

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**LINKAGE OF MODULES WITH RESPECT TO
A SEMIDUALIZING MODULE**

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI AND ARASH SADEGHI

LINKAGE OF MODULES WITH RESPECT TO A SEMIDUALIZING MODULE

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI AND ARASH SADEGHI

The notion of linkage with respect to a semidualizing module is introduced. This notion enables us to study the theory of linkage for modules in the Bass class with respect to a semidualizing module. It is shown that over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module, every Cohen–Macaulay module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is linked with respect to the canonical module. For a linked module M with respect to a semidualizing module, the connection between the Serre condition (S_n) on M and the vanishing of certain local cohomology modules of its linked module is discussed.

1. Introduction

The theory of linkage of ideals in commutative algebra was introduced by Peskine and Szpiro [1974]. Recall that two ideals I and J in a Cohen–Macaulay local ring R are said to be linked if there is a regular sequence α in their intersection such that $I = (\alpha : J)$ and $J = (\alpha : I)$. One of the main results in the theory of linkage, due to C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, indicates that the Cohen–Macaulay-ness property is preserved under linkage over Gorenstein local rings. They also give a counterexample to show that the above result is no longer true if the base ring is Cohen–Macaulay but non-Gorenstein. Attempts to generalize this theorem have led to several developments in linkage theory, especially by C. Huneke and B. Ulrich [Huneke 1982; Huneke and Ulrich 1987]. Schenzel [1982] used the theory of dualizing complexes to extend the basic properties of linkage to the linkage by Gorenstein ideals.

The classical linkage theory has been extended to modules by Martin [2000], Yoshino and Isogawa [2000], Martsinkovsky and Strooker [2004], and Nagel [2005], in different ways. Based on these generalizations, several works have been done on studying the linkage theory in the context of modules; see for example [Dibaei

Dibaei was supported in part by a grant from the IPM (No. 95130110). Sadeghi was supported by a grant from the IPM.

MSC2010: primary 13C40; secondary 13D05.

Keywords: linkage of modules, Auslander classes, Bass classes, semidualizing modules, G_C -dimensions.

et al. 2011; Dibaei and Sadeghi 2013; 2015; Ima and Takahashi 2016; Sadeghi 2017; Celikbas et al. 2017]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of linkage with respect to a semidualizing module. This is a new notion of linkage for modules and includes the concept of linkage due to Martsinkovsky and Strooker.

To be more precise, let M and N be R -modules and let α be an ideal of R which is contained in $\text{Ann}_R(M) \cap \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Assume that K is a semidualizing R/α -module. We say that M is linked to N with respect to K if $M \cong \lambda_{R/\alpha}(K, N)$ and $N \cong \lambda_{R/\alpha}(K, M)$, where

$$\lambda_{R/\alpha}(K, -) := \Omega_K \text{Tr}_K \text{Hom}_{R/\alpha}(K, -),$$

where Ω_K , Tr_K are the syzygy and transpose operators, respectively, with respect to K . This notion enables us to study the theory of linkage for modules in the Bass class with respect to a semidualizing module. In the first main result of this paper, over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module, it is proved that every Cohen–Macaulay module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is linked with respect to the canonical module (see [Theorem 3.12](#)). More precisely:

Theorem A. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d with canonical module ω_R . Assume that \mathfrak{a} is a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of grade n and that M is a Cohen–Macaulay R -module of grade n and of finite Gorenstein injective dimension (equivalently $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$). If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ and M is $\omega_{R/\mathfrak{a}}$ -stable, then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) M is linked by ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{R/\mathfrak{a}}$.
- (ii) $\lambda_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_{R/\mathfrak{a}}, M)$ has finite Gorenstein injective dimension.
- (iii) $\lambda_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_{R/\mathfrak{a}}, M)$ is Cohen–Macaulay of grade n .

Martsinkovsky and Strooker [2004, Corollary 2] proved that horizontal linkage preserves the maximal Cohen–Macaulay-ness property over Gorenstein rings, while it may not preserve this property over non-Gorenstein rings. [Theorem A](#) shows that, over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with the canonical module, horizontal linkage with respect to canonical module preserves maximal Cohen–Macaulay-ness for every module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Note that over a Gorenstein ring, every module has finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Therefore, [Theorem A](#) can be viewed as a generalization of [[Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Corollary 2](#)].

Recall that an R -module M is called G -perfect if $\text{grade}_R(M) = G\text{-dim}_R(M)$. If R is Cohen–Macaulay then M is G -perfect if and only if M is Cohen–Macaulay and $G\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$. Let us denote the category of G -perfect R -modules by \mathcal{X} , and the category of Cohen–Macaulay R -modules of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is by \mathcal{Y} . [Theorem A](#) enables us to obtain the following adjoint equivalence (see [Theorems 3.13 and 3.14](#)).

Theorem B. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal, $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$. There is an adjoint equivalence*

$$\left\{ M \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{array}{l} M \text{ is linked by} \\ \text{the ideal } \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, -)} \end{array} \left\{ N \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \begin{array}{l} N \text{ is linked by the ideal} \\ \mathfrak{a} \text{ with respect to } \omega_{\bar{R}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R . For a linked R -module M , with respect to the canonical module, we study the connection between the Serre condition on M with vanishing of certain local cohomology modules of its linked module. We also establish a duality on local cohomology modules of a linked module which is a generalization of [Schenzel 1982, Theorem 4.1; Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Theorem 10] (see Corollaries 4.9 and 4.12).

Theorem C. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 1$ with canonical module ω_R . Assume that an R -module M is horizontally linked to an R -module N with respect to ω_R and that M has finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) M satisfies (S_n) if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(N) = 0$ for $d - n < i < d$.
- (ii) If M is generalized Cohen–Macaulay then

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-i}(N), E_R(k)) \quad \text{for } 0 < i < d.$$

In particular, N is generalized Cohen–Macaulay.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we collect preliminary notions, definitions and some known results which will be used in this paper. In Section 3, the precise definition of linkage with respect to a semidualizing is given. We obtain some necessary conditions for an R -module to be linked with respect to a semidualizing (see Theorem 3.7). As a consequence, we prove Theorems A and B in this section. In Section 4, for a linked R -module M , with respect to a semidualizing, the relation between the Serre condition \tilde{S}_n on M with vanishing of certain relative cohomology modules of its linked module is studied. As a consequence, we prove Theorem C.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, R is a commutative Noetherian semiperfect ring and all R -modules are finitely generated. Note that a commutative ring R is semiperfect if and only if it is a finite direct product of commutative local rings [Lam 1991, Theorem 23.11]. Whenever, R is assumed to be local, its unique maximal ideal is denoted by \mathfrak{m} . The canonical module of R is denoted by ω_R .

