Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE POSET OF RATIONAL CONES

Joseph Gubeladze and Mateusz Michałek

Volume 292 No. 1

January 2018

THE POSET OF RATIONAL CONES

JOSEPH GUBELADZE AND MATEUSZ MICHAŁEK

We introduce a natural partial order on the set Cones(d) of rational cones in \mathbb{R}^d . The poset of normal polytopes, studied by Bruns and the authors (*Discrete Comput. Geom.* 56:1 (2016), 181–215), embeds into Cones(d) via the homogenization map. The order in Cones(d) is conjecturally the inclusion order. We prove this for d = 3 and show a stronger version of the connectivity of Cones(d) for all d. Topological aspects of the conjecture are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Rational cones in \mathbb{R}^d are important objects in toric algebraic geometry, combinatorial commutative algebra, geometric combinatorics, integer programming [Beck and Robins 2015; Bruns and Gubeladze 2009; Cox et al. 2011; Miller and Sturmfels 2005; Schrijver 1986]. The interaction of these convex objects with the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^d is governed by their *Hilbert bases* — the finite sets of indecomposable elements, notoriously difficult to characterize. General results on Hilbert bases are available only in low dimensions, e.g., see [Aguzzoli and Mundici 1994; Bouvier and Gonzalez-Sprinberg 1995; Sebő 1990]. In higher dimensions there are mostly counterexamples to conjectures, e.g., see [Bruns 2007; Bruns and Gubeladze 1999; Bruns et al. 1999]. In this paper we introduce a partial order on the set of rational cones in \mathbb{R}^d . It is defined in terms of the additive generation of the sets of lattice points in cones. The resulting poset Cones(d) is a structure in its own right, which captures a global picture of the interaction of \mathbb{Z}^d with all cones at once. The poset NPol(d-1) of normal polytopes in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , introduced in [Bruns et al. 2016]. monotonically embeds into Cones(d) via the *homogenization* map. But the former poset is much more difficult to analyze than Cones(d). In fact, there are maximal and nontrivial minimal normal polytopes; at present even the presence of isolated normal polytopes is not excluded [Bruns et al. 2016]. On the other extreme, we conjecture that the order in Cones(d) is just the inclusion order (Conjecture 2.6). We prove the 3-dimensional case of the conjecture (Theorem 3.2) and a stronger

Gubeladze was supported by NSF grant DMS 1301487. Michałek was supported by Polish National Science Center grant 2013/08/A/ST1/00804.

MSC2010: primary 52B20; secondary 05E99, 20M13, 52C07.

Keywords: rational cone, poset of cones, geometric realization of a poset.

version of the connectivity of Cones(d) for all d: any two cones can be connected by a sequence of O(d) many elementary extensions/descents, or $O(d^2)$ many such moves if working with the full-dimensional cones (Theorem 4.1). In Section 5 we consider topological consequences of Conjecture 2.6.

1A. *Cones.* We consider the real vector space \mathbb{R}^d , consisting of *d*-columns, together with the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^d . The standard basis vectors will be denoted by e_1, \ldots, e_d , the set of nonnegative reals will be denoted by \mathbb{R}_+ , and the set of nonnegative integers will be denoted by \mathbb{Z}_+ .

For a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, its *conical hull*, i.e., the set of nonnegative linear combinations of elements of X, is denoted by \mathbb{R}_+X . The linear span of X will be denoted by $\mathbb{R}X$. We also put $L(X) = X \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$.

By a *cone C* we always mean a pointed, rational, polyhedral cone, i.e., $C = \mathbb{R}_+ x_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+ x_n$ for some $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and there is no nonzero element $x \in C$ with $-x \in C$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a nonzero cone. Then there exists an affine hyperplane *H*, meeting *C* transversally, i.e., such that $C \cap H$ is a polytope of dimension dim(C) - 1 [Bruns and Gubeladze 2009, Proposition 1.21]. The first nonzero lattice point on each 1-dimensional face of *C* is called an *extremal generator* of *C*. The additive submonoid $L(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ has the smallest generating set, consisting of indecomposable elements. It is called the *Hilbert basis* of *C*, denoted by Hilb(C). The extremal generators of *C* belong to Hilb(C).

A *d*-cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has a unique minimal representation as an intersection of closed half-spaces $C = \bigcap_{j=1}^n H_j^+$. The boundary hyperplanes $H_j \subset H_j^+$ intersect *C* in its *facets*, i.e., the codimension 1 proper faces of *C*. Further, for each facet $F \subset C$ there exists a unique linear function $ht_F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ which vanishes on *F*, is nonnegative on *C*, and satisfies $ht_F(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}$.

A pair of cones (C, D) is a *unimodular extension* of cones if C is a facet of D, the latter has exactly one extremal generator v not in C, and $L(D) = L(C) + \mathbb{Z}_+ v$.

A cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *unimodular* if Hilb(*C*) is a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^d .

If the extremal generators of a cone C are linearly independent, then C is said to be *simplicial*.

For elements $u_1, \ldots, u_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the matrix, whose *i*-th column is u_i , will be denoted by $[u_1|\cdots|u_d]$. Assume u_1, \ldots, u_d are linearly independent and

$$C = \mathbb{R}_+ u_1 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_+ u_d.$$

Then we put

$$par(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \{\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_d u_d \mid 0 \le \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d < 1\},$$

$$Lpar(u_1, \dots, u_d) = L(par(u_1, \dots, u_d)) \setminus \{0\},$$

$$vol(u_1, \dots, u_d) = vol(par(u_1, \dots, u_d)) = |det[u_1| \cdots |u_d]|,$$

$$\mu(C) = vol(u_1, \dots, u_d) \quad \text{if the } u_i \text{ are primitive}$$

(where *primitive* means having coprime components).

A triangulation of a cone *C* into simplicial cones is called *unimodular* if the cones in the triangulation are unimodular, and it is called *Hilbert* if the set of extremal generators of the involved cones equals Hilb(C).

- **Proposition 1.1.** (a) Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a nonzero cone and $v \in L(C)$ be a nonzero element in a 1-face of C. Then $L(C) + \mathbb{Z}v = L(C_0) + \mathbb{Z}v \cong L(C_0) \times \mathbb{Z}v$ for some cone $C_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $v \notin C_0$.
- (b) Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a nonzero cone and $w \in L(C)$ be an element in the relative interior of *C*. Then

$$\mathsf{L}(C) + \mathbb{Z}w = \mathsf{L}(\mathbb{R}C).$$

- (c) Every nonzero cone has a unimodular triangulation.
- (d) For every 2-cone C, its only Hilbert triangulation is unimodular.
- (e) Every 3-dimensional cone has a unimodular Hilbert triangulation.

The parts (a), (b), (c), (d), are standard results on cones and all five parts are proved, for instance, in [Bruns and Gubeladze 2009, Chapter 2]. The part (e) is originally due to Sebő [1990] (whose argument is reproduced in [Bruns and Gubeladze 2009, Theorem 2.78]). It was later rediscovered in the context of toric geometry in [Aguzzoli and Mundici 1994; Bouvier and Gonzalez-Sprinberg 1995], with important refinements. The existence of unimodular Hilbert triangulations fails already in dimension 4 [Bouvier and Gonzalez-Sprinberg 1995].

For a poset $(\Pi, <)$, the geometric realization of its *order (simplicial) complex* will be called the *geometric realization* of Π and denoted by $|\Pi|$. For generalities on poset topology we refer the reader to [Wachs 2007], with the caution that our posets are mostly infinite. But the "finite vs. infinite" dichotomy never plays a role in our treatment. Section 1 in Quillen's foundational work on higher algebraic *K*-theory [Quillen 1973] remains an indispensable source for homotopy studies of general posets (in fact, general categories).

1B. *The poset of normal polytopes.* A lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e., a convex polytope with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d) is *normal* if for every $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and every element $x \in L(cP)$ there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_c \in L(P)$, such that $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_c$.

The order in the poset NPol(*d*) of normal polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d , studied in [Bruns et al. 2016], is generated by the following elementary relations: P < Q if $P \subset Q$ and #L(Q) = #L(P) + 1.

The poset NPol(d) is known to have (nontrivial) minimal and maximal elements in dimensions ≥ 4 .

The homogenization map $P \mapsto C(P) := \mathbb{R}_+(P \times \{1\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ embeds the set of lattice polytopes $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ into that of cones $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, a lattice polytope P is normal if and only if $\text{Hilb}(C(P)) = \{(x, 1) \mid x \in L(P)\}$.

For a lattice *d*-polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a facet $F \subset P$ there exists a unique affine map $ht_F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ with $ht_F(P) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $ht_F(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}$. We have the following compatibility between the facet-height functions: the two maps $ht_F(\cdot)$, $ht_{C(F)}(\cdot, 1) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ are the same.

2. The poset Cones(*d*)

2A. *Elementary extensions.* For a natural number *d* we denote by Cones(d) the set of cones $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, made into a poset as follows: C < D if and only if there exists a sequence of cones of the form

(1)
$$C = C_0 \subset \cdots \subset C_{n-1} \subset C_n = D,$$
$$L(C_i) = L(C_{i-1}) + \mathbb{Z}_+ x, \quad \text{for some } x \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

When n = 1 we call $C \subset D$ an *elementary extension*, or *elementary descent* if read backwards. Here is an alternative characterization:

Lemma 2.1. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a nonzero cone and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a primitive vector with $\pm v \notin C$. Assume $H \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is an affine hyperplane, meeting the cone $D = C + \mathbb{R}_+ v$ transversally. Put $v' = \mathbb{R}_+ v \cap H$. Then $C \subset D$ is an elementary extension in Cones(d) if and only if there exist unimodular cones $U_1, \ldots, U_n \subset D$, satisfying the conditions

(i) $v \in U_i, i = 1, ..., n$,

(ii)
$$D = C \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i\right),$$

(iii) $\{\mathbb{R}_+((U_i \cap H) - v')\}_{i=1}^n$ is a triangulation of the cone $\mathbb{R}_+((D \cap H) - v')$.

