

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**SOFIC GROUPS: GRAPH PRODUCTS  
AND GRAPHS OF GROUPS**

Laura Ciobanu, Derek F. Holt and Sarah Rees

# SOFC GROUPS: GRAPH PRODUCTS AND GRAPHS OF GROUPS

LAURA CIOBANU, DEREK F. HOLT AND SARAH REES

**We prove that graph products of sofic groups are sofic, as are graphs of groups for which vertex groups are sofic and edge groups are amenable.**

## 1. Introduction

We prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A graph product of sofic groups is sofic.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *The fundamental group of a graph of groups is sofic if each vertex group is sofic and each edge group is amenable.*

[Theorem 1.1](#) generalizes [Theorem 1](#) of [\[Elek and Szabó 2006\]](#), and our proof is based on ideas used in the proof of that theorem. [Theorem 1.2](#) is an extension of the result that free products of sofic groups amalgamated over amenable subgroups are sofic, proved independently in [\[Elek and Szabó 2011, Theorem 1\]](#) and [\[Păunescu 2011, Corollary 2.3\]](#); most of the argument needed to extend the result is already found in [\[Collins and Dykema 2011, Corollary 3.6\]](#).

The term “sofic groups” is attributed to Weiss [\[2000\]](#) and applied to a definition due to Gromov [\[1999\]](#); this is a class of groups which, together with the related class of hyperlinear groups, has inspired much recent study through its connections to a variety of different mathematical areas. A very useful introduction to sofic groups is provided by [\[Pestov 2008\]](#). There are many open questions, including the question of whether all groups are sofic.

A number of quite distinct, but equivalent, definitions exist for sofic groups and are proved equivalent in [\[Pestov 2008\]](#). The definition in [\[Weiss 2000\]](#) for finitely generated groups involves finite subsets of the Cayley graph of the group and is essentially the same as the definition in [\[Gromov 1999\]](#) of the Cayley graph being *initially subamenable*. An alternative and equivalent definition of [\[Pestov 2008\]](#) defines a group to be sofic if it embeds as a subgroup in an ultraproduct of symmetric groups. Another (equivalent) definition, found in [\[Elek and Szabó 2006\]](#), is phrased in terms of quasi-actions. We shall work with a variation of that

---

MSC2010: 20F65, 37B05.

Keywords: sofic, graph products, free and direct products, groups of graphs.

definition, given below as [Definition 1.4](#); we phrase it in terms of (what we call) *special* quasi-actions. That this is equivalent to the definition of [\[Elek and Szabó 2006\]](#) (and hence to the others) follows from Lemma 2.1 of the same paper.

For a finite set  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  be the group of all permutations of  $A$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we say that two elements  $f_1, f_2$  of  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  are  $\epsilon$ -similar if the number of elements  $a \in A$  for which  $f_1(a) \neq f_2(a)$  is at most  $\epsilon|A|$ . Note that for  $\epsilon \geq 1$  this condition is always satisfied.

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose that  $G$  is a group,  $\epsilon > 0$  is a real number and  $F \subseteq G$  is a finite subset of  $G$ . A special  $(F, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action of  $G$  on a finite set  $A$  is a function  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A)$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- (b)  $\phi(g)^{-1} = \phi(g^{-1})$  for all  $g \in G$ .
- (c) For  $g \in F \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $\phi(g)$  has no fixed points.
- (d) For  $g_1, g_2 \in F$  the map  $\phi(g_1g_2)$  is  $\epsilon$ -similar to  $\phi(g_1)\phi(g_2)$ .

For  $a \in A$ ,  $g \in G$ , we write  $a^{\phi(g)}$  for the image of  $a$  under  $\phi(g)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A group  $G$  is *sofic* if, for each number  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and any finite subset  $F \subseteq G$ ,  $G$  admits a special  $(F, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action.

It is immediate from the definition that a group is sofic precisely if every one of its finitely generated subgroups is sofic. We note at this stage also the following elementary result, which will be useful to us later.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $\phi_i$  be special  $(F, \epsilon)$ -quasi-actions of  $G$  on  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ , and define  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A)$  by  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{\phi(g)} = (a_1^{\phi_1(g)}, \dots, a_n^{\phi_n(g)})$ . Then  $\phi$  is a special  $(F, n\epsilon)$ -quasi-action.*

*Proof.* The conditions (a), (b) and (c) of the definition are straightforward to check for  $\phi$ . The equality  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{\phi(g_1)\phi(g_2)} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^{\phi(g_1g_2)}$  holds whenever  $a_i^{\phi_i(g_1)\phi_i(g_2)} = a_i^{\phi_i(g_1g_2)}$  for each  $a_i$ , which is the case for at least  $(1 - \epsilon)^n|A|$  elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$ . The result now follows since  $(1 - \epsilon)^n \geq 1 - n\epsilon$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

This article contains two additional sections; [Section 2](#) contains the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Section 3](#) the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).

## 2. Proof of the graph product theorem

Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple graph and, for each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ , let  $G_v$  be a group. The graph product of the groups  $G_v$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  is defined to be the quotient of their free product by the normal closure of the relators  $[g_v, g_w]$  for all  $g_v \in G_v, g_w \in G_w$  for which  $\{v, w\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$ . Graph products were introduced by Green [\[1990\]](#) in her Ph.D. thesis, and their basic properties are established there. For a graph product

of vertex groups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  with respect to a finite graph  $\Gamma$  with vertices  $1, \dots, n$ , and for  $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we define  $G_J := \langle G_j \mid j \in J \rangle$ . By [loc. cit., Proposition 3.31],  $G_J$  is isomorphic to the graph product of the groups  $G_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) on the full subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $J$ . Note that  $G_\emptyset$  is the trivial group.

