

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

A CLASSIFICATION OF SPHERICAL CONJUGACY CLASSES

MAURO COSTANTINI

Volume 285 No. 1

November 2016

A CLASSIFICATION OF SPHERICAL CONJUGACY CLASSES

MAURO COSTANTINI

Let G be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . We complete the classification of the spherical conjugacy classes of G begun by Carnovale (*Pacific J. Math.* 245 (2010), 25–45) and the author (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 1997–2019).

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . In this paper we complete the classification of the spherical conjugacy classes of G (recalling that a conjugacy class \mathcal{O} in G is called *spherical* if a Borel subgroup of G has a dense orbit on \mathcal{O}). There has been a lot of work related to this field, beginning with the work of D. Panyushev [1994; 1999], who classified spherical nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of G , when the base field is \mathbb{C} . R. Fowler and G. Röhrle [2008] classified spherical nilpotent orbits over an algebraically closed field of good characteristic. Then G. Carnovale [2010], exploiting the characterizations of spherical conjugacy classes in terms of the Weyl group given in [Cantarini et al. 2005; Carnovale 2008; 2009], classified the spherical conjugacy classes of G in zero or good, odd characteristic. In [Costantini 2012], we obtained the classification of spherical unipotent conjugacy classes when the characteristic of k is bad, and for characteristic 2 in case A_n . In the present paper we complete the classification, dealing with nonunipotent conjugacy classes when the characteristic of k is bad, and when G is of type A_n and the characteristic is 2.

The second goal of this paper is the characterization of spherical conjugacy classes in terms of the *dimension formula*: we prove in Theorem 4.1 that a conjugacy class \mathcal{O} of G is spherical if and only if $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$, where $w_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a certain element of the Weyl group attached to \mathcal{O} , as defined in the next section. This characterization was obtained over \mathbb{C} in [Cantarini et al. 2005] and in good, odd characteristic in [Carnovale 2008]. An elegant proof was obtained in [Lu 2011] in zero characteristic.

We finally deduce further consequences of the classification.

MSC2010: primary 20E45, 20F55, 20G15; secondary 14M15.

Keywords: spherical conjugacy classes, bad characteristic.

2. Preliminaries

We denote by \mathbb{C} the complex numbers, by \mathbb{R} the reals, and by \mathbb{Z} the integers.

Let G be a simple algebraic group of rank n over k , where k is an algebraically closed field. We fix a maximal torus T of G , a Borel subgroup B containing T , the unipotent radical U of B and the Borel subgroup B^- opposite to B with unipotent radical U^- . Then Φ is the set of roots relative to T , and B determines the set of positive roots Φ^+ and the simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. We write s_α for the simple reflection associated to $\alpha \in \Phi$. We use the numbering and the description of the simple roots in terms of the canonical basis (e_1, \dots, e_k) of an appropriate \mathbb{R}^k as in [Bourbaki 1981, Planches I–IX]. For the exceptional groups, we write $\beta = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ for $\beta = m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_n\alpha_n$. We identify the Weyl group W with N/T , where N is the normalizer of T . We denote by w_0 the longest element of W . The real space $E = \mathbb{R}\Phi$ is a Euclidean space, endowed with the W -invariant scalar product $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$. Here $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ are relatively prime positive integers such that if D is the diagonal matrix with entries d_1, \dots, d_n , then DA is symmetric for $A = (a_{ij})$ the Cartan matrix.

We put $\Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and let ϑ be the symmetry of Π induced by $-w_0$. We denote by ℓ the usual length function on W , and by $\text{rk}(1 - w)$ the rank of $1 - w$ in the geometric representation of W .

We use the notation $x_\alpha(\xi)$ and $h_\alpha(z)$ as in [Steinberg 1968; Carter 1989], for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\xi \in k$, and $z \in k^*$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we put $X_\alpha = \{x_\alpha(\xi) \mid \xi \in k\}$, the root-subgroup corresponding to α , and $H_\alpha = \{h_\alpha(z) \mid z \in k^*\}$. Given an element $w \in W$ we denote a representative of w in N by \dot{w} . We choose the x_α so that, for all $\alpha \in \Phi$, $n_\alpha = x_\alpha(1)x_{-\alpha}(-1)x_\alpha(1)$ lies in N and has image the reflection s_α in W . Then

$$(2-1) \quad \begin{aligned} x_\alpha(\xi)x_{-\alpha}(-\xi^{-1})x_\alpha(\xi) &= h_\alpha(\xi)n_\alpha, & n_\alpha^2 &= h_\alpha(-1) \\ n_\alpha x_\alpha(x)n_\alpha^{-1} &= x_{-\alpha}(-x), & h_\alpha(\xi)x_\beta(x)h_\alpha(\xi)^{-1} &= x_\beta(\xi^{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle} x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi \in k^*$, $x \in k$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, where $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = 2(\beta, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$ [Springer 1998a, Proposition 11.2.1]. The family $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is called a *realization* of Φ in G .

We set $T^w = \{t \in T \mid wt w^{-1} = t\}$ and $T_2 = \{t \in T \mid t^2 = 1\}$. In particular $T^w = T_2$ if $w = w_0 = -1$. We also put $S^w = \{t \in T \mid wt w^{-1} = t^{-1}\}$.

For algebraic groups we use the notation in [Humphreys 1975; Carter 1985]. In particular, for $J \subseteq \Pi$, we have $\Delta_J = \{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$, Φ_J is the corresponding root system, W_J the Weyl group, P_J the standard parabolic subgroup of G , and $L_J = T \langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_J \rangle$ the standard Levi subgroup of P_J . For $z \in W$ we put $U_z = U \cap z^{-1}U^-z$. Then the unipotent radical $R_u P_J$ of P_J is $U_{w_0 w_J}$, where w_J is the longest element of W_J . Moreover $U \cap L_J = U_{w_J}$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of L_J (of dimension $\ell(w_J)$), and $T_J = T \cap L'_J$ is a maximal torus of L'_J . For unipotent classes in exceptional groups we use the notation in [Carter 1985;

Spaltenstein 1982]. We use the description of centralizers of involutions as in [Iwahori 1970].

If X is a G -variety and $x \in X$, we denote by $G.x$ the G -orbit of x and by G_x the isotropy subgroup of x in G . We say that X is *spherical* if a Borel subgroup of G has a dense orbit on X . It is well known (see [Brion 1986; Vinberg 1986] in characteristic 0, [Grosshans 1992; Knop 1995] in positive characteristic) that X is spherical if and only if the set \mathcal{V} of B -orbits in X is finite. If H is a closed subgroup of G and the homogeneous space G/H is spherical, we say that H is a spherical subgroup of G .

Let g be an element of G with Jordan decomposition $g = su$, for s semisimple and u unipotent. Using a terminology slightly different from the usual, we say that g is *mixed* if $s \notin Z(G)$ and $u \neq 1$. For each conjugacy class \mathcal{O} in G , $w = w_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the unique element of W such that $BwB \cap \mathcal{O}$ is open dense in \mathcal{O} .

If x is an element of a group K and $H \leq K$, we denote by $C(x)$ the centralizer of x in K , and by $C_H(x)$ the centralizer of x in H . If $x, y \in K$, then $x \sim y$ means that x and y are conjugate in K .

If H is an algebraic group, we denote by $B(H)$ a Borel subgroup of H . We denote the identity matrix of order r by I_r . Finally, in the remainder of the paper we denote by p the characteristic of k (hence p may be 0).

3. The classification

We recall that the bad primes for the individual types of simple groups are as follows:

- none when G has type A_n ;
- $p = 2$ when G has type B_n, C_n or D_n ;
- $p = 2$ or 3 when G has type G_2, F_4, E_6 or E_7 ;
- $p = 2, 3$ or 5 when G has type E_8 .

For convenience we assume G simply connected, so that centralizers of semisimple elements are connected [Carter 1985, Theorem 3.5.6]. However the classification of spherical conjugacy classes in G is independent of the isogeny class. More precisely, let $D \leq Z(G)$ and $\bar{G} = G/D$. For the canonical projection $\pi : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ and $g \in G$, put $\bar{g} = \pi(g)$. Then it is clear that the conjugacy class of \bar{g} in \bar{G} is spherical if and only if the conjugacy class of g in G is spherical; see also the discussion at the beginning of [Costantini 2010, §6].

We put $\tilde{\Pi} = \Pi \cup \{0\}$ and $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0\}$, where $\alpha_0 = -\beta$ for the highest root β of Φ^+ . Thus $\tilde{\Pi}$ labels the vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram of the root system Φ . For $J \subset \tilde{\Pi}$, let $\Phi_J = \mathbb{Z}\{\alpha_i \mid i \in J\} \cap \Phi$ and

$$L_J = \langle T, X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_J \rangle.$$

This is called a *pseudo-Levi subgroup* of G (in the sense of [Sommers 1998]). Then the following holds:

Proposition 3.1 [McNinch and Sommers 2003, Propositions 30 and 32]. *Let t in G be semisimple. Then $C(t)$ is conjugate to a subgroup L_J for some $J \subset \tilde{\Pi}$.*

Suppose that the characteristic of k is good for G . Let $J \subset \tilde{\Pi}$. Then there is $t \in G$ such that $L_J = C(t)$. \square

We recall some basic facts which have been proved for zero or good, odd characteristic.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p \neq 2$, and let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class of a connected reductive algebraic group. If $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB$ is nonempty, then $w^2 = 1$.*

Proof. If p is zero or good and odd then this is [Carnovale 2008, Theorem 2.7]. The same proof holds as long as $p \neq 2$; see also [Carnovale and Costantini 2013, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Remark 3.3. Let $M(W)$ denote the Richardson–Springer monoid, i.e., the monoid generated by the symbols r_α for $\alpha \in \Delta$, subject to the braid relations and the relation $r_\alpha^2 = r_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Given a spherical G -variety, there is an $M(W)$ -action on the set \mathcal{V} of its B -orbits. Under additional conditions, one can also define an action of W on \mathcal{V} . These actions have been introduced in [Richardson and Springer 1990] and [Knop 1995], respectively, and they have been further analyzed and applied in [Brion 2001; Mars and Springer 1998, §4.1; Springer 1998b]. The actions of $M(W)$ and W have been used to prove [Carnovale 2008, Theorem 2.7]. By [Knop 1995, Theorem 4.2(b)], a case in which the action of W is defined is when $p \neq 2$. This allows one to extend the proof of [Carnovale 2008, Theorem 2.7] to the case $p \neq 2$, as done in [Carnovale and Costantini 2013, Theorem 2.1]. We shall come back to this point after the achievement of the classification of spherical conjugacy classes in characteristic 2.