Proof. The "if" part is obvious. For the "only if" part we use (a) and (c) of Proposition 1.1 to fix a representation $L(C) + \mathbb{Z}v = L(D) + \mathbb{Z}v = L(C_0) + \mathbb{Z}v =$ $L(C_0) \times \mathbb{Z}v$ and a unimodular triangulation $C_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$. Let $X \subset C$ be a finite subset, which maps bijectively to $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Hilb}(D_k)$ under the projection $C \to C_0$, induced by $v \mapsto 0$. Let X_i be the preimage of $\text{Hilb}(D_i)$ in X. Then the cones $U_i = \mathbb{R}_+ X_i + \mathbb{R}_+ v$ satisfy (i)–(iii).

2B. *Height* **1** *and Hilbert basis extensions.* Cones(d) contains many elementary extensions of two different types, making it essentially different from NPol(d).

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *d*-cone and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\pm v \notin C$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}^+(v)$ the set of facets of *C*, visible from *v*, i.e., ht_{*F*}(*v*) < 0 for every $F \in \mathbb{F}^+(v)$. Consider the visible part of the boundary ∂C , i.e., $C^+(v) = \bigcup_{\mathbb{F}^+(v)} F$. Put $D = C + \mathbb{R}_+ v$. There is a sequence of rational numbers $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots$ with $\lambda_1 = 1/(\max(-\operatorname{ht}_F(v) : F \in \mathbb{F}^+(v)))$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = \infty$, satisfying the conditions:

$$\mathsf{L}(D \setminus C) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{L}(\lambda_k v + C^+(v)) \text{ and } \mathsf{L}(\lambda_k v + C^+(v)) \neq \emptyset, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The equality $\lambda_1 = 1$ is equivalent to the condition $ht_F(v) = -1$ for all $F \in \mathbb{F}^+(v)$. In this case we say that *D* is a *height* 1 *extension* of *C*. All height 1 extensions are elementary extensions of cones but the converse is not true [Bruns et al. 2016, Theorem 4.3].

The second class of elementary extensions in Cones(*d*) are the extensions of type $C \subset D$, where $\mathbb{R}_+(\text{Hilb}(D) \setminus \{v\}) \subset C$ for an extremal generator $v \in D$. We call this class the *Hilbert basis extensions* (or *descents*).

As an application of the two types of extensions, we have:

Lemma 2.2. For every natural number $d \ge 2$,

- (a) for every elementary extension of cones $0 \neq C < D$ there exists a cone E, such that C < E < D;
- (b) Cones(d) has neither maximal nor minimal elements, other than the minimal element 0.

Remark. We do not know whether 0 is the *smallest* element of Cones(d). If 0 were the smallest element, then the geometric realization of Cones(d) would be contractible; see Section 5 for topological aspects of Cones(d).

Proof. (a) The general case easily reduces to the full-dimensional case and then the claim follows from the observation that there is always a height 1 extension $C \subset E$ with $E \subsetneq D$. In fact, if $\{v\} = \text{Hilb}(D) \setminus C$, then we can take $E = C + \mathbb{R}_+ w$ where $w \in L(\lambda_1 v + C^+(v))$ with $w \neq v$ (notation as above). Obviously, $E \subset D$ is an elementary extension.

(b) One applies appropriate height 1 extensions to show that there are no maximal elements, and Hilbert basis descents to show that there are no minimal elements in $Cones(d) \setminus \{0\}$.

We formally include the extensions of type $0 \subset C$, dim C = 1, in both classes of elementary extensions, discussed above.

Question 2.3. Do either the height 1 or Hilbert basis extensions generate the same poset Cones(*d*)?

2C. *Distinguished subposets.* The subposet of Cones(*d*), consisting of the cones in $(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \cup \{0\}$, will be denoted by Cones⁺(*d*). The homogenization embedding NPol(*d* - 1) \rightarrow Cones⁺(*d*) is a monotonic map. However, the order in NPol(*d* - 1) is weaker than the one induced from Cones(*d*):

Example 2.4. In [Bruns et al. 2016, Example 4.8] we have the polytope $P \in$ NPol(3) with vertices (0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0), (2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1). The polytope has two more lattice points: (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1). Removing either the first *or* the second vertex and taking the convex hull of the other lattice points in *P* yields a nonnormal polytope. However, the convex hull *Q* of the lattice points in *P* with the exception of the first two vertices is normal. We have $Q \neq P$ in NPol(3). Yet, using polymake [Gawrilow and Joswig 1997], one quickly finds four

Hilbert basis descents (requiring additional Hilbert basis elements at height two) $C(P) > C_1 > C_2 > C_3 > C(Q)$.

