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We set up the theory of newforms of half-integral weight on $\Gamma_0(8N)$ and $\Gamma_0(16N)$, where $N$ is odd and squarefree. Further, we extend the definition of the Kohnen plus space in general for trivial character and also study the theory of newforms in the plus spaces on $\Gamma_0(8N)$, $\Gamma_0(16N)$, where $N$ is odd and squarefree. Finally, we show that the Atkin–Lehner $W$-operator $W_4$ acts as the identity operator on $S_{2k}^\text{new}(4N)$, where $N$ is odd and squarefree. This proves that $S_{2k}^-(4) = S_{2k}(4)$.

1. Introduction

Let $k$, $M$ be positive integers, $k \geq 2$. Write $M = 2^\alpha N$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $N \geq 1$, $N$ odd. Let $\chi_0$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $N$ with $\epsilon = \chi_0(-1)$ and let $\chi_1$ be an even Dirichlet character modulo $2^{\alpha+2}$. Let $\chi = (\frac{4\epsilon}{-1})\chi_1\chi_0$. Let $S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi)$ be the space of cusp forms of half-integral weight $k + \frac{1}{2}$ for $\Gamma_0(4M)$ with character $\chi$, and let $S_{2k}(2M, \chi^2)$ be the space of cusp forms of weight $2k$, level $2M$ with character $\chi^2$. By the work of G. Shimura [1973] and S. Niwa [1975], there exist linear operators $S^D_{t,4M,\chi}$ indexed by squarefree integers $t$, $\epsilon(-1)^k t > 0$, which commute with the action of Hecke operators $T(n^2)$, $(n, 2M) = 1$, and map the space $S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi)$ into the space $S_{2k}(2M, \chi^2)$. If $M$ is an odd integer, W. Kohnen [1980; 1982] introduced a canonical subspace $S_{k+1/2}^+(4M, \chi)$, called the Kohnen plus space, in the full space $S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi)$. He defined modified Shimura lifts $S^D_{D,4M,\chi}$, called Shimura–Kohnen lifts, indexed by fundamental discriminants $D$, $\epsilon(-1)^k D > 0$, which commute with the action of Hecke operators $T(n^2)$, $(n, M) = 1$, where $T(4) = T^+(4)$ is the Hecke operator introduced by Kohnen on the plus space. He proved that the linear operator $S^D_{D,4M,\chi}$ maps the space $S_{k+1/2}^+(4M, \chi)$ into the space $S_{2k}(M, \chi^2)$. The idea of characterising the spaces of half-integral weight forms Hecke-equivalent to a fixed integral weight newform is important, and establishing Hecke equivariant isomorphisms via trace identities is certainly a powerful tool. These isomorphisms often give hints as to how to further decompose these eigenspaces to obtain multiplicity-one results. The first such work
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was by Kohnen, who achieved that goal by introducing the plus space, which we
now wish to generalise. Kohnen [1980; 1982] initiated the study of the theory of
newforms for the plus space \( S_{k+1/2}^+(4M, \chi) \) along the lines of Atkin and Lehner
[1970], where \( M \) is odd and squarefree and \( \chi^2 = 1 \). Using the trace identities proved
by Niwa [1977], M. Manickam, B. Ramakrishnan and T. C. Vasudevan [Manickam
et al. 1990] set up the theory of newforms for the full space \( S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \), where
\( M \) is odd and squarefree and \( \chi^2 = 1 \). If \( M \) is even and squarefree, this theory is
known on the full space \( S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \) by the work of Manickam [1980; 1982].
For similar theories we refer to [Serre and Stark 1977; Shemanske 1996; Ueda

Kohnen introduced the plus space in \( S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \) when \( M \) is odd by letting
\[
S_{k+1/2}^+(4M, \chi) = \{ f \in S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) : a_f(n) = 0 \text{ unless } \epsilon(-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \}
\]
Ueda and Yamana [2010] extended the definition of the plus space for \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \)
(\( M \) is even and squarefree) by using the same condition on the Fourier coefficients.
If \( M \) is even, let
\[
S_{k+1/2}^+(4M) = \{ f \in S_{k+1/2}(4M) : a_f(n) = 0 \text{ unless } (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \}.
\]
In the case where \( M \) is odd, the Kohnen plus space \( S_{k+1/2}^+(4M) \) is an eigensubspace
of \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) under a hermitian operator \( U(4)W(4) \) [Kohnen 1982; Manickam
et al. 1990], whereas in all other cases it is the image of the projection operator \( P_+ \)
on \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) (\( M \) even) given by
\[
P_+ : \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)q^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0 \atop (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}} a(n)q^n.
\]
This operator \( P_+ \) was introduced by Kohnen and considered by Ueda and Yamana
[2010]. If \( M \) is even, \( P_+ \) preserves the space \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \). This phenomenon is
striking and it allows us to define the plus space for an even integer \( M \) by
\[
S_{k+1/2}^+(4M) = S_{k+1/2}(4M) | P_+.
\]
In this paper we generalise the theory of newforms for the Kohnen plus space
and the full space whenever the traces of Hecke operators acting on the spaces of
integral and half-integral weight modular forms are equal. We also consider the
space \( S_{k+1/2}(16N) \), \( N \) odd and squarefree, and develop the theory of newforms by
computing the dimension, since Ueda’s trace formula is known for the case where
the character of the space is nontrivial. In this case, we prove that the newform
spaces \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N) \) and \( S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(16N) \) contain only the zero function.