Let M be an R -module. For a finite projective presentation $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M , its transpose $\text{Tr}M$ is defined as $\text{Coker } f^*$, where $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$, which satisfies the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \xrightarrow{f^*} P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr}M \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, $\text{Tr}M$ is unique up to projective equivalence. Thus all minimal projective presentations of M represent isomorphic transposes of M . The syzygy module ΩM of M is the kernel of an epimorphism $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} M$, where P is a projective R -module which is unique up to projective equivalence. Thus ΩM is uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, by a projective cover of M .

Martsinkovsky and Strooker [2004] generalized the notion of linkage for modules over noncommutative semiperfect Noetherian rings (i.e., finitely generated modules over such rings have projective covers). In Proposition 1 of that paper, they introduced the operator $\lambda := \Omega\text{Tr}$ and showed that ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are linked by zero ideal if and only if $R/\mathfrak{a} \cong \lambda(R/\mathfrak{b})$ and $R/\mathfrak{b} \cong \lambda(R/\mathfrak{a})$.

Definition 2.1 [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Definition 3]. Two R -modules M and N are said to be *horizontally linked* if $M \cong \lambda N$ and $N \cong \lambda M$. Equivalently, M is horizontally linked (to λM) if and only if $M \cong \lambda^2 M$.

A *stable* module is a module with no nonzero projective direct summands. An R -module M is called a *syzygy module* if it is embedded in a projective R -module. Let i be a positive integer, an R -module M is said to be an i -th syzygy if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0,$$

where the P_0, \dots, P_{i-1} are projective. By convention, every module is a 0-th syzygy.

Here is a characterization of horizontally linked modules.

Theorem 2.2 [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Theorem 2 and Proposition 3]. *An R -module M is horizontally linked if and only if it is stable and $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}M, R) = 0$, equivalently M is stable and is a syzygy module.*

Semidualizing modules were initially studied in [Foxby 1972; Golod 1984].

Definition 2.3. An R -module C is called a *semidualizing* module if the homothety morphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism and $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

It is clear that R itself is a semidualizing R -module. Over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring R , a canonical module ω_R of R , if it exists, is a semidualizing module with finite injective dimension.

Conventions 2.4. Throughout let C denote a semidualizing R -module. We set $(-)^{\nabla} = \text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ and $(-)^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_R(C, -)$. The notation $(-)^*$ stands for the R -dual functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$. The canonical module of a Cohen–Macaulay local ring, if it exists, is denoted as ω_R ; then we set $(-)^{\dagger} = \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$.

Let $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective presentation of an R -module M . The transpose of M with respect to C , denoted by $\text{Tr}_C M$, is defined to be $\text{Coker } f^\nabla$, which satisfies the exact sequence

$$(2.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow M^\nabla \rightarrow P_0^\nabla \xrightarrow{f^\nabla} P_1^\nabla \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C M \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Foxby 1972, Proposition 3.1], there exists the exact sequence

$$(2.4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C M, C) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\nabla\nabla} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr}_C M, C) \rightarrow 0.$$

The Gorenstein dimension has been extended to G_C -dimension in [Foxby 1972; Golod 1984].

Definition 2.5. An R -module M is said to have G_C -dimension zero if M is C -reflexive, i.e., the canonical map $M \rightarrow M^{\nabla\nabla}$ is bijective, and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(M^\nabla, C)$ for all $i > 0$.

A G_C -resolution of an R -module M is a right acyclic complex of G_C -dimension zero modules whose 0-th homology is M . The module M is said to have finite G_C -dimension, denoted by $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M)$, if it has a G_C -resolution of finite length.

Note that, over a local ring R , a semidualizing R -module C is a canonical module if and only if $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ for all finitely generated R -modules M ; see [Gerko 2001, Proposition 1.3].

In the following, we summarize some basic facts about G_C -dimension; see [Auslander and Bridger 1969; Golod 1984] for more details.

Theorem 2.6. For an R -module M , the following statements hold true:

- (i) $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}_C M, C)$ for all $i > 0$.
- (ii) $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if and only if $G_C\text{-dim}_R(\text{Tr}_C M) = 0$.
- (iii) If $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ then $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \neq 0, i \geq 0\}$.
- (iv) If R is local and $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$, then $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = \text{depth } R - \text{depth}_R(M)$.

The Gorenstein injective dimension was introduced by Enochs and Jenda [1995].

Definition 2.7 [Enochs and Jenda 1995; Christensen 2000, Definition 6.2.2]. An R -module M is said to be *Gorenstein injective* if there is an exact sequence

$$I_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} I_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} I_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

of injective R -modules such that $M \cong \text{Ker}(\partial_0)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(E, I_\bullet)$ is exact for any injective R -module E . The Gorenstein injective dimension of M , denoted by $\text{Gid}(M)$, is defined as the infimum of n for which there exists an exact sequence as I_\bullet with $M \cong \text{Ker}(I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1})$ and $I_i = 0$ for all $i < -n$. The Gorenstein injective dimension is a refinement of the classical injective dimension, $\text{Gid}(M) \leq \text{id}(M)$,

with equality if $\text{id}(M) < \infty$; see [Christensen 2000, Definition 6.2.6]. It follows that every module over a Gorenstein ring has finite Gorenstein injective dimension.

Definition 2.8. The *Auslander class with respect to C* , denoted by \mathcal{A}_C , consists of all R -modules M satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The natural map $\mu : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(C, M \otimes_R C)$ for all $i > 0$.

Dually, the *Bass class with respect to C* , denoted by \mathcal{B}_C , consists of all R -modules M satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The natural evaluation map $\mu : C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M), C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(C, M)$ for all $i > 0$.

In the following we collect some basic properties and examples of modules in the Auslander class, respectively in the Bass class, with respect to C , which will be used in the rest of this paper.

Fact 2.9. The following statements hold:

- (i) If any two R -modules in a short exact sequence are in \mathcal{A}_C , respectively \mathcal{B}_C , then so is the third one [Foxby 1972, Lemma 1.3]. Hence, every module of finite projective dimension is in the Auslander class \mathcal{A}_C . Also the class \mathcal{B}_C contains all modules of finite injective dimension.
- (ii) Over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring R with canonical module ω_R , we have $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$ if and only if $\text{G-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ [Foxby 1975, Theorem 1]. Similarly, $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$ if and only if $\text{Gid}_R(M) < \infty$ [Christensen et al. 2006, Theorem 4.4].
- (iii) The \mathcal{P}_C -projective dimension of M , denoted by $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$, is less than or equal to n if and only if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \otimes_R C \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_R C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that each P_i is a projective R -module [Takahashi and White 2010, Corollary 2.10]. Note that if M has a finite \mathcal{P}_C -projective dimension, then $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$ by Corollary 2.9 of the same paper.

