

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

ON A PRIME ZETA FUNCTION OF A GRAPH

TAKEHIRO HASEGAWA AND SEIKEN SAITO

Volume 273 No. 1

January 2015

ON A PRIME ZETA FUNCTION OF A GRAPH

TAKEHIRO HASEGAWA AND SEIKEN SAITO

In the first half of this paper, we introduce a prime zeta function associated with the Ihara zeta function, and study several properties of this function. In the last half, using results of the first half, we present graph-theoretic analogues to Mertens' theorems.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use the notation of [Stark and Terras 1996; Terras 2011] for graph theory and the theory of (Ihara) zeta functions $Z_X(u)$ of graphs, and the notation of [Hardy and Wright 2008] and [Titchmarsh 1958; 1986] for the theory of functions and the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.

In the analytic theory of the Riemann zeta function, the following theorems are well-known:

- Mertens' first theorem [1874, Equality (5)] (also see [Hardy and Wright 2008, Theorem 425], [Jameson 2003, Theorem 2.6.3], and [Titchmarsh 1986, Equality (3.14.3)]): as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1).$$

- Mertens' second theorem [1874, Equality (13)] (also see [Hardy and Wright 2008, Theorem 427], [Jameson 2003, Theorem 2.6.4/Exercise 4, p. 191], and [Titchmarsh 1986, Equality (3.14.5)]): as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log(\log x) + B_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^k x}\right)$$

for each $k \geq 1$, where $B_1 = 0.26149 \dots$ is the Mertens constant.

- Mertens' third theorem [1874, Equality (15)] (also see [Hardy and Wright 2008, Theorem 429], [Jameson 2003, Exercise 1, p. 96], and [Titchmarsh 1986,

MSC2010: primary 11N45; secondary 05C30, 05C38, 05C50.

Keywords: Ihara zeta functions, primes in graphs, Mertens' theorem.

Equality (3.15.2)): as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x},$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721 \dots$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

- Prime number theorem (proved by de la Vallée Poussin and Hadamard in 1896; see, e.g., [Hardy and Wright 2008, Theorem 6], [Jameson 2003, Theorem 3.4.3], and [Titchmarsh 1986, Equality (3.7.1)]: as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of rational prime numbers p less than x , that is,

$$\pi(x) := \left| \{p : p \text{ is a rational prime number with } p \leq x\} \right|.$$

All proofs of the above formulae are related to the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the set of all rational prime numbers, that is,

$$\mathcal{P} := \{p \in \mathbb{Z} : p \text{ is a rational prime number}\},$$

and to the prime zeta function, defined first by Glaisher [1891],

$$P(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p^s}.$$

In graph theory, there exists an analogue of the Riemann zeta function, the so-called (Ihara) zeta function $Z_X(u)$ of a graph X (see [Ihara 1966]). Therefore, studying graph-theoretic analogues of these theorems is very interesting. Indeed, Terras and coworkers gave an analogue of the prime number theorem (see Theorem 2.10 in [Horton et al. 2006], and also Theorem 10.1 in [Terras 2011]):

If Δ_X divides n , then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\pi_X(n) \sim \frac{\Delta_X}{n \cdot R_X^n},$$

and otherwise $\pi_X(n) \sim 0$. (For the definitions of $\pi_X(n)$ and R_X , see this section, and for that of Δ_X , see Section 3.) This is called the graph-theoretic prime number theorem.

In this paper, we define a prime zeta function of a graph, and investigate several properties of this function. In particular, we show that this has a natural boundary. Moreover, by using this function, we present graph-theoretic analogues of Mertens' theorems.

We shall note a relation between previous works and our works. A zeta function of a graph can be specialized from a dynamical zeta function for a flow (see Chapter 4 in [Terras 2011]), and dynamical-systemic analogues to the above formulae are already known (see, e.g., [Sharp 1991] for Mertens' theorems, and [Parry 1983; Parry and Pollicott 1983] for a prime number theorem). In that sense, our statements for Mertens' theorems are not new (see Remark 17). However, since our proofs are graph-theoretic and elementary, they are completely different from previous proofs.

In this section, we first recall the notation for graph theory and zeta functions of graphs, define a prime zeta function of a graph, and finally state the main theorem.

Now we recall the notation of graph theory. Throughout this paper, we always assume that X is a finite, connected, non-cycle and undirected graph without degree-one vertices. Let X be a graph with vertex set V , with $v := |V|$, and edge set E , with $\epsilon := |E|$. Simply, such a graph X is denoted by $X := (V, E)$. Note that ϵ is the number of edges of X .

An oriented edge (or an arc) a from a vertex u to a vertex v is denoted by $a = (u, v)$, and the inverse of a is denoted by $a^{-1} = (v, u)$. The origin and terminus of a are denoted by $o(a)$ and $t(a)$, respectively. We can now orient the edges of X , and label the edges as follows:

$$\vec{E} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\epsilon, e_{\epsilon+1} = e_1^{-1}, e_{\epsilon+2} = e_2^{-1}, \dots, e_{2\epsilon} = e_\epsilon^{-1}\}.$$

A path $C = a_1 \cdots a_s$, where the a_i are oriented edges, is said to have a backtrack (resp. tail) if $a_{j+1} = a_j^{-1}$ for some j (resp. $a_s = a_1^{-1}$), and a path C is called a cycle (or a closed path) if $o(a_1) = t(a_s)$. The length $\ell(C)$ of a path $C = a_1 \cdots a_s$ is defined by $\ell(C) = s$.

A cycle C is called prime (or primitive) if it satisfies the following:

- C does not have backtracks or a tail;
- no cycle D exists such that $C = D^f$ for some $f > 1$.

The equivalence class $[C]$ of a cycle $C = a_1 \cdots a_s$ is defined as the set of cycles

$$[C] := \{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{s-1} a_s, a_2 \cdots a_{s-1} a_s a_1, \dots, a_s a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{s-1}\},$$

and an equivalence class $[P]$ of a prime cycle P is called a prime in the graph X . Throughout this paper, we denote a prime by the symbol $[P]$. Two cycles C_1 and C_2 are called equivalent if $C_2 \in [C_1]$. Note that if $[C_1] = [C_2]$, then $\ell(C_1) = \ell(C_2)$, and thus $u^{\ell(C_1)} = u^{\ell(C_2)}$.

