Pacific Journal of Mathematics

2-BLOCKS WITH MINIMAL NONABELIAN DEFECT GROUPS III

BENJAMIN SAMBALE

Volume 280 No. 2

February 2016

2-BLOCKS WITH MINIMAL NONABELIAN DEFECT GROUPS III

BENJAMIN SAMBALE

We prove that two 2-blocks of (possibly different) finite groups with a common minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system are isotypic (and therefore perfectly isometric) in the sense of Broué. This continues former work by Cabanes and Picaronny (*J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 39:1 (1992), 141–161), Sambale (*J. Algebra* 337 (2011), 261–284) and Eaton et al. (*J. Group Theory* 15:3 (2012), 311–321).

1. Introduction

Since its appearance in 1990, Broué's abelian defect conjecture gained much attention among representation theorists. On the level of characters it predicts the existence of a perfect isometry between a block with abelian defect group and its Brauer correspondent. These blocks have a common defect group and the same fusion system. Although Broué's conjecture is false for nonabelian defect groups (see [Cliff 2000]), one can still ask if perfect isometries or even isotypies exist. We affirmatively answer this question for p = 2 and minimal nonabelian defect groups (see Theorem 9 below). These are the nonabelian defect groups such that any proper subgroup is abelian. Doing so, we verify the character-theoretic version of Rouquier's conjecture [2001, A.2] in this special case (see Corollary 10 below). At the same time we provide a new infinite family of defect groups supporting a blockwise Z*-Theorem.

By Rédei's classification of minimal nonabelian *p*-groups, one has to consider three distinct families of defect groups. For two of these families the result already appeared in the literature (see [Cabanes and Picaronny 1992; Sambale 2011; Eaton et al. 2012]). Hence, it suffices to handle the remaining family which we will do in the next section. The proof of the main result is an application of [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2]. The last section of the present paper also contains a related result for the nonabelian defect group of order 27 and exponent 9.

Our notation is fairly standard. We consider blocks *B* of finite groups with respect to a *p*-modular system (K, \mathcal{O}, F) where \mathcal{O} is a complete discrete valuation

MSC2010: 20C15, 20C20.

Keywords: minimal nonabelian defect groups, perfect isometries, isotypies.

ring with quotient field *K* of characteristic 0 and field of fractions *F* of characteristic *p*. As usual, we assume that *K* is "large" enough and *F* is algebraically closed. The number of irreducible ordinary characters (resp. Brauer characters) of *B* is denoted by k(B) (resp. l(B)). Moreover, $k_i(B)$ is the number of those irreducible characters of *B* which have height $i \ge 0$. For other results on block invariants and fusion systems we often refer to [Sambale 2014]. Moreover, for the definition and construction of perfect isometries we follow [Broué and Puig 1980a; Cabanes and Picaronny 1992]. A cyclic group of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by C_n .

2. A class of minimal nonabelian defect groups

Let B be a non-nilpotent 2-block of a finite group G with defect group

(1)
$$D = \langle x, y | x^{2^r} = y^2 = [x, y]^2 = [x, x, y] = [y, x, y] = 1 \rangle \cong C_2^2 \rtimes C_{2^r}$$

where $r \ge 2$, $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ and [x, x, y] := [x, [x, y]].

We have already investigated some properties of B in [Sambale 2011], and later gave simplified proofs in [Sambale 2014, Chapter 12]. For the convenience of the reader we restate some of these results.

Lemma 1 [Sambale 2014, Lemma 12.3]. Let z := [x, y]. Then:

(i)
$$\Phi(D) = Z(D) = \langle x^2, z \rangle \cong C_{2^{r-1}} \times C_2$$

(ii)
$$D' = \langle z \rangle \cong C_2$$
.

(iii) $|Irr(D)| = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$.

Recall that a (saturated) fusion system \mathcal{F} on a *p*-group *P* determines the following subgroups:

$$Z(\mathcal{F}) := \{x \in P : x \text{ is fixed by every morphism in } \mathcal{F}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{foc}(\mathcal{F}) := \langle f(x)x^{-1} : x \in Q \le P, \ f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \rangle,$$

$$\mathfrak{hyp}(\mathcal{F}) := \langle f(x)x^{-1} : x \in Q \le P, \ f \in \operatorname{O}^p(\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)) \rangle.$$

Lemma 2. The fusion system \mathcal{F} of B is the constrained fusion system of the finite group $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ where C_{2^r} acts as a transposition in $\operatorname{Aut}(A_4) \cong S_4$. In particular, B has inertial index 1 and $Q := \langle x^2, y, z \rangle \cong C_{2^{r-1}} \times C_2^2$ is the only \mathcal{F} -essential subgroup of D. Moreover, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cong S_3$. Without loss of generality, $Z(\mathcal{F}) = \langle x^2 \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{hyp}(B) = \mathfrak{foc}(B) = \mathfrak{foc}(\mathcal{F}) = \langle y, z \rangle$.

Proof. We have seen in [Sambale 2014, Proposition 12.7] that \mathcal{F} is constrained and coincides with the fusion system of $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$. The construction of the semidirect product $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ is slightly different in [Sambale 2014], but it is easy to see that both constructions give isomorphic groups. The remaining claims follow from the proof of [Sambale 2014, Proposition 12.7].

By a result of Watanabe [2014, Theorem 3 and Lemma 3], the hyperfocal subgroup of a 2-block is trivial or noncyclic. Hence, our situation with a Klein-four (hyper)focal subgroup represents the first nontrivial example in some sense. Recall that a *B*-subsection is a pair (u, b_u) such that $u \in D$ and b_u is a Brauer correspondent of *B* in $C_G(u)$.

