

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**ORE'S THEOREM ON CYCLIC SUBFACTOR
PLANAR ALGEBRAS AND BEYOND**

SEBASTIEN PALCOUX

ORE'S THEOREM ON CYCLIC SUBFACTOR PLANAR ALGEBRAS AND BEYOND

SEBASTIEN PALCOUX

Ore proved that a finite group is cyclic if and only if its subgroup lattice is distributive. Now, since every subgroup of a cyclic group is normal, we call a subfactor planar algebra cyclic if all its biprojections are normal and form a distributive lattice. The main result generalizes one side of Ore's theorem and shows that a cyclic subfactor is singly generated in the sense that there is a minimal 2-box projection generating the identity biprojection. We conjecture that this result holds without assuming the biprojections to be normal, and we show that this is true for small lattices. We finally exhibit a dual version of another theorem of Ore and a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of irreducible components for a faithful complex representation of a finite group.

1. Introduction	203
2. Ore's theorem on finite groups	205
3. Subfactor planar algebras and biprojections	207
4. Ore's theorem on subfactor planar algebras	209
5. Extension for small distributive lattices	214
6. Applications	217
Acknowledgments	220
References	220

1. Introduction

Vaughan Jones [1983] proved that the set of possible values for the index $|M : N|$ of a subfactor ($N \subseteq M$) is

$$\left\{ 4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \mid n \geq 3 \right\} \sqcup [4, \infty].$$

We observe that it is the disjoint union of a discrete series and a continuous series. Moreover, $|M : N| = |M : P| \cdot |P : N|$ for a given intermediate subfactor $N \subseteq P \subseteq M$, therefore by applying a kind of Eratosthenes sieve, we get that a subfactor with

MSC2010: primary 46L37; secondary 06D10, 16W30, 20C15, 20D30.

Keywords: von Neumann algebra, subfactor, planar algebra, biprojection, distributive lattice, finite group, representation.

an index in the discrete series or in the interval $(4, 8)$, except the countable set of numbers composed of numbers in the discrete series, can't have a nontrivial intermediate subfactor. A subfactor without nontrivial intermediate subfactor is called maximal [Bisch 1994]. For example, any subfactor of index in $(4, 3 + \sqrt{5})$ is maximal; A_∞ excepted there are exactly 19 irreducible subfactor planar algebras for this interval (see [Jones et al. 2014; Afzaly et al. 2015]). The first example is the Haagerup subfactor [Peters 2010]. Thanks to Galois correspondence [Nakamura and Takeda 1960], a finite group subfactor, $(R^G \subseteq R)$ or $(R \subseteq R \rtimes G)$, is maximal if and only if it is a prime order cyclic group subfactor (i.e., $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ with p prime). Thus we can say that the maximal subfactors are an extension of the prime numbers.

Question 1.1. *What could be the extension of the natural numbers?*

To answer this question, we need to find a natural class of subfactors, that we will call the “cyclic subfactors”, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) Every maximal subfactor is cyclic.
- (2) A finite group subfactor is cyclic if and only if the group is cyclic.

An old and little-known theorem published in 1938 by the Norwegian mathematician Øystein Ore states that:

Theorem 1.2 [Ore 1938]. *A finite group G is cyclic if and only if its subgroup lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is distributive.*

Firstly, the intermediate subfactor lattice of a maximal subfactor is obviously distributive. Next, by Galois correspondence, the intermediate subfactor lattice of a finite group subfactor is exactly the subgroup lattice (or its reversal) of the group; but distributivity is invariant under reversal, so (1) and (2) hold by Ore's theorem. Now an abelian group, and a fortiori a cyclic group, admits only normal subgroups; but T. Teruya [1998] generalized the notion of normal subgroup by the notion of normal intermediate subfactor, so:

Definition 1.3. A finite index irreducible subfactor is cyclic if all its intermediate subfactors are normal and form a distributive lattice.

Note that an irreducible finite index subfactor $(N \subseteq M)$ admits a finite lattice $\mathcal{L}(N \subseteq M)$ of intermediate subfactors by [Watatani 1996], as for the subgroup lattice of a finite group. Moreover, a finite group subfactor remembers the group by [Jones 1980]. Section 4A exhibits some examples of cyclic subfactors: of course the cyclic group subfactors and the (irreducible finite index) maximal subfactors; moreover, up to equivalence, exactly 23279 among 34503 inclusions of groups of index < 30 , give a cyclic subfactor. The class of cyclic subfactors is stable under dual, intermediate, free composition and certain tensor products. Now the natural problem concerning cyclic subfactors is to understand in what sense they are “singly generated”. To answer this question, we extend the following theorem of Ore.

Theorem 1.4 [Ore 1938]. *If an interval of finite groups $[H, G]$ is distributive, then there exists $g \in G$ such that $\langle H, g \rangle = G$.*

Theorem 1.5. *An irreducible subfactor planar algebra whose biprojections are central and form a distributive lattice, has a minimal 2-box projection generating the identity biprojection (i.e., w -cyclic subfactor).*

But “normal” means “bicentral”, so a cyclic subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic. The converse is false, a group subfactor $(R^G \subseteq R)$ is cyclic if and only if G is cyclic, and is w -cyclic if and only if G is linearly primitive (consider $G = S_3$). That’s why we have chosen the name w -cyclic (i.e., weakly cyclic). We conjecture that [Theorem 1.5](#) holds without the assumption that the biprojections are central.

Conjecture 1.6. *An irreducible subfactor planar algebra with a distributive biprojection lattice is w -cyclic.*

This is true if the lattice has less than 32 elements (and so, at index < 32). Now the group-theoretic reformulation of [Conjecture 1.6](#) for the planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(R^G \subseteq R^H)$, gives a dual version of [Theorem 1.4](#).

Conjecture 1.7. *If the interval of finite groups $[H, G]$ is distributive then there exists an irreducible complex representation V of G such that $G_{(V^H)} = H$.*

In general, we deduce a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of minimal central projections generating the identity biprojection. For $\mathcal{P}(R^G \subseteq R)$, this gives a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of irreducible components for a faithful complex representation of G . This is a bridge linking combinatorics and representations in the theory of finite groups. This paper is a short version of [\[Palcoux 2015\]](#).

2. Ore’s theorem on finite groups

2A. Basics in lattice theory. A lattice (L, \wedge, \vee) is a poset L in which every two elements a, b have a unique supremum (or join) $a \vee b$, and a unique infimum (or meet) $a \wedge b$. Let G be a finite group. The set of subgroups $K \subseteq G$ forms a lattice, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(G)$, ordered by \subseteq , with $K_1 \vee K_2 = \langle K_1, K_2 \rangle$ and $K_1 \wedge K_2 = K_1 \cap K_2$. A sublattice of (L, \wedge, \vee) is a subset $L' \subseteq L$ such that (L', \wedge, \vee) is also a lattice. Consider $a, b \in L$ with $a \leq b$, then the interval $[a, b]$ is the sublattice $\{c \in L \mid a \leq c \leq b\}$. Any finite lattice admits a minimum and a maximum, denoted by $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$. An atom is a minimal element in $L \setminus \{\hat{0}\}$ and a coatom is a maximal element in $L \setminus \{\hat{1}\}$. The top interval of a finite lattice L is the interval $[t, \hat{1}]$, with t the meet of all the coatoms. The height of a finite lattice L is the greatest length of a (strict) chain. A lattice is distributive if the join and meet operations distribute over each other.

