also assume that (see [Liu et al. 2000, (2.6)])

\begin{equation}
V|_F = V_0^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \bigoplus_{v > 0} V_v, \quad W|_F = \bigoplus_{v} W_v,
\end{equation}

where $V_v, W_v$ are complex vector bundles on which $S^1$ acts by sending $g$ to $g^v$, and $V_0^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real vector bundle on which $S^1$ acts as identity.

By (2-18), as in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.7)], there is a natural isomorphism between the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $C(TX)$-Clifford modules over $F$,

\begin{equation}
S(TX, K_X)|_F \simeq S \left( TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\text{det } N_v)^{-1} \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \Lambda N_v.
\end{equation}

For a complex vector bundle $R$ over $F$, let $D^Y \otimes R$ and $D^{Y_\alpha} \otimes R$ be the twisted spin$^c$ Dirac operators on $S(TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0}(\text{det } N_v)^{-1}) \otimes R$ over $F$ and $F_\alpha$, respectively.

We introduce the following locally constant functions on $F$ (see [Liu et al. 2000, (2.8)]):

\begin{equation}
e(N) = \sum_{v > 0} v^2 \dim N_v, \quad d'(N) = \sum_{v > 0} v \dim N_v,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
e(V) = \sum_{v > 0} v^2 \dim V_v, \quad d'(V) = \sum_{v > 0} v \dim V_v,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
e(W) = \sum_{v} v^2 \dim W_v, \quad d'(W) = \sum_{v} v \dim W_v.
\end{equation}
As in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.9)], we write
\[ L(N) = \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det N_v)^v, \quad L(V) = \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det V_v)^v, \]
\[ L(W) = \bigotimes_{v \neq 0} (\det W_v)^v, \quad L = L(N)^{-1} \otimes L(V) \otimes L(W)^3. \]
(2-22)

By using (2-17) and computing as in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.10)–(2.11)], we know that
\[ c_1(L) = 0, \quad e(V) + 3 \cdot e(W) - e(N) = 2e, \]
which means L is a trivial complex line bundle over each component \( F_\alpha \) of \( F \),
and \( S^1 \) acts on \( L \) by sending \( g \) to \( g^{2e} \), and \( G_y \) acts on \( L \) by sending \( y \) to \( y^{3d'(W)} \).
From [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 2.1], we know that \( d'(W) \mod N \) is constant on each
connected component of \( M \). Thus we can extend \( L \) to a trivial complex line bundle
over \( M \), and we extend the \( S^1 \)-action on it by sending \( g \in S^1 \) on the canonical
section 1 of \( L \) to \( g^{2e} \cdot 1 \), and \( G_y \) acts on \( L \) by sending \( y \) to \( y^{3d'(W)} \).

In what follows, if \( R(q) = \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} q^m R_m \in K_{S^1}(M)[[q^{1/2}]] \), we also denote
\( \text{Ind}(D^X \otimes R_m, h) \) by \( \text{Ind}(D^X \otimes R(q), m, h) \). For \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), set
\[ R_{i1} = (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes R_i(V) \otimes Q_1(W). \]
(2-24)

As in [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 2.1], by using Theorem 2.1, we first express
the global equivariant family index via the family indices on the fixed point set.

**Proposition 2.7.** For \( m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, h \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), we have the following identity in \( K_{G_y}(B) \):
\[ \text{Ind}(D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_{i1}, m, h) \]
\[ = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sum_{v > 0} \dim N_v} \text{Ind}(D^Y_\alpha \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX|_F) \otimes R_{i1} \]
\[ \otimes \text{Sym}_{v} \bigotimes_{v > 0} \det N_v, m, h). \]

To simplify the notation, we use the same convention as in [Liu et al. 2000, p. 945]. For \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \), we define a number operator \( P \) on \( K_{S^1}(M)[[q^{1/n_0}]] \) in the
following way: if \( R(q) = \bigoplus_{n \in (1/n_0) \mathbb{Z}} R_n q^n \in K_{S^1}(M)[[q^{1/n_0}]] \), \( P \) acts on \( R(q) \) by
multiplication by \( n \) on \( R_n \). From now on, we simply denote \( \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX), \Lambda_{q^n}(V), \)
and \( \Lambda_{q^n}(W) \) by \( \text{Sym}(TX_n), \Lambda(V_n), \) and \( \Lambda(W_n) \). In this way, \( P \) acts on \( TX_n, V_n, \)
and \( W_n \) by multiplication by \( n \), and the action of \( P \) on \( \text{Sym}(TX_n) \), \( \Lambda(V_n) \), and \( \Lambda(W_n) \) is naturally induced by the corresponding action of \( P \) on \( TX_n, V_n, \) and \( W_n \).
So the eigenspace of \( P = n \) is just given by the coefficient of \( q^n \) of the corresponding
element \( R(q) \). For \( R(q) = \bigoplus_{n \in (1/n_0) \mathbb{Z}} R_n q^n \in K_{S^1}(M)[[q^{1/n_0}]] \), we also denote
\( \text{Ind}(D^X \otimes R_m, h) \) by \( \text{Ind}(D^X \otimes R(q), m, h) \).
For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following elements in $K_{S^1}(F)[[q]]$ (see [Liu et al. 2000, (3.6)]):

$$\mathcal{F}_p(X) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(TY_n) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} \left( \bigotimes_{n=pv}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(N_{v,n}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\tilde{N}_{v,n}) \right).$$

(2-26) $$\mathcal{F}'_p(X) = \bigotimes_{v>0} \bigotimes_{0 \leq n \leq pv} \left( \text{Sym}(N_{v,-n}) \otimes \text{det} N_v \right),$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-p}(X) = \mathcal{F}_p(X) \otimes \mathcal{F}'_p(X).$$

Then, from (2-18), over $F$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{0}(X) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX|_F) \otimes \text{Sym} \left( \bigoplus_{v>0} N_v \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} \text{det} N_v.$$

We now state two intermediate results on the relations between the family indices on the fixed point set. These two recursive formulas are used in the next subsection to prove Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 2.8** (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.3]). For $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $h, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, the following identity holds in $K_{G_y}(B)$:

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sum_{v>0} \dim N_v} \text{Ind} \left( D^{Y_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{0}(X) \otimes R_{i1}, m, h \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{pd'(N) + \sum_{v>0} \dim N_v} \times \text{Ind} \left( D^{Y_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-p}(X) \otimes R_{i1}, m + \frac{1}{2} p^2 e(N) + \frac{1}{2} pd'(N), h \right).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.8 will be given in Sections 3B–3D.

**Theorem 2.9** (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Theorem 2.4]). For each $\alpha$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $h, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, the following identity holds in $K_{G_y}(B)$:

$$\text{Ind} \left( D^{Y_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-p}(X) \otimes R_{i1}, m + \frac{1}{2} p^2 e(N) + \frac{1}{2} pd'(N), h \right)$$

$$= (-1)^{pd'(W)} \text{Ind} \left( D^{Y_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{0}(X) \otimes R_{i1} \otimes L^{-p}, m + ph + p^2 e, h \right).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.9 will be given in Section 3A.