Lemma 3. The set $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{Z}(D) \cup \{x^i y^j : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \text{ odd}\}$ is a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of D with $|\mathcal{R}| = 2^{r+1}$. For $u \in \mathcal{R}$ let (u, b_u) be a B-subsection. Then b_u has defect group $\mathbb{C}_D(u)$. Moreover, $l(b_u) = 1$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \langle x^2 \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, it is easy to see that \mathcal{R} is in fact a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of D. Observe that $\langle u \rangle$ is fully \mathcal{F} -normalized for all $u \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence, by [Sambale 2014, Lemma 1.34], b_u has defect group $C_D(u)$ and fusion system $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$. It is easy to see that $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$ is trivial unless $u \in Z(\mathcal{F}) = \langle x^2 \rangle$. This shows $l(b_u) = 1$ for $u \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \langle x^2 \rangle$.

Theorem 4 [Sambale 2014, Theorem 12.4]. We have $k(B) = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$, $k_0(B) = 2^{r+1}$, $k_1(B) = 2^{r-1}$ and l(B) = 2.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have $|D : \mathfrak{foc}(B)| = 2^r$. In particular, $2^r | k_0(B)$ by [Robinson 2008, Theorem 1]. Moreover, [Kessar et al. 2015, Theorem 1.1] implies $2^{r+1} \le k_0(B)$. By Lemma 3 we have $l(b_x) = 1$. Thus, we obtain $k_0(B) = 2^{r+1}$ by a result of Robinson (see [Sambale 2014, Theorem 4.12]). In order to determine l(B), we use induction on r. Let $u := x^2$. Then b_u dominates a block $\overline{b_u}$ of $C_G(u)/\langle u \rangle$ with defect group $\overline{D} := D/\langle u \rangle \cong D_8$ and fusion system $\overline{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F}/\langle u \rangle$. By [Linckelmann 2007, Theorem 6.3], $\langle x^2, y, z \rangle / \langle u \rangle \cong C_2^2$ is the only $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -essential subgroup of \overline{D} . Therefore, a result of Brauer (see [Sambale 2014, Theorem 8.1]) shows that $l(b_u) = l(\overline{b_u}) = 2$. By Lemma 3 and [Sambale 2014, Theorem 1.35] it follows that $k(B) > k_0(B)$. Since $|Z(D) : Z(D) \cap \mathfrak{foc}(B)| = 2^{r-1}$, we have $2^{r-1} | k_i(B)$ for $i \ge 1$ by [Robinson 2008, Theorem 2]. Thus, by [Robinson 1991, Theorem 3.4] we obtain

$$2^{r+2} \le k_0(B) + 4(k(B) - k_0(B)) \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k_i(B) 2^{2i} \le |D| = 2^{r+2}.$$

This gives $k_1(B) = 2^{r-1}$ and $k(B) = k_0(B) + k_1(B) = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$. In case r = 2, [Sambale 2014, Theorem 1.35] implies

$$l(B) = k(B) - \sum_{1 \neq u \in \mathcal{R}} l(b_u) = 10 - 8 = 2.$$

Now let $r \ge 3$ and $1 \ne \langle u \rangle < \langle x^2 \rangle$. Then $\overline{b_u}$ as above has the same type of defect group as *B* except that *r* is smaller. Hence, induction gives $l(b_u) = l(\overline{b_u}) = 2$. Now the claim l(B) = 2 follows again by [Sambale 2014, Theorem 1.35].

In the following results we denote the set of irreducible characters of *B* of height *i* by $Irr_i(B)$.

Proposition 5 [Sambale 2014, Proposition 12.9]. The set $Irr_0(B)$ contains four 2-rational characters and two families of 2-conjugate characters of size 2^i for every i = 1, ..., r - 1. The characters of height 1 split into two 2-rational characters and one family of 2-conjugate characters of size 2^i for every i = 2, ..., r - 2.

Proposition 6. There are 2-rational characters $\chi_i \in Irr(B)$ for i = 1, 2, 3 such that

$$Irr_0(B) = \{\chi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, \lambda \in Irr(D/\mathfrak{foc}(B))\},\$$

$$Irr_1(B) = \{\chi_3 * \lambda : \lambda \in Irr(Z(D)\mathfrak{foc}(B)/\mathfrak{foc}(B))\}.$$

In particular, the characters of height 1 have the same degree and

$$|\{\chi(1): \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_0(B)\}| \le 2.$$

Proof. We have already seen in the proof of Theorem 4 that the action of $D/\mathfrak{foc}(B)$ on $\operatorname{Irr}_0(B)$ via the *-construction has two orbits, and the action of $Z(D)\mathfrak{foc}(B)/\mathfrak{foc}(B)$ on $\operatorname{Irr}_1(B)$ is regular. By Proposition 5 we can choose 2-rational representatives for these orbits, having identified the sets $\operatorname{Irr}(D/\mathfrak{foc}(B))$ and $\operatorname{Irr}(Z(D)\mathfrak{foc}(B)/\mathfrak{foc}(B))$ with subsets of $\operatorname{Irr}(D)$ in an obvious manner.

In the situation of Proposition 6 it is conjectured that $\chi_1(1) \neq \chi_2(1)$ (see [Malle and Navarro 2011]).

Proposition 7 [Sambale 2014, Proposition 12.8]. *The Cartan matrix of B is given by*

$$2^{r-1}\begin{pmatrix}3&1\\1&3\end{pmatrix}$$

up to basic sets.

