

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**OPERATOR IDEALS RELATED TO ABSOLUTELY SUMMING  
AND COHEN STRONGLY SUMMING OPERATORS**

GERALDO BOTELHO, JAMILSON R. CAMPOS AND JOEDSON SANTOS

# OPERATOR IDEALS RELATED TO ABSOLUTELY SUMMING AND COHEN STRONGLY SUMMING OPERATORS

GERALDO BOTELHO, JAMILSON R. CAMPOS AND JOEDSON SANTOS

**We study the ideals of linear operators between Banach spaces determined by the transformation of vector-valued sequences involving the new sequence space introduced by Karn and Sinha and the classical spaces of absolutely, weakly and Cohen strongly summable sequences. As applications, we prove a new factorization theorem for absolutely summing operators and a contribution to the existence of infinite-dimensional spaces formed by nonabsolutely summing operators is given.**

## Introduction and background

In the theory of ideals of linear operators between Banach spaces (operator ideals), a central role is played by classes of operators that improve the convergence of series, which are usually defined or characterized by the transformation of vector-valued sequences. The most famous of such classes is the ideal of absolutely  $p$ -summing linear operators, which are the ones that send weakly  $p$ -summable sequences to absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences. The celebrated monograph [Diestel et al. 1995] is devoted to the study of absolutely summing operators.

For a Banach space  $E$ , let  $\ell_p(E)$ ,  $\ell_p^w(E)$  and  $\ell_p\langle E \rangle$  denote the spaces of absolutely, weakly and Cohen strongly  $p$ -summable  $E$ -valued sequences, respectively. Karn and Sinha [2014] recently introduced a space  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  of  $E$ -valued sequences such that

$$(1) \quad \ell_p\langle E \rangle \subseteq \ell_p(E) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \subseteq \ell_p^w(E).$$

In the realm of the theory of operator ideals, it is a natural step to study the classes of operators  $T : E \rightarrow F$  that send: (i) sequences in  $\ell_p^w(E)$  to sequences in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$ , (ii) sequences in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  to sequences in  $\ell_p(F)$ , (iii) sequences in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  to sequences in  $\ell_p\langle F \rangle$ . This is the basic motivation of this paper.

---

Botelho was supported by CNPq Grant 305958/2014-3 and Fapemig Grant PPM-00490-15. Campos was supported by a CAPES Postdoctoral scholarship. Santos was supported by CNPq (Edital Universal 14/2012).

*MSC2010:* primary 46B45; secondary 47B10, 47L20.

*Keywords:* Banach sequence spaces, operator ideals, summing operators.

We start by taking a closer look at the space  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  in [Section 1](#). First we give it a norm that makes it a Banach space. Next we consider the relationship with the space  $\ell_p^u(E)$  of unconditionally  $p$ -summable  $E$ -valued sequences. We show that, although [\(1\)](#) and  $\ell_p(E) \subseteq \ell_p^u(E) \subseteq \ell_p^w(E)$  hold for every  $E$ , in general  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  and  $\ell_p^u(E)$  are not comparable. It is also proved that the correspondence  $E \mapsto \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  enjoys a couple of desired properties in the context of operator ideals.

In [Section 2](#) we prove that the classes of operators described in (i), (ii) and (iii) above are Banach operator ideals. Characterizations of each class and their corresponding norms are given and properties of each ideal are proved. We establish a factorization theorem for absolutely summing operators and a question left open in [\[Karn and Sinha 2014\]](#) is settled. In both [Sections 1](#) and [2](#) we study Banach spaces  $E$  for which  $\ell_p(E) = \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  or  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p^w(E)$ .

In [Section 3](#) we give an application to the existence of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces formed, up to the null operator, by nonabsolutely summing linear operators on nonsuperreflexive spaces.

Let us define the classical sequences spaces we shall work with:

- $\ell_p(E)$  = absolutely  $p$ -summable  $E$ -valued sequences with the usual norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .
- $\ell_p^w(E)$  = weakly  $p$ -summable  $E$ -valued sequences with the norm

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,p} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{E^*}} \|(x^*(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_p.$$

- $\ell_p^u(E) = \{(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E) : \lim_k \|(x_j)_{j=k}^\infty\|_{w,p} = 0\}$  with the norm inherited from  $\ell_p^w(E)$  (unconditionally  $p$ -summable sequences, see [\[Defant and Floret 1993, 8.2\]](#)).
- $\ell_p(E) = \left\{ (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{c,p} := \sup_{(x_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(E^*)}} \|(x_j^*(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 < \infty \right\}$ ,

where  $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$ , (Cohen strongly  $p$ -summable sequences or strongly  $p$ -summable sequences, see, e.g., [\[Cohen 1973\]](#)).

The letters  $E, F$  shall denote Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The closed unit ball of  $E$  is denoted by  $B_E$  and its topological dual by  $E^*$ . The symbol  $E \hookrightarrow F$  means that  $E$  is a linear subspace of  $F$  and  $\|x\|_F \leq \|x\|_E$  for every  $x \in E$ . By  $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$  we denote the Banach space of all continuous linear operators  $T : E \rightarrow F$  endowed with the usual sup norm. By  $\Pi_{p,q}$  we denote the ideal of absolutely  $(p; q)$ -summing linear operators [\[Defant and Floret 1993; Diestel et al. 1995\]](#). If  $p = q$  we simply write  $\Pi_p$ . The ideal of Cohen strongly  $p$ -summing linear operators [\[Campos 2013; Cohen 1973\]](#) shall be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_p$ . We use the standard notation of the theory of operator ideals [\[Defant and Floret 1993; Pietsch 1980\]](#).

### 1. The space $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$

In this section we give the space of sequences defined by Karn and Sinha [2014] a norm that makes it a Banach space and establish some useful properties of this space.