- (iv) $M \in \mathcal{A}_C$ if and only if $M \otimes_R C \in \mathcal{B}_C$. Similarly, $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$ if and only if $M^\vee \in \mathcal{A}_C$ [Takahashi and White 2010, Theorem 2.8].

Definition 2.10. Let M and N be R -modules. Denote by $\beta(M, N)$ the set of R -homomorphisms of M to N which pass through projective modules. That is, an R -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ lies in $\beta(M, N)$ if and only if it is factored as $M \rightarrow P \rightarrow N$, where P is projective. We denote the stable homomorphisms from M to N as the quotient module

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N) / \beta(M, N).$$

By [Yoshino 1990, Lemma 3.9], there is a natural isomorphism

$$(2.10.1) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}M, N).$$

The class of C -projective modules is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_C = \{P \otimes_R C \mid P \text{ is projective}\}.$$

Two R -modules M and N are said to be *stably equivalent* with respect to C , denoted by $M \underset{C}{\cong} N$, if $C_1 \oplus M \cong C_2 \oplus N$ for some C -projective modules C_1 and C_2 . We write $M \approx N$ when M and N are stably equivalent with respect to R . An R -module M is called *C -stable* if M does not have a direct summand isomorphic to a C -projective module. An R -module M is called a *C -syzygy module* if it is embedded in a C -projective R -module.

Remark 2.11. Let M be an R -module.

- (i) Let $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be the minimal projective presentation of M . Then $\text{Tr}M \otimes_R C \cong \text{Tr}_C M$; see [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015, Remark 2.1(i)].
- (ii) Note that, by [Martsinkovsky 2010, Proposition 3(a)], $(P_1)^* \rightarrow \text{Tr}M \rightarrow 0$ is minimal. Therefore, by (i), we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_C \text{Tr}_C M \rightarrow (P_1)^* \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Omega_C \text{Tr}_C M := \text{Im } f^\nabla$.

- (iii) It follows, by (2.10.1), that if $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, C) = 0$, then $\Omega_C \text{Tr}_C M \cong \lambda M \otimes_R C$.

Definition 2.12 [Mašek 2000]. An R -module M is said to satisfy the property \tilde{S}_k if $\text{depth}_{R_p}(M_p) \geq \min\{k, \text{depth } R_p\}$ for all $p \in \text{Spec } R$.

Note that, for a horizontally linked module M over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring R , the properties \tilde{S}_k and (S_k) are identical.

3. Horizontal linkage with respect to a semidualizing

In this section C stands for a semidualizing R -module and M is an R -module. Set $(M)^\vee := \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ as in Conventions 2.4. In order to develop the notion of linkage with respect to C , we give the following definition.

Definition 3.1. The *linkage of M with respect to C* is defined as the module $\lambda_R(C, M) := \Omega_C \text{Tr}_C(M)^\vee$. The module M is said to be horizontally linked to an R -module N with respect to C if $\lambda_R(C, M) \cong N$ and $\lambda_R(C, N) \cong M$. Equivalently, M is horizontally linked (to $\lambda_R(C, M)$) with respect to C if and only if $M \cong \lambda_R^2(C, M) (= \lambda_R(C, \lambda_R(C, M)))$. In this situation M is called a *horizontally linked module with respect to C* .

Assume that $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow 0$ is the minimal projective presentation of M^\vee . By Remark 2.11, $\lambda_R(C, M) = \text{Im}(f^\vee)$ and we obtain the exact sequence

$$(3.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \lambda_R(C, M) \rightarrow (P_1)^* \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C(M^\vee) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $\lambda_R(C, M)$ is unique, up to isomorphism. Having defined the horizontal linkage with respect to a semidualizing module C , the general linkage for modules is defined as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and let K be a semidualizing R/\mathfrak{a} -module. An R -module M is said to be *linked* to an R -module N by the ideal \mathfrak{a} , with respect to K , if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M) \cap \text{Ann}_R(N)$ and M and N are horizontally linked with respect to K as R/\mathfrak{a} -modules. In this situation we write $M \overset{K}{\underset{\mathfrak{a}}{\sim}} N$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that an R -module M satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) M is a C -stable and C -syzygy.
- (ii) $\text{Hom}_R(M^\vee, C) = 0 = \text{Hom}_R(\lambda(M^\vee), C)$.
- (iii) $M \cong C \otimes_R M^\vee$ and $\lambda(M^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R \lambda(M^\vee))$.

Then M is a horizontally linked R -module with respect to C .

Proof. As M is C -stable, by (iii), M^\vee is stable. By (i), we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \otimes_R C$ for some projective R -module P . By applying the functor $(-)^\vee$ to the above exact sequence, it is easy to see that M^\vee is a first syzygy. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that M^\vee is horizontally linked. In other words, $M^\vee \cong \lambda^2(M^\vee)$. Therefore, we obtain the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M \cong C \otimes_R M^\vee &\cong C \otimes_R \lambda^2(M^\vee) \\ &\cong \Omega_C \text{Tr}_C(\lambda(M^\vee)) \\ &\cong \Omega_C \text{Tr}_C \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R \lambda(M^\vee)) \\ &\cong \lambda_R(C, \lambda_R(C, M)), \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 2.11(iii) and our assumptions. □

For an integer n , set $X^n(R) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq n\}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let M be an R -module. Consider the natural map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R C).$$

Then the following statements hold true:

- (i) If M satisfies \tilde{S}_1 and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a monomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^0(R)$, then μ is a monomorphism.
- (ii) If M satisfies \tilde{S}_2 , $M \otimes_R C$ satisfies \tilde{S}_1 and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$, then μ is an isomorphism.

Proof. (i) Set $L = \text{Ker}(\mu)$ and let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(L)$. Therefore, $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. As M satisfies \tilde{S}_1 , we know $\mathfrak{p} \in X^0(R)$ and so $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, μ is a monomorphism.

(ii) By (i), μ is a monomorphism. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R C) \rightarrow L' \rightarrow 0,$$

where $L' := \text{Coker}(\mu)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(L')$. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R C)) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_R C)$, then $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M \otimes_R C)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. As $M \otimes_R C$ satisfies \tilde{S}_1 , one obtains $\mathfrak{p} \in X^0(R)$, which is a contradiction, because $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^0(R)$. Now let $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R C)_{\mathfrak{p}}) > 0$. It follows easily from the above exact sequence that $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. As $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfies \tilde{S}_2 , we know $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$, which is a contradiction because $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$. Therefore $L' = 0$ and μ is an isomorphism. \square

The proof of the following lemma is dual to the proof of [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015, Lemma 2.11].

