Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION ON SOME COMPACT HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

JIANCHUN CHU

Volume 276 No. 2

August 2015

THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION ON SOME COMPACT HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

JIANCHUN CHU

We consider the complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact manifolds when the background metric is a Hermitian metric (in complex dimension 2) or a Hermitian metric satisfying an additional condition (in higher dimensions). We prove that the Laplacian estimate holds when F is in W^{1,q_0} for any $q_0 > 2n$. As an application, we show that, up to scaling, there exists a unique classical solution in W^{3,q_0} for the complex Monge–Ampère equation when F is in W^{1,q_0} .

1. Introduction

We consider the regularity problem of the complex Monge–Ampère equation on some compact Hermitian manifolds. Let (M, g) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension $n \ge 2$. For a real-valued function F on M, we consider the Monge–Ampère equation

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}) = e^F \det(g_{ij}),$$

with $(g_{i\bar{l}} + \phi_{i\bar{l}}) > 0$, for a real-valued function ϕ such that $\sup_M \phi = -1$. We write

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}}\,dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$$
 and $\tilde{\omega} = \sqrt{-1}\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}\,dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$

where $\tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}} = g_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}$. Thus, the Monge–Ampère equation can be written as

(1-1)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\omega}^n = e^F \omega^n, \\ \tilde{\omega} = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \, \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0, \\ \sup_M \phi = -1. \end{cases}$$

For functions f, h and a holomorphic coordinate $z = (z^1, ..., z^n)$ we write

$$f_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j}, \qquad \Delta f = g^{i\bar{j}} f_{i\bar{j}}, \qquad \tilde{\Delta} f = \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} f_{i\bar{j}},$$
$$|\nabla f|^2 = g^{i\bar{j}} f_i f_{\bar{j}}, \quad |\tilde{\nabla} f|^2 = \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} f_i f_{\bar{j}}, \quad \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle = g^{i\bar{j}} f_i h_{\bar{j}}.$$

MSC2010: 35J96, 53C55.

Keywords: complex Monge-Ampère equation, compact Hermitian manifold.

We use $||f||_{L^p(M,\omega)}$ and $||\nabla^m f||_{L^p(M,\omega)}$ to denote the corresponding norms with respect to (M, ω) .

When ω is Kähler, the complex Monge–Ampère equation is very important. Calabi [1957] presented his famous conjecture and transformed that problem into (1-1). Yau [1978] proved the existence of the classical solution of (1-1) by using the continuity method and solved Calabi's conjecture.

The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge–Ampère equation is also very important. Bedford and Taylor [1976; 1982] studied the weak solution. After their work, weak solutions of the complex Monge–Ampère equation have been studied extensively. There are many existence, uniqueness and regularity results of the complex Monge–Ampère equation under different conditions, and we refer the reader to [Błocki 2005; Demailly and Pali 2010; Dinew 2009; Eyssidieux et al. 2009; Guedj and Zeriahi 2007; Kołodziej 1998; 2008; Zhang 2006].

On the other hand, the classical solvability of the Dirichlet problem was established by Caffarelli, Kohn, Nirenberg and Spruck [1985] for strongly pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n . The reader can also see [Krylov 1989; Krylov 1994]. For further information, we refer the reader to [Phong et al. 2012], which is a survey of some recent developments in the theory of the complex Monge–Ampère equation.

When ω is not Kähler, the existence of the solution of the complex Monge– Ampère equation has been studied under some assumptions on ω (see [Cherrier 1987; Guan and Li 2009; Hanani 1996; Tosatti and Weinkove 2010b]). For a general ω , Tosatti and Weinkove [2010a] obtained the key C^0 -estimate. As an application, they showed that, up to scaling, the complex Monge–Ampère equation on a compact Hermitian manifold admits a smooth solution when the right hand side F is smooth.

Chen and He [2012] have proved that, on a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension *n*, the Laplacian estimate and the gradient estimate hold and there exists a classical solution in W^{3,q_0} for the complex Monge–Ampère equation when the right-hand side *F* is in W^{1,q_0} for any $q_0 > 2n$.

In this paper, we generalize the work of Chen and He. We use a different method (we don't need the gradient estimate to get the Laplacian estimate) to consider the regularity problem of (1-1) on some compact Hermitian manifolds (including compact Kähler manifolds).

Definition 1.1. A compact Hermitian manifold (M, ω) of complex dimension *n* satisfies condition (*) if, for any $\phi \in C^2(M)$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \, \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0, \quad \|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)} \le \Lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_2^{-1} \omega^n \le \tilde{\omega}^n \le \Lambda_2 \omega^n,$$

there exists a constant $C = C(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, M, \omega)$ such that

$$-C\omega^n \le \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \le C\omega^n.$$

Remark 1.2. When n = 2, condition (*) is trivial. Since

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega} = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega$$
.

all compact Hermitian manifolds of complex dimension 2 satisfy condition (*).

Remark 1.3. When n = 3, if (M, ω) is a compact Hermitian manifold satisfying

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0,$$

then we have

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega}^2 = 2 \partial \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \omega$$

which implies this Hermitian manifold (M, ω) satisfies condition (*).

Remark 1.4. When $n \ge 4$, condition (*) is not a very strong restricted condition. For example, if (M, ω) is a compact Hermitian manifold satisfying

(1-2)
$$\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0 \text{ and } \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^2 = 0,$$

then we can conclude that $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^k = 0$ for all $1 \le k \le n - 1$ (see, for example, [Fino and Tomassini 2011]), which implies that $\partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega}^k = 0$ for all $1 \le k \le n - 1$. Thus, such a Hermitian manifold (satisfying (1-2)) satisfies condition (*). For example, the products of a complex curve with a Kähler metric and a complex surface with a non-Kähler Gauduchon metric satisfy (1-2). More examples are constructed in [Fino and Tomassini 2011].