Remark 2.1. Distributivity is stable under taking a sublattice, reversal, direct product and concatenation.

A distributive lattice is called boolean if any element b admits a unique complement b^c (i.e., $b \wedge b^c = \hat{0}$ and $b \vee b^c = \hat{1}$). The subset lattice of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with union and intersection, is called the boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n of rank n . Any finite boolean lattice is isomorphic to some \mathcal{B}_n .

Lemma 2.2. *The top interval of a finite distributive lattice is boolean.*

Proof. See [Stanley 2012, items a–i, pages 254–255] which use Birkhoff’s representation theorem, which states a finite lattice is distributive if and only if it embeds into some \mathcal{B}_n . \square

A lattice with a boolean top interval will be called *top boolean* (and its reversal, *bottom boolean*). See [Stanley 2012] for more details on lattice basics.

2B. Ore’s theorem on distributive intervals of finite groups. Øystein Ore [1938, Theorem 4, page 267] proved the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *A finite group G is cyclic if and only if its subgroup lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is distributive.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow): This is just a particular case of Theorem 2.5 with $H = \{e\}$.

(\Rightarrow): A finite cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ has exactly one subgroup of order d , denoted by \mathbb{Z}/d , for every divisor d of n . Now $\mathbb{Z}/d_1 \vee \mathbb{Z}/d_2 = \mathbb{Z}/\text{lcm}(d_1, d_2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}/d_1 \wedge \mathbb{Z}/d_2 = \mathbb{Z}/\text{gcd}(d_1, d_2)$, but the lcm and gcd distribute over each other, so the result follows. \square

Definition 2.4. An interval of finite groups $[H, G]$ is said to be H -cyclic if there is $g \in G$ such that $\langle H, g \rangle = G$. Note that $\langle H, g \rangle = \langle Hg \rangle$.

Ore extended one side of Theorem 2.3 to the interval of finite groups [Ore 1938, Theorem 7] for which we will give our own proof (which is a group-theoretic reformulation of the proof of Theorem 4.26):

Theorem 2.5. *A distributive interval $[H, G]$ is H -cyclic.*

Proof. The proof follows from the claims below and Lemma 2.2.

Claim: Let M be a maximal subgroup of G . Then $[M, G]$ is M -cyclic.

Proof of claim. For $g \in G$ with $g \notin M$, we have $\langle M, g \rangle = G$ by maximality. \square

Claim: A boolean interval $[H, G]$ is H -cyclic.

Proof of claim. Let M be a coatom in $[H, G]$, and M^c be its complement. By the previous claim and induction on the height of the lattice, we can assume $[H, M]$ and $[H, M^c]$ both to be H -cyclic, i.e., there are $a, b \in G$ such that $\langle H, a \rangle = M$ and $\langle H, b \rangle = M^c$. For $g = ab$, $\langle H, a, g \rangle = \langle H, g, b \rangle = \langle H, a, b \rangle = M \vee M^c = G$, since $a = gb^{-1}$ and $b = a^{-1}g$. Now, $\langle H, g \rangle = \langle H, g \rangle \vee H = \langle H, g \rangle \vee (M \wedge M^c)$ but by distributivity $\langle H, g \rangle \vee (M \wedge M^c) = (\langle H, g \rangle \vee M) \wedge (\langle H, g \rangle \vee M^c)$. So $\langle H, g \rangle = \langle H, a, g \rangle \wedge \langle H, g, b \rangle = G$. The result follows. \square

Claim: $[H, G]$ is H -cyclic if its top interval $[K, G]$ is K -cyclic.

Proof of claim. Consider $g \in G$ with $\langle K, g \rangle = G$. For any coatom $M \in [H, G]$, we have $K \subseteq M$ by definition, and so $g \notin M$, then a fortiori $\langle H, g \rangle \not\subseteq M$. It follows that $\langle H, g \rangle = G$. \square

3. Subfactor planar algebras and biprojections

For the notions of subfactor, subfactor planar algebra and basic properties, we refer to [Jones and Sunder 1997; Jones 1999; Kodiyalam and Sunder 2004]. See also [Palcoux 2015, Section 3] for a short introduction. A subfactor planar algebra is of finite index by definition.

3A. Basics on the 2-box space. Let $(N \subseteq M)$ be a finite index irreducible subfactor. The n -box spaces $\mathcal{P}_{n,+}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,-}$ of the planar algebra $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(N \subseteq M)$, are $N' \cap M_{n-1}$ and $M' \cap M_n$. Let $R(a)$ be the range projection of $a \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. We define the relations $a \leq b$ by $R(a) \leq R(b)$, and $a \sim b$ by $R(a) = R(b)$. Let $e_1 := e_N^M$ and $\text{id} := e_M^M$ be the Jones and the identity projections in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. Note that $\text{tr}(e_1) = |M : N|^{-1} = \delta^{-2}$ and $\text{tr}(\text{id}) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{P}_{2,\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2,\mp}$ be the Fourier transform (90° rotation), $\bar{a} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(a))$ be the contragredient of $a \in \mathcal{P}_{2,\pm}$, and $a * b = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(a) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}(b))$ be the coproduct of $a, b \in \mathcal{P}_{2,\pm}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let a, b, c, d be positive operators of $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. Then*

- (1) $a * b$ is also positive,
- (2) $[a \leq b \text{ and } c \leq d] \Rightarrow a * c \leq b * d$,
- (3) $a \leq b \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$,
- (4) $a \sim b \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$.

Proof. This is precisely [Liu 2016, Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.8] for (1) and (2). Next, if $a \leq b$, then by (2), for any integer k , $a^{*k} \leq b^{*k}$, and hence for all n ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a^{*k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n b^{*k},$$

so $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$ by Definition 3.8. Finally, (4) is immediate from (3). \square

The next lemma follows by irreducibility (i.e., $\mathcal{P}_{1,+} = \mathbb{C}$).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ be projections. Then*

$$e_1 \leq p * \bar{q} \Leftrightarrow pq \neq 0.$$

Note that if $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ is a projection then \bar{p} is also a projection.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ be projections with $c \leq a * b$. Then there exist $a' \leq c * \bar{b}$ and $b' \leq \bar{a} * c$ such that a', b' are projections and $aa', bb' \neq 0$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, and

$$e_1 \preceq c * \bar{c} \preceq (a * b) * \bar{c} = a * (b * \bar{c}).$$

We can also apply [Liu 2016, Lemma 4.10]. \square

3B. On the biprojections.

Definition 3.4 [Liu 2016, Definition 2.14]. A biprojection is a projection $b \in \mathcal{P}_{2,\pm}$ with $\mathcal{F}(b)$ a multiple of a projection.

Note that $e_1 = e_N^M$ and $\text{id} = e_M^M$ are biprojections.

Theorem 3.5 [Bisch 1994, page 212]. A projection b is a biprojection if and only if it is the Jones projection e_K^M of an intermediate subfactor $N \subseteq K \subseteq M$.