Observe that Proposition 7 also gives the Cartan matrix for the defect group D_8 and the corresponding fusion system (this would be the case r = 1).

Now we are in a position to obtain the generalized decomposition matrix of *B*. This completes partial results in [Sambale 2011, Section 3.3].

Proposition 8. Let \mathcal{R} and χ_i be as in Lemma 3 and Proposition 6 respectively. Then there are basic sets for b_u ($u \in \mathcal{R}$) and signs $\epsilon, \sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that the generalized decomposition numbers of B have the following form:

и	x^{2i}	$x^{2i}z$	x^{2i+1}	$x^{2i+1}y$
$d^u_{\chi_1\varphi}$	(1,0)	1	1	1
$d^{u}_{\chi_{2}\varphi}$	$(0,\epsilon)$	ϵ	ϵ	$-\epsilon$
$d^{u}_{\chi_{3}\varphi}$	(σ, σ)	-2σ	0	0

478

Proof. Since the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/2^r})$ over \mathbb{Q} acts on the columns of the generalized decomposition matrix (see Proposition 5), we only need to determine the numbers $d^u_{\chi_i\varphi}$ for $u \in \{x, xy, x^{2^j}, x^{2^j}z\}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, ..., r). First let u = x. Then the orthogonality relations show that

$$2^{r}|d_{\chi_{1}\varphi}^{x}|^{2} + 2^{r}|d_{\chi_{2}\varphi}^{x}|^{2} + 2^{r-1}|d_{\chi_{3}\varphi}^{x}|^{2} = 2^{r+1}.$$

Since χ_1 and χ_2 have height 0, we have $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^x \neq 0 \neq d_{\chi_2\varphi}^x$ (see [Sambale 2014, Proposition 1.36]). It follows that $d_{\chi_i\varphi}^x = \pm 1$ for i = 1, 2 and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^x = 0$, because χ_i is 2-rational. By replacing φ with $-\varphi$ if necessary (i.e., changing the basic set for b_x), we may assume that $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^x = 1$. We set $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^x =: \epsilon_0$. Similarly, we obtain $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^{xy} = 1$, $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^{xy} = \pm 1$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^{xy} = 0$. Now since the columns d^x and d^{xy} of the generalized decomposition matrix are orthogonal, we obtain $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^{xy} = -\epsilon_0$.

Now let $u := x^{2^j}$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., r\}$. Let $IBr(b_u) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ (see the proof of Theorem 4). Then by Proposition 7 we get

$$2^{r} |d_{\chi_{1}\varphi_{1}}^{u}|^{2} + 2^{r} |d_{\chi_{2}\varphi_{1}}^{u}|^{2} + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_{3}\varphi_{1}}^{u}|^{2} = 3 \cdot 2^{r-1},$$

$$2^{r} |d_{\chi_{1}\varphi_{2}}^{u}|^{2} + 2^{r} |d_{\chi_{2}\varphi_{2}}^{u}|^{2} + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_{3}\varphi_{2}}^{u}|^{2} = 3 \cdot 2^{r-1},$$

$$2^{r} d_{\chi_{1}\varphi_{1}}^{u} \overline{d_{\chi_{1}\varphi_{2}}^{u}} + 2^{r} d_{\chi_{2}\varphi_{1}}^{u} \overline{d_{\chi_{2}\varphi_{2}}^{u}} + 2^{r-1} d_{\chi_{3}\varphi_{1}}^{u} \overline{d_{\chi_{3}\varphi_{2}}^{u}} = 2^{r-1}.$$

Obviously, $d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u = 0$ and we may assume that $(d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_1\varphi_2}^u) = (1, 0)$ and $(d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_2\varphi_2}^u) = (0, \epsilon_j)$ for a sign $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. Moreover, $d_{\chi_3\varphi_1}^u = d_{\chi_3\varphi_2}^u =: \sigma_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. Now let $u := x^{2^j} z$. Then we have

$$2^{r}|d^{u}_{\chi_{1}\varphi}|^{2}+2^{r}|d^{u}_{\chi_{2}\varphi}|^{2}+2^{r-1}|d^{u}_{\chi_{3}\varphi}|^{2}=2^{r+2}.$$

It is known that $2 | d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u \neq 0$, since b_u is major (see [Sambale 2014, Proposition 1.36]). This gives $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u = 1$, $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u = \pm 1$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u = \pm 2$. By the orthogonality to $d^{x^{2^j}}$ we obtain that $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u = -2\sigma_j$ and $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u = \epsilon_j$.

It remains to show that the signs ϵ_j and σ_j do not depend on j. For this we consider characters $\lambda, \psi \in Irr(D)$ whose values are given as follows:

	$x^{2^{j}}$	$x^{2^{j}}z$	x	xy
λ	1	1	1	-1
ψ	2	-2	0	0

Observe that ψ is the inflation of the irreducible character of $D/\langle x^2 \rangle \cong D_8$ of degree 2. It is easy to see that $(\lambda + \psi)(x^{2k}y) = -1 = 1 - 2 = (\lambda + \psi)(x^{2k}z)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\lambda + \psi$ is \mathcal{F} -stable, i.e., $(\lambda + \psi)(u) = (\lambda + \psi)(v)$ whenever u and v are \mathcal{F} -conjugate. By [Broué and Puig 1980a], $\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi)$ is a generalized character of B. In particular, the scalar product $(\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G$ is an integer. This number can be computed by using the so-called contribution numbers $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u := d_{\chi_1}^u C_u^{-1} \overline{d_{\chi_3}^u}^T$ where C_u is the Cartan matrix of b_u and $d_{\chi_i}^u$ is the