**2D. A proof of Theorem 2.6.**

**Proof.** As $\frac{1}{2} p_1(3W - TX)_{S^1} \in H_{S^1}^{*}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is well defined, one has the same identity as in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.27)]:

$$d'(N) + d'(W) = 0 \mod 2.$$

From Proposition 2.7, Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, and (2-30), for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $h, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, we get the following identity (compare with [Liu et al. 2000,
(2.28)):

\[
\text{(2-31)} \quad \text{Ind} \left( D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_{i_1}, m, h \right) = \text{Ind} \left( D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_{i_1} \otimes L^{-p}, m', h \right),
\]

with

\[
\text{(2-32)} \quad m' = m + ph + p^2 e.
\]

By (2-13) and (2-24), if \( m < 0 \) or \( m' < 0 \), either side of (2-31) is identically zero, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.6. In fact:

(i) Assume that \( e = 0 \). Let \( h \in \mathbb{Z}, m_0 \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0 \) be fixed. If \( h > 0 \), we take \( m' = m_0 \). Then, for \( p \) large enough, we get \( m < 0 \) in (2-31). If \( h < 0 \), we take \( m = m_0 \). Then, for \( p \) large enough, we get \( m' < 0 \) in (2-31).

(ii) Assume that \( e < 0 \). For \( h \in \mathbb{Z}, m_0 \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \) we take \( m = m_0 \). Then, for \( p \) large enough, we get \( m' < 0 \) in (2-31). \( \Box \)

**Remark 2.10.** We point out here that there is a \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) version of Theorem 2.6, which is an analogue of [Liu and Yu ≥ 2013, Theorem 4.4]. In fact, by using the mod \( k \) localization formula for \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) circle actions on \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) spin\( ^c \) manifolds established in [Liu and Yu ≥ 2013, Theorem 2.7] (see also [Zhang 2003, Theorem 2.1] for the spin case), our proof of Theorem 2.6 can be applied to the case of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) manifolds with little modification.

**Remark 2.11 (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Remark 2.5]).** If \( M \) is connected, by (2-31), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), in \( K_{G_y}(B) \), we get

\[
\text{(2-33)} \quad \text{Ind} \left( D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_{i_1} \right) = \text{Ind} \left( D^X \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{q^n}(TX) \otimes R_{i_1} \right) \otimes [3d'(W)],
\]

where by \([3d'(W)]\) we mean the one-dimensional complex vector space on which \( y \in G_y \) acts by multiplication by \( y^{3d'(W)} \). In particular, if \( B \) is a point and \( 3d'(W) \neq 0 \) mod \( N \), we get the vanishing theorem for string\( ^c \) manifolds analogue to the result of [Hirzebruch 1988, Section 10].

3. Proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9

In this section, we prove the two intermediate results stated in Section 2C and used in Section 2D to prove our main results.

In Section 3A, following [Liu et al. 2000, Section 3.2], we prove Theorem 2.9. In Section 3B, we introduce the same refined shift operators as in [Liu et al. 2000, Section 4.2]. In Section 3C, we construct the twisted spin\( ^c \) Dirac operator on
$M(n_j)$, the fixed point set of the naturally induced $\mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$-action on $M$. In Section 3D, by applying the $S^1$-equivariant index theorem in Section 2A, we finally prove Theorem 2.8.

3A. A proof of Theorem 2.9. We start with some notation and conventions.

Let $H$ be the canonical basis of $\text{Lie}(S^1) = \mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$\exp(tH) = \exp(2\sqrt{-1}\pi t),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. On the fixed point $F$, let $J_H$ denote the operator which computes the weight of the $S^1$-action on $\Gamma(F, E|_{F})$ for any $S^1$-equivariant vector bundle $E$ over $M$. Then $J_H$ can be explicitly given by (see [Liu et al. 2003, (3.2)])

$$J_H = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \mathcal{L}_H|_{\Gamma(F, E|_{F})},$$

(3-1)

where $\mathcal{L}_H$ denotes the infinitesimal action of $H$ on $\Gamma(M, E)$.

Recall that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on

$$S(TX, K_X) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(TX_n)$$

is induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $S(TX, K_X)$, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on

$$S\left(TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0}(\text{det } N_v)^{-1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-\mu}(X)$$

is induced by the one on $S(TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0}(\text{det } N_v)^{-1})$. Write

$$Q^1_W = \bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda(\overline{W}_n) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(W_n), \quad Q^2_W = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(\overline{W}_n) \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(W_n),$$

(3-2)

$$F^1_V = S(V) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(V_n), \quad F^2_V = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(V_n).$$

There are two natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings on $F^1_V, F^2_V$ (respectively $Q^1_W, Q^2_W$). The first grading is induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of $S(V)$ and the forms of homogeneous degrees in $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(V_n)$, $\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(V_n)$ (respectively $Q^2_W$). We define $\tau_e|_{F^i V} = \pm 1 \ (i = 1, 2)$ (respectively $\tau_e|_{Q^2 W} = \pm 1$) to be the involution defined by this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. The second grading is the one for which $F^i V$ and $Q^i W$ ($i = 1, 2$) are purely even, that is, $F^i + = F^i V$, $Q^i + = Q^i W$. We denote by $\tau_s = \text{id}$ the involution defined by this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. Set $Q(W) = Q^1 W \otimes Q^2 W \otimes Q^2 W$. We denote by $\tau_1$ the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $Q(W)$ defined by

$$Q(W), \tau_1) = (Q^1 W, \tau_s) \hat{\otimes} (Q^2 W, \tau_e) \hat{\otimes} (Q^2 W, \tau_s).$$

(3-3)

$$Q(W), \tau_1) = (Q^1 W, \tau_s) \hat{\otimes} (Q^2 W, \tau_e) \hat{\otimes} (Q^2 W, \tau_s).$$
Then the coefficients of \( q^n (n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}) \) in (2-13) of \( R_1(V), R_2(V), R_3(V), R_4(V), Q_1(W) \) are exactly the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded vector subbundles of \((F^1_V, \tau_s), (F^1_V, \tau_e), (F^2_V, \tau_s), (Q(W), \tau_1)\), respectively, on which \( P \) acts by multiplication by \( n \).

Furthermore, we denote by \( \tau_e \) (respectively \( \tau_s \)) the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading on

\[
S(TX, K_X) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}(TX_n) \otimes F^i_V
\]

\((i = 1, 2)\) induced by the above \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings. We denote by \( \tau_{e1} \) (respectively \( \tau_{s1} \)) the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading on \( S(TX, K_X) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \text{Sym}(TX_n) \otimes F^i_V \otimes Q(W) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) defined by

(3-4)

\[
\tau_{e1} = \tau_e \otimes \tau_1, \quad \tau_{s1} = \tau_s \otimes \tau_1.
\]

We still denote by \( \tau_{e1} \) (respectively \( \tau_{s1} \)) the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading on

\[
S\left(TY, K_X \otimes (\det N_v)^{-1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-p}(X) \otimes F^i_V \otimes Q(W)
\]

\((i = 1, 2)\) which is induced as in (3-4).