Therefore the set of biprojections is a lattice of the form $[e_1, \text{id}]$.

Theorem 3.6. An operator b is a biprojection if and only if

$$e_1 \leq b = b^2 = b^* = \bar{b} = \lambda b * b, \text{ with } \lambda^{-1} = \delta \text{tr}(b).$$

Proof. See [Landau 2002, items 0–3, page 191] and [Liu 2016, Theorem 4.12]. \square

Lemma 3.7. Consider $a_1, a_2, b \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ with b a biprojection. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (b \cdot a_1 \cdot b) * (b \cdot a_2 \cdot b) &= b \cdot (a_1 * (b \cdot a_2 \cdot b)) \cdot b = b \cdot ((b \cdot a_1 \cdot b) * a_2) \cdot b, \\ (b * a_1 * b) \cdot (b * a_2 * b) &= b * (a_1 \cdot (b * a_2 * b)) * b = b * ((b * a_1 * b) \cdot a_2) * b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By exchange relations [Landau 2002] for b and $\mathcal{F}(b)$. \square

Definition 3.8. Consider $a \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ positive, and let p_n be the range projection of $\sum_{k=1}^n a^{*k}$. By finiteness, there exists N such that for all $m \geq N$, $p_m = p_N$, which is a biprojection [Liu 2016, Lemma 4.14], denoted $\langle a \rangle$, called the biprojection generated by a . It is the smallest biprojection $b \succeq a$. For S a finite set of positive operators, let $\langle S \rangle$ be the biprojection $\langle \sum_{s \in S} s \rangle$, it is the smallest biprojection b such that $b \succeq s$, for all $s \in S$.

3C. Intermediate planar algebras and 2-box spaces. Let $N \subseteq K \subseteq M$ be an intermediate subfactor. The planar algebras $\mathcal{P}(N \subseteq K)$ and $\mathcal{P}(K \subseteq M)$ can be derived from $\mathcal{P}(N \subseteq M)$, see [Bakshi 2016; Landau 1998].

Theorem 3.9. Consider the intermediate subfactors

$$N \subseteq P \subseteq K \subseteq Q \subseteq M.$$

Then there are two isomorphisms of von Neumann algebras

$$\begin{aligned} l_K : \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(N \subseteq K) &\rightarrow e_K^M \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(N \subseteq M) e_K^M, \\ r_K : \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(K \subseteq M) &\rightarrow e_K^M * \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(N \subseteq M) * e_K^M, \end{aligned}$$

for the usual $+$, \times and $()^*$, such that

$$l_K(e_P^K) = e_P^M \quad \text{and} \quad r_K(e_Q^M) = e_Q^M.$$

Moreover, the coproduct $*$ is also preserved by these maps, but up to a multiplicative constant, $|M : K|^{1/2}$ for l_K and $|K : N|^{-1/2}$ for r_K . Then, for all $m \in \{l_K^{\pm 1}, r_K^{\pm 1}\}$, and for all $a_i > 0$ in the domain of m , $m(a_i) > 0$ and

$$\langle m(a_1), \dots, m(a_n) \rangle = m(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle).$$

Proof. This is clear from [Bakshi 2016] or [Landau 1998], using Lemma 3.7. \square

Notations 3.10. Let $b_1 \leq b \leq b_2$ be the biprojections $e_P^M \leq e_K^M \leq e_Q^M$. We define $l_b := l_K$ and $r_b := r_K$; also $\mathcal{P}(b_1, b_2) := \mathcal{P}(P \subseteq Q)$ and

$$|b_2 : b_1| := \text{tr}(b_2) / \text{tr}(b_1) = |Q : P|.$$

4. Ore's theorem on subfactor planar algebras

4A. The cyclic subfactor planar algebras. In this subsection, we define the class of cyclic subfactor planar algebras, we show that it contains plenty of examples, and we prove that it is stable under dual, intermediate, free composition and certain tensor products. Let \mathcal{P} be an irreducible subfactor planar algebra.

Definition 4.1 [Teruya 1998]. A biprojection b is normal if it is bicentral (that is, if b and $\mathcal{F}(b)$ are central).

Definition 4.2. An irreducible subfactor planar algebra is said to be

- distributive if its biprojection lattice is distributive,
- Dedekind if all its biprojections are normal,
- cyclic if it is both Dedekind and distributive.

Moreover, we call a subfactor cyclic if its planar algebra is cyclic.

Examples 4.3. A group subfactor is cyclic if and only if the group is cyclic; every maximal subfactor is cyclic, in particular every 2-supertransitive subfactor, as the Haagerup subfactor [Asaeda and Haagerup 1999; Izumi 2001; Peters 2010], is cyclic. Up to equivalence, exactly 23279 among 34503 inclusions of groups of index < 30 , give a cyclic subfactor (more than 65%).

Definition 4.4. Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup. The core H_G is the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H . The subgroup H is called core-free if $H_G = \{1\}$; in this case the interval $[H, G]$ is also called core-free. Two intervals of finite groups $[A, B]$ and $[C, D]$ are called equivalent if there is a group isomorphism $\phi : B/A_B \rightarrow D/C_D$ such that $\phi(A/A_B) = C/C_D$.

Remark 4.5. A finite group subfactor remembers the group [Jones 1980], but a finite group-subgroup subfactor does not remember the equivalence class of the interval in general. A counterexample was found by V. S. Sunder and V. Kodyalam [2000], the intervals $[\langle(1234)\rangle, S_4]$ and $[\langle(12)(34)\rangle, S_4]$ are not equivalent whereas their corresponding subfactors are isomorphic; but thanks to the complete characterization by M. Izumi [2002], it remembers the interval in the maximal case, because the intersection of a core-free maximal subgroup with an abelian normal subgroup is trivial.

Theorem 4.6. *The free composition of irreducible finite index subfactors has no extra intermediate.*

Proof. See [Liu 2016, Theorem 2.22]. \square

Corollary 4.7. *The class of finite index irreducible cyclic subfactors is stable under free composition.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, the intermediate subfactor lattice of a free composition is the concatenation of the lattice of the two components (see also Remark 2.1). By Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.7, the biprojections remain normal. \square

The following theorem was proved in the 2-supertransitive case by Y. Watatani [1996, Proposition 5.1]. The general case was conjectured by the author, but specified and proved after a discussion with F. Xu.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $(N_i \subset M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be irreducible finite index subfactors. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(N_1 \subset M_1) \times \mathcal{L}(N_2 \subset M_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(N_1 \otimes N_2 \subset M_1 \otimes M_2)$$

if and only if there are intermediate subfactors $N_i \subseteq P_i \subset Q_i \subseteq M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $(P_i \subset Q_i)$ is of depth 2 and isomorphic to $(R^{\mathbb{A}_i} \subset R)$, with $\mathbb{A}_2 \simeq \mathbb{A}_1^{\text{cop}}$ being the (very simple) Kac algebra \mathbb{A}_1 with the opposite coproduct.