row of the generalized decomposition matrix corresponding to (u, b_u) and χ_i . For $u = x^{2^j}$ we have

$$C_u^{-1} = 2^{-r-2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 7. This gives $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u = 2^{-r-1}\sigma_j$. Similarly, $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u = -2^{-r-1}\sigma_j$ for $u = x^{2^j}z$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} (\lambda + \psi)(u) m_{\chi_1 \chi_3}^u = \sum_{u \in Z(D)} (\lambda + \psi)(u) m_{\chi_1 \chi_3}^u \\ &= (3+1) \left(2^{-r-1} \sigma_r + 2^{-r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sigma_j 2^{r-j-1} \right) \\ &= 2^{-r+1} \sigma_r + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sigma_j 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_j$ for some $j \neq 1$, then it follows immediately that $\sigma_1 = \cdots = \sigma_r$ (otherwise the scalar product above is not an integer). Now suppose that $-\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \cdots = \sigma_r$. In this case we replace χ_3 by the 2-rational character $\chi_3 * \tau$ where $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}(D)\mathfrak{foc}(B)/\mathfrak{foc}(B))$ such that $\tau(x^2) = -1$. This changes σ_1 , but does not affect σ_j for j > 1.

A similar argument with the scalar product $(\chi_2 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G$ implies that $\epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_r$. In case $\epsilon_0 = -\epsilon_1$, we replace χ_2 by $\chi_2 * \tau$ where $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}(D/\mathfrak{foc}(B))$ such that $\tau(x) = -1$. Observe again that this changes ϵ_0 , but keeps ϵ_j for j > 0. This completes the proof.

3. The main result

Theorem 9. Let B and \tilde{B} be 2-blocks of (possibly different) finite groups with a common minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system. Then B and \tilde{B} are isotypic (and therefore perfectly isometric).

Proof. We may assume that *B* is not nilpotent by [Broué and Puig 1980b]. Let *D* be a defect group of *B* and \tilde{B} . If |D| = 8, then the claim follows from [Cabanes and Picaronny 1992]. Now suppose that *D* is given as in (1). We will attach a tilde to everything associated with \tilde{B} . By Proposition 8 and [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2] there is a perfect isometry $I : CF(G, B) \to CF(\tilde{G}, \tilde{B})$ where CF(G, B) denotes the space of class functions with basis Irr(B) over *K*. It remains to show that *I* is also an isotypy. In order to do so, we follow [Cabanes and Picaronny 1992, Section V.2]. For each $u \in D$ let $CF(C_G(u)_{2'}, b_u)$ be the space of class functions on $C_G(u)$ which vanish on the *p*-singular classes and are spanned by $IBr(b_u)$. The

480

decomposition map d_G^u : CF(G, B) \rightarrow CF(C_G(u)_{2'}, b_u) is defined by

$$d_G^u(\chi)(s) := \chi(e_{b_u}us) = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(b_u)} d_{\chi\varphi}^u \varphi(s)$$

for $\chi \in Irr(B)$ and $s \in C_G(u)_{2'}$ where e_{b_u} is the block idempotent of b_u over \mathcal{O} . Then *I* determines isometries

$$I^{u}: \operatorname{CF}(\operatorname{C}_{G}(u)_{2'}, b_{u}) \to \operatorname{CF}(\operatorname{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u)_{2'}, b_{u})$$

by the equation $d_{\tilde{G}}^u \circ I = I^u \circ d_{G}^u$. Note that I^1 is the restriction of I. We need to show that I^u can be extended to a perfect isometry $\widehat{I^u} : \operatorname{CF}(\operatorname{C}_G(u), b_u) \to$ $\operatorname{CF}(\operatorname{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$. Suppose first that b_u is nilpotent. Then by Proposition 8, $d_G^u(\chi_1) =$ $\epsilon \varphi$ and $d_{\tilde{G}}^u(I(\chi_1)) = \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\varphi}$ where $\operatorname{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi\}$ and $\operatorname{IBr}(\tilde{b}_u) = \{\tilde{\varphi}\}$ for some signs $\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}$. It follows that $I^u(\varphi) = \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\varphi}$. Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}_0(b_u)$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in \operatorname{Irr}_0(\tilde{b}_u)$ be 2-rational characters. Then it is well known that $\varphi = d_{\operatorname{C}_G(u)}^1(\psi)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}(b_u) =$ $\{\psi * \lambda : \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)\}$ (see [Broué and Puig 1980b]). Therefore, we may define $\widehat{I^u}$ by $\widehat{I^u}(\psi * \lambda) := \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\psi} * \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)$. Then $\widehat{I^u}$ is a perfect isometry and

$$\widehat{I^{u}}(\varphi) = \widehat{I^{u}}(d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{G}(u)}(\psi)) = d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u)}(\widehat{I^{u}}(\psi)) = \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u)}(\tilde{\psi}) = \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\varphi} = I^{u}(\varphi).$$

Hence, $\widehat{I^u}$ extends I^u . Moreover, $\widehat{I^u}$ does not depend on the generator of $\langle u \rangle$, since the signs ϵ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ were defined by means of 2-rational characters.