By (2-19), as in (2-20), there is a natural isomorphism between the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-Clifford modules over \( F \),

(3-5)

\[
S(V)|_F \simeq S\left(V^R_0, \bigotimes_{v>0} (\det V_v)^{-1}\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} \Lambda V_v.
\]

Let \( V_0 = V^R_0 \otimes \mathbb{C} \). Using (2-19) and (3-5), we rewrite (3-2) on the fixed point set \( F \) as follows:

\begin{align*}
Q^1_W &= \bigotimes_{n=0}^\infty \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_v \overline{W}_{v,n} \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_v W_{v,n} \right), \\
Q^2_W &= \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_v \overline{W}_{v,n} \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_v W_{v,n} \right), \\
F^1_V &= \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda \left( V_{0,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{v>0} (V_{v,n} \oplus \overline{V}_{v,n}) \right) \otimes S\left(V^R_0, \bigotimes_{v>0} (\det V_v)^{-1}\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} \Lambda V_{0,v}, \\
F^2_V &= \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \left( V_{0,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{v>0} (V_{v,n} \oplus \overline{V}_{v,n}) \right).
\end{align*}

(3-6)

We can reformulate Theorem 2.9 as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** For each \( \alpha, h, p \in \mathbb{Z}, p > 0, m \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \) for \( i = 1, 2, \tau = \tau_{e1} \) or \( \tau_{s1} \), the following identity holds in \( K_{G_1}(B) \):

(3-7)

\[
\text{Ind}_\epsilon \left( D^{Y_a} \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-p}(X) \otimes F^i_V \otimes Q(W), \right.

\begin{align*}
&= (-1)^{pd'(W)} \text{Ind}_\epsilon \left( D^{Y_a} \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^0(X) \otimes F^i_V \otimes Q(W) \otimes L^{-p}, \right. \\
&\quad m + ph + p^2e, h \right).
\end{align*}

\[
m + \frac{1}{2} p^2 e(N) + \frac{1}{2} p d'(N), h
\]
For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we set
\begin{align}
r_* : N_{v,n} &\to N_{v,n+p v}, \quad r_* : \tilde{N}_{v,n} \to \tilde{N}_{v,n-p v}, \\
r_* : V_{v,n} &\to V_{v,n+p v}, \quad r_* : \tilde{V}_{v,n} \to \tilde{V}_{v,n-p v}, \\
r_* : W_{v,n} &\to W_{v,n+p v}, \quad r_* : \tilde{W}_{v,n} \to \tilde{W}_{v,n-p v}.
\end{align}
(3-8)

**Proposition 3.2.** For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, there are natural isomorphisms of vector bundles over $F$:
\begin{align}
r_* (\mathcal{F}^{-p} (X)) &\simeq \mathcal{F}^{0} (X) \otimes L(N)^{p}, \quad r_* (F^{i}_{v}) \simeq F^{i}_{v} \otimes L(V)^{p}.
\end{align}
(3-9)

For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, there are natural $G$-equivariant isomorphisms of vector bundles over $F$:
\begin{align}
r_* (Q^{i}_{w}) &\simeq Q^{i}_{w} \otimes L(W)^{-p}.
\end{align}
(3-10)

In particular, one gets the $G$-equivariant bundle isomorphism
\begin{align}
r_* (Q(W)) &\simeq Q(W) \otimes L(W)^{-3p}.
\end{align}
(3-11)

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1 of [Liu et al. 2000], only the $i = 2$ case in (3-10) needs to be proved.

Using Equations (3.14)–(3.16) of the same reference, we have a natural $G$-equivariant isomorphisms of vector bundles over $F$:
\begin{align}
\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v > 0} \Lambda_{n}^{i_{n}} (\tilde{W}_{v,n-p v}) &\simeq \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v > 0} \Lambda_{n}^{\dim W_{v,n}-i_{n}} (W_{v,n+p v}) \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det W_{v})^{p v},
\end{align}
(3-12)

From (2-22) and (3-12), we get (3-10) for the case $i = 2$.

The following proposition, which is an analogue of [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 3.2], is deduced from Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, the $G$-equivariant isomorphism of vector bundles over $F$ induced by (3-9), (3-11), denoted by
\begin{align}
r_* : S \left( T Y, K_{X} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det N_{v})^{-1} \right) \otimes (K_{W} \otimes K_{X}^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-p} (X) \otimes F^{i}_{v} \otimes Q(W)
\end{align}
(3-13)

\begin{align}
\to S \left( T Y, K_{X} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det N_{v})^{-1} \right) \otimes (K_{W} \otimes K_{X}^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{0} (X) \otimes F^{i}_{v} \otimes Q(W) \otimes L^{-p},
\end{align}

satisfies the identities
For the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings, we have
\begin{equation}
\tau_e r_* = \tau_e, \quad \tau_s r_* = \tau_s, \quad \tau_1 r_* = (-1)^{p d'(W)} \tau_1.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** By the proof of [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 3.2], we need to compute the action of $r_*^{-1} \cdot P \cdot r_*$ on
\[
\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v > 0}^{0 < n < p v} \Lambda_n^i(\overline{W}_{v,n}) \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v < 0}^{0 < n < -p v} \Lambda_n^i(W_{v,n}).
\]
In fact, by (3-12),
\begin{equation}
\tau_1 r_* = (-1)^{p d'(W)} \tau_1.
\end{equation}
By [Liu et al. 2000, (3.21)–(3.23)], and (3-16), we deduce the second line of (3-14). The first line of (3-14) is obvious.

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. The first two identities of (3-15) were proved in [Liu et al. 2003, (3.18)]. $\tau_1$ changes only on
\[
\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v > 0}^{0 < n < p v} \Lambda_n^i(\overline{W}_{v,n}) \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}, v < 0}^{0 < n < -p v} \Lambda_n^i(W_{v,n}).
\]
From (2-21) and (3-12), we get the third identity of (3-15). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3. This also completes the proof of Theorem 2.9. $\square$

The rest of this section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2.8.

**3B. The refined shift operators.** We first introduce a partition of $[0, 1]$ as in [Liu et al. 2000, pp. 942–943]. Set
\[
J = \{ v \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists } \alpha \text{ such that } N_v \neq 0 \text{ on } F_\alpha \}
\]
and
\begin{equation}
\Phi = \{ \beta \in (0, 1] \mid \text{there exists } v \in J \text{ such that } \beta v \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\end{equation}
We order the elements in $\Phi$ so that

$$\Phi = \{\beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq J_0, J_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_i < \beta_{i+1}\}.$$  

Then, for any integer $1 \leq i \leq J_0$, there exist $p_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p_i \leq n_i$, with $(p_i, n_i) = 1$ such that

$$(3-18) \quad \beta_i = \frac{p_i}{n_i}.$$  

Clearly, $\beta_{J_0} = 1$. We also set $p_0 = 0$ and $\beta_0 = 0$.