The vector-valued sequences introduced in [Karn and Sinha 2014] are called *operator  $p$ -summable sequences*. This term is quite inconvenient for our purposes, and considering the intermediate position of the space formed by such sequences between  $\ell_p(E)$  and  $\ell_p^w(E)$  (see (1)), we shall use the term *mid- $p$ -summable sequences*. Instead of the original definition, we shall use a characterization proved in [Karn and Sinha 2014, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4]:

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  in a Banach space  $E$  is said to be *mid- $p$ -summable*,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $((x_n^*(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p)$  whenever  $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ . The space of all such sequences shall be denoted by  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .

Observe that  $\ell_p(E) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \subseteq \ell_p^w(E)$ . The following extreme cases will be important throughout the paper:

**Theorem 1.2** [Karn and Sinha 2014, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.5]. *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p^w(E)$  if and only if  $\Pi_p(E; \ell_p) = \mathcal{L}(E; \ell_p)$ .
- (ii)  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p(E)$  if and only if  $E$  is a subspace of  $L_p(\mu)$  for some Borel measure  $\mu$ .

We say that a Banach space  $E$  is a *weak mid- $p$ -space* if  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p^w(E)$ ; and it is a *strong mid- $p$ -space* if  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p(E)$ .

The space  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  is not endowed with a norm in [Karn and Sinha 2014]. Our first goal in this section is to give it a useful complete norm. Let us see first that the norm inherited from  $\ell_p^w(E)$  is unhelpful. We believe the next lemma is folklore; we give a short proof because we have found no reference to quote. As usual,  $c_{00}(E)$  means the space of finite (or possibly null)  $E$ -valued sequences.

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $E$  is infinite-dimensional, then the norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are not equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ . In particular,  $\ell_p(E)$  is not closed in  $\ell_p^w(E)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $c_{00}(E)$  is dense in  $(\ell_p(E), \|\cdot\|_p)$ , and the definition of  $\ell_p^u(E)$  makes clear that  $c_{00}(E)$  is dense in  $(\ell_p^u(E), \|\cdot\|_{w,p})$  as well. Assume that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ . Then

$$\ell_p(E) = \overline{c_{00}(E)}^{\|\cdot\|_p} = \overline{c_{00}(E)}^{\|\cdot\|_{w,p}} = \ell_p^u(E).$$

It follows that the identity operator in  $E$  is absolutely  $p$ -summing [Defant and Floret 1993, Proposition 11.1(c)], hence  $E$  is finite-dimensional. Now the second assertion follows from the open mapping theorem and the inclusion  $c_{00}(E) \subseteq \ell_p(E)$ .  $\square$

From [Theorem 1.2\(ii\)](#) we know that  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(\ell_p) = \ell_p(\ell_p)$ , so  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(\ell_p)$  is not closed in  $\ell_p^w(\ell_p)$  by [Lemma 1.3](#), proving  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  does not make  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  complete in general.

**Proposition 1.4.** *The expression*

$$(2) \quad \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\text{mid},p} := \sup_{(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(E^*)}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

is a norm that makes  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  a Banach space and  $\ell_p(E) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \ell_p^w(E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ . By definition, the double series in (2) is convergent (this is why we chose this condition to be the definition of  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ ).

The map

$$T_x : \ell_p^w(E^*) \rightarrow \ell_p(\ell_p), \quad T_x((x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty) = ((x_n^*(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty,$$

is a well-defined linear operator. By the closed graph theorem, it is continuous. Therefore,

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} = \|T_x((x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty)\| \leq \|T_x\| \cdot \|(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{w,p}$$

for every  $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ , showing that the supremum in (2) is finite. Straightforward computations prove that  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  is a norm and a canonical argument shows that  $(\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E), \|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p})$  is a Banach space.

For every  $\varphi \in B_{E^*}$ , it is clear that  $(\varphi, 0, 0, \dots) \in B_{\ell_p^w(E^*)}$ , so  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .

Let  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(E)$  and  $(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ . Since  $B_E$ , regarded as a subspace of  $E^{**}$ , is a norming subset of  $E^{**}$ , we have  $\|(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{w,p}^p = \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x)|^p$ . Putting  $J = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \neq 0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_j)|^p &= \sum_{j \in J} \left( \|x_j\|^p \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| x_n^* \left( \frac{x_j}{\|x_j\|} \right) \right|^p \right) \right) \\ &\leq \|(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{w,p}^p \cdot \sum_{j \in J} \|x_j\|^p = \|(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{w,p}^p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|x_j\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

from which the inequality  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p} \leq \|\cdot\|_p$  follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** *The following are equivalent for a weak mid- $p$ -space  $E$ :*

- (a)  $\ell_p(E)$  is closed in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .
- (b) The norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  are equivalent on  $\ell_p(E)$ .
- (c) The norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  are equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ .
- (d)  $E$  is finite-dimensional.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) follows from the open mapping theorem, (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) are obvious. Let us prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): Since  $E$  is a weak mid- $p$ -space, the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are equivalent on  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  by the open mapping theorem, hence they are equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ . The assumption gives that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ . By [Lemma 1.3](#) it follows that  $E$  is finite-dimensional.  $\square$

Analogously, we have:

**Proposition 1.6.** *The following are equivalent for a strong mid- $p$ -space  $E$ :*

- (a)  $\ell_{\text{mid}}(E)$  is closed in  $\ell_p^w(E)$ .
- (b) The norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are equivalent on  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .
- (c) The norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$  are equivalent on  $c_{00}(E)$ .
- (d)  $E$  is finite-dimensional.

The next examples show that the spaces  $\ell_p^u(E)$  and  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  are incomparable in general.