Next, we recall the zeta function of a graph $X = (V = \{v_1, \dots, v_\nu\}, E)$, and we define a prime zeta function associated with it. Let u be a complex variable, and let $f_X(u)$ denote

$$f_X(u) := \det(I_\nu - Au + Qu^2),$$

where I_ν is the $\nu \times \nu$ identity matrix, A is the adjacency matrix of X (see Definition 2.1 in [Terras 2011]), and

$$Q = \text{diag}(\deg(v_1) - 1, \dots, \deg(v_\nu) - 1).$$

Let $\pi_X(n)$ denote

$$\pi(n) = \pi_X(n) := \left| \{[P] : [P] \text{ is a prime in } X \text{ with } \ell(P) = n\} \right|.$$

Throughout this paper, we fix an arbitrary real number $t > 1$ (that is, $\log t > 0$), and we set $u = t^{-s}$. The (Ihara) zeta function of X (see Definition 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 in [Terras 2011]) and the prime zeta function of X are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_X(u) &:= \prod_{[P]} (1 - u^{\ell(P)})^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1 - u^2)^{\epsilon - \nu} f_X(u)}, & \mathcal{Z}_X(s) &:= Z_X(t^{-s}), \\ P_X(u) &:= \sum_{[P]} u^{\ell(P)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_X(n) u^n, & \mathcal{P}_X(s) &:= P_X(t^{-s}), \end{aligned}$$

with $|u|$ sufficiently small, where $[P]$ runs through all primes in X . In this paper, we do not distinguish between the two functions $Z_X(u)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_X(s)$, or between $P_X(u)$ and $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$. The right-hand side of the first equality is called the Ihara–Bass formula (see [Bass 1992]). Note that, owing to our assumption for X , the zeta function $Z_X(u)$ is expressible like that.

Note that, for two finite connected graphs X_1 and X_2 without degree-one vertices, $P_{X_1}(u) = P_{X_2}(u)$ if and only if $Z_{X_1}(u) = Z_{X_2}(u)$ (see Proposition 7 in [Storm 2010]).

Let

$$T := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \quad \text{and} \quad T_n := \{u \in \mathbb{C} : f_X(u^n) = 0\}$$

be the zeroes of the $f_X(u^n)$. Note that the elements of T_n are poles of $Z_X(u^n)$. The radius of convergence of $Z_X(u)$ is denoted by R_X . Note that $0 < R_X < 1$ since X is a non-cycle graph (see, e.g., [Terras 2011, p. 197]). It follows from the graph-theoretic prime number theorem (see Theorem 10.1 in [Terras 2011]) that the radius of convergence of the other function $P_X(u)$ is also equal to R_X . Note that the point $u = R_X$ is a singularity of $P_X(u)$, and that

$$P_X(u) \sim -\log(R_X - u)$$

as $u \uparrow R_X$, which is similar to

$$P(s) \sim -\log(s - 1)$$

as $s \downarrow 1$ (see, e.g., [Fröberg 1968]), where $P(s) = \sum_p 1/p^s$ denotes the prime zeta function associated with the Riemann zeta function.

Euclid proved that the number of primes p is infinite. Euler showed that the prime zeta function $\sum_p 1/p$ diverges, and as an application he proved the infinitude of primes. In graph theory, it is also well known that the number of primes $[P]$ in X is infinite. We can give another proof “à la Euler” for this fact since $u = R_X$ is a singularity of $P_X(u)$.

Our main theorem is:

Main Theorem. *Suppose that $X = (V, E)$ is a finite, connected and non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices.*

(1) *Let $\mu(n)$ denote the Möbius function. If $|u| < R_X$, then*

$$P_X(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log Z_X(u^n).$$

Furthermore, the right-hand side is absolutely convergent for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, and so $P_X(u)$ has an analytic extension to the region $\{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| < 1\} \setminus T$.

(2) *The imaginary axis $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$ is a natural boundary for the function $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$, that is, every point on this line can be realized as a limit point of singularities of $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$.*

(3) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens’ first theorem) As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} n \cdot \pi_X(n) R_X^n = N + O(1).$$

(4) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens’ second theorem) There exists a constant B_X such that, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi_X(n) R_X^n = \log N + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

(5) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens’ third theorem) Let $\gamma = 0.57721 \dots$ denote the Euler–Mascheroni constant. As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\prod_{\ell(P) \leq N} (1 - R_X^{\ell(P)}) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{C_X} \cdot \frac{1}{N},$$

where

$$C_X = -\frac{1}{(1 - R_X^2)^{\epsilon - \nu} R_X f'_X(R_X)}$$

(for the definition, in detail, see Section 3 in this paper).

The contents of this paper are as follows. In the next section, we prove the first two claims in the main theorem, that is, several properties of $P_X(u)$. In Section 3, we prove the remaining claims in the main theorem, namely, the graph-theoretic Mertens theorems.

2. Prime zeta function of a graph

In this section, we give a proof of parts (1) and (2) of the Main Theorem introduced in Section 1.

The following facts about $Z_X(u)$, etc., are known, and are often used in this paper.

Facts 1. (1) (Basic facts) *For an arbitrary real number $t > 1$, set $u = t^{-s}$. Then the function $\mathfrak{L}_X(s)$ is absolutely convergent and holomorphic for all s satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -\log R_X / \log t (\geq 0)$.*

Since the function $Z_X(u)$ is the reciprocal of a polynomial by the Ihara–Bass formula, the function $Z_X(u)$ is meromorphic for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$, and therefore $\mathfrak{L}_X(s)$ is also meromorphic for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

- (2) [Kotani and Sunada 2000, Theorem 1.3(1)] *Let $q + 1$ and $p + 1$ be the maximum and minimum degrees of a graph X , respectively. Then $1/q \leq R_X \leq 1/p$, the point $u = R_X$ is a simple pole of $Z_X(u)$, and every pole of $Z_X(u)$ satisfies $R_X \leq |u| \leq 1$.*
- (3) [Terras 2011, p. 197] *Suppose that X is a finite connected graph without degree-one vertices. Then $R_X = 1$ if and only if X is a cycle graph. This follows from the equation $p = q = 1$.*
- (4) [Kotani and Sunada 2000, p. 8] *The leading coefficient of the polynomial f_X is given by*

$$c = \prod_{v \in V} (\deg(v) - 1),$$

and therefore that of the polynomial $1/Z_X$ is equal to $c_{2\epsilon} = (-1)^{\epsilon - \nu} c$.

In this section, the following lemma is important.

Key Lemma 2. *Let*

$$\phi(u) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d c_i u^i \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$$

be a polynomial function of degree $d \geq 0$, and let

$$T = \{u \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there exists } n \geq 1 \text{ such that } \phi(u^n) = 0\}$$

denote the zeroes of the $\phi(u^n)$. Suppose that r is an arbitrary real number, and assume that $\Phi(u)$ is a series defined by

$$\Phi(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} \log \phi(u^n).$$

Then $\Phi(u)$ is absolutely convergent for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$.