Remark 1.5. All compact Kähler manifolds satisfy condition (*).

Now, we state our Laplacian estimate as follows.

Theorem 1.6. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension *n*. Assume that either

- (1) n = 2, or
- (2) $n \ge 3$ and (M, ω) satisfies condition (*).

For any $q_0 > 2n$, if ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1), then

$$\|n + \Delta \phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)} \le C(\|F\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega).$$

Usually, we need the gradient estimate to derive the Laplacian estimate. However, the computation on Hermitian manifolds is more complicated due to the existence of torsion terms. As a result, the gradient estimate is very difficult to obtain. In order to solve this problem, we introduce a new method to obtain the Laplacian

estimate directly. By using Moser's iteration [1960], L^p estimates (for example, see [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1977]) and some interpolation inequalities, we can obtain the Laplacian estimate without doing any calculations involving the gradient, which makes the argument simpler and clearer. Therefore, we believe that our ideas can be applied to other nonlinear equations on compact manifolds.

As an application of Theorem 1.6, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.7. Assume that (M, ω) satisfies condition (1) or (2) of Theorem 1.6. Let *F* be a function in W^{1,q_0} for any $q_0 > 2n$. Then there exist a function $\phi \in W^{3,q_0}$ and a constant *b* such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\omega}^n = e^{F+b} \omega^n, \\ \tilde{\omega} = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0, \\ \sup_M \phi = -1. \end{cases}$$

2. Some preliminary computations

We need the following C^0 -estimate from [Tosatti and Weinkove 2010a]:

Theorem 2.1. For any compact Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , if ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1), then we have

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)} \leq C,$$

where $C = C(\sup_M F, M, \omega)$.

We need the following lemma from [Tosatti and Weinkove 2015]:

Lemma 2.2. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension *n*. If ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1), then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

(2-1)
$$\tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi) + (\epsilon - 1) \frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^2}{(n + \Delta\phi)} \ge \Delta F - A(1 + 1/\epsilon)(n + \Delta\phi)(n - \tilde{\Delta}\phi),$$

where $A = A(M, \omega, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)})$.

Proof. We need the following equation, which is [Tosatti and Weinkove 2015, (9.5)]:

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\log(\operatorname{tr}_{g}\tilde{g})) \geq \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{g}\tilde{g})^{2}}\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{g}^{k\bar{l}}T^{i}_{ik}(\operatorname{tr}_{g}\tilde{g})_{\bar{l}}) + \frac{\Delta F}{\operatorname{tr}_{g}\tilde{g}} - C_{1}\operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{g}}g - C_{1},$$

where the tensor *T* is the torsion of (M, ω) and $C_1 = C_1(M, \omega, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)})$. After some calculations, we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi) - \frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^2}{(n+\Delta\phi)} \ge \frac{2}{(n+\Delta\phi)} \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{g}^{k\bar{l}}T^i_{i\bar{k}}(\Delta\phi)_{\bar{l}}) + \Delta F - C_2(n+\Delta\phi)(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi),$$

where $C_2 = C_2(M, \omega, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)})$; we have used that $\operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{g}} g = (n - \tilde{\Delta}\phi) \ge ne^{-F/n}$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi) &- \frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^2}{(n+\Delta\phi)} \\ &\geq -\epsilon \frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^2}{(n+\Delta\phi)} - \frac{A}{\epsilon}(n+\Delta\phi)(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi) + \Delta F - A(n+\Delta\phi)(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi), \end{split}$$

where $A = A(M, \omega, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)})$ and we have used that $(n + \Delta \phi) \ge ne^{F/n}$. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension *n*. *If* ϕ *is a smooth solution of* (1-1)*, then, for any* $p \ge 1$ *, we have*

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_p(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^p) \\ \geq C_1(p)(n+\Delta\phi)^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} - C_2(p)(n+\Delta\phi)^p + pe^{f_p(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\Delta F, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} f_{p}(\phi) &= e^{-A(p+3)\phi}, & A &= A(\|F\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega), \\ C_{1}(p) &= C_{1}(p, \|F\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega), & C_{2}(p) &= C_{2}(p, \|F\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega). \end{split}$$

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$(2-2) \quad \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_p(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^p) = f'_p e^{f_p(\phi)} (\tilde{\Delta}\phi)(n+\Delta\phi)^p + (f'^2_p + f''_p) e^{f_p(\phi)} |\tilde{\nabla}\phi|^2 (n+\Delta\phi)^p + p e^{f_p(\phi)} \tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi)(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1} + p(p-1) e^{f_p(\phi)} |\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^2 (n+\Delta\phi)^{p-2} + 2p f'_p e^{f_p(\phi)} (n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1} \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{g}^{k\bar{l}}\phi_k(\Delta\phi)_{\bar{l}}).$$

By the definition of $f_p(\phi)$, we have

(2-3)
$$\begin{cases} f'_p(\phi) = -A(p+3)e^{-A(p+3)\phi} < 0, \\ f''_p(\phi) = A^2(p+3)^2 e^{-A(p+3)\phi} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$2\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{g}^{k\bar{l}}\phi_{k}(\Delta\phi)_{\bar{l}}) \leq \frac{(f_{p}^{\prime 2} + f_{p}^{\prime\prime})(n + \Delta\phi)}{-pf_{p}^{\prime}} |\tilde{\nabla}\phi|^{2} + \frac{-pf_{p}^{\prime}}{(f_{p}^{\prime 2} + f_{p}^{\prime\prime})(n + \Delta\phi)} |\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^{2},$$

which implies that

$$(2-4) \quad 2pf'_{p}e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{g}^{k\bar{l}}\phi_{k}(\Delta\phi)_{\bar{l}}) \\ \geq -(f'^{2}_{p}+f''_{p})e^{f_{p}(\phi)}|\tilde{\nabla}\phi|^{2}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p} \\ -\frac{p^{2}f'^{2}_{p}}{f'^{2}_{p}+f''_{p}}e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-2}|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^{2}.$$