Lemma 3.5. *Let R be a local ring, $n \geq 0$ an integer, and M an R -module. If $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$, then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) $\text{depth}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M^\vee)$ and $\dim_R(M) = \dim_R(M^\vee)$.
- (ii) M satisfies \tilde{S}_n if and only if M^\vee does.
- (iii) M is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if M^\vee is Cohen–Macaulay.

Lemma 3.6 [Sather-Wagstaff et al. 2010, Lemma 2.8]. *Let M be an R -module that is in the Bass class \mathcal{B}_C . Then $\text{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{G-dim}_R(M^\vee) = 0$.*

In the following result, we give sufficient conditions for an element $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$ to be a horizontally linked module with respect to C .

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$ is a C -syzygy and that $\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$. If M is C -stable and $\text{Hom}_R(M^\vee, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(M, C)$, then M is a horizontally linked module with respect to C .*

Proof. We shall prove that the conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied. First note that

$$(3.7.1) \quad M \cong M^\vee \otimes C,$$

because $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$. As seen in the proof of Lemma 3.3, M^\vee is horizontally linked. In other words, $M^\vee \cong \lambda^2(M^\vee)$ and so we obtain the exact sequence

$$(3.7.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow P \rightarrow \text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee) \rightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective module. Applying $-\otimes_R C$ gives the exact sequence

$$(3.7.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), C) \rightarrow M^\vee \otimes_R C \rightarrow P \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee) \otimes_R C \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), C))$. It follows from (3.7.1) and the exact sequence (3.7.3) that $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M^\vee \otimes_R C)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. As M is a C -syzygy module, $\mathfrak{p} \in X^0(R)$. Note that, by Fact 2.9(iv), $M^\vee \in \mathcal{A}_C$ and so, $\text{G-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M^\vee_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in X^0(R)$ by Fact 2.9(ii) and Theorem 2.6(iv). As $\lambda(M^\vee)$ is a syzygy, one has

$$(3.7.4) \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), R) = 0.$$

It follows from (3.7.4), Theorem 2.6 and the exact sequence (3.7.2) that

$$\text{G-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee))_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0.$$

In other words, by Fact 2.9(ii), $(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee))_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{A}_{C_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Hence $\text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), C)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(\lambda(M^\vee), C) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), C) = 0$ by (2.10.1).

Now we prove that the natural map $\mu : \lambda(M^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R \lambda(M^\vee))$ is an isomorphism. To this end, we concentrate on Lemma 3.4. As M^\vee is horizontally linked, we obtain the isomorphisms

$$(3.7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr}\lambda(M^\vee), R) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\lambda^2(M^\vee), R) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M^\vee, R) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M^\vee, C^\vee) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by [Takahashi and White 2010, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2]. It follows from (3.7.4) and (3.7.5) that $\lambda(M^\vee)$ is second syzygy and so it satisfies $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$ by [Mašek 2000, Proposition 11]. By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \lambda(M^\vee) \rightarrow P' \rightarrow \text{Tr}(M^\vee) \rightarrow 0$ and the fact that $\text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr}(M^\vee), C) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M^\vee, C) = 0$, it follows that $\lambda(M^\vee) \otimes_R C$ satisfies $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$. As M satisfies $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$, by Fact 2.9(ii), (iv), Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 2.6(iv), $\text{G-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M^\vee_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$. Therefore, $\text{G-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\lambda(M^\vee))_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$ by [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Theorem 1] and so $(\lambda(M^\vee))_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{A}_{C_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^1(R)$ by Fact 2.9(ii). Hence μ is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.4. Now the assertion is clear by Lemma 3.3. \square

Martsinkovsky and Strooker [2004, Corollary 2] proved that, over a Gorenstein ring, horizontal linkage preserves the property of a module to be maximal Cohen–Macaulay, while they showed in the example on page 601 of the same paper that over non-Gorenstein rings, being maximal Cohen–Macaulay need not be preserved under horizontal linkage. In the following, it is shown that, over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with the canonical module, horizontal linkage with respect to canonical module preserves maximal Cohen–Macaulay-ness. Note that over a Gorenstein ring, every module has finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Therefore, the following result can be viewed as a generalization of [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Corollary 2].

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R . Assume that M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension. If M is ω_R -stable then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) M is horizontally linked with respect to ω_R .
- (ii) $\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)$ has finite Gorenstein injective dimension.
- (iii) $\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay.

Proof. (i) By Fact 2.9(ii), $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$. As M is maximal Cohen–Macaulay, it is a ω_R -syzygy and also $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, \omega_R) = 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.7, it is enough to prove that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M), \omega_R) = 0$. Note that $\text{G-dim}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) = 0$ by Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.6. Hence $\text{G-dim}_R(\text{Tr Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) = 0$ and $\text{Tr Hom}_R(\omega_R, M) \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$ by Fact 2.9(ii) so that $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Tr Hom}_R(\omega_R, M), \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Indeed, by (2.10.1),

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M), \omega_R) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(\text{Tr Hom}_R(\omega_R, M), \omega_R) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.7, M is horizontally linked with respect to ω_R .

(ii) As we have seen in part (i), $\text{Tr}(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$. Hence by Fact 2.9(iv) and Remark 2.11(i), $\text{Tr}_{\omega_R}(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$. Therefore, $\text{Gid}_R(\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)) < \infty$ by Fact 2.9(i) and the exact sequence (3.1.1).

(iii) By Lemma 3.5, $\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay. Therefore $\text{Tr}_{\omega_R}(\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M))$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay by Theorem 2.6(ii). It follows from the exact sequence (3.1.1) that $\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay. \square

To prove Theorem A, we first bring the following lemma and recall a definition.

Lemma 3.9. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let I be an unmixed ideal of R . Assume that K is a semidualizing R/I -module and that M is an R -module which is linked by I with respect to K . Then $\text{grade}_R(M) = \text{grade}_R(I)$.*

Proof. First note that $\text{grade}_R(M) = \inf\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)\}$. Therefore, $\text{grade}_R(M) = \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_R(M)$ and so $\mathfrak{p}/I \in \text{Min}_{R/I}(M)$. As M is linked by I with respect to K , it is a first K -syzygy module and so $\mathfrak{p}/I \in \text{Ass}_{R/I}(R/I)$, because $\text{Ass}_{R/I}(K) = \text{Ass}_{R/I}(R/I)$. As I is unmixed, $\text{grade}(I) = \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let M be an R -module. For every integer $n \geq 0$ the n -th Bass number $\mu_R^n(M)$ is the dimension of the k -vector space $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, M)$.