**Example 1.7.** On the one hand, combining [Theorem 1.2\(i\)](#) with [[Diestel et al. 1995](#), [Theorem 3.7](#)] we have  $\ell_2^{\text{mid}}(c_0) = \ell_2^w(c_0)$ . Since  $\ell_2^u(c_0)$  is a proper subspace of  $\ell_2^w(c_0)$  [[Defant and Floret 1993](#), page 93], it follows that  $\ell_2^{\text{mid}}(c_0) \not\subseteq \ell_2^u(c_0)$ . On the other hand,

$$\ell_1^u(\ell_1) = \ell_1^w(\ell_1) \not\subseteq \ell_1(\ell_1) = \ell_1^{\text{mid}}(\ell_1),$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that bounded linear operators from  $c_0$  to  $\ell_1$  are compact combined with [[Defant and Floret 1993](#), [Proposition 8.2\(1\)](#)], and the last equality is a consequence of [Theorem 1.2\(ii\)](#).

We saw that  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  is not contained in  $\ell_p^u(E)$  in general. But sometimes this happens:

**Proposition 1.8.** *If  $E$  is a strong mid- $p$ -space, then  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\hookrightarrow} \ell_p^u(E)$ .*

*Proof.* The norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{mid},p}$  are equivalent on  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) = \ell_p(E)$  by the open mapping theorem. Let  $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ . Since  $(x_j)_{j=1}^k \xrightarrow{-k} x$  in  $\ell_p(E)$ , we have  $(x_j)_{j=1}^k \xrightarrow{-k} x$  in  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ , by the equivalence of the norms. As  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\hookrightarrow} \ell_p^w(E)$ , we have

$$\|(x_j)_{j=k}^\infty\|_{w,p} = \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty - (x_j)_{j=1}^{k-1}\|_{w,p} \leq \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty - (x_j)_{j=1}^{k-1}\|_{\text{mid},p} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

proving that  $x \in \ell_p^u(E)$ .  $\square$

The purpose of the next section is to study the operator ideals determined by the transformation of vector-valued sequences belonging to the sequence spaces in the chain (1). A usual approach, proving all the desired properties using the definitions of the underlying sequence spaces, would lead to long and boring proofs. Alternatively, we shall apply the abstract framework constructed in [[Botelho and](#)

Campos 2016] to deal with operators of this kind. In this fashion we will end up with short and concise proofs. Instead of its definition, we shall use that the class of mid- $p$ -summable sequences enjoys the two properties we prove below. For the definitions of finitely determined and linearly stable sequence classes, see [Botelho and Campos 2016].

**Proposition 1.9.** *The correspondence  $E \mapsto \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  is a finitely determined sequence class.*

*Proof.* It is plain that  $c_{00}(E) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  and  $\|e_j\|_{\text{mid},p} = 1$ , where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ -th canonical unit scalar-valued sequence. Since  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \ell_p^w(E)$  and  $\ell_p^w(E) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \ell_\infty(E)$ , we have  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \ell_\infty(E)$ . Let  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  be an  $E$ -valued sequence. The equality

$$\sup_{(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell_p^w(E^*)}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} = \sup_k \sup_{(x_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell_p^w(E^*)}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^k |x_n^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

shows that  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  if and only if  $\sup_k \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},p} < \infty$  and that  $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\text{mid},p} = \sup_k \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},p}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.10.** *The correspondence  $E \mapsto \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  is linearly stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ . By the linear stability of  $\ell_p^w(\cdot)$  [Botelho and Campos 2016, Theorem 3.3],  $(T^*(y_n^*))_{n=1}^\infty = (y_n^* \circ T)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$  for every  $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(F^*)$ , where  $T^* : F^* \rightarrow E^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$ . Therefore,

$$((y_n^*(T(x_j)))_{j=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty = ((y_n^* \circ T(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p),$$

hence  $(T(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  for every  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ . Defining  $\widehat{T} : \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \rightarrow \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  by  $\widehat{T}((x_j)_{j=1}^\infty) = (T(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty$ , a standard calculation shows that  $\|T\| = \|\widehat{T}\|$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## 2. Mid-summation operators

Following the classical line of studying operators that improve the summability of sequences, in this section we investigate the obvious classes of operators, involving mid- $p$ -summable sequences, determined by the chain

$$\ell_p \langle E \rangle \subseteq \ell_p(E) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \subseteq \ell_p^w(E).$$

From now on in this section,  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$  are real numbers and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$  is a continuous linear operator.

**Definition 2.1.** The operator  $T$  is said to be

(i) *absolutely mid- $(p; q)$ -summing* if

$$(3) \quad (T(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(F) \text{ whenever } (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q^{\text{mid}}(E);$$

(ii) *weakly mid- $(p; q)$ -summing* if

$$(4) \quad (T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F) \text{ whenever } (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^w(E);$$

(iii) *Cohen mid- $p$ -summing* if

$$(5) \quad (T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(F) \text{ whenever } (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E).$$

The spaces formed by the operators above are denoted  $\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ ,  $W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ , respectively. When  $p = q$  we simply write mid- $p$ -summing instead of mid- $(p; p)$ -summing and use symbols  $\Pi_p^{\text{mid}}$  and  $W_p^{\text{mid}}$ . A standard calculation shows that if  $p < q$  then  $\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F) = W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F) = \{0\}$ . From the definitions it is clear that

$$\Pi_{p;q} \subseteq W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}} \cap \Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p \cap \Pi_p^{\text{mid}}.$$

Having in mind the properties of  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  proved in the previous section, the following three results are straightforward consequences of [Botelho and Campos 2016, Proposition 1.4] (with the exception of the equivalences in Theorem 2.3 involving  $\ell_p^u(E)$ , which follow from [Botelho and Campos 2016, Corollary 1.6]). Recall that any map  $T : E \rightarrow F$  induces a map  $\tilde{T}$  between  $E$ -valued sequences and  $F$ -valued sequences given by  $\tilde{T}((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}) = (T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \in \Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ .
- (ii) *The induced map  $\tilde{T} : \ell_q^{\text{mid}}(E) \rightarrow \ell_p(F)$  is a well-defined continuous linear operator.*
- (iii) *There is a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $\|(T(x_j))_{j=1}^k\|_p \leq A \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},q}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*
- (iv) *There is a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $\|(T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_p \leq A \|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\text{mid},q}$  for every  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .*