Let us now explain the results of this paper. Let \( M = 2^\alpha N \), \( \alpha = 1, 2 \), \( N \) odd
and squarefree, \( \chi^2 = 1 \) and \( \chi = \chi_8 \) when \( \alpha = 2 \), where \( \chi_8 \) is the real quadratic primitive
even character modulo 8 defined by $\chi_8(n) = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)$. Then there is a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism [Ueda 1988]

$$
\psi : S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \longrightarrow S_{2k}(2M).
$$

We define the space of newforms in the full space as

$$
S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4M, \chi) = \bigoplus_F S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4M, \chi; F),
$$

where the sum varies over an orthogonal basis of normalised Hecke eigenforms in $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2M)$, and for each such $F$ let

$$
S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4M; F) = \{ f \in S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) : f|T(n^2) = a_F(n)f, \forall n \geq 1, (n, 2M) = 1 \}.
$$

Then, $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4M, \chi)$ is the inverse image of $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2M)$ under the isomorphism $\psi$, so the “multiplicity-one” result is valid for $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4M, \chi)$.

Consider the plus space $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_+$ preserves the space $S_{k+1/2}(8N)$ and $\mathcal{P}_+ T(n^2) = T(n^2)\mathcal{P}_+$, $(n, 2N) = 1$, we define $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N) = S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N)|\mathcal{P}_+$, and as such the plus space $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$ is a subspace of $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N)$. For a nonzero Hecke eigenform $f \in S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N; F)$, the form $f|\mathcal{P}_+$ is also a nonzero Hecke eigenform belonging to the same space having the same eigenvalues (for almost all Hecke operators) as that of $f$. Since $N$ is odd and squarefree, a multiplicity-one result holds for the space $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N)$ and hence $f|\mathcal{P}_+ = f$. This proves the equality $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N) = S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N)$. To get $f|\mathcal{P}_+ \neq 0$, we use the multiplicity-one result along with the fact that $F|\mathcal{S}_t^* \neq 0$ for some squarefree integer $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $(-1)^k t > 0$. Here $\mathcal{S}_t^*$ is the Shintani lifting, which is the adjoint of the Shimura map $\mathcal{S}_t$ with respect to the Petersson scalar product ($\mathcal{S}_t$ maps $S_{k+1/2}(8N)$ into $S_{2k}(4N)$) — see [Manickam et al. 1989; Shintani 1975]. The nonvanishing of $F|\mathcal{S}_t^*$ follows from the fact that the $|t|$-th Fourier coefficient of $F|\mathcal{S}_t^*$ is (up to a nonzero constant) equal to the special value $L(F, t, k)$ and, for some choice of squarefree integer $t$, $(t, 2N) = 1$, this special value is nonzero — see [Murty and Murty 1997]. Thus, we get $F|\mathcal{S}_t^*|\mathcal{P}_+ \neq 0$, since $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Now, we let $M = 4N$ and $\chi$ be trivial. Through the dimension formula we observe that $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N) = S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(16N) = \{0\}$. Further, we develop the theory of newforms on $S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi)$, where $\chi$ is trivial or $\chi = \chi_8$. Thus, in this paper we consider the above assumptions on $M$:

$$
M = \begin{cases} 
2N & \chi \text{ trivial}, \\
4N & \chi \text{ trivial or } \chi = \chi_8,
\end{cases}
$$

with $N$ odd and squarefree, and set up the theory of newforms. We observe that the Shimura–Kohnen lifts map the space $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$ into the space $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4N)$ instead of $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2N)$. 
Finally, as an application of the theory of newforms of half-integral weight, we get explicit eigenvalues for the $W$-operators on $S_{2k}(2M)$ (see [Gun et al. 2010], for example). More precisely, if $M = 2N$ or $4N$ ($N$ odd and squarefree), and if $f \in S_{2k}^{new}(2M)$ is a normalised newform with associated newform $f \in S_{k+1/2}^{new}(4M, \chi)$ ($8 | \text{cond } \chi$ if $M = 4N$), then we have