For $0 \leq j \leq J_0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we write

$$I_j^p = \left\{(v, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid v \in J, (p-1)v < n \leq pv, \frac{n}{v} = p - 1 + \frac{p_j}{n_j}\right\},$$

$$(3-19) \quad \tilde{I}_j^p = \left\{(v, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid v \in J, (p-1)v < n \leq pv, \frac{n}{v} > p - 1 + \frac{p_j}{n_j}\right\}.$$  

Clearly, $I_0^p$ is the empty set. We define $\mathcal{F}_{p,j}(X)$ as in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.21)], analogously to (2.26). More specifically, we set

$$(3-20) \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,j}(X) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(TY_n) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} \left(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(N_{v,n}) \otimes \bigotimes_{n>(p-1)v+n_j v} \text{Sym}(\overline{N}_{v,n})\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{0\leq n \leq (p-1)v+\left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor} \text{Sym}(N_{v,-n}) \otimes \text{det} N_v,$$

$$= \mathcal{F}_p(X) \otimes \mathcal{F}_0(X) \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(\overline{N}_{v,n}) \otimes \bigotimes_{(v,n)\in I_j^p} \text{Sym}(\overline{N}_{v,n}) \otimes \text{det} N_v,$$

where, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the notation $\lfloor s \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding $s$. Then

$$(3-21) \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,0}(X) = \mathcal{F}^{-p+1}(X), \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,J_0}(X) = \mathcal{F}^{-p}(X).$$  

From the construction of $\beta_i$, we know that, for $v \in J$, there is no integer in $((p_{j-1}/n_{j-1})v, (p_j/n_j)v)$. Furthermore (see [Liu et al. 2000, (4.24)]),

$$(3-22) \quad \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{p_j}{n_j} v\right) \right\rfloor - 1 \quad \text{if } v \equiv 0 \mod (n_j),$$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{p_j}{n_j} v\right) \right\rfloor \quad \text{if } v \not\equiv 0 \mod (n_j).$$  

We use the same shift operators $r_{j*}$, $1 \leq j \leq J_0$ as in [Liu et al. 2000, (4.21)], which refine the shift operator $r_*$ defined in (3-8). For $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, set

$$(3-23) \quad r_{j*} : N_{v,n} \rightarrow N_{v,n+(p-1)v+p_j v/n_j}, \quad r_{j*} : \overline{N}_{v,n} \rightarrow \overline{N}_{v,n-(p-1)v-p_j v/n_j},$$

$$r_{j*} : V_{v,n} \rightarrow V_{v,n+(p-1)v+p_j v/n_j}, \quad r_{j*} : \overline{V}_{v,n} \rightarrow \overline{V}_{v,n-(p-1)v-p_j v/n_j},$$

$$r_{j*} : W_{v,n} \rightarrow W_{v,n+(p-1)v+p_j v/n_j}, \quad r_{j*} : \overline{W}_{v,n} \rightarrow \overline{W}_{v,n-(p-1)v-p_j v/n_j}.$$
For $1 \leq j \leq J_0$, we define $\mathcal{F}(\beta_j)$, $F^1_V(\beta_j)$, $F^2_V(\beta_j)$, $Q^1_W(\beta_j)$, and $Q^2_W(\beta_j)$ over $F$ as follows (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.13)]):

\[(3-24)\]

$$
\mathcal{F}(\beta_j) = \bigotimes_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Sym}(TY_n) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(N_{v,n} \oplus \bar{N}_{v,n}) \otimes \text{Sym}\left(\bigoplus_{v < v' - v' \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} N_{v,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} \bar{N}_{v,n} \right) \right),
$$

$$
F^1_V(\beta_j) = \Lambda\left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} V_{0,n} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} V_{v,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} \bar{V}_{v,n} \right) \right) \right),
$$

$$
F^2_V(\beta_j) = \Lambda\left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} V_{0,n} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} V_{v,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{V}_{v,n} \right) \right) \right),
$$

$$
Q^1_W(\beta_j) = \Lambda\left( \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} W_{v,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v} \bar{W}_{v,n} \right) \right),
$$

$$
Q^2_W(\beta_j) = \Lambda\left( \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} W_{v,n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{W}_{v,n} \right) \right).
$$

Using (3-22), Equations (3-24), and computing directly, we get an analogue of [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 4.1] which refines Proposition 3.2:

**Proposition 3.4.** For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq J_0$, there are natural isomorphisms of vector bundles over $F$: 

\[
r_j^*(\mathcal{F}_{p,j-1}(X)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\beta_j) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(\bar{N}_{v,0}) \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \text{Sym}(\det N_{v})^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v+1} \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(\det N_{v})^{-1},
\]

\[
r_j^*(\mathcal{F}_{p,j}(X)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\beta_j) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(N_{v,0}) \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \text{Sym}(\det N_{v})^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v+1},
\]

\[
r_j^*(F^1_V) \simeq S\left(V^R_0, \bigotimes_{v > 0} \text{Sym}(\det V_{v})^{-1}\right) \otimes F^1_V(\beta_j) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \Lambda(V_{v,0}) \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \text{Sym}(\det \bar{V}_{v})^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v},
\]
For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq J_0$, there are natural $G_y \times S^1$-equivariant isomorphisms of vector bundles over $F$.

\begin{equation}
(3-25) \quad r_j^*(Q^1_W) \simeq Q^1_W(\beta_j) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \det W_v \otimes (\det W_v)^{[\frac{p_j}{n_j}]v + \frac{1}{2} + (p-1)v}.
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(3-26) \quad \bigotimes_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, v > 0, n-(p-1)v-(p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda_n^i (W_{v,n-(p-1)v-(p_j/n_j)v}) \simeq \bigotimes_{v > 0} (\det W_v)^{[\frac{p_j}{n_j}]v + \frac{1}{2} + (p-1)v} \otimes \Lambda^{\dim W_v - i_n} (W_{v,-n+(p-1)v+(p_j/n_j)v}),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(3-27) \quad \bigotimes_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, v < 0, n+(p-1)v+(p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda_{i_n}^i (W_{v,n+(p-1)v+(p_j/n_j)v}) \simeq \bigotimes_{v < 0} (\det W_v)^{-[\frac{p_j}{n_j}]v - \frac{1}{2} - (p-1)v} \otimes \Lambda^{\dim W_v - i_n} (W_{v,-n-(p-1)v-(p_j/n_j)v}).
\end{equation}

From the last equation in (3-24), together with (3-26) and (3-27), we get the second isomorphism in (3-25). The proof of Proposition 3.4 is complete. □

### 3C. The spin$^c$ Dirac operators on $M(n_j)$

Recall that there is a nontrivial circle action on $M$ which can be lifted to the circle actions on $V$ and $W$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, let $\mathbb{Z}_n \subset S^1$ denote the cyclic subgroup of order $n$. Let $M(n_j)$ be the fixed point set of the induced $\mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$ action on $M$. Then

$$\pi : M(n_j) \to B$$

is a fibration with compact fiber $X(n_j)$. Let $N(n_j) \to M(n_j)$ be the normal bundle to $M(n_j)$ in $M$. As in [Bott and Taubes 1989, p. 151] (see also [Liu et al. 2000,
Section 4.1; Liu et al. 2003, Section 4.1; Taubes 1989), we see that $N(n_j)$ and $V$ can be decomposed, as real vector bundles over $M(n_j)$, into

$$
N(n_j) = \bigoplus_{0 < v < n_j/2} N(n_j)_v \oplus N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R},
$$

(3-28)

$$
V|_{M(n_j)} = V(n_j)_0^\mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < v < n_j/2} V(n_j)_v \oplus V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R},
$$

where $V(n_j)_0^\mathbb{R}$ is the real vector bundle on which $\mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$ acts by identity, and $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ and $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ are defined to be zero if $n_j$ is odd. Moreover, for $0 < v < n_j/2$, $N(n_j)_v$ and $V(n_j)_v$ each admit a unique complex structure making them into complex vector bundles on which $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$ acts by $g^v$. We also denote by $V(n_j)_0$, $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}$, and $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}$ the corresponding complexification of $V(n_j)_0^\mathbb{R}$, $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$, and $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$.