Proof. First, we suppose that $d = 0$. Then the $\phi(u^n) = 1$ are constant, and therefore $\Phi(u) = 0$ is also constant. Hence, the claim is trivial. From now on, we assume that $d \geq 1$. Set $c := \max\{|c_i| : 1 \leq i \leq d\}$, choose a number C_0 with $C_0 \geq cd + 1$ (≥ 2), and fix it.

Let r_n ($n \geq 3$) be a number defined by

$$r_n := \left(\frac{1 - \exp(-1/n^{2-r})}{C_0} \right)^{1/n}.$$

Note that $r_n < (1/C_0)^{1/n}$, the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 3}$ is increasing, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1$.

Take u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, and fix it. Then there exists a number N such that $|u| \leq r_N$, and thus $|u| < r_n$ for all $n \geq N + 1$. Now we fix such numbers N and n .

Since $|u| < (1/C_0)^{1/n}$ and $|u^n| \leq |u| < 1$, we obtain, by the triangle inequality,

$$(1) \quad 0 < 1 - C_0|u^n| \leq |\phi(u^n)|, \quad \text{and so} \quad -\log |\phi(u^n)| \leq -\log(1 - C_0|u^n|).$$

On the other hand, since $|u| < r_n$, then $C_0|u^n| < 1 - \exp(-1/n^{2-r})$, so we obtain the inequality $-\log(1 - C_0|u^n|) < 1/n^{2-r}$. Combining this result with (1), we obtain

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re}(-\log \phi(u^n)) = -\log |\phi(u^n)| < \frac{1}{n^{2-r}}.$$

The first inequality in (1) also shows that the function $\log \phi(u^n)$ is holomorphic in the closed disk $|u| \leq r_{N+1}$. By applying the Borel–Carathéodory theorem (see, e.g., [Titchmarsh 1958, §5.5]) to the function $\log \phi(u^n)$ and the two circles $|u| = r_{N+1}$, $|u| = r_N$, we obtain

$$|\log \phi(u^n)| \leq \max_{|u|=r_N} |\log \phi(u^n)| \leq K \max_{|u|=r_{N+1}} \operatorname{Re}(-\log \phi(u^n)) \leq K \frac{1}{n^{2-r}},$$

where $K := 2r_N/(r_{N+1} - r_N)$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} |\log \phi(u^n)| \leq K \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < K \cdot \zeta(2) < \infty.$$

Hence, for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, the series $\Phi(u)$ converges absolutely. \square

Using this lemma, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mu(n)$ denote the Möbius function. If $|u| < R_X$, then*

$$(3) \quad P_X(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log Z_X(u^n).$$

Moreover, the right-hand side of (3) is absolutely convergent for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, and therefore $P_X(u)$ extends analytically to the region $\{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| < 1\} \setminus T$.

Equivalently, if $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -\log R_X / \log t$, then

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{P}_X(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log \mathcal{L}_X(ns).$$

The right-hand side of (4) is absolutely convergent for s satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and $t^{-s} \notin T$, and so (4) gives the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ to the region.

Proof. Note that $R_X \leq 1$ (from Fact 1(2)) and $\exp(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^n)^{-\mu(n)/n}$ for $|z| < 1$. Suppose that $|u| < R_X$. Since $|u^{\ell(P)}| \leq |u| < 1$, we obtain the equality

$$\exp(P_X(u)) = \prod_{[P]} \exp(u^{\ell(P)}) = \prod_{[P]} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^{n\ell(P)})^{-\mu(n)/n} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_X(u^n)^{\mu(n)/n},$$

and therefore (3) holds for u satisfying $|u| < R_X$.

Set

$$1/Z_X(u) = (1 - u^2)^{\epsilon - \nu} f_X(u) = 1 + c_1 u + \cdots + c_{2\epsilon} u^{2\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

$c = \max\{|c_i| : 1 \leq i \leq 2\epsilon\}$ and $C_0 = 2\epsilon c \geq 2$. By applying Key Lemma 2 to $\phi(u) = 1/Z_X(u)$ and $r = 1$, it follows that, for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log Z_X(u^n)/n$ is absolutely convergent, and so the right-hand side of (3) is absolutely convergent. \square

Moreover, for a Ramanujan graph, we can prove the following.

Corollary 4. *Suppose that X is a finite connected Ramanujan graph with degree $q + 1$, that is, $Z_X(u)$ satisfies the Riemann hypothesis (see Theorem 7.4 in [Terras 2011]). Then the function $P_X(u)$ is absolutely convergent for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $|u| \neq (1/q)^{1/n}$ for all n .*

Equivalently, the function $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ is absolutely convergent for s such that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \neq \log q / \log t^n$ for all n .

Proof. Since X is a Ramanujan graph, by Theorem 1.3 in [Kotani and Sunada 2000], every real (resp. nonreal) zero of $f_X(u)$ satisfies $|u| = 1$ or $1/q$ (resp. $|u| = 1/\sqrt{q}$). Thus, every point $|u| \neq (1/q)^{1/n}$ is not zero of $f_X(u^n)$. Hence, the proof of the assertion follows from Proposition 3. \square

We can completely interchange the roles of the functions $P_X(u)$ and $\log Z_X(u)$.

Corollary 5. *If $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, then*

$$(5) \quad \log Z_X(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P_X(u^n).$$

Equivalently, if $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and $t^{-s} \notin T$, then

$$(6) \quad \log \mathcal{L}_X(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{P}_X(ns).$$

Proof. By applying the Möbius inversion formula (see, e.g., Theorem 270 in [Hardy and Wright 2008], or Theorem 2.2.8 in [Jameson 2003]) to the equality (3) for $|u| < 1$, we obtain the equality (5). \square

Remark 6. The equalities (4) and (6) indicate that $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ is a graph-theoretic analogue to the prime zeta function $P(s)$ for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. The relations between $P(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$ are given as follows (see [Glaisher 1891], and also [Fröberg 1968] and Equality (1.6.1) in [Titchmarsh 1986]):

For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$,

$$P(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log \zeta(ns) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(ns).$$

We can orient the edges of X , and label the edges as follows:

$$\vec{E} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\epsilon, a_{\epsilon+1} = a_1^{-1}, a_{\epsilon+2} = a_2^{-1}, \dots, a_{2\epsilon} = a_\epsilon^{-1}\}.$$