For every integer h > 0 we consider the poset $\text{Cones}^{(h)}(d)$ of cones in $\text{Cones}^+(d)$, satisfying $\text{Hilb}(C) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times [0, h]$ and ordered as in (1) under the additional requirement that the intermediate cones C_i are also from $\text{Cones}^{(h)}(d)$.

Lemma 2.5. For every natural $d \ge 1$,

(a) $\text{Cones}^{(1)}(d) \setminus \{0\} = \text{NPol}(d-1);$

- (b) $\operatorname{Cones}^{(1)}(d) \subset \operatorname{Cones}^{(2)}(d) \subset \cdots$ and $\bigcup_{h=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cones}^{(h)}(d) = \operatorname{Cones}^{+}(d);$
- (c) $\operatorname{Pol}(d-1) \subset \operatorname{Cones}^{(d-2)}(d)$, assuming $d \ge 3$.

(Inclusions are those of sets, and may not represent subposets.)

Parts (a) and (b) are obvious; (c) is proved, for instance, in [Bruns and Gubeladze 2009, Theorem 2.52].

2D. *The cone conjecture.* Conjecture 2.6 is the maximal possible strengthening of the absence of extremal elements in Cones(d):

Conjecture 2.6. For every *d*, the order in Cones(*d*) is the inclusion order.

The case d = 1 is obvious.

When d = 2, the general case reduces to a pair of cones $C \subset D$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , with dim D = 2 and C a facet of D. Assume $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} = \text{Hilb}(D)$ and $v_1 \in C$. Then, by Proposition 1.1(d), we have the following height 1 extensions:

 $C < C + \mathbb{R}_+ v_2 < \cdots < C + \mathbb{R}_+ v_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+ v_n = D.$

In Section 3 we give a proof for d = 3.

In dimension 4 we have the following computational evidence.

Assume $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cone and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\pm v \notin C$. We use the notation in Section 2B. In particular, $D = C + \mathbb{R}_+ v$. One introduces the *bottom-up* procedure for constructing an ascending sequence of height 1 extensions, starting with the cone *C*, as follows: one chooses a shortest vector $v_1 \in L(\lambda_1 v + C^+(v))$, repeats the step for the pair $C_1 \subset D$ where $C_1 = C + \mathbb{R}_+ v_1$, and iterates the process. The height 1 extensions we obtain this way tend to widen the cone as much as possible at each step, as measured by the increments of the Euclidean (d-1)-volume of the cross sections with a prechosen affine hyperplane, transversally meeting the cone *D*.

A complementary approach employs Hilbert basis descents. The corresponding *top-down* procedure finds a sequence $D = D_0 > D_1 > \cdots$ of Hilbert basis descents of the form $D_{i+1} = C + \mathbb{R}_+(\text{Hilb}(D_i) \setminus \{v_i\})$, at each step discarding a shortest extremal generator $v_i \in D_i \setminus C$.

Andreas Paffenholz implemented the bottom-up and top-down procedures in \mathbb{R}^4 . The computational evidence, based on many randomly generated cones *C* and vectors v, supports the expectation that there are no nonterminating processes of either type, with the tendency of the bottom-up process to last longer than the top-down one.

3. Cones in \mathbb{R}^3

Lemma 3.1. Let $x, y \in par(u, v, w)$, and let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be linearly independent vectors. Then vol(u, x, y) < vol(u, v, w).

Proof. We can assume $(u, v, w) = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(\boldsymbol{e}_1, x, y) = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{pmatrix} \right| = |x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2| \le \max(|x_2 y_3|, |x_3 y_2|) < 1. \square$$

Theorem 3.2. The order in Cones(d) is the inclusion order for d = 3.

Proof. We first prove the following basic case: for any simplicial 3-cone $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and any facet $C \subset D$ we have C < D. This will be done by induction on $\mu(D)$ (defined in the introduction).

The case $\mu(C) = \mu(D)$ is obvious because *D* is a unimodular extension of *C*. So we can assume $\mu(C) < \mu(D)$, which is equivalent to Lpar(*D*) $\not\subset C$.