Let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class of G and let \mathcal{V} be the set of B -orbits in \mathcal{O} . There is a natural map $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow W$ associating to $v \in \mathcal{V}$ the element w in the Weyl group of G for which $v \subseteq BwB$ (equivalently, for which $v \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$).

Theorem 3.4. *Let $p \neq 2$, and let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class in a connected reductive algebraic group. If $\text{Im}(\phi)$ contains only involutions in W , then \mathcal{O} is spherical.*

Proof. If p is zero, or good and odd this is [Carnovale 2009, Theorem 5.7]. The same proof holds as long as $p \neq 2$, once it is noticed again that the action of W on \mathcal{V} is defined. \square

Theorem 3.5 [Cantarini et al. 2005, Theorem 25; Carnovale 2008, Theorem 4.4]. *A class \mathcal{O} in a connected reductive algebraic group G over an algebraically closed field of zero or good odd characteristic is spherical if and only if there exists v in \mathcal{V}*

such that $\ell(\phi(v)) + \text{rk}(1 - \phi(v)) = \dim \mathcal{O}$. If this is the case, v is the dense B -orbit in \mathcal{O} and $\phi(v) = w_{\mathcal{O}}$ (and $v = \mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B$). \square

For any conjugacy class \mathcal{O} , the element $w_{\mathcal{O}}$ of the Weyl group is an involution, i.e., $w_{\mathcal{O}}^2 = 1$, is the unique maximal element in its conjugacy class and is of the form $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$, for a certain ϑ -invariant subset J of Π such that $w_0(\alpha) = w_J(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta_J$ [Carnovale 2008, Lemma 3.5; Chan et al. 2010, Corollary 2.11; Perkins and Rowley 2002].

We indicate the strategy we followed to determine the classification. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding group over \mathbb{C} . We have shown in [Cantarini et al. 2005] that for every spherical conjugacy class \mathcal{C} of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ there exists an involution $w = w(\mathcal{C})$ in W such that $\dim \mathcal{C} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$, with $\mathcal{C} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$ (in fact even $\mathcal{C} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$). For each group G we introduce a certain set $\mathcal{O}(G)$ of semisimple or mixed conjugacy classes; this set is suggested by the classification in characteristic zero. For each $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ there is a certain spherical conjugacy class \mathcal{C} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\dim \mathcal{O} = \dim \mathcal{C}$. Let $w = w_{\mathcal{C}}$. Our aim is to show that $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$, so that \mathcal{O} is in fact spherical by the following proposition. Finally we show that any conjugacy class not in $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is not spherical.

For convenience of the reader we shall give tables for the nonunipotent spherical conjugacy classes. In the tables we give a representative g of the spherical conjugacy class \mathcal{O} , the subset J of Π for which $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$, the decomposition of $w_{\mathcal{O}}$ into the product of orthogonal reflections, the type of $C(g)$ when g is semisimple and the dimension of \mathcal{O} .

We recall the following result, proved in [Cantarini et al. 2005, Theorem 5] over \mathbb{C} , but which is valid with the same proof over any algebraically closed field.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{O} contains an element $x \in BwB$. Then*

$$\dim B.x \geq \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w).$$

In particular, $\dim \mathcal{O} \geq \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. If in addition $\dim \mathcal{O} \leq \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$, then \mathcal{O} is spherical, $w = w_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $B.x$ is the dense B -orbit in \mathcal{O} .

If g is in $Z(G)$, then $g \in T$, $\mathcal{O}_g = \{g\}$ and $w_{\mathcal{O}} = 1$. In the remainder of the paper we consider only noncentral conjugacy classes.

We shall use the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume the positive roots $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\ell}$ are such that $[X_{\pm\beta_i}, X_{\pm\beta_j}] = 1$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$. Then, for $g = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_{\ell}} x_{\beta_1}(1) \cdots x_{\beta_{\ell}}(1)$ and $h \in T$ such that $\beta_i(h) \neq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we have*

$$ghg^{-1} \in BwB \cap B^-$$

where $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{\ell}}$.

Proof. By [Carter 1989, p. 106], for every positive root α and every $t \in k^*$ we have $x_{-\alpha}(t) = x_\alpha(t^{-1})n_\alpha x_\alpha(t^{-1})h'$ for a certain $h' \in T$, so that $x_{-\alpha}(t) \in Bs_\alpha B \cap B^-$. Hence, for every $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, by (2-1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\beta_i} x_{\beta_i}(1) h (n_{\beta_i} x_{\beta_i}(1))^{-1} &= n_{\beta_i} x_{\beta_i}(1) h x_{\beta_i}(-1) h^{-1} h n_{\beta_i}^{-1} \\ &= n_{\beta_i} x_{\beta_i}(1 - \beta_i(h)) n_{\beta_i}^{-1} n_{\beta_i} h n_{\beta_i}^{-1} \\ &= x_{-\beta_i}(\beta_i(h) - 1) h_i \\ &\in Bs_{\beta_i} B \cap B^-, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_i = n_{\beta_i} h n_{\beta_i}^{-1} \in T$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_\ell \in k^*$. Then

$$(x_{\beta_1}(t_1^{-1}) \cdots x_{\beta_\ell}(t_\ell^{-1}))^{-1} x_{-\beta_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{-\beta_\ell}(t_\ell) (x_{\beta_1}(t_1^{-1}) \cdots x_{\beta_\ell}(t_\ell^{-1}))$$

lies in $n_{\beta_1} X_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_\ell} X_{\beta_\ell} T = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_\ell} X_{\beta_1} \cdots X_{\beta_\ell} T \subseteq wB$. Therefore

$$ghg^{-1} = x_{-\beta_1}(\beta_1(h) - 1) \cdots x_{-\beta_\ell}(\beta_\ell(h) - 1) h_1 \cdots h_\ell \in BwB \cap B^-. \quad \square$$

The hypothesis of the lemma is satisfied for instance if $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell$ are pairwise orthogonal and long, as in [Costantini 2010, Lemma 4.1]. In characteristic 2, we have $[X_\gamma, X_\delta] = 1$ for every pair (γ, δ) of orthogonal roots.

Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of $x \in G$. In general the orbit map $\pi : G/C(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a bijective morphism, which may not be separable (i.e., an isomorphism). Nevertheless, we have the following result:

Lemma 3.8 [Fowler and Röhrle 2008, Remark 2.14]. *Let \mathcal{O} be a G -orbit with isotropy subgroup H . Then \mathcal{O} is spherical if and only if G/H is spherical.* \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $g \in G$ with Jordan decomposition $g = su$ for s semisimple and u unipotent. If \mathcal{O}_g is spherical then \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, $C(g) = C(s) \cap C(u)$ is a spherical subgroup of G . Hence both $C(s)$ and $C(u)$ are spherical subgroups of G and, by Lemma 3.8, \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical. \square

For $J \subseteq \Pi$ we put $T_J = T \cap L'_J$, a maximal torus of the derived subgroup L'_J of the standard Levi subgroup L_J , so that $B_J = T_J U_{w_J}$ is a Borel subgroup of L'_J .

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class of G and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Assume there exists $J \subseteq \Pi$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq L_J$ and $(B_J \cdot x)_{x \in \mathcal{F}}$ is a family of pairwise distinct B_J -orbits. Then the family $(B \cdot x)_{x \in \mathcal{F}}$ consists of pairwise distinct B -orbits.*

Proof. Let x and y be elements of \mathcal{F} , and assume $B \cdot x = B \cdot y$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $bxb^{-1} = y$, i.e., $bx = yb$. Since $B = TU_{w_J} U_{w_0 w_J}$, where $U_{w_0 w_J}$ is the unipotent radical of the standard parabolic subgroup P_J , we can write $b = tu_1 u_2$ with $t \in T$, $u_1 \in U_{w_J}$ and $u_2 \in U_{w_0 w_J}$, so that $tu_1 u_2 x = ytu_1 u_2$. Since $U_{w_0 w_J}$ is normal in P_J , from uniqueness of expression we get $tu_1 x = ytu_1$. We may

decompose $T = T_J S$ where $S = (\bigcap_{i \in J} \ker \alpha_i)^\circ$, and $t = t_1 t_2$ with $t_1 \in T_J$, $t_2 \in S$. Then $S \leq C(L_J)$, so that $t_1 u_1 x = y t_1 u_1$. But $t_1 u_1$ lies in B_J , and we conclude that $B_J.x = B_J.y$. Therefore $x = y$ and we are done. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let x be a semisimple element of G with $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G , and assume \mathcal{O}_x is spherical. Let \tilde{x} be a semisimple element in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x}) = L_J$ (in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$). Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is spherical.*

Proof. First we note that such an \tilde{x} exists, by Proposition 3.1. By Lemma 3.8 and [Brundan 1998, Theorem 2.2(i)], it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

Type A_n , $n \geq 1$. For every $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \rfloor$, we denote the root $e_i - e_{n+2-i}$ by β_i .