Assume next that b_u is non-nilpotent. Then $u \in \langle x^2 \rangle$ and b_u has defect group D. By Proposition 8, we can choose basic sets φ_1, φ_2 (resp. $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2$) for b_u (resp. \tilde{b}_u) such that $\varphi_i = d_G^u(\chi_i)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_i = d_{\tilde{G}}^u(I(\chi_i))$ for i = 1, 2. Then $I^u(\varphi_i) = \tilde{\varphi}_i$ for i = 1, 2. Since the Cartan matrix of b_u with respect to the basic set φ_1, φ_2 is already fixed (and given by Proposition 7), we find 2-rational characters $\psi_i \in \operatorname{Irr}_0(b_u)$ such that $d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for i = 1, 2 (see the proof of Proposition 8). Similarly, one has $\tilde{\psi}_i \in \operatorname{Irr}_0(\tilde{b}_u)$ such that $d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}_i) = \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$. Then, by what we have already shown, there exists a perfect isometry

$$\widehat{I^{u}}: \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{G}(u), b_{u}) \to \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_{u})$$

sending ψ_i to $\epsilon_i \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\psi}_i$ for i = 1, 2. We have

$$\widehat{I^{u}}(\varphi_{i}) = \epsilon_{i} \widehat{I^{u}}(d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{G}(u)}(\psi_{i})) = \epsilon_{i} d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u)}(\widehat{I^{u}}(\psi_{i})) = \tilde{\epsilon}_{i} d^{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{G}}(u)}(\tilde{\psi}_{i}) = \tilde{\varphi}_{i} = I^{u}(\varphi_{i})$$

for i = 1, 2. This shows that $\widehat{I^u}$ extends I^u . Moreover, it is easy to see that $\widehat{I^u}$ does not depend on the generator of $\langle u \rangle$.

Altogether we have proved the theorem if D is given as in (1). By [Sambale 2014, Theorem 12.4] it remains to handle the case

$$D \cong \langle x, y | x^{2^{r}} = y^{2^{r}} = [x, y]^{2} = [x, x, y] = [y, x, y] = 1 \rangle$$

where $r \ge 2$. Here *B* and \tilde{B} are Morita equivalent and therefore perfectly isometric. However, a Morita equivalence does not automatically provide an isotypy. Nevertheless, in this special case the Morita equivalence is a composition of various "natural" equivalences (namely Fong reductions, Külshammer–Puig reduction and Külshammer's reduction for blocks with normal defect groups, see [Eaton et al. 2012, proof of Theorem 1]). In particular, the generalized decomposition matrices of *B* and \tilde{B} coincide up to signs (see [Watanabe 1985]). Now we can use the same methods as above in order to construct an isotypy. In fact, for every *B*-subsection (u, b_u) one has that b_u is nilpotent or u = [x, y] and b_u is Morita equivalent to *B* (see the proof of [Sambale 2011, Proposition 4.3]). We omit the details.

Corollary 10. Let B be a 2-block of a finite group G with minimal nonabelian defect group $D \not\cong D_8$. Then B is isotypic to a Brauer correspondent in $N_G(\mathfrak{hpp}(B))$.

Proof. Let b_D be a Brauer correspondent of *B* in $D C_G(D)$. Since $D C_G(D) \subseteq N_G(\mathfrak{hyp}(B))$, the Brauer correspondent $b := b_D^{N_G(\mathfrak{hyp}(B))}$ of *B* has defect group *D*. By Theorem 9, it suffices to show that *B* and *b* have the same fusion system. Observe that $N_G(D, b_D) \subseteq N_G(\mathfrak{hyp}(B))$. In particular, *B* and *b* have the same inertial quotient. If there is only the trivial fusion system on *D*, then we are done (this applies if *D* is metacyclic of order at least 16). In case $D \cong Q_8$, *B* is a controlled block (see, e.g., [Cabanes and Picaronny 1992]). Since *B* and *b* have the same inertial quotient, it follows that these blocks also have the same fusion system. It remains to consider the two other families of defect groups (see [Sambale 2014, Theorem 12.4]). For one of these families the fusion system is again controlled (see [Sambale 2014, Proposition 12.7]). Finally, if *D* is given as in (1), then the fusion system is constrained and the automorphisms of the essential subgroup (if it exists) also act on $\mathfrak{hyp}(B)$. Hence, *B* is nilpotent if and only if *b* is nilpotent. Again the claim follows from Theorem 9.

We remark that Corollary 10 would be false in case $D \cong D_8$. The principal 2-block of GL(3, 2) gives a counterexample. If *B* is a block of a finite group *G* with defect group as given in (1), then *B* is also isotypic to a Brauer correspondent in $C_G(u)$ where $u \in Z(\mathcal{F})$. This resembles Glauberman's Z*-theorem.

In the situation of Theorem 9 (or Corollary 10) it is desirable to extend the isotypies to Morita equivalences (as we did in [Eaton et al. 2012]). This is not always possible if |D| = 8, since for example the principal 2-blocks of the symmetric groups S_4 and S_5 are not Morita equivalent. Nevertheless, the possible Morita equivalence classes in case |D| = 8 are known by Erdmann's classification of tame algebra [Erdmann 1990] (at least over F, see [Holm 2001]). In view of [Eaton et al. 2012] one may still ask if two non-nilpotent 2-blocks with isomorphic defect groups as in Section 2 are Morita equivalent. We will see that the answer is again negative.

Consider the groups $G_1 := A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ and $G_2 := A_5 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ constructed similarly as in Lemma 2. Then $G_1/Z(G_1) \cong S_4$ and $G_2/Z(G_2) \cong S_5$. Let B_i be the principal 2-block of G_i , and let $\overline{B_i}$ be the principal 2-block of $G_i/Z(G_i)$ for i = 1, 2. Then the Cartan matrix of B_i is just the Cartan matrix of $\overline{B_i}$ multiplied by $|Z(G_i)| = 2^{r-1}$. It is known that the Cartan matrices of $\overline{B_1}$ and $\overline{B_2}$ do not coincide (regardless of the labeling of the simple modules). Therefore, B_1 and B_2 are not Morita equivalent.