Let v_0, v_1, w be the extremal generators of D with $v_0, v_1 \in C$. Denote by $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ $(k \ge 2)$ the extremal generators of the cone

$$E = C + \mathbb{R}_+ \operatorname{Lpar}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We assume that the enumeration is done in the cyclic order, i.e., the cones

$$C_i = \mathbb{R}_+ v_{i-1} + \mathbb{R}_+ v_i \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, k+1 \mod (k+1)$$

are the facets of *E*. (Here, $C = C_1$.)

Because of the containment $Hilb(D) \setminus \{w\} \subseteq E$, we have E < D in the poset Cones(3). Further, the cone *E* is triangulated by the cones

$$D_i = \mathbb{R}_+ v_0 + \mathbb{R}_+ v_i + \mathbb{R}_+ v_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have the inequalities

$$\mu(D_i) < \mu(D), \quad i = 2, \dots, k.$$

Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have $C < D_1$ and

$$(D_{i-1} \cap D_i) < D_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, k-1.$$

By concatenating, we obtain the following chain in Cones(3):

$$C < D_1 < D_1 \cup D_2 < \cdots < D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_{k-1} = E < D.$$

This completes the proof of the basic case.

The general case easily reduces to the case of a pair of 3-cones $C \subsetneq D$ with $D = C + \mathbb{R}_+ v$, to which we apply induction on the number of facets of *C* visible from *v*. When this number is 1, the inequality C < D results from the basic case. When the number of the visible facets is $k \ge 2$ then there is an intermediate cone $C \subsetneq B \subsetneq D$, satisfying the conditions

- $B = C + \mathbb{R}_+ w$ for some w;
- *B* has only one facet visible from *v*;
- there are exactly k 1 facets of C, visible from w.

In fact, if $C = \bigcap_{j=1}^{l} H_j^+$ is the irreducible representation, where the indexing is in the circular order and $H_1 \cap C, \ldots, H_k \cap C \subset C$ are the facets visible from v, then one can choose

$$B = \left(\bigcap_{j=k}^{l} H_{j}^{+}\right) \bigcap D.$$

We are done because, by the induction hypothesis, C < B < D.

4. Diameter

By the *diameter* of a subposet $X \subset \text{Cones}(d)$, denoted D(X), we mean the supremum of the lengths of the shortest sequences $C_0C_1 \cdots C_n$ within X, connecting any two elements C_0, C_n of X, where every two consecutive cones form an elementary extension or descent.

Consider the following subposets of Cones(*d*):

- (i) $Cones(d)^{o}$, consisting of the *d*-cones in \mathbb{R}^{d} (all quantum jumps in NPol(d-1) live here).
- (ii) Unim(*d*), consisting of the unimodular cones in \mathbb{R}^d .
- (iii) Unim(*d*)^o consisting of the unimodular *d*-cones in \mathbb{R}^d .

The next theorem implies that Cones(d) and $Cones(d)^{o}$ are both connected.

Theorem 4.1. We have:

- (a) D(Unim(d)) = 2d for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) $D(Unim(d)^{\circ}) = O(d^2)$.
- (c) D(Cones(d)) = O(d).
- (d) $D(Cones(d)^{\circ}) = O(d^2)$.

Proof. (a) Any unimodular cone can be reached from any other unimodular cone by first removing the Hilbert basis elements of the latter, one by one, and then adding those of the former, also one at a time.

For the pairs of unimodular *d*-cones of type *C* and -C there is no shorter connecting path. One should remark that this is not true for all pairs of unimodular *d*-cones whose intersection is 0; an example when d = 2 is

$$\mathbb{R}_{+}\boldsymbol{e}_{1} + \mathbb{R}_{+}(\boldsymbol{e}_{1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{2}) < \mathbb{R}_{+}(-\boldsymbol{e}_{1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{2}) + \mathbb{R}_{+}\boldsymbol{e}_{1} > \mathbb{R}_{+}(-\boldsymbol{e}_{1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{2}) + \mathbb{R}_{+}\boldsymbol{e}_{2}.$$

(b) Let $C = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}_{+} v_{i}$ and $D = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}_{+} w_{i}$ for two bases $\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\}$ and $\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^{d} . Put $A = [v_{1}|\cdots|v_{d}]$ and $B = [w_{1}|\cdots|w_{d}]$. After renumbering of the basis elements, we can assume det $(A) = \det(B) = 1$. The special linear group $SL_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the elementary matrices e_{ij}^{a} , i.e., the matrices with ones on the main diagonal, at most one nonzero off-diagonal entry a in the ij-spot, and zeros elsewhere. Using the equalities $(e_{ij}^{a})^{-1} = e_{ij}^{-a}$, there is a representation of the form $Ae_{i_{1}j_{1}}^{a_{1}}\cdots e_{i_{k}j_{k}}^{a_{k}} = B$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [Carter and Keller 1984], one can choose $k \leq 36 + \frac{1}{2}(3d^{2} - d)$. Consider the sequence of unimodular cones:

 C_t = the cone spanned by the columns of $Ae_{i_1j_1}^{a_1}\cdots e_{i_tj_t}^{a_t}$, $0 \le t \le k$.