Moreover,

$$\|T\|_{\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}} := \|\tilde{T}\| = \inf\{A : \text{(iii) holds}\} = \inf\{A : \text{(iv) holds}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \in W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ .
- (ii) *The induced map  $\tilde{T} : \ell_q^w(E) \rightarrow \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  is a well-defined continuous linear operator.*
- (iii)  $(T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  whenever  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^u(E)$ .
- (iv) *The induced map  $\hat{T} : \ell_q^u(E) \rightarrow \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  is a well-defined continuous linear operator.*



(v) *There is a constant  $B > 0$  such that  $\|(T(x_j))_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},p} \leq B \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{w,q}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*

(vi) *There is a constant  $B > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k |y_n^*(T(x_j))|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{w,q} \cdot \|(y_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p}$$

*for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and every  $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^w(F^*)$ .*

(vii) *There is a constant  $B > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |y_n^*(T(x_j))|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B \|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,q} \cdot \|(y_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p}$$

*for all  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_q^w(E)$  and  $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^w(F^*)$ .*

*Moreover,*

$$\|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}} := \|\tilde{T}\| = \|\widehat{T}\| = \inf\{B : \text{(v) holds}\} = \inf\{B : \text{(vi) holds}\} = \inf\{B : \text{(vii) holds}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ .
- (ii) *The induced map  $\tilde{T} : \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E) \rightarrow \ell_p(F)$  is a well-defined continuous linear operator.*
- (iii) *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|(T(x_j))_{j=1}^k\|_{C,p} \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},p}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*
- (iv) *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |y_j^*(T(x_j))| \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\text{mid},p} \cdot \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^k\|_{w,p^*}$$

*for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $x_j \in E$  and  $y_j^* \in F^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*

(v) *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |y_j^*(T(x_j))| \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\text{mid},p} \cdot \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p^*}$$

*for all  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$  and  $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{p^*}^w(F^*)$ .*

*Moreover,*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}} := \|\tilde{T}\| = \inf\{C : \text{(iii) holds}\} = \inf\{C : \text{(iv) holds}\} = \inf\{C : \text{(v) holds}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** *The classes  $(\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}, \|\cdot\|_{\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}})$ ,  $(W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}, \|\cdot\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}})$  and  $(\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}})$  are Banach operator ideals.*

*Proof.* We use the notation and the language of [Botelho and Campos 2016]. All involved sequence classes are linearly stable. Comparing Definition 2.1 and [Botelho and Campos 2016, Definition 2.1], a linear operator  $T$  is mid- $(p; q)$ -summing if and only if it is  $(\ell_q^{\text{mid}}(\cdot); \ell_p(\cdot))$ -summing. Since  $\ell_q^{\text{mid}}(\mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \ell_p = \ell_p(\mathbb{K})$ , from [Botelho and Campos 2016, Theorem 2.6] it follows that  $\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}$  is a Banach operator ideal. The other cases are similar.  $\square$

The following characterizations of weak and strong mid- $p$ -spaces complement the ones proved in [Karn and Sinha 2014, Theorems 3.7 and 4.5].

**Theorem 2.6.** *The following are equivalent for a Banach space  $E$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ :*

- (a)  $E$  is a weak mid- $p$ -space.
- (b)  $\Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F) = \Pi_p(E; F)$  for every Banach space  $F$ .
- (c)  $\Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(E; \ell_p) = \Pi_p(E; \ell_p) = \mathcal{L}(E; \ell_p)$ .
- (d)  $W_p^{\text{mid}}(F; E) = \mathcal{L}(F; E)$  for every Banach space  $F$ .
- (e)  $\text{id}_E \in W_p^{\text{mid}}(E; E)$ .

*Proof.* The implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b), (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  the first equality in (c) are obvious. Let us see that the first equality in (c) implies (a): Given  $x^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ , the identification  $\ell_p^w(E^*) = \mathcal{L}(E, \ell_p)$  (see the proof of Proposition 2.12) yields that the map

$$S_{x^*} : E \rightarrow \ell_p, \quad S_{x^*}(x) = (x_k^*(x))_{k=1}^\infty,$$

is a bounded linear operator. By the definition of  $\ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ ,

$$(S_{x^*}(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty = ((x_k^*(x_n))_{k=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p),$$

for every  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ . This means that  $S_{x^*} \in \Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(E; \ell_p)$ , hence  $S_{x^*} \in \Pi_p(E; \ell_p)$  by assumption, for every  $x^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ . Therefore, given  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$ , it follows that  $(S_{x^*}(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty = ((x_k^*(x_n))_{k=1}^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p)$  for every  $x^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E^*)$ ; proving that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ .

That (a) is equivalent to the second equality in (c) is precisely Theorem 1.2(i).

To complete the proof, let us check that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F; E)$  and  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(F)$  be given. The linear stability of  $\ell_p^w(\cdot)$  and the assumption give  $(T(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E) = \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(E)$ . This proves that  $T \in W_p^{\text{mid}}(F; E)$ .  $\square$

The corresponding characterizations of strong mid- $p$ -spaces are less interesting. We state them just for the record:

**Theorem 2.7.** *The following are equivalent for a Banach space  $F$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ :*

- (a)  $F$  is a strong mid- $p$ -space.
- (b)  $\Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F) = \mathcal{L}(E; F)$  for every Banach space  $E$ .

(c)  $\text{id}_F \in \Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(F; F)$ .

(d)  $F$  is a subspace of  $L_p(\mu)$  for some Borel measure  $\mu$ .

