# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE DIFFERENTIABILITY ISSUE OF THE DRIFT-DIFFUSION EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL LÉVY-TYPE DIFFUSION

LIUTANG XUE AND ZHUAN YE

Volume 293 No. 2 April 2018

# ON THE DIFFERENTIABILITY ISSUE OF THE DRIFT-DIFFUSION EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL LÉVY-TYPE DIFFUSION

#### LIUTANG XUE AND ZHUAN YE

We investigate the differentiability property of the drift-diffusion equation with nonlocal Lévy-type diffusion at either supercritical- or critical-type cases. Under the suitable conditions on the velocity field and the forcing term in terms of the spatial Hölder regularity, and for the initial data without regularity assumption, we show the a priori differentiability estimates for any positive time. If additionally the velocity field is divergence-free, we also prove that the vanishing viscosity weak solution is differentiable with some Hölder continuous derivatives for any positive time.

#### 1. Introduction

We consider the following drift-diffusion equation with nonlocal diffusion:

(1-1) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta + \mathcal{L}\theta = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta$  is a scalar function, u is a velocity vector field of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and f is a scalar function as the forcing term. The nonlocal diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by

(1-2) 
$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x) - g(x+y)) K(y) \, dy,$$

where the symmetric kernel function K(y) = K(-y) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  satisfies

(1-3) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \min\{1, |y|^2\} |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \le c_1,$$

and there exist two constants  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $\sigma \in [0, \alpha)$  such that

(1-4) 
$$\frac{c_2^{-1}}{|y|^{d+\alpha-\sigma}} \le K(y) \le \frac{c_2}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} for all 0 < |y| \le 1,$$

Zhuan Ye is the corresponding author.

MSC2010: 35B65, 35Q35, 35R11, 35K99.

*Keywords:* Drift-diffusion equation, differentiability, Lévy-type operator, fractional Laplacian operator, smoothness.

with  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 \ge 1$  two absolute constants. In the sequel we also consider the special case that K satisfies the nonnegative condition

(1-5) 
$$K(y) \ge 0$$
 for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ .

By taking the Fourier transform on  $\mathcal{L}$ , we get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}\theta}(\xi) = A(\xi)\widehat{\theta}(\xi),$$

where the symbol  $A(\xi)$  is given by the Lévy–Khinchin formula

(1-6) 
$$A(\xi) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(x \cdot \xi)) K(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The nonlocal diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by (1-2) with the symmetric kernel K satisfying (1-3)–(1-4) corresponds to the stable-type Lévy operator, which is the infinitesimal generator of the stable-type Lévy process (see [Chen et al. 2015; Sato 1999]). If  $\sigma=0$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is referred to as the stable-like Lévy operator, and in recent years many deep works have been devoted to studying various regularity problems concerning this diffusion operator (one can see [Komatsu 1995; Husseini and Kassmann 2007; Kassmann 2009; Caffarelli et al. 2011; Caffarelli and Silvestre 2011; Maekawa and Miura 2013; Dabkowski et al. 2014]). The typical example of the stable-like Lévy operator is the fractional Laplacian operator  $|D|^{\alpha} := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  ( $\alpha \in ]0, 2[$ ), which has the following expression formula:

(1-7) 
$$|D|^{\alpha}\theta(x) = c_{d,\alpha} \text{ p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(x+y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

with  $c_{d,\alpha} > 0$  some absolute constant. The operator  $\mathcal{L} = |D|^{\alpha} := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  ( $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ) is the infinitesimal generator of the symmetric stable Lévy process (see [Sato 1999]), and recently has been intensely considered in many theoretical problems. If  $\sigma \neq 0$ , the stable-type Lévy operator can contain more general diffusion operators. An important class is the following multiplier operators  $\mathcal{L} = A(D) = A(|D|)$  defined by

(1-8) 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{|D|^{\alpha}}{(\log(\lambda + |D|))^{\mu}}, \quad (\alpha \in (0, 1], \ \mu \ge 0, \ \lambda > 0),$$

and one can refer to [Dabkowski et al. 2014, Lemmas 5.1–5.2] for more details on the assumptions on  $A(\xi)$  so that the kernel K satisfies (1-3)–(1-4) (the condition (1-5) can also be satisfied under some additional assumption on  $A(\xi)$ , see [Hmidi 2011; Miao and Xue 2015]). Recently, the logarithmic diffusion operator defined by (1-8) in many systems has attracted a lot of attention and has been variously studied (e.g., [Tao 2009; Hmidi 2011; Chae and Wu 2012; Dabkowski et al. 2014; Miao and Xue 2015]). One can also refer to [Chen et al. 2015, Example 4.2] for other important classes of stable-type Lévy operators.

Recalling that for the drift-diffusion equation (1-1) with  $\mathcal{L} = |D|^{\alpha}$ , we conventionally call the cases  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha > 1$  supercritical, critical and subcritical cases, respectively. Thus the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by (1-2) under the kernel conditions (1-3)–(1-4) can be viewed as the critical- and supercritical-type cases and they are the main concern in this paper.

For the drift-diffusion equation (1-1) with the fractional Laplacian operator  $\mathcal{L}=|D|^{\alpha}$ , if the velocity field is divergence-free, the  $C^{1,\gamma}$ -regularity improvement of weak solutions was obtained by Constantin and Wu [2008] by using the Bony's paradifferential calculus. Partially motivated by that work, without the divergence-free restriction on the velocity, Silvestre [2012b] considered the supercritical and critical cases ( $\alpha \in (0,1]$ ), and proved the interior  $C^{1,\gamma}$ -regularity of the solution provided that u and f belong to  $L_t^{\infty}C_x^{1-\alpha+\gamma}$  ( $\gamma \in (0,\alpha)$ ), more precisely, the author showed the following regularity estimate:

$$(1-9) \ \|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([-1/2,0];C^{1,\gamma}(B_{1/2}))} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}([-1,0]\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}([-1,0];C^{1-\alpha+\gamma}(B_1))}),$$

where C>0 depends only on d,  $\alpha$  and  $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}([-1,0];C^{1-\alpha+\gamma})}$ . The proof is by a locally approximate procedure where an extension derived in [Caffarelli and Silvestre 2007] plays a key role. For the drift-diffusion equation (1-1) with more general diffusion operator, so far there are not many such differentiability results. We here only mention a related work [Chen et al. 2015], where the authors considered the backward drift-diffusion equation

$$(1-10) \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta - (\mathcal{L} + \lambda)\theta = f, \quad \theta|_{t=1}(x) = 0, \quad \lambda \ge 0,$$

with  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by (1-2)–(1-4) (in fact slightly more general Lévy operator  $\mathcal{L}$  considered there), and by applying a purely probabilistic method, the authors proved the  $C^{1,\gamma}$ -regularity of a continuous solution  $\theta:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$  under the conditions that u and f are  $C^\delta_x$ -Hölder continuous ( $\delta\in(1-\alpha+\sigma,1)$ ) for each time.

If we slightly lower the regularity index in the assumption of u and f, the solution of the equations (1-1)–(1-2) may in general not have such a differentiable regularity. For the drift-diffusion equation (1-1) with  $\mathcal{L} = |D|^{\alpha}$ , Silvestre [2012a] proved that if  $u \in L_t^{\infty} \dot{C}_x^{1-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and  $u \in L_{t,x}^{\infty}$  for  $\alpha = 1$ , and if  $f \in L_{t,x}^{\infty}$ , then the bounded solution becomes Hölder continuous for any positive time. For the drift-diffusion equation (1-1) with stable-like Lévy operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , and under the divergence-free condition of u, we refer to [Chamorro and Menozzi 2016] for a similar improvement to Hölder continuous solutions (see also [Maekawa and Miura 2013] for a related result). Note that the condition  $u \in L_t^{\infty} \dot{C}^{1-\alpha}$  is invariant under the scaling transformation  $u(x,t) \mapsto \lambda^{\alpha-1} u(\lambda^{\alpha} t, \lambda x)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . If we further weaken the regularity condition on u in the supercritical case, the solution of (1-1)–(1-2) may not even be continuous, indeed, as proved by Silvestre, Vicol and Zlatoš in [Silvestre et al. 2013], there is a divergence-free drift  $u \in L_t^{\infty} C_x^{\delta}$  with

 $\delta < 1 - \alpha$  so that the solution of the equation (1-1) with  $\mathcal{L} = |D|^{\alpha}$  and f = 0 forms a discontinuity starting from smooth initial data.

In this paper, we are concerned with the differentiability property of the system (1-1)–(1-2), and if the velocity field u is divergence free, we consider the differentiability of weak solutions, which is derived by passing to a limit of the approximate system, while if u is not divergence free, we only consider the a priori differentiability estimate. We impose no regularity assumption on the nonzero initial data, and we generalize the result of Silvestre [2012b] for more general stable-type Lévy operators.

Our first result states that if the velocity field is divergence-free, then the differentiability of the vanishing viscosity weak solution can be achieved for the equations (1-1)-(1-2) under conditions (1-3)-(1-4) and suitable assumptions.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let the symmetric kernel K(y) = K(-y) of the diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfy (1-3)–(1-4), and the velocity field u be divergence-free. Assume that for any given T > 0, the drift u, the force f and the initial data  $\theta_0$  satisfy

(1-11) 
$$u \in L^{\infty}([0,T], C^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$
 for some  $\delta \in (1-\alpha+\sigma,1)$ ,

and

$$(1\text{-}12) \ \ f \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; B^{\delta}_{p,\infty} \cap B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \theta_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \textit{for some} \ \ p \in [2,\infty).$$

Then there exists a weak solution  $\theta \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})) \cap L^{p}([0,T]; B_{p,p}^{\alpha-\sigma/p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))$  which satisfies the drift-diffusion equation (1-1)–(1-2) in the distributional sense (see (3-52) below). Moreover,  $\theta \in L^{\infty}((0,T], C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))$  for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$ , which precisely satisfies that for every  $t' \in (0,T)$ ,

$$(1-13) \|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t',T];C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq Ct'^{-(\gamma+1+d/p)/(\alpha-\sigma)}(\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_T(B^{\delta}_{p,\infty}\cap B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty})}),$$
 with the constant  $C$  depending only on  $T$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $d$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_T\dot{C}^{\delta}}.$ 

For our second result, we do not necessarily impose the divergence-free property of the velocity field, and we mainly focus on the a priori differentiability estimates of the drift-diffusion equation (1-1)–(1-2) under the conditions (1-3)–(1-5), or in other words, we concentrate on the uniform-in- $\epsilon$  differentiability estimates of the following  $\epsilon$ -regularized drift-diffusion equation under (1-3)–(1-5)

$$(1-14) \qquad \partial_t \theta + u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \theta + \mathcal{L}\theta - \epsilon \Delta \theta = f_{\epsilon}, \quad \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_{0,\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon} * (\theta_0 1_{B_{1/\epsilon}(0)}),$$

where  $u_{\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon} * u$ ,  $f_{\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon} * f$ ,  $\phi_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} \phi(x/\epsilon)$  and  $\phi$  is the standard mollifier. The result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let the kernel K(y) = K(-y) of the diffusion operator  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfy the conditions (1-3)–(1-5). Let  $\theta_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of continuous

functions which decay to zero at infinity. Suppose that for any given T > 0, the drift u and the external force f satisfy

(1-15) 
$$u \in L^{\infty}([0, T]; C^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad and \quad f \in L^{\infty}([0, T]; C^{\delta} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

for some  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ , then the solutions  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$  of the regularized drift-diffusion equation (1-14) uniformly-in- $\epsilon$  belong to

$$L^{\infty}([0,T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^{\infty}((0,T], C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$
 for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$ .

More precisely, for any  $t' \in (0, T)$ , we have

where C is a positive constant depending only on  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ , d,  $\delta$  and  $\|u\|_{L_T^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}$  and is independent of  $\epsilon$ .

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (and Remark 1.3 below) can be applied to the regularity problem of the (weak) solution of various nonlinear drift-diffusion equations, and one can refer to the recent work [Miao and Xue 2015] for some direct applications.