$$f|_{w_p} = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)f$$

for all $p | N$, where $D$ is a fundamental discriminant, $(-1)^{k} D > 0$, $(D, M) = 1$ with $a_f(D) \neq 0$. To get this, we use $f|_{w_p} = \lambda_{p} f$ and the explicit Fourier expansion of $f|_{w_p}$ (see [Kohnen 1982]). Thus, for $p | N$, $F|_{W_p} = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)F$. Now,

$$L^*(F, D, s) := \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2M}|D|}\right)^{-s} \Gamma(s)L(F, D, s)$$

satisfies

$$L^*(F, D, 2k - s) = \left(\frac{D}{2M}\right)\lambda_{2M} L^*(F, D, s), \quad \left(\frac{D}{-1}\right) = (-1)^{k},$$

where $\lambda_{2M}$ is the product of eigenvalues of the various $W$-operators $W_{p\beta}$,

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1 & \text{if } p = 2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Using $\lambda_{p} = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ for all primes $p | N$ in the above functional equation, we get $\left(\frac{D}{2p}\right) \cdot \lambda_{2\beta} = 1$, since $L(F, D, k)$ is nonzero for some fundamental discriminant $D$, $(D, 2N) = 1$. From this we conclude that the eigenvalue of the $W$-operator $W_{2\beta}$ on $S_{2k}^{new}(2M)$ is equal to 1 when $\beta$ is even. This proves that $S_{2k}(4) = S_{2k}^{-}(4)$, where

$$S_{2k}^{-}(m) = \left\{ f \in S_{2k}(m) : f \left| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \right\}.$$ 

The above subspace was introduced by Skoruppa and Zagier [1988] in connection with the theory of newforms for the space of Jacobi cusp forms.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some basic facts regarding modular forms of half-integral weight. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the upper half-plane consisting of complex numbers $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$. For complex numbers $z \neq 0, x$, we let $z^x = e^{x \log z}$, $\log z = \log |z| + i \arg z$, $-\pi < \arg z \leq \pi$. Let $\zeta$ be a fourth root of unity. Let $G$ denote the four-sheeted covering of $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ defined as the set of all ordered pairs $(\alpha, \phi(\tau))$, where $\phi(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi^2(\tau) = \zeta^2(c\tau + d)/\sqrt{\det \alpha}$ and $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $G$ is a group with multiplication $(\alpha, \phi(\tau))(\beta, \psi(\tau)) = (\alpha \beta, \phi(\beta \tau)\psi(\tau))$. Let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number. For a complex valued function $f$ defined on the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}$ and an element $(\alpha, \phi(\tau)) \in G$, define the stroke
operator by \( f|_{k+1/2}(\alpha, \phi(\tau))(\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{-2k-1}f(\alpha \tau) \). We omit the subscript \( k + \frac{1}{2} \) wherever there is no ambiguity. For \( \Gamma_0(4) \) and its subgroups, we take the lifting \( \Gamma_0(4) \rightarrow G \) as the collection \( \{(\alpha, j(\alpha, \tau))\} \), where

\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4) \quad \text{and} \quad j(\alpha, \tau) = \left( \frac{c}{d} \right) \left( \frac{-4}{d} \right)^{-1/2} (c\tau + d)^{1/2}.
\]

Here \( \left( \frac{c}{d} \right) \) denotes the generalised quadratic residue symbol and \( \left( \frac{-4}{d} \right)^{1/2} \) is equal to 1 or \( i \) according as \( d \) is 1 or 3 modulo 4. Let \( M \) be a natural number. A holomorphic function \( f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is called a modular form of weight \( k + \frac{1}{2} \) for \( \Gamma_0(4M) \) with character \( \chi \) (modulo \( 4M \)) if \( f|_{k+1/2}(\gamma, j(\gamma, \tau))(\tau) = \chi(d) f(\tau) \) for all \( \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4M) \) and \( f \) is holomorphic at all the cusps of \( \Gamma_0(4M) \). If, further, it vanishes at all the cusps, then it is called a cusp form. The set of cusp forms defined as above forms a complex vector space denoted by \( S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \). If \( \chi \) is the trivial character, then the space is denoted by \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \). We also denote by \( S_k(M) \) the space of cusp forms of weight \( k \) on \( \Gamma_0(M) \) with trivial character.