Let $W = W_X := (w_{ij})$ denote the edge adjacency matrix of a graph X , that is, a $2\epsilon \times 2\epsilon$ matrix defined by

$$w_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(a_i) = o(a_j) \text{ and } a_j \neq a_i^{-1} \text{ for } a_i, a_j \in \vec{E}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see p. 28 in [Terras 2011]). Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the distinct eigenvalues of W , and let e_1, \dots, e_k be their multiplicities. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i = 2\epsilon$. Let $e := \sum_{i=1, \lambda_i \neq \pm 1}^k e_i$. By the determinant formula given by Hashimoto [1989] and Bass [1992], the polynomial $1/Z_X(u)$ can be written as

$$1/Z_X(u) = \det(I_{2\epsilon} - Wu) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \lambda_i u)^{e_i}.$$

Note that $f_X(1) = 0$. We now define a polynomial $g_X(u)$ by

$$g_X(u) := f_X(u)/(1 - u).$$

Note that since $f'_X(1) = 2(\epsilon - \nu)\kappa$ by [Northshield 1998, Theorem],

$$g_X(1) = -f'_X(1) = -2(\epsilon - \nu)\kappa,$$

where κ is the complexity of X , that is, the number of spanning trees in X . Since X is a non-cycle graph, that is, $\epsilon \neq \nu$, the polynomial $g_X(u)$ can be also written as

$$(7) \quad g_X(u) = \frac{1/Z_X(u)}{(1-u^2)^{\epsilon-\nu}(1-u)} = (1+u)^{2\nu-1-\epsilon} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i \neq \pm 1}}^k (1-\lambda_i u)^{e_i}.$$

We can show that the function $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ has a natural boundary.

Proposition 7. *Let $X = (V, E)$ be a finite, connected and non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices.*

- (1) *There exists an eigenvalue λ of W such that $|\lambda| > 1$.*
- (2) *The imaginary axis $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$ is a natural boundary for the function $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$, that is, every point on this line can be realized as a limit point of singularities of $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$.*

Proof. (1) The leading coefficient $c_{2\epsilon}$ of the polynomial $1/Z_X(u)$ is given by

$$(-1)^{\epsilon-\nu} \prod_{v \in V} (\deg(v) - 1) = c_{2\epsilon} = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{e_i}$$

(from Fact 1(4)). By our assumption for X , the graph X is not a 2-regular graph. Thus $|c_{2\epsilon}| > 1$ and so there exists an eigenvalue λ_i with $|\lambda_i| \neq 1$. Note that every pole $1/\lambda_i$ of $Z_X(u)$ satisfies $|1/\lambda_i| \leq 1$ by Fact 1(2). So there exists an eigenvalue λ_i with $|\lambda_i| > 1$.

(2) Note that $\exp(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^n)^{-\mu(n)/n}$ for $|z| < 1$. If $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(P_X(u)) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_X(u^n)^{\mu(n)/n} \\ &= \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^{2n})^{-\mu(n)/n} \right)^{\epsilon-\nu} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^n)^{-\mu(n)/n} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} g_X(u^n)^{-\mu(n)/n} \\ &= \exp((\epsilon - \nu)u^2 + u) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} g_X(u^n)^{-\mu(n)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the equality

$$P_X(u) = (\epsilon - \nu)u^2 + u - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log g_X(u^n)$$

holds.

Note that $u = t^{-s}$. By using the equalities (7) and 2, the function $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_X(s) = (\epsilon - \nu)t^{-2s} + t^{-s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \left((2\nu - 1 - e) \log(1 + t^{-ns}) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i \neq \pm 1}}^k e_i \log(1 - \lambda_i t^{-ns}) \right)$$

for all s satisfying $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. By part (1), there exists λ such that $|\lambda| > 1$ among the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ of W . Note that $1 - \lambda t^{-ns} = 0$ if and only if $s = r(\lambda, n, m)$, where

$$r(\lambda, n, m) := \frac{\log |\lambda|}{n \log t} + i \frac{\text{Arg}(\lambda) + 2\pi m}{n \log t},$$

and $\text{Arg}(\lambda)$ is the argument of λ with $-\pi \leq \text{Arg}(\lambda) < \pi$. Note that

$$\varepsilon_n := \frac{\log |\lambda|}{n \log t} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We now fix an arbitrary point $\alpha = ia$ on the imaginary axis $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. Then, we can arrange a sequence of integers $\{m_n\}$ for each integer n so that

$$\frac{\text{Arg}(\lambda) + 2\pi m_n}{n \log t} \rightarrow a$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, each point α on the boundary is a limit point of singularities of $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$. Since $\varepsilon_n > 0$ for all n , we cannot continue $\mathcal{P}_X(s)$ beyond the boundary at $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. □

Remark 8. Proposition 7(2) is an analogue of the fact that the imaginary axis $\text{Re}(s) = 0$ is a natural boundary for the prime zeta function $P(s)$ of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ (see [Landau and Walfisz 1920]).

3. Graph-theoretic Mertens' theorem

In this section, we prove parts (3)–(5) of the Main Theorem introduced in Section 1.

Throughout this section, we always assume that $X = (V, E)$ is a finite, connected, non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices. Note in particular that $\nu \neq \epsilon$ and $0 < R_X < 1$.

First, we define the constants H_X , C_X and γ_X , and study their properties, which play important roles in this section. Let u be a complex variable. We define a function by

$$H_X(u) := \log Z_X(u) - P_X(u) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} P_X(u^n) = \sum_{[P]} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} u^{n\ell(P)}.$$

Note that the point $u = R_X$ is a common pole of $Z_X(u)$ and $P_X(u)$ by Fact 1(2), and that the series $H_X(u)$ is absolutely convergent for u satisfying $|u| < 1$ and $u \notin T$, from Corollary 5.

Since $u = R_X$ is a simple pole of $Z_X(u)$, we can define constants c_X and C_X by

$$c_X := -\operatorname{Res}_{u=R_X} Z_X(u) = \lim_{u \uparrow R_X} (R_X - u)Z_X(u) = \frac{-1}{(1 - R_X^2)^{\epsilon - \nu} f'_X(R_X)}$$

and $C_X := c_X/R_X$.