The method in showing Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is consistent with the method of paradifferential calculus used in [Constantin and Wu 2008], but is mostly in a different style; and by choosing some time function as a weight and developing the technique of weighted estimates (where Lemma 3.4 is of great use), we find that the process used here is efficient and is not sensitive to the divergence-free condition of u so that we can get rid of such an assumption in Theorem 1.2 (noticing that the method in [Constantin and Wu 2008] does not extend to the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)-(1-2) without the divergence-free property of u). We use the  $L^p$  ( $p \in [2, \infty)$ ) framework in Theorem 1.1 and the  $L^\infty$  framework in proving Theorem 1.2, and the key diffusion effect of the Lévy-type diffusion operator (for high frequency part) is derived in Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. The iterative argument also plays an important role in the proof of both theorems, and we can see clearly how the regularity index of the solution improves step by step.

We want to point out that our approach in this paper is purely analytic, and does not use the probabilistic representations of solutions. Note also that the approach of [Silvestre 2012b] is not adopted here, and it seems rather hard (if not impossible) to extend the method of that work for the drift-diffusion equation with more general diffusion operators.

**Remark 1.3** (On higher regularity). If the assumptions (1-11)–(1-12) and (1-15) hold for any  $\delta > 1 - \alpha + \sigma$  by removing the restriction  $\delta < 1$ , then by following the deduction in Subsections 3B and 4B, we infer that for the cases studied in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we a priori have

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}((0,T]; C^{[\delta+\alpha-\sigma]-1,\gamma})$$
 for all  $\gamma \in (0,1)$ 

if  $\delta + \alpha - \sigma \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , and

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}((0,T]; C^{[\delta+\alpha-\sigma],\gamma})$$
 for all  $\gamma \in (0,\delta+\alpha-\sigma-[\delta+\alpha-\sigma])$ 

if 
$$\delta + \alpha - \sigma \notin \mathbb{N}^+$$
.

As a consequence of the above result, and if f=0 and  $u=\mathcal{P}\theta$  in the equation (1-1) with  $\mathcal{P}$  composed of zero-order pseudodifferential operators, e.g., the dissipative SQG equation which recently has been intensely considered (see [Caffarelli and Vasseur 2010; Chen et al. 2007; Constantin and Vicol 2012; Córdoba and Córdoba 2004; Dabkowski et al. 2014; Kiselev and Nazarov 2009; Kiselev et al. 2007; Wang and Zhang 2011]):

$$(1-17) \ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \mathcal{L}\theta = 0, \quad u = (-\mathcal{R}_2 \theta, \mathcal{R}_1 \theta), \quad \theta(x,0) = \theta_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with  $\mathcal{R}_i$  (i = 1, 2) the usual Riesz transform, we can deduce that under the assumptions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with the condition on u replaced by

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}([0, T], C^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$
 for some  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ ,

then the corresponding weak solution  $\theta$  further belongs to  $C^{\infty}((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Indeed, after obtaining the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}C^{1,\gamma}}$  (and  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}B^{1+\gamma+d/\tilde{p}}_{\tilde{p},\infty}}$  with some  $\tilde{p}<\infty$  in Theorem 1.1) for any  $\gamma\in(0,\delta+\alpha-\sigma-1)$ , from the Calderón–Zygmund theorem, we get  $\nabla u\in L^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\gamma}$ , which further leads to

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}C^{[1+\gamma+\alpha-\sigma]-1,\gamma'}$$
 for all  $\gamma' \in (0,1)$ 

if  $1 + \gamma + \alpha - \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}C^{[1+\gamma+\alpha-\sigma],\gamma'}$$
 for all  $\gamma' \in (0,\gamma+\alpha-\sigma-[\gamma+\alpha-\sigma])$ 

if  $1+\gamma+\alpha-\sigma\notin\mathbb{N}^+$ , (in Theorem 1.1 we in fact obtain a stronger estimate on  $\theta$  in terms of  $L^p$ -based Besov spaces); noting that the regularity index can be arbitrarily close to  $\delta+2(\alpha-\sigma)$  by suitably choosing  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , thus by the bootstrapping method, we can iteratively improve the regularity index to any large number and finally conclude the  $C_x^{\infty}$ -smoothness of the solution. The  $C^{\infty}$ -smoothness in  $t \in (0, T]$  can be derived from equation (1-1) and Lemma 2.2.

**Remark 1.4.** In Theorem 1.2, if the velocity field u is divergence-free, and  $\theta_0 \in L^2 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and (1-15) is also assumed, then there exists a weak solution  $\theta$  to the drift-diffusion equation (1-1)–(1-2) which satisfies (1-16) with  $\theta$  in place of  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$ . But if the velocity field is not divergence-free, and under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, it is not so clear for the authors to pass to the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  in equation (1-14) to obtain the weak solution of the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2). Despite that, we believe that the uniform-in- $\epsilon$  differentiability estimate (1-16) is meaningful and may have its various applications.

**Remark 1.5.** By examining the proof of both theorems, the upper bound in (1-4) does not play an essential role in the proof of (1-13) and (1-16), which indeed can be relaxed to larger numbers. But we here include the upper bound in (1-4) is to restrict ourselves to the critical and supercritical type cases.

**Remark 1.6.** In Theorem 1.2, the condition on f in (1-15) can be replaced by  $f \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; C_0^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  with  $C_0^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the closure of Schwartz class under the norm of Hölder space  $C^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and the same uniform estimate (1-16) holds true for a suitable approximate system of the equations (1-1)–(1-2).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary knowledge on Bony's paradifferential calculus and the Besov spaces, and give a useful lemma on the stable-type Lévy operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . Section 3 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.1, and we first show several useful auxiliary lemmas, then we prove the key a priori estimate (1-13) in the Section 3B, and then we sketch the proof of the existence part and conclude the theorem. We show Theorem 1.2 in Section 4, and the proof is also divided into three parts: the auxiliary lemmas, the a priori estimates and the uniform-in- $\epsilon$  differentiability estimates for the regularized system (1-14), which are treated in the subsections 4A - 4C respectively.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this preliminary section, we shall gather some notations used in this paper, collect some basic facts on Bony's paradifferential calculus and Besov spaces, and show a useful lemma on the considered Lévy operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Throughout this paper, C stands for a constant which may be different from line to line. The notation  $X \lesssim Y$  means that  $X \leq CY$ , and  $X \approx Y$  implies that  $X \lesssim Y$  and  $Y \lesssim X$  simultaneously. Denote  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of tempered distributions,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing smooth functions,  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the quotient space of tempered distributions modulo polynomials. We use  $\hat{g}$  of  $\mathcal{F}(g)$  to denote the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution, that is,  $\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ . For a number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , denote by [a] the integer part of a.

Now we recall the so-called Littlewood–Paley operators and their elementary properties. Let  $(\chi,\varphi)$  be a couple of smooth functions taking values on [0,1] such that  $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is supported in the ball  $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\,|\xi|\leq\frac43\right\},\ \varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is supported in the annulus  $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\,\frac34\leq|\xi|\leq\frac83\right\}$  and satisfies that (see [Bahouri et al. 2011])

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

For every  $u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define the nonhomogeneous Littlewood–Paley operators as follows:

$$\Delta_{-1}f = \chi(D)u; \quad \Delta_j f = \varphi(2^{-j}D)f, \quad S_j f = \sum_{-1 \le k \le j-1} \Delta_k u \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And the homogeneous Littlewood–Paley operators can be defined as follows:

$$\dot{\Delta}_j f := \varphi(2^{-j}D)f; \quad \dot{S}_j f := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \le j-1} \dot{\Delta}_k f \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also, we denote

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_j f := \Delta_{j-1} f + \Delta_j f + \Delta_{j+1} f.$$

It is clear to see that, for any f and g belonging to  $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , from the property of the frequency supports, we have

$$\Delta_j \Delta_l f \equiv 0$$
,  $|j - l| \ge 2$  and  $\Delta_k (S_{l-1} g \Delta_l g) \equiv 0$   $|k - l| \ge 5$ .

Now we introduce the definition of Besov spaces. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(p, r) \in [1, +\infty]^2$ . Then the inhomogeneous Besov space  $B_{p,r}^s$  is defined as

$$B_{p,r}^s := \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d); \| f \|_{B_{p,r}^s} := \| \{ 2^{js} \| \Delta_j f \|_{L^p} \}_{j \ge -1} \|_{\ell^p} < \infty \},$$

and the homogeneous space  $\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s}$  is given by

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s} := \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d}) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d}); \| f \|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s}} := \| \{ 2^{js} \| \dot{\Delta}_{j} f \|_{L^{p}} \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|_{\ell^{r}(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty \}.$$

For any noninteger s>0, the Hölder space  $C^s=C^{[s],s-[s]}$  is equivalent to  $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$  with  $\|f\|_{C^s}\approx \|f\|_{B^s_{\infty,\infty}}$ .

Bernstein's inequality plays an important role in the analysis involving Besov spaces.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [Bahouri et al. 2011]). Let  $f \in L^a$ ,  $1 \le a \le b \le \infty$ . Then for every  $(k, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , there exists a constant C > 0 independent of j such that

$$\sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha} S_{j} f\|_{L^{b}} \leq C 2^{j(k+d/a-d/b)} \|S_{j} f\|_{L^{a}},$$

and

$$C^{-1}2^{jk}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^a} \le \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha}\Delta_j f\|_{L^a} \le C2^{jk}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^a}.$$

The following lemma concerning the Lévy operator  $\mathcal L$  is useful in the proof of the existence parts.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  be defined by (1-2) with the symmetric kernel K(y) = K(-y) under the conditions (1-3)–(1-4).

- (1) Assume that  $g \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{L}g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|\mathcal{L}g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|g\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ .
- (2) Assume that  $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $h_j(x) = 2^{jd}h(2^jx), j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}h_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C2^{j\alpha}.$$

(3) Assume that  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then  $\|\mathcal{L}\Delta_j g\|_{L^p} \leq C2^{j\alpha}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_j g\|_{L^p}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|\mathcal{L}\Delta_{-1}g\|_{L^p} \leq C\|g\|_{L^p}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* (1) If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , it follows from equation (1-2) that

$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x) - g(x+y))K(y) \, dy$$

$$= \text{p. v.} \int_{|y| \le 1} (g(x) - g(x+y))K(y) \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{|y| \ge 1} (g(x) - g(x+y))K(y) \, dy.$$

By virtue of inequality (1-3), one has

$$(2-2) \left| \text{p.v.} \int_{|y| \ge 1} (g(x) - g(x+y)) K(y) \, dy \right| \le C \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{|y| \ge 1} |K(y)| \, dy \le C \|g\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Thanks to the upper bound of (1-4), we have

$$\left| \operatorname{p.v.} \int_{|y| \le 1} (g(x) - g(x+y)) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right| = \left| \int_{|y| \le 1} \int_{0}^{1} y \cdot (\nabla g) (x+sy) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \right|$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla g\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{|y| \le 1} |y| |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla g\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{|y| \le 1} |y| \frac{c_{2}}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla g\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Hence for the case  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we get

$$\|\mathcal{L}g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}).$$

If  $\alpha = 1$ , similarly as above, and by adopting the following equivalent formula of  $\mathcal{L}g$  (from the symmetric condition K(y) = K(-y))

(2-3) 
$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x) + y \cdot \nabla g(x) 1_{\{|y| \le 1\}} - g(x+y)) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

we can prove that

$$\|\mathcal{L}g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|g\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Both in the cases  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , we conclude  $\|\mathcal{L}g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|g\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ .

(2) If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , from (1-2), (1-4) and the Fubini theorem, we see that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{L}h_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} & \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)|}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \geq 1} |h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)| |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\|h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)\|_{L^1_x}}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y + C \|h_j\|_{L^1_x} \int_{|y| \geq 1} |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leq C \|h_j\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{1,1}} + C \|h_j\|_{L^1} \leq C 2^{j\alpha}, \end{split}$$

where in the last line we used the characterization of homogeneous Besov spaces (see [Bahouri et al. 2011, Theorem 2.36])

$$\|g\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,r}} \approx \left\| \frac{\|g(x) - g(x+y)\|_{L^{p}}}{|y|^{\alpha}} \right\|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, dy/|y|^{d})} \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, 1), (p, r) \in [1, \infty]^{2}.$$

If  $\alpha = 1$ , we use the following equivalent formula for  $\mathcal{L}g$ :

(2-4) 
$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x) + y \cdot \nabla g(x) 1_{\{|y| \le \epsilon\}} - g(x+y)) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

with  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus by choosing  $\epsilon = 2^{-j}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{L}h_j\|_{L^1} & \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-j}} \frac{|h_j(x) + y \cdot \nabla h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)|}{|y|^{d+1}} \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{2^{-j} \leq |y| \leq 1} \frac{|h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)|}{|y|^{d+1}} \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \geq 1} |h_j(x) - h_j(x+y)| |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^2 h_j\|_{L^1} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-j}} \frac{1}{|y|^{d-1}} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ & + C \|h_j\|_{L^1} \left( \int_{|y| \geq 2^{-j}} \frac{1}{|y|^{d+1}} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_{|y| \geq 1} |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \right) \\ & \leq C 2^j. \end{split}$$

Hence (2-1) follows for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

(3) Denoting  $h := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{h} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi)$ , we have  $\Delta_j g = h_j * g = (2^{jd}h(2^j \cdot)) * g$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $\Delta_{-1}g = \tilde{h} * g$ . By virtue of the facts that  $\Delta_j \tilde{\Delta}_j = \Delta_j$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(f * g) = (\mathcal{L}f) * g$ , and thanks to the statement (2), we infer that

$$\|\mathcal{L}\Delta_j g\|_{L^p} = \|\mathcal{L}\Delta_j \widetilde{\Delta}_j g\|_{L^p} = \|(\mathcal{L}h_j) * (\widetilde{\Delta}_j g)\|_{L^p} \le C2^{j\alpha} \|\widetilde{\Delta}_j g\|_{L^p}$$

for all 
$$j \in \mathbb{N}$$
, and  $\|\mathcal{L}\Delta_{-1}g\|_{L^p} = \|(\mathcal{L}\tilde{h}) * g\|_{L^p} \le C\|g\|_{L^p}$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**3A.** *Auxiliary lemmas.* In this section we introduce some useful auxiliary lemmas. The first lemma is about the pointwise lower bound estimate of the Fourier symbol of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  be defined by (1-2) with the kernel K(y) = K(-y) satisfying (1-3)–(1-4). Then the associated symbol  $A(\xi)$  given by (1-6) satisfies

(3-1) 
$$A(\xi) \ge C^{-1} |\xi|^{\alpha - \sigma} - C,$$

where  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $\sigma \in [0, \alpha[$  and  $C = C(d, \alpha, \sigma)$  is a positive constant.

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* Recalling that one has (see Equation (3.219) of [Jacob 2005])

(3-2) 
$$|\xi|^{\alpha} = c_{d,\alpha} \text{ p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \frac{1}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \text{ for all } \alpha \in ]0, 2[,$$

and by virtue of (1-3)–(1-4), we get

$$\begin{split} A(\xi) &= \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq c_2^{-1} \int_{0 < |y| \le 1} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \frac{1}{|y|^{d + \alpha - \sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y - \int_{|y| \ge 1} |K(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq c_2^{-1} \left( c_{d,\alpha}^{-1} |\xi|^{\alpha - \sigma} - \int_{|y| \ge 1} \frac{1}{|y|^{d + \alpha - \sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y \right) - c_1 \\ &\geq c_2^{-1} c_{d,\alpha}^{-1} |\xi|^{\alpha - \sigma} - C_{d,\alpha,\sigma} - c_1, \end{split}$$

which corresponds to (3-1).

Next we derive the following lower bound estimates of some quantities involving the Lévy operator  $\mathcal{L}$  given by (1-2).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $p \ge 2$  and the kernel function K(y) = K(-y) satisfy the conditions (1-3)–(1-4), then for every  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$(3-3) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta(x)|^{p-2} \theta(x) \mathcal{L}\theta(x) dx \ge C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|D|^{\frac{\alpha-\sigma}{2}} |\theta(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}})^2 dx - \widetilde{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta(x)|^p dx,$$

and for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3-4) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(\Delta_j \theta) (|\Delta_j \theta|^{p-2} \Delta_j \theta) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge c 2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^p}^p - \widetilde{C} \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the constants c, C > 0,  $\widetilde{C} \ge 0$  depend only on the coefficients  $p, \alpha, \sigma, d$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* First we claim that the following estimate holds true:

(3-5) 
$$|\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\mathcal{L}\theta(x)$$
  

$$\geq 2/p(\mathcal{L}|\theta|^{p/2})(x) - 2\int_{|x-y|>1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} + |\theta(y)|^{p/2})|K(x-y)| \,\mathrm{d}y.$$

Indeed, according to (1-2) and the following estimate deduced from Young's inequality

$$(3-6) |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\theta(y) \le |\theta(x)|^{p/2-1}|\theta(y)| \le \frac{p-2}{p}|\theta(x)|^{p/2} + \frac{2}{p}|\theta(y)|^{p/2},$$

we have

$$(3-7) \quad |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\mathcal{L}\theta(x)$$

$$= p. v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\theta(y))K(x-y) dy$$

$$= p. v. \int_{|x-y| \le 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\theta(y))K(x-y) dy$$

$$+ \int_{|x-y| \ge 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\theta(y))K(x-y) dy$$

$$\geq p. v. \int_{|x-y| \le 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2}\theta(x)\theta(y))K(x-y) dy$$

$$- \frac{2p-2}{p} \int_{|x-y| \ge 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} + |\theta(y)|^{p/2})|K(x-y)| dy.$$

Due to the positivity property of K(y) on  $0 < |y| \le 1$  and the inequality (3-6) again, we see that

$$(3-8) \quad \text{p.v.} \int_{|x-y| \le 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2} \theta(x)\theta(y)) K(x-y) dy$$

$$\geq \text{p.v.} \int_{|x-y| \le 1} \left( |\theta(x)|^{p/2} - \left( \frac{p-2}{p} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} + 2/p |\theta(y)|^{p/2} \right) \right) K(x-y) dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{p} \text{p.v.} \int_{|x-y| \le 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(y)|^{p/2}) K(x-y) dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{p} (\mathcal{L}|\theta|^{p/2})(x) - \frac{2}{p} \int_{|x-y| \ge 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} - |\theta(y)|^{p/2}) K(x-y) dy$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{p} (\mathcal{L}|\theta|^{p/2})(x) - \frac{2}{p} \int_{|x-y| \ge 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} + |\theta(y)|^{p/2}) |K(x-y)| dy.$$

Gathering the above estimates leads to (3-5).

As a consequence of (3-5), we get

(3-9) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p-2} \theta(x) \mathcal{L}\theta(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} |\theta(x)|^{p/2-2} \theta(x) \mathcal{L}\theta(x) dx$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} (\mathcal{L}|\theta|^{p/2})(x) dx$$

$$-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} (|\theta(x)|^{p/2} + |\theta(y)|^{p/2}) |K(x-y)| dy dx$$

$$:= N_{1} + N_{2}.$$

In view of the Plancherel theorem and the estimate (3-1) concerning the symbol of  $\mathcal{L}$ , this leads to

$$\begin{split} N_{1} &= \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) A(\xi) \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\geq \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{\alpha - \sigma} \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi - \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (|\xi|^{(\alpha - \sigma)/2} \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi))^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\xi - \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \widehat{|\theta|^{p/2}}(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (|D|^{(\alpha - \sigma)/2} |\theta(x)|^{p/2})^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{2}{p} C_{\alpha,\sigma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

The Young inequality and the condition (1-3) ensure that

$$-\frac{N_{2}}{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} |K(x-y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p/2} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} |\theta(y)|^{p/2} |K(x-y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(x)|^{p} \int_{|x-y|\geq 1} |K(x-y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$+ \|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p/2} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\theta(y)|^{p/2} |K(x-y)| 1_{\{|x-y|\geq 1\}} \, \mathrm{d}y \right\|_{L^{2}_{x}}$$

$$\leq \|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \int_{|x|\geq 1} |K(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x + \|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p/2} \||\theta(x)|^{p/2} \|L^{2}_{x} \int_{|x|\geq 1} |K(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$< 2c_{1} \|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p}.$$

Inserting the estimates of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  into (3-9) yields the desired estimate (3-3). Recalling the following inequality (see [Chen et al. 2007, Proposition 3.1]),

 $||D|^{\beta}(|\Delta_{j}\theta|^{p/2})||_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \tilde{c}2^{j\beta}||\Delta_{j}\theta||_{L^{p}}^{p}$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 2], p \in [2, \infty), j \in \mathbb{N}$ , with a constant  $\tilde{c} > 0$  independent of j, then the estimate (3-4) follows by combining the above lower bound estimate with (3-3). We thus conclude Lemma 3.2.

Now we can show the key a priori  $L^p$ -estimate of the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let u be a smooth **divergence-free** vector field and f be a smooth forcing term. Assume that  $\theta$  is a smooth solution for the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)-(1-2) under the assumptions (1-3)-(1-4) with  $\theta_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then for any T > 0,

$$(3-10) \max_{0 \le t \le T} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \|\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{(\alpha-\sigma)/p}}^p d\tau \le e^{C'T} \left( \|\theta_0\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{L^p}^p dt \right),$$

with  $C' \ge 0$  depending only on  $p, \alpha, \sigma, d$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.3.* Multiplying both sides of (1-1) by  $|\theta|^{p-2}\theta(x)$  and integrating over the spatial variable, we use the divergence-free condition of u and Hölder's inequality to get

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{L}\theta(x)(|\theta|^{p-2}\theta)(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \|f\|_{L^{p}} \|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p-1}.$$

Thanks to (3-3), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}\theta(|\theta|^{p-2}\theta) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|D|^{(\alpha-\sigma)/2} |\theta(x)|^{p/2})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \widetilde{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\
\ge C \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}^{(\alpha-\sigma)/p}_{n,p}}^p - \widetilde{C} \|\theta\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where in the last line we have used the following inequality (see [Chamorro and Lemarié-Rieusset 2012, Theorem 2] or [Chamorro and Menozzi 2016, Theorem 5])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|D|^{\gamma} |\theta(x)|^{p/2})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge c \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}^{\gamma/p}_{p,p}}^p \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in (0,1).$$

We thus obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+C\|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{(\alpha-\sigma)/p}}^{p}-\widetilde{C}\|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\leq \|f\|_{L^{p}}\|\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p-1},$$

which directly implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{(\alpha-\sigma)/p}}^p \le C\|\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^p + C\|f(t)\|_{L^p}^p.$$

П

Grönwall's inequality guarantees the desired inequality (3-10).

The final lemma is concerned with a (time function) weighted estimate, which plays a key role in proving our main results.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Then for any t > 0, there exists a constant  $C_{\mu}$  depending only on  $\mu$  such that

(3-11) 
$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\lambda} \tau^{-\mu} \, d\tau \le C_{\mu} \lambda^{-1} t^{-\mu}.$$

*In particular, for any*  $t > t_0 \ge 0$ , we have

(3-12) 
$$\int_{t_0}^t e^{-(t-\tau)2^{(\alpha-\sigma)j}} (\tau - t_0)^{-\mu} d\tau = \int_0^{t-t_0} e^{-(t-t_0-\tau)2^{(\alpha-\sigma)j}} \tau^{-\mu} d\tau$$
$$\leq C_{\mu} 2^{-(\alpha-\sigma)j} (t-t_0)^{-\mu}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* First, by changing of the variable  $(t - \tau)\lambda = s$ , one deduces

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\lambda} \tau^{-\mu} d\tau = \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{t\lambda} e^{-s} \left( t - \frac{s}{\lambda} \right)^{-\mu} ds$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \left( \int_0^{t\lambda/2} e^{-s} \left( t - \frac{s}{\lambda} \right)^{-\mu} ds + \int_{t\lambda/2}^{t\lambda} e^{-s} \left( t - \frac{s}{\lambda} \right)^{-\mu} ds \right)$$

$$:= \lambda^{-1} (B_1 + B_2).$$

For the first term  $B_1$ , noting that  $t - s/\lambda \ge \frac{1}{2}t$  for all  $0 \le s \le t\lambda/2$ , we directly get

$$B_1 \le 2^{\mu} t^{-\mu} \int_0^{t\lambda/2} e^{-s} \, \mathrm{d}s \le 2^{\mu} t^{-\mu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} \, \mathrm{d}s \le 2^{\mu} t^{-\mu}.$$

For the second term  $B_2$ , by changing of the variable  $t - s/\lambda = s'$  and using the fact  $t\lambda e^{-t\lambda/2} \le C_0$ , we deduce that

$$B_2 \le e^{-t\lambda/2} \int_{t\lambda/2}^{t\lambda} \left( t - \frac{s}{\lambda} \right)^{-\mu} ds$$

$$= t^{1-\mu} \lambda e^{-t\lambda/2} \int_0^{1/2} (s')^{-\mu} ds' = \frac{2^{\mu-1}}{1-\mu} t^{-\mu} (t\lambda e^{-t\lambda/2}) \le \frac{C_0 2^{\mu-1}}{1-\mu} t^{-\mu}.$$

Combining the above two estimates, we obtain

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\lambda} \tau^{-\mu} d\tau \le \left(2^{\mu} + \frac{C_0 2^{\mu-1}}{1-\mu}\right) \lambda^{-1} t^{-\mu} = C_{\mu} \lambda^{-1} t^{-\mu},$$

which corresponds to (3-11).