The Fourier expansion of a cusp form \( f \) at the cusp infinity is usually written as

\[
f(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) q^n, \quad \text{where} \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.
\]

For a prime \( p \), the \( p \)-th Hecke operator on \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) is denoted by \( T(p^2) \) if \( p \nmid 2M \) and \( U(p^2) \) if \( p | 2M \); and on \( S_{2k}(M) \) is denoted by \( T(p) \) if \( p \nmid M \) and \( U(p) \) if \( p | M \). By a Hecke eigenform in \( S_{k+1/2}(4M, \chi) \), we mean a nonzero form in the space which is a simultaneous eigenform for all Hecke operators \( T(n^2), (n, 2M) = 1 \). For any positive integer \( n \), the operators \( U(n) \) and \( B(n) \) are defined on formal sums by \( U(n) : \sum_{m \geq 1} a(m) q^m \mapsto \sum_{m \geq 1} a(mn) q^m \), \( B(n) : \sum_{m \geq 1} a(m) q^m \mapsto \sum_{m \geq 1} a(m) q^{nm} \). The Petersson inner product for forms \( f, g \in S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) is defined by

\[(f, g) = \frac{1}{i_{4M}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} v^{k-3/2} d\tau dv,
\]

where \( \mathcal{F} \) is a fundamental domain for the action of \( \Gamma_0(4M) \) on \( \mathcal{H} \), \( i_{4M} \) is the index of \( \Gamma_0(4M) \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \tau = u + iv \).

### 2.1. Shimura and Shintani liftings

Let \( t \) be a squarefree integer with \((-1)^k t > 0\). Then the \( t \)-th Shimura map on the space \( S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) is defined by

\[
f|_{\mathcal{S}_t} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d | n, (d, 2M) = 1} \left( \frac{4t}{d} \right) d^{k-1} a_f(t|n^2/d^2) \right) q^n.
\]

We summarise the Shintani lifting [Manickam et al. 1989] when \( M = 2^a N \), \( N \) is odd and \( \alpha \geq 1 \). If \( t \) is a squarefree integer, \((-1)^k t > 0\), then for \( F \in S_{2k}(2M) \) we have \( F|_{\mathcal{S}_t^*} \in S_{k+1/2}(4M) \) and it is given by

\[
F|_{\mathcal{S}_t^*} = (-1)^{[k/2]} 2^{k-1+(\alpha+1)(-k+1/2)} \sum_{m \geq 1} \left( \sum_{r | N} \mu(r) \left( \frac{L}{r} \right) r^{-k} \sigma_{k, 2Mr}(F; \Delta mr^2) \right) q^m,
\]
where \( r_{k,2M}(F; \Delta m) \) is a certain cycle integral given by

\[
(4) \quad r_{k,2M}(F; \Delta m) = \sum \omega_I(Q) \int_{C_Q} F(z)(az^2 + bz + c)^{k-1} \, dz.
\]

In the above, the sum is over all \( \Gamma_0(2M) \)-equivalent quadratic forms \( Q = [a, b, c] \) with discriminant \( b^2 - 4ac = \Delta m, \Delta = 4^{\alpha + 1}|t| \) and \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha + 1}N} \); \( C_Q \) is the image in \( \Gamma_0(2M) \setminus \mathcal{H} \) of the semicircle \( a|z|^2 + b \Re(z) + c = 0 \) oriented from \((-b - \sqrt{\Delta m})/2a\) to \((-b + \sqrt{\Delta m})/2a\) if \( a \neq 0 \), or of the vertical line \( b \Re(z) + c = 0 \) oriented from \(-c/b\) to \( i\infty \) if \( b > 0 \) and from \( i\infty \) to \(-c/b\) if \( b < 0, a = 0 \).

Let us compute \( r_{k,2M}(F; \Delta |t|) \). Since \( \Delta |t| = 4^{\alpha + 1}t^2 \), we take the representatives \([0, 2^{\alpha + 1}|t|, \mu] \circ W_r : \mu \pmod{2^{\alpha + 1}|t|}, r|2M, r > 0\), where \( W_r \) is the Atkin–Lehner \( W \)-operator. Note that \( \omega_I(Q_\mu \circ W_r) = \left( \frac{r}{t} \right) \omega_I(Q_\mu) = \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \left( \frac{r}{t} \right) \). Now, following the arguments in [Kohnen 1985, p. 243] we get

\[
(5) \quad r_{k,2M}(F; 4^{\alpha + 1}t^2) = 2^{\nu(2M)}(-1)^{[k/2]}(2\pi)^{-k} \Gamma(k)(2^{\alpha + 1}|t|)^{k-1/2} L(F, t, k),
\]

where \( \nu(2M) \) is the number of prime factors of \( 2M \). From this we get that, when \( F \) is a newform, the \( |t| \)-th Fourier coefficient of \( F|S^+_t \) is (up to a nonzero constant) the special value \( L(F, t, k) \).