Recall that an operator ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is

- *injective* if  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$  whenever  $v \in \mathcal{L}(F, G)$  is a metric injection ( $\|v(y)\| = \|y\|$  for every  $y \in F$ ) such that  $v \circ u \in \mathcal{I}(E, G)$ ;
- *regular* if  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$  whenever  $J_F \circ u \in \mathcal{I}(E, F^{**})$ , where  $J_F : F \rightarrow F^{**}$  is the canonical embedding.

**Proposition 2.8.** *The operator ideal  $\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}$  is injective and the operator ideals  $W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}$  are regular.*

*Proof.* The injectivity of  $\Pi_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}$  is clear. To prove the regularity of  $W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}$ , let  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq F$  be such that  $(J_F(y_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F^{**})$ . We have

$$(6) \quad (y_n^{***}(J_F(y_j)))_{j,n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p) \text{ for every } (y_n^{***})_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^{***})}.$$

In order to prove that  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$ , let  $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^*)}$  be given. Then

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty |J_F(y)(y_n^*)|^p = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |y_n^*(y)|^p \leq 1 \text{ for every } y \in B_F.$$

Let us see that, defining  $y_n^{***} := J_{F^*}(y_n^*) \in F^{***}$  for each  $n$ , we have  $(y_n^{***})_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^{***})}$ . To accomplish this task, let  $y^{**} \in B_{F^{**}}$  be given. By Goldstine's theorem, there is a net  $(y_\lambda)_\lambda$  in  $B_F$  such that  $J_F(y_\lambda) \xrightarrow{w^*} y^{**}$ , that is,

$$(8) \quad y^*(y_\lambda) = J_F(y_\lambda)(y^*) \rightarrow y^{**}(y^*) \text{ for every } y^* \in F^*.$$

From (7) it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |y_n^*(y_\lambda)|^p \leq 1$  for every  $\lambda$ , in particular

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^k |y_n^*(y_\lambda)|^p \leq 1 \text{ for every } k \text{ and every } \lambda.$$

On the other hand, from (8) we have  $|y_n^*(y_\lambda)|^p \xrightarrow{\lambda} |y^{**}(y_n^*)|^p$  for every  $n$ , hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |y_n^*(y_\lambda)|^p \xrightarrow{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^k |y^{**}(y_n^*)|^p$$

for every  $k$ . So, for every  $y^{**} \in B_{F^{**}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |y_n^{***}(y^{**})|^p &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty |J_{F^*}(y_n^*)(y^{**})|^p = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |y^{**}(y_n^*)|^p = \sup_k \sum_{n=1}^k |y^{**}(y_n^*)|^p \\ &= \sup_k \lim_{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^k |y_n^*(y_\lambda)|^p \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality being a consequence of (9). This proves that  $(y_n^{***})_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^{***})}$ . From (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (y_n^*(y_j))_{j,n=1}^\infty &= (J_F(y_j)(y_n^*))_{j,n=1}^\infty = ([J_{F^*}(y_n^*)](J_F(y_j)))_{j,n=1}^\infty \\ &= (y_n^{***}(J_F(y_j)))_{j,n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\ell_p). \end{aligned}$$

This holds for arbitrary  $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^*)}$ , which allows us to conclude that  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$ . Thus far we have proved that  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F)$  whenever  $(J_F(y_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(F^{**})$ . Now the regularity of  $W_{p,q}^{\text{mid}}$  follows easily.

An adaptation of the argument above shows that  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p\langle F \rangle$  whenever  $(J_F(y_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p\langle F^{**} \rangle$ . The regularity of  $\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mid}}$  follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** The final part of the proof above also proves that the ideal  $\mathcal{D}_p$  of Cohen strongly  $p$ -summing operators is regular. We also know that it is surjective because it is the dual of the injective ideal  $\Pi_{p^*}$  [Cohen 1973].

It is clear from the definitions that  $\Pi_{p,r}^{\text{mid}} \circ W_{r,q}^{\text{mid}} \subseteq \Pi_{p,q}$  for  $q \leq r \leq p$ . Next we show that the equality holds if  $p = q$ , which gives a new factorization theorem for absolutely  $p$ -summing operators:

**Theorem 2.10.** *Every absolutely  $p$ -summing linear operator factors through absolutely and weakly mid- $p$ -summing linear operators, that is,  $\Pi_p = \Pi_p^{\text{mid}} \circ W_p^{\text{mid}}$ .*

*Proof.* We already know that  $\Pi_p^{\text{mid}} \circ W_p^{\text{mid}} \subseteq \Pi_p$ . Let  $u \in \Pi_p(E; F)$ . By Pietsch’s factorization theorem ([Defant and Floret 1993, Corollary 1, page 130] or [Diestel et al. 1995, Theorem 2.13]), there are a Borel–Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ , a closed subspace  $X$  of  $L_p(\mu)$  and an operator  $\hat{u} : X \rightarrow F$  such that the following diagram commutes ( $i_E$  and  $j_p$  are the canonical operators and  $j_p^E$  is the restriction of  $j_p$  to  $i_E(E)$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{u} & F \\ i_E \downarrow & & \uparrow \hat{u} \\ i_E(E) & \xrightarrow{j_p^E} & X \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \mathcal{C}(K) & \xrightarrow{j_p} & L_p(\mu) \end{array}$$

Let  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$ . By the continuity of  $i_E$  and the linear stability of  $\ell_p^w(\cdot)$ , we have  $(i_E(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(i_E(E))$ . Since  $j_p$  is absolutely  $p$ -summing, it follows that  $(j_p^E(i_E(x_j)))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(X)$ , proving  $j_p^E \circ i_E \in W_p^{\text{mid}}(E, X)$ . Now, let  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^{\text{mid}}(X)$ . As  $X$  is a closed subspace of  $L_p(\mu)$ , from Theorem 1.2(ii) we have  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X)$ . Thus, as  $\hat{u}$  is bounded and  $\ell_p(\cdot)$  is linearly stable,  $(\hat{u}(y_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(F)$ , proving that  $\hat{u} \in \Pi_p^{\text{mid}}(X, F)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $p > 1$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{L}(F, G)$ . If  $u^*$  is absolutely mid- $p^*$ -summing and  $v^*$  is weakly mid- $p^*$ -summing, then  $v \circ u$  is Cohen strongly  $p$ -summing.*