**3B.** A priori estimates. In this subsection, we assume  $\theta$  is a smooth solution for the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2) with smooth u and f. We shall show the estimate (1-13) and the proof consists of four steps.

**Step 1:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_0,T];B^{s_0}_{\rho,\infty})}$  for any  $s_0 \in (0,\alpha-\sigma)$  and  $t_0 \in (0,T)$ . By applying the dyadic operator  $\Delta_j$   $(j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 4)$  to the equation of  $\theta$  in (1-1), we get

(3-13) 
$$\partial_t \Delta_j \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Delta_j \theta + \mathcal{L} \Delta_j \theta = -[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] \theta + \Delta_j f,$$

where [A, B] = AB - BA denotes the commutator of two operators A and B. Bony's paraproduct decomposition leads to

$$(3-14) \quad -[\Delta_{j}, u \cdot \nabla]\theta = -\sum_{|k-j| \le 4} [\Delta_{j}, S_{k-1}u \cdot \nabla]\Delta_{k}\theta$$

$$-\sum_{|k-j| \le 4} (\Delta_{j}(\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla S_{k-1}\theta) - \Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \Delta_{j}S_{k-1}\theta)$$

$$-\sum_{k \ge j-2} (\Delta_{j}(\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta) - \Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \Delta_{j}\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta)$$

$$:= I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the equation (4-8) with  $|\Delta_j \theta|^{p-2} \Delta_j \theta$  and integrating on the spatial variable over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we use the divergence-free property of u and the Hölder inequality to get

$$(3-15) \quad \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{L}(\Delta_{j}\theta) (|\Delta_{j}\theta|^{p-2} \Delta_{j}\theta) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{1}\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{2}\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{3}\|_{L^{p}}) \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}}^{p-1}.$$

According to (3-4) in Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$(3-16) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(\Delta_j \theta) (|\Delta_j \theta|^{p-2} \Delta_j \theta) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge c 2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^p}^p - C_1 \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where c and  $C_1$  are constants depending on  $p, \alpha, \sigma, d$ . Inserting (3-16) into (3-15) and dividing  $\|\Delta_j\theta\|_{L^p}^{p-1}$  lead to

$$(3-17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} + c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} + \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{1}\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{2}\|_{L^{p}} + \|I_{3}\|_{L^{p}}.$$

For  $||I_1||_{L^p}$ , noting that  $I_1$  can be expressed as

(3-18) 
$$I_1 = -\sum_{|k-j| \le 4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_j(x-y) (S_{k-1}u(y) - S_{k-1}u(x)) \cdot \nabla \Delta_k \theta(y) \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

where  $h_j(x) = 2^{jd}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(2^jx)$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the test function introduced in Section 2, thus from Hölder's inequality, Bernstein's inequality and Young's

inequality, one has

$$||I_{1}||_{L^{p}} \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h_{j}(x-y)(S_{k-1}u(y) - S_{k-1}u(x)) \cdot \nabla \Delta_{k} \theta(y) \, dy \right\|_{L^{p}_{x}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |h_{j}(x-y)| \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} |x-y|^{\delta} |\nabla \Delta_{k} \theta(y)| \, dy \right\|_{L^{p}_{x}}$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |h_{j}(x)| |x|^{\delta} \, dx \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \|\nabla \Delta_{k} \theta\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C 2^{-j\delta} \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k} \theta\|_{L^{p}}.$$

$$(3-19)$$

By virtue of Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality again, we see that

$$||I_{2}||_{L^{p}} \leq \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} ||\Delta_{j}(\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla S_{k-1}\theta)||_{L^{p}} + \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} ||\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla S_{k-1}\Delta_{j}\theta||_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} ||\nabla S_{k-1}\theta||_{L^{p}} + C \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} ||\nabla \Delta_{j}\theta||_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C2^{-j\delta} \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} 2^{k\delta} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} \left(\sum_{l\leq j} 2^{l} ||\Delta_{l}\theta||_{L^{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq C2^{-j\delta} ||u||_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \left(\sum_{k\leq j} 2^{k} ||\Delta_{k}\theta||_{L^{p}}\right),$$

$$(3-20)$$

and by using the divergence-free property of u, we get

$$||I_{3}||_{L^{p}} \leq \sum_{k \geq j-2} ||\nabla \cdot \Delta_{j}(\Delta_{k}u\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta)||_{L^{p}} + \sum_{k \geq j-2} ||\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\Delta_{j}\theta||_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{j} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} ||\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta||_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C 2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{k\delta} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} 2^{-k\delta} ||\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta||_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C ||u||_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j} \left( \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{-k\delta} ||\Delta_{k}\theta||_{L^{p}} \right).$$

$$(3-21)$$

Gathering the above estimates leads to

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} + c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} &\leq C_{1} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} + \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}} \\ &+ C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{-j\delta} \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{p}} \\ &+ C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j} \sum_{k > j-3} 2^{-k\delta} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{p}}. \end{split}$$

Let  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be a number chosen later (see (3-32)) which satisfies  $(c/2)2^{j_0(\alpha-\sigma)} \ge C_1$ , or more precisely,

$$(3-22) j_0 \ge \left[\frac{1}{\alpha - \sigma} \log_2\left(\frac{2C_1}{c}\right)\right] + 1.$$

We infer that for all  $j \ge j_0$ ,

$$(3-23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}} + \frac{c}{2} 2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}} + C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{-j\delta} \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$+ C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{-k\delta} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$:= \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}} + H_{i}^{1} + H_{i}^{2}.$$

Thus Grönwall's inequality yields that for every  $j \ge j_0 \ge 4$  and  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$(3-24) \quad \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{p}} \leq e^{-(c/2)t2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\Delta_{j}\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}}(\tau) + H_{j}^{1}(\tau) + H_{j}^{2}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

According to Lemma 3.3, we also have the  $L^p$ -estimate for equation (1-1):

(3-25) 
$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^p} \le e^{Ct} \bigg( \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{L^p} \, \mathrm{d}t \bigg).$$

Observing that for all t > 0,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$2^{js}e^{-\frac{c}{2}t2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}}\|\Delta_{j}\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}} \leq t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}((t2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}e^{-\frac{c}{2}t2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}})\|\Delta_{j}\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C_{\alpha,\sigma,s}t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}},$$

thus collecting (3-24), (3-25) and (3-26) leads to

$$(3-27) \quad \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{j \leq j_{0}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{p}} + \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\leq C2^{j_{0}s} e^{Ct} (\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{t}L^{p}}) + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$+ \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}}(\tau) + H^{1}_{j}(\tau) + H^{2}_{j}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

For the term containing  $\|\Delta_i f\|_{L^p}$ , we infer that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma + \delta)$ ,

$$(3-28) \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_{j} f\|_{L^{p}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s-\delta)} \|f(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(s-\delta)} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(s-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}}.$$

For the term including  $H_j^1$  in (3-27), thanks to (3-12) in Lemma 3.4, we deduce that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ ,

$$(3-29) \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} H_{j}^{1}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$= C \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j(s-\delta)} \left( \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k}\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{p}} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s-\delta)} \left( \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k(1-s)} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \right)$$

$$\times \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(1-\delta)} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \tau^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \right) t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(1-\delta-\alpha+\sigma)}$$

$$\leq C t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_{0}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \right).$$

For the term including  $H_j^2$  in (3-27), by using (3-12) again, we similarly get that for all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ ,

$$(3-30) \sup_{j \ge j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} H_{j}^{2}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$= C \sup_{j \ge j_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j(s+1)} \left( \sum_{k \ge j-3} 2^{-k\delta} \|\Delta_{k}\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{p}} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \ge j_{0}} 2^{j(s+1)} \left( \sum_{k \ge j-3} 2^{-k(\delta+s)} \right) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_{0}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \right).$$

Plugging the estimates (3-28), (3-29), (3-30) into (3-27) yields that for any  $0 < s < \alpha - \sigma$  and  $0 < t \le T$ ,

$$(3-31) \quad t^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \leq C T^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} e^{CT} 2^{j_0} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p})$$

$$+ C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + C T^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|f\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}}$$

$$+ \frac{C \|u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{C}^{\delta}}}{2^{j_0(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))}} \Big( \sup_{t \in (0,T]} t^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}} \Big).$$

Now, by choosing  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C2^{j_0(1-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_T \dot{C}^{\delta}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and (3-22) holds, or more precisely,

$$(3-32) j_0 = \max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{\log_2(2C \|u\|_{L_T^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}})}{\delta - (1 - \alpha + \sigma)} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{\log_2(C_1/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right\rceil, 4 \right\} + 1,$$

we have that for all  $0 < s < \alpha - \sigma$ ,

(3-33) 
$$\sup_{t \in (0,T]} (t^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{p,\infty}})$$

$$\leq C(T+1) (e^{CT} 2^{j_0 s} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p}) + \|f\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{B}^{s}_{p,\infty}}),$$

which implies that for arbitrarily small  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  and every  $s_0 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$(3-34) \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p, \infty}} \\ \leq C t_0^{-s_0/(\alpha - \sigma)} (T+1) (e^{CT} 2^{j_0 s} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p}) + \|f\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p, \infty}}),$$

where  $j_0$  is given by (3-32).

**Step 2:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_1,T];B^{s_0+s_1}_{p,\infty})}$  for every  $s_0, s_1 \in (0,\alpha-\sigma)$  and  $t_1 \in (t_0,T)$ .