Proof. Consider the intermediate subfactors

$$N_1 \otimes N_2 \subseteq P_1 \otimes P_2 \subset R \subset Q_1 \otimes Q_2 \subseteq M_1 \otimes M_2$$

with R not of tensor product form, $P_1 \otimes P_2$ and $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ the closest (below and above, respectively) to R among those of tensor product form. Now using [Xu 2013, Proposition 3.5(2)], $(P_i \subseteq Q_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are of depth 2, their corresponding Kac algebras, \mathbb{A}_i , $i = 1, 2$, are very simple and $\mathbb{A}_2 \simeq \mathbb{A}_1^{\text{cop}}$ [Xu 2013, Definition 3.6 and Proposition 3.10]. The converse is given by [Xu 2013, Theorem 3.14]. \square

Remark 4.9. By Theorem 4.8 and Remark 2.1, the class of (finite index irreducible) cyclic subfactors is stable under certain tensor products (i.e., if there is no coproisomorphic intermediate of depth 2), and by Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.7, the biprojections remain normal.

Lemma 4.10. *If a subfactor is cyclic then the intermediate and dual subfactors are also cyclic.*

Proof. The proof follows from [Remark 2.1](#), [Theorem 3.9](#) and [Lemma 3.7](#). □

A subfactor as $(R \subseteq R \rtimes G)$ or $(R^G \subseteq R)$ is called a “group subfactor”. Then, the following lemma justifies the choice of the word “cyclic”.

Lemma 4.11. *A cyclic “group subfactor” is a “cyclic group” subfactor.*

Proof. By Galois correspondence, if a “group subfactor” is cyclic then the subgroup lattice is distributive, and so the group is cyclic by Ore’s [Theorem 2.3](#). The normal biprojections of a group subfactor corresponds to the normal subgroups [[Teruya 1998](#)], but every subgroup of a cyclic group is normal. □

Problem 4.12. Is a depth 2 irreducible finite index cyclic subfactor, a cyclic group subfactor?

The answer could be “no” because the following fusion ring (first reported in [[Palcoux 2013](#)]), the first known to be simple integral and nontrivial, *could be* the Grothendieck ring of a “maximal” Kac algebra of dimension 210 and type $(1, 5, 5, 5, 6, 7, 7)$.

1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1
0 1 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1
0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1 1 1	1 1 1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1 1 1	0 1 0 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1
0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 1 1 1	1 0 1 1 0 1 1	0 1 1 0 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1
0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 0 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 0 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 2 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 2
0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 2 1	1 1 1 1 2 1 2	0 1 1 1 1 2 2
0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 2	0 1 1 1 1 2 2	1 1 1 1 2 2 2

4B. The w-cyclic subfactor planar algebras. Let \mathcal{P} be an irreducible subfactor planar algebra.

Theorem 4.13. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ be a minimal central projection. Then there exists a minimal projection $v \leq p$ such that $\langle v \rangle = \langle p \rangle$.*

Proof. If p is a minimal projection, then the theorem clearly holds. Else, let b_1, \dots, b_n be the coatoms of $[e_1, \langle p \rangle]$ (n is finite by [[Watatani 1996](#)]). If $p \not\leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$, then there exists $u \leq p$, a minimal projection such that $u \not\leq b_i$ for all i , so that $\langle u \rangle = \langle p \rangle$. If not, $p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ (with $n > 1$, otherwise $p \leq b_1$ and $\langle p \rangle \leq b_1$, a contradiction). Let $E_i = \text{range}(b_i)$ and $F = \text{range}(p)$, then $F = \sum_i E_i \cap F$ (because p is a minimal central projection) with $1 < n < \infty$ and $E_i \cap F \subsetneq F$ for all i (otherwise there exists i with $p \leq b_i$, a contradiction), so $\dim(E_i \cap F) < \dim(F)$ and there exists $U \subseteq F$, a one-dimensional subspace such that $U \not\subseteq E_i \cap F$ for all i , and so a fortiori $U \not\subseteq E_i$ for all i . It follows that $u = p_U \leq p$ is a minimal projection such that $\langle u \rangle = \langle p \rangle$. □

Thanks to [Theorem 4.13](#), we can give the following definition:

Definition 4.14. The planar algebra \mathcal{P} is weakly cyclic (or w-cyclic) if it satisfies one of the following equivalent assertions:

- There exists a minimal projection $u \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ such that $\langle u \rangle = \text{id}$.
- There exists a minimal central projection $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ such that $\langle p \rangle = \text{id}$.

We call a subfactor w-cyclic if its planar algebra is w-cyclic.

The following remark justifies the choice of the word “w-cyclic”.

Remark 4.15. By [Corollary 6.12](#), a finite group subfactor $(R^G \subset R)$ is w-cyclic if and only if G is linearly primitive, which is strictly weaker than cyclic (see for example S_3), nevertheless the notion of w-cyclic is a singly generated notion in the sense that “there is a minimal projection generating the identity biprojection”. We can also see the weakness of this assumption by the fact that the minimal projection does not necessarily generate a basis for the set of positive operators, but just the support of it, i.e., the identity.

Question 4.16. *Is a cyclic subfactor planar algebra w-cyclic?*

The answer is “yes” by [Theorem 4.27](#).

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(N \subseteq M)$ be an irreducible subfactor planar algebra. Take an intermediate subfactor $N \subseteq K \subseteq M$ and its biprojection $b = e_K^M$.

Lemma 4.17. *Let \mathcal{A} be a \star -subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. Then any element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is positive in \mathcal{A} if and only if it is positive in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$.*

Proof. If x is positive in \mathcal{A} , it is of the form aa^* , with $a \in \mathcal{A}$, but $a \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ also, so x is positive in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. Conversely, if x is positive in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ then

$$\langle xy|y \rangle = \text{tr}(y^*xy) \geq 0,$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$, so in particular, for any $y \in \mathcal{A}$, which means x is positive in \mathcal{A} . \square

Note that [Lemma 4.17](#) will be applied to $\mathcal{A} = b\mathcal{P}_{2,+}b$ or $b*\mathcal{P}_{2,+}*b$.

Proposition 4.18. *The planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(e_1, b)$ is w-cyclic if and only if there is a minimal projection $u \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ such that $\langle u \rangle = b$.*

Proof. The planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(N \subseteq K)$ is w-cyclic if and only if there is a minimal projection $x \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(N \subseteq K)$ such that $\langle x \rangle = e_K^K$, if and only if $l_K(\langle x \rangle) = l_K(e_K^K)$, if and only if $\langle u \rangle = e_K^M$ (by [Theorem 3.9](#)), with $u = l_K(x)$ a minimal projection in $e_K^M \mathcal{P}_{2,+} e_K^M$ and in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. \square

Lemma 4.19. *For any minimal projection $x \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(b, \text{id})$, $r_b(x)$ is positive and for any minimal projection $v \leq r_b(x)$, there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $b*v*b = \lambda r_b(x)$.*

Proof. Firstly, x is positive, so by [Theorem 3.9](#), $r_b(x)$ is also positive. For any minimal projection $v \leq r_b(x)$, we have $b*v*b \leq r_b(x)$, because

$$b*v*b \leq b*r_b(x)*b = b*b*u*b*b \sim b*u*b = r_b(x),$$

by Lemma 3.1(2) and with $u \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. Now by Lemma 3.1(1), $b * v * b > 0$, so $r_b^{-1}(b * v * b) > 0$ also, and by Theorem 3.9,

$$r_b^{-1}(b * v * b) \preceq x.$$

But x is a minimal projection, so by positivity, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$r_b^{-1}(b * v * b) = \lambda x.$$