Combining (2-2) and (2-4), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}) \\ &\geq f_{p}'e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}\tilde{\Delta}\phi + pe^{f_{p}(\phi)}\tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi)(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^{2}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-2}e^{f_{p}(\phi)}\bigg(p(p-1) - \frac{p^{2}f_{p}'^{2}}{f_{p}'^{2} + f_{p}''}\bigg) \\ &\geq pe^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\bigg(\tilde{\Delta}(\Delta\phi) + \bigg(\frac{pf_{p}''}{(f_{p}')^{2} + f_{p}''} - 1\bigg)\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta\phi)|^{2}}{(n+\Delta\phi)}\bigg) \\ &\quad + f_{p}'e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}\tilde{\Delta}\phi. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2 (take $\epsilon = p f_p'' / ((f_p')^2 + f_p''))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2-5) \quad \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}) \\ &\geq f_{p}'e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}\tilde{\Delta}\phi + pe^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\Delta F \\ &\quad -Ape^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi)\left(1+\frac{(f_{p}')^{2}+f_{p}''}{pf_{p}''}\right) \\ &= nf_{p}'e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p} + pe^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\Delta F \\ &\quad +e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi)\left(-f_{p}'-Ap\left(1+\frac{(f_{p}')^{2}+f_{p}''}{pf_{p}''}\right)\right) \\ &\geq nf_{p}'e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p} + pe^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\Delta F \\ &\quad +Ae^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}(n-\tilde{\Delta}\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\sup_M \phi = -1$ and (2-3). It is clear that

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g} \tilde{g} \leq (\operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{g}} g)^{n-1} \frac{\det \tilde{g}}{\det g},$$

which implies that

(2-6)
$$(n + \Delta \phi) \le (n - \tilde{\Delta} \phi)^{n-1} e^F.$$

Combining this with (2-5) and (2-6), the proof is complete.

For convenience, we introduce some notation here: we set

(2-7)
$$u = e^{f_1(\phi)}(n + \Delta \phi).$$

Thus, by Young's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have

(2-8)
$$\tilde{\Delta}u \ge e^{f_1(\phi)} \Delta F - \tilde{C},$$

where $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega).$

3. The Laplacian estimate

We remark that in this section our constants may differ from line to line.

Lemma 3.1. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1), then, for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have

$$|\nabla f|^2 \le C u |\tilde{\nabla} f|^2,$$

where u is defined in (2-7) and $C = C(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$.

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$|\nabla f|^2 \le (n + \Delta \phi) |\tilde{\nabla} f|^2.$$

Combining this with (2-7) and Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, for any $p \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{M} |\nabla(u^{\frac{p}{2}})|^{2} \omega^{n}$$

$$\leq C(p^{2}+1) \int_{M} u^{p} (1+|\nabla F|^{2}) \omega^{n} + Cp \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C \int_{M} u^{p+1} \omega^{n},$$

where *u* is defined in (2-7) and $C = C(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |\nabla(u^{\frac{p}{2}})|^{2} \omega^{n} &\leq C_{1} \int_{M} u |\tilde{\nabla}(u^{\frac{p}{2}})|^{2} \tilde{\omega}^{n} \\ &= C_{1} n p \sqrt{-1} \int_{M} \partial u^{p} \wedge \bar{\partial} u \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \\ &= -C_{1} n p \sqrt{-1} \int_{M} u^{p} \partial \bar{\partial} u \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} + \frac{C_{1} n p}{p+1} \sqrt{-1} \int_{M} \bar{\partial} u^{p+1} \wedge \partial \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \\ &= -C_{1} p \int_{M} u^{p} (\tilde{\Delta} u) \tilde{\omega}^{n} - \frac{C_{1} n p}{p+1} \sqrt{-1} \int_{M} u^{p+1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}, \end{split}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Since *M* satisfies condition (*) (when n = 2, all Hermitian manifolds satisfy condition (*)), we have

$$-\frac{C_1 n p}{p+1} \sqrt{-1} \int_M u^{p+1} \, \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \leq C_2 \int_M u^{p+1} \omega^n,$$

where $C_2 = C_2(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ (Since $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, we can absorb it into the constant C_2). By (2-8) and $\tilde{\omega}^n = e^F \omega^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} -C_{1}p \int_{M} u^{p}(\tilde{\Delta}u)\tilde{\omega}^{n} &\leq C_{3}p \int_{M} u^{p}(\tilde{C} - e^{f_{1}(\phi)}\Delta F)\tilde{\omega}^{n} \\ &\leq C_{3}\tilde{C}p \int_{M} u^{p}\tilde{\omega}^{n} - C_{3}p \int_{M} e^{f_{1}(\phi)}u^{p}(\Delta(e^{F}) - e^{F}|\nabla F|^{2})\omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{4}p \int_{M} u^{p}(1 + |\nabla F|^{2})\omega^{n} + C_{3}p \int_{M} \langle \nabla(e^{f_{1}(\phi)}u^{p}), \nabla(e^{F}) \rangle \omega^{n} \\ &- \sqrt{-1}C_{3}np \int_{M} e^{f_{1}(\phi)}u^{p}\bar{\partial}e^{F} \wedge \partial \omega^{n-1}, \end{split}$$

where $C_3 = C_3(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega), C_4 = C_4(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. It is clear that

$$C_{3}p \int_{M} \langle \nabla(e^{f_{1}(\phi)}u^{p}), \nabla(e^{F}) \rangle \omega^{n}$$