(In particular, $C_0 = C$). Since the multiplications by elementary matrices from the right corresponds to the elementary column transformations, for every $1 \le t \le k$ the inequality $a_t > 0$ yields the elementary extension $C_t < C_{t-1}$ and the inequality $a_t < 0$ yields the elementary descent $C_t > C_{t-1}$.

(c), (d) For $d \le 1$ there are connecting paths of length ≤ 2 . So we assume $d \ge 2$.

Pick $C \in \text{Cones}(d)$. By taking unimodular extensions as needed, we can assume dim C = d. We need at most 2d - 1 unimodular extensions to reach the full-dimensional case. Consequently, the parts (c) and (d) follow from the parts (a) and (b), respectively, once we show that a unimodular *d*-cone can be reached from *C* in at most d - 1 elementary extensions/descents.

Pick arbitrarily a facet $F \subset C$ and two elements $y \in L(C \setminus F)$, satisfying $ht_F(y) = 1$, and $x \in L(int(F))$, where int(F) is the relative interior of F. Consider the sequence of cones

$$C_k = F + \mathbb{R}_+(y - kx), \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

We claim that $C \subset C_k$ for all sufficiently large k.

Indeed, consider any extremal generator v of C. We have $v = ht_F(v)y + v'$ for some $v' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $H_F(v') = 0$. By Proposition 1.1(b), $L(F) + \mathbb{Z}x = L(\mathbb{R}F)$. Hence v' = -sx + z for some $z \in L(F)$ and an integer $s \ge 0$. Consequently,

$$v = \operatorname{ht}_F(v) \left(y - \left\lceil \frac{s}{\operatorname{ht}_F(v)} \right\rceil x \right) + \operatorname{ht}_F(v) \left(1 - \left\{ \frac{s}{\operatorname{ht}_F(v)} \right\} \right) x + z \in C_{\lceil s/(\operatorname{ht}_F(v)) \rceil}.$$

Pick $k \gg 0$ with $C \subset C_k$. Since C_k is a unimodular extension of F, we have the elementary extension $C < C_k$ in Cones(d).

Keeping $\mathbb{R}_+(y-kx)$ as a 1-face, we may, inductively on dimension, transform *F* to a unimodular *d*-1-cone using only elementary extensions and descents: one

112

uses the fact that unimodular extensions of cones respect elementary extensions in the previous dimension. In the end, starting from *C*, we have reached a unimodular *d*-cone (in at most d-1 steps).

Remark 4.2. In the proofs of Theorem 4.1(a) and (c), one does not need to descend from unimodular cones all the way to 0. The latter, not being in NPol(d-1), may not be desirable. It is enough to descend to 1-dimensional cones and the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1(b) shows that for any pair of 1-cones in \mathbb{R}^d there is an upper bound on the number of connecting elementary extensions/descents: one finds such extensions within the linear span of the pair of 1-cones. By avoiding 0 the diameter goes up by a constant, independent of *d*.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 does *not* imply that $D(\text{Cones}^+(d)) < \infty$.

5. The space of cones

Conjecture 2.6 has strong consequences for the geometric realization of Cones(d):

Theorem 5.1. Assume Conjecture 2.6 holds for a natural number d. Then:

- (a) The spaces |Cones(d)|, $|Cones^+(d)|$, and $|Cones^+(d) \setminus \{0\}|$ are contractible.
- (b) $|Cones(d) \setminus \{0\}|$ is a filtered union of spaces, each containing a (d-1)-sphere as a strong deformation retract.

Proof. (a) The spaces |Cones(d)| and $|Cones^+(d)|$ are contractible because 0 is the smallest element of Cones(d) and $Cones^+(d)$. The poset $Cones^+(d) \setminus \{0\}$ is *filtering*, i.e., every finite subset has an upper bound. But the geometric realization of a filtering poset is contractible [Quillen 1973, Section 1].

(b) Let S^{d-1} be the unit (d-1)-sphere in \mathbb{R}^d , centered at the origin. Then we can think of the poset of $\text{Cones}(d) \setminus \{0\}$ as the poset of intersections $C \cap S^{d-1}$, $C \in \text{Cones}(d)$, ordered by inclusion. Abusing terminology, these intersections will be also called polytopes.

For two polytopal subdivisions Π_1 and Π_2 of S^{d-1} and a polytope $P \subset S^{d-1}$ we write (i) $\Pi_1 \prec \Pi_2$ if Π_2 is a subdivision of Π_1 and (ii) $P \prec \Pi_1$ if P is subdivided by polytopes in Π_1 .