Lemma 9. (1) *The value $H_X := H_X(R_X)$ is finite.*

(2) *The constants c_X and C_X are positive.*

Proof. (1) Since $R_X^n < R_X < 1$ ($n \geq 2$), the function $P_X(u)$ is holomorphic at $u = R_X^n$, and therefore $P_X(u^n)$ is holomorphic at $u = R_X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_X(R_X) &= \sum_{[P]} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} R_X^{n\ell(P)} \leq \sum_{[P]} \sum_{n \geq 2} R_X^{n\ell(P)} \\ &= \sum_{[P]} \frac{R_X^{2\ell(P)}}{1 - R_X^{\ell(P)}} \leq \frac{1}{1 - R_X} \sum_{[P]} R_X^{2\ell(P)} = \frac{P_X(R_X^2)}{1 - R_X} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows.

(2) Note that the leading coefficient of the polynomial f_X is given by

$$c = \prod_{v \in V} (\deg(v) - 1) > 0$$

by Fact 1(4). Then f_X factors as the product of irreducible polynomials such that

$$f_X(u) = c \prod_{i=1}^{m_1} (u - \alpha_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} f_j(u),$$

where the f_j are monic of $\deg f_j = 2$, and $\deg f_X = 2\nu = m_1 + 2m_2$. Note that m_1 is even. Since $u = R_X$ is a simple pole of $Z_X(u)$, it is a simple zero of f_X . We may assume that $\alpha_1 = R_X$. Since $\alpha_i > R_X$ ($2 \leq i \leq m_1$) and the discriminants of the f_j are negative, the sign of

$$f'_X(R_X) = c \prod_{i=2}^{m_1} (R_X - \alpha_i) \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} f_j(R_X)$$

is equal to $(-1)^{m_1-1} = -1$, i.e., $f'_X(R_X) < 0$, so $c_X > 0$ and $C_X = c_X/R_X > 0$. \square

Since the function $Z_X(u) - c_X/(R_X - u)$ is holomorphic at $u = R_X$, we can define a constant γ_X by

$$\gamma_X := \lim_{u \uparrow R_X} \left(Z_X(u) - \frac{c_X}{R_X - u} \right),$$

which is an analogue of the Euler–Mascheroni constant $\gamma = \lim_{s \downarrow 1} (\zeta(s) - 1/(s-1))$ for $\zeta(s)$.

In a neighborhood of $u = R_X$, the function $Z_X(u)$ can be expanded as

$$Z_X(u) = \frac{c_X}{R_X - u} + \gamma_X + O(R_X - u),$$

and so

$$(8) \quad \log Z_X(u) = \log \frac{c_X}{R_X - u} + O(R_X - u).$$

Similarly, in a neighborhood of $u = R_X$, the function $P_X(u)$ can be expanded as

$$P_X(u) = \log \frac{c_X}{R_X - u} - H_X(u) + O(R_X - u) = \log \frac{c_X}{R_X - u} - H_X(R_X) + O(R_X - u).$$

In this section, the following facts are used.

Facts 10. (1) (See, for example, Theorem 18.1 in [Korevaar 2002].) *Let x be a complex variable and let $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a power series with $a_n \geq 0$ that converges for $|x| < 1$. Suppose that*

$$F(x) - \frac{C}{1-x} = O(1)$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$. Then the partial sum $A(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} a_n$ satisfies

$$A(N) = C \cdot N + O(\log N)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) (See, for example, Exercises 9-6 in [Apostol 1974], and Theorem 1.3.6 in [Jameson 2003], the Abel partial summation formula). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be real numbers, and let $f(t)$ be a (real- or complex-valued) function with a continuous derivative in the interval $[1, N]$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} a_n f(n) = A(N) f(N) - \int_1^N A(t) f'(t) dt.$$

By using Fact 10, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Suppose that X is a finite, connected and non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices. In a neighborhood of $u = R_X$, expand $Z_X(u)$ into the*

power series

$$Z_X(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n u^n.$$

Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} a'_n R_X^n = C_X \cdot N + O(\log N).$$

Proof. First, for simplicity of arguments, we normalize the function $Z_X(u)$:

$$F(x) = Z_X(R_X x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n R_X^n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n,$$

where $a_n = a'_n R_X^n$. Note that the normalized function $F(x)$ converges for $|x| < 1$. Since all coefficients a'_n are nonnegative (by page 13 in [Terras 2011]), all coefficients a_n are also nonnegative. Since X is a non-cycle graph, the point $x = 1$ is a simple pole of $F(x)$. Hence, we obtain

$$F(x) - \frac{C_X}{1-x} = O(1)$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$. By applying Fact 10(1) to this equality, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} a_n = C_X \cdot N + O(\log N), \quad \text{and so} \quad \sum_{n \leq N} a'_n R_X^n = C_X \cdot N + O(\log N)$$

holds, and the assertion follows. \square

Now, we compute the following example.

Example 12 [Terras 2011, Example 2.8, p. 18]. Consider the graph $X = K_4 - \{\text{one edge}\}$. Then

$$f_X(u) = (1-u)(1+u^2)(1+u+2u^2)(1-u^2-2u^3) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_X(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)f_X(u).$$

Since the radius of convergence R_X of $Z_X(u)$ is the smallest positive real zero of $f_X(u)$,

$$R_X = \frac{1}{6}(\alpha - 1 + \alpha^{-1}) = 0.6572981\dots, \quad \alpha = (53 + 6\sqrt{78})^{1/3}.$$

Then C_X is computed as $C_X = 0.5540954\dots$. For example, if $N = 50000$, then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} a'_n R_X^n = 0.5540867\dots \approx C_X.$$

Let $X = (V, E)$ be a graph, and set $|V| = \nu$ and $|E| = \epsilon$. Let $W = W_X$ be the edge adjacency matrix of X (see page 28 in [Terras 2011], or Section 2 in this paper), and let $\text{Spec}(W)$ denote the spectrum of W , that is, the list of its eigenvalues together with their multiplicities. Note that $|\text{Spec}(W)| = 2\epsilon$. The polynomial $1/Z_X(u)$ has an expression different from that in Section 2. In fact, this can be written as

$$1/Z_X(u) = \det(I_{2\epsilon} - Wu) = \prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (1 - \lambda u) \left(= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \lambda_i u)^{e_i} \right).$$

Since the points $u = 1/\lambda$ are the poles of $Z_X(u)$, we obtain $1 \leq |\lambda| \leq 1/R_X$ by Fact 1(2).

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 14 in this section.