For every  $j \ge j_0$  with  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying (3-22) chosen later ( $j_0$  may be different from that number in Step 1), adapting the Grönwall inequality to (3-23) over the time interval [ $t_0$ ,  $t_0$ ] (for  $t > t_0 > 0$ ) yields

$$(3-35) \quad \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{p}} \leq e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-t_{0})2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t_{0})\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{p}}(\tau) + H_{j}^{1}(\tau) + H_{j}^{2}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Noting that for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_0 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$(3-36) \quad e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-t_0)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} \|\Delta_j \theta(t_0)\|_{L^p} \leq e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-t_0)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p,\infty}} \\ \leq C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} (t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p,\infty}},$$

thus we get that for all  $t \ge t_0 > 0$ ,

$$(3-37) \quad \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{j \leq j_0} 2^{j(s_0+s)} \|\Delta_j \theta(t)\|_{L^p} + \sup_{j \geq j_0} 2^{j(s_0+s)} \|\Delta_j \theta(t)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C 2^{j_0(s_0+s)} e^{Ct} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_t L^p}) + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} (t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p,\infty}}$$

$$+ \sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} (\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}(\tau) + H^1_j(\tau) + H^2_j(\tau)) d\tau.$$

For the term containing  $\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}$ , similarly as obtaining (3-28), we get that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(3-38) \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} \|\Delta_{j} f\|_{L^{p}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s-\delta)} \|f(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s-\delta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}}.$$

For the term including  $H_j^1$  in (3-37), by arguing as (3-29), we deduce that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s \le 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(3\text{-}39) \quad \sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} H_j^1(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= C \sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^{\bar{\delta}}} 2^{j(s_0+s-\delta)} \bigg( \sum_{-1 \leq k \leq j+4} 2^k \|\Delta_k \theta(\tau)\|_{L^p} \bigg) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^{\bar{\delta}}} \\ &\sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s-\delta)} \bigg( \sum_{-1 \leq k \leq j+4} 2^{k(1-s-s_0)} \bigg) \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^{\bar{\delta}}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_0,t]} (\tau-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}} \bigg) \\ &\sup_{j \geq j_0} (2^{j(1-\delta)}j) \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\tau-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^{\bar{\delta}}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_0,t]} (\tau-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}} \bigg) \\ &(t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \sup_{j \geq j_0} (2^{-j(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))}j) \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^{\bar{\delta}}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_0,t]} (\tau-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}} \bigg) (t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_0} \frac{\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $1 < s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(3-40) \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} H_{j}^{1}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s-\delta)} \left( \sum_{-1 \leq k \leq j+4} 2^{k(1-s-s_{0})} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau - t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \right)$$

$$\sup_{j \geq j_{0}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s-\delta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\tau - t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau - t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \right)$$

$$(t - t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \sup_{j \geq j_{0}} (2^{-j(\delta-(s_{0}+s-\alpha+\sigma))})$$

$$\leq C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau - t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \right) (t - t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_{0}(\delta-(s_{0}+s-\alpha+\sigma))}.$$

For the term including  $H_j^2$  in (3-37), by using (3-12) again, we estimate similarly as (3-30) to get that for all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3\text{-}41) \quad & \sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} H_j^2(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & = C \sup_{j \geq j_0} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^\delta} 2^{j(s_0+s+1)} \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{-k\delta} \|\Delta_k \theta(\tau)\|_{L^p} \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^\delta} \sup_{j \geq j_0} 2^{j(s_0+s+1)} \\ & \qquad \qquad \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{-k(\delta+s_0+s)} \bigg) \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{\infty,\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^\delta} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_0,t]} (\tau-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}} \bigg) \\ & \qquad \qquad \sup_{j \geq j_0} 2^{j(1-\delta)} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\tau-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{C}^\delta} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_0,t]} (\tau-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}} \bigg) (t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_0(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates (3-38)–(3-41) into (3-37), we obtain that for every  $t \in (t_0, T]$ ,  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$\begin{split} (t-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}} &\leq CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} e^{CT} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p}) 2^{j_0(s_0+s)} \\ &\qquad \qquad + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p,\infty}} + CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|f\|_{L^\infty_t \dot{B}^\delta_{p,\infty}} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{C}^\delta}}{2^{j_0(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))/2}} (\sup_{t \in (t_0,T]} (t-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}}), & \text{if } s_0+s \leq 1, \\ \frac{C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{C}^\delta}}{2^{j_0(\delta-(s_0+s-\alpha+\sigma))}} (\sup_{t \in (t_0,T]} (t-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}}), & \text{if } 1 < s_0+s < \delta+\alpha-\sigma. \end{split}$$

Hence by selecting  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  as

(3-42) 
$$j_0 = \max \left\{ \left[ \frac{2 \log_2(2C \|u\|_{L_T^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}})}{\delta - (1 - \alpha + \sigma)} \right], \left[ \frac{\log_2(2C_1/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right], 4 \right\} + 1$$

if  $s_0 + s \le 1$ , and

$$(3-43) \qquad \max\left\{ \left[ \frac{\log_2(2C\|u\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{C}^\delta})}{\delta - (s_0 + s - \alpha + \sigma)} \right], \left[ \frac{\log_2(2C_1/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right], 4 \right\} + 1$$

if  $1 < s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ , we find that for all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\epsilon(t_0,T]} & ((t-t_0)^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{p,\infty}}) \\ & \leq C(T+1) (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p}) 2^{j_0(s_0+s)} \\ & + C \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p,\infty}} + C(T+1) \|f\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p,\infty}}, \end{split}$$

which ensures that for any  $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$  and every  $s_0, s_1 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0 + s_1 < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3\text{-}44) \quad & \sup_{t \in [t_1, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0 + s_1}_{p, \infty}} \\ & \leq C(t_1 - t_0)^{-\frac{s_1}{\alpha - \sigma}} ((T+1)e^{CT} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^p}) 2^{j_0(s_0 + s_1)} + \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{p, \infty}}) \\ & \quad + C(t_1 - t_0)^{-\frac{s_1}{\alpha - \sigma}} (T+1) \|f\|_{L^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\delta}_{p, \infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $j_0$  is given by (3-42)–(3-43).

**Step 3:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([\tilde{t},T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$  and any  $\tilde{t} \in (0,T)$ .

If  $\alpha - \sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we can choose appropriate indexes  $s_0, s_1 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  so that  $1 < s_0 + s_1 < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ , more precisely, denoting by

$$v_1 := \min \left\{ \frac{2(\alpha - \sigma) - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1}{2} \right\},$$

 $s_0 + s_1$  can be chosen so that  $s_0 + s_1 = 1 + v_1$ , thus in view of (3-44), we obtain that

(3-45) 
$$\sup_{t \in [t_1, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{1+\nu_1}_{p, \infty}} \le C < \infty.$$

If  $p > d/\nu_1$ , then from the Besov embedding  $B_{p,d}^{1+\nu_1} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^{1+\nu_1-d/p}$ , we get the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([\tilde{t},T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  with  $\tilde{t}=t_1$  and  $\gamma=\nu_1-d/p>0$ . If  $p \le d/\nu_1$ , and we have the embedding  $B_{p,\infty}^{1+\nu_1} \hookrightarrow L^{p_1}$  with some  $p_1 > d/\nu_1$ , by repeating the above Step 1 and Step 2 with  $p_1$  in place of p, we can obtain the estimate of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_1^1,T];B_{p_1,\infty}^{1+\nu_1})}$  with any  $t_1^1 \in (t_1,T)$ , which implies the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_1^1,T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  with  $\gamma=\nu_1-d/p_1$ . Otherwise, for  $p \le d/\nu_1$  and  $p_1$  satisfying  $d/p_1=d/p-(1+\nu_1)$  is such that  $p_1 \in (p,d/\nu_1]$ , as above we can obtain the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_1^1,T];B_{p_1,\infty}^{1+\nu_1})}$  with any  $t_1^1 \in (t_1,T)$ , then if the embedding  $B_{p_1,\infty}^{1+\nu_1} \hookrightarrow L^{p_2}$  with some  $p_2 > d/\nu_1$ , we can repeat the above Step 1 and Step 2 to conclude the proof, while if  $p_2$  satisfying  $d/p_2=d/p_1-(1+\nu_1)=d/p-2(1+\nu_1)$  is still such that  $p_2 \in (p_1,d/\nu_1]$ , we can iterate the above steps for several times, say m times, to find some number  $p_{m+1}>d/\nu_1$  and obtain the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_1^{m+1},T];B_{p_{m+1},\infty}^{1+\nu_1})}$  with  $t_1^{m+1} \in (t_1^m,T)$  any chosen, which further implies the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_1^{m+1},T];B_{p_{m+1},\infty}^{1+\nu_1})}$  with  $\gamma=1+\nu_1-d/p_{m+1}$ .

For  $\alpha - \sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we need to iterate the above procedure in Step 2 more times. Assume that for some small number  $t_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we already have a finite bound

on  $\|\theta(t_k)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_k}}$  with  $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k \in (0, \alpha-\sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_k \leq 1$ . Then by arguing as (3-44), we deduce that for any  $t_{k+1} > t_k$ ,  $s_{k+1} \in (0, \alpha-\sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1} < \delta+\alpha-\sigma$ ,

$$(3-46) \sup_{t \in [t_{k+1}, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1}}}$$

$$\leq C(t_{k+1} - t_k)^{-\frac{s_{k+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}} ((T+1)(\|\theta_0\|_{L_p} + \|f\|_{L_T^1 L^p}) 2^{j_0(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} s_i)} + \|\theta(t_k)\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_i}}$$

$$+ C(t_{k+1} - t_k)^{-\frac{s_{k+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}} (T+1) \|f\|_{L_T^{\infty} \dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{\delta}},$$

where  $j_0$  is also given by (3-42)–(3-43) with  $s_0+s_1$  replaced by  $s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}$ . Hence if  $\alpha-\sigma\in(1/(k+2),1/(k+1)],\ k\in\mathbb{N}^+$ , we can select appropriate numbers  $s_0,s_1,\ldots,s_{k+1}\in(0,\alpha-\sigma)$  so that  $1< s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}<\delta+\alpha-\sigma$ , or, more precisely,  $s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}=1+\nu_{k+1}$ , with

$$\nu_{k+1} := \min \left\{ \frac{(k+2)(\alpha-\sigma)-1}{2}, \frac{\delta+\alpha-\sigma-1}{2} \right\},\,$$

and by repeating Step 2 in the above manner for (k + 1)-times, we obtain

(3-47) 
$$\sup_{t \in [t_{k+1}, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{1+\nu_{k+1}}_{p,\infty}} \le C < \infty.$$

The following deduction is similar to that stated below (3-45). If  $p > d/\nu_{k+1}$ , then from  $B_{p,\infty}^{1+\nu_{k+1}} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^{1+\nu_{k+1}-d/p}$ , we naturally get the estimate of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_{k+1},T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  with  $\gamma = 1 + \nu_{k+1} - d/p$ . Otherwise, there exists a unique number  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

(3-48) 
$$\frac{d}{p} - m(1 + \nu_{k+1}) \ge \nu_{k+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{p} - (m+1)(1 + \nu_{k+1}) < \nu_{k+1},$$

and by denoting  $p_i \in [p, \infty)$  by

$$\frac{d}{p_j} = \frac{d}{p} - j(1 + v_{k+1}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

we see that  $p=p_0 < p_1 < \cdots < p_m \le d/v_{k+1}$ , thus by repeating the above process in obtaining (3-47) with  $p_j$  replaced by  $p_{j+1}$  iteratively ( $j=0,1,\ldots,m-1$ ), we have the bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_{k+1}^m,T];B^{1+\nu_{k+1}}_{p_m,\infty})}$  with any  $t_{k+1}^m \in (0,T)$  (with the convention  $t_i^0:=t_i$  for  $i=0,1,\ldots,k+1$ ), which ensures that there is some  $p_{m+1}>d/v_{k+1}$  so that  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_{k+1}^m,T];L^{p_{m+1}})}$  is bounded, and then iterating the above process once again leads to the estimate of  $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty([t_{k+1}^m,T];B^{1+\nu_{k+1}}_{p_{m+1},\infty})}$  with any  $t_{k+1}^{m+1} \in (t_{k+1}^m,T)$  and moreover implies that for  $1+\gamma=1+\nu_{k+1}-d/p_{m+1}=(m+2)(1+\nu_{k+1})-d/p$ ,

$$\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_{k+1}^{m+1},T];C^{1,\gamma})} \approx \|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_{k+1}^{m+1},T];B_{\infty,\infty}^{1+\gamma})}$$

$$\leq C \left( \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \prod_{i=0}^{k} (t_{i+1}^{j} - t_{i}^{j})^{-\frac{S_{i+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}} (t_{0}^{j} - t_{k+1}^{j-1})^{-\frac{S_{0}}{\alpha - \sigma}} \right)$$

$$(\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{p}} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(B_{n,\infty}^{\delta} \cap B_{\infty,\infty}^{\delta})}),$$

where  $t_i^0 := t_i$  for i = 0, 1, ..., k+1,  $t_{k+1}^{-1} := 0$ , C > 0 is a constant depending only on  $p, \alpha, \sigma, \delta, d, T$  and  $\|u\|_{L_{\infty}^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}$ .

Therefore, for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\sigma \in [0, \alpha)$ ,  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , and for any  $\tilde{t} \in (0, T)$ , there is some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\alpha - \sigma \in (1/(k+2), 1/(k+1)]$ , and there is some number  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that (3-48) holds, and thus we can choose

$$t_i^j = \frac{j(k+2)+i+1}{(k+2)(m+2)}\tilde{t}$$
 for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k+1, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m+1,$ 

and appropriate  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{k+1} \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  such that  $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1} = 1 + \nu_{k+1}$ . Then we use (3-49) to get that for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

with the constant C depending only on p,  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\delta$ , T, d and  $||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}\dot{C}^{\delta}}$ .