= $C_{3}p \int_{M} u^{p} \langle \nabla(e^{f_{1}(\phi)}), \nabla(e^{F}) \rangle \omega^{n} + C_{3}p \int_{M} e^{f_{1}(\phi)} \langle \nabla(u^{p}), \nabla(e^{F}) \rangle \omega^{n}$
 $\leq C_{5}p \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla F| |\nabla \phi| \omega^{n} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{5}p^{2} \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n},$

where $C_5 = C_5(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Here we have used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. We notice that

$$-\sqrt{-1}C_3np\int_M e^{f_1(\phi)}u^p\,\bar{\partial}e^F\wedge\partial\omega^{n-1}\leq C_6p\int_M u^p|\nabla F|\omega^n$$

,

where $C_6 = C_6(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ (Since $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, we can absorb it into the constant C_6). Combining the above inequalities, we complete the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(M,\omega)}, \|F\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $q_0 < \infty$. We use the iteration method (see [Moser 1960]). By the Sobolev inequality (Corollary A.2) and Lemma 3.2, for $p \ge 1$ we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{M} u^{p\beta} \omega^{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq C_{1} \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} + C_{1} \int_{M} |\nabla(u^{\frac{p}{2}})|^{2} \omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{1} \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} + C_{1} p^{2} \int_{M} u^{p} (1 + |\nabla F|^{2}) \omega^{n} \\ &\quad + C_{1} p \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C_{1} \int_{M} u^{p+1} \omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{1} p^{2} \int_{M} u^{p+1} \omega^{n} + C_{1} p^{2} \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{1} p^{2} \int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n}, \end{split}$$

where $\beta = n/(n-1)$ and $C_1 = C_1(||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Here we have used Young's inequality and the inequality $p \le p^2$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} \leq \left(\int_{M} u^{pr_{0}} \omega^{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{0}}} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla F|^{q_{0}} \omega^{n} \right)^{\frac{2}{q_{0}}}$$

and

$$\int_{M} u^{p} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} \leq \left(\int_{M} u^{pr_{0}} \omega^{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{0}}} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla \phi|^{q_{0}} \omega^{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_{0}}} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla F|^{q_{0}} \omega^{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_{0}}},$$

where $1/r_0 + 2/q_0 = 1$. Combining the above inequalities, when $pr_0 \ge p+1$ (that is, $p \ge (q_0 - 2)/2$), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{L^{p\beta}(M,\omega)} &\leq (C_2 p^2 (\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{q_0}(M,\omega)} + 1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\|u\|_{L^{p+1}(M,\omega)}^{\frac{p+1}{p}} + \|u\|_{L^{pr_0}(M,\omega)} \right) \\ &\leq (C_2 p^2 (\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{q_0}(M,\omega)} + 1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^{pr_0}(M,\omega)}^{\frac{p+1}{p}}, \end{split}$$

where $C_2 = C_2(||F||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega)$. By Lemma A.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{q_0}(M,\omega)} &\leq C_3 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2nq_0}{2n+q_0}}(M,\omega)} + C_3 \\ &\leq C_3 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(M,\omega)} + C_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = C_3(q_0, ||F||_{\infty}, M, \omega)$. Thus, for any $k \ge 0$, we have

(3-1)
$$||u||_{L^{p_k\beta}(M,\omega)} \le a_k ||u||_{L^{p_kr_0}(M,\omega)}^{b_k},$$

where

$$a_{k} = \left(C_{4} p_{k}^{2} \left(\|u\|_{L^{\frac{q_{0}}{2}}(M,\omega)} + 1\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{k}}}, \quad C_{4} = C_{4} \left(\|F\|_{W_{1,q_{0}}(M,\omega)}, q_{0}, M, \omega\right),$$
$$b_{k} = \frac{p_{k} + 1}{p_{k}}, \qquad p_{k} = \frac{q_{0} - 2}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{r_{0}}\right)^{k}.$$

Here we point out that $q_0 > 2n$ implies that $\beta/r_0 > 1$. By (3-1), we have

(3-2)
$$\|u\|_{L^{p_k\beta}(M,\omega)} \le a_k a_{k-1}^{b_k} \cdots a_0^{b_k \cdots b_1} \|u\|_{L^{p_0r_0}(M,\omega)}^{b_k \cdots b_0}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_k \ge 1$ for $k \ge 0$. We observe that $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} b_k$ and $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} a_k$ are convergent. In (3-2), letting $k \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(M,\omega)}, \|F\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega).$$

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, for any $p \ge 1$, we have

$$\int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^{n} \leq C(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} + C(p),$$

where $C(p) = C(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega).$

Proof. Starting with Lemma 2.3 and then integrating over $(M, \tilde{\omega})$, for any $p \ge 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{M} \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p})\tilde{\omega}^{n} \\ &\geq C_{1}(p)\int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}}\tilde{\omega}^{n} - C_{2}(p)\int_{M} u^{p}\tilde{\omega}^{n} + p\int_{M} e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p-1}\Delta F e^{F}\omega^{n}, \end{split}$$

where $C_1(p) = C_1(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ and $C_2(p) = C_2(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Here we have used (2-7) and Theorem 2.1. Since *M* satisfies condition (*), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} \tilde{\Delta}(e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p})\tilde{\omega}^{n} &= n\sqrt{-1}\int_{M} \partial\bar{\partial}(e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p})\wedge\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \\ &= n\sqrt{-1}\int_{M} e^{f_{p}(\phi)}(n+\Delta\phi)^{p}\,\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\omega}^{n-1} \\ &\leq C_{3}(p)\int_{M} u^{p}\omega^{n}, \end{split}$$