Definition 3.10 [Avramov and Foxby 1997]. An ideal \mathfrak{a} of a local ring R is called *quasi-Gorenstein* if $\text{G-dim}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) < \infty$ and for every $i \geq 0$ there is an equality of Bass numbers

$$\mu_R^{i+\text{depth } R}(R) = \mu_{R/\mathfrak{a}}^{i+\text{depth } R/\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Theorem 3.11 [Avramov and Foxby 1997, Corollary 7.9]. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a quasi-Gorenstein ideal of R . For an R/\mathfrak{a} -module M , we have $\text{Gid}_R(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{Gid}_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \infty$. Also, $\text{G-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{G-dim}_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \infty$.*

We now present [Theorem A](#).

Theorem 3.12. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of grade n , $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$. Assume that M is a Cohen–Macaulay R -module of grade n and of finite Gorenstein injective dimension such that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. If M is $\omega_{\bar{R}}$ -stable then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) M is linked by ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$.
- (ii) $\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)$ has finite Gorenstein injective dimension.
- (iii) $\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)$ is Cohen–Macaulay of grade n .

Proof. (i) As R is Cohen–Macaulay,

$$d - n = d - \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{a}).$$

On the other hand, as M is Cohen–Macaulay of grade n ,

$$\text{depth}_{\bar{R}}(M) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \dim_R(M) = d - n.$$

Therefore, M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. By [Theorem 3.11](#), $\text{Gid}_{\bar{R}}(M)$ is finite and so M is horizontally linked with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$ as an \bar{R} -module by [Corollary 3.8](#).

(ii) By [Corollary 3.8](#), $\text{Gid}_{\bar{R}}(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) < \infty$, which by [Theorem 3.11](#) is equivalent to $\text{Gid}_R(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) < \infty$.

(iii) By [Corollary 3.8](#), $\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Hence

$$\text{depth}_R(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) = \text{depth}_{\bar{R}}(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Also, by [Lemma 3.9](#), $\text{grade}_R(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) = n$. Hence,

$$\dim_R(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) = d - n = \dim R/\mathfrak{a}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)$ is Cohen–Macaulay as an R -module. □

Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R . Set

$$\mathcal{X} := \text{CM}(R) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y} := \text{CM}(R) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R},$$

where $\text{CM}(R)$ is the category of Cohen–Macaulay R -module. Now we prove [Theorem B](#).

Theorem 3.13. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of grade n , $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$. There is an adjoint equivalence*

$$\left\{ M \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{array}{l} M \text{ is linked} \\ \text{by the ideal } \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \right\} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, -)]{-\otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}} \left\{ N \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \begin{array}{l} N \text{ is linked by the ideal} \\ \mathfrak{a} \text{ with respect to } \omega_{\bar{R}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{X}$, which is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} . By [Theorem 3.11](#), $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Note that \mathfrak{a} is a G -perfect ideal and so $\text{grade}_R(M) = \text{grade}_R(\mathfrak{a})$ by [[Sadeghi 2017](#), Lemma 3.16]. Therefore

$$\text{depth}_R(M) = \dim_R(M) = \dim R - \text{grade}_R(M) = \dim R - \text{grade}_R(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Hence M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Set $N = M \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}$. By [[Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015](#), Lemma 2.11], N is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Therefore $N \in \text{CM}(R)$. Also, by [Fact 2.9\(iv\)](#) and [Theorem 3.11](#), $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$. Hence $N \in \mathcal{Y}$. As $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$,

$$(3.13.1) \quad M \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N).$$

Note that M is stable \bar{R} -module by [Theorem 2.2](#). It follows from (3.13.1) that N is $\omega_{\bar{R}}$ -stable. Hence, by [Theorem 3.12](#), N is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$.

Conversely, assume that $N \in \mathcal{Y}$, which is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$. As N is Cohen–Macaulay, by [Lemma 3.9](#),

$$\text{depth}_R(N) = \dim_R(N) = \dim R - \text{grade}_R(N) = \dim R - \text{grade}_R(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Therefore N is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Set $M = \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N)$. Note that by [Theorem 3.11](#), $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Hence $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$ by [Fact 2.9\(iv\)](#), and [Theorem 3.11](#). Also, by [Lemma 3.5](#), M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Thus $M \in \mathcal{X}$. Set $X = \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, \lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N))$. It follows from [Theorem 3.12\(ii\)](#), [Fact 2.9\(ii\)](#), (iv), and [Theorem 3.11](#) that $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Also, by [Theorem 3.12\(iii\)](#) and [Lemma 3.5](#), X is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -module. Therefore, by [Theorem 2.6\(ii\)](#), (iv) and [Fact 2.9\(ii\)](#), $G\text{-dim}_{\bar{R}}(\lambda_{\bar{R}} X) = 0$. In other words, $\lambda_{\bar{R}} X \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Hence,

$$(3.13.2) \quad \lambda_{\bar{R}} X \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, \lambda_{\bar{R}} X \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}).$$

As $\lambda_{\bar{R}} X$ is a first syzygy of $\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}} X$, by [Fact 2.9\(i\)](#), $\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}} X \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(X, \omega_{\bar{R}}) \cong \text{Tor}_1^{\bar{R}}(\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}} X, \omega_{\bar{R}}) = 0$. As N is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$, it follows from [Remark 2.11\(iii\)](#) that

$$(3.13.3) \quad N \cong \Omega_{\omega_{\bar{R}}} \text{Tr}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}} X \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}} X \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}.$$

It follows from (3.13.2) and (3.13.3) that $M \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}} X$. Hence, by [[Avramov 1998](#), Corollary 1.2.5], M is a stable \bar{R} -module. By [[Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004](#), Theorem 1], M is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} . \square

Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and let M be an R/\mathfrak{a} -module. Recall that M is said to be *self-linked* by the ideal \mathfrak{a} if $M \cong \lambda_{R/\mathfrak{a}}M$. Let K be a semidualizing R/\mathfrak{a} -module. An R/\mathfrak{a} -module N is called *self-linked* by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to K if $N \cong \lambda_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(K, N)$.

Theorem 3.14. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of grade n , $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$. There is an adjoint equivalence*

$$\left\{ M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R} \mid \begin{array}{l} M \text{ is self-linked} \\ \text{by the ideal } \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, -)} \end{array} \left\{ N \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R} \mid \begin{array}{l} N \text{ is self-linked by the} \\ \text{ideal } \mathfrak{a} \text{ with respect to } \omega_{\bar{R}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$ and let $M \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}M$. It follows from [Theorem 3.11](#) that $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Set $N = M \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}$. Therefore,

$$(3.14.1) \quad M \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N).$$

As $M \cong \Omega_{\bar{R}} \text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}M$, we have $\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Hence,

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\bar{R}}(M, \omega_{\bar{R}}) \cong \text{Tor}_1^{\bar{R}}(\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}M, \omega_{\bar{R}}) = 0.$$

It follows from [\(3.14.1\)](#) and [Remark 2.11\(iii\)](#) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N) &= \Omega_{\omega_{\bar{R}}} \text{Tr}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}(\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N)) \\ &\cong \Omega_{\omega_{\bar{R}}} \text{Tr}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}(M) \\ &\cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}M \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}} \\ &\cong M \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}} = N. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, N is self-linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$. Also, by [Fact 2.9\(iv\)](#), [Theorem 3.11](#), $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$.