Similarly, we also have the following $\mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$-equivariant decomposition of $W$ over $M(n_j)$ into complex vector bundles:

$$
W|_{M(n_j)} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq v < n_j} W(n_j)_v,
$$

(3-29)

where for $0 \leq v < n_j$, $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$ acts on $W(n_j)_v$ by sending $g$ to $g^v$.

By [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 4.1] (which generalizes [Bott and Taubes 1989, Lemmas 9.4 and 10.1] and [Taubes 1989, Lemma 5.1]), we know that the vector bundles $TX(n_j)$ and $V(n_j)_0^\mathbb{R}$ are orientable and even-dimensional. Thus $N(n_j)$ is orientable over $M(n_j)$. By (3-28), $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ and $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ are also orientable and even-dimensional. In what follows, we fix the orientations of $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ and $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$. Then $TX(n_j)$ and $V(n_j)_0^\mathbb{R}$ are naturally oriented by (3-28) and the orientations of $TX$, $V$, $N(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ and $V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$. Let $W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^\mathbb{R}$ be the underlying real vector bundle of $W(n_j)_{n_j/2}$, which are canonically oriented by its complex structure.

By (2-18), (2-19), (3-28), and (3-29), we get identifications of complex vector bundles over $F$ (see [Liu et al. 2000, (4.9) and (4.12)]: for $0 < v \leq n_j/2$,

$$
N(n_j)_v|_F = \bigoplus_{v' \equiv v \bmod n_j} N_{v'} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{v' \equiv -v \bmod n_j} \bar{N}_{v'},
$$

(3-30)

$$
V(n_j)_v|_F = \bigoplus_{v' \equiv v \bmod n_j} V_{v'} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{v' \equiv -v \bmod n_j} \bar{V}_{v'},
$$

and for $0 \leq v < n_j$,

$$
W(n_j)_v|_F = \bigoplus_{v' \equiv v \bmod n_j} W_{v'}.
$$

(3-31)
We also get identifications of real vector bundles over $F$ (see [Liu et al. 2000, (4.11)]):

$$TX(n_j)|_F = TY \oplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} N_v, \quad N(n_j)_{n_j/2}|_F = \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} N_v,$$

(3-32)

$$V(n_j)_{n_j/2}|_F = V_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} V_v, \quad V(n_j)_{n_j/2}|_F = \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} V_v.$$ 

Moreover, we have identifications of complex vector bundles over $F$:

$$TX(n_j)|_F \otimes \mathbb{C} = TY \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} (N_v \oplus \bar{N}_v),$$

(3-33)

$$V(n_j)_0|_F = V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} (V_v \oplus \bar{V}_v).$$

As $(p_j, n_j) = 1$, we know that, for $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(p_j/n_j)v \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $(v/n_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, $(p_j/n_j)v \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $(v/n_j) \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Also, if $v \equiv -v' \mod n_j$, then

$$\{ n \mid 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + (p_j/n_j)v \} = \{ n \mid 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - (p_j/n_j)v' \}.$$

Using the identifications (3-30), (3-31), and (3-33), we can rewrite $\mathcal{F}(\beta_j)$, $F_{\bar{V}}^1(\beta_j)$, $F_{\bar{V}}^2(\beta_j)$, $Q_{\bar{W}}^1(\beta_j)$, and $Q_{\bar{W}}^2(\beta_j)$ over $F$ defined in (3-24) as follows (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.7)]):

(3-34) \hspace{1cm} $\mathcal{F}(\beta_j) = \bigotimes_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Sym}(TX(n_j)_n)$

$$\otimes_{0 < v < n_j/2} \text{Sym} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j n_j}{n_j} v} N(n_j)_{v, n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j n_j}{n_j} v} \bar{N}(n_j)_{v, n} \right)$$

$$\otimes_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \text{Sym}(N(n_j)_{n_j/2, n}),$$

(3-35) \hspace{1cm} $F_{\bar{V}}^1(\beta_j) = \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}} V(n_j)_{0, n} \right)$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{0 < v < n_j/2} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j n_j}{n_j} v} V(n_j)_{v, n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j n_j}{n_j} v} \bar{V}(n_j)_{v, n} \right)$$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} V(n_j)_{n_j/2, n},$$

(3-36) \hspace{1cm} $F_{\bar{V}}^2(\beta_j) = \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}} V(n_j)_{n_j/2, n} \right)$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{0 < v < n_j/2} \left( \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{p_j (n_j + 1)}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} V(n_j)_{v, n} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{p_j (n_j + 1)}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{V}(n_j)_{v, n} \right)$$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} V(n_j)_{0, n},$$

As $(p_j, n_j) = 1$, we know that, for $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(p_j/n_j)v \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $(v/n_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, $(p_j/n_j)v \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $(v/n_j) \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Also, if $v \equiv -v' \mod n_j$, then

$$\{ n \mid 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} + (p_j/n_j)v \} = \{ n \mid 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} - (p_j/n_j)v' \}.$$
We indicate here that which we now think of as a vector bundle over \( Q \) (3-39) on the involutions on \( S \) (3-40) still speak of an replace the structure defined by and \( U \). Moreover we now define the spin structure determined by \( \beta \). The following lemma follows from the proof of [Bott and Taubes 1989, Lemmas 11.3 and 11.4].

**Lemma 3.5** (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 4.2]). Assume that (2-17) holds. Let

\[
(3-40) \quad L(n_j) = \bigotimes_{0 < v < n_j/2} \left( \det(N(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(V(n_j)_v) \otimes \left( \det(W(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(W(n_j)_{n_j-v}) \right)^3 \right)^{(r(n_j)+1)v}
\]

be the complex line bundle over \( M(n_j) \). Then \( L(n_j) \) has an \( n_j \)-th root over \( M(n_j) \).

Moreover, \( U_1 := TX(n_j) \oplus V(n_j)^R_{n_j/2} \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \) has a spin\(^c\) structure defined by

\[
L_1 := K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{0 < v < n_j/2} \left( \det(N(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(V(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(W(n_j)_{n_j/2}) \right)^3 \otimes L(n_j)^{r(n_j)/n_j},
\]

and \( U_2 := TX(n_j) \oplus V(n_j)^R_{n_j/2} \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \) has a spin\(^c\) structure defined by

\[
L_2 := K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{0 < v < n_j/2} \det(N(n_j)_v) \otimes (\det(W(n_j)_{n_j/2}))^3 \otimes L(n_j)^{r(n_j)/n_j}.
\]

We remark that in order to define an \( S^1 \)- or \( G_y \)- action on \( L(n_j)^{r(n_j)/n_j} \), we must replace the \( S^1 \)- or \( G_y \)-action by its \( n_j \)-fold action. Here, by abusing notation, we still speak of an \( S^1 \)- or \( G_y \)-action without causing any confusion.