Proposition 3.12. *Let $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$, any characteristic. Let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class of G . Then $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_{\mathcal{O}} B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.*

Proof. We may work (and usually do) up to a central element, hence we may assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$, x either unipotent or semisimple. If x is unipotent then the result follows from [Cantarini et al. 2005, Proposition 11], whose proof is characteristic-free. If x is semisimple, then either x is central, or x is regular. In the first case $C(x) = G$, and in the second case we may assume $C(x) = T$. Now

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 1/f \end{pmatrix}$$

for a certain $f \neq \pm 1$. Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $g x g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap B w_{\mathcal{O}} B \cap B^-$ by Lemma 3.7, where $w = w_0$, with $\dim \mathcal{O} = 2 = \ell(w_0) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w_0)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let H be connected and reductive, any characteristic. Then H has a regular spherical conjugacy class if and only if the semisimple part of H is of type A'_1 . In this case every conjugacy class is spherical.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $H = Z \times G_1 \times \dots \times G_r$, where $Z = Z(H)^\circ$ and G_i is simple for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $n_i = \mathrm{rk} G_i$ and N_i the number of positive roots of G_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $x = (z, x_1, \dots, x_r)$ be an element of H and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$. Then \mathcal{O} is spherical if and only if each $G_i.x_i$ is spherical in G_i , and x is regular if and only if each x_i is regular in G_i . Moreover, a spherical G_i -conjugacy class in G_i has dimension at most $n_i + N_i$, while $G_i.x_i$ is regular in G_i if and only if its dimension is $2N_i$.

If the semisimple part of H is of type A_1^r , then every conjugacy class of H is spherical by Proposition 3.12.

Suppose there exists a regular spherical conjugacy class. Then $2N_i \leq n_i + N_i$ for every i , which is possible if and only if $N_i = n_i = 1$ for every i . Hence the semisimple part of H is of type A_1^r . \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $H = GL(3)$, any characteristic, g a regular element of H . Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{F} = \{x_m \mid m \in k^*\}$ of \mathcal{O}_g such that $(B(H).x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ consists of pairwise distinct $B(H)$ -orbits.*

Proof. For $m, a, b, c \in k^*$, let

$$\begin{aligned} x_m = x_m(a, b, c) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{abc}{m} \\ 0 & -m & -\frac{(a+m)(b+m)(c+m)}{m} \\ 1 & 1 & a+b+c+m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{abc}{m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & a+b+c+m \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{(a+m)(b+m)(c+m)}{m^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in w_0B. \end{aligned}$$

From the uniqueness of Bruhat decomposition, we have $B.x_m \cap w_0B = T.x_m$; moreover, $C_T(x_m)$ consists of scalar matrices, and

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in k^* \right\}$$

acts as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . x_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha \frac{abc}{m} \\ 0 & -m & -\beta \frac{(a+m)(b+m)(c+m)}{m} \\ \alpha^{-1} & \beta^{-1} & a+b+c+m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$T.x_m \cap \mathcal{F} = \{x_m\}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $x_m(a, b, c)$ is $(X - a)(X - b)(X - c)$. Moreover, $\dim B.x_m(a, b, c) = 5$, so that $\dim \mathcal{O}_{x_m(a, b, c)} = 6$. We have shown that $x_m(a, b, c)$ is regular for every choice of $a, b, c \in k^*$. Now let g be a regular element of $GL(3)$. Since \mathcal{O}_g is determined by the characteristic polynomial of g , there exist $a, b, c \in k^*$ such that $x_m(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{O}_g$ for every $m \in k^*$. We take $x_m = x_m(a, b, c)$ for $m \in k^*$. The set $\mathcal{F} = \{x_m \mid m \in k^*\}$ is the required set. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let s be a semisimple element of $SL(n + 1)$ with at most 2 eigenvalues, any characteristic, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. Then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, and \mathcal{O} is spherical.*

Proof. We may assume $s = \text{diag}(aI_k, bI_{n+1-k})$ with $a \neq b$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n + 1) \rfloor$. Let $g = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_k} x_{\beta_1}(1) \cdots x_{\beta_k}(1)$. Then, by Lemma 3.7, $gs_g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ with $w = w_{\beta_1} \cdots w_{\beta_k}$. As $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$, we conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Theorem 3.16. *Let g be an element of $SL(n + 1)$, any characteristic, $g = su$ its Jordan decomposition and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. Then \mathcal{O} is spherical if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (a) $u = 1$ and s has at most 2 eigenvalues.
- (b) $u \neq 1$, $s \in Z(G)$ and u has Jordan blocks of sizes at most 2.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Suppose that neither (a) nor (b) hold. Since by [Knop 1995, Theorem 2.2] every conjugacy class contained in the closure of \mathcal{O} is spherical, without loss of generality we may assume

$$g = \text{diag}(R, S) \quad \text{for } R \in GL(3), S \in GL(n - 2), S \text{ diagonal}$$

with

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

a, b and c pairwise distinct. Hence R is regular in $GL(3)$. Consider the elements

$$g_m = \text{diag}(x_m, S)$$

for $m \in k^*$, where x_m is as defined in Lemma 3.14. We apply Lemma 3.10 with $J = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{g_m \mid m \in k^*\} \subset L_J$. The g_m are all G -conjugate to g , and pairwise not B_J -conjugate. By Lemma 3.10 the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence either (a) or (b) holds.

The remaining assertions follow by Proposition 3.15, and from the classification of unipotent classes in zero or odd characteristic ([Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.2] and in characteristic 2, [Costantini 2012, Table 1]). \square

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$\text{diag}(aI_k, bI_{n+1-k})$ $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n + 1) \rfloor$ $a \neq b$	J_k	$s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_k}$	$T_1 A_{k-1} A_{n-k}$	$2k(n + 1 - k)$

Table 1. Spherical semisimple classes in A_n , where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$ and $J_k = \{k + 1, \dots, n - k\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n + 1) \rfloor - 1$, $J_{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \rfloor} = \emptyset$.

Type C_n (and B_n), $p = 2$, $n \geq 2$. We put $\beta_i = 2e_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\gamma_\ell = e_{2\ell-1} + e_{2\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$.

We describe G as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2n)$ of matrices preserving the bilinear form associated with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the canonical basis of k^{2n} . We observe that in characteristic 2 the groups of type B_n and C_n are isomorphic as abstract groups, hence we deal only with type C_n .

Proposition 3.17. *Let x be an element of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, any characteristic, $n \geq 2$, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If either*

- (a) $x = a_\lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n)$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, or
- (b) $x = c_\lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1}, I_{n-1})$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$,

then $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_{\mathcal{O}} B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. The proof uses the same method as the proof of Proposition 3.15, so we omit it. \square

Proposition 3.18. *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, $p = 2$, $n \geq 2$. The spherical semisimple classes are represented by*

- (a) $a_\lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n)$ for $\lambda \neq 1$,
- (b) $c_\lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1}, I_{n-1})$ for $\lambda \neq 1$.

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 1; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.3], it follows that L_J is of type $C_\ell C_{n-\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$, $T_1 C_{n-1}$ or $T_1 \tilde{A}_{n-1}$. But $Z(C_\ell C_{n-\ell}) = 1$, so that we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} a_\lambda &= \mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n) \longleftrightarrow T_1 \tilde{A}_{n-1}, \\ c_\lambda &= \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1}, I_{n-1}) \longleftrightarrow T_1 C_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \neq 1$. We conclude by Proposition 3.17. \square

We now deal with mixed conjugacy classes.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $H = \mathrm{Sp}(4)$, any characteristic, and*

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

a mixed regular element of H (so $a \neq \pm 1$). Then there is a subset $\mathcal{F} = \{x_m \mid m \in k^*\}$ of \mathcal{O}_g such that $(B(H).x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ consists of pairwise distinct $B(H)$ -orbits.

Proof. For $m \in k^*$, we put

$$x_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ m & m & \frac{a^2+m+1}{a} & \frac{m(-2a+m+1)}{a} \\ 0 & -1 & -\frac{1}{a} & 2 - \frac{m}{a} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{a^2+m+1}{am} & \frac{-2a+m+1}{a} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{a} & \frac{m}{a} - 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in w_0 B.$$

The characteristic polynomial of x_m is $(X - 1)^2(X - a)(X - 1/a)$, and the 1-eigenspace has dimension 1. Hence x_m is H -conjugate to g . Suppose $x_m, x_{m'}$ are B -conjugate. Then $x_m, x_{m'}$ are T -conjugate and, from a direct calculation, it follows that $T.x_m \cap \mathcal{F} = \{x_m\}$, hence $m = m'$. \square

Proposition 3.20. *Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of a mixed element g of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, $p = 2$. Then \mathcal{O} is not spherical.*

Proof. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume, for a contradiction, that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical. By Proposition 3.18, $H = C(s)$ is of type T_1C_{n-1} or $T_1\tilde{A}_{n-1}$. However $\dim T_1\tilde{A}_{n-1} = n^2$, and therefore $C_{T_1\tilde{A}_{n-1}}(u)$ is not spherical in G . We are left with H of type T_1C_{n-1} , and we may assume $s = c_a = h_{\beta_1}(a)$ for a certain $a \neq 1$.