Green only considered graph products of finitely many vertex groups, but the definition applies equally well to graphs with infinite vertex sets  $I$ . Since any relation in a group is a consequence of finitely many defining relations, the property that, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , the group  $G_J$  is isomorphic to the graph product of  $G_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) on the full subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $J$ , extends to graph products with infinitely many vertex groups. Hence, since a group is sofic if and only if all of its finitely generated subgroups are sofic, it suffices to prove [Theorem 1.1](#) for graph products of finitely many groups, so we shall assume from now on that the graph  $\Gamma$  is finite.

Any nonidentity element in a graph product can be written as a product  $g_1 \cdots g_l$  for some  $l > 1$ , where each  $g_i$  is a nontrivial element of a vertex group  $G_{j_i}$ . By [Green 1990, Theorem 3.9], we can get from any such expression of minimal length to any other by swapping the order in the expression of elements  $g_i, g_{i+1}$  from commuting vertex groups. Hence every minimal length expression for an element  $g$  has the same length  $l$ , which we call the *syllable length* of  $g$ , and involves the same set  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l\}$  of vertex group elements with the same multiplicities, the *syllables* of  $g$ . Whenever  $g_1 \cdots g_l$  is a minimal length expression for  $g$ , we call each product  $g_1 \cdots g_i$  a *left divisor* of  $g$  and each product  $g_{i+1} \cdots g_n$  a *right divisor* of  $g$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

We also note that, for any finite subset of a graph product of groups  $G_i$ , there is a bound  $N$  on the syllable lengths of its elements, and there are finite subsets  $F_i$  of the vertex groups  $G_i$  that contain all the syllables of those elements. Hence [Theorem 1.1](#) follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *There is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with the following property. Let  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  be sofic groups and  $G$  be their graph product with respect to a finite graph  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $F_i$  be a finite subset of  $G_i$ , let  $A_i$  be a finite set, and suppose that  $\psi_i : G_i \rightarrow S(A_i)$  is a special  $(F_i, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action of  $G_i$  on  $A_i$ .*

*Then, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G$  has a special  $(F, f(n)\epsilon)$ -quasi-action  $\phi$  on a finite set  $C$ , where  $F$  is the set of elements of  $G$  of syllable length at most  $N$  for which each syllable is in some  $F_i$ , such that the following additional properties hold:*

- (1) *whenever  $x, y$  are in distinct vertex groups,  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ ;*
- (2)  *$C$  admits equivalence relations  $\sim_1, \dots, \sim_n$  such that, for each  $c \in C, g \in F$  and  $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$c^{\phi(g)} \sim_J c \iff g \in G_J$$

*(where  $\sim_J$  is the join of those equivalence relations  $\sim_j$  for which  $j \in J$ ).*

Note, by definition,  $a \sim_J b$  if and only if there is a sequence  $a = c_1, \dots, c_m = b$  of elements with  $c_i \sim_{j_i} c_{i+1}$  for some  $j_i \in J$ . In particular,  $x \sim_{\emptyset} y \iff x = y$ .

Note that the conditions (1) and (2) imposed on the special quasi-action  $\phi$  are necessary for the inductive proof of the proposition, rather than to deduce the theorem. Condition (1) ensures in particular that  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(y)\phi(x)$  whenever  $x, y$  are from commuting vertex groups.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Suppose first that  $n = 1$ . Then  $G = G_1$  and  $F = F_1$  (for any value of  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We put  $F := F_1$  and  $C := A_1$ , and define the equivalence relation  $\sim_1$  by  $c \sim_1 d$  for all  $c, d \in C$ . Then  $\phi$  is a special  $(F, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action on  $C$ , and the additional property (1) holds vacuously. To see that the additional property (2) also holds, note that there are only two possibilities for  $J$ :  $J = \{1\}$  and  $J = \emptyset$ . If  $J = \{1\}$ , then  $G = G_J$ , so the left- and right-hand sides of the equivalence in (2) are true for all  $g \in G$ . If  $J = \emptyset$  then, by the definition of a special  $(F, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action, both the left and right hand sides of the equivalence are true if and only if  $g = 1$ . So the property (2) holds, and the statement of the proposition is true with  $f(n) = 1$ .

Now we proceed to prove the inductive step. We shall prove that the result holds with  $f(n) = n(f(n-1) + 1)$ .

Write  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and for each  $k \in I$ , let  $I_k = I \setminus \{k\}$ . For each  $k \in I$ , let  $H_k := G_{I_k}$  be the subgroup of  $G$  that is the graph product of the groups  $G_i$  for  $i \neq k$  with respect to the appropriate subgraph of  $\Gamma$ . By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that, for  $\epsilon' := f(n-1)\epsilon$  and  $F_{H_k} := F \cap H_k$ , the subgroup  $H_k$  has a special  $(F_{H_k}, \epsilon')$ -quasi-action  $\theta_k$  on a set  $D_k$  admitting equivalence relations  $\simeq_i^k$  for each  $i \neq k$ , such that

- (1)  $\theta_k(xy) = \theta_k(x)\theta_k(y)$  for  $x, y$  in distinct vertex groups of  $H_k$ ; and
- (2) for  $d \in D_k, h \in F_{H_k}$ , and  $J \subseteq I_k$ , we have  $d^{\theta_k(h)} \simeq_J^k d \iff h \in G_J$ .