Let \( S(U_1, L_1) \) and \( S(U_2, L_2) \) be the fundamental complex spinor bundles for \((U_1, L_1)\) and \((U_2, L_2)\); see [Lawson and Michelsohn 1989, Appendix D]. There are two \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings on these bundles. The first grading, denoted by \( \tau_s \), is induced by the involutions on \( S(U_1, L_1) \) and \( S(U_2, L_2) \) determined by \( TX(n_j) \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \) as in (2-1). The second grading, which we denote by \( \tau_e \), is induced by the involution on \( S(U_1, L_1) \) determined by \( TX(n_j) \oplus V(n_j)_0^R \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \), and by the involution on \( S(U_2, L_2) \) determined by \( U_2 = TX(n_j) \oplus V(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \oplus W(n_j)_{n_j/2}^R \), as in (2-1).
In what follows, by $D^{X(n_j)}$ we mean the $S^1$-equivariant spin$^c$ Dirac operator on $S(U_1, L_1)$ or $S(U_2, L_2)$ over $M(n_j)$.

Corresponding to (2-8), by (3-30) and (3-31), we define $S(U_1, L_1)'$ and $S(U_2, L_2)'$ equipped with involutions $\tau_s'$ and $\tau_e'$ as follows (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.16)]):

\begin{equation}
(S(U_1, L_1)', \tau_s'/\tau_e') = \left(S\left(TY \oplus V_0^R, L_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0}^{v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} (\det N_v \otimes \det V_v)^{-1} \otimes (\det W_v)^{-2}\right), \tau_s'/\tau_e'\right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\otimes \otimes \Lambda_{\pm 1}(V_v) \otimes \otimes \Lambda_{-1}(W_v) \otimes \otimes \Lambda(W_v)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(S(U_2, L_2)', \tau_s'/\tau_e') = S\left(TY, L_2 \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0}^{v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} (\det N_v)^{-1} \otimes (\det V_v)^{-1} \otimes (\det W_v)^{-2}\right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\otimes \otimes \Lambda_{\pm 1}(V_v) \otimes \otimes \Lambda_{-1}(W_v) \otimes \otimes \Lambda(W_v)
\end{equation}

Then, by (2-8), for $i = 1, 2$, we have the following isomorphisms of Clifford modules over $F$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.17)]):

\begin{equation}
(S(U_i, L_i), \tau_s/\tau_e)|_F \simeq (S(U_i, L_i)', \tau_s'/\tau_e') \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{-1}(N_v).
\end{equation}

As in [Liu et al. 2000, pp. 952], we introduce formally the following complex line bundles over $F$:

\begin{equation}
L_1' = \left(L_1^{-1} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0}^{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} (\det N_v \otimes \det V_v)\right) \otimes \otimes (\det W_v)^2 \otimes \otimes (\det N_v \otimes \det V_v)^{-1} \otimes K_X^{1/2}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
L_2' = \left(L_2^{-1} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0}^{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \det N_v \otimes \bigotimes_{v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \det V_v\right) \otimes \otimes (\det W_v)^2 \otimes \otimes (\det N_v)^{-1} \otimes K_X^{1/2}.
\end{equation}
In fact, from (2-8), Lemma 3.5, and the assumption that $V$ is spin, one verifies easily that $c_1(L^2_i) = 0 \mod 2$ for $i = 1, 2$, which implies that $L_1'$ and $L_2'$ are well-defined complex line bundles over $F$.

Then, by [Liu et al. 2000, (3.14)], (3-41)–(3-45), and the definitions of $L_1$, $L_2$, we get the following identifications of Clifford modules over $F$ (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.19)]):

\[
(3-46) \quad (S(U_1, L_1') \otimes L_1', (\tau'_s/\tau'_e) \otimes \text{id}) = S \left( TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} (\det N_v)^{-1} \right) \otimes (S(V_0^\mathbb{R}, \bigotimes (\det V_v)^{-1}), \text{id}/\tau)
\]

\[
\otimes \bigotimes_{v>0, v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{\pm 1}(V_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{-1}(W_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{-1}(\overline{W}_v)
\]

and

\[
(3-47) \quad (S(U_2, L_2') \otimes L_2', (\tau'_s/\tau'_e) \otimes \text{id}) = S \left( TY, K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0} (\det N_v)^{-1} \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v>0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{\pm 1}(V_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{-1}(W_v)
\]

\[
\otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda_{-1}(\overline{W}_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda(W_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \Lambda(\overline{W}_v) \otimes \bigotimes_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} (\det W_v)^2.
\]

Now we compare the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings in (3-46) and (3-47). Set (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.20)])

\[
\Delta(n_j, N) = \sum_{n_j/2 < v' < n_j} \sum_{0 < v, v \equiv v' \mod n_j} \dim N_v + o(N(n_j^n_{j/2}),
\]

\[
\Delta(n_j, V) = \sum_{n_j/2 < v' < n_j} \sum_{0 < v, v \equiv v' \mod n_j} \dim V_v + o(V(n_j^n_{j/2}),
\]

\[
(3-48) \quad \Delta(n_j, W) = \sum_{v<0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \dim W_v,
\]

where $o(N(n_j^n_{j/2}))$ and $o(V(n_j^n_{j/2}))$ equal 0 or 1 depending on whether the given orientation on $N(n_j^n_{j/2})$ and $V(n_j^n_{j/2})$ agrees or disagrees with the complex orientation of

\[
\bigoplus_{v>0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} N_v \text{ and } \bigoplus_{v>0, v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} V_v,
\]

respectively.
As explained in [Liu et al. 2003, p. 166], for the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings induced by \( \tau_s \), the differences of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings of (3-46) and (3-47) are both

\[
(-1)^{\Delta(n_j, N) + \Delta(n_j, W)};
\]

for the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings induced by \( \tau_e \), the difference of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradings of (3-46) (respectively (3-47)) is

\[
(-1)^{\Delta(n_j, N) + \Delta(n_j, V) + \Delta(n_j, W)}
\]

(respectively \( (-1)^{\Delta(n_j, N) + \omega(V(n_j)) + \Delta(n_j, W)} \)).

**Lemma 3.6** (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 4.3]). *Let us write*

\[
L(\beta_j)_1 = L'_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \left( \det N_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v + 1} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \left( \det \overline{V}_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v} \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \det N_v \right)^{-1} \bigotimes_{v < 0} \left( \det W_v \right)^\left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + 1 \right\rfloor + 3(p-1)v + 1 \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \det \overline{W}_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + \frac{1}{2}} + 3(p-1)v + 1 \bigotimes_{v < 0} \left( \det W_v \right) \bigotimes_{v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \left( \det \overline{W}_v \right)^2
\]

and

\[
L(\beta_j)_2 = L'_2 \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \left( \det N_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v + 1} \otimes \bigotimes_{v > 0} \left( \det \overline{V}_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + (p-1)v} \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \det N_v \right)^{-1} \bigotimes_{v < 0} \left( \det W_v \right)^\left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + 1 \right\rfloor + 3(p-1)v + 1 \bigotimes_{v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \left( \det \overline{W}_v \right)^{\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor + \frac{1}{2}} + 3(p-1)v + 1 \bigotimes_{v \equiv n_j/2 \mod n_j} \left( \det \overline{W}_v \right)^2.
\]

Then \( L(\beta_j)_1 \) and \( L(\beta_j)_2 \) can be extended naturally to \( G_y \times S^1 \)-equivariant complex line bundles over \( M(n_j) \) which we will still denote by \( L(\beta_j)_1 \) and \( L(\beta_j)_2 \).