Since every conjugacy class contained in the closure of \mathcal{O} is spherical, it is enough to deal with the minimal nontrivial spherical unipotent classes in T_1C_{n-1} . From the classification of spherical unipotent classes in characteristic 2 [Costantini 2012, Tables 1 and 2], we may assume

$$g = h_{\beta_1}(a)x_{\alpha_2}(1) \quad \text{if } n = 2, \\ g = h_{\beta_{n-1}}(a)x_{\alpha_n}(1) \quad \text{or} \quad g = h_{\beta_1}(a)x_{\alpha_2}(1) \quad \text{if } n \geq 3,$$

since $h_{\beta_{n-1}}(a) = \mathrm{diag}(I_{n-2}, a, 1, I_{n-2}, a^{-1}, 1)$ is conjugate to $h_{\beta_1}(a)$.

Suppose $g = h_{\beta_{n-1}}(a)x_{\alpha_n}(1)$, $n \geq 2$. We apply Lemma 3.10 with $J = \{n-1, n\}$. By considering the corresponding embedding of C_2 into C_n , we may assume that the family $\mathcal{F} = \{x_m \mid m \in k^*\}$, introduced in Lemma 3.19, is a subset of L_J . The

x_m are all G -conjugate to g , and pairwise not B_J -conjugate. By Lemma 3.10, the family $(B \cdot x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence the class of $g = h_{\beta_{n-1}}(a)x_{\alpha_n}(1)$ is not spherical.

Suppose $g = h_{\beta_1}(a)x_{\alpha_2}(1)$, $n \geq 3$. Then

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^t A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ be the family introduced in Lemma 3.14, such that x_m is $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ -conjugate to A for every $m \in k^*$. We put

$$g_m = \begin{pmatrix} x_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^t x_m^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The g_m are all $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ -conjugate to g . By Lemma 3.10 with $J = \{1, 2\}$, the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence the class of $g = h_{\beta_1}(a)x_{\alpha_2}(1)$ is not spherical. \square

Theorem 3.21. *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, $p = 2$, $n \geq 2$. The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent. The semisimple classes are represented in Table 2 and the unipotent classes are represented in Table 2 of [Costantini 2012].* \square

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$c_{\lambda} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1}, I_{n-1})$ $\lambda \neq 1$	J_2	$s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}$	$T_1 C_{n-1}$	$4n - 2$
$a_{\lambda} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n)$ $\lambda \neq 1$	\emptyset	$w_0 = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_n}$	$T_1 \tilde{A}_{n-1}$	$n^2 + n$

Table 2. Spherical semisimple classes in C_n , $n \geq 2$, $p = 2$. Here $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$, $J_2 = \emptyset$ if $n = 2$ and $J_2 = \{3, \dots, n\}$ if $n \geq 3$.

Type D_n , $p = 2$, $n \geq 4$. Let $r = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$. We put $\beta_{\ell} = e_{2\ell-1} + e_{2\ell}$ and $\delta_{\ell} = e_{2\ell-1} - e_{2\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, r$. Also, we set $J_1 = \{3, \dots, n\}$, $K_r = \{1, 3, \dots, 2r - 1\}$ and, if n is even, $K'_r = \{1, 3, \dots, n - 3, n\}$.

In this section we deal with groups G of type D_n . We recall that we are assuming G simply connected. Since $p = 2$, the covering map $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)$ is an isomorphism of abstract groups. We describe $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ as the connected component

of the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ of matrices preserving the quadratic form associated with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the canonical basis of k^{2n} [Carter 1989, §1.6].

Proposition 3.22. *Let x be an element of $G = D_n$, any characteristic, $n \geq 4$, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

- (a) $x = c_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda)h_{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$,
- (b) $x = a_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_r}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, or
- (c) $x = a'_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_{r-1}}(\lambda)h_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, n even,

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. Assume $x = c_\lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. Let $g = n_{\beta_1}n_{\delta_1}x_{\beta_1}(1)x_{\delta_1}(1)$. Then we have $g x g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\delta_1}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w)$.

Similarly, assume $x = a_\lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. Let $g = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_r}x_{\beta_1}(1) \cdots x_{\beta_r}(1)$. Then $g x g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_r}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \mathrm{rk}(1 - w)$.

The case (c) follows from (b) by using the graph automorphism of G exchanging $n - 1$ and n . We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.23. *Let $G = D_n$, $p = 2$, $n \geq 4$. The spherical semisimple classes are represented by*

- (a) $x = c_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda)h_{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 1$,
- (b) $x = a_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_m}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 1$,
- (c) $x = a'_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_{m-1}}(\lambda)h_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 1$, n even.

Proof. The proof uses the same method as the proof of Proposition 3.18, so we omit it. \square

We now deal with mixed conjugacy classes.

Proposition 3.24. *Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of a mixed element g in D_n , $p = 2$. Then \mathcal{O} is not spherical.*

Proof. We work with $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ via π . Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and we may assume, up to conjugation and graph automorphism, that for a certain $a \neq 1$,

$$s = \mathrm{diag}(aI_{n-1}, a^{-1}, a^{-1}I_{n-1}, a) \quad \text{or} \quad s = \mathrm{diag}(I_{n-3}, a, I_2, I_{n-3}, a^{-1}, I_2).$$

Assume $s = \mathrm{diag}(aI_{n-1}, a^{-1}, a^{-1}I_{n-1}, a)$ for a certain $a \neq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume $u = x_{\alpha_{n-2}}(a^{-1})$, so that

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} aI_{n-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1}I_{n-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ be the family introduced in Lemma 3.14, such that x_m is $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ -conjugate to A for every $m \in k^*$ and $(B(\mathrm{GL}(3)).x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ consists of pairwise distinct $B(\mathrm{GL}(3))$ -orbits.

We put

$$g_m = \begin{pmatrix} aI_{n-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1}I_{n-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & {}^t x_m^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The g_m are all $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ -conjugate to g . By Lemma 3.10 with $J = \{n-1, n-2\}$, the family $(B.g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. This settles the cases when n is odd and $C(s)$ is of type $T_1 A_{n-1}$, and when n is even and $C(s)$ is of type $(T_1 A_{n-1})'$. Upon application of the graph automorphism exchanging n and $n-1$, this also settles the case when n is even and $C(s)$ is of type $T_1 A_{n-1}$.

Assume $s = \mathrm{diag}(a, I_{n-1}, a^{-1}, I_{n-1})$ for a certain $a \neq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume $u = x_{\alpha_2}(1)$, so that

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^t A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ be the family introduced in Lemma 3.14, such that x_m is $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ -conjugate to A for every $m \in k^*$ and $(B(\mathrm{GL}(3)).x_m)_{m \in k^*}$ consists of pairwise distinct $B(\mathrm{GL}(3))$ -orbits.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$c_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda)h_{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ $\lambda \neq 1$ $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda^2, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-2}, I_{n-1})$	J_1	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\delta_1}$	$T_1 D_{n-1}$	$4(n-1)$
$a_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_r}(\lambda)$ $\lambda \neq 1$ $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n)$	K_r	$s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_r}$	$T_1 A_{n-1}$	$n^2 - n$
$a'_\lambda = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_{r-1}}(\lambda)h_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\lambda)$ $\lambda \neq 1$ $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1} I_{n-1}, \lambda)$	K'_r	$s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{r-1}}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}$	$(T_1 A_{n-1})'$	$n^2 - n$

Table 3. Spherical semisimple classes in D_n , $p = 2$, $n \geq 4$, $n = 2r$, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$c_{\lambda} = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda)h_{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ $\lambda \neq 1$ $\text{diag}(\lambda^2, I_{n-1}, \lambda^{-2}, I_{n-1})$	J_1	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\delta_1}$	T_1D_{n-1}	$4(n-1)$
$a_{\lambda} = h_{\beta_1}(\lambda) \cdots h_{\beta_r}(\lambda)$ $\lambda \neq 1$ $\text{diag}(\lambda I_n, \lambda^{-1} I_n)$	K_r	$s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_r}$	T_1A_{n-1}	$n^2 - n$

Table 4. Spherical semisimple classes in D_n , $p = 2$, $n \geq 5$, $n = 2r + 1$, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$.

Set

$$g_m = \begin{pmatrix} x_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {}^t x_m^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The g_m are all $\text{SO}(2n)$ -conjugate to g . By Lemma 3.10 with $J = \{1, 2\}$, the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. This settles the case when $C(s)$ is of type T_1D_{n-1} , and we are done. \square

Theorem 3.25. *Let $G = D_n$, $p = 2$, $n \geq 4$. The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent. The semisimple classes are represented in Tables 3 and 4, and the unipotent classes in Tables 3 and 4 of [Costantini 2012].* \square

Type E_6 . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= (1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 1), & \beta_2 &= (1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ \beta_3 &= (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0), & \beta_4 &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.26. *Let x be an element of E_6 , any characteristic, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

- (a) $x = h_{\alpha_1}(-1)h_{\alpha_4}(-1)h_{\alpha_6}(-1)$,
- (b) $x = h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^4)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^5)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^4)h_{\alpha_6}(z^2)$ for $z^3 \neq 1$,

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_{\mathcal{O}} B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$, and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. (a) If $p = 2$, then $x = 1$, and there is nothing to prove. So assume $p \neq 2$. In G there are two classes of involutions: one has centralizer of type A_1A_5 and dimension 40, the other has centralizer of type D_5T_1 and has dimension 32. Let $y = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_4} \in w_0 B$, $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_4} = w_0$. Then $y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1) \cdots h_{\beta_4}(-1) = 1$, and $\dim \mathcal{O}_y \geq 40$ by Proposition 3.6. Since $C(x)$ is of type A_1A_5 , we conclude

that $x \sim y$, so that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_0B$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. It is a general fact that if t is semisimple and $\mathcal{O}_t \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{O}_t \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ [Cantarini et al. 2005, Lemma 14].