Nevertheless, the structure of a finite group *G* with a minimal nonabelian Sylow 2-subgroup *P* as given in (1) is fairly restricted. More precisely, Glauberman's Z^* -theorem implies $x^2 \in Z^*(G)$, and the structure of $G/Z^*(G)$ follows from the Gorenstein–Walter theorem [1965]. In particular, *G* has at most one nonabelian composition factor by Feit–Thompson.

We use the opportunity to present a related result for p = 3 which extends [Sambale 2014, Theorem 8.15].

Theorem 11. Let *B* and \tilde{B} be non-nilpotent blocks of (possibly different) finite groups both with defect group $C_9 \rtimes C_3$. Then *B* and \tilde{B} are isotypic.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 9, we will make use of [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2]. Let

$$D := \langle x, y | x^9 = y^3 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^4 \rangle$$

be a defect group of *B*, and let \mathcal{F} be the fusion system of *B*. By [Stancu 2006], *B* is controlled with inertial index 2, and we may assume that *x* and x^{-1} are \mathcal{F} -conjugate (see the proof of [Sambale 2014, Theorem 8.8]). Then $\mathcal{R} := \{1, x, x^3, y, y^2, xy, xy^2\}$ is a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of *D* (see the proof of [Sambale 2014, Theorem 8.15]). It suffices to show that the generalized decomposition numbers of *B* are essentially unique (up to basic sets and signs and permutations of rows). Since the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/9})$ over \mathbb{Q} acts on the columns of the generalized decomposition matrix, we only need to determine the numbers $d^u_{\chi\varphi}$ for $u \in \{x, x^3, y, xy\}$. By [Sambale 2014, Theorem 8.15] there are four 3-rational characters $\chi_i \in Irr(B)$ (i = 1, ..., 4) such that χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 have height 0 and χ_4 has height 1. Since foc(B) = $\langle x \rangle$, we see that

$$\operatorname{Irr}(B) = \{\chi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, 3, \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D/\mathfrak{foc}(B))\} \cup \{\chi_4\}.$$

Let $u := x^3$. Then IBr $(b_u) = \{\varphi\}$ and $d^u_{\chi_i\varphi}$ are nonzero (rational) integers. Moreover, $d^u_{\chi_4\varphi} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. After permuting χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 and changing the basic set for b_u if necessary, we may assume that $d^u_{\chi_1\varphi} = 2$, $d^u_{\chi_2\varphi} =: \epsilon_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$, $d^u_{\chi_3\varphi} =: \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $d^u_{\chi_4\varphi} = 3\epsilon_3 \in \{\pm 3\}$. Now let u := x. Then $d^u_{\chi_i\varphi} = \pm 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3 and $d^u_{\chi_4\varphi} = 0$. We may choose a basic set for b_u such that $d^u_{\chi_1\varphi} = 1$. Then by the orthogonality relations, $d^u_{\chi_2\varphi} = -\epsilon_1$ and $d^u_{\chi_3\varphi} = -\epsilon_2$. Next let u := y. Then b_u dominates a block of $C_G(u)/\langle u \rangle$ with cyclic defect group $C_D(u)/\langle u \rangle \cong C_3$ and inertial index 2. This yields $IBr(b_u) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ and the Cartan matrix of b_u is given by

$$3\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(not only up to basic sets, but this is not important here). We can choose a basic set such that $(d^u_{\chi_1\varphi_1}, d^u_{\chi_1\varphi_2}) = (1, 1), (d^u_{\chi_2\varphi_1}, d^u_{\chi_2\varphi_2}) = (\sigma_1, 0), (d^u_{\chi_3\varphi_1}, d^u_{\chi_3\varphi_2}) = (0, \sigma_2)$ and $(d^u_{\chi_4\varphi_1}, d^u_{\chi_4\varphi_2}) = (0, 0)$ for some signs $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$. Finally for u := xy we obtain $d^u_{\chi_1\varphi} = 1, d^u_{\chi_i\varphi} = -\sigma_{i-1}$ for i = 2, 3 and $d^u_{\chi_4\varphi} = 0$ after changing the basic set if necessary. The following table summarizes the results:

и	x^3	x	У	xy
$d^u_{\chi_1 \varphi}$	2	1	(1, 1)	1
$d^{u}_{\chi_{2}\varphi}$	ϵ_1	$-\epsilon_1$	$(\sigma_1, 0)$	$-\sigma_1$
$d^{u}_{\chi_{3}\varphi}$	ϵ_2	$-\epsilon_2$	$(0, \sigma_2)$	$-\sigma_2$
$d^{u}_{\chi_{4}\varphi}$	$3\epsilon_3$	0	(0, 0)	0