Key Lemma 13. *Suppose that X is a finite, connected and non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices.*

(1) *As $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (\lambda R_X)^n = N + O(1).$$

(2) *Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ be a fixed real number. Then there exists a natural number N_0 such that, for any $n \geq N_0$,*

$$\left| n \cdot \pi(n) - \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \lambda^n \right| < 2\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{(1-\alpha)n}.$$

Proof. (1) Let Δ_X denote

$$\Delta = \Delta_X := \gcd\{\ell(P) : [P] \text{ is a prime in } X\}$$

(see Definition 2.12 in [Terras 2011]). It follows from Theorem 1.4 in [Kotani and Sunada 2000] that the poles of $Z_X(u)$ on the circle $|u| = R_X$ have the form $u = R_X e^{2\pi i a/\Delta}$ ($1 \leq a \leq \Delta$). It is well known that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\Delta} e^{2\pi i a n/\Delta} = \begin{cases} \Delta & \text{if } \Delta \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see, e.g., Exercise 10.1 in [Terras 2011]). Then we obtain

$$\left| N - \sum_{|\lambda|=1/R_X} \sum_{n=1}^N (\lambda R_X)^n \right| = \left| N - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{\Delta} e^{2\pi i a n/\Delta} \right| = N - \left[\frac{N}{\Delta} \right] \Delta < \Delta,$$

where $[r]$ denotes the integer part of the real number r . On the other hand, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{|\lambda| < 1/R_X} \sum_{n=1}^N (\lambda R_X)^n \right| < 2\epsilon \sum_{n \geq 1} (\rho R_X)^n = \frac{2\epsilon \rho R_X}{1 - \rho R_X},$$

where

$$\rho := \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{Spec}(W), |\lambda| < 1/R_X\}.$$

Combining these inequalities, by the triangle inequality we obtain

$$\left| N - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (\lambda R_X)^n \right| < \Delta + \frac{2\epsilon \rho R_X}{1 - \rho R_X}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the assertion follows.

(2) Let $\mu(n)$ denote the Möbius function. Note that $\sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| \leq n$. It is known that

$$\pi(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) N_{n/d} \quad \text{and} \quad N_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \lambda^n$$

(see (10.3) and (10.4) in [Terras 2011]). Combining these equalities, we obtain

$$n \cdot \pi(n) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \lambda^{n/d},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| n \cdot \pi(n) - \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \lambda^n \right| &= \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \geq 2}} \mu(d) \lambda^{n/d} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \geq 2}} |\mu(d)| \cdot |\lambda|^{n/d} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \geq 2}} |\mu(d)| \cdot |\lambda|^{n/2} \\ &\leq n \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{n/2} \leq 2\epsilon n \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $R_X < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ by our assumptions, there exists a natural number N_0 such that, for any $n \geq N_0$,

$$n \leq \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{(1/2-\alpha)n}, \quad \text{and so} \quad n \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{n/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{(1-\alpha)n}.$$

Hence, for any $n \geq N_0$,

$$\left| n \cdot \pi(n) - \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \lambda^n \right| \leq 2\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{R_X} \right)^{(1-\alpha)n},$$

and the assertion follows. \square

At last, we can prove the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 14. *Suppose that X is a finite, connected and non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices. Let $\gamma = 0.57721 \dots$ be the Euler–Mascheroni constant, and let $H_X = H_X(R_X)$ and C_X be the constants.*

(1) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens' first theorem) As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} n \cdot \pi(n) R_X^n = N + O(1).$$

(2) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens' second theorem) There exists a constant B_X such that, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n = \log N + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

(3) *The equality $B_X = \gamma + \log C_X - H_X$ holds. Equivalently,*

$$\begin{aligned} B_X &= \gamma + \log C_X - \sum_{[P]} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} R_X^{n\ell(P)} \\ &= \gamma + \log C_X + \prod_{[P]} (\log(1 - R_X^{\ell(P)}) + R_X^{\ell(P)}). \end{aligned}$$

(4) *(Graph-theoretic Mertens' third theorem) As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\prod_{\ell(P) \leq N} (1 - R_X^{\ell(P)}) = \prod_{n \leq N} (1 - R_X^n)^{\pi(n)} \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{C_X} \cdot \frac{1}{N}.$$

Proof. (1) Let N_0 be a number as in the proof of Key Lemma 13(2), and let K denote the constant

$$K := \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} n \cdot \pi(n) R_X^n - \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (\lambda R_X)^n \right|.$$

Assume that N is sufficiently large. Then it follows from Key Lemma 13(2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N n \cdot \pi(n) R_X^n - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (\lambda R_X)^n \right| &\leq K + \left| \sum_{n=N_0}^N R_X^n \left(n \cdot \pi(n) - \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} \lambda^n \right) \right| \\ &\leq K + 2\epsilon \sum_{n=N_0}^N R_X^{n\alpha} < K + \frac{2\epsilon}{1 - R_X^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore by Key Lemma 13(1) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n \cdot \pi(n) R_X^n = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(W)} (\lambda R_X)^n + O(1) = N + O(1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2) We set $a_n = n \cdot \pi(n) R_X^n$. By part (1), we obtain $A(t) = t + O(1)$. By applying Fact 10(2) with $f(t) = 1/t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n &= \frac{A(N)}{N} + \int_1^N \frac{A(t)}{t^2} dt = \frac{N + O(1)}{N} + \int_1^N \frac{t + O(1)}{t^2} dt \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + \int_1^N \left(\frac{1}{t} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\right) dt \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + \left[\log t + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right]_1^N \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + \log N + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + O(1) = \log N + O(1) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows.

(3) Fix an arbitrary x satisfying $0 < x < 1$. By applying Fact 10(2) with $a_n = \pi(n) R_X^n$ and $f(t) = x^t$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n x^n = A(N)x^N - \log x \int_1^N x^t A(t) dt$$

holds. It follows from part (2) that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n x^n = \left(\log N + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)x^N - \log x \int_1^N x^t \left(\log t + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) dt,$$

and, moreover, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(9) \quad P_X(R_X x) = -\log x \int_1^\infty x^t \left(\log t + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) dt.$$

In order to calculate the right-hand side of this equality, for simplicity of arguments, we define the functions $I_n = I_n(x)$:

$$-\log x \int_1^\infty x^t \left(\log t + B_X + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) dt = I_1 + I_2 + O(I_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= -\log x \int_1^\infty x^t \log t \, dt, \\
 I_2 &= -B_X \cdot \log x \int_1^\infty x^t \, dt = B_X \cdot x, \quad \text{and} \\
 I_3 &= -\log x \int_1^\infty \frac{x^t}{t} \, dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

First, we compute the function I_1 :

$$I_1 = - \int_1^\infty (x^t)' \log t \, dt = \int_1^\infty \frac{x^t}{t} \, dt.$$

Now we take $r = -t \log x$. Note that $\log x < 0$. Then we obtain

$$I_1 = \int_{-\log x}^\infty \frac{e^{-r}}{r} \, dr = -\text{Ei}(\log x),$$

where $\text{Ei}(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\text{Arg}(-z)| < \pi$) is the exponential integral

$$-\text{Ei}(-z) = \int_z^\infty \frac{e^{-r}}{r} \, dr$$

(see, e.g., Equality (3.1.3) in [Lebedev 1972]). Since the function $\text{Ei}(z)$ expands as

$$\text{Ei}(z) = \gamma + \log(-z) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{z^k}{k \cdot k!}$$

(see Equality (3.1.6) in [ibid.]),

$$I_1 = -\gamma - \log(-\log x) + O(\log x) = -\gamma - \log(-\log x) + O(1-x).$$

Next we calculate the function I_3 . It follows from the above result that

$$I_3 = -\log x \int_1^\infty \frac{x^t}{t} \, dt = (-\log x)I_1 = O(1-x)$$

as $x \uparrow 1$.