For each  $k \in I$ , we shall build a set  $C_k$  related to  $D_k$ , admitting equivalence relations  $\sim_i^k$  for each  $i \in I$ , and then construct a special quasi-action  $\phi_k$  of  $G$  on  $C_k$  that satisfies (1) and more. We shall then construct  $\phi$  and the equivalence relations  $\sim_1, \dots, \sim_n$  on the set  $C := C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_n$  in terms of the special quasi-actions  $\phi_k$  and the equivalence relations  $\sim_i^k$  using [Lemma 1.5](#).

For  $k \in I$ , let  $L_k \subseteq I_k$  be the set of vertices joined in  $\Gamma$  to  $k$ . Let  $\simeq_{L_k}$  be the join of the equivalence relations  $\simeq_i^k$  for  $i \in L_k$ , and let  $\pi_k$  be the projection from  $D_k$  to its set of equivalence classes under  $\simeq_{L_k}$  (for which the image of  $d \in D_k$  is its equivalence class).

Now, using ideas from [\[Elek and Szabó 2006, Theorem 1\]](#), we choose a finite group  $V_k$ , with generating set  $\pi_k(D_k) \times A_k$ , for which all relators among the generators have length greater than  $N$ , and we let the  $C_k := D_k \times A_k \times V_k$ .

We define equivalence relations  $\sim_i^k$  on  $C_k$  for  $i \neq k$  by the rules

$$(d, a, v) \sim_i^k (d', a', v') \iff d \simeq_i^k d', a = a', v = v'.$$

Then we define  $\sim_k^k$  on  $C_k$  by specifying its equivalence classes: for  $d \in D_k, v \in V_k$ , the class  $\alpha_k(d, v)$  is the subset  $\{(d, a, v \circ (\pi_k(d), a)) : a \in A_k\}$  of  $C_k$ . Multiplication  $\circ$  within the third component is the group multiplication of  $V_k$ .

We define a special quasi-action  $\phi_k$  of  $G$  on  $C_k$  as a composite of natural extensions to  $C_k$  of the special quasi-actions  $\theta_k, \psi_k$  of  $H_k$  and  $G_k$  on  $D_k, A_k$ .

For  $h \in H_k$ , we define

$$(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(h)} = (d^{\theta_k(h)}, a, v).$$

Then, for  $g \in G_k$ , we define

$$(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} = (d, a^{\psi_k(g)}, v \circ (\pi_k(d), a)^{-1} \circ (\pi_k(d), a^{\psi_k(g)})).$$

Now it follows, essentially from [Green 1990, Lemma 3.20], that each element  $g \in G$  has a unique expression as a product  $g = x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m$ , with each  $x_i \in H_k$ , each  $y_i \in G_k$ ,  $x_i$  nontrivial for  $i > 1$ ,  $y_i$  nontrivial for  $i < m$ , and such that, for  $i > 1$ ,  $x_i$  has no nontrivial left divisor in the subgroup  $G_{L_k}$ ; we call this expression the *normal form* for  $g$  (with respect to  $k$ ). We note that the  $y_i$  are syllables, the  $x_i$  are products of syllables and the number of terms is at most the syllable length of  $g$ . We use that expression for  $g$  to extend to  $G$  the definitions of  $\phi_k$  on  $H_k$  and  $G_k$ ; that is,  $\phi_k(g) := \phi_k(x_1)\phi_k(y_1) \cdots \phi_k(x_m)\phi_k(y_m)$  for  $g \in G$ .

We need now the following lemma, whose proof we defer.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\epsilon'' := (nf(n-1) + 1)\epsilon$ . Then, for each  $k$ , the map  $\phi_k$  is a special  $(F, \epsilon'')$ -quasi-action of  $G$  on  $C_k$ , such that*

- (1) *whenever  $x, y$  are in distinct vertex groups,  $\phi_k(xy) = \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y)$ ;*
- (2') *for each  $c \in C_k, g \in F$ , we have  $g \in G_J \Rightarrow c^{\phi_k(g)} \sim_J^k c$  for all  $J \subseteq I$ , and  $c^{\phi_k(g)} \sim_J^k c \Rightarrow g \in G_J$  for all  $J \subseteq I_k$ .*

Let  $C := C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n$ . Now we define a map  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(C)$  by  $(c_1, \dots, c_n)^{\phi(g)} = (c_1^{\phi_1(g)}, \dots, c_n^{\phi_n(g)})$ . It follows from Lemma 1.5 that this is a  $(F, f(n)\epsilon)$ -quasi-action with  $f(n) = n(nf(n-1) + 1)$ . Condition (1) of the proposition is inherited from the maps  $\phi_k$ .

We define equivalence relations  $\sim_1, \dots, \sim_n$  on  $C$  by  $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \sim_j (c'_1, \dots, c'_n)$  if and only if  $c_k \sim_j^k c'_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We need now to verify condition (2).