Conversely, assume that $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_R}$ which is self-linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$. Set $M = \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N)$. It follows from [Fact 2.9\(iv\)](#), [Theorem 3.11](#) that $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_R}$. As $N \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N)$, we have $\text{Tr}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}(M) \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$ by the exact sequence [\(3.1.1\)](#), [Fact 2.9\(i\)](#) and [Theorem 3.11](#). It follows from [Remark 2.11\(i\)](#) and [Fact 2.9\(iv\)](#) that $\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}(M) \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Therefore $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\bar{R}}(M, \omega_{\bar{R}}) \cong \text{Tor}_1^{\bar{R}}(\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}(M)\omega_{\bar{R}}) = 0$. Hence, by [Remark 2.11\(iii\)](#),

$$(3.14.2) \quad N \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, N) \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}(M) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}.$$

As $\text{Tr}_{\bar{R}}(M) \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$, we have $\lambda_{\bar{R}}M \in \mathcal{A}_{\omega_{\bar{R}}}$. Hence

$$(3.14.3) \quad \lambda_{\bar{R}}M \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, \lambda_{\bar{R}}M \otimes_{\bar{R}} \omega_{\bar{R}}).$$

It follows from [\(3.14.2\)](#) and [\(3.14.3\)](#) that $M \cong \lambda_{\bar{R}}M$. □

4. Serre condition and vanishing of local cohomology

In this section, for a linked module, we study the relation between the Serre condition \widetilde{S}_n and the vanishing of certain relative cohomology modules of its linked module. As a consequence, [Schenzel 1982, Theorem 4.1] is generalized. We start with the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a C -syzygy module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(M^\vee), C) = 0$. In particular, if M is horizontally linked with respect to C , then $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(M^\vee), C) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \otimes_R C$, where P is a projective R -module. Applying the functor $(-)^\vee$ to the above exact sequence, we get the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow P$. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}M^\vee, R) = 0$. By [Rotman 2009, Theorem 10.62], there is a third quadrant spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(\text{Tor}_q^R(\text{Tr}(M^\vee), C), C) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{p+q}(\text{Tr}(M^\vee), R).$$

Hence we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}(M^\vee) \otimes_R C, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}(M^\vee), R),$$

by [Rotman 2009, Theorem 10.33]. Hence, by Remark 2.11,

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(M^\vee), C) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}(M^\vee) \otimes_R C, C) = 0. \quad \square$$

The following is a generalization of [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004, Theorem 1].

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be an R -module which is horizontally linked with respect to C . Assume $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$. Then $\text{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{G-dim}_R((\lambda_R(C, M))^\vee) = 0$.*

Proof. Set $N = \lambda_R(C, M)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$(4.2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P^* \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \rightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective R -module; see (3.1.1). As $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$, we know $\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \in \mathcal{B}_C$ by the exact sequence (4.2.1) and Fact 2.9(i). Hence $\text{Tr}(N^\vee) \in \mathcal{A}_C$ by Remark 2.11 and Fact 2.9(iv). In particular,

$$(4.2.2) \quad \text{Tr}(N^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Tr}(N^\vee) \otimes_R C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Tr}_C(N^\vee)).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.6(ii), Lemma 3.6 and (4.2.2) that

$$(4.2.3) \quad \text{G-dim}_R(N^\vee) = 0 \iff \text{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the exact sequence (4.2.1)

$$(4.2.4) \quad \text{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee), C) = 0 \iff \text{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee)) = 0.$$

Now the assertion is clear by (4.2.3), (4.2.4) and Lemma 4.1. \square

The class \mathcal{P}_C is precovering and then each R -module M has an augmented proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution; that is, there is an R -complex

$$X^+ = \cdots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $\text{Hom}_R(Y, X^+)$ is exact for all $Y \in \mathcal{P}_C$. The truncated complex

$$X = \cdots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is called a proper \mathcal{P}_C -projective resolution of M . Proper \mathcal{P}_C -projective resolutions are unique up to homotopy equivalence.

Definition 4.3 [Takahashi and White 2010]. Let M and N be R -modules. The n -th relative cohomology module is defined as $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}_C}^n(M, N) = H^n \text{Hom}_R(X, N)$, where X is a proper \mathcal{P}_C -projective resolution of M .

Theorem 4.4 [Takahashi and White 2010, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2]. *Let M and N be R -modules. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}_C}^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M^\vee, N^\vee)$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Moreover, if M and N are in \mathcal{B}_C then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}_C}^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

For a positive integer n , a module M is called an n -th C -syzygy module if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_n$, where $C_i \in \mathcal{P}_C$ for all i . The following results will be used in the proof of [Theorem 4.7](#).

Lemma 4.5. *Let M be an R -module such that $\text{G}_{C_p}\text{-dim}_{R_p}(M_p) < \infty$ for all $p \in X^{n-2}(R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an n -th C -syzygy module.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}_C M, C) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to [Mašek 2000, Theorem 43]. □

Theorem 4.6 [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015, Proposition 2.4]. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module and M an R -module. For a positive integer n , consider the following statements:*

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}_C M, C) = 0$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (ii) M is an n -th C -syzygy module.
- (iii) M satisfies \tilde{S}_n .

Then the following implications hold true:

- (a) (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii).
- (b) If M has finite G_C -dimension on $X^{n-1}(R)$, then (iii) \implies (i).

The following is a generalization of [Schenzel 1982, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 4.7. *Let M be an R -module which is horizontally linked with respect to C . Assume that $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$. For a positive integer n , consider the following statements:*

- (i) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_C}^i(\lambda_R(C, M), C) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.
- (ii) M is an n -th C -syzygy module.
- (iii) M satisfies \tilde{S}_n .

Then the following implications hold true:

- (a) (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii).
- (b) If M has finite G_C -dimension on $X^{n-2}(R)$, then the statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent.
- (c) If M has finite G_C -dimension on $X^{n-1}(R)$, then all the statements (i)–(iii) are equivalent.