By combining the above results, the equality (9) is written as follows:

$$P_X(R_X x) = -\gamma - \log(-\log x) + B_X x + O(1-x),$$

and, moreover, as $x \uparrow 1$,

$$(10) \quad P_X(R_X x) + \log(-\log x) \rightarrow B_X - \gamma.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\log Z_X(R_X x) = \log \frac{1}{1-x} + \log C_X + O(1-x)$$

from the equality (8), as $x \uparrow 1$,

$$(11) \quad \log Z_X(R_X x) + \log(-\log x) = \log\left(\frac{-\log x}{1-x}\right) + \log C_X \rightarrow \log C_X.$$

Combining (10) with (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_X &= \lim_{x \uparrow 1} H_X(R_X x) = \lim_{x \uparrow 1} (\log Z_X(R_X x) - P_X(R_X x)) \\ &= \lim_{x \uparrow 1} ((\log Z_X(R_X x) + \log(-\log x)) - (P_X(R_X x) + \log(-\log x))) \\ &= \log C_X + \gamma - B_X. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Fix an arbitrary positive real number N . We define the following functions:

$$H_X^{\leq N} = \sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} R_X^{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad H_X^{> N} = \sum_{n > N} \pi(n) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} R_X^{mn}.$$

Note that $H_X = H_X^{\leq N} + H_X^{> N}$. From parts (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n + H_X^{\leq N} = \log N + \gamma + \log C_X - H_X^{> N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Since the left-hand side of this equality is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n + H_X^{\leq N} &= \sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} R_X^{mn} \\ &= - \sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) \log(1 - R_X^n) = - \log\left(\prod_{n \leq N} (1 - R_X^n)^{\pi(n)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{n \leq N} (1 - R_X^n)^{\pi(n)} = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{C_X} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \exp\left(H_X^{> N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right).$$

Since $H_X^{> N} \rightarrow 0$ and $1/N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the assertion follows. \square

Last, we compute the following example.

Example 15 (continued from Example 12). Consider the graph $X = K_4 - \{\text{one edge}\}$. Then

$$H_X = 0.25613 \dots, \quad B_X = \gamma + \log C_X - H_X = -0.26933 \dots$$

For example, if $N = 550$, then

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \pi(n) R_X^n - \log N = -0.26842 \dots \approx B_X,$$

$$\prod_{n \leq N} (1 - R_X^n)^{\pi(n)} = 0.18447 \dots \approx \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{C_X} \cdot \frac{1}{N} = 0.18457 \dots$$

Remark 16. (See [Mertens 1874, Equation (17)], or [Hardy and Wright 2008, Theorem 428].) A number-theoretic analogue to part (3) in the preceding theorem is

$$B_1 = \gamma - H = \gamma + \sum_p \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

where $H = \sum_{n \geq 2} P(n)/n$ is a constant, and $P(s)$ is the prime zeta function.

Remark 17. We now compare parts (2)–(4) of our Theorem 14 with Theorem 1 in [Sharp 1991]. We define

$$h_X := -\log R_X, \quad N(P) = e^{h_X \ell(P)} \quad \text{and} \quad x = e^{h_X N}.$$

The quantity h_X is called the topological entropy of a flow in ergodic theory (see [Sharp 1991]), which is a constant in our setting. Note that $\ell(P) \leq N$ if and only if $N(P) \leq x$. Note that $R_X^{\ell(P)} = 1/N(P)$. Then our Mertens' second theorem can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{N(P) \leq x} \frac{1}{N(P)} = \log(\log x) + B + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

where $B := -\log h_X + B_X$, and, similarly, our Mertens' third theorem becomes

$$\prod_{N(P) \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(P)} \right) \sim \frac{1}{C_X/h_X} \cdot \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x}.$$

In Theorem 1 in [Sharp 1991], our constant C_X/h_X , which is equal to a residue (up to sign) of the Ihara zeta function, corresponds with that of a dynamical zeta function for a flow.

Moreover, our Theorem 14(3) becomes

$$B = \gamma + \log(C_X/h_X) + \sum_{[P]} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(P)} \right) + \frac{1}{N(P)} \right).$$

Remark 18. Let $X = (V, E)$ be a finite, connected, non-cycle graph without degree-one vertices, and let $S = (V', E')$ be its k -subdivision (that is, let S be the graph obtained from X by adding k new vertices to each edge of X) (see Examples 6.4 and 8.5 in [Terras 2011]). Then

$$H_X = H_S, \quad C_X = (k+1)C_S, \quad \text{and} \quad B_X = B_S + \log(k+1).$$

This is proved as follows: note that $\Delta_S = (k+1)\Delta_X$, $R_S^{k+1} = R_X$, and

$$\pi_S(n) = \begin{cases} \pi_X(n/(k+1)) & \text{if } (k+1) \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_S &= \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{1}{m} P_S(R_S^m) = \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_S(n) R_S^{mn} = \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_X(n) R_S^{(k+1)mn} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_X(n) R_X^{mn} = \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{1}{m} P_X(R_X^m) = H_X. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nu' = \nu + k\epsilon$, $\epsilon' = (k+1)\epsilon$, and $Z_S(u) = Z_X(u^{k+1})$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (1-u^2)^{\epsilon-\nu} f_S(u) &= (1-u^{2(k+1)})^{\epsilon-\nu} f_X(u^{k+1}), \\ (1-R_S^2)^{\epsilon-\nu} R_S f'_S(R_S) &= (k+1)(1-R_X^2)^{\epsilon-\nu} R_X f'_X(R_X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(k+1)C_S = \frac{-(k+1)}{(1-R_S^2)^{\epsilon'-\nu'} R_S f'_S(R_S)} = C_X,$$

and so

$$B_X = \gamma + \log C_X - H_X = \gamma + \log C_S - H_S + \log(k+1) = B_S + \log(k+1).$$

Acknowledgements

Saito would like to thank Professor Toyokazu Hiramatsu for his encouragement and thoughtful suggestions. The authors thank the referee for his or her valuable comments and careful review of this paper.