### 2.2. \textit{W}-operators and the projection operator \( \mathcal{P}_+ \)

For \( p \nmid 2N \), let \( W_p \) denote the Atkin–Lehner \( W \)-operator on \( S_{2k}(2N) \). For \( p = 2 \), we define the analogous Atkin–Lehner \( W \)-operators \( W(4) \) on \( S_{k+1/2}(4N) \) and \( W(8) \) on \( S_{k+1/2}(8N) \) as follows:

\[
W(4) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 4a & b \\ 4Nc & 4 \end{array} \right), 2^{1/2}e^{i\pi/4}(Nc\tau + 1)^{1/2},
\]

where \( a, b, c \) are integers satisfying \( 4a - Nbc = 1 \) and \( b \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \);

\[
W(8) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 8x & y \\ 8Nw & 8 \end{array} \right), 8^{1/4}e^{i\pi/4}(Nw\tau + 1)^{1/2},
\]

where \( x, y, w \) are integers such that \( y \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, 8x - Nwy = 1 \). We also let

\[
W_*(4) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 4u & v \\ 4Nr & 8 \end{array} \right), 2^{1/2}e^{i\pi/4}(Nr\tau + 2)^{1/2},
\]

where \( r, u, v \) are integers satisfying \( 8u - Nrv = 1 \) and \( v \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \).

**Remark 2.1.** The \( W \)-operators defined above are independent of the choice of the integers \( a, b, c, x, y, w, r, u, v \) with the given conditions. We note that \( W_*(4) = W(4) \) on \( S_{k+1/2}(4N) \); see [Manickam 1980; 2011] for details. The operator \( W(8) \) maps \( S_{k+1/2}(8N) \) into \( S_{k+1/2}(8N, \chi_8) \), and \( W(8)^2 = 1 \) on \( S_{k+1/2}(8N, \chi) \), where \( \chi \) is the principal character or \( \chi = \chi_8 \) and \( I \) denotes the identity operator.
We now define the projection operator $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_+$ on $S_{k+1/2}(4M)$ when $M$ is even. Let $\xi = \left( \frac{4+1}{0} \right), e^{i\pi/4}$ and $\xi' = \left( \frac{4-1}{0} \right), e^{-i\pi/4}$. Then a formal computation shows that $\xi$ (and hence $\xi'$) preserves the space $S_{k+1/2}(4M)$ if $4|M$. Hence, if $4|M$, we have

$$
(7) \quad \xi + \xi' : S_{k+1/2}(4M) \rightarrow S_{k+1/2}(4M).
$$

However, in the following we prove the above property for any even integer $M$. Let $M = 2N$, where $N$ is an odd positive integer. We write

$$
\xi + \xi' = \xi + \left( \frac{1-2N}{8N} \begin{pmatrix} (N-1)/2 \\ 1-2N \end{pmatrix} \right)^* \xi = \xi + \xi \left( \frac{1}{-8N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \xi \operatorname{Tr} \quad \text{on} \quad S_{k+1/2}(8N),
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr} = \sum_{\nu=0,1} \left( \frac{1}{-4N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^* \xi$ is adjoint to the inclusion $S_{k+1/2}(8N) \hookrightarrow S_{k+1/2}(16N)$ with respect to the Petersson scalar product. On formal Fourier series $\sum a_n q^n$, we have

$$
(8) \quad \sum a_n q^n (\xi + \xi') = \chi_8(2k+1) \sqrt{2} \left( \sum_{\nu=0,1} a_n q^n - \sum_{\nu=2,3} a_n q^n \right).
$$

We define

$$
(9) \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\chi_8(2k+1)}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi + \xi') + I \right).
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{P} f |_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}_+} = \sum_{\nu=0,1} a_f(n) q^n \in S_{k+1/2}(4M),
$$

where $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) q^n \in S_{k+1/2}(4M)$.

3. Newforms on the plus space $S_{k+1/2}^+(8N)$

In the recent work of Ueda and Yamana [2010], the plus space for $S_{k+1/2}(8N)$ has been introduced and they studied the theory of newforms. In this case each newform in the full space $S_{k+1/2}^\text{new}(8N)$ (see [Manickam 1980; 2011]) satisfies $f|_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}_+} = f$. This follows by using that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_+$ maps $S_{k+1/2}^\text{new}(8N)$ into itself and the multiplicity-one result obtained from Ueda’s trace formula, together with the nonvanishing of $F|S_f^*$ for some squarefree $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, where $F \in S_{2k}^\text{new}(4N)$ is a normalised newform equivalent to $f$. Hence, the elements of $S_{k+1/2}^\text{new}(8N)$ also satisfies the same plus space condition. Therefore, we consider the development of the theory of newforms on $S_{k+1/2}^+(8N)$ and present the results in this section.
Let us first state the results for the full space $S_{k+1/2}(8N)$, where $N$ is odd and squarefree. The following orthogonal decomposition of $S_{k+1/2}(8N)$ has been obtained in [Manickam 1980; 2011]:

\begin{equation}
S_{k+1/2}(8N) = S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(8N),
\end{equation}

where $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8N) = S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$ and the space of oldforms $S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(8N)$ has the decomposition

\begin{equation}
S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(8N) = \bigoplus_{rd|N, d < N} S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8d)|U(r^2) \oplus \bigoplus_{rd|N} S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|U(r^2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4r^2)|\mathcal{P}_+.
\end{equation}

We need to show only that, for a fixed divisor $d|N$, the sum

\[ S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d) + S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4) + S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)\mathcal{P}_+ \]

is direct. For some constants $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and a newform $f \in S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)$, if we have

\[ \alpha f + \beta f|U(4) + \gamma f|U(4)\mathcal{P}_+ = 0, \]

then, applying the operator $U(4)$ we get

\[ \alpha f|U(4) = - (\beta + \gamma) f|U(16), \]

from which we conclude that $\alpha = 0$. Since $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)\mathcal{P}_+$ is a direct sum, it follows that $\beta = \gamma = 0$. This proves the required direct sum.

Thus, we get the following theorem regarding the plus space $S_{k+1/2}^+(8N)$:

**Theorem 3.1.** The plus space $S_{k+1/2}^+(8N)$ has the orthogonal decomposition

\[ S_{k+1/2}^+(8N) = S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{old}}(8N), \]

where

\begin{equation}
S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{old}}(8N) = \bigoplus_{rd|N, d < N} S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8d)|U(r^2) \oplus \bigoplus_{rd|N} S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|U(r^2)|\mathcal{P}_+ \oplus \bigoplus_{rd|N} S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4r^2)|\mathcal{P}_+.
\end{equation}

The spaces $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$ and $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{old}}(8N)$ are mapped into the spaces $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4N)$ and $S_{2k}^{\text{old}}(4N)$ respectively under the Shimura lifting. Moreover, the spaces of newforms $S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(8N)$ and $S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4N)$ are isomorphic under a linear combination of Shimura lifts indexed by squarefree integers $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $(-1)^k t > 0$. 
Remark 3.2. If $f \in S^{+, \text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8N) = S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8N)$, then $a_f(n) = 0$ whenever $(-1)^k n$ is not congruent to 1 modulo 4. Hence, the Shimura maps $S^t_{1, 8N}$ annihilate $S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8N)$ whenever $t \neq 1 \pmod{4}$, $(-1)^k t > 0$.

4. Newform theory on $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$

In this section, we extend the theory of newforms to the space $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$, where $N$ is odd and squarefree. In this case, Ueda’s trace formula is not valid as cond $\chi = 1$. Also from the work of Manickam, Ramakrishnan and Vasudevan [Manickam et al. 1989] on the Shintani lifting, it seems that there exists no Shintani lift from $S^{\text{new}}_{2k}(8N)$ to $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$. But, such a lifting exists if we replace the trivial character by a primitive character modulo 8 or 16 (see [Manickam et al. 1989]). This indicates the nonexistence of a nontrivial space of newforms in $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$, which is mapped to $S^{\text{new}}_{2k}(8N)$ under the Shimura lifting. To realise this, we compute the dimension of the space $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$ and give a decomposition of the space of oldforms (which turns out to be the full space).

Let us now compute the dimensions of the spaces $S_{2k}(4N)$ and $S_{k+1/2}(16N)$. Using [Martin 2005], we have

$$(13) \quad \dim S_{2k}(4N) = \frac{2k-1}{12} 4N \prod_{p \mid 2N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{3}{2} 2^{\nu(N)}$$

$$= \frac{(2k-1)}{2} \prod_{p \mid N} (p+1) - 3 \cdot 2^{\nu(N)-1},$$

where $\nu(N)$ is the number of prime factors of $N$. Now, using [Cohen and Oesterlé 1977], we get

$$(14) \quad \dim S_{k+1/2}(16N) = \frac{2k-1}{24} 16N \prod_{p \mid 2N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{\xi(k, 16N, 1)}{2} \prod_{p \mid N} \lambda(r_p, s_p, p)$$

$$= (2k-1) \prod_{p \mid N} (p+1) - 3 \cdot 2^{\nu(N)}.$$

(In the above we have used the dimension formula as given in [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.56, p. 16].) Equations (13), (14) imply that $\dim S_{k+1/2}(16N) = 2 \dim S_{2k}(4N)$.