Let  $J \subseteq I$ . The fact that  $g \in G_J$  implies that  $c^{\phi(g)} \sim_J c$  for all  $c \in C$  is inherited from the maps  $\phi_k$ . If  $J = I$ , then  $G = G_J$  and the converse statement is immediate. Otherwise we have  $J \subseteq I_k$  for some  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . If  $g \notin G_J$  and  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C$ , then  $c_k^{\phi_k(g)} \not\sim_J^k c_k$  and hence  $c^{\phi(g)} \not\sim_J c$ .

So the proof of the proposition will be complete once we prove Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2:* Note that since  $\theta_k$  is a special  $(F_{H_k}, \epsilon')$ -quasi-action for  $H_k$ , it is clear that the restriction of  $\phi_k$  to  $H_k$  is as well. Certainly that restriction preserves each of the  $\sim_i^k$  equivalence classes with  $i \neq k$ . Since  $\psi_k$  is a special  $(F \cap G_k, \epsilon)$ -quasi-action for  $G_k$ , it is clear that the restriction of  $\phi_k$  to  $G_k$  is as well. That restriction preserves the  $\sim_k^k$  equivalence classes, since both  $(d, a, v)$  and  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)}$  are in  $\alpha_k(d, v \circ (\pi_k(d), a)^{-1})$ .

The equation  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(1_G)} = (d, a, v)$  follows immediately from  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(h)} = (d^{\theta_k(h)}, a, v)$  for  $h \in H_k$ , and hence condition (a) of Definition 1.3 is verified for  $\phi_k$ .

We shall verify the remaining conditions in the order (c), (1), (b), (d), (2').

First we introduce some notation. We need to consider  $\phi_k(g)$  for a general element  $g$  in the graph product, written in normal form as  $x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , we write  $\Theta_k(x, i)$  for the product  $\theta_k(x_1) \cdots \theta_k(x_i)$  and  $\Psi_k(y, i)$  for the product  $\psi_k(y_1) \cdots \psi_k(y_i)$ , where  $\Theta_k(x, 0) = \Psi_k(y, 0) = 1$ .

We see then that

$$(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} = (d, a, v)^{\phi_k(x_1)\phi_k(y_1)\cdots\phi_k(x_m)\phi_k(y_m)} = (d^{\Theta_k(x, m)}, a^{\Psi_k(y, m)}, v \circ u),$$

where

$$u = \prod_{i=1}^m (\pi_k(d^{\Theta_k(x, i)}), a^{\Psi_k(y, i-1)})^{-1} \circ (\pi_k(d^{\Theta_k(x, i)}), a^{\Psi_k(y, i)}),$$

unless  $y_m$  is the identity, in which case the product for  $u$  is from  $i = 1$  to  $m - 1$ .

Our next step is to establish condition (c) of Definition 1.3 for  $\phi_k$ . Let  $g$  be a nontrivial element of  $F$  with normal form  $x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m$ . Then  $2m \leq N$ , and  $x_i \in F_{H_k}$  and  $y_i \in F_k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Suppose first that  $u$ , in the above expression, is not the empty word. Since  $\psi_k$  is a special quasi-action, condition (c) for  $\psi_k$  implies that  $a^{\Psi_k(y, i-1)} \neq a^{\Psi_k(y, i)}$  for each  $i$ . Since  $x_{i+1} \notin G_{L_k}$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $\theta_k(x_{i+1})$  cannot map any element of  $D_k$  to an element in the same  $\simeq_{L_k}$  equivalence class, and hence  $\pi_k(d^{\Theta_k(x, i)}) \neq \pi_k(d^{\Theta_k(x, i+1)})$ . Thus no generator in the word of length  $2m$  representing  $u$  can freely cancel with the generator either before it or after it. The fact that  $V$  admits no short relators now ensures that  $u$  is nontrivial. In that case certainly  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} \neq (d, a, v)$ .

Now suppose that  $u$  is empty. Then  $m = 1$ ,  $y_1$  is trivial and  $g = x_1$ . Since  $1 \neq g$ , we have  $d^{\theta_k(x_1)} \neq d$  and again we have  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} = (d^{\theta_k(x_1)}, a, v) \neq (d, a, v)$ .

Hence we have shown that the map  $\phi_k$  from  $G$  to  $\mathcal{S}(C_k)$  allows no nonidentity element of length less than  $N$  in  $F$  to fix any element of  $C_k$ , and so condition (c) is verified for  $\phi_k$ .

In order to establish condition (1) of the lemma for  $\phi_k$ , we suppose first that  $x \in G_{L_k}$  and  $y \in G_k$ . By definition,  $\phi_k(xy) = \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y)$ , and

$$(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(x)\phi_k(y)} = (d^{\theta_k(x)}, a^{\psi_k(y)}, v \circ (\pi_k(d^{\theta_k(x)}), a)^{-1} \circ (\pi_k(d^{\theta_k(x)}), a^{\psi_k(y)})),$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} (d, a, v)^{\phi_k(y)\phi_k(x)} &= (d, a^{\psi_k(y)}, v \circ (\pi_k(d), a)^{-1}) \circ (\pi_k(d), a^{\psi_k(y)})^{\phi_k(x)} \\ &= (d^{\theta_k(x)}, a^{\psi_k(y)}, v \circ (\pi_k(d), a)^{-1} \circ (\pi_k(d), a^{\psi_k(y)})). \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $d \simeq_{L_k} d^{\theta_k(x)}$ , we have  $\pi_k(d) = \pi_k(d^{\theta_k(x)})$ , and so

$$(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(x)\phi_k(y)} = (d, a, v)^{\phi_k(y)\phi_k(x)};$$

that is, for  $x \in G_{L_k}$ ,  $y \in G_k$ , we have  $\phi_k(xy) = \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y) = \phi_k(y)\phi_k(x)$ .