where $C_3(p) = C_3(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ (Since $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, we can absorb it into the constant C_3). Combining the above inequalities, we compute that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{4}(p) \int_{M} e^{f_{p}(\phi)} (n + \Delta \phi)^{p-1} (|\nabla F|^{2} e^{F} - \Delta(e^{F})) \omega^{n} + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{4}(p) \int_{M} \langle \nabla (e^{f_{p}(\phi)} (n + \Delta \phi)^{p-1}), \nabla e^{F} \rangle \omega^{n} \\ &\quad - C_{4}(p) n \sqrt{-1} \int_{M} e^{f_{p}} (n + \Delta \phi)^{p-1} \bar{\partial} e^{F} \wedge \partial \omega^{n-1} + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} \\ &\leq C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F| \omega^{n} \\ &\quad + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} |\nabla (u^{p-1})| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C_{5}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n}, \end{split}$$

where $C_4(p) = C_4(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ and $C_5(p) = C_5(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$ (Since $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, we can absorb it into the constant C_5). By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$C_{5}(p)\int_{M} |\nabla(u^{p-1})| |\nabla F|\omega^{n} = C_{5}(p)\int_{M} |\nabla(u^{\frac{p-1}{2}})| u^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\nabla F|\omega^{n}$$

$$\leq C_{5}(p)\int_{M} |\nabla(u^{\frac{p-1}{2}})|^{2}\omega^{n} + C_{5}(p)\int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2}\omega^{n}.$$

Combining this with the above inequalities and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^{n} \\ \leq C_{6}(p) \int_{M} u^{p} \omega^{n} + C_{6}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C_{6}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n}$$

where $C_6(p) = C_6(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Using Young's inequality, we complete the proof.

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Without loss of generality, we assume that $q_0 < \infty$. By Lemma 3.4 and $F \in W^{1,q_0}$, for any $p \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^{n} &\leq C_{1}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla F| \omega^{n} + C_{1}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla F|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{1}(p) \\ &\leq C_{1}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{2}(p) \int_{M} u^{(p-1)\frac{q_{0}}{q_{0}-2}} \omega^{n} + C_{2}(p), \end{split}$$

where $C_1(p) = C_1(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega), C_2(p) = C_2(p, ||F||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega)$ and we have used the Hölder inequality in the last line. When $p \ge 1$ satisfies that

$$p + \frac{1}{n-1} > (p-1)\frac{q_0}{q_0-2}$$
, or equivalently $p < \frac{q_0-2}{2n-2} + \frac{q_0}{2}$

we can use Young's inequality to get the inequality

$$\int_{M} u^{p+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega^{n} \le C_{3}(p) \int_{M} u^{p-1} |\nabla \phi|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{3}(p),$$

where $C_3(p) = C_3(p, ||F||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega)$. Now, we take $p = q_0/2 - 1/(n-1)$, we obtain

$$\int_{M} u^{\frac{q_{0}}{2}} \omega^{n} \leq C_{4} \int_{M} u^{\frac{q_{0}}{2} - \beta} |\nabla \phi|^{2} \omega^{n} + C_{4}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} u^{(\frac{q_{0}}{2} - \beta)\frac{q_{0}}{q_{0} - 2\beta}} \omega^{n} + C_{4} \int_{M} |\nabla \phi|^{\frac{q_{0}}{\beta}} \omega^{n} + C_{4},$$

where $C_4 = C_4(||F||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega)$ and $\beta = n/(n-1)$. It then follows that

(3-3)
$$||u||_{L^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(M,\omega)} \le C_4 ||\nabla \phi||_{L^{\frac{2}{\beta}}(M,\omega)}^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + C_4.$$

By Lemma A.7, we have

(3-4)
$$\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{\beta}}(M,\omega)} \le C_5 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\beta}}(M,\omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_5,$$

where $C_5 = C_5(q_0, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$. Combining (3-3), (3-4) and $\beta > 1$, we get

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(M,\omega)} \le C_6(\|F\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega).$$

By Theorem 3.3, we complete the proof.

4. The Hölder estimate of second order, and solving the equation

We note that when *F* is in W^{1,q_0} , for any $q_0 > 2n$, Sobolev embedding implies that $F \in C^{\alpha_0}$, where $\alpha_0 = 1 - 2n/q_0$. By Theorem 1.1 of [Tosatti et al. 2014], we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1) and $F \in C^{\alpha_0}$, then there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M,\omega)} \le C,$

where α and C depend only on $\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}$, $\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}$, α_0 , $\|F\|_{C^{\alpha_0}(M,\omega)}$, q_0 , M and ω .

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Our argument here is similar to the argument in [Chen and He 2012]. Let $F \in W^{1,q_0}$ on M such that $||F||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)} \leq \Lambda$ for some positive constant Λ . Let $\{F_k\}$ be a sequence of smooth functions such that $F_k \to F$ in W^{1,q_0} . In particular, we can assume that $||F_k||_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)} \leq \Lambda + 1$ for any k. By [Tosatti and Weinkove 2010a], there is a unique smooth solution ϕ_k and constant b_k such that

$$\det(g_{i\,\overline{i}} + (\phi_k)_{i\,\overline{i}}) = e^{F + b_k} \det(g_{i\,\overline{i}}),$$

and such that $(g_{i\bar{j}} + (\phi_k)_{i\bar{j}}) > 0$ with normalized condition $\sup_M \phi_k = -1$. By the maximum principle, we have

(4-1)
$$|b_k| \le C_1(||F_k||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega).$$

By Theorem 1.6, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1, there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|\phi_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M,\omega)} \le C_2(\|F_k\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, M, \omega).$$