It follows that $b * v * b = \lambda r_b(x)$. □

Lemma 4.20. *Consider $v \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ positive. Then $\langle b * v * b \rangle = \langle b, v \rangle$.*

Proof. Firstly, by Definition 3.8, $b * v * b \preceq \langle b, v \rangle$, so $\langle b * v * b \rangle \preceq \langle b, v \rangle$, by Lemma 3.1(3). Next $e_1 \preceq b$ and $x * e_1 = e_1 * x = \delta^{-1}x$, so

$$v = \delta^2 e_1 * v * e_1 \preceq b * v * b.$$

Moreover by Theorem 3.6, $\bar{v} \preceq \langle b * v * b \rangle$, but by Lemma 3.2,

$$\bar{v} * b * v * b \succeq \bar{v} * e_1 * v * b \sim \bar{v} * v * b \succeq e_1 * b \sim b.$$

Then $b, v \preceq \langle b * v * b \rangle$, so we also have $\langle b, v \rangle \preceq \langle b * v * b \rangle$. □

Proposition 4.21. *The planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(b, \text{id})$ is w -cyclic if and only if there is a minimal projection $v \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ such that $\langle b, v \rangle = \text{id}$ and $r_b^{-1}(b * v * b)$ is a positive multiple of a minimal projection.*

Proof. The planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(K \subseteq M)$ is w -cyclic if and only if there is a minimal projection $x \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(K \subseteq M)$ such that $\langle x \rangle = e_M^M$, if and only if $r_K(\langle x \rangle) = r_K(e_M^M)$, if and only if $\langle r_K(x) \rangle = e_M^M$ by Theorem 3.9. The result follows by Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20. □

4C. The main result. Let \mathcal{P} be an irreducible subfactor planar algebra.

Lemma 4.22. *A maximal subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic.*

Proof. By maximality $\langle u \rangle = \text{id}$ for any minimal projection $u \neq e_1$. □

Definition 4.23. The top intermediate subfactor planar algebra is the intermediate associated to the top interval of the biprojection lattice.

Lemma 4.24. *An irreducible subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic if its top intermediate is so.*

Proof. Let b_1, \dots, b_n be the coatoms in $[e_1, \text{id}]$ and $t = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i$. By assumption and Proposition 4.21, there is a minimal projection $v \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ with $\langle t, v \rangle = \text{id}$. If there exists i such that $v \preceq b_i$, then $\langle t, v \rangle \preceq b_i$, a contradiction. So $v \not\preceq b_i$ for all i , and then $\langle v \rangle = \text{id}$. □

Definition 4.25. Let $h(\mathcal{P})$ be the height of the biprojection lattice $[e_1, \text{id}]$. Note that $h(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$ because the index is finite.

Theorem 4.26. *If the biprojections in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ are central and form a distributive lattice, then \mathcal{P} is w -cyclic.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, we can make an induction on $h(\mathcal{P})$. If $h(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, then we apply Lemma 4.22. Now suppose that the theorem holds for $h(\mathcal{P}) < n$, we will prove it for $h(\mathcal{P}) = n \geq 2$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 4.24, we can assume the biprojection lattice to be boolean. For b in the open interval (e_1, id) , its complement b^c (see Section 2A) is also in (e_1, id) . By induction and Proposition 4.18, there are minimal projections u, v such that $b = \langle u \rangle$ and $b^c = \langle v \rangle$. Take any minimal projection $c \leq u * v$, then

$$\langle c \rangle = \langle c \rangle \vee e_1 = \langle c \rangle \vee (b \wedge b^c) = \langle c \rangle \vee (\langle u \rangle \wedge \langle v \rangle),$$

so by distributivity

$$\langle c \rangle = (\langle c \rangle \vee \langle u \rangle) \wedge (\langle c \rangle \vee \langle v \rangle) = \langle c, u \rangle \wedge \langle c, v \rangle.$$

Then by Lemma 3.3, $\langle c \rangle = \langle u', c, v \rangle \wedge \langle u, c, v' \rangle$ with u', v' minimal projections and $uu', vv' \neq 0$, so in particular the central support $Z(u') = Z(u)$ and $Z(v') = Z(v)$. Now by assumption, every biprojection is central, so $u \leq Z(u') \leq \langle u', c, v \rangle$ and $v \leq Z(v') \leq \langle u, c, v' \rangle$, so $\langle c \rangle = \text{id}$. \square

Theorem 4.27. *A cyclic subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic.*

Proof. This is immediate by Theorem 4.26 because a normal biprojection is by definition bicentral, so a fortiori central. \square

5. Extension for small distributive lattices

We extend Theorem 4.26 without assuming the biprojections to be central, but for distributive lattices with less than 32 elements. Because the top lattice of a distributive lattice is boolean (Lemma 2.2), we can reduce the proof to \mathcal{B}_n with $n < 5$.

Definition 5.1. An irreducible subfactor planar algebra is said to be boolean (or \mathcal{B}_n) if its biprojection lattice is boolean (of rank n).

Proposition 5.2. *An irreducible subfactor planar algebra such that the coatoms $b_1, \dots, b_n \in [e_1, \text{id}]$ satisfy $\sum_i \frac{1}{|\text{id} : b_i|} \leq 1$, is w -cyclic.*


Proof. Firstly, by Lemmas 4.22 and 4.24, we can assume that $n > 1$. By definition, $|\text{id} : b_i| = \text{tr}(\text{id})/\text{tr}(b_i)$ so by assumption $\sum_i \text{tr}(b_i) \leq \text{tr}(\text{id})$. If $\sum_i b_i \sim \text{id}$ then $\sum_i b_i \geq \text{id}$, but $\sum_i \text{tr}(b_i) \leq \text{tr}(\text{id})$ so $\sum_i b_i = \text{id}$. Now $e_1 \leq b_i$ for all i , therefore $ne_1 \leq \sum_i b_i = \text{id}$, contradiction with $n > 1$. So $\sum_i b_i < \text{id}$, which implies the existence of a minimal projection $u \not\leq b_i$ for all i , which means that $\langle u \rangle = \text{id}$. \square

Remark 5.3. The converse is false, $(R \subset R \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/30)$ is a counterexample, because $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{31}{30} > 1$.

Corollary 5.4. *An irreducible subfactor planar algebra with at most two coatoms in $[e_1, \text{id}]$ is w-cyclic.*

Proof. We have $\sum_i \frac{1}{|\text{id}:b_i|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$, and the result follows by [Proposition 5.2](#). \square

Examples 5.5. Every \mathcal{B}_2 subfactor planar algebra is w-cyclic.