**Step 4:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t',T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$  and any  $t' \in (0,T)$ .

This is achieved by pursuing the above iteration process more times. In fact, for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$ , there exists some  $\tilde{p} < \infty$  so that  $\gamma + d/\tilde{p} < \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1$ , and according to the above Step 3, we may suppose that there is already a bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t'/2,T];B^{s'}_{\tilde{p},\infty})}$  with some  $1 < s' < 1 + \gamma + d/\tilde{p}$ , but by repeating the deduction in Steps 1–2 for several times and due to the increment of regularity index s in each time belonging to  $(0,\alpha-\sigma)$ , we can derive an upper bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t',T];B^{1+\gamma+d/\tilde{p}}_{\tilde{p},\infty})}$ , which also satisfies (3-50) with t' in place of  $\tilde{t}$ .

# **3C.** The existence part. We consider the approximate system

(3-51) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + (u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla)\theta + \mathcal{L}\theta - \epsilon \Delta \theta = f_{\epsilon}, \\ u_{\epsilon} := \phi_{\epsilon} * u, \quad f_{\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon} * f, \quad \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_{0,\epsilon} := \phi_{\epsilon} * \theta_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d}\phi(\epsilon^{-1}x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a test function supported on the ball  $B_1(0)$  satisfying  $0 \le \phi \le 1$ ,  $\phi \equiv 1$  on  $B_{1/2}(0)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, dx = 1$ .

Due to that for all  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\|\theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{B^s_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\epsilon} \|\theta_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  and  $\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_T C^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\epsilon} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_T C^s}$  and  $\|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_T B^s_{p,2}} \lesssim_{\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_T B^s_{p,\infty}}$ , by using a classical procedure (the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  can be treated as Lemma 3.2), we obtain a smooth approximate solution  $\theta^{(\epsilon)} \in C([0,T]; B^s_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T]; C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , s > d/p + 1 for the system (3-51).

Notice that we have the following uniform-in- $\epsilon$  estimates that  $\|\theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p}$ ,  $\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}}$  and  $\|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(B^{\delta}_{p,\infty}\cap B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(B^{\delta}_{p,\infty}\cap B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty})}$ . According to Lemma 3.3, we infer that the solutions  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$  uniformly-in- $\epsilon$  belong to the space  $L^{\infty}([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p([0,T];B^{(\alpha-\sigma)/p}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . From the system (3-51), we also claim that  $\partial_t \theta^{(\epsilon)} \in L^p([0,T];B^{-p}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  uniformly in  $\epsilon > 0$ . Indeed, it is derived

from the following uniform-in- $\epsilon$  estimates:

$$||f_{\epsilon}||_{L_{T}^{p}B_{p,p}^{-2}} \le C||f_{\epsilon}||_{L_{T}^{p}L^{p}} \le C||f||_{L_{T}^{p}L^{p}} \le CT^{1/p}||f||_{L_{T}^{\infty}L^{p}},$$

and (thanks to Lemma 2.2(3))

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{L}\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T B^{-2}_{p,p}} &\leq C \|\mathcal{L}\Delta_{-1}\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T L^p} + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-2j} \|\mathcal{L}\Delta_j \theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T L^p} \\ &\leq C \|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T L^p} + C \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-2j} 2^{j\alpha} \|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T L^p} \\ &\leq C T^{1/p} \|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^\infty_T L^p}, \end{split}$$

and 
$$\|\Delta\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T B^{-2}_{p,p}} \le C \|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^p_T B^0_{p,p}} \le C T^{1/p} \|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^\infty_T L^p}$$
, and

$$\begin{split} \|(u_{\epsilon}\cdot\nabla)\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^{p}_{T}B^{-2}_{p,p}} &\leq C\|u_{\epsilon}\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^{p}_{T}B^{0}_{p,\infty}} \leq CT^{1/p}\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}\|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{p}} \\ &\leq CT^{1/p}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}\|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{p}}. \end{split}$$

Since the embedding  $B_{p,p}^{\alpha-\sigma/p}\hookrightarrow L^p$  is locally compact, the classical Aubin–Lions lemma (see, e.g., [Constantin and Foias 1988, Lemma 8.4]) ensures the strong convergence of  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$  (up to the subsequence, still denoting by  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$ ) to  $\theta$  in  $L_T^p L_{\rm loc}^p$ . From Fatou's lemma, we get  $\theta\in L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p([0,T];B_{p,p}^{\alpha-\sigma/p}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . Noticing also that from  $u\in L_T^\infty C^\delta$  we have  $u_\epsilon\to u$  in  $L_T^\infty L^\infty$  as  $\epsilon\to 0$ , by using Hölder's inequality, it is not hard to check that for any test function  $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d\times[0,T])$  (assuming supp  $\varphi\subseteq \mathcal{O}\times[0,T]$  with a compact set  $\mathcal{O}\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$ ),

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \theta^{(\epsilon)} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \theta u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\theta^{(\epsilon)} - \theta) u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \right| + \left| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \theta (u_{\epsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ & \leq C \|\theta^{(\epsilon)} - \theta\|_{L_{T}^{p} L^{p}(\mathcal{O})} \|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{\infty}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L_{t,x}^{p/(p-1)}} \\ & + \|u_{\epsilon} - u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{\infty}} \|\theta\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{p}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{p/(p-1)}} \\ & \leq C \|\theta^{(\epsilon)} - \theta\|_{L_{T}^{p} L^{p}(\mathcal{O})} \|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{\infty}} + C \|u_{\epsilon} - u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{\infty}} \|\theta\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{p}} \\ & \to 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \end{split}$$

By passing  $\epsilon$  to 0 in (3-51), from  $f_{\epsilon} \to f$  in  $L_T^{\infty} L^p$  and  $\theta_{0,\epsilon} \to \theta_0$  in  $L^p$ , we deduce that  $\theta$  is a distributional solution of (1-1) such that for every  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T])$ 

$$(3-52) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(x,t) \varphi(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta_0(x) \varphi(x,0) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(x,\tau) \partial_\tau \varphi(x,\tau) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u\theta)(x,\tau) \nabla \varphi(x,\tau) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta(x,\tau) \mathcal{L}^* \varphi(x,\tau) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x,\tau) \varphi(x,\tau) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\tau,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Moreover, from Lemma 3.3, the weak solution  $\theta$  also satisfies

(3-53) 
$$\max_{0 \le t \le T} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p} \le e^{C'T} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^p_T L^p}),$$

with some constant  $C' = C'(p, \alpha, \sigma, d)$ . Moreover, by repeating the process in Section 3B for the approximate system (3-51) and using the Fatou lemma, we get

$$\theta \in C((0,T]; C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - 1 - \sigma)$ . Therefore, we conclude Theorem 1.1.

# 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

**4A.** Auxiliary lemmas. Before proceeding with the main proof, we introduce several auxiliary lemmas. First is the maximum principle for the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let the vector field u and the forcing term f be smooth. Assume that

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))$$

(s > d/2+1) is a smooth solution for the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2) under the assumptions of K (1-3)–(1-5). Then we have

(4-1) 
$$\max_{0 \le t \le T} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} dt.$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* Thanks to the nonnegative condition (1-5), the proof is similar to [Córdoba and Córdoba 2004, Theorem 4.1]. We here sketch the proof for the sake of completeness. Since  $\theta(\cdot, t) \in H^s$  with s > d/2 + 1 for any  $0 \le t \le T$ , there exists a point  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $|\theta|$  attains its maximum value; with no loss of

generality we set

$$\theta(x_t, t) = \|\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

It should be noted that  $\nabla_x \theta(x_t, t) = 0$  and due to  $K(y) \ge 0$  we find

$$(\mathcal{L}\theta)(x_t,t) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\theta(x_t,t) - \theta(x_t+y,t)) K(y) dy \ge 0.$$

We thus get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \partial_t \theta(x_t, t) \le \|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \quad \text{for all } 0 \le t \le T.$$

Integrating in time yields the desired estimate (4-1).

The second is the maximum principle with diffusion effect for the following frequency localized drift-diffusion equation

$$(4-2) \partial_t \Delta_j \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Delta_j \theta + \mathcal{L} \Delta_j \theta = g, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by (1-2) with the symmetric kernel K satisfying (1-3)–(1-5).

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume that u and f are suitably smooth functions, and  $\theta$  is a smooth solution to the equation (4-2) satisfying  $\Delta_j \theta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all t > 0 and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist absolute positive constants c and C depending only on  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ , d such that

$$(4-3) \qquad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C \|\Delta_j \theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|g\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.* Denote by  $\theta_j := \Delta_j \theta$ , and from  $\theta_j(t) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a point  $x_{t,j} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\theta_j(t, x_{t,j})| = \|\theta_j\|_{L^\infty} > 0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $\theta_j(t, x_{t,j}) = \|\theta_j\|_{L^\infty} > 0$  (otherwise, we consider the equation of  $-\theta_j$  and replace  $\theta_j$  by  $-\theta_j$  in the following deduction). Now by using (1-2), (1-5), (1-7) and the fact  $\theta(t, x_{t,j}) - \theta(t, x_{t,j} + y) \ge 0$ , we get

$$\mathcal{L}\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)) K(y) \, dy$$

$$= \text{p. v.} \int_{|y| \le 1} (\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)) K(y) \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{|y| > 1} (\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)) K(y) \, dy$$

which then gives

$$(4-4) \quad \mathcal{L}\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) = \geq c_{2}^{-1} \operatorname{p.v.} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$+ \int_{|y| > 1} (\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)) K(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\geq c_{2}^{-1} \operatorname{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$- c_{2}^{-1} \int_{|y| > 1} \frac{\theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - \theta_{j}(x_{t,j} + y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\geq c_{2}^{-1} c_{d,\alpha}^{-1} |D|^{\alpha-\sigma} \theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - 2c_{2}^{-1} \|\theta_{j}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{|y| > 1} \frac{1}{|y|^{d+\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$> c_{2}^{-1} c_{d,\alpha}^{-1} |D|^{\alpha-\sigma} \theta_{j}(x_{t,j}) - C \|\theta_{j}\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

According to [Wang and Zhang 2011, Lemma 3.4], we have

$$(4-5) |D|^{\alpha-\sigma}\theta_i(x_{t,i}) \ge \tilde{c}2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta_i\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

with some generic constant  $\tilde{c} > 0$ . Inserting (4-5) into (4-4) yields

$$(4-6) \mathcal{L}\theta_i(x_{t,j}) \ge c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta_i\|_{L^\infty} - C\|\theta_i\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Hence, by arguing as Lemma 3.2 of the same work and using the fact  $\nabla \theta_j(t, x_{t,j}) = 0$ , we get

$$(4-7) \qquad \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta_j\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \partial_t \theta_j(t, x_{t,j})$$

$$= -u(t, x_{t,j}) \cdot \nabla \theta_j(t, x_{t,j}) - \mathcal{L}\theta_j(t, x_{t,j}) + g(t, x_{t,j})$$

$$\leq -c2^{j(\alpha - \sigma)} \|\theta_j\|_{L^{\infty}} + C\|\theta_j\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|g\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

which finishes the proof of (4-3).

**4B.** A priori estimates. In this subsection, we assume  $\theta$  is a smooth solution with suitable spatial decay for the drift-diffusion equations (1-1)–(1-2) with sufficiently smooth u and f. We intend to show the key a priori differentiability estimate. The proof is divided into four steps.