Fix a system of polytopal subdivisions $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of S^{d-1} , such that $\Pi_i \prec \Pi_{i+1}$ for all *i* and every polytope $P \subset S^{d-1}$ admits *i* with $P \prec \Pi_i$.

For every index *i*, the simplicial complex $|\Pi_i|$ is a barycentric subdivision of Π_i . In particular, $|\Pi_i| \cong S^{d-1}$.

Consider the following posets:

- The subposet $\overline{\Pi}_i = \{P \in \text{Cones}(d) \setminus \{0\} \mid P \prec \Pi_i\} \subset \text{Cones}(d)$; it has more relations than the poset $\check{\Pi}_i$, supported by the same set of polytopes, since for P and $P' \in \check{\Pi}_i \setminus \Pi_i$ one has P < P' whenever $P \subset P'$.
- The subposets $\Pi_i(P) = \{Q \mid Q \in \Pi_i, Q \subset P\} \cup \{P\} \subset \text{Cones}(d) \text{ for } P \prec \Pi_i.$

The (geometric) simplicial complex $|\check{\Pi}_i|$ is obtained from $|\Pi_i|$ by changing the contractible subcomplexes $|\Pi_i(P)|$ to pyramids over them. Any two of these pyramids either do not meet outside $|\Pi_i|$ or overlap along a pyramid from the same family. In particular, the subspace $|\Pi_i| \subset |\check{\Pi}_i|$ is a strong deformation retract. Let $F : |\check{\Pi}_i| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |\check{\Pi}_i|$ be a corresponding homotopy.

Consider an extension of F to a homotopy

$$G: |\overline{\Pi}_i| \times [0, 1] \to |\overline{\Pi}_i|,$$

satisfying the condition that for every $t \in [0, 1]$ the map G_t is injective on $|\overline{\Pi}_i| \setminus |\mathring{\Pi}_i|$ and is the identity on $|\Pi_i|$. In more detail, for every chain

$$P_0 < \cdots < P_k < P_{k+1} < \cdots < P_n, \qquad P_k \in \Pi_i, \ P_{k+1} \in \Pi_i \setminus \Pi_i$$

and every index $k < l \le n$, the *l*-subsimplex $\triangle(P_0, \ldots, P_k, P_l)$ of the *n*-simplex $\triangle(P_0, \ldots, P_n)$ is collapsed into the *k*-subsimplex $\triangle(P_0, \ldots, P_k)$ by the homotopy *G*, while the rest of the *n*-simplex homeomorphically remains invariant. In particular, $G_1(\triangle(P_1, \ldots, P_n))$ is an *n*-disc, attached to $|\Pi_i|$ along the subdisc $\triangle(P_1, \ldots, P_k)$. Then Im G_1 consists of $|\Pi_i|$ and the mentioned finitely many attached discs, any two of which either do not meet outside $|\Pi_i|$ or overlap along a disc from the same family.

The claim now follows because $|\Pi_i|$ is a strong deformation retract of Im G_1 . **Remark.** It is very likely that a more elaborate homotopy leads to a deformation retraction of the total space $|\text{Cones}(d) \setminus \{0\}|$ to a (d-1)-sphere.

By Lemma 2.5(c), we have the tower of spaces

$$|\operatorname{NPol}(d-1)| = |\operatorname{Cones}^{(1)}(d) \setminus \{0\}| \subset |\operatorname{Cones}^{(2)}(d) \setminus \{0\}| \subset \cdots$$

which, in view of Theorem 5.1, is expected to trivialize in the limit. This observation can lead to an insight into the more difficult space of normal polytopes if the trivialization occurs in a controlled way, which is an interesting question in its own right. In more detail, the group $Aff_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ of affine automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} acts compatibly on the whole tower of posets

$$\operatorname{Cones}^{(1)}(d) \setminus \{0\} \subset \operatorname{Cones}^{(2)}(d) \setminus \{0\} \subset \operatorname{Cones}^{(3)}(d) \setminus \{0\} \subset \cdots$$

via the embedding

$$\operatorname{Aff}_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}), \quad (\alpha|\beta) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \operatorname{GL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z}), \ \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}.$$

As a result, the homology groups of all involved geometric realizations are modules over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[Aff_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z})]$.

Question 5.2. Are the relative homology groups

$$H_i(|\text{Cones}^{(j)}(d) \setminus \{0\}|, |\text{Cones}^{(j-1)}(d) \setminus \{0\}|, \mathbb{Z})$$

finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[Aff_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z})]$ -modules for all *i* and *j*?

The positive answer to this question for i = 0 (and all j), would imply that the still elusive isolated elements in NPol(d - 1) form a highly structured family: for every j, only finitely many such isolated elements (up to unimodular equivalence) cease to be isolated when one passes from Cones^(j-1) $(d) \setminus \{0\}$ to Cones^(j) $(d) \setminus \{0\}$, and all isolated elements are taken out as $j \to \infty$.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Andreas Paffenholz for carrying out computational experiments, discussed in Section 2D.