Lemma 5.6. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ be minimal projections. If $v \not\leq \langle u \rangle$ then there exist minimal projections $c \leq u * v$ and $w \leq \bar{u} * c$ such that $w \not\leq \langle u \rangle$.*

Proof. Assume that for all $c \leq u * v$ and for all $w \leq \bar{u} * c$, we have $w \leq \langle u \rangle$. Now there are minimal projections $(c_i)_i$ and $(w_{i,j})_{i,j}$ such that $u * v \sim \sum_i c_i$ and $\bar{u} * c_i \sim \sum_j w_{i,j}$. It follows that $u * v \sim \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} \leq \langle u \rangle$, but

$$v \sim e_1 * v \leq (\bar{u} * u) * v = \bar{u} * (u * v) \leq \langle u \rangle,$$

which is in contradiction with $v \not\leq \langle u \rangle$. \square

For the distributive case, we can upgrade [Proposition 5.2](#) as follows:

Theorem 5.7. *A distributive subfactor planar algebra with coatoms $b_1, \dots, b_n \in [e_1, \text{id}]$ satisfying $\sum_i \frac{1}{|\text{id}:b_i|} \leq 2$, is w-cyclic.*

Proof. By [Lemmas 2.2](#) and [4.24](#), we can assume the subfactor planar algebra to be boolean. If $K := \bigwedge_{i,j,i \neq j} (b_i \wedge b_j)^\perp \neq 0$, then consider $u \leq K$ a minimal projection, and $Z(u)$ its central support. If $\langle Z(u) \rangle = \text{id}$, then we are okay. Otherwise there exists i such that $\langle u \rangle = \langle Z(u) \rangle = b_i$. But b_i^{G} is an atom in $[e_1, \text{id}]$, so there is a minimal projection v such that $b_i^{\text{G}} = \langle v \rangle$. Recall that $b_i \wedge b_i^{\text{G}} = e_1$, so $v \not\leq \langle u \rangle$, and by [Lemma 5.6](#), there are minimal projections $c \leq u * v$ and $w \leq \bar{u} * c$ such that $w \not\leq \langle u \rangle$ (and $\langle u, w \rangle = \text{id}$ by maximality). By [Lemma 3.3](#), there exists $u' \leq c * \bar{u}$ with $Z(u') = Z(u)$ and $u' \not\leq u$, but $u \leq K$ so $u' \not\leq b_i \wedge b_j$ for all $j \neq i$, and now $u' \leq Z(u) \leq b_i$, so $\langle u' \rangle = b_i$. Using distributivity (as for [Theorem 4.26](#)) we conclude

$$\langle c \rangle = \langle u, c \rangle \wedge \langle c, v \rangle \geq \langle u, w \rangle \wedge \langle u', v \rangle = \text{id} \wedge \text{id} = \text{id}.$$

Otherwise $K = 0$, but $(b_i \wedge b_j)^\perp \geq b_j^\perp$ for all i , so $\bigwedge_{j \neq i} b_j^\perp = 0$ for all i . Let p_1, \dots, p_r be the minimal central projections. Then $b_i = \bigoplus_{s=1}^r p_{i,s}$ with $p_{i,s} \leq p_s$ and $p_{i,1} = p_1 = e_1$. Now $b_i^\perp = \bigoplus_{s=1}^r (p_s - p_{i,s})$, so by assumption,

$$0 = \bigwedge_{j \neq i} \bigoplus_{s=1}^r (p_s - p_{j,s}) = \bigoplus_{s=1}^r \bigwedge_{j \neq i} (p_s - p_{j,s}), \quad \text{for all } i.$$

It follows that $p_s = \bigvee_{j \neq i} p_{j,s}$ for all i and s , so $\text{tr}(p_s) \leq \sum_{j \neq i} \text{tr}(p_{j,s})$. Now if there exists s such that $p_{i,s} < p_s$ for all i , then $\langle p_s \rangle = \text{id}$, which is okay; otherwise for all s , there exists i with $p_{i,s} = p_s$, but $\sum_{j \neq i} \text{tr}(p_{j,s}) \geq \text{tr}(p_s)$, so $\sum_j \text{tr}(p_{j,s}) \geq 2 \text{tr}(p_s)$. Then

$$\sum_i \text{tr}(b_i) \geq n \cdot \text{tr}(e_1) + 2 \sum_{s \neq 1} \text{tr}(p_s) = 2 \text{tr}(\text{id}) + (n-2) \text{tr}(e_1).$$

Now $|\text{id} : b_i| = \text{tr}(\text{id}) / \text{tr}(b_i)$, so

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{|\text{id} : b_i|} \geq 2 + \frac{n-2}{|\text{id} : e_1|}$$

which contradicts the assumption, because we can assume $n > 2$ by [Corollary 5.4](#). The result follows. \square

Remark 5.8. The converse is false because there exist w -cyclic distributive subfactor planar algebras with $\sum_i (1/|\text{id} : b_i|) > 2$. For example, the subfactor $(R \rtimes S_2^n \subset R \rtimes S_3^n)$ is w -cyclic and \mathcal{B}_n , but $\sum_i (1/|\text{id} : b_i|) = \frac{n}{3}$.

Corollary 5.9. *Every \mathcal{B}_n subfactor planar algebra with $|\text{id} : b| \geq \frac{n}{2}$, for any coatom $b \in [e_1, \text{id}]$, is w -cyclic. Then for all $n \leq 4$, any \mathcal{B}_n subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic.*

Proof. By assumption (following the notations of [Theorem 5.7](#))

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{|\text{id} : b_i|} \leq \sum_i \frac{2}{n} = 2.$$

But $|\text{id} : b| \geq 2$, so any $n \leq 4$ works. \square

Corollary 5.10. *A distributive subfactor planar algebra having less than 32 biprojections (or of index < 32), is w -cyclic.*

Proof. In this case, the top of $[e_1, \text{id}]$ is boolean of rank $n < 5$, because $32 = 2^5$; the result follows by [Lemma 4.24](#) and [Corollary 5.9](#). \square

Conjecture 5.11. *A distributive subfactor planar algebra is w -cyclic.*

By [Lemmas 2.2](#) and [4.24](#), we can reduce [Conjecture 5.11](#) to the boolean case, and then extend it to the top boolean case.

Remark 5.12. The converse of [Conjecture 5.11](#) is false, because the group S_3 is linearly primitive but not cyclic (see [Corollary 6.12](#)).

Problem 5.13. What is the natural additional assumption (A) such that \mathcal{P} is distributive if and only if it is w -cyclic and satisfies (A)?

Assuming [Conjecture 5.11](#) and using [Remark 2.1](#), we get:

Conjecture 5.14. *For any distributive subfactor planar algebra \mathcal{P} and any biprojection $b \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$, the planar algebras $\mathcal{P}(e_1, b)$, $\mathcal{P}(b, \text{id})$ and their duals are w -cyclic.*

Remark 5.15. The converse is false because the interval $[S_2, S_4]$, proposed by Zhengwei Liu, gives a counterexample.

Remark 5.16. A cyclic subfactor planar algebra satisfies [Conjecture 5.14](#) (thanks to [Theorem 4.27](#) and [Lemma 4.10](#)).

Problem 5.17. Is a Dedekind subfactor planar algebra \mathcal{P} distributive if and only if for any biprojection $b \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$, the planar algebras $\mathcal{P}(e_1, b)$, $\mathcal{P}(b, \text{id})$ and their duals are w-cyclic?