**Step 1:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_0,T];C^s(\mathbb{R}^d))}$  for any  $s \in (1-\delta, \alpha-\sigma)$  and  $t_0 \in (0,T)$ . For every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \geq 4$ , applying the inhomogeneous dyadic operator  $\Delta_j$  to the equation (1-1), we get

$$(4-8) \quad \partial_t \Delta_i \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Delta_i \theta + \mathcal{L} \Delta_i \theta = \Delta_i f - [\Delta_i, u \cdot \nabla] \theta = \Delta_i f + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where  $I_1$ – $I_3$  defined by (3-14) are the Bony's decomposition of the commutator term  $-[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla]\theta$ . Taking advantage of Lemma 4.2 in the frequency localized

equation (3-13), we get

$$(4-9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ \leq C_{1} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|I_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|I_{2}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|I_{3}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Similarly to the derivation of (3-19) and (3-20), we see that

(4-10) 
$$||I_1||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C 2^{-j\delta} ||u||_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} 2^k ||\Delta_k \theta||_{L^{\infty}},$$

and

$$(4-11) ||I_2||_{L^{\infty}} \le C2^{-j\delta} ||u||_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sum_{k < j} 2^k ||\Delta_k \theta||_{L^{\infty}} \bigg),$$

and for  $||I_3||_{L^{\infty}}$ , by virtue of Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality, we find

$$(4-12) ||I_{3}||_{L^{\infty}} \leq \sum_{k \geq j-2} ||\Delta_{j}(\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta)||_{L^{\infty}} + \sum_{k \geq j-2} ||\Delta_{k}u \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\Delta_{j}\theta||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k \geq j-2} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} 2^{k} ||\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{k(1-\delta)} 2^{k\delta} ||\Delta_{k}u||_{L^{\infty}} ||\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\theta||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C ||u||_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta)} ||\Delta_{k}\theta||_{L^{\infty}} \right).$$

Inserting the upper estimates (4-10)–(4-12) into (4-9), we have

$$(4-13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + c2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C_{2} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}} + C\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{-j\delta} \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$+ C\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta)} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

In particular, by some  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  chosen later (see (4-24)) so that  $c2^{j_1(\alpha-\sigma)} \ge 2C_2$ , or more precisely

$$(4-14) j_1 \ge \left[\frac{1}{\alpha - \sigma} \log_2\left(\frac{2C_2}{c}\right)\right] + 1,$$

we see that for  $j \geq j_1$ ,

$$(4-15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}} + \frac{c}{2} 2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}} + C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{-j\delta} \sum_{k \leq j+4} 2^{k} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$+ C \|u\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta)} \|\Delta_{k}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$:= \|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}} + F_{j}^{1} + F_{j}^{2}.$$

Consequently, Grönwall's inequality guarantees that for every  $j \ge j_1$  and  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$(4-16) \quad \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq e^{-\frac{c}{2}t2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\Delta_{j}\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}}(\tau) + F_{j}^{1}(\tau) + F_{j}^{2}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

On the other hand, we have the classical maximum principle (4-1) for (1-1):

(4-17) 
$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} dt.$$

By arguing as (3-26), we get that for all t > 0,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

(4-18) 
$$2^{js} e^{-\frac{c}{2}t^{2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}}} \|\Delta_{j}\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

we gather (4-16) and (4-17) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4\text{-}19) & \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{s}} \approx \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \\ & \leq \sup_{j \leq j_{1}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ & \leq C2^{j_{1}s} (\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{t}L^{\infty}}) + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ & + \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}}(\tau) + F^{1}_{j}(\tau) + F^{2}_{j}(\tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

For the term containing  $\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^{\infty}}$  and  $F_j^1$ , in a similar way as obtaining (3-28) and (3-29), we obtain that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma + \delta)$  and  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ ,

$$(4-20) \sup_{j \ge j_1} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^{\infty}}(\tau) d\tau \le C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_t \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \ge j_1} 2^{j(s-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)}$$

$$\le C \|f\|_{L^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}},$$

and

$$(4-21) \sup_{j \ge j_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} F_{j}^{1}(\tau) d\tau \\ \le C t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{j_{1}(1-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)} \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}} \left( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s}} \right).$$

For the term including  $F_j^2$  in (4-19), by using (3-12) again, we similarly get that for all  $s \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $\delta \in (1 - \alpha + \sigma, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} F_{j}^{2}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= C \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{js} \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta)} \|\Delta_{k}\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{js} \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta-s)} \bigg) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg) \\ &\sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{j(1-\delta)} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \tau^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_{1}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates (4-20), (4-21), (4-22) into (4-19) yields that for any  $1 - \delta < s < \alpha - \sigma$  and  $0 < t \le T$ ,

$$\begin{split} (4\text{-}23) \quad t^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \\ & \leq Ct^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} (\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{t}L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_{1}s} + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} Ct^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}\dot{C}^{\delta}} \\ & \quad + C2^{j_{1}(1-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}\dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (0,t]} \tau^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg) \\ & \leq CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} (\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{T}L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_{1}s} + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}\dot{C}^{\delta}} \\ & \quad + C2^{-j_{1}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}\dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{t \in (0,T]} t^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Since  $1-\alpha+\sigma-\delta>0$ , by further choosing  $j_1$  such that  $C2^{j_1(1-\alpha+\sigma-\delta)}\|u\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{C}^\delta}\leq \frac{1}{2}$  and (4-14) holds, or more precisely,

$$(4-24) j_1 = \max\left\{ \left[ \frac{\log_2 2C \|u\|_{L_T^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}}}{\delta - (1 - \alpha + \sigma)} \right], \left[ \frac{\log_2 (2C_2/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right], 4 \right\} + 1,$$

we have that for all  $1 - \delta < s < \alpha - \sigma$ ,

(4-25) 
$$\sup_{t \in (0,T]} (t^{\frac{s}{\alpha - \sigma}} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,\infty}})$$

$$\leq C(T+1)(2^{j_1 s} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}L^{\infty}}) + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}),$$

which implies that for arbitrarily small  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  and every  $s_0 \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$(4-26) \quad \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty, \infty}} \leq C t_0^{-\frac{s_0}{\alpha - \sigma}} (T+1) (2^{j_1 s} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}}) + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_T \dot{C}^{\delta}}),$$

with  $j_1$  given by (4-24).

**Step 2:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t_1,T];B^{s_0+s_1}_{\infty,\infty})}$  for  $s_0 \in (1-\delta, \alpha-\sigma), \ s_1 \in (0, \alpha-\sigma)$  and any  $t_1 \in (t_0,T)$ .

For every  $j \ge j_1$  with  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying (4-14) chosen later ( $j_1$  is slightly different from that number in Step 1), applying the Grönwall inequality to (4-15) over the time interval [ $t_0$ ,  $t_0$ ] (for  $t > t_0 > 0$ ) gives

$$(4-27) \quad \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq e^{-(c/2)(t-t_{0})2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t_{0})\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}} + F_{j}^{1} + F_{j}^{2})(\tau) d\tau.$$

Noticing that for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_0 \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$  and all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-t_0)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} \|\Delta_j \theta(t_0)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-t_0)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{js} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty,\infty}}$$

$$\le C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} (t-t_0)^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty,\infty}},$$
(4-28)

by arguing as (4-19) we obtain that for all  $t \ge t_0 > 0$ ,

$$(4-29) \quad \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_{0}+s}_{\infty,\infty}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{j \leq j_{1}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} \|\Delta_{j}\theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C2^{j_{1}(s_{0}+s)} (\|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{t}L^{\infty}}) + C_{\alpha,\sigma,s}(t-t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t_{0})\|_{B^{s_{0}}_{\infty,\infty}}$$

$$+ \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} (\|\Delta_{j}f\|_{L^{\infty}}(\tau) + F_{j}^{1}(\tau) + F_{j}^{2}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

In a similar fashion as the estimation of (3-38), (3-39)–(3-40), we find that for every  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(4-30) \qquad \sup_{j \ge j_1} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(c/2)(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_0+s)} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^{\infty}(\tau)} d\tau \le C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_t \dot{B}^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty}},$$

and

$$(4-31) \sup_{j \ge j_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{C}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} F_{j}^{1}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}}}{2^{j_{1}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))/2}} \Big( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau - t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \Big) (t - t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}$$

if  $0 < s_0 + s \le 1$ , and

$$(4-32) \sup_{j \ge j_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} F_{j}^{1}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{C \|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}}}{2^{j_{1}(\delta-(s_{0}+s-\alpha+\sigma))}} \Big( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau - t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}} \Big) (t - t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}$$

if  $1 < s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ . For the term including  $F_j^2$  in (4-29), by using (3-12) again and the fact that  $s_0 \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$ , we get that for all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (4\text{-}33) \quad & \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} F_{j}^{2}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & = C \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{C}^{\delta}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta)} \|\Delta_{k}\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{j(s_{0}+s)} \bigg( \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{k(1-\delta-s_{0}-s)} \bigg) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_{0}+s}_{\infty,\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t_{0},t]} (\tau-t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_{0}+s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg) \\ & \sup_{j \geq j_{1}} 2^{j(1-\delta)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{c}{2}(t-\tau)2^{j(\alpha-\sigma)}} (\tau-t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{t} \dot{C}^{\delta}} \bigg( \sup_{\tau \in (t-t)} (\tau-t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{s_{0}+s}_{\infty,\infty}} \bigg) (t-t_{0})^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} 2^{-j_{1}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the estimates (4-30)–(4-33) into (4-29), and in a similar way as obtaining (4-23), we have that for every  $t \in (t_0, T]$ ,  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(4-34) \quad (t-t_0)^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{\infty,\infty}} \leq CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} (\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_1(s_0+s)}$$

$$+ C_{\alpha,\sigma,s} \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty,\infty}} + CT^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_t \dot{C}^{\delta}}$$

$$+ \text{additional term}$$

where the additional term is given by

$$\frac{C\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}}{2^{j_{1}(\delta-(1-\alpha+\sigma))/2}}\left(\sup_{t\in(t_{0},T]}(t-t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}\|\theta(t)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}}\right)$$

if  $s_0 + s \le 1$ , and

$$\frac{C\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}}{2^{j_{1}(\delta+\alpha-\sigma-(s_{0}+s))}}\left(\sup_{t\in(t_{0},T]}(t-t_{0})^{\frac{s}{\alpha-\sigma}}\|\theta(t)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_{0}+s}}\right)$$

if  $1 < s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ . Hence we choose  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  as

(4-35) 
$$j_1 = \max \left\{ \left[ \frac{2\log_2 2C \|u\|_{L_T^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}}{\delta - (1 - \alpha + \sigma)} \right], \left[ \frac{\log_2 (2C_2/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right], 4 \right\} + 1$$

if  $s_0 + s \le 1$ , and

(4-36) 
$$j_1 = \max \left\{ \left[ \frac{\log_2 2C \|u\|_{L_T^{\infty} \dot{C}^{\delta}}}{\delta + \alpha - \sigma - (s_0 + s)} \right], \left[ \frac{\log_2 (2C_2/c)}{\alpha - \sigma} \right], 4 \right\} + 1$$

if  $1 < s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ . We thus find that for all  $s \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  and  $s_0 + s < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(4-37) \sup_{t \in (t_0,T]} ((t-t_0)^{s/(\alpha-\sigma)} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0+s}_{\infty,\infty}}) \leq C(T+1) (\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_1(s_0+s)} + C\|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty,\infty}} + C(T+1) \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_x \dot{C}^{\delta}},$$

which specially guarantees that for any  $t_1 > t_0 > 0$  (which may be arbitrarily close to  $t_0$ ) and every  $s_0 \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,  $s_1 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0 + s_1 < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(4-38) \sup_{t \in [t_1, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0 + s_1}_{\infty, \infty}}$$

$$\leq C(t_1 - t_0)^{-\frac{s_1}{\alpha - \sigma}} ((T+1)(\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_1(s_0 + s_1)} + \|\theta(t_0)\|_{B^{s_0}_{\infty, \infty}})$$

$$+ C(t_1 - t_0)^{-\frac{s_1}{\alpha - \sigma}} (T+1) \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{\infty} \dot{C}^{s}},$$

with  $j_1$  given by (4-35)–(4-36).

**Step 3:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([\tilde{t},T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$  and any  $\tilde{t} \in (0,T)$ .

If  $\alpha - \sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we can select appropriate  $s_0 \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$ ,  $s_1 \in (0, \alpha - \sigma)$  so that  $1 < s_0 + s_1 < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ , thus from (4-38) we obtain that for  $\gamma = s_0 + s_1 - 1 > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in [t_1, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{1, \gamma}} \approx \sup_{t \in [t_1, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0 + s_1}_{\infty, \infty}} \le C,$$

with C the bound on the right-hand side of (4-38).