*Proof.* Denoting, as usual, by  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{dual}}$  the ideal of all operators  $u$  such that  $u^* \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have

$$(W_{p^*}^{\text{mid}})^{\text{dual}} \circ (\Pi_{p^*}^{\text{mid}})^{\text{dual}} \subseteq (\Pi_{p^*}^{\text{mid}} \circ W_{p^*}^{\text{mid}})^{\text{dual}} = \Pi_{p^*}^{\text{dual}} = \mathcal{D}_p,$$

where the inclusion is clear, the first equality follows from [Theorem 2.10](#) and the second from [\[Cohen 1973\]](#).  $\square$

We finish this section solving a question left open in the last section of [\[Karn and Sinha 2014\]](#). There, the authors prove the following characterization in their [Theorem 4.4](#): an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is weakly mid- $p$ -summing if and only if  $S \circ T \in \Pi_p(E, \ell_p)$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, \ell_p)$ . They define

$$\text{lt}_p(T) = \sup\{\pi_p(S \circ T) : S \in \mathcal{L}(F, \ell_p) \text{ and } \|S\| \leq 1\},$$

and prove that  $(W_p^{\text{mid}}, \text{lt}_p(\cdot))$  is a normed operator ideal. The question whether or not this ideal is a Banach ideal is left open there, and now we solve it in the affirmative:

**Proposition 2.12.** *Since  $\text{lt}_p(T) = \|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}}$  for every  $T \in W_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$ , we have  $(W_p^{\text{mid}}, \text{lt}_p(\cdot))$  is a Banach operator ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in W_p^{\text{mid}}(E; F)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, \ell_p)$  with  $\|S\| \leq 1$ . Here we use that the spaces  $\ell_p^w(F^*)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(F, \ell_p)$  are canonically isometrically isomorphic via the correspondence  $x^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(F^*) \mapsto S_{x^*} \in \mathcal{L}(F, \ell_p)$ ,  $S_{x^*}(x) = (x_k^*(x))_{k=1}^\infty$  [\[Defant and Floret 1993, Proposition 8.2\(2\)\]](#). So there exists  $(y_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \in B_{\ell_p^w(F^*)}$  such that  $S(y) = (y_k^*(y))_{k=1}^\infty$  for every  $y \in F$ . Thus

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|S \circ T(x_j)\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty |y_k^*(T(x_j))|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}} \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,p},$$

for every  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$ . Therefore  $S \circ T \in \Pi_p(E; \ell_p)$  and  $\pi_p(S \circ T) \leq \|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}}$ . From

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty |y_n^*(T(x_j))|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|S \circ T(x_j)\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \pi_p(S \circ T) \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,p},$$

we obtain  $\|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}} \leq \pi_p(S \circ T)$ , proving that  $\text{lt}_p(T) = \|T\|_{W_{p;q}^{\text{mid}}}$ . The second assertion follows now from [Theorem 2.5](#).  $\square$

### 3. Infinite-dimensional Banach spaces formed by non-summing operators

We say that the subset  $A$  of an infinite-dimensional vector space  $X$  is *lineable* if  $A \cup \{0\}$  contains an infinite-dimensional subspace. If  $A \cup \{0\}$  contains a closed infinite-dimensional subspace than we say that  $A$  is *spaceable* (see [Bernal-González et al. 2014] and references therein).

Let us give a contribution to this fashionable subject. Improving a result of [Botelho et al. 2009], in [Kitson and Timoney 2011] it is proved, among other things, that if  $E$  is an infinite-dimensional superreflexive Banach space, then, regardless of the infinite-dimensional Banach space  $F$ , there exists an infinite-dimensional Banach space formed, up to the null operator, by non- $p$ -summing linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$ . Very little is known for spaces of operators on nonsuperreflexive spaces. We shall give a contribution in this direction.

The next lemma is left as Exercise 9.10(b) in [Defant and Floret 1993]. We give a short proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.1.** *An operator ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is injective if and only if the following condition holds: if  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E ; F)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{L}(E ; G)$  and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  (possibly depending on  $E, F, G, u$  and  $v$ ) such that  $\|v(x)\| \leq C\|u(x)\|$  for every  $x \in E$ , then  $v \in \mathcal{I}(E ; G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is injective and let  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E ; F)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{L}(E ; G)$  be such that  $\|v(x)\| \leq C\|u(x)\|$  for every  $x \in E$ . This inequality guarantees that the map

$$w : u(E) \subseteq F \rightarrow G, \quad w(u(x)) = v(x),$$

is a well-defined continuous linear operator. Considering the canonical metric injection  $J_G : G \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{G^*})$ , by the extension property of  $\ell_\infty(B_{G^*})$  [Pietsch 1980, Proposition C.3.2] there is an extension  $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{L}(F ; \ell_\infty(B_{G^*}))$  of  $J_G \circ w$  to the whole of  $F$ . From  $\tilde{w} \circ u = J_G \circ v$  we conclude that  $J_G \circ v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ , and the injectivity of  $\mathcal{I}$  gives  $v \in \mathcal{I}(E ; G)$ . The converse is obvious.  $\square$

Henceforth, all Banach spaces are supposed to be infinite-dimensional. Recall that a sequence in a Banach space  $E$  is *overcomplete* if the linear span of each of its subsequences is dense in  $E$  (see, e.g., [Chalendar and Partington 2007; Fonf and Zanco 2014]). We need a weaker condition:

**Definition 3.2.** A sequence in a Banach space  $E$  is *weakly overcomplete* if the closed linear span of each of its subsequences is isomorphic to  $E$ .