(b) In this case $C(x)$ is of type D_5T_1 (note that $C(x) = C(h(-1))$ if $p \neq 2$). Let $g = n_{\beta_1}n_{\beta_2}x_{\beta_1}(1)x_{\beta_2}(1)$. Then $g_xg^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap Bs_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}B \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.27. *Let $G = E_6$. The spherical semisimple classes are represented by*

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= h_{\alpha_1}(z^4)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^5)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^4)h_{\alpha_6}(z^2), \quad z^3 \neq 1, \quad \text{for } p = 2, \\ &\left. \begin{aligned} &h_{\alpha_1}(-1)h_{\alpha_4}(-1)h_{\alpha_6}(-1), \\ &h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^4)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^5)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^4)h_{\alpha_6}(z^2), \quad z \neq 1, \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for } p = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or good odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 2; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.6], it follows that L_J is of type A_1A_5 or D_5T_1 .

Let $p = 2$. Then $Z(A_1A_5) = Z(G)$ (of order 3), so that we are left with $h(z)$, for $z^3 \neq 1$.

Let $p = 3$. Then $Z(G) = 1$, and we conclude by Proposition 3.26. \square

We have established the information in Tables 5 and 6, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0w_J$.

Proposition 3.28. *Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of a mixed element g in E_6 , $p = 2$ or 3. Then \mathcal{O} is not spherical.*

Proof. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and therefore $C(s)$ is of type A_1A_5 or D_5T_1 . A dimensional argument rules out all the possibilities except the case that $C(s)$ is of type A_1A_5 and u is a nonidentical unipotent element in the component A_1 of $C(s)$ (hence $p = 3$). Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume $g = h_{\alpha_1}(-1)x_{\alpha_1}(1)$, which is a regular element of the standard Levi subgroup L_J , for $J = \{1, 2\}$. By Lemma 3.14, there is an infinite family $\mathcal{F} = \{g_m \mid m \in k^*\} \subset L_J$ such that the g_m

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h(z) = h_{\alpha_3}(z^5)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^4)h_{\alpha_6}(z^2)$ $z^3 \neq 1$	$\{3, 4, 5\}$	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}$	D_5T_1	32

Table 5. Spherical semisimple classes in E_6 , $p = 2$.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^4)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^5) \cdot h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^4)h_{\alpha_6}(z^2)$ $z \neq 1$	$\{3, 4, 5\}$	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}$	D_5T_1	32
$h_{\alpha_1}(-1)h_{\alpha_4}(-1)h_{\alpha_6}(-1) \sim h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$	\emptyset	w_0	A_1A_5	40

Table 6. Spherical semisimple classes in E_6 , $p = 3$.

are all L_J -conjugate (hence G -conjugate) to g , and pairwise not B_J -conjugate. By Lemma 3.10 the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{O} is not spherical. \square

Theorem 3.29. *Let $G = E_6$, $p = 2$ or 3 . The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent, up to a central element if $p = 2$. The semisimple classes are represented in Tables 5 and 6, and the unipotent classes are represented in Tables 6 and 7 of [Costantini 2012].* \square

Type E_7 . Here $Z(G) = \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau = h_{\alpha_2}(-1)h_{\alpha_5}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-1)$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= (2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1), & \beta_2 &= (0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1), & \beta_3 &= (0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0), \\ \beta_4 &= \alpha_7, & \beta_5 &= \alpha_5, & \beta_6 &= \alpha_3, & \beta_7 &= \alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.30. *Let x be an element of E_7 , any characteristic, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

- (a) $x = h_{\alpha_2}(-\zeta)h_{\alpha_5}(\zeta)h_{\alpha_6}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-\zeta)$ for $\zeta^2 = -1$, $p \neq 2$,
- (b) $x = h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$ for $p \neq 2$,
- (c) $x = h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^2)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^4)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^5)h_{\alpha_6}(z^4)h_{\alpha_7}(z^3)$ for $z \neq \pm 1$,

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$, and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. (a) Let Y be the set of elements y of order 4 of T such that $y^2 = \tau$. Then Y is the disjoint union of 2 W -classes Y_1 and Y_2 : $C(y)$ is of type A_7 if $y \in Y_1$, and of type E_6T_1 if $y \in Y_2$. A representative for Y_1 is $h_{\alpha_2}(-\zeta)h_{\alpha_5}(\zeta)h_{\alpha_6}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-\zeta)$ where ζ is a square root of -1 .

Let $y = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_7} \in w_0B$, $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_7} = w_0$. Then $y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1) \cdots h_{\beta_7}(-1) = \tau$, and $\dim \mathcal{O}_y \geq \dim B$ by Proposition 3.6. Since $C(x)$ is of type A_7 , we conclude that $x \sim y$, so that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_0B$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. As above, $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$.

(b) The group G has 2 classes of noncentral involutions: $\mathcal{O}_{h_{\beta_1}(-1)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{h_{\beta_1}(-1)\tau}$. In fact there are 127 involutions in T , and τ is central. The remaining 126 fall in 2

classes: $\{h_\alpha(-1) \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$ and $\{h_\alpha(-1)\tau \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$. Let $y = n_{\beta_1}n_{\beta_2}n_{\beta_3}n_{\alpha_3} \in wB$, where $w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3}s_{\alpha_3}$. Then $y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1)h_{\beta_2}(-1)h_{\beta_3}(-1)h_{\alpha_3}(-1) = 1$, so that y is a (noncentral) involution. We conclude that $x \sim y$ or $x \sim y\tau$, so that (in either case) $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. As above, $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$. (In fact we have $n_\alpha \sim h_\alpha(\zeta)$ already in $\langle X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \rangle$ for every root α , hence $n_{\beta_1}n_{\beta_2}n_{\beta_3}n_{\alpha_3} \sim h_{\beta_1}(\zeta)h_{\beta_2}(\zeta)h_{\beta_3}(\zeta)h_{\alpha_3}(\zeta) = h_\gamma(-1)$, where $\gamma = \beta_1 - \alpha_1$. Therefore $x \sim y$.)

(c) (any characteristic) We have $C(x)$ of type E_6T_1 . Let

$$g = n_{\beta_1}n_{\beta_2}n_{\alpha_7}x_{\beta_1}(1)x_{\beta_2}(1)x_{\alpha_7}(1).$$

Then $gxg^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_7}$, and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.31. *Let $G = E_7$. The spherical semisimple classes are represented by*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} h(z) &= h_{\alpha_1}(z^2)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^4)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^5)h_{\alpha_6}(z^4)h_{\alpha_7}(z^3), \quad z \neq 1, & \text{for } p = 2, \\ h_{\alpha_2}(-\zeta)h_{\alpha_5}(\zeta)h_{\alpha_6}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-\zeta), \quad \zeta^2 = -1, \\ h_{\alpha_1}(-1), \quad h_{\alpha_1}(-1)\tau, \\ h(z) &= h_{\alpha_1}(z^2)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^4)h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^5)h_{\alpha_6}(z^4)h_{\alpha_7}(z^3), \quad z \neq \pm 1, \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for } p = 3.$$

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or good odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 2; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.7], it follows that L_J is of type E_6T_1 , D_6A_1 or A_7 .

Let $p = 2$. Then $Z(G) = Z(D_6A_1) = Z(A_7) = 1$, so that we are left with $h(z)$, for $z \neq 1$.

For $p = 3$, we conclude by Proposition 3.30. \square

We have established the information in Tables 7 and 8, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0w_J$.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^2)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^4)$ $\cdot h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^5)h_{\alpha_6}(z^4)h_{\alpha_7}(z^3)$ $z \neq 1$	$\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_7}$	E_6T_1	54

Table 7. Spherical semisimple classes in E_7 , $p = 2$.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h(z) = h_{\alpha_1}(z^2)h_{\alpha_2}(z^3)h_{\alpha_3}(z^4)$ $\cdot h_{\alpha_4}(z^6)h_{\alpha_5}(z^5)h_{\alpha_6}(z^4)h_{\alpha_7}(z^3)$ $z \neq \pm 1$	$\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_7}$	E_6T_1	54
$h_{\alpha_1}(-1), h_{\alpha_1}(-1)\tau$	$\{2, 5, 7\}$	$s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3}s_{\alpha_3}$	D_6A_1	64
$h_{\alpha_2}(-\zeta)h_{\alpha_5}(\zeta)h_{\alpha_6}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-\zeta)$ $\zeta^2 = -1$	\emptyset	w_0	A_7	70

Table 8. Spherical semisimple classes in E_7 , $p = 3$.

Proposition 3.32. *Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of a mixed element g in E_7 , $p = 2$ or 3. Then \mathcal{O} is not spherical.*

Proof. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and therefore $C(s)$ is of type E_6T_1 , D_6A_1 or A_7 . A dimensional argument rules out all the possibilities except the case that $C(s)$ is of type D_6A_1 and u is a nonidentical unipotent element in the component A_1 of $C(s)$ (hence $p = 3$). Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume $g = h_{\alpha_7}(-1)x_{\alpha_7}(1)$, which is a regular element of the standard Levi subgroup L_J , for $J = \{6, 7\}$. By Lemma 3.14, there is an infinite family $\mathcal{F} = \{g_m \mid m \in k^*\} \subset L_J$ such that the g_m are all L_J -conjugate (hence G -conjugate) to g , and pairwise not B_J -conjugate. By Lemma 3.10 the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{O} is not spherical. \square

Theorem 3.33. *Let $G = E_7$, $p = 2$ or 3. The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent, up to a central element if $p = 3$. The semisimple classes are represented in Tables 7 and 8, and the unipotent classes are represented in Tables 8 and 9 of [Costantini 2012].* \square

Type E_8 . We put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_1 &= (2, 3, 4, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2), & \beta_2 &= (2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0), \\
 \beta_3 &= (0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0), & \beta_4 &= (0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\
 \beta_5 &= \alpha_7, & \beta_6 &= \alpha_5, & \beta_7 &= \alpha_3, & \beta_8 &= \alpha_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.34. *Let x be an element of E_8 , $p \neq 2$, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

- (a) $x = h_{\alpha_2}(-1)h_{\alpha_3}(-1)$,
- (b) $x = h_{\alpha_2}(-1)h_{\alpha_5}(-1)h_{\alpha_7}(-1) \sim h_{\alpha_8}(-1)$,

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. The group E_8 , for $p \neq 2$, has 2 classes of involutions.