Proof. Set $N = \lambda_R(C, M)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$(4.7.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \rightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective R -module. By Lemma 4.1,

$$(4.7.2) \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee), C) = 0.$$

Therefore, by [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015, Lemma 2.2], the exact sequence (4.7.1) induces the exact sequence

$$(4.7.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C \text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \rightarrow Q \otimes_R C \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C M \rightarrow 0,$$

where Q is a projective R -module. Moreover, by [Sadeghi 2017, Lemma 2.12], there exists the following exact sequence

$$(4.7.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow N^\vee \rightarrow \text{Tr}_C \text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G_C\text{-dim}_R(X) = 0$. As M is horizontally linked with respect to C , it is a C -syzygy module and so $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C M, C) = 0$. Therefore, by the exact sequences (4.7.3) and (4.7.4), we obtain

$$(4.7.5) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}_C M, C) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \iff \text{Ext}_R^i(N^\vee, C) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

As $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$, by Fact 2.9(i) and the exact sequence (4.7.1), $\text{Tr}_C(N^\vee) \in \mathcal{B}_C$. Hence, by Fact 2.9(iv) and Remark 2.11(i), $\text{Tr}(N^\vee) \in \mathcal{A}_C$. It follows from [Sadeghi 2017, Theorem 4.1] that

$$(4.7.6) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(N^\vee, C) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n \iff \text{Ext}_R^i(N^\vee, R) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n.$$

Note that, by [Theorem 4.4](#), we have the isomorphism

$$(4.7.7) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_C}^i(N, C) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(N^\vee, R) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

Implications (a) and (c) follow from [\(4.7.5\)](#), [\(4.7.6\)](#), [\(4.7.7\)](#) and [Theorem 4.6](#), and (b) follows from [\(4.7.5\)](#), [\(4.7.6\)](#), [\(4.7.7\)](#) and [Lemma 4.5](#). \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module with $\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X^{n-1}(R)$. Assume that M is an R -module which is horizontally linked with respect to C and that $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M satisfies \widetilde{S}_n .
- (ii) M is an n -th C -syzygy module.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\lambda_R(C, M), C) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.
- (iv) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_C}^i(\lambda_R(C, M), C) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.

Proof. (i) \implies (iii): Set $N = \lambda_R(C, M)$. By [Lemma 3.5](#),

$$(4.8.1) \quad M \text{ satisfies } \widetilde{S}_n \iff M^\vee \text{ satisfies } \widetilde{S}_n.$$

By [Lemma 4.1](#), $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}_C(M^\vee), C) = 0$. It follows from the exact sequence [\(3.1.1\)](#) that

$$(4.8.2) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(N, C) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n \iff \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}_C(M^\vee), C) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < i < n + 1.$$

Now the assertion follows from [\(4.8.1\)](#), [\(4.8.2\)](#) and [Theorem 4.6](#).

The equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iv) follows from [Theorem 4.7](#). \square

Now we are ready to present the first part of [Theorem C](#).

Corollary 4.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 0$ with canonical module ω_R . Assume that M is an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension which is horizontally linked with respect to ω_R . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) M satisfies (S_n) .
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)) = 0$ for $d - n < i < d$.

In particular, M is maximal Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [Corollary 4.8](#), [Fact 2.9\(ii\)](#) and the local duality theorem. \square

One may translate [Corollary 4.9](#) to a change-of-rings result.

Corollary 4.10. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of R of grade n , $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$. Assume that M is an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension which is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{a} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) M satisfies (S_n) .
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) = 0$ for $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} - n < i < \dim R/\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [Corollary 4.9](#) and [Theorem 3.11](#). \square

Recall that an R -module M of dimension $d \geq 1$ is called a *generalized Cohen–Macaulay module* if $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) < \infty$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$, where ℓ denotes the length. For an R -module M and positive integer n , set $\mathcal{T}_n^C M := \text{Tr}_C \Omega^{n-1} M$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 1$ and let C be a semidualizing R -module with $\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Assume that M is a generalized Cohen–Macaulay R -module which is horizontally linked with respect to C and that $M \in \mathcal{B}_C$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^\vee, C) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda_R(C, M))$ for $0 < i < d$. In particular, $\lambda_R(C, M)$ is generalized Cohen–Macaulay.*

Proof. Set $X = M^\vee$ and $N = \lambda_R(C, M)$. As M is generalized Cohen–Macaulay, by [\[Trung 1986, Lemmas 1.2 and 1.4\]](#) and [Theorem 2.6\(iv\)](#), $G_{C_{\mathfrak{p}}}\text{-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Therefore $G\text{-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ by [Lemma 3.6](#). Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, C)$ has finite length for all $i > 0$. Consider the exact sequences

$$(4.11.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(X, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_i^C X \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.11.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow \bigoplus^{n_i} C \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{i+1}^C X \rightarrow 0$$

for all $i > 0$. By applying the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$ on the exact sequences [\(4.11.1\)](#) and [\(4.11.2\)](#), we get

$$(4.11.3) \quad H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(\mathcal{T}_{i-1}^C X) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(L_{i-1}) \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } j, \text{ with } j \geq 1, i \geq 2,$$

$$(4.11.4) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(X, C) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Ext}_R^i(X, C)) \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{T}_i^C X) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1,$$

and also

$$(4.11.5) \quad H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(\mathcal{T}_i^C X) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{j+1}(L_{i-1}) \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } j, 0 \leq j < d - 1, i \geq 2.$$

As M is horizontally linked with respect to C , we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \bigoplus^n C \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1^C X \rightarrow 0$$

for some integer $n > 0$. By applying the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$ to the above exact sequence, we get the isomorphism

$$(4.11.6) \quad H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(\mathcal{T}_1^C X) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{j+1}(N) \quad \text{for all } j, 0 \leq j \leq d - 2.$$

Now by using [\(4.11.3\)](#), [\(4.11.4\)](#), [\(4.11.5\)](#) and [\(4.11.6\)](#) we obtain the result. \square

Now we give a proof for part (ii) of [Theorem C](#) as the following corollary.

Corollary 4.12. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 1$ with canonical module ω_R . Assume that M is an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension which is horizontally linked with respect to ω_R . If M is generalized Cohen–Macaulay, then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega_R, M)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-i}(\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)), E_R(k))$ for $0 < i < d$.
- (ii) $\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)$ is generalized Cohen–Macaulay.
- (iii) If M is not maximal Cohen–Macaulay, then

$$\mathrm{depth}_R(M) = \sup\{i < d \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda_R(\omega_R, M)) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow immediately from [Theorem 4.11](#) and the local duality theorem. Part (iii) follows from part (i) and [Lemma 3.5](#). \square

We end the paper with the following result, which is an immediate consequence of [Corollary 4.12](#) and [Theorem 3.11](#).

Corollary 4.13. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R , let \mathfrak{c} be a Cohen–Macaulay quasi-Gorenstein ideal of R , $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{c}$ and $\dim \bar{R} = d > 1$. Assume that M is an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension which is linked by the ideal \mathfrak{c} with respect to $\omega_{\bar{R}}$. If M is generalized Cohen–Macaulay, then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-i}(\lambda_{\bar{R}}(\omega_{\bar{R}}, M)), E_R(k))$$

for $0 < i < d$.