To get a W^{3,q_0} -estimate, we can localize the estimate as follows. Let ∂ denote an arbitrary first-order differential operator in a domain $\Omega \subset M$. Since we have a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -estimate, we compute that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{g_k}(\partial \phi_k) = \partial (F_k + \log(\det(g_{i\bar{j}}))) - (g_k)^{i\bar{j}} \partial g_{i\bar{j}}$$

in Ω , where $(g_k)_{i\bar{j}} = g_{i\bar{j}} + (\phi_k)_{i\bar{j}}$. Since $\tilde{\Delta}_{g_k}$ is a uniform elliptic operator, by L^p estimates (for example, see [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1977]), for any $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ we have

$$\|\partial \phi_k\|_{W^{2,q_0}(\Omega',\omega)} \le C_3(\Omega, \Omega', q_0, \Lambda, \omega),$$

which implies

(4-2)
$$\|\phi_k\|_{W^{3,q_0}(M,\omega)} \le C_4(\|F\|_{W^{1,q_0}(M,\omega)}, q_0, \Lambda, M, \omega).$$

By (4-1) and (4-2), we know that there is a subsequence $\{(\phi_{k_l}, b_{k_l})\}$ of $\{(\phi_k, b_k)\}$ such that $\{b_{k_l}\}$ converges to *b* and $\{\phi_{k_l}\}$ weakly converges to $\phi \in W^{3,q_0}$ such that $(g_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}) > 0$, which defines a W^{1,q_0} Hermitian metric. Since the Sobolev embedding $W^{3,q_0} \hookrightarrow C^2$ is compact, the subsequence $\{\phi_{k_l}\}$ converges to ϕ in C^2 . Hence ϕ with constant *b* is a classical solution of the complex Monge–Ampère equation. The uniqueness follows from Remark 5.1 in [Tosatti and Weinkove 2010b].

Appendix

Let $g_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the Riemannian metric induced by g; thus $(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a Riemannian manifold of real dimension 2n. In this appendix, we deduce some interpolation inequalities on the Hermitian manifold (M, ω) by using some fundamental inequalities on the Riemannian manifold $(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Let us recall the definition of $g_{\mathbb{R}}$ first. For any local holomorphic coordinates (z^1, \ldots, z^n) with $z^i = x^i + \sqrt{-1}y^i$, $(x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ forms a smooth local coordinate system. We define

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right) = g_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}}\right) = 2\operatorname{Re}(g_{i\bar{j}}),$$

while

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}}\right) = 2 \operatorname{Im}(g_{i\bar{j}}).$$

For the Riemannian metric $g_{\mathbb{R}}$, let $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $dV_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection and the volume form, respectively. By direct calculation, we have

(A-1)
$$dV_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{1}{n!}\omega^n.$$

For convenience, we introduce some notation. For any function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, let $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{m} f$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} f$ denote the *m*-th covariant derivative and the Laplacian of *f* with respect to $g_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $||f||_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}$ and $||\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{m} f||_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}$ denote the corresponding norms with respect to $(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Thus, by (A-1) and some calculation, we have the following lemma:

Lemma A.1. For any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have

 $\|f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} = C_{1}(p)\|f\|_{L^{p}(M,\omega)} \quad and \quad \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} = C_{2}(p)\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(M,\omega)},$ where $C_{1}(p) = C_{1}(p,n)$ and $C_{2}(p) = C_{2}(p,n).$

Corollary A.2. For any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have the Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_{M} f^{2\beta} \omega^{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq C \int_{M} f^{2} \omega^{n} + C \int_{M} |\nabla f|^{2} \omega^{n},$$

where $\beta = n/(n-1)$ and $C = C(M, \omega)$.

Proof. By the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2}(M, g_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow L^{2\beta}(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$, we have

$$\left(\int_{M} f^{2\beta} \, dV_{\mathbb{R}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq C_{s} \int_{M} f^{2} \, dV_{\mathbb{R}} + C_{s} \int_{M} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}} f|^{2} \, dV_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where $C_s = C_s(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. Thus, combining this with Lemma A.1, we complete the proof.

Since $(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a Riemannian manifold of real dimension 2n, we have the following interpolation inequality (for example, see [Aubin 1998]):

Theorem A.3. Let q, r be real numbers such that $1 \le q$, $r \le +\infty$ and j, m integers such that $0 \le j < m$. Then there exists a constant

$$C = C(M, g_{\mathbb{R}}, m, j, q, r, \alpha)$$

such that, for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ with $\int_{M} f \, dV_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, we have

(A-2)
$$\|\nabla^{j}_{\mathbb{R}}f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq C \|\nabla^{m}f\|^{\alpha}_{L^{r}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \|f\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^{q}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{2n} + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{2n}\right) + (1 - \alpha)\frac{1}{q}$$

for all α in the interval $j/m \le \alpha \le 1$, for which p is nonnegative. If $r = 2n/(m-j) \ne 1$, then (A-2) is not valid for $\alpha = 1$.

Corollary A.4. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$; for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq \epsilon \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C(\epsilon, p)\|f\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C(\epsilon, p) = C(\epsilon, p, M, \omega)$.

Proof. Set $\tilde{f} = f - 1/\text{Vol}(M, g_{\mathbb{R}}) \int_M f \, dV_{\mathbb{R}}$; then $\int_M \tilde{f} \, dV_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. By Theorem A.3 we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq C_{1}(p)\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C_1(p) = C_1(p, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. Thus, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we obtain

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq \epsilon \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_{2}(\epsilon, p)\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}.$$

where $C_2(\epsilon, p) = C_2(\epsilon, p, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. By the definition of \tilde{f} , the proof is complete. \Box Lemma A.5. Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension *n*.

If ϕ is a smooth solution of (1-1), then, for any 1 , we have

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^p(M,\omega)} \le C_1(p)\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^p(M,\omega)} + C_2(p),$$

where $C_1 = C_1(p, n)$ and $C_2(p) = C_2(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)}, M, \omega)$.