References

- [Apostol 1974] T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical analysis*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974. MR 49 #9123 Zbl 0309.26002
- [Bass 1992] H. Bass, “The Ihara–Selberg zeta function of a tree lattice”, *Internat. J. Math.* **3**:6 (1992), 717–797. MR 94a:11072 Zbl 0767.11025
- [Fröberg 1968] C.-E. Fröberg, “On the prime zeta function”, *Nordisk Tidskr. Informationsbehandling (BIT)* **8** (1968), 187–202. MR 38 #4421 Zbl 0167.04201
- [Glaisher 1891] J. W. L. Glaisher, “On the sums of the inverse powers of the prime numbers”, *Quart. J. Pure. Appl. Math.* **25** (1891), 347–362. JFM 23.0275.02
- [Hardy and Wright 2008] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 6th ed., Oxford University Press, 2008. Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman. MR 2009i:11001 Zbl 1159.11001

- [Hashimoto 1989] K.-I. Hashimoto, “Zeta functions of finite graphs and representations of p -adic groups”, pp. 211–280 in *Automorphic forms and geometry of arithmetic varieties*, edited by K.-I. Hashimoto and Y. Namikawa, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **15**, Academic Press, Boston, 1989. MR 91i:11057 Zbl 0709.22005
- [Horton et al. 2006] M. D. Horton, H. M. Stark, and A. A. Terras, “What are zeta functions of graphs and what are they good for?”, pp. 173–189 in *Quantum graphs and their applications*, edited by G. Berkolaiko et al., Contemp. Math. **415**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2007i:05088 Zbl 1222.11109
- [Ihara 1966] Y. Ihara, “On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over p -adic fields”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966), 219–235. MR 36 #6511 Zbl 0158.27702
- [Jameson 2003] G. J. O. Jameson, *The prime number theorem*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **53**, Cambridge University Press, 2003. MR 2004c:11002 Zbl 1033.11001
- [Korevaar 2002] J. Korevaar, “A century of complex Tauberian theory”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **39**:4 (2002), 475–531. MR 2003g:40004 Zbl 1001.40007
- [Kotani and Sunada 2000] M. Kotani and T. Sunada, “Zeta functions of finite graphs”, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **7**:1 (2000), 7–25. MR 2001f:68110 Zbl 0978.05051
- [Landau and Walfisz 1920] E. Landau and A. Walfisz, “Über die Nichtfortsetzbarkeit einiger durch Dirichletsche Reihen definierter Funktionen”, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **44** (1920), 82–86. JFM 47.0287.02
- [Lebedev 1972] N. N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, 2nd ed., Dover, New York, 1972. MR 50 #2568 Zbl 0271.33001
- [Mertens 1874] F. Mertens, “Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **78** (1874), 46–63. JFM 06.0116.01
- [Northshield 1998] S. Northshield, “A note on the zeta function of a graph”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **74**:2 (1998), 408–410. MR 99g:05106 Zbl 1027.05048
- [Parry 1983] W. Parry, “An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of shifts of finite type and their suspensions”, *Israel J. Math.* **45**:1 (1983), 41–52. MR 85c:58089 Zbl 0552.28020
- [Parry and Pollicott 1983] W. Parry and M. Pollicott, “An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118**:3 (1983), 573–591. MR 85i:58105 Zbl 0537.58038
- [Sharp 1991] R. Sharp, “An analogue of Mertens’ theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows”, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **21**:2 (1991), 205–229. MR 93a:58142 Zbl 0761.58041
- [Stark and Terras 1996] H. M. Stark and A. A. Terras, “Zeta functions of finite graphs and coverings”, *Adv. Math.* **121**:1 (1996), 124–165. MR 98b:11094 Zbl 0874.11064
- [Storm 2010] C. Storm, “An infinite family of graphs with the same Ihara zeta function”, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17**:1 (2010), Research Paper 82. MR 2011g:05156 Zbl 1215.05096
- [Terras 2011] A. A. Terras, *Zeta functions of graphs: a stroll through the garden*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **128**, Cambridge University Press, 2011. MR 2012d:05016 Zbl 1206.05003
- [Titchmarsh 1958] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1958. MR 3155290 Zbl 0336.30001
- [Titchmarsh 1986] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., Clarendon, New York, 1986. MR 88c:11049 Zbl 0601.10026

Received October 3, 2013. Revised May 29, 2014.

TAKEHIRO HASEGAWA
SHIGA UNIVERSITY
OTSU
SHIGA 520-0862
JAPAN
thasegawa3141592@yahoo.co.jp

SEIKEN SAITO
WASEDA UNIVERSITY
SHINJUKU
TOKYO 169-8050
JAPAN
seiken.saitou@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 273 No. 1 January 2015

Maximal estimates for Schrödinger equations with inverse-square potential	1
CHANGXING MIAO, JUNYONG ZHANG and JIQIANG ZHENG	
Vassiliev Invariants of Virtual Legendrian Knots	21
PATRICIA CAHN and ASA LEVI	
Some results on the generic vanishing of Koszul cohomology via deformation theory	47
JIE WANG	
Conformal metrics with constant curvature one and finitely many conical singularities on compact Riemann surfaces	75
QING CHEN, WEI WANG, YINGYI WU and BIN XU	
\mathbb{Q} -bases of the Néron–Severi groups of certain elliptic surfaces	101
MASAMICHI KURODA	
On a prime zeta function of a graph	123
TAKEHIRO HASEGAWA and SEIKEN SAITO	
On Whittaker modules for a Lie algebra arising from the 2-dimensional torus	147
SHAOBIN TAN, QING WANG and CHENGGANG XU	
Fréchet quantum supergroups	169
AXEL DE GOURSAC	
Generators of the Gauss–Picard modular group in three complex dimensions	197
BAOHUA XIE, JIEYAN WANG and YUEPING JIANG	
Complete characterization of isolated homogeneous hypersurface singularities	213
STEPHEN YAU and HUIQING ZUO	
A theorem of Mœglin and Waldspurger for covering groups	225
SHIV PRAKASH PATEL	
Spanning trees and random walks on weighted graphs	241
XIAO CHANG, HAO XU and SHING-TUNG YAU	



0030-8730(201501)273:1;1-6