**Proof.** We introduce the following line bundle over \( M(n_j) \):

\[
L^\omega(\beta_j) = \bigotimes_{0 < v < n_j/2} \left( \det(N(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(\overline{V}(n_j)_v) \right) \otimes \left( \det(\overline{W}(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(W(n_j)_{n_j-v}) \right)^3 \omega(v) - r(n_j)v.
\]

where, as in [Liu et al. 2003, (4.35)], we define \( \omega \) by

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor = \frac{p_j}{n_j} v - \frac{\omega(v)}{n_j}.
\]
As in [Liu et al. 2003, (4.38); Liu et al. 2000, (4.28)], Lemma 3.5 implies that $L^{\omega}({\beta_j})^{1/n_j}$ is well-defined over $M(n_j)$. Direct calculation shows that

$$L({\beta_j})_1 = L^{-(p-1)-p_j/n_j} \otimes L^{\omega}({\beta_j})^{1/n_j} \otimes \bigotimes_{0<v<n_j/2} \det(W(n_j)_v) \otimes (\det(W(n_j)_{n_j/2}))^2$$

$$\otimes \bigotimes_{1 \leq m \leq p_j/2} m - \frac{1}{2} < (p_j/n_j)v < m$$

and

$$L({\beta_j})_2 = L^{-(p-1)-p_j/n_j} \otimes L^{\omega}({\beta_j})^{1/n_j} \otimes \bigotimes_{0<v<n_j/2} \det(W(n_j)_v) \otimes (\det(W(n_j)_{n_j/2}))^2$$

$$\otimes \bigotimes_{1 \leq m \leq p_j/2} m - \frac{1}{2} < (p_j/n_j)v < m$$

$$((\det(W(n_j)_v) \otimes \det(W(n_j)_{n_j-1}))^2 \otimes \det(V(n_j)_v))$$

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is complete. \qed

To simplify the notation, we introduce the following locally constant functions on $F$ (compare with [Liu et al. 2003, (4.45); Liu et al. 2000, (4.30)]):

$$\varepsilon_1^1 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v>0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right.$$  

$$- \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right) \left( 2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v<0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left( - \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor - (p-1)v \right) \left( - \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor - (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right.$$  

$$+ \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right) \left( 2 \left( - \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor - (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right),$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v>0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right)^2 \right.$$  

$$- 2 \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + (p-1)v \right) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v<0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left( - \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor - (p-1)v \right)^2 \right.$$  

$$+ 2 \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + (p-1)v \right) \left( - \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor - (p-1)v \right),$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v>0} (\dim N_v - \dim V_v) \left( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v \right) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right.$$  

$$- \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + (p-1)v \right) \left( 2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + (p-1)v + 1 \right) \right),$$
\[
\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v > 0} (\dim N_v) \cdot \left( \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v \right) \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v + 1 \right) - \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + (p - 1)v \right) \left( 2 \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v \right) + 1 \right) \right)
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v > 0} (\dim V_v) \cdot \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + \frac{1}{2} \right) + (p - 1)v \right)^2
- 2 \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + (p - 1)v \right) \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + \frac{1}{2} \right) + (p - 1)v \right). \]

As in [Liu et al. 2000, (2.23)], for \(0 \leq j \leq J_0\), we set
\[
e(p, \beta_j, N) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v > 0} (\dim N_v) \cdot \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v \right) \times \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v + 1 \right),
\]
\[
d'(p, \beta_j, N) = \sum_{v > 0} (\dim N_v) \cdot \left( \left\lceil \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rceil + (p - 1)v \right).
\]

Then \(e(p, \beta_j, N)\) and \(d'(p, \beta_j, N)\) are locally constant functions on \(F\). In particular, we have
\[
e(p, \beta_0, N) = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)^2 e(N) + \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)d'(N),
\]
\[
e(p, \beta_{J_0}, N) = \frac{1}{2} p^2 e(N) + \frac{1}{2} pd'(N),
\]
\[
d'(p, \beta_{J_0}, N) = d'(p + 1, \beta_0, N) = pd'(N).
\]

**Proposition 3.7** (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 4.2]). For \(i = 1, 2,\) the \(G_y\)-equivariant isomorphisms of complex vector bundles over \(F\) induced by **Proposition 3.4** and (3-46)–(3-47),
\[
r_{i1} : S\left( TY, K_X \otimes_{v \geq 0} (\det N_v)^{-1} \right) \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes F_{p, -1}(X) \otimes F_V^i \otimes Q(W) \rightarrow S(U_i, L_i) \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{F}(\beta_j) \otimes F_V^i (\beta_j) \otimes Q_W(\beta_j) \otimes L(\beta_j)_{i} \otimes \bigotimes_{v \geq 0, v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(\tilde{N}_{v,0})
\]
and
\[
r_{i2} : S\left( TY, K_X \otimes_{v \geq 0} (\det N_v)^{-1} \right) \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes F_{p, 1}(X) \otimes F_V^i \otimes Q(W) \rightarrow S(U_i, L_i) \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{F}(\beta_j) \otimes F_V^i (\beta_j) \otimes Q_W(\beta_j) \otimes L(\beta_j)_{i} \otimes \bigotimes_{v \geq 0, v \equiv 0 \mod n_j} \text{Sym}(N_{v,0}) \otimes \det N_v
\]

have the following properties:
(i) For $i = 1, 2$ and $\gamma = 1, 2$, we have

$$r_{i\gamma}^{-1} \cdot J_H \cdot r_{i\gamma} = J_H, \quad r_{i\gamma}^{-1} \cdot P \cdot r_{i\gamma} = P + \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} + (p - 1) \right) J_H + \varepsilon_{i\gamma},$$

where the $\varepsilon_{i\gamma}$ are given by

$$\varepsilon_{i1} = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_W^1 + 2\varepsilon_W^2 - e(p, \beta_{j-1}, N),$$
$$\varepsilon_{i2} = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_W^1 + 2\varepsilon_W^2 - e(p, \beta_j, N).$$

(ii) For $i = 1, 2$ and $\gamma = 1, 2$, we have

$$r_{i\gamma}^{-1} \tau_e r_{i\gamma} = (-1)^{\mu_i} \tau_e, \quad r_{i\gamma}^{-1} \tau_s r_{i\gamma} = (-1)^{\mu_3} \tau_s, \quad r_{i\gamma}^{-1} \tau_1 r_{i\gamma} = (-1)^{\mu_4} \tau_1,$$

where the $\mu_i$ are given by

$$\mu_1 = - \sum_{v > 0} (\dim V_v) \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right\rfloor + \Delta(n_j, N) + \Delta(n_j, V) + \Delta(n_j, W) \mod 2,$$
$$\mu_2 = - \sum_{v > 0} (\dim V_v) \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + \Delta(n_j, N) + o(V(n_j)^R_{n_j/2}) + \Delta(n_j, W) \mod 2,$$
$$\mu_3 = \Delta(n_j, N) + \Delta(n_j, W) \mod 2,$$
$$\mu_4 = \sum_{v > 0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + (p - 1)v \right) + \sum_{v < 0} (\dim W_v) \cdot \left( \left\lfloor -\frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor - (p - 1)v \right) \mod 2.$$

**Proof.** By the proof of [Liu et al. 2000, Proposition 4.2], we need to compute the action of $r_*^{-1} \cdot P \cdot r_*$ on

$$\bigotimes_{0 < n \leq \dim Z_0, v > 0, n - (p - 1)v - (p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda^{i_n}_0(W_{v,n}) \bigotimes_{0 < n \leq \dim Z_0, v < 0, n + (p - 1)v + (p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda^{i_n}_1(W_{v,n}).$$