Now suppose that  $x, y$  are in distinct vertex groups  $G_i, G_j$ . If  $i, j \neq k$  then condition (1) follows immediately by induction applied to  $G_k$ . If  $j = k$ , or if  $i = k$  and  $G_i, G_j$  do not commute, then  $xy$  is in normal form, and condition (1) follows from the definition of  $\phi_k$ . Finally if  $i = k$  and  $G_i, G_j$  commute, then  $x \in G_k$ ,  $y \in G_{L_k}$ , and we can deduce condition (1) for  $\phi_k$  from the result above.

Next suppose that  $g = x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m \in G$ , where the expression is in normal form. We compare  $\phi_k(g)^{-1}$  and  $\phi_k(g^{-1})$ . We have  $g^{-1} = y_m^{-1} x_m^{-1} \cdots y_1^{-1} x_1^{-1}$ . The expression for  $g^{-1}$  need not be in normal form because some of the  $x_i^{-1}$  could have left divisors in  $G_{L_k}$ , but we can put it into normal form by splitting any such  $x_i^{-1}$  into syllables and then applying commuting relations to move left divisors of  $x_i^{-1}$  in  $G_{L_k}$  past  $y_i^{-1}$ . By the results of the preceding two paragraphs, if we apply the corresponding transformations to  $\phi_k(y_m^{-1})\phi_k(x_m^{-1}) \cdots \phi_k(y_1^{-1})\phi_k(x_1^{-1})$ , then we do not change the resulting permutation. Hence  $\phi_k(g^{-1}) = \phi_k(y_m^{-1})\phi_k(x_m^{-1}) \cdots \phi_k(y_1^{-1})\phi_k(x_1^{-1})$ . It follows from condition (b) of Definition 1.3 that  $\phi_k(y_i^{-1})$  is inverse to  $\phi_k(y_i)$  and from the induction hypothesis on  $H_k$  that  $\phi_k(x_i^{-1})$  is inverse to  $\phi_k(x_i)$ . Hence  $\phi_k(g^{-1}) = \phi_k(g)^{-1}$ , which verifies condition (b) for  $\phi_k$ .

We proceed now to verify condition (d) of Definition 1.3 for  $\phi_k$ , that is, to show that  $\phi_k(g_1 g_2)$  is  $\epsilon''$ -similar to  $\phi_k(g_1)\phi_k(g_2)$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in F$ . Let  $g_1 = x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m$ ,  $g_2 = x'_1 y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p$  be the normal forms of  $g_1, g_2 \in F$ . In the following discussion, we refer to an element of  $H_k$  or of  $G_k$  as a *block*, and to a product of blocks as an *expression*. The normal form for  $g_1 g_2$  is derived from the concatenation  $x_1 y_1 \cdots x_m y_m x'_1 y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p$  by a sequence of moves, each of which is one of four types:

- (a) deletion of a block that is equal to the identity;
- (b) cancellation (that is, merger of two adjacent mutually inverse blocks that are either both in  $H_k$  or both in  $G_k$ );
- (c) expression of a block in  $H_k$  as a product of a left divisor in  $G_{L_k}$  and a right divisor, and moving the left divisor to the left, past a block in  $G_k$ ;
- (d) merger of two adjacent blocks that are either both in  $H_k$  or both in  $G_k$ , and whose product is not the identity, to give a new block from that same subgroup.



Note that in (c) the left and right divisors of a block in  $H_k$  are simply subblocks whose concatenation is a permutation of the original block; that is, the (multi)set of syllables of the block in  $H_k$  is the union of the (multi)sets of syllables of those left and right divisors. By contrast, a move of type (d) will normally change the (multi)set of syllables in an expression. Starting with the permutation

$$\phi_k(x_1)\phi_k(y_1) \cdots \phi_k(x_m)\phi_k(y_m)\phi_k(x'_1)\phi_k(y'_1) \cdots \phi_k(x'_p)\phi_k(y'_p),$$

we study the sequence of composites of permutations of  $C_k$  defined by the various expressions that arise when we apply the corresponding operations to this expression of images during this rewrite process and keep track of the proportion of elements of  $C_k$  on which they differ. We note that, as a consequence of what we have proved so far, two expressions that differ only on moves of types (a), (b) and (c) correspond to composites of permutations that have the same effect on all points of  $C_k$ . Hence we only need to concern ourselves with moves of type (d).

Suppose that a move converts an expression  $w$  to an expression  $w'$ . Let  $\sigma, \sigma'$  be the permutations corresponding to the two expressions. If the move merges two blocks from  $G_k$ , then the permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  differ on the same proportion of elements of  $C_k$  as do permutations for the quasi-action of  $G_k$  on the set  $A_k$ , that is, on at most  $\epsilon|C_k|$  of the elements, by the hypothesis.