We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.1. We have

$$(15) \quad S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(16N) = \{0\}$$

and
\[(16) \quad S_{k+1/2}(16N) = \bigoplus_{rd|N} (S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)P + \\
+ S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(8)B(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|B(4) \\
+ S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)B(4)|U(r^2) \\
+ \bigoplus_{rd|N} (S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|P + S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|U(2)B(2) \\
+ S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|B(4)|U(r^2) \\
+ \bigoplus_{rd|N} (S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d)|W(16)|U(r^2),
\]

where \(W(16)\) is the \(W\)-operator corresponding to the prime \(p = 2\) in \(S_{k+1/2}(16N)\).

**Proof.** It is enough to show the direct sum in the respective eigensubspaces. First consider the eigensubspace generated by \(S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\). By Theorem 3.1, the sum \(S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d) + S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4) + S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)P + \) is direct and, assuming the rest of the sum in the eigensubspace is not direct, then we have \(f \in S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\) which is nonzero and such that all odd coefficients of \(f|U(8)\) are zero, by assuming \(S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(8) \cap (S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|B(2) + S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)|U(4)B(2))\) is nonzero. That is, \(f|U(4) \in S_{k+1/2}(4d)\) has the property that its \(n\)-th Fourier coefficient is zero whenever \(n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\). This means that \(f|U(4) \in S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\), a contradiction since \(0 \neq f \in S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\). Hence all the sums in the eigensubspace generated by \(S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\) are direct. Next, consider the eigensubspace generated by \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)\). Clearly \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|P + \) is a direct sum in \(S_{k+1/2}(8N)\). If there is a nonzero element in the intersection of \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|U(2)B(2)\) and \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|B(4)\), then the \(n\)-th Fourier coefficient of a nonzero form \(f \in S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)\) vanishes whenever \(n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\) and hence, by [Kohnen 1982, Lemma], \(0 \neq f \in S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d)\), a contradiction. So, the subspace \(S_{k+1/2}(2B(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)|B(4)\) is a direct sum in \(S_{k+1/2}(16N)\). In order to prove that all the sum as above generated by \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)\) is direct, we use the following fact. If \(f \in S_{k+1/2}(8N), \chi_8\) and \(f|B(2) \in S_{k+1/2}(8N)\), then \(f = 0\), by [Serre and Stark 1977, Lemma 7]. Finally, applying \(U(2)\) on the eigensubspace of \(S_{k+1/2}(8d)\), one component is mapped to zero and the other component is \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d)|W(8)\), which is nonzero. Hence, we get that the sum in this eigensubspace is direct. This completes the proof for the direct sum decomposition of \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N)\).

Since the spaces \(S_{k+1/2}^{+,\text{new}}(4d), S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)\) and \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d)\) are isomorphic (under the Shimura correspondence) to the spaces \(S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(d), S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2d)\) and \(S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4d)\) respectively, we see that

\[(17) \quad \dim S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N) = \sum_{rd|N} (6 \dim S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(d) + 4 \dim S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2d) + 2 \dim S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4d)).\]
Lemma 5.1. The operator $U$ following lemma shows that the respective sums are direct.

The space of oldforms in $S^{\text{old}}_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8)$ is odd and squarefree. Since cond $\chi_8 = 8$, by Ueda’s result [1988] there exists a Hecke equivariant isomorphism between the spaces $S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8)$ and $S_{2k}(8N)$. Define the space of oldforms in $S^{\text{old}}_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8)$ as follows:

$$S^{\text{old}}_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) = \sum_{rd|N} (S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|B(2) + S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|U(2))U(r^2) + \sum_{rd|N} (S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|U(8) + S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|U(8)W(8)B(2))U(r^2)$$

$$+ \sum_{rd|N} (S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|U(2) + S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|B(2))U(r^2) + \sum_{rd|N} S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8d)|B(2)U(r^2) + \sum_{rd|N, d < N} S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(16d, \chi_8)|U(r^2).$$

First consider the sum in the eigensubspace generated by $S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)$. Suppose $(f_1|U(4) + f_2)|U(2) = f_3|B(2)$, where $f_i \in S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. This implies that $f_1|U(4) + f_2 \in S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)$ is such that all its Fourier coefficients which are congruent to 2 modulo 4 are zero. Hence, by [Kohnen 1982, Lemma], we conclude that $f_1|U(4) + f_2 \in S^{+}_{k+1/2}(4d)$. Thus, $f_1 = 0$. Therefore, $f_2|U(2) = f_3|B(2)$, i.e., $f_2$ and $f_3$ belong to $S^+_{k+1/2}(4d)$, which implies that $f_2$ and hence $f_3 = 0$. Now, among the four components, the first three direct sums belong to $S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)$. But, the fourth one is in $S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)|B(2) \in S^{+,\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(16, \chi_8)$. This shows that all the four components form a direct sum. Next, consider the eigensubspaces generated by $S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4d)$ and $S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8d)$. A similar argument as above together with the following lemma shows that the respective sums are direct.