References

- [Auslander and Bridger 1969] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Avramov 1998] L. L. Avramov, “Infinite free resolutions”, pp. 1–118 in *Six lectures on commutative algebra* (Bellaterra, 1996), edited by J. Elias et al., Progr. Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Avramov and Foxby 1997] L. L. Avramov and H.-B. Foxby, “Ring homomorphisms and finite Gorenstein dimension”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **75**:2 (1997), 241–270. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Celikbas et al. 2017] O. Celikbas, M. T. Dibaei, M. Gheibi, A. Sadeghi, and R. Takahashi, “Associated primes and syzygies of linked modules”, preprint, 2017. To appear in *J. Commut. Algebra*. [arXiv](#)
- [Christensen 2000] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1747**, Springer, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Christensen et al. 2006] L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, and H. Holm, “On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions: a functorial description with applications”, *J. Algebra* **302**:1 (2006), 231–279. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2013] M. T. Dibaei and A. Sadeghi, “Linkage of finite Gorenstein dimension modules”, *J. Algebra* **376** (2013), 261–278. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dibaei and Sadeghi 2015] M. T. Dibaei and A. Sadeghi, “Linkage of modules and the Serre conditions”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **219**:10 (2015), 4458–4478. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dibaei et al. 2011] M. T. Dibaei, M. Gheibi, S. H. Hassanzadeh, and A. Sadeghi, “Linkage of modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings”, *J. Algebra* **335** (2011), 177–187. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Enochs and Jenda 1995] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, “Gorenstein injective and projective modules”, *Math. Z.* **220**:4 (1995), 611–633. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Foxby 1972] H.-B. Foxby, “Gorenstein modules and related modules”, *Math. Scand.* **31** (1972), 267–284. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Foxby 1975] H.-B. Foxby, “Quasi-perfect modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings”, *Math. Nachr.* **66** (1975), 103–110. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gerko 2001] A. A. Gerko, “On homological dimensions”, *Mat. Sb.* **192**:8 (2001), 79–94. In Russian; translated in *Sb. Math.* **192**:8 (2001), 1165–1179. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Golod 1984] E. S. Golod, “ G -dimension and generalized perfect ideals”, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **165** (1984), 62–66. In Russian; translated in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **165** (1985), 67–71. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Huneke 1982] C. Huneke, “Linkage and the Koszul homology of ideals”, *Amer. J. Math.* **104**:5 (1982), 1043–1062. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Huneke and Ulrich 1987] C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, “The structure of linkage”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **126**:2 (1987), 277–334. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Iima and Takahashi 2016] K. Iima and R. Takahashi, “Perfect linkage of Cohen–Macaulay modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings”, *J. Algebra* **458** (2016), 134–155. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lam 1991] T. Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **131**, Springer, 1991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Mašek 2000] V. Mašek, “Gorenstein dimension and torsion of modules over commutative Noetherian rings”, *Comm. Algebra* **28**:12 (2000), 5783–5811. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martin 2000] H. M. Martin, “Linkage and the generic homology of modules”, *Comm. Algebra* **28**:9 (2000), 4285–4301. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martsinkovsky 2010] A. Martsinkovsky, “1-torsion of finite modules over semiperfect rings”, *J. Algebra* **324**:10 (2010), 2595–2607. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martsinkovsky and Strooker 2004] A. Martsinkovsky and J. R. Strooker, “Linkage of modules”, *J. Algebra* **271**:2 (2004), 587–626. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nagel 2005] U. Nagel, “Liaison classes of modules”, *J. Algebra* **284**:1 (2005), 236–272. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Peskine and Szpiro 1974] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, “Liaison des variétés algébriques, I”, *Invent. Math.* **26** (1974), 271–302. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rotman 2009] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sadeghi 2017] A. Sadeghi, “Linkage of finite G_C -dimension modules”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **221**:6 (2017), 1344–1365. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sather-Wagstaff et al. 2010] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif, and D. White, “Tate cohomology with respect to semidualizing modules”, *J. Algebra* **324**:9 (2010), 2336–2368. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schenzel 1982] P. Schenzel, “Notes on liaison and duality”, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **22**:3 (1982), 485–498. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Takahashi and White 2010] R. Takahashi and D. White, “Homological aspects of semidualizing modules”, *Math. Scand.* **106**:1 (2010), 5–22. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Trung 1986] N. V. Trung, “Toward a theory of generalized Cohen–Macaulay modules”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **102** (1986), 1–49. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yoshino 1990] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen–Macaulay modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **146**, Cambridge University Press, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yoshino and Isogawa 2000] Y. Yoshino and S. Isogawa, “Linkage of Cohen–Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein ring”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **149**:3 (2000), 305–318. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received July 1, 2017. Revised November 26, 2017.

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI

dibaeimt@ipm.ir

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM)

TEHRAN

IRAN

and

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER SCIENCES

KHARAZMI UNIVERSITY

TEHRAN

IRAN

ARASH SADEGHI

sadeghiarash61@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM)

TEHRAN

IRAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 294 No. 2 June 2018

A positive mass theorem and Penrose inequality for graphs with noncompact boundary	257
EZEQUIEL BARBOSA and ADSON MEIRA	
Diagrams for relative trisections	275
NICKOLAS A. CASTRO, DAVID T. GAY and JUANITA PINZÓN-CAICEDO	
Linkage of modules with respect to a semidualizing module	307
MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI and ARASH SADEGHI	
Biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms	329
YU FU and MIN-CHUN HONG	
Nonabelian Fourier transforms for spherical representations	351
JAYCE R. GETZ	
Entropy of embedded surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds	375
OLIVIER GLORIEUX	
Smooth Schubert varieties and generalized Schubert polynomials in algebraic cobordism of Grassmannians	401
JENS HORNBOSTEL and NICOLAS PERRIN	
Sobolev inequalities on a weighted Riemannian manifold of positive Bakry–Émery curvature and convex boundary	423
SAÏD ILIAS and ABDOLHAKIM SHOUMAN	
On the existence of closed geodesics on 2-orbifolds	453
CHRISTIAN LANGE	
A Casselman–Shalika formula for the generalized Shalika model of SO_{4n}	473
MIYU SUZUKI	
Nontautological bielliptic cycles	495
JASON VAN ZELM	
Addendum: Singularities of flat fronts in hyperbolic space	505
MASATOSHI KOKUBU, WAYNE ROSSMAN, KENTARO SAJI, MASA AKI UMEHARA and KOTARO YAMADA	