If the move merges two blocks from  $H_k$ , then the permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  differ on the same proportion of elements of  $C_k$  as do permutations for the quasi-action of  $H_k$  on the set  $D_k$ , that is, on at most  $f(n-1)\epsilon|C_k|$  of the elements by the induction hypothesis. Notice however that if the two blocks  $z_1, z_2$  being merged are left and right divisors of  $z_1z_2$  (or, equivalently, if the syllable length of  $z_1z_2$  is the sum of the syllable lengths of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ ), then our induction hypothesis on  $H_k$  ensures that  $\phi_k(z_1z_2) = \phi_k(z_1)\phi_k(z_2)$ . We shall call such mergers *nonreducing*, and other mergers, for which this equality is not guaranteed to hold, *reducing*. Condition (d) of Definition 1.3 can now be established by applying the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *During the rewrite process, we perform at most  $n$  reducing mergers of blocks of  $H_k$  and at most one reducing merger of blocks of  $G_k$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $m, p > 0$  (since otherwise one of  $g_1, g_2$  is the identity) and split the proof into three cases (1)  $1 \neq y_m$  and  $x'_1 \notin G_{L_k}$ ; (2)  $y_m = 1$ ; and (3)  $1 \neq y_m$  and  $x'_1 \notin G_{L_k}$ .

We deal with Case 1 first, proving by induction on  $m$  that in this case the product can be rewritten using at most  $|L_k|$  mergers, all of which are within  $H_k$ . Using that result we deal with the remaining two cases together, also using induction on  $m$ .

Case 1:  $1 \neq y_m$  and  $x'_1 \notin G_{L_k}$ . Let  $x'_1 = z_1z_2$ , where  $z_1$  is the longest left divisor of  $x'_1$  in  $G_{L_k}$ . Suppose that  $z_1 \in G_{L'}$  for some  $L' \subseteq L_k$ . We prove by induction

on  $m$  that this product can be rewritten using at most  $|L'|$  ( $\leq |L_k|$ )  $H_k$ -mergers and no  $G_k$ -mergers.

If  $m = 1$  then there can be at most one  $H_k$ -merger  $x_1 z_1$ , so the result is clear. So suppose that  $m > 1$ ; then  $y_{m-1} \neq 1$ , and  $x_m$  is nontrivial with no left divisor in  $G_L$ . If  $z_1$  commutes with  $x_m$ , then the claim follows by induction applied to the product  $(x_1 y_1 \cdots x_{m-1} y_{m-1})(z_1 x_m y_m z_2 y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p)$ . Otherwise, we can write  $z_1 = z_{11} z_{12}$ , where  $z_{11}$  (which may be trivial) is the longest left divisor of  $z_1$  that commutes with  $x_m$ . So  $z_{11} \in G_{L''}$  with  $|L''| < |L'|$ . We can then perform the rewriting by performing an  $H_k$ -merger  $x_m z_{12}$  (if necessary) and, by induction, at most  $|L''|$  further  $H_k$ -mergers resulting from moving  $z_{11}$  further to the left. This completes the proof of the claim and of the lemma in Case 1.

So now we may assume that  $m, p > 0$ , and that we are in Case 2 or 3.

Case 2:  $y_m = 1$ . If  $m = 1$ , then there is at most one  $H_k$ -merger  $x_1 x'_1$ , and the result holds. So suppose that  $m > 1$  and hence that  $y_{m-1} \neq 1$ , and  $x_m$  is nontrivial with no left divisor in  $G_{L_k}$ .

If  $x_m x'_1 \notin G_{L_k}$ , then we perform an  $H_k$ -merger (if necessary) on  $x_m x'_1$ , and now observe that the product  $(x_1 y_1 \cdots x_{m-1} y_{m-1})(x_m x'_1 y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p)$  satisfies the conditions of Case 1 and thus can be rewritten using at most  $|L_k|$  further  $H_k$ -mergers and no  $G_k$ -mergers. So in this case too, the lemma is proved.

If  $x_m x'_1 \in G_{L_k}$  then, since  $x_m$  has no left divisor in  $G_{L_k}$ , the product  $x_m x'_1$  can be evaluated by writing  $x_m$  and  $x'_1$  as products of syllables and then performing commuting and cancellation moves only so we can rewrite  $x_m x'_1$  as  $z \in G_{L_k}$ , without performing any mergers, to arrive at the product

$$(x_1 y_1 \cdots x_{m-1} y_{m-1})(z y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p),$$

which satisfies the conditions of Case 3 for  $m - 1$ . The lemma now follows by induction applied to that product.

Case 3:  $1 \neq y_m$  and  $x'_1 \in G_{L_k}$ . If  $y_m y'_1 \neq 1$ , we perform the  $G_k$ -merger  $y_m y'_1$  and the  $H_k$ -merger  $x_m x'_1$  (which cannot be in  $G_{L_k}$  since  $x_m \notin G_{L_k}$ ,  $x'_1 \in G_{L_k}$ ). Then we apply the result of Case 1 to the product  $(x_1 y_1 \cdots x_{m-1} y_{m-1})(x_m x'_1 y_m y'_1 \cdots x'_p y'_p)$ , and the proof is complete.

If  $y_m y'_1 = 1$  then the result is clear if  $p = 1$  and otherwise, since  $x'_2$  has no left divisor in  $G_{L_k}$ , the merger  $x'_1 x'_2$  is nonreducing, so the result follows by applying Case 2 to the product  $(x_1 y_1 \cdots x_{m-1} y_{m-1} x_m)(x'_1 x'_2 y'_2 \cdots x'_p y'_p)$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof of condition (d), and hence we see that  $\phi_k$  is a special  $(F, \epsilon'')$ -quasi-action, with  $\epsilon'' = (nf(n-1) + 1)\epsilon$ .