**Example 3.3.** The sequence  $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  formed by the canonical unit vectors is a weakly overcomplete unconditional basis in the spaces  $c_0$  and  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  [Fabian et al. 2011, Proposition 4.45].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  be a normed operator ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$  be an injective operator ideal and suppose that  $F$  contains an isomorphic copy of a space  $X$  with a*

weakly overcomplete unconditional basis. If  $\mathcal{I}(E; X) - \mathcal{J}(E; X)$  is nonvoid, then  $\mathcal{I}(E; F) - \mathcal{J}(E; F)$  is spaceable (in  $(\mathcal{I}(E; F), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a weakly overcomplete unconditional basis of  $X$  with unconditional basis constant  $\varrho$ . Split  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$  into infinitely many infinite pairwise disjoint subsets. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $X_j = \overline{\text{span}\{e_n : n \in A_j\}}$  and let  $P_j : X \rightarrow X_j$  be the canonical projection. It is known that  $\|P_j\| \leq \varrho$  [Megginson 1998, Corollary 4.2.26]. For  $x_j \in X_j$  we have  $P_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}x_j$  because the sets  $(A_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are pairwise disjoint. Let  $I_j : X \rightarrow X_j$  be an isomorphism,  $T_j : X_j \rightarrow X$  denote the formal inclusion and  $T : X \rightarrow F$  be an isomorphism into. Let  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E; X) - \mathcal{J}(E; X)$ . Defining

$$u_j : E \rightarrow F, \quad u_j = T \circ T_j \circ I_j \circ u,$$

we have  $u_j \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$ . Using that  $\mathcal{J}$  is injective,  $u \notin \mathcal{J}(E; X)$  and

$$\|u_j(x)\| = \|T(T_j \circ I_j \circ u(x))\| \geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} \|T_j \circ I_j \circ u(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|I_j^{-1}\|} \|u(x)\|$$

for every  $x \in E$ , we conclude by Lemma 3.1 that each  $u_j \notin \mathcal{J}(E; F)$ . In particular, we have  $u_j \neq 0$ . Let  $Y := \overline{\text{span}\{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(E; F)$ . Given  $0 \neq v \in Y$ , let  $(v_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \text{span}\{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be such that  $v_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}} v$ . For each  $n$ , write  $v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^n u_j$ , where  $a_j^n \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $j$ . Let  $x_0 \in E$  be such that  $v(x_0) \neq 0$ . It is plain that  $v(E) \subseteq T(X)$ , so  $T^{-1}(v(x_0)) \neq 0$ , and in this case there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_k(T^{-1}(v(x_0))) \neq 0$ . Since  $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have  $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^n T_k(I_k(u(x_0))) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_k(a_j^n T_j(I_j(u(x_0)))) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_k(T^{-1}(a_j^n T(T_j(I_j(u(x_0)))))) \\ &= P_k \circ T^{-1}(v_n(x_0)) \rightarrow P_k \circ T^{-1} \circ v(x_0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$0 \neq T \circ P_k \circ T^{-1} \circ v(x_0) = \lim_n T(a_k^n T_k(I_k(u(x_0)))) = \lim_n a_k^n u_k(x_0) = (\lim_n a_k^n) u_k(x_0).$$

Setting  $\lambda := \lim_n a_k^n \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k(x)\| &= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \cdot \lim_n \|a_k^n u_k(x)\| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|} \cdot \lim_n \left\| P_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j \circ I_j \circ u(a_j^n x) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varrho \|T\|}{|\lambda|} \cdot \lim_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j \circ I_j \circ u(a_j^n x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varrho \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\|}{|\lambda|} \cdot \lim_n \left\| T \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j \circ I_j \circ u(a_j^n x) \right) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\varrho \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\|}{|\lambda|} \cdot \lim_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^n u_j(x) \right\| = \frac{\varrho \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\|}{|\lambda|} \|v(x)\|$$

for every  $x \in E$ . Since  $u_k$  does not belong to the injective ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ , it follows from [Lemma 3.1](#) that  $v \notin \mathcal{J}(E; F)$ . This proves that  $Y \subseteq (\mathcal{I}(E; F) - \mathcal{J}(E; F) \cup \{0\})$ .

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j = 0$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $x_k \in E$  be such that  $u_k(x_k) \neq 0$  (recall that  $u_k \neq 0$ ). From

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j(x_k) \right\| \geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (T_j \circ I_j \circ u)(x_k) \right\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varrho \|T^{-1}\|} \left\| P_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (T_j \circ I_j \circ u)(x_k) \right) \right\| = \frac{1}{\varrho \|T^{-1}\|} \|a_k (T_k \circ I_k \circ u)(x_k)\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varrho \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|T\|} \|T(a_k (T_k \circ I_k \circ u)(x_k))\| = \frac{1}{\varrho \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|T\|} |a_k| \cdot \|u_k(x_k)\|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $a_k = 0$ , proving that the set  $\{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** (a) [Proposition 3.4](#) is not a consequence of [\[Kitson and Timoney 2011, Proposition 2.4\]](#) because we are not assuming neither that  $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J})(E; F)$  is not closed in  $\mathcal{I}(E; F)$  nor that  $\mathcal{I}(E; F)$  is complete.

(b) A result related to [Proposition 3.4](#), with different assumptions, has appeared recently in [\[Hernández et al. 2015, Theorem 3.5\]](#).

Recall that  $\text{Space}(\mathcal{I})$  denotes the class of all Banach spaces  $E$  such that the identity operator on  $E$  belongs to the operator ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (cf. [\[Pietsch 1980, 2.1.2\]](#)).