For the remained scope  $\alpha - \sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we have to iterate the above procedure in Step 2 for more times. Assume that for some small number  $t_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have a finite bound on  $\|\theta(t_k)\|_{B^{s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_k}_{\infty,\infty}}$  with  $s_0 \in (1-\delta, \alpha-\sigma)$ ,  $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in (0, \alpha-\sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_k \leq 1$ , then by arguing as (4-38), we infer that for any

 $t_{k+1} > t_k$ ,  $s_{k+1} \in (1 - \delta, \alpha - \sigma)$  satisfying  $s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_{k+1} < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ ,

$$(4-39) \sup_{t \in [t_{k+1}, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1}}_{\infty, \infty}} \le C(t_{k+1} - t_k)^{-\frac{s_{k+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}}$$

$$((T+1)(\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}}) 2^{j_1(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} s_i)} + \|\theta(t_k)\|_{B^{\sum_{i=0}^k s_i}})$$

$$+ C(t_{k+1} - t_k)^{-\frac{s_{k+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}} (T+1) \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_T \dot{C}^{\delta}},$$

where  $j_1$  is also given by (4-35)–(4-36) with  $s_0+s_1$  replaced by  $s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}$ . Hence if  $\alpha-\sigma\in(1/(k+2),1/(k+1)],\ k\in\mathbb{N}^+$ , we can choose appropriate numbers  $s_0,s_1,\ldots,s_{k+1}\in(1-\delta,\alpha-\sigma)$  so that  $1< s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}<\delta+\alpha-\sigma$ , and by repeating the above process for (k+1)-times, we deduce that for  $\gamma=s_0+s_1+\cdots+s_{k+1}-1>0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in [t_{k+1}, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{C^{1,\gamma}} \approx \sup_{t \in [t_{k+1}, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{B^{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1}}_{\infty, \infty}}$$

$$\leq C \left( \prod_{i=0}^{k} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{-\frac{s_{i+1}}{\alpha - \sigma}} t_0^{-\frac{s_0}{\alpha - \sigma}} \right) (\|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}}),$$

with C a finite constant depending on  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\delta$ , T, d and  $||u||_{L_T^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}$ .

Hence for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\sigma \in [0, \alpha)$ , and for any  $\tilde{t} \in (0, T)$ , there is some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\alpha - \sigma \in (1/(k+2), 1/(k+1)]$ , and we can choose  $t_i = (i+1)/(k+2)\tilde{t}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k+1$  and appropriate numbers  $s_0 \in (1-\delta, \alpha-\sigma)$ ,  $s_1, \ldots, s_{k+1} \in (0, \alpha-\sigma)$  such that  $1 < s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_{k+1} < \delta + \alpha - \sigma$ , thus from (4-40) we deduce that for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

with the constant C depending only on  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\delta$ , T, d and  $||u||_{L_{\infty}^{\infty}\dot{C}^{\delta}}$ .

**Step 4:** the estimation of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([\tilde{t},T];C^{1,\gamma})}$  for any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$  and any  $t' \in (0,T)$ .

After obtaining the estimate of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t'/2,T];B^{\tilde{s}}_{\infty,\infty})}$  with some  $1<\tilde{s}<1+\gamma$  for any  $\gamma\in(0,\delta+\alpha-\sigma-1)$ , we can repeat the deduction in Steps 1–2 for several times and due to the increment of regularity index s at each time belonging to  $(0,\alpha-\sigma)$ , we can derive an upper bound of  $\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}([t',T];B^{1+\gamma}_{\infty,\infty})}$  by establishing (4-41) with t' in place of  $\tilde{t}$ .

**4C.** *Uniform-in-\epsilon differentiability estimates of the regularized system.* We consider the approximate system

(4-42) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + (u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla)\theta + \mathcal{L}\theta - \epsilon \Delta \theta = f_{\epsilon}, \\ u_{\epsilon} := \phi_{\epsilon} * u, \quad f_{\epsilon} := \phi_{\epsilon} * f, \\ \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_{0,\epsilon} := \phi_{\epsilon} * (\theta_0 1_{B_{1/\epsilon}(0)}). \end{cases}$$

Here  $1_{\Omega}(x)$  is the standard indicator function on the set  $\Omega$  and  $\phi_{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{-d}\phi(\epsilon^{-1}x) \in C_{\epsilon}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  is the function introduced in Section 3C.

Due to  $\theta_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we see that  $\theta_{0,\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon} * (\theta_0 1_{B_{1/\epsilon}(0)})$  is smooth for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $\|\theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\epsilon} \|\theta_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Similarly from  $u \in L^{\infty}([0,T];C^{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $f(t) \in C^{\delta} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for every  $t \in [0,T]$ , we get  $u_{\epsilon} \in L^{\infty}([0,T];C^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for all  $s \geq \delta$  and  $f_{\epsilon} \in L^{\infty}([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Hence, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , by the classical method (e.g., [Miao and Xue 2015, Proposition 7.1]), we obtain an approximate solution  $\theta^{(\epsilon)} \in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1((0,T];C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , s > d/2 + 1 for the system (4-42).

Since we have the uniform-in- $\epsilon$  estimates that  $\|\theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|\theta_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ ,  $\|u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}}$  and  $\|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}C^{\delta}}$ , we consider the equation of  $\theta^{(\epsilon)}$  and by arguing as (4-41) and Step 4 in the above subsection, we can derive the uniform-in- $\epsilon$  estimate of  $\|\theta^{(\epsilon)}\|_{L^{\infty}([t',T];C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))}$  with any  $\gamma \in (0, \delta + \alpha - \sigma - 1)$  and  $t' \in (0, T)$ .

Therefore, we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.2.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee and the corresponding editor for their insightful comments and many valuable suggestions, which greatly improved the exposition of the manuscript. L. Xue was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11401027, 11671039) and Youth Scholars Program of Beijing Normal University. Z. Ye was supported by the Foundation of Jiangsu Normal University (No. 16XLR029), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20170224), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11701232).

#### References

[Bahouri et al. 2011] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **343**, Springer, 2011. MR Zbl [Caffarelli and Silvestre 2007] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, "An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian", *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32**:7-9 (2007), 1245–1260. MR Zbl

[Caffarelli and Silvestre 2011] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, "Regularity results for nonlocal equations by approximation", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **200**:1 (2011), 59–88. MR Zbl

[Caffarelli and Vasseur 2010] L. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur, "Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **171**:3 (2010), 1903–1930. MR Zbl

[Caffarelli et al. 2011] L. Caffarelli, C. H. Chan, and A. Vasseur, "Regularity theory for parabolic nonlinear integral operators", *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**:3 (2011), 849–869. MR Zbl

[Chae and Wu 2012] D. Chae and J. Wu, "The 2D Boussinesq equations with logarithmically supercritical velocities", *Adv. Math.* **230**:4-6 (2012), 1618–1645. MR Zbl

[Chamorro and Lemarié-Rieusset 2012] D. Chamorro and P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, "Quasi-geostrophic equations, nonlinear Bernstein inequalities and  $\alpha$ -stable processes", *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **28**:4 (2012), 1109–1122. MR Zbl

- [Chamorro and Menozzi 2016] D. Chamorro and S. Menozzi, "Fractional operators with singular drift: smoothing properties and Morrey–Campanato spaces", *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **32**:4 (2016), 1445–1499. MR Zbl
- [Chen et al. 2007] Q. Chen, C. Miao, and Z. Zhang, "A new Bernstein's inequality and the 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **271**:3 (2007), 821–838. MR Zbl
- [Chen et al. 2015] Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song, and X. Zhang, "Stochastic flows for Lévy processes with Hölder drifts", preprint, 2015. arXiv
- [Constantin and Foias 1988] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier–Stokes equations*, Univ. Chicago Press, 1988. MR Zbl
- [Constantin and Vicol 2012] P. Constantin and V. Vicol, "Nonlinear maximum principles for dissipative linear nonlocal operators and applications", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **22**:5 (2012), 1289–1321. MR Zbl
- [Constantin and Wu 2008] P. Constantin and J. Wu, "Regularity of Hölder continuous solutions of the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation", *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **25**:6 (2008), 1103–1110. MR Zbl
- [Córdoba and Córdoba 2004] A. Córdoba and D. Córdoba, "A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **249**:3 (2004), 511–528. MR Zbl
- [Dabkowski et al. 2014] M. Dabkowski, A. Kiselev, L. Silvestre, and V. Vicol, "Global well-posedness of slightly supercritical active scalar equations", *Anal. PDE* 7:1 (2014), 43–72. MR Zbl
- [Hmidi 2011] T. Hmidi, "On a maximum principle and its application to the logarithmically critical Boussinesq system", *Anal. PDE* **4**:2 (2011), 247–284. MR Zbl
- [Husseini and Kassmann 2007] R. Husseini and M. Kassmann, "Markov chain approximations for symmetric jump processes", *Potential Anal.* 27:4 (2007), 353–380. MR Zbl
- [Jacob 2005] N. Jacob, *Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes, III: Markov processes and applications*, Imperial College Press, London, 2005. MR Zbl
- [Kassmann 2009] M. Kassmann, "A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels", Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34:1 (2009), 1–21. MR Zbl
- [Kiselev and Nazarov 2009] A. Kiselev and F. Nazarov, "A variation on a theme of Caffarelli and Vasseur", *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **370** (2009), 58–72. In Russian; translated in *J. Math. Sci.* (*N.Y.*) **166**:1 (2010), 31–39. MR Zbl
- [Kiselev et al. 2007] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, and A. Volberg, "Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation", *Invent. Math.* **167**:3 (2007), 445–453. MR Zbl
- [Komatsu 1995] T. Komatsu, "Uniform estimates for fundamental solutions associated with non-local Dirichlet forms", *Osaka J. Math.* **32**:4 (1995), 833–860. MR Zbl
- [Maekawa and Miura 2013] Y. Maekawa and H. Miura, "On fundamental solutions for non-local parabolic equations with divergence free drift", *Adv. Math.* **247** (2013), 123–191. MR Zbl
- [Miao and Xue 2015] C. Miao and L. Xue, "On the regularity issues of a class of drift-diffusion equations with nonlocal diffusion", preprint, 2015. arXiv
- [Sato 1999] K. Sato, *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **68**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999. MR Zbl
- [Silvestre 2012a] L. Silvestre, "Hölder estimates for advection fractional-diffusion equations", *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **11**:4 (2012), 843–855. MR Zbl
- [Silvestre 2012b] L. Silvestre, "On the differentiability of the solution to an equation with drift and fractional diffusion", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **61**:2 (2012), 557–584. MR Zbl

[Silvestre et al. 2013] L. Silvestre, V. Vicol, and A. Zlatoš, "On the loss of continuity for super-critical drift-diffusion equations", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **207**:3 (2013), 845–877. MR Zbl

[Tao 2009] T. Tao, "Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier–Stokes equation", *Anal. PDE* **2**:3 (2009), 361–366. MR Zbl

[Wang and Zhang 2011] H. Wang and Z. Zhang, "A frequency localized maximum principle applied to the 2D quasi-geostrophic equation", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **301**:1 (2011), 105–129. MR Zbl

Received March 1, 2017. Revised August 30, 2017.

LIUTANG XUE
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
BEIJING
CHINA
xuelt@bnu.edu.cn

ZHUAN YE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
JIANGSU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
XUZHOU

yezhuan815@126.com

CHINA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

# msp.org/pjm

#### **EDITORS**

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

#### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 293 No. 2 April 2018

Sums of CR functions from competing CR structures	257
DAVID E. BARRETT and DUSTY E. GRUNDMEIER	
On Tate duality and a projective scalar property for symmetric algebra FLORIAN EISELE, MICHAEL GELINE, RADHA KESSAR and MARKUS LINCKELMANN	s 277
Coaction functors, II	301
S. KALISZEWSKI, MAGNUS B. LANDSTAD and JOHN QUIGG	
Construction of a Rapoport–Zink space for GU(1, 1) in the ramified 2-adic case	341
Daniel Kirch	
Group and round quadratic forms	391
JAMES O'SHEA	
Dual operator algebras close to injective von Neumann algebras  JEAN ROYDOR	407
Scalar curvature and singular metrics	427
YUGUANG SHI and LUEN-FAI TAM	
On the differentiability issue of the drift-diffusion equation with nonlocal Lévy-type diffusion	471
I HITANG VIIE and ZHHAN VE	