References

- [Aguzzoli and Mundici 1994] S. Aguzzoli and D. Mundici, "An algorithmic desingularization of 3-dimensional toric varieties", *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **46**:4 (1994), 557–572. MR Zbl
- [Beck and Robins 2015] M. Beck and S. Robins, *Computing the continuous discretely*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2015. MR Zbl
- [Bouvier and Gonzalez-Sprinberg 1995] C. Bouvier and G. Gonzalez-Sprinberg, "Système générateur minimal, diviseurs essentiels et *G*-désingularisations de variétés toriques", *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **47**:1 (1995), 125–149. MR Zbl
- [Bruns 2007] W. Bruns, "On the integral Carathéodory property", *Experiment. Math.* 16:3 (2007), 359–365. MR Zbl
- [Bruns and Gubeladze 1999] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, "Normality and covering properties of affine semigroups", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **510** (1999), 161–178. MR Zbl
- [Bruns and Gubeladze 2009] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, rings, and K-theory*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Bruns et al. 1999] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze, M. Henk, A. Martin, and R. Weismantel, "A counterexample to an integer analogue of Carathéodory's theorem", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **510** (1999), 179–185. MR Zbl
- [Bruns et al. 2016] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze, and M. Michałek, "Quantum jumps of normal polytopes", *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **56**:1 (2016), 181–215. MR Zbl
- [Carter and Keller 1984] D. Carter and G. Keller, "Elementary expressions for unimodular matrices", *Comm. Algebra* **12**:3-4 (1984), 379–389. MR Zbl
- [Cox et al. 2011] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, and H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **124**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. MR Zbl
- [Gawrilow and Joswig 1997] E. Gawrilow and M. Joswig, "Polymake", 1997, Available at http://polymake.org/doku.php.

- [Miller and Sturmfels 2005] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **227**, Springer, New York, 2005. MR Zbl
- [Quillen 1973] D. Quillen, "Higher algebraic *K*-theory, I", pp. 85–147 in *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories* (Seattle, 1972), edited by H. Bass, Lecture Notes in Math. **341**, Springer, Berlin, 1973. MR Zbl
- [Schrijver 1986] A. Schrijver, *Theory of linear and integer programming*, Wiley, Chichester, 1986. MR Zbl
- [Sebő 1990] A. Sebő, "Hilbert bases, Carathéodory's theorem and combinatorial optimization", pp. 431–455 in *Proceedings of the 1st Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization Conference* (Waterloo, ON, 1990), edited by R. Kannan and W. R. Pulleyblank, Univ. Waterloo Press, 1990.
- [Wachs 2007] M. L. Wachs, "Poset topology: tools and applications", pp. 497–615 in *Geometric combinatorics*, edited by E. Miller et al., IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **13**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR Zbl

Received June 14, 2016. Revised May 29, 2017.

JOSEPH GUBELADZE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY SAN FRANCISCO, CA UNITED STATES

soso@sfsu.edu

MATEUSZ MICHAŁEK FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN GERMANY POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSAW POLAND and MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK IN DEN NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN LEIPZIG GERMANY wajcha2@poczta.onet.pl

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan Mathematics Department National University of Singapore Singapore 119076 matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV. STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALF., SANTA BARBARA Daryl Cooper Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 292 No. 1 January 2018

New characterizations of linear Weingarten spacelike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space	1
LUIS J. ALÍAS, HENRIQUE F. DE LIMA and FÁBIO R. DOS SANTOS	
Cellular structures using U_q -tilting modules	21
HENNING HAAHR ANDERSEN, CATHARINA STROPPEL and DANIEL TUBBENHAUER	
Meridional rank and bridge number for a class of links	61
MICHEL BOILEAU, YEONHEE JANG and RICHARD WEIDMANN	
Pointwise convergence of almost periodic Fourier series and associated series of dilates	81
CHRISTOPHE CUNY and MICHEL WEBER	
The poset of rational cones	103
JOSEPH GUBELADZE and MATEUSZ MICHAŁEK	
Dual mean Minkowski measures and the Grünbaum conjecture for affine diameters	117
QI GUO and GABOR TOTH	
Bordered Floer homology of $(2, 2n)$ -torus link complement	139
JAEPIL LEE	
A Feynman–Kac formula for differential forms on manifolds with boundary and geometric applications	177
Levi Lopes de Lima	
Ore's theorem on cyclic subfactor planar algebras and beyond	203
Sebastien Palcoux	
Divisibility of binomial coefficients and generation of alternating groups	223
JOHN SHARESHIAN and RUSS WOODROOFE	
On rational points of certain affine hypersurfaces	239
Alexander S. Sivatski	