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $E$  be isomorphic to a subspace of  $L_1(\mu)$  for some Borel measure  $\mu$ , let  $F$  contain an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  and let  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  be a Banach operator ideal such that  $\ell_1 \in \text{Space}(\mathcal{I})$ . Then there exists an infinite-dimensional Banach space formed, up to the null operator, by non-1-summing linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

*Proof.* By [Theorem 1.2\(ii\)](#),  $\text{id}_E \in \Pi_1^{\text{mid}}(E; E)$ . Since  $\text{id}_E$  fails to be 1-summing, because  $E$  is infinite-dimensional, by [Theorem 2.10](#) we have  $\text{id}_E \notin W_1^{\text{mid}}(E; E)$ . From [Theorem 1.2\(i\)](#), there is a non-1-summing linear operator  $u : E \rightarrow \ell_1$ . Of course  $u \in \mathcal{I}(E; \ell_1)$ . Taking into account that the canonical unit vectors form a weakly overcomplete unconditional basis of  $\ell_1$  ([Example 3.3](#)) and that the ideal of absolutely  $p$ -summing linear operators is injective, from [Proposition 3.4](#) we have that  $\mathcal{I}(E; F) - \Pi_1(E; F)$  is spaceable. The completeness of  $(\mathcal{I}(E; F), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

Examples of Banach operator ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  for which  $\ell_1 \in \text{Space}(\mathcal{I})$  are the following: separable operators, completely continuous operators, cotype 2 operators, absolutely



$(r, q)$ -summing operators with  $\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}$  [Defant and Floret 1993, Corollary 8.9] (in particular, absolutely  $(r, 1)$ -summing operators for every  $r \geq 2$ ).

### Acknowledgement

We thank Professor A. Pietsch for pointing out [Lemma 3.1](#) to us.

### References

- [Bernal-González et al. 2014] L. Bernal-González, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, “Linear subsets of nonlinear sets in topological vector spaces”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **51**:1 (2014), 71–130. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Botelho and Campos 2016] G. Botelho and J. R. Campos, “On the transformation of vector-valued sequences by multilinear operators”, *Monatsh. Math.* (online publication July 2016).
- [Botelho et al. 2009] G. Botelho, D. Diniz, and D. Pellegrino, “Lineability of the set of bounded linear non-absolutely summing operators”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **357**:1 (2009), 171–175. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Campos 2013] J. R. Campos, “An abstract result on Cohen strongly summing operators”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439**:12 (2013), 4047–4055. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chalendar and Partington 2007] I. Chalendar and J. R. Partington, “Applications of moment problems to the overcompleteness of sequences”, *Math. Scand.* **101**:2 (2007), 249–260. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cohen 1973] J. S. Cohen, “Absolutely  $p$ -summing,  $p$ -nuclear operators and their conjugates”, *Math. Ann.* **201**:3 (1973), 177–200. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Defant and Floret 1993] A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **176**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Diestel et al. 1995] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **43**, Cambridge University Press, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fabian et al. 2011] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach space theory: the basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*, Springer, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fonf and Zanco 2014] V. P. Fonf and C. Zanco, “Almost overcomplete and almost overtotal sequences in Banach spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **420**:1 (2014), 94–101. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hernández et al. 2015] F. L. Hernández, C. Ruiz, and V. M. Sánchez, “Spaceability and operator ideals”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **431**:2 (2015), 1035–1044. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Karn and Sinha 2014] A. K. Karn and D. P. Sinha, “An operator summability of sequences in Banach spaces”, *Glasg. Math. J.* **56**:2 (2014), 427–437. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kitson and Timoney 2011] D. Kitson and R. M. Timoney, “Operator ranges and spaceability”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **378**:2 (2011), 680–686. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Meggison 1998] R. E. Meggison, *An introduction to Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **183**, Springer, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pietsch 1980] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland Mathematical Library **20**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received February 6, 2016.

FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA  
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA  
38400-902 UBERLÂNDIA  
BRAZIL

[botelho@ufu.br](mailto:botelho@ufu.br)

JAMILSON R. CAMPOS  
DEPARTAMENTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS  
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA  
58.297-000 RIO TINTO  
BRAZIL

[jamilson@dcx.ufpb.br](mailto:jamilson@dcx.ufpb.br)

[jamilsonrc@gmail.com](mailto:jamilsonrc@gmail.com)

JOEDSON SANTOS  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA  
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA  
58.051-900 JOÃO PESSOA  
BRAZIL

[joedsonmat@gmail.com](mailto:joedsonmat@gmail.com)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Igor Pak  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pak.pjm@gmail.com](mailto:pak.pjm@gmail.com)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 287    No. 1    March 2017

---

Operator ideals related to absolutely summing and Cohen strongly summing operators	1
GERALDO BOTELHO, JAMILSON R. CAMPOS and JOEDSON SANTOS	
Homology for quandles with partial group operations	19
SCOTT CARTER, ATSUSHI ISHII, MASAHICO SAITO and KOKORO TANAKA	
Three-dimensional discrete curvature flows and discrete Einstein metrics	49
HUABIN GE, XU XU and SHIJIN ZHANG	
Inclusion of configuration spaces in Cartesian products, and the virtual cohomological dimension of the braid groups of $S^2$ and $\mathbb{R}P^2$	71
DACIBERG LIMA GONÇALVES and JOHN GUASCHI	
Groups of PL-homeomorphisms admitting nontrivial invariant characters	101
DACIBERG L. GONÇALVES, PARAMESWARAN SANKARAN and RALPH STREBEL	
Bernstein-type theorems for spacelike stationary graphs in Minkowski spaces	159
XIANG MA, PENG WANG and LING YANG	
Comparison results for derived Deligne–Mumford stacks	177
MAURO PORTA	
On locally coherent hearts	199
MANUEL SAORÍN	
Approximability of convex bodies and volume entropy in Hilbert geometry	223
CONSTANTIN VERNICOS	