In fact, by (3-26) and (3-27), as in (3-16), we get

$$r_*^{-1} \cdot P \cdot r_* = \sum_{0 < n \leq \dim Z_0, v > 0, n - (p - 1)v - (p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} (\dim W_v - i_n) \left( -n + (p - 1)v + \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{0 < n \leq \dim Z_0, v < 0, n + (p - 1)v + (p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} (\dim W_v - i'_n) \left( -n - (p - 1)v - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \right)$$
$$= P + \left( p - 1 + \frac{p_j}{n_j} \right) J_H + \varepsilon_W^2.$$

By [Liu et al. 2000, (4.36)–(4.38)] and (3-59), we deduce the second identity in (3-56). The first identity in (3-56) is obvious.
Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. By [Liu et al. 2003, (4.49)–(4.50)] and the discussion following (3-48), we get the first two identities in (3-58). Observe that $\tau_1$ changes only on

$$\otimes_{n-(p-1)v-(p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda_{i_n}^1(\overline{W}_v,n) \otimes \otimes_{n+(p-1)v+(p_j/n_j)v \leq 0} \Lambda_{i_n}^2(\overline{W}_v,n).$$

From (3-26) and (3-27), we get the third identity in (3-58).

□

3D. A proof of Theorem 2.8.

Lemma 3.8 (compare with [Liu et al. 2000, Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6]). For each connected component $M'$ of $M(n_j)$, the following functions are independent on the connected components of $F$ in $M'$:

$$\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_1^1 + 2\varepsilon_2^2,$$  

(3-60) $$d'(p, \beta_j, N) + \mu_i + \mu_4 \text{ mod } 2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$d'(p, \beta_{j-1}, N) + \sum_{0<v} \dim N_v + \mu_i + \mu_4 \text{ mod } 2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Proof. Recall that $\lfloor \frac{p_j}{n_j} v \rfloor = \frac{p_j}{n_j} v - \omega(v) n_j$. By using (3-31), we explicitly express $\varepsilon_1^1$ and $\varepsilon_2^2$ defined in (3-50)–(3-51) as follows:

(3-61) $$\varepsilon_1^1 = \frac{1}{2} (p - 1 + p_j/n_j)^2 e(W) + \frac{1}{8} \dim W(n_j)_{n_j/2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0<v<n_j/2} \frac{\omega(v) \omega(-v)}{n_j^2} (\dim W(n_j)_v + \dim W(n_j)_{n_j-v}),$$

and

(3-62) $$\varepsilon_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} (p - 1 + p_j/n_j)^2 e(W) - \frac{1}{8} \dim W(n_j)_{n_j/2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0\leq m \leq (p_j-1)/2} \sum_{m < \frac{p_j}{n_j} v < m + \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\omega(v)}{n_j} \right)^2 (\dim W(n_j)_v + \dim W(n_j)_{n_j-v})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0\leq m \leq p_j/2} \sum_{m - \frac{p_j}{n_j} v < m} \left( \frac{\omega(-v)}{n_j} \right)^2 (\dim W(n_j)_v + \dim W(n_j)_{n_j-v}).$$

By using (2-23), (3-61), (3-62), and the explicit expressions of $\varepsilon_i$ given in [Liu et al. 2003, (4.56)–(4.57)], we know the functions in the first line of (3-60) are independent on the connected components of $F$ in $M'$.

Now consider the functions in the rest of the lines of (3-60). By (2-30), (3-30),
(3-32), (3-48) and [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 4.5], we get

\[ (3-63) \quad d'(p, \beta_j, N) + \mu_i + \mu_4 \equiv \sum_{0 < m \leq p_{j_2}/2} \sum_{0 < v < n_j/2} \dim N(n_j)_v + \frac{1}{2} \dim_R N(n_j)^R_{n_j/2} \]

\[ + \sum_{v > 0} (\dim N_v) \left[ \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \sum_{v > 0} (\dim W_v) \left[ \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{v < 0} (\dim W_v) \left[ -\frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] + o(N(n_j)^R_{n_j/2}) + \Delta(n_j, W) \mod 2. \]

But, by [Liu et al. 2000, Lemma 4.5], as \( w_2(W \oplus TX)_{S^1} = 0 \), we know that, modulo 2,

\[ (3-64) \quad \sum_{v > 0} (\dim N_v) \left[ \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \sum_{v > 0} (\dim W_v) \left[ \frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{v < 0} (\dim W_v) \left[ -\frac{p_j}{n_j} v + \frac{1}{2} \right] + o(N(n_j)^R_{n_j/2}) + \Delta(n_j, W) \]

is independent on the connected components of \( F \) in \( M' \). Thus, the independence on the connected components of \( F \) in \( M' \) of the functions in the second line of (3-60) is proved, which, combined with [Liu et al. 2000, (4.42)], implies the same independent property in the functions in the third line of (3-60).

By (3-34)–(3-39) and Lemma 3.6, we know that the Dirac operator

\[ D^{X(n_j)} \otimes \mathcal{F}(\beta_j) \otimes F^i_V(\beta_j) \otimes Q_W(\beta_j) \otimes L(\beta_j)i \]

\((i = 1, 2)\) is well-defined on \( M(n_j) \). Observe that (2-12) in Theorem 2.1 is compatible with the \( G_y \)-action. Thus, by using Proposition 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and applying Theorem 2.1 to each connected component of \( M(n_j) \) separately, we deduce that, for \( i = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq J_0, m \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}, h \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau = \tau_{e_1} \) or \( \tau_{s_1} \),

\[ (3-65) \quad \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{d'(p, \beta_{j-1}, N) + \sum_{v > 0} \dim N_v} \operatorname{Ind}_\tau \left( D^{Y_\alpha} \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \right. \]

\[ \left. \otimes \mathcal{F}_{p, j-1}(X) \otimes F^i_V(\beta_j) \otimes Q(W), m + e(p, \beta_{j-1}, N), h \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{\beta} (-1)^{d'(p, \beta_{j-1}, N) + \sum_{v > 0} \dim N_v + \mu} \operatorname{Ind}_\tau \left( D^{X(n_j)} \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}(\beta_j) \right. \]

\[ \left. \otimes F^i_V(\beta_j) \otimes Q_W(\beta_j) \otimes L(\beta_j)i, m + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_W + 2\varepsilon_W^2 + \left( \frac{p_j}{n_j} + (p - 1) \right) h, h \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{d'(p, \beta_j, N) + \sum_{v > 0} \dim N_v} \operatorname{Ind}_\tau \left( D^{Y_\alpha} \otimes (K_W \otimes K_X^{-1})^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{p, j}(X) \right. \]

\[ \left. \otimes F^i_V \otimes Q(W), m + e(p, \beta_j, N), h \right), \]
where $\sum_\beta$ means the sum over all the connected components of $M(n_j)$. In (3-65), if $\tau = \tau_{s1}$, $\mu = \mu_3 + \mu_4$; if $\tau = \tau_{e1}$, $\mu = \mu_i + \mu_4$. Combining (3-55) with (3-65), we get (2-28). The proof of Theorem 2.8 is complete.
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