It remains to verify condition (2'). We have shown already that, for each  $i \in I$ , the action of  $\phi_k(G_i)$  on  $C$  preserves each of the  $\sim_i^k$ -equivalence classes, from which it follows immediately that  $g \in G_J$  with  $J \subseteq I$  implies  $c^{\phi_k(g)} \sim_J^k c$ .

Now suppose that  $J \subseteq I_k$ ,  $c = (d, a, v) \in C_k$ ,  $g \in F$ , and that  $c^{\phi_k(g)} \sim_J^k c$ . Since  $k \notin J$ , it is immediate from the definition of  $\sim_j^k$  for  $j \in J$  that

$$(d, a, v) \sim_J^k (d', a', v') \iff d \simeq_J^k d', a = a', v = v'.$$

Now, arguing as in our earlier proof of condition (c) of [Definition 1.3](#) for  $\phi_k$  that  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} \neq (d, a, v)$  for  $1 \neq g \in F$ , we find that, for  $g \in F$ , we have  $(d, a, v)^{\phi_k(g)} \sim_J^k (d, a, v)$  if and only if  $g \in H_k$  and  $d^{\theta_k(g)} \simeq_J^k d$ . By our inductive hypothesis, this is true if and only if  $g \in G_J$ . Hence condition (2') holds.  $\square$

### 3. Graphs of groups

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.2](#). We start by recalling the definition of a graph of groups, which arises from the work of Bass and Serre (see [[Serre 1977](#)]).

**Definition 3.1.** A graph of groups  $\mathcal{G}$  consists of

- (1) a connected graph  $\Gamma$  (in which loops are allowed, but no multiple edges), with vertex set  $V$ , edge set  $E$ ,
- (2) a collection of *vertex groups*  $G_v : v \in V$  and *edge groups*  $G_e : e \in E$ ,
- (3) monomorphisms  $\theta_e^1 : G_e \rightarrow G_{v_1}$  and  $\theta_e^2 : G_e \rightarrow G_{v_2}$  for each edge  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$ .

The *fundamental group*  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  of a graph of groups  $\mathcal{G}$  can be defined in various different (but equivalent) ways. The following definition is essentially [[Dicks and Dunwoody 1989](#), Definition I.3.4]. The definition is given in terms of a selected spanning tree  $T$  of  $\Gamma$ , but (up to isomorphism) the resulting group is independent of this choice. The associated fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, T)$  is then the group generated by the groups  $G_v : v \in V$  together with generators  $t_e$ , one for each (oriented) edge  $e$  in  $E$ , given the following relations:

- (1) all the relations of the groups  $G_v$ ,
- (2)  $t_e^{-1} \theta_e^1(g) t_e = \theta_e^2(g)$  for each  $e \in E$ ,  $g \in G_e$ ,
- (3)  $t_e = 1$  for each edge  $e$  of  $T$ .

From this description it is not hard to see that  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, T)$  is isomorphic to a multiple HNN extension, with stable letters  $t_e$  for  $e \notin E(T)$ , of the amalgamated product of the groups  $G_v$  in which  $\theta_e^1(g)$  and  $\theta_e^2(g)$  are identified for all  $e \in E(T)$ ,  $g \in G_e$ . Independent results of Elek and Szabó [[2011](#), Theorem 1] and Păunescu [[2011](#), Corollary 2.3] already prove that the amalgamated product of two sofic groups over an amenable subgroup is sofic. Hence [Theorem 1.2](#) follows immediately by combining that result with the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** *An HNN extension of a sofic group  $H$  over an amenable subgroup  $K$  is sofic.*

We deduce [Proposition 3.2](#) as a corollary of the amalgamated product result. We note that the argument to do this was already provided by Collins and Dykema [\[2011\]](#) in order to deduce [Corollary 3.6](#) from their [Theorem 3.4](#), that is to deduce the same result as above in the situation where the associated subgroups (in both amalgamated products and HNN extensions) are monotileably amenable. This argument goes through without any modification, when monotileability of the associated subgroup is dropped, to deduce the proposition from the results of [\[Elek and Szabó 2011; Păunescu 2011\]](#), but we include the argument here for completeness.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an HNN extension of  $H$  over  $K$ , as in the proposition, and let  $L$  be the subgroup  $t^{-1}Kt$ . Define  $H_i = t^{-i}Ht^i$ ,  $K_i = t^{-i}Kt^i$ ,  $L_i = t^{-i}Lt^i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and define  $S := \langle H_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ . Then  $G$  can be expressed as an extension of  $S$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is amenable, and by [\[Elek and Szabó 2006, Theorem 1\(3\)\]](#) an extension of a sofic group by an amenable group is sofic, in order to prove  $G$  is sofic it is enough to prove  $S$  is sofic.