6. Applications

6A. A nontrivial upper bound. For any irreducible subfactor planar algebra \mathcal{P} , we exhibit a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of minimal 2-box projections generating the identity biprojection. We will use the notations of [Section 3C](#).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $b' < b$ be biprojections. If $\mathcal{P}(b', b)$ is w-cyclic, then there is a minimal projection $u \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}$ such that $\langle b', u \rangle = b$.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphisms of von Neumann algebras

$$l_b : \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(e_1, b) \rightarrow b\mathcal{P}_{2,+}b$$

and, with $a = l_b^{-1}(b')$,

$$r_a : \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(b', b) \rightarrow a * \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(e_1, b) * a.$$

Then, by assumption, the planar algebra $\mathcal{P}(b', b)$ is w-cyclic, so by [Proposition 4.21](#), there exists a minimal projection $u' \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(e_1, b)$ such that

$$\langle a, u' \rangle = l_b^{-1}(b).$$

Then by applying the map l_b and [Theorem 3.9](#), we get

$$b = \langle l_b(a), l_b(u') \rangle = \langle b', u \rangle$$

with $u = l_b(u')$ a minimal projection in $b\mathcal{P}_{2,+}b$, so in $\mathcal{P}_{2,+}$. □

Assuming [Conjecture 5.11](#) and using [Lemma 6.1](#), we get a nontrivial upper bound:

Conjecture 6.2. *The minimal number r of minimal projections generating the identity biprojection (i.e., $\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle = \text{id}$) is at most the minimal length ℓ for an ordered chain of biprojections*

$$e_1 = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_\ell = \text{id}$$

such that $[b_i, b_{i+1}]$ is distributive (or better, top boolean).

Remark 6.3. We can deduce some theorems from [Conjecture 6.2](#), by adding some assumptions to $[b_i, b_{i+1}]$, according to [Theorems 4.26](#) or [5.7](#).

Remark 6.4. Let $(N \subset M)$ be any irreducible finite index subfactor. We can deduce a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of (algebraic) irreducible sub- N - N -bimodules of M , generating M as a von Neumann algebra.

6B. Back to the finite groups theory. As applications, we get a dual version of [Theorem 2.5](#), and for any finite group G , we get a nontrivial upper bound for the minimal number of irreducible components for a faithful complex representation. The action of G on the hyperfinite II_1 factor R is always assumed to be outer.

Theorem 6.5 [[Burnside 1911](#), § 226]. *A complex representation V of a finite group G is faithful if and only if any irreducible complex representation W is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $V^{\otimes n}$, for some $n \geq 0$.*

Definition 6.6. A group G is linearly primitive if it admits a faithful irreducible complex representation.

Definition 6.7. Let W be a representation of a group G , K be a subgroup of G , and X be a subspace of W . Let the *fixed-point subspace* be

$$W^K := \{w \in W \mid kw = w, \text{ for all } k \in K\}$$

and the *pointwise stabilizer subgroup*

$$G_{(X)} := \{g \in G \mid gx = x, \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

Definition 6.8. An interval $[H, G]$ is said to be linearly primitive if there is an irreducible complex representation V of G with $G_{(V^H)} = H$.

The group G is linearly primitive if and only if the interval $\{\{e\}, G\}$ is.

Lemma 6.9. *Let H be a core-free subgroup of G . Then G is linearly primitive if $[H, G]$ is so.*

Proof. Take V as above. Now, $V^H \subset V$ so $G_{(V)} \subset G_{(V^H)}$, but $\ker(\pi_V) = G_{(V)}$, so it follows that $\ker(\pi_V) \subset H$, but H is a core-free subgroup of G , and $\ker(\pi_V)$ is a normal subgroup of G , so $\ker(\pi_V) = \{e\}$, which means that V is faithful on G , i.e., G is linearly primitive. □

Lemma 6.10. *Letting $p_x \in \mathcal{P}_{2,+}(R^G \subseteq R)$ be a minimal projection on the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C}x$ and H a subgroup of G , then*

$$p_x \leq b_H := |H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} \pi_V(h) \Leftrightarrow H \subset G_x.$$

Proof. If $p_x \leq b_H$ then $b_H x = x$ and for every $h \in H$ we have that

$$\pi_V(h)x = \pi_V(h)[b_H x] = [\pi_V(h) \cdot b_H]x = b_H x = x$$

which means that $h \in G_x$, and so $H \subset G_x$. Conversely, if $H \subset G_x$ (i.e., $\pi_V(h)x = x$ for every $h \in H$) then $b_H x = x$, which means that $p_x \leq b_H$. □

Theorem 6.11. *Let $[H, G]$ be an interval of finite groups. Then*

- $(R \rtimes H \subseteq R \rtimes G)$ is w -cyclic if and only if $[H, G]$ is H -cyclic.
- $(R^G \subseteq R^H)$ is w -cyclic if and only if $[H, G]$ is linearly primitive.

Proof. By [Proposition 4.21](#), $(R \rtimes H \subseteq R \rtimes G)$ is w -cyclic if and only if there exists a minimal projection u in

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,+}(R \subseteq R \rtimes G) \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C}e_g \simeq \mathbb{C}^G$$

such that $\langle b, u \rangle = \text{id}$, with $b = e_{R \rtimes H}^{R \rtimes G}$, and $r_b^{-1}(b * u * b)$ is a minimal projection if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $\langle H, g \rangle = G$, because u is of the form e_g and $Hg'H = HgH$ for all $g' \in HgH$.

By [Proposition 4.18](#), $(R^G \subseteq R^H)$ is w -cyclic if and only if there exists a minimal projection u in

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,+}(R^G \subseteq R) \simeq \bigoplus_{V_i \text{ irr}} \text{End}(V_i) \simeq \mathbb{C}G$$

such that $\langle u \rangle = e_{R^H}^R$, if and only if, by [Lemma 6.10](#), $H = G_x$ with $u = p_x$ the projection on $\mathbb{C}x \subseteq V_i$ (with $Z(p_x) = p_{V_i}$). Note that $H \subset G_{(V_i^H)} \subset G_x$ so $H = G_{(V_i^H)}$. \square

Corollary 6.12. *The subfactor $(R^G \subseteq R)$ (respectively, $(R \subseteq R \rtimes G)$) is w -cyclic if and only if G is linearly primitive (respectively, cyclic).*

Examples 6.13. The subfactors $(R^{S_4} \subset R^{S_2})$, its dual and $(R^{S_3} \subset R)$, are w -cyclic, but $(R \subset R \rtimes S_3)$ and $(R^{S_4} \subset R^{(1,2)(3,4)})$ are not.

By [Theorem 6.11](#), the group-theoretic reformulation of [Conjecture 5.11](#) on $(R^G \subseteq R^H)$ is the following dual version of [Theorem 2.5](#).

Conjecture 6.14. *Let $[H, G]$ be a distributive interval of finite groups. Then there exists an irreducible complex representation V of G such that $G_{(V^H)} = H$.*

If, moreover, H is core-free, then G is linearly primitive ([Lemma 6.9](#)).

Problem 6.15. Is a finite group G linearly primitive if and only if there is a core-free subgroup H such that the interval $[H, G]$ is bottom boolean?