(a) Let $y = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_8} \in w_0 B$, $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_8} = w_0$. Then

$$y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1) \cdots h_{\beta_8}(-1) = 1,$$

and $\dim \mathcal{O}_y \geq \dim B$ by Proposition 3.6. Since $C(x)$ is of type D_8 , we conclude that $x \sim y$, so that $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_0 B$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. As above, $\mathcal{O} \cap B w B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$.

(b) Let $x = h_{\alpha_8}(-1)$, so that $C(x)$ is of type $E_7 A_1$. Let

$$g = n_{\beta_1} n_{\beta_2} n_{\beta_3} n_{\alpha_7} x_{\beta_1}(1) x_{\beta_2}(1) x_{\beta_3}(1) x_{\alpha_7}(1).$$

Then $g x g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap B w B \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_7}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.35. *Let $G = E_8$. The spherical (nontrivial) semisimple classes are represented by*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{none,} & \text{for } p = 2, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} h_{\alpha_2}(-1) h_{\alpha_3}(-1), \\ h_{\alpha_8}(-1), \end{array} \right\} & \text{for } p = 3 \text{ or } 5. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or good odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 2; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.8], it follows that L_J is of type $E_7 A_1$ or D_8 .

Let $p = 2$. Then $Z(E_7 A_1) = Z(D_8) = 1$, so there are no nontrivial spherical semisimple classes.

For $p = 3$ or 5 , we conclude by Proposition 3.34. \square

We have established the information in Table 9, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$.

Proposition 3.36. *Let \mathcal{O} be the conjugacy class of a mixed element g in E_8 , $p = 2, 3$ or 5 . Then \mathcal{O} is not spherical.*

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h_{\alpha_8}(-1)$	$\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$	$s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_7}$	$E_7 A_1$	112
$h_{\alpha_2}(-1) h_{\alpha_3}(-1)$	\emptyset	w_0	D_8	128

Table 9. Spherical semisimple classes in E_8 , $p = 3$ or 5 .

Proof. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume that \mathcal{O} is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and therefore $C(s)$ is of type E_7A_1 or A_7 . A dimensional argument rules out all the possibilities except the case that $C(s)$ is of type E_7A_1 and u is a nonidentical unipotent element in the component A_1 of $C(s)$ (hence $p = 3$ or 5). Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume $g = h_{\alpha_8}(-1)x_{\alpha_8}(1)$, which is a regular element of the standard Levi subgroup L_J , for $J = \{7, 8\}$. By Lemma 3.14, there is an infinite family $\mathcal{F} = \{g_m \mid m \in k^*\} \subset L_J$ such that the g_m are all L_J -conjugate (hence G -conjugate) to g , and pairwise not B_J -conjugate. By Lemma 3.10 the family $(B \cdot g_m)_{m \in k^*}$ is an infinite family of (distinct) B -orbits, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{O} is not spherical. \square

Theorem 3.37. *Let $G = E_8$, $p = 2, 3$ or 5 . The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent. The semisimple classes are represented in Table 9, and the unipotent classes are represented in [Costantini 2012, Tables 10 and 11].* \square

Type F_4 . We put

$$\beta_1 = (2, 3, 4, 2), \quad \beta_2 = (0, 1, 2, 2), \quad \beta_3 = (0, 1, 2, 0), \quad \beta_4 = (0, 1, 0, 0).$$

Also, γ_1 is the highest short root $(1, 2, 3, 2)$.

Proposition 3.38. *Let x be an element of F_4 , $p \neq 2$, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

$$(a) \ x = h_{\alpha_2}(-1)h_{\alpha_4}(-1) \sim h_{\alpha_1}(-1),$$

$$(b) \ x = h_{\alpha_4}(-1),$$

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. The group F_4 , for $p \neq 2$, has 2 classes of involutions.

(a) Let $y = n_{\beta_1} \cdots n_{\beta_4} \in w_0B$, $w = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_4} = w_0$. Then

$$y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1) \cdots h_{\beta_4}(-1) = 1,$$

and $\dim \mathcal{O}_y \geq \dim B$ by Proposition 3.6. Since $C(x)$ is of type A_1C_3 , we conclude that $x \sim y$, so that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_0B$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. As above, $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$.

(b) We have $C(x)$ of type B_4 . Let $g = n_{\gamma_1}x_{\gamma_1}(1)$. Then $gxg^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\gamma_1}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.39. *Let $G = F_4$. The spherical (nontrivial) semisimple classes are represented by*

$$\text{none}, \quad \text{for } p = 2,$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} h_{\alpha_1}(-1), \\ h_{\alpha_4}(-1), \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{for } p = 3.$$

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h_{\alpha_4}(-1)$	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	s_{γ_1}	B_4	16
$h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$	\emptyset	w_0	C_3A_1	28

Table 10. Spherical semisimple classes in F_4 , $p = 3$.

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or good odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 2; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.9], it follows that L_J is of type C_3A_1 or B_4 .

Let $p = 2$. Then $Z(C_3A_1) = Z(B_4) = 1$, so there are no nontrivial spherical semisimple classes.

For $p = 3$, we conclude by Proposition 3.38. \square

We have established the information in Table 10, where $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$.

We finally deal with mixed classes in F_4 . We recall that over the complex numbers the principal model orbit is a mixed conjugacy class; see [Luna 2007, 3.3(6) and p. 300], and also [Costantini 2010, Table 24].

Proposition 3.40. *Let $x = h_{\alpha_4}(-1)x_{\alpha_1}(1)$ in F_4 , $p \neq 2$, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. Then $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_{\mathcal{O}} B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.*

Proof. This is the mixed class in F_4 which is spherical in zero or good, odd characteristic. We can deal with this class with the same method used in the proof of [Cantarini et al. 2005, Theorem 23], and corrected in the proof of [Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.9], to show that $B w_0 B \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$, so that $w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0$, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. The (correct) argument at the end of the proof of [Cantarini et al. 2005, Theorem 23] shows that $\mathcal{O} \cap B w_0 B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 3.41. *Let $G = F_4$. The spherical mixed classes are represented by*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{none,} && \text{for } p = 2, \\ & h_{\alpha_4}(-1)x_{\alpha_1}(1), && \text{for } p = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition of a mixed element g . Assume that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_g$ is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and therefore $C(s)$ is of type C_3A_1 or B_4 . A dimensional argument rules out all the possibilities except the case that $C(s)$ is of type B_4 and u is in the minimal unipotent class of $C(s)$ (hence $p = 3$). Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume $g = h_{\alpha_4}(-1)x_{\alpha_1}(1)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.40. \square

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h_{\alpha_4}(-1)x_{\alpha_1}(1)$	\emptyset	w_0	28

Table 11. Spherical mixed classes in F_4 , $p = 3$.

Theorem 3.42. *Let $G = F_4$, $p = 2$ or 3 . If $p = 2$, the spherical classes are unipotent and are represented in Table 13 of [Costantini 2012]. If $p = 3$, the spherical semisimple classes are represented in Table 10, the spherical unipotent classes are represented in Table 12 of [Costantini 2012] and the spherical mixed classes are represented in Table 11. \square*

Type G_2 . We put $\beta_1 = (3, 2)$ and $\beta_2 = \alpha_1$. Also, γ_1 is the highest short root $(2, 1)$.

Proposition 3.43. *Let x be an element of G_2 , any characteristic, and \mathcal{O} its conjugacy class. If one of*

- (a) $x = h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$, $p \neq 2$,
- (b) $x = h_{\alpha_1}(\zeta)$, ζ a primitive 3rd root of 1, $p \neq 3$,

holds, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Proof. (a) For $p \neq 2$, the group G_2 has 1 class of involutions. Let

$$y = n_{\beta_1}n_{\beta_2} \in w_0B, \quad w = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2} = w_0.$$

Then $y^2 = h_{\beta_1}(-1)h_{\beta_2}(-1) = 1$, and $\dim \mathcal{O}_y \geq \dim B$ by Proposition 3.6. We conclude that $x \sim y$, so that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_0B$ is nonempty, $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$ and \mathcal{O} is spherical. As above, $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$.

(b) For $p \neq 3$, let $g = n_{\gamma_1}x_{\gamma_1}(1)$. Then $g x g^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^-$, with $w = s_{\gamma_1}$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$. We conclude by Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.44. *Let $G = G_2$. The spherical semisimple classes are represented by*

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{\alpha_1}(-1), && \text{for } p = 3, \\ &h_{\alpha_1}(\zeta), \zeta \text{ a primitive 3rd root of 1,} && \text{for } p = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let x be a semisimple element of G , and assume $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_x$ is spherical. Without loss of generality $C(x) = L_J$, a pseudo-Levi subgroup of G . There exists a semisimple element \tilde{x} in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $C(\tilde{x})$ is L_J in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a spherical semisimple conjugacy class in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore, from the classification of semisimple spherical conjugacy classes in zero (or good odd) characteristic [Cantarini et al. 2005, Table 2; Carnovale 2010, Theorem 3.1], it follows that L_J is of type $A_1\tilde{A}_1$ or A_2 . If $p = 2$, then $Z(A_1\tilde{A}_1) = 1$. If $p = 3$, then $Z(A_2) = 1$ and we conclude by Proposition 3.43. \square

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h_{\alpha_1}(\zeta)$ ζ a primitive 3rd root of 1	{2}	s_{γ_1}	A_2	6

Table 12. Spherical semisimple classes in G_2 , $p = 2$.