Lemma 5.1. The operator $U(2)W(8)$ has the following mapping property:

$$U(2)W(8) : S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(4N) \rightarrow S^{\text{new}}_{k+1/2}(8N).$$
Moreover, if \( f \in S_{k+1/2}(4N) \), then \( f|U(2)W(8) \in S_{k+1/2}(4N) \) if and only if \( f \in S_{k+1/2}^+(4N) \).

**Proof.** The mapping property follows from a straightforward verification. Suppose \( f|U(2)W(8) = g \), where \( f, g \in S_{k+1/2}(4N) \). Using

\[
W(8)W_*(4) = \chi_8(2k + 1) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array} \right), 2^{1/4} \quad \text{on} \quad S_{k+1/2}(8N, \chi_8)
\]

and

\[
W_*(4) = W(4) \quad \text{on} \quad S_{k+1/2}(4N),
\]

we get

\[
f|U(2)\left| \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ 2 \end{array} \right), 2^{1/4} \right) = \chi_8(2k + 1) g|W(4).
\]

Now, \( g|W(4) \) is invariant under \( \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^* \). Hence, \( a_f|U(2)(n) = 0 \) if \( n \) is odd and, therefore, \( a_f(n) = 0 \) whenever \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). This proves that \( f \in S_{k+1/2}^+(4N) \), a contradiction. For a detailed proof of the identities (19) and (20), we refer to [Manickam 2011].

Define the space of newforms in \( S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) \) to be the orthogonal complement (with respect to the Petersson scalar product) of \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N, \chi_8) \) in \( S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) \). It is already known that the spaces \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d) \), \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d) \) and \( S_{k+1/2}(8d) \) are isomorphic (respectively) to \( S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(d) \), \( S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(2d) \) and \( S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(4d) \). Using induction on the number of prime factors of \( N \), it follows that the space \( S_{k+1/2}(16d, \chi_8) \) is isomorphic to \( S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(8d) \) if \( d|N \) and \( d < N \). Now, comparing the dimension of the space \( S_{2k}^{\text{old}}(8N) \), we see that the spaces \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N, \chi_8) \) and \( S_{2k}^{\text{old}}(8N) \) have equal dimension. As mentioned at the beginning of this section, Ueda [1988] has shown that the spaces \( S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) \) and \( S_{2k}(8N) \) are Hecke-equivariantly isomorphic when \( N \) is odd and squarefree. Therefore, combining all these facts, it follows that the space \( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N, \chi_8) \) is isomorphic to \( S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(8N) \).

We summarise the results of this section in the following.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( N \) be an odd and squarefree natural number and let \( \chi_8 \) be the primitive even quadratic Dirichlet character modulo 8. Then \( S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) \) has an orthogonal decomposition

\[
S_{k+1/2}(16N, \chi_8) = S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N, \chi_8) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N, \chi_8),
\]

and
\[
S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N, \chi_8) = \bigoplus_{rd|N} \left( S_{k+1/2}^{+, \text{new}}(4d)B(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+, \text{new}}(4d)U(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+, \text{new}}(4d)U(8) \right. \\
\left. \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{+, \text{new}}(4d)U(8)W(8)B(2) \right)U(r^2) \\
\bigoplus_{rd|N} \left( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)U(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)B(2) \right. \\
\left. \oplus_{rd|N} S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(4d)U(2)W(8)B(2) \right)U(r^2) \\
\bigoplus_{rd|N} \left( S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d)B(2) \oplus S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(8d)W(8) \right)U(r^2) \\
\bigoplus_{rd|N, d<N} S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16d, \chi_8)U(r^2).
\]

The spaces \(S_{2k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N, \chi_8)\) and \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{old}}(16N, \chi_8)\) are mapped, respectively, into the spaces \(S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(8N)\) and \(S_{2k}^{\text{old}}(8N)\) under the Shimura lifting. Moreover, the spaces of newforms \(S_{k+1/2}^{\text{new}}(16N, \chi_8)\) and \(S_{2k}^{\text{new}}(8N)\) are isomorphic under a linear combination of Shimura maps indexed by squarefree integers \(t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, (-1)^k t > 0\).
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