By [Theorem 6.5](#), [Conjecture 6.2](#) on $\mathcal{P}(R^G \subseteq R)$ reformulates as follows:

Conjecture 6.16. *The minimal number of irreducible components for a faithful complex representation of a finite group G is at most the minimal length ℓ for an ordered chain of subgroups*

$$\{e\} = H_0 < H_1 < \dots < H_\ell = G$$

such that $[H_i, H_{i+1}]$ is distributive (or better, bottom boolean).

This provides a bridge linking combinatorics and representations in the theory of finite groups.

Remark 6.17. We can upgrade [Conjecture 6.16](#) by taking for H_0 any core-free subgroup of H_1 , instead of just $\{e\}$; we can also deduce some theorems, by adding some assumptions to $[H_i, H_{i+1}]$, according to the group-theoretic reformulation of [Theorems 4.27](#) or [5.7](#). Note that a normal biprojection in $\mathcal{P}(R^G \subseteq R^H)$ is given by a subgroup $K \in [H, G]$ with $HgK = KgH$ for all $g \in G$, see [\[Teruya 1998, Proposition 3.3\]](#).

Remark 6.18. We can also formulate results for finite quantum groups (i.e., finite-dimensional Kac algebras), where the biprojections correspond to the left coideal \star -subalgebras, see [\[Izumi et al. 1998, Theorem 4.4\]](#).

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai. The author is grateful to Vaughan Jones, Dietmar Bisch, Scott Morrison and David Evans for their recommendation for a postdoc at the IMS. Thanks to my hosts V.S. Sunder and Vijay Kodiyalam, and to Zhengwei Liu, Feng Xu, Keshab Chandra Bakshi and Mamta Balodi, for useful advice and fruitful exchanges.

References

- [Afzaly et al. 2015] N. Afzaly, S. Morrison, and D. Penneys, “The classification of subfactors with index at most $5\frac{1}{4}$ ”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv](#)
- [Asaeda and Haagerup 1999] M. Asaeda and U. Haagerup, “Exotic subfactors of finite depth with Jones indices $(5 + \sqrt{13})/2$ and $(5 + \sqrt{17})/2$ ”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202**:1 (1999), 1–63. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bakshi 2016] K. C. Bakshi, “Intermediate planar algebra revisited”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Bisch 1994] D. Bisch, “A note on intermediate subfactors”, *Pacific J. Math.* **163**:2 (1994), 201–216. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Burnside 1911] W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1911. [Zbl](#)
- [Izumi 2001] M. Izumi, “The structure of sectors associated with Longo–Rehren inclusions, II: Examples”, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**:5 (2001), 603–674. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Izumi 2002] M. Izumi, “Characterization of isomorphic group-subgroup subfactors”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2002**:34 (2002), 1791–1803. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Izumi et al. 1998] M. Izumi, R. Longo, and S. Popa, “A Galois correspondence for compact groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras with a generalization to Kac algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **155**:1 (1998), 25–63. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jones 1980] V. F. R. Jones, *Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite type II_1 factor*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **237**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jones 1983] V. F. R. Jones, “Index for subfactors”, *Invent. Math.* **72**:1 (1983), 1–25. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jones 1999] V. F. R. Jones, “Planar algebras, I”, 1999. To appear in *New Zealand J. Math.* [Zbl](#) [arXiv](#)
- [Jones and Sunder 1997] V. F. R. Jones and V. S. Sunder, *Introduction to subfactors*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **234**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Jones et al. 2014] V. F. R. Jones, S. Morrison, and N. Snyder, “The classification of subfactors of index at most 5”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **51**:2 (2014), 277–327. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kodiyalam and Sunder 2000] V. Kodiyalam and V. S. Sunder, “The subgroup-subfactor”, *Math. Scand.* **86**:1 (2000), 45–74. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kodiyalam and Sunder 2004] V. Kodiyalam and V. S. Sunder, “On Jones’ planar algebras”, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **13**:2 (2004), 219–247. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Landau 1998] Z. A. Landau, *Intermediate subfactors*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1998, Available at <https://search.proquest.com/docview/304420808>.
- [Landau 2002] Z. A. Landau, “Exchange relation planar algebras”, *Geom. Dedicata* **95** (2002), 183–214. [MR](#)
- [Liu 2016] Z. Liu, “Exchange relation planar algebras of small rank”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368**:12 (2016), 8303–8348. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakamura and Takeda 1960] M. Nakamura and Z. Takeda, “On the fundamental theorem of the Galois theory for finite factors”, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **36** (1960), 313–318. [MR](#)
- [Ore 1938] O. Ore, “Structures and group theory, II”, *Duke Math. J.* **4**:2 (1938), 247–269. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Palcoux 2013] S. Palcoux, “Non-‘weakly group theoretical’ integral fusion categories?”, message board post, 2013, Available at <http://mathoverflow.net/q/132866>.
- [Palcoux 2015] S. Palcoux, “Ore’s theorem for cyclic subfactor planar algebras and applications”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv](#)
- [Peters 2010] E. Peters, “A planar algebra construction of the Haagerup subfactor”, *Internat. J. Math.* **21**:8 (2010), 987–1045. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Stanley 2012] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics, I*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **49**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Teruya 1998] T. Teruya, “Normal intermediate subfactors”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **50**:2 (1998), 469–490. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Watatani 1996] Y. Watatani, “Lattices of intermediate subfactors”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **140**:2 (1996), 312–334. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Xu 2013] F. Xu, “On a problem about tensor products of subfactors”, *Adv. Math.* **246** (2013), 128–143. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received February 5, 2017. Revised July 26, 2017.

SEBASTIEN PALCOUX
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
CHENNAI 600113
INDIA
sebastienpalcoux@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 292 No. 1 January 2018

New characterizations of linear Weingarten spacelike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space	1
LUIS J. ALÍAS, HENRIQUE F. DE LIMA and FÁBIO R. DOS SANTOS	
Cellular structures using U_q -tilting modules	21
HENNING HAAHR ANDERSEN, CATHARINA STROPPEL and DANIEL TUBBENHAUER	
Meridional rank and bridge number for a class of links	61
MICHEL BOILEAU, YEONHEE JANG and RICHARD WEIDMANN	
Pointwise convergence of almost periodic Fourier series and associated series of dilates	81
CHRISTOPHE CUNY and MICHEL WEBER	
The poset of rational cones	103
JOSEPH GUBELADZE and MATEUSZ MICHAŁEK	
Dual mean Minkowski measures and the Grünbaum conjecture for affine diameters	117
QI GUO and GABOR TOTH	
Bordered Floer homology of $(2, 2n)$ -torus link complement	139
JAEPIIL LEE	
A Feynman–Kac formula for differential forms on manifolds with boundary and geometric applications	177
LEVI LOPES DE LIMA	
Ore’s theorem on cyclic subfactor planar algebras and beyond	203
SEBASTIEN PALCOUX	
Divisibility of binomial coefficients and generation of alternating groups	223
JOHN SHARESHIAN and RUSS WOODROOFE	
On rational points of certain affine hypersurfaces	239
ALEXANDER S. SIVATSKI	