It suffices to show that $\epsilon_i = \sigma_i$ for i = 1, 2 (observe that we do not need the ordinary decomposition numbers in order to apply [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2]). For this, let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D/\langle x^3 \rangle)$ such that $\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\lambda(y) = 1$. Then the generalized character $\psi := \lambda + \overline{\lambda} - 2 \cdot 1_D$ of *D* is constant on $\langle x \rangle \setminus \langle x^3 \rangle$ and thus \mathcal{F} -stable. By [Broué and Puig 1980a], $\chi_1 * \psi$ is a generalized character of *B* and $(\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_2)_G \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the proof of Theorem 9, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_2)_G &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} \psi(u) m^u_{\chi_1 \chi_2} = \psi(x) m^x_{\chi_1 \chi_2} + \psi(xy) m^{xy}_{\chi_1 \chi_2} + \psi(xy^2) m^{xy^2}_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_1 + \frac{2}{3} \sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\epsilon_1 = \sigma_1$. Similarly, one gets $\epsilon_2 = \sigma_2$ by computing $(\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_3)_G$. Hence, [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2] gives a perfect isometry $I : CF(G, B) \rightarrow CF(\tilde{G}, \tilde{B})$. In order to show that I is also an isotypy, we make use of the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 9. Let $u \in D$ such that b_u is nilpotent. Then by the table above, we have $IBr(b_u) = \{\pm d_G^u(\chi_2)\}$. Thus, one can extend I^u just as in Theorem 9. Now suppose that b_u is non-nilpotent and thus u = y (up to inversion). We choose a basic set φ_1, φ_2 for b_u as above such that $d_G^u(\chi_i) = \varphi_{i-1}$ for i = 2, 3. Now we have to determine the ordinary decomposition numbers of b_u with respect to φ_1, φ_2 . The defect group of b_u is $C_D(y) = \langle x^3, y \rangle \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and $\mathfrak{foc}(b_u) = \langle x^3 \rangle$. By [Kiyota 1984], $k(b_u) = 9$. Therefore, there are 3-rational characters $\psi_i \in Irr(b_u)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Irr}(b_u) = \{ \psi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, 3, \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(\langle x^3, y \rangle / \langle x^3 \rangle) \}.$$

By the Cartan matrix of b_u given above (with respect to φ_1, φ_2), it follows immediately that $d^1_{C_G(u)}(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for i = 1, 2 after a suitable permutation of

484

 ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 . Similarly, $d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}_i) = \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$. By a result of Usami [1988], there is a perfect isometry $CF(C_G(u), b_u) \rightarrow CF(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$. However, we need the additional information that ψ_i is mapped to $\pm \tilde{\psi}_i$. In order to show this, we use [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012, Theorem 2] again. Observe that $d_{C_G(u)}^u(\psi_i) = \zeta_i d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi_i) = \zeta_i \epsilon_i \varphi_i$ for a cube root of unity ζ_i . But since $d_{\psi_i\varphi_i}^u$ is rational, we have $\zeta_i = 1$. Now an elementary application of the orthogonality relations shows that the generalized decomposition matrix of b_u (in $C_G(u)$) is determined by

It follows that there is a perfect isometry $\widehat{I^u} : CF(C_G(u), b_u) \to CF(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$ such that $\widehat{I^u}(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\psi}_i$ for i = 1, 2. Therefore $\widehat{I^u}$ extends I^u . As in the proof of Theorem 9, it is also clear that $\widehat{I^u}$ is independent of the choice of the generator of $\langle u \rangle$. This finishes the proof.

The proof method of Theorem 11 also works for other defect groups. In fact, Watanabe [2015] showed independently (using more complicated methods) that two *p*-blocks (p > 2) with a common metacyclic, minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system are perfectly isometric. Again, this gives evidence for the character-theoretic version of Rouquier's conjecture (see [Watanabe 2014, Theorem 2]). As another remark, Holloway, Koshitani and Kunugi [2010, Example 4.3] constructed a perfect isometry between the principal 3-block of $G := \text{Aut}(\text{SL}(2, 8)) \cong {}^2G_2(3)$ and its Brauer correspondent. Since G has a Sylow 3-subgroup isomorphic to $C_9 \rtimes C_3$, this is a special case of Theorem 11. Note that in the introduction of [Ruengrot 2011] it is erroneously stated that these blocks are *not* perfectly isometric.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the German Research Foundation and the Daimler and Benz Foundation. The author thanks Atumi Watanabe for providing a copy of [Watanabe 2015]. Moreover, the author thanks Burkhard Külshammer for answering some questions.

References

[Broué and Puig 1980a] M. Broué and L. Puig, "Characters and local structure in *G*-algebras", *J. Algebra* **63**:2 (1980), 306–317. MR 81j:20021 Zbl 0428.20005

[Broué and Puig 1980b] M. Broué and L. Puig, "A Frobenius theorem for blocks", *Invent. Math.* **56**:2 (1980), 117–128. MR 81d:20011 Zbl 0425.20008