Proof. After some calculations, we have

(A-3)
$$\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \le 2\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_3(p)\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C_3 = C_3(p, M, \omega)$. For (A-3), one can find more details in [Tosatti 2007] (Lemma 3.2 there shows the exact relation between $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ and 2Δ). By Corollary A.4 we obtain

(A-4)
$$C_3(p) \| \nabla_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \le \frac{1}{2} \| \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_4(p) \| \phi \|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}$$

where $C_4 = C_4(p, M, \omega)$. Combining this with (A-3) and (A-4), we obtain

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq 4\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_{5}(p)\|\phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C_5 = C_5(p, M, \omega)$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma A.1, the proof is complete.

Lemma A.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, for any 1 we have

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M,\omega)} \le C(p)\|u\|_{L^{p}(M,\omega)} + C(p),$$

where u is defined in (2-7) and $C(p) = C(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, \omega)$.

Proof. By the Sobolev embedding $W^{2,p}(M, g_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$, we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}$$

$$\leq C_1(p) \|\nabla^2_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_1(p) \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_1(p) \|\phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C_1(p) = C_1(p, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. Combining this with Corollary A.4, we have

$$\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \le C_{2}(p) \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_{2}(p) \|\phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C_2(p) = C_2(p, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. By Theorem 2.1 and L^p estimates, we have

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq C_3(p) \|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^p(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} + C_3(p),$$

where $C_3(p) = C_3(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. By Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.5, we have

$$\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(M,\omega)} \le C_4(p) \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^p(M,\omega)} + C_4(p),$$

where $C_4(p) = C_4(p, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M,\omega)}, M, g_{\mathbb{R}})$. By (2-7) and Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete.

Lemma A.7. Let p, r be real numbers such that $1 < p, r < \infty$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{p}(M,\omega)} \leq C(p,r) \|u\|_{L^{r}}^{\alpha} + C(p,r),$$

where $C(p, r) = C(p, r, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)}, M, \omega)$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2n} + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

for α in the interval $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$.

Proof. Set $\tilde{\phi} = \phi - 1/\text{Vol}(M, g_R) \int_M \phi \, dV_{\mathbb{R}}$; then $\int_M \tilde{\phi} \, dV_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. By Theorem 2.1, Lemma A.1 and Theorem A.3, we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq C_{1}(p,r)\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^{r}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})}^{\alpha},$$

which implies that

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|_{L^{p}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq C_{1}(p,r)\|\nabla^{2}_{\mathbb{R}}\phi\|^{\alpha}_{L^{r}(M,g_{\mathbb{R}})},$$

where $C_1(p, r) = C_1(p, r, ||F||_{L^{\infty}(M, \omega)}, M, \omega)$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{(2n-p)r}{(2n-2r)p}$$

Combining Lemma A.1, Lemma A.5, (2-7) and L^p estimates, the proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his adviser Gang Tian for leading him to study the complex Monge–Ampère equation, constant encouragement and several useful comments on an earlier version of this paper. The author would also like to thank Valentino Tosatti for his helpful comments and suggestions, especially for pointing out that Lemma 2.2 holds when the background metric is not balanced when $n \ge 3$, which helped the author remove the balanced condition assumption for $n \ge 3$ in an earlier version of this paper. The author would also like to thank Wenshuai Jiang and Feng Wang for many helpful conversations.

References

- [Aubin 1998] T. Aubin, Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1998. MR 99i:58001 Zbl 0896.53003
- [Bedford and Taylor 1976] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, "The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge–Ampère equation", *Invent. Math.* **37**:1 (1976), 1–44. MR 56 #3351 Zbl 0315.31007
- [Bedford and Taylor 1982] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, "A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions", *Acta Math.* **149**:1 (1982), 1–40. MR 84d:32024 Zbl 0547.32012
- [Błocki 2005] Z. Błocki, "On uniform estimate in Calabi–Yau theorem", *Sci. China Ser. A* **48**:1S (2005), 244–247. MR 2006c:32050 Zbl 1128.32025
- [Caffarelli et al. 1985] L. A. Caffarelli, J. J. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, "The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, II: Complex Monge–Ampère, and uniformly elliptic, equations", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38**:2 (1985), 209–252. MR 87f:35097 Zbl 0598.35048
- [Calabi 1957] E. Calabi, "On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class", pp. 78–89 in *Algebraic geometry and topology: a symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz* (Princeton, NJ, 1954), edited by R. H. Fox et al., Princeton Mathematical Series **12**, Princeton University Press, 1957. MR 19,62b Zbl 0080.15002
- [Chen and He 2012] X. X. Chen and W. Y. He, "The complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds", *Math. Ann.* **354**:4 (2012), 1583–1600. MR 2993005 Zbl 1253.35070
- [Cherrier 1987] P. Cherrier, "Équations de Monge–Ampère sur les variétés Hermitiennes compactes", *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **111**:4 (1987), 343–385. MR 89d:58131 Zbl 0629.58028
- [Demailly and Pali 2010] J.-P. Demailly and N. Pali, "Degenerate complex Monge–Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds", *Int. J. Math.* **21**:3 (2010), 357–405. MR 2012e:32039 Zbl 1191.53029
- [Dinew 2009] S. Dinew, "Uniqueness in $\mathscr{E}(X, \omega)$ ", J. Funct. Anal. **256**:7 (2009), 2113–2122. MR 2010e:32037 Zbl 1171.32024
- [Eyssidieux et al. 2009] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi, "Singular Kähler–Einstein metrics", *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22**:3 (2009), 607–639. MR 2010k:32031 Zbl 1215.32017
- [Fino and Tomassini 2011] A. Fino and A. Tomassini, "On astheno-Kähler metrics", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **83**:2 (2011), 290–308. MR 2012d:53230 Zbl 1215.53066
- [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1977] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **224**, Springer, Berlin, 1977. Second ed. in 1983. Rev. 3rd printing in 2001. MR 57 #13109 Zbl 0361.35003
- [Guan and Li 2009] B. Guan and Q. Li, "Complex Monge–Ampere equations on Hermitian manifolds", preprint, 2009. arXiv 0906.3548v1
- [Guedj and Zeriahi 2007] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, "The weighted Monge–Ampère energy of quasiplurisubharmonic functions", *J. Funct. Anal.* **250**:2 (2007), 442–482. MR 2008h:32056 Zbl 1143.32022
- [Hanani 1996] A. Hanani, "Équations du type de Monge–Ampère sur les variétés Hermitiennes compactes", *J. Funct. Anal.* **137**:1 (1996), 49–75. MR 97c:32018 Zbl 0847.53045
- [Kołodziej 1998] S. Kołodziej, "The complex Monge–Ampère equation", *Acta Math.* **180**:1 (1998), 69–117. MR 99h:32017 Zbl 0913.35043
- [Kołodziej 2008] S. Kołodziej, "Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge–Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p : the case of compact Kähler manifolds", *Math. Ann.* **342**:2 (2008), 379–386. MR 2009g:32079 Zbl 1149.32018