\mathcal{O}	J	$w_{\mathcal{O}}$	$C(g)$	$\dim \mathcal{O}$
$h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$	\emptyset	w_0	$A_1 \tilde{A}_1$	8

Table 13. Spherical semisimple classes in G_2 , $p = 3$.

Theorem 3.45. *Let $G = G_2$, $p = 2, 3$. The spherical classes are either semisimple or unipotent. The semisimple classes are represented in Tables 12 and 13, and the unipotent classes are represented in Tables 14 and 15 of [Costantini 2012].*

Proof. By the above discussion, we are left to show that no mixed class is spherical. Let $g = su$, the Jordan decomposition. Assume that \mathcal{O}_g is spherical. Then both \mathcal{O}_s and \mathcal{O}_u are spherical, and therefore $C(s)$ is of type $A_1 \tilde{A}_1$ or A_2 . A dimensional argument rules out all the possibilities. \square

4. Final remarks

Once we have achieved the classification of spherical conjugacy classes and proved that for every spherical conjugacy class \mathcal{O} we have $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$, we can extend to all characteristics the results obtained in [Carnovale 2008; 2009; Cantarini et al. 2005; Lu 2011] for the zero and good odd characteristic cases. In [Cantarini et al. 2005, Theorem 25] we established the characterization of spherical conjugacy classes in terms of the dimension formula: a conjugacy class \mathcal{O} in G is spherical if and only if $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$. This was obtained over the complex numbers, and the same proof works over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then the same characterization was given in zero, or good odd characteristic in [Carnovale 2008, Theorem 4.4], without the classification of spherical conjugacy classes. Lu gave a very neat proof of the dimension formula (even for twisted conjugacy classes) in [Lu 2011, Theorem 1.1] in characteristic zero. From the results obtained in the previous section, we may state:

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group, any characteristic. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) \mathcal{O} is spherical;
- (b) There exists $w \in W$ such that $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$ and $\dim \mathcal{O} \leq \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$;
- (c) $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical class of G . Then $\dim \mathcal{O} \leq \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$.*

Proof. We have $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$, and

$$\ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w) \leq \ell(w_0) + \text{rk}(1 - w_0)$$

for every $w \in W$ (cf. [Carnovale 2008, Remark 4.14]). \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class and $w = w_{\mathcal{O}} = w_0 w_J$. Then $(T^w)^\circ \leq C_T(x) \leq T^w$, $C_U(x) = U_{w_J}$ and $(T^w)^\circ U_{w_J} \leq C_B(x) \leq T^w U_{w_J}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap wB$.*

Proof. We choose a representative \dot{w} of w in N such that $x = \dot{w}u$ for $u \in U$. Let $b = tu_1 u_2 \in C_B(x)$, where $t \in T$, $u_1 \in U_w$ and $u_2 \in U_{w_J}$. From the Bruhat decomposition, we get $u_1 = 1$ and $t \in T^w$, so that $C_B(x) \leq T^w U_{w_J}$. But the dimension formula $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w) + \text{rk}(1 - w)$ implies

$$\dim C_B(x) = n - \text{rk}(1 - w) + \ell(w_J) = \dim T^w U_{w_J}.$$

Hence $(C_B(x))^\circ = (T^w)^\circ U_{w_J}$ and $C_U(x) = U_{w_J}$.

Now assume $b = tu_1 \in C_{TU_w}(x)$, where $t \in T$ and $u_1 \in U_w$. Again from the Bruhat decomposition, we get $u_1 = 1$ and $t \in T^w$, so that $C_{TU_w}(x) = C_T(x) \leq T^w$. We have $B \cdot x = TU_w U_{w_J} \cdot x = TU_w \cdot x$, hence $\dim C_{TU_w}(x) = n - \text{rk}(1 - w) = \dim T^w$. It follows that $(T^w)^\circ \leq C_T(x) \leq T^w$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group and $v = \mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B$ the dense B -orbit. Then $C_U(x)$ is connected and $C_B(x)$ is a split extension of $(C_B(x))^\circ$ by an elementary abelian 2-group for every $x \in v$. If $p = 2$, then $C_U(x)$, $C_T(x)$ and $C_B(x)$ are connected for every $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B$.*

Proof. Let $w = w_{\mathcal{O}}$. We may assume $x \in wB$. From the discussion after [Costantini 2010, Corollary 3.22], we have $T = (T^w)^\circ (S^w)^\circ$, where $S^w = \{t \in T \mid t^w = t^{-1}\}$. Then $T^w = (T^w)^\circ (T^w \cap T_2)$, where $T_2 = \{t \in T \mid t^2 = 1\}$, and $C_T(x) = (T^w)^\circ C_{T_2}(x)$ by Proposition 4.3. There exists a subgroup R of T_2 such that $T^w = (T^w)^\circ \times R$, whence $C_T(x) = (T^w)^\circ \times C_R(x)$. In particular,

$$C_B(x) = ((T^w)^\circ \times C_R(x))U_{w_J} = (C_B(x))^\circ C_R(x).$$

If $p = 2$, then $T_2 = \{1\}$, $T^w = (T^w)^\circ = C_T(x)$ and $C_B(x) = (C_B(x))^\circ$. \square

We recall, from Remark 3.3, that there is an action of W on the set \mathcal{V} of B -orbits in \mathcal{O} when \mathcal{O} is a spherical conjugacy class and $p \neq 2$. We are now in the position to prove that this action is also defined for $p = 2$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group, any characteristic. Then there is an action of the Weyl group W on the set of B -orbits in \mathcal{O} (as defined in [Knop 1995]).*

Proof. We have only to deal with $p = 2$. By [Knop 1995, Theorem 4.2(c)], the action of W is defined on the set of B -orbits in \mathcal{O} as long as $C_U(x)$ is connected for every $x \in \mathcal{O}$. By Theorem 4.4, $C_U(x)$ is connected for every x in the dense B -orbit; this ensures that $C_U(x)$ is connected for every $x \in \mathcal{O}$ by [Knop 1995, Corollary 3.4]. \square

Once the W -action has been defined when $p = 2$, we can extend to this case the results obtained by G. Carnovale in zero or good odd characteristic.

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group. If $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB$ is nonempty, then $w^2 = 1$.*

Corollary 4.7. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class, and assume $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$ for some $w \in W$. Then $\mathcal{O} \cap BzB \neq \emptyset$ for every conjugate z of w in W .*

Theorem 4.8. *Let \mathcal{O} be a conjugacy class in a simple algebraic group. If*

$$\{w \in W \mid \mathcal{O} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{w \in W \mid w^2 = 1\},$$

then \mathcal{O} is spherical.

Assume \mathcal{O} is a spherical conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group (any characteristic), and v the dense B -orbit in \mathcal{O} . Set $P = \{g \in G \mid g.v = v\}$. Then P is a parabolic subgroup of G containing B , and therefore $P = P_K$, the standard parabolic subgroup relative to a certain subset K of Π .

Theorem 4.9. *Let \mathcal{O} be a spherical conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group, any characteristic, $w = w_0 w_J$ be the unique element in W such that $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB$ is dense in \mathcal{O} , $v = \mathcal{O} \cap BwB$ the dense B -orbit in \mathcal{O} and $P_K = \{g \in G \mid g.v = v\}$. Then $K = J$. If $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap wB$, then L'_J and $(T^w)^\circ$ are contained in $C(x)$ and $C_B(x)^\circ = (T^w)^\circ U_{w_J}$.*

Proof. We have already showed that $C_B(x)^\circ = (T^w)^\circ U_{w_J}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap wB$. Let $S = \{i, \vartheta(i)\}$ be a ϑ -orbit in $\Pi \setminus J$ consisting of 2 elements. We define $H_S = \{h_{\alpha_i}(z)h_{\alpha_{\vartheta(i)}}(z^{-1}) \mid z \in k^*\}$. Let S_1 be the set of ϑ -orbits in $\Pi \setminus J$ consisting of 2 elements. Then, by [Costantini 2010, Remark 3.10], $\Delta_J \cup \{\alpha_i - \alpha_{\vartheta(i)}\}_{S_1}$ is a basis of $\ker(1 - w)$ and

$$(4-1) \quad (T^w)^\circ = \prod_{j \in J} H_{\alpha_j} \times \prod_{S \in S_1} H_S.$$

We put $\Psi_J = \{\beta \in \Phi \mid w(\beta) = -\beta\}$. Then Ψ_J is a root system in $\text{Im}(1 - w)$ [Springer 1982, Proposition 2], and $w|_{\text{Im}(1-w)}$ is -1 . If $K = C((T^w)^\circ)'$, then K is semisimple with root system Ψ_J and maximal torus $T \cap K = (S^w)^\circ$. Assume $x = \dot{w}u \in v$, with $u \in U$. Then $(T^w)^\circ \leq C(x)$ implies $x \in C((T^w)^\circ)$, and moreover, $\dot{w} \in C(T^w)$, so that $u \in K$. Let $u = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \cap \Psi_J} x_\alpha(k_\alpha)$ be the expression of u for any fixed total ordering on Φ^+ . If $k_\alpha \neq 0$, then $w(\alpha) = -\alpha$, so that in particular $u \in U_w$. Moreover, if $\beta \in \Phi_J$, then $(\alpha, \beta) = (w\alpha, w\beta) = (-\alpha, \beta)$, so that $\alpha \perp \beta$.