BENJAMIN SAMBALE

- [Cabanes and Picaronny 1992] M. Cabanes and C. Picaronny, "Types of blocks with dihedral or quaternion defect groups", *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **39**:1 (1992), 141–161. MR 93f:20014 Zbl 0781.20006
- [Cliff 2000] G. Cliff, "On centers of 2-blocks of Suzuki groups", *J. Algebra* **226**:1 (2000), 74–90. MR 2001d:20013 Zbl 0953.20003
- [Eaton et al. 2012] C. W. Eaton, B. Külshammer, and B. Sambale, "2-blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups, II", *J. Group Theory* **15**:3 (2012), 311–321. MR 2920888 Zbl 1253.20008
- [Erdmann 1990] K. Erdmann, *Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1428**, Springer, Berlin, 1990. MR 91c:20016 Zbl 0696.20001
- [Gorenstein and Walter 1965] D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, "The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, I", *J. Algebra* 2 (1965), 85–151. MR 31 #1297a Zbl 0192.11902
- [Holloway et al. 2010] M. Holloway, S. Koshitani, and N. Kunugi, "Blocks with nonabelian defect groups which have cyclic subgroups of index p", Arch. Math. (Basel) 94:2 (2010), 101–116. MR 2011c:20013 Zbl 1195.20010
- [Holm 2001] T. Holm, "Notes on Donovan's Conjecture for blocks of tame representation type", 2001, http://www2.iazd.uni-hannover.de/~tholm/ARTIKEL/donovan.ps. Unpublished notes.
- [Horimoto and Watanabe 2012] H. Horimoto and A. Watanabe, "On a perfect isometry between principal p-blocks of finite groups with cyclic p-hyperfocal subgroups", preprint, 2012, http:// hdl.handle.net/2433/194497. In Japanese.
- [Kessar et al. 2015] R. Kessar, M. Linckelmann, and G. Navarro, "A characterisation of nilpotent blocks", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (online publication June 2015).
- [Kiyota 1984] M. Kiyota, "On 3-blocks with an elementary abelian defect group of order 9", *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **31**:1 (1984), 33–58. MR 85k:20036 Zbl 0546.20013
- [Linckelmann 2007] M. Linckelmann, "Introduction to fusion systems", pp. 79–113 in *Group representation theory*, edited by M. Geck et al., EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2007. MR 2008f:20021 Zbl 1161.20007
- [Malle and Navarro 2011] G. Malle and G. Navarro, "Blocks with equal height zero degrees", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**:12 (2011), 6647–6669. MR 2012g:20016 Zbl 1277.20013
- [Robinson 1991] G. R. Robinson, "On the number of characters in a block", *J. Algebra* **138**:2 (1991), 515–521. MR 92h:20022a Zbl 0727.20010
- [Robinson 2008] G. R. Robinson, "On the focal defect group of a block, characters of height zero, and lower defect group multiplicities", *J. Algebra* **320**:6 (2008), 2624–2628. MR 2009f:20010 Zbl 1153.20006
- [Rouquier 2001] R. Rouquier, "Block theory via stable and Rickard equivalences", pp. 101–146 in *Modular representation theory of finite groups* (Charlottesville, VA, 1998), edited by M. J. Collins et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001. MR 2003g:20018 Zbl 0998.20006
- [Ruengrot 2011] P. Ruengrot, *Perfect isometry groups for blocks of finite groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Manchester, 2011, https://www.escholar.manchester.ac.uk/uk-ac-man-scw:142297.
- [Sambale 2011] B. Sambale, "2-blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups", *J. Algebra* 337 (2011), 261–284. MR 2012d:20019 Zbl 1247.20010
- [Sambale 2014] B. Sambale, *Blocks of finite groups and their invariants*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2127**, Springer, Berlin, 2014. MR 3289382 Zbl 1315.20009
- [Stancu 2006] R. Stancu, "Control of fusion in fusion systems", *J. Algebra Appl.* **5**:6 (2006), 817–837. MR 2007j:20025 Zbl 1118.20020

[Usami 1988] Y. Usami, "On *p*-blocks with abelian defect groups and inertial index 2 or 3, I", *J. Algebra* **119**:1 (1988), 123–146. MR 89i:20024 Zbl 0659.20008

[Watanabe 1985] A. Watanabe, "On generalized decomposition numbers and Fong's reductions", *Osaka J. Math.* **22**:2 (1985), 393–400. MR 86i:20018 Zbl 0575.20011

[Watanabe 2014] A. Watanabe, "The number of irreducible Brauer characters in a *p*-block of a finite group with cyclic hyperfocal subgroup", *J. Algebra* **416** (2014), 167–183. MR 3232798 Zbl 06339539

[Watanabe 2015] A. Watanabe, "On blocks of finite groups with metacyclic, minimal non-abelian defect groups", preprint, 2015.

Received April 26, 2015. Revised June 6, 2015.

BENJAMIN SAMBALE Fachbereich Mathematik TU Kaiserslautern 67653 Kaiserslautern Germany

sambale@mathematik.uni-kl.de

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

> Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Paul Balmer

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn

Department of Mathematics

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305-2125

finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

popa@math.ucla.edu

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

Daryl Cooper Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$600/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 280 No. 2 February 2016

Topological Molino's theory	257
JESÚS A. ÁLVAREZ LÓPEZ and MANUEL F. MOREIRA GALICIA	
Equivariant principal bundles and logarithmic connections on toric varieties	315
INDRANIL BISWAS, ARIJIT DEY and MAINAK PODDAR	
On a spectral theorem in paraorthogonality theory	327
KENIER CASTILLO, RUYMÁN CRUZ-BARROSO and FRANCISCO Perdomo-Pío	
Sigma theory and twisted conjugacy, II: Houghton groups and pure symmetric automorphism groups	349
DACIBERG L. GONÇALVES and PARAMESWARAN SANKARAN	
The second CR Yamabe invariant	371
Pak Tung Ho	
No hyperbolic pants for the 4-body problem with strong potential CONNOR JACKMAN and RICHARD MONTGOMERY	401
Unions of Lebesgue spaces and A ₁ majorants GREG KNESE, JOHN E. M ^C CARTHY and KABE MOEN	411
Complex hyperbolic $(3, 3, n)$ triangle groups	433
JOHN R. PARKER, JIEYAN WANG and BAOHUA XIE	
Topological aspects of holomorphic mappings of hyperquadrics from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^3	455
MICHAEL REITER	
2-Blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups III	475
Benjamin Sambale	
Number of singularities of stable maps on surfaces	489
Таканіго Уамамото	