Now  $S$  can be expressed as an iterated amalgamated product of the (countably many)  $H_i$  with amalgamation over subgroups isomorphic to  $K$ . More precisely,  $S$  is the fundamental group of the graph of groups  $\mathcal{H}$  associated with the graph of the integers, where  $H_i$  is the vertex group of the vertex  $i$ , each edge group is isomorphic to  $K$ , and the copy of  $K$  associated with edge  $\{i, i + 1\}$  maps to the subgroup  $L_i$  of  $H_i$  and the subgroup  $K_{i+1}$  of  $H_{i+1}$ . Here is a diagram of  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\cdots H_{i-1} \xrightarrow{L_{i-1} \hookrightarrow K_i} H_i \xrightarrow{L_i \hookrightarrow K_{i+1}} H_{i+1} \xrightarrow{L_{i+1} \hookrightarrow K_{i+2}} H_{i+2} \cdots$$

To prove  $S$  is sofic we now need to verify soficity for each of its finitely generated subgroups. So let  $M$  be such a subgroup. Then, for some  $k, l$ , all the generators of  $M$  are within vertex subgroups  $H_i$  for  $k \leq i \leq l$ ; that is,  $M$  is a subgroup of the amalgamated product  $H_j *_{L_j=K_{j+1}} H_{j+1} *_{L_{j+1}=K_{j+2}} \cdots *_{L_{l-1}=K_l} H_l$ . Since this is sofic, by [\[Elek and Szabó 2011; Păunescu 2011\]](#), so is  $M$ . □

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for their careful reading of the paper and for pointing out some significant technical errors in the original version. All three authors were partially supported by the Marie Curie Reintegration Grant 230889. Ciobanu was also supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grants Ambizione PZ00P-136897/1 and Professorship FN PP00P2-144681/1.

### References

[Collins and Dykema 2011] B. Collins and K. J. Dykema, “Free products of sofic groups with amalgamation over monotileably amenable groups”, *Münster J. Math.* **4** (2011), 101–117. MR 2869256 Zbl 1242.43003

- [Dicks and Dunwoody 1989] W. Dicks and M. J. Dunwoody, *Groups acting on graphs*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **17**, Cambridge University Press, 1989. [MR 91b:20001](#) [Zbl 0665.20001](#)
- [Elek and Szabó 2006] G. Elek and E. Szabó, “On sofic groups”, *J. Group Theory* **9**:2 (2006), 161–171. [MR 2007a:20037](#) [Zbl 1153.20040](#)
- [Elek and Szabó 2011] G. Elek and E. Szabó, “Sofic representations of amenable groups”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**:12 (2011), 4285–4291. [MR 2012j:20127](#) [Zbl 1263.43001](#)
- [Green 1990] E. R. Green, *Graph products of groups*, thesis, University of Leeds, 1990, Available at <http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/236>.
- [Gromov 1999] M. Gromov, “Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **1**:2 (1999), 109–197. [MR 2000f:14003](#) [Zbl 0998.14001](#)
- [Păunescu 2011] L. Păunescu, “On sofic actions and equivalence relations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261**:9 (2011), 2461–2485. [MR 2012j:46089](#) [Zbl 1271.46051](#) [arXiv 1002.0605](#)
- [Pestov 2008] V. G. Pestov, “Hyperlinear and sofic groups: a brief guide”, *Bull. Symbolic Logic* **14**:4 (2008), 449–480. [MR 2009k:20103](#) [Zbl 1206.20048](#)
- [Serre 1977] J.-P. Serre, *Arbres, amalgames,  $SL_2$* , Astérisque **46**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977. Translated as *Trees*, Springer, Berlin, 2003. [MR 57 #16426](#) [Zbl 0369.20013](#)
- [Weiss 2000] B. Weiss, “Sofic groups and dynamical systems”, *Sankhyā Ser. A* **62**:3 (2000), 350–359. [MR 2001j:37022](#) [Zbl 1148.37302](#)

Received December 12, 2012. Revised November 14, 2013.

LAURA CIOBANU  
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT  
UNIVERSITY OF NEUCHÂTEL  
RUE EMILE-ARGAND 11  
CH-2000 NEUCHÂTEL  
SWITZERLAND  
[laura.ciobanu@unine.ch](mailto:laura.ciobanu@unine.ch)

DEREK F. HOLT  
MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE  
UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK  
COVENTRY  
CV4 7AL  
UNITED KINGDOM  
[D.F.Holt@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:D.F.Holt@warwick.ac.uk)

SARAH REES  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE  
NEWCASTLE  
NE1 7RU  
UNITED KINGDOM  
[Sarah.Rees@newcastle.ac.uk](mailto:Sarah.Rees@newcastle.ac.uk)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2014 is US \$410/year for the electronic version, and \$535/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 271    No. 1    September 2014

---

Proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains revisited	1
GAUTAM BHARALI and JAIKRISHNAN JANARDHANAN	
An explicit Majorana representation of the group $3^2:2$ of $3C$ -pure type	25
HSIAN-YANG CHEN and CHING HUNG LAM	
Sofic groups: graph products and graphs of groups	53
LAURA CIOBANU, DEREK F. HOLT and SARAH REES	
Perturbations of a critical fractional equation	65
EDUARDO COLORADO, ARTURO DE PABLO and URKO SÁNCHEZ	
A density theorem in parametrized differential Galois theory	87
THOMAS DREYFUS	
On the classification of complete area-stationary and stable surfaces in the subriemannian Sol manifold	143
MATTEO GALLI	
Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian systems linear and hyperbolic at infinity	159
BAŞAK Z. GÜREL	
Nonsplittability of the rational homology cobordism group of 3-manifolds	183
SE-GOO KIM and CHARLES LIVINGSTON	
Biharmonic surfaces of constant mean curvature	213
ERIC LOUBEAU and CEZAR ONICIUC	
Foliations of a smooth metric measure space by hypersurfaces with constant $f$ -mean curvature	231
JUNCHEOL PYO	
On the existence of large degree Galois representations for fields of small discriminant	243
JEREMY ROUSE and FRANK THORNE	