Finally, we have $\vartheta\alpha = -\alpha$, since $w\alpha = -\alpha$ is equivalent to $w_j\alpha = -w_0\alpha$, and $w_j\alpha = \alpha$, since $w_j \in W_J$ and $(\alpha, \alpha_j) = 0$ for every $j \in J$.

From the fact that $U_{w_j} \leq C(x)$, it follows that $U_{w_j} \leq C(\dot{w})$, and therefore $U_{w_j} \leq C(u)$. From the Chevalley commutator formula, we deduce further that $w_j U_{w_j} w_j^{-1} \leq C(u)$, so that $L'_J \leq C(x)$. Then we may argue as in the proof of [Carnovale 2008, Proposition 4.15] to conclude that $K = J$. \square

Remark 4.10. Assume G is a connected reductive algebraic group over k . From the classification of spherical conjugacy classes obtained in simple algebraic groups (which is independent of the isogeny class), one gets the classification of spherical conjugacy classes in G . In fact, if $G = ZG_1 \cdots G_r$, where Z is the connected component of the center of G , and G_1, \dots, G_r are the simple components of G , then the conjugacy class \mathcal{O} in G of $x = zx_1 \cdots x_r$, with $z \in Z$ and $x_i \in G_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, is spherical if and only if the conjugacy class \mathcal{O}_i of x_i in G_i is spherical for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Remark 4.11. In order to show that a conjugacy class \mathcal{O} is spherical, we showed that $\dim \mathcal{O} = \ell(w_{\mathcal{O}}) + \text{rk}(1 - w_{\mathcal{O}})$. However, in each case we even showed that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$. The motivation for this was the proof of the De Concini–Kac–Procesi conjecture for quantum groups at roots of one over spherical conjugacy classes; see [Cantarini et al. 2005]. The fact that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ for every spherical conjugacy class has been proved in characteristic zero in [Cantarini et al. 2005]. It is a general fact that if \mathcal{O} is semisimple, then $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mathcal{O} \cap BwB \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ for any $w \in W$ [Cantarini et al. 2005, Lemma 14]. For unipotent classes, we showed in [Costantini 2012] for $p = 2$ that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ by exhibiting explicitly an element in $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$. The argument in [Cantarini et al. 2005, Lemma 10] allows one to prove that $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ for every spherical unipotent class in good characteristic. However, it is possible to adapt the same proof to the remaining unipotent classes in bad characteristic, due to the fact that we do have the classification, and so we just make a case by case consideration. Assume \mathcal{O} is a spherical mixed class. In all cases, apart from F_4 , we have an explicit element in $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^-$. We observed in Proposition 3.40 that the argument used in [Cantarini et al. 2005] holds for every odd characteristic. We conclude that in all characteristics, if \mathcal{O} is a spherical conjugacy class, then $\mathcal{O} \cap Bw_{\mathcal{O}}B \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$.

References

- [Bourbaki 1981] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: algèbre, chapitres 4 à 7*, 2ème ed., Masson, Paris, 1981. MR Zbl
- [Brion 1986] M. Brion, “Quelques propriétés des espaces homogènes sphériques”, *Manuscripta Math.* **55**:2 (1986), 191–198. MR Zbl
- [Brion 2001] M. Brion, “On orbit closures of spherical subgroups in flag varieties”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **76**:2 (2001), 263–299. MR Zbl

- [Brundan 1998] J. Brundan, “Dense orbits and double cosets”, pp. 259–274 in *Algebraic groups and their representations* (Cambridge, 1997), edited by R. W. Carter and J. Saxl, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **517**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Cantarini et al. 2005] N. Cantarini, G. Carnovale, and M. Costantini, “Spherical orbits and representations of $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{g})$ ”, *Transform. Groups* **10**:1 (2005), 29–62. MR Zbl
- [Carnovale 2008] G. Carnovale, “Spherical conjugacy classes and involutions in the Weyl group”, *Math. Z.* **260**:1 (2008), 1–23. MR Zbl
- [Carnovale 2009] G. Carnovale, “Spherical conjugacy classes and the Bruhat decomposition”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59**:6 (2009), 2329–2357. MR Zbl
- [Carnovale 2010] G. Carnovale, “A classification of spherical conjugacy classes in good characteristic”, *Pacific J. Math.* **245**:1 (2010), 25–45. MR Zbl
- [Carnovale and Costantini 2013] G. Carnovale and M. Costantini, “On Lusztig’s map for spherical unipotent conjugacy classes”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **45**:6 (2013), 1163–1170. MR Zbl
- [Carter 1985] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Wiley, New York, 1985. MR Zbl
- [Carter 1989] R. W. Carter, *Simple groups of Lie type*, Wiley, New York, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Chan et al. 2010] K. Y. Chan, J.-H. Lu, and S. K.-M. To, “On intersections of conjugacy classes and Bruhat cells”, *Transform. Groups* **15**:2 (2010), 243–260. MR Zbl
- [Costantini 2010] M. Costantini, “On the coordinate ring of spherical conjugacy classes”, *Math. Z.* **264**:2 (2010), 327–359. MR Zbl
- [Costantini 2012] M. Costantini, “A classification of unipotent spherical conjugacy classes in bad characteristic”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**:4 (2012), 1997–2019. MR Zbl
- [Fowler and Röhrle 2008] R. Fowler and G. Röhrle, “Spherical nilpotent orbits in positive characteristic”, *Pacific J. Math.* **237**:2 (2008), 241–286. MR Zbl
- [Grosshans 1992] F. D. Grosshans, “Contractions of the actions of reductive algebraic groups in arbitrary characteristic”, *Invent. Math.* **107**:1 (1992), 127–133. MR Zbl
- [Humphreys 1975] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **21**, Springer, New York, 1975. MR Zbl
- [Iwahori 1970] N. Iwahori, “Centralizers of involutions in finite Chevalley groups”, pp. 267–295 in *Seminar on algebraic groups and related finite groups* (Princeton, 1968), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **131**, Springer, Berlin, 1970. MR Zbl
- [Knop 1995] F. Knop, “On the set of orbits for a Borel subgroup”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **70**:2 (1995), 285–309. MR Zbl
- [Lu 2011] J.-H. Lu, “On a dimension formula for spherical twisted conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups”, *Math. Z.* **269**:3-4 (2011), 1181–1188. MR Zbl
- [Luna 2007] D. Luna, “La variété magnifique modèle”, *J. Algebra* **313**:1 (2007), 292–319. MR Zbl
- [Mars and Springer 1998] J. G. M. Mars and T. A. Springer, “Hecke algebra representations related to spherical varieties”, *Represent. Theory* **2** (1998), 33–69. MR Zbl
- [McNinch and Sommers 2003] G. J. McNinch and E. Sommers, “Component groups of unipotent centralizers in good characteristic”, *J. Algebra* **260**:1 (2003), 323–337. MR Zbl
- [Panyushev 1994] D. I. Panyushev, “Complexity and nilpotent orbits”, *Manuscripta Math.* **83**:3-4 (1994), 223–237. MR Zbl
- [Panyushev 1999] D. I. Panyushev, “On spherical nilpotent orbits and beyond”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49**:5 (1999), 1453–1476. MR Zbl

- [Perkins and Rowley 2002] S. B. Perkins and P. J. Rowley, “Minimal and maximal length involutions in finite Coxeter groups”, *Comm. Algebra* **30**:3 (2002), 1273–1292. MR Zbl
- [Richardson and Springer 1990] R. W. Richardson and T. A. Springer, “The Bruhat order on symmetric varieties”, *Geom. Dedicata* **35**:1-3 (1990), 389–436. MR Zbl
- [Sommers 1998] E. Sommers, “A generalization of the Bala–Carter theorem for nilpotent orbits”, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **11** (1998), 539–562. MR Zbl
- [Spaltenstein 1982] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **946**, Springer, Berlin, 1982. MR Zbl
- [Springer 1982] T. A. Springer, “Some remarks on involutions in Coxeter groups”, *Comm. Algebra* **10**:6 (1982), 631–636. MR Zbl
- [Springer 1998a] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics **9**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Springer 1998b] T. A. Springer, “Schubert varieties and generalizations”, pp. 413–440 in *Representation theories and algebraic geometry* (Montreal, 1997), edited by A. Broer and G. Sabidussi, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **514**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Steinberg 1968] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 1968. MR Zbl
- [Vinberg 1986] È. B. Vinberg, “Complexity of actions of reductive groups”, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **20**:1 (1986), 1–13. MR Zbl

Received April 21, 2015. Revised April 14, 2016.

MAURO COSTANTINI
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA
VIA TRIESTE 63
35121 PADOVA
ITALY
costantini@math.unipd.it

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$600/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 285 No. 1 November 2016

Iwahori–Hecke algebras for Kac–Moody groups over local fields NICOLE BARDY-PANSE, STÉPHANE GAUSSENT and GUY ROUSSEAU	1
A classification of spherical conjugacy classes MAURO COSTANTINI	63
Affine weakly regular tensor triangulated categories IVO DELL’AMBROGIO and DONALD STANLEY	93
Involutive automorphisms of N_{\circ}° -groups of finite Morley rank ADRIEN DELORO and ÉRIC JALIGOT	111
Schur–Weyl duality for Deligne categories, II: The limit case INNA ENTOVA AIZENBUD	185
A generalization of the Greene–Krantz theorem for the semicontinuity property of automorphism groups JAE-CHEON JOO	225
Gradient estimates for a nonlinear Lichnerowicz equation under general geometric flow on complete noncompact manifolds LIANG ZHAO and SHOUWEN FANG	243



0030-8730(201611)285:1;1-Y