- [Krylov 1989] N. V. Krylov, "Гладкость функции выигрыша для управляемого диффузионного процесса в области", *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **53**:1 (1989), 66–96. Translated as "Smoothness of the value function for a controllable diffusion process in a domain" in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **34**:1 (1990), 65–95. MR 90f:93040 Zbl 0701.93054
- [Krylov 1994] N. V. Krylov, "On analogues of the simplest Monge–Ampère equation", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **318**:4 (1994), 321–325. MR 95b:35060 Zbl 0805.35020
- [Moser 1960] J. Moser, "A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960), 457–468. MR 30 #332 Zbl 0111.09301
- [Phong et al. 2012] D. H. Phong, J. Song, and J. Sturm, "Complex Monge–Ampère equations", pp. 327–410 in *In memory of C. C. Hsiung: lectures given at the JDG Symposium on Geometry and Topology* (Bethlehem, PA, 2010), edited by H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau, Surveys in Differential Geometry **17**, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2012. MR 3076065 Zbl 06322503 arXiv 1209.2203v2
- [Tosatti 2007] V. Tosatti, "A general Schwarz lemma for almost-Hermitian manifolds", *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **15**:5 (2007), 1063–1086. MR 2009b:53048 Zbl 1145.53019
- [Tosatti and Weinkove 2010a] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, "The complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds", *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**:4 (2010), 1187–1195. MR 2012c:32055 Zbl 1208.53075
- [Tosatti and Weinkove 2010b] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, "Estimates for the complex Monge– Ampère equation on Hermitian and balanced manifolds", *Asian J. Math.* **14**:1 (2010), 19–40. MR 2011h:32043 Zbl 1208.32034
- [Tosatti and Weinkove 2015] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, "On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern–Ricci form", *J. Differential Geom.* **99**:1 (2015), 125–163. MR 3299824 Zbl 06399561 arXiv 1201.0312v2
- [Tosatti et al. 2014] V. Tosatti, Y. Wang, B. Weinkove, and X. K. Yang, " $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations in complex and almost complex geometry", preprint, 2014. arXiv 1402.0554v1
- [Yau 1978] S.-T. Yau, "On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**:3 (1978), 339–411. MR 81d:53045 Zbl 0369.53059
- [Zhang 2006] Z. Zhang, "On degenerate Monge–Ampère equations over closed Kähler manifolds", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006** (2006), Art. ID 63640. MR 2007b:32058 Zbl 1112.32021 arXiv math/0603465v2

Received May 15, 2014. Revised October 22, 2014.

JIANCHUN CHU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES PEKING UNIVERSITY YIHEYUAN ROAD 5 BEIJING, 100871 CHINA chujianchun@pku.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

> Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

Daryl Cooper Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$570/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Paul Balmer Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn Department of Mathematics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2125 finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 276 No. 2 August 2015

Free evolution on algebras with two states, II MICHAEL ANSHELEVICH	257
Systems of parameters and holonomicity of <i>A</i> -hypergeometric systems CHRISTINE BERKESCH ZAMAERE, STEPHEN GRIFFETH and EZRA MILLER	281
Complex interpolation and twisted twisted Hilbert spaces FÉLIX CABELLO SÁNCHEZ, JESÚS M. F. CASTILLO and NIGEL J. KALTON	287
The ramification group filtrations of certain function field extensions JEFFREY A. CASTAÑEDA and QINGQUAN WU	309
A mean field type flow, II: Existence and convergence JEAN-BAPTISTE CASTÉRAS	321
Isometric embedding of negatively curved complete surfaces in Lorentz–Minkowski space	347
BING-LONG CHEN and LE YIN	
The complex Monge–Ampère equation on some compact Hermitian manifolds JIANCHUN CHU	369
Topological and physical link theory are distinct ALEXANDER COWARD and JOEL HASS	387
The measures of asymmetry for coproducts of convex bodies QI GUO, JINFENG GUO and XUNLI SU	401
Regularity and analyticity of solutions in a direction for elliptic equations YONGYANG JIN, DONGSHENG LI and XU-JIA WANG	419
On the density theorem for the subdifferential of convex functions on Hadamard spaces	437
Mina Movahedi, Daryoush Behmardi and Seyedehsomayeh Hosseini	
L ^p regularity of weighted Szegő projections on the unit disc SAMANGI MUNASINGHE and YUNUS E. ZEYTUNCU	449
Topology of complete Finsler manifolds admitting convex functions SORIN V. SABAU and KATSUHIRO SHIOHAMA	459
Variations of the telescope conjecture and Bousfield lattices for localized categories of spectra F. LUKE WOLCOTT	483

