Pacific Journal of Mathematics

BIHARMONIC HYPERSURFACES WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE IN SPACE FORMS

YU FU AND MIN-CHUN HONG

Volume 294 No. 2 June 2018

BIHARMONIC HYPERSURFACES WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE IN SPACE FORMS

YU FU AND MIN-CHUN HONG

Let M^n be a biharmonic hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in a space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$. We show that M^n has constant mean curvature if c>0 and M^n is minimal if $c\leq 0$, provided that the number of distinct principal curvatures is no more than 6. This partially confirms Chen's conjecture and the generalized Chen's conjecture. As a consequence, we prove that there exist no proper biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} or hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} for n<7.

1. Introduction

In 1983, Eells and Lemaire [1983] introduced the concept of *biharmonic maps* in order to generalize classical theory of harmonic maps. A biharmonic map ϕ between an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) and an *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (N^m, h) is a critical point of the bienergy functional

$$E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 dv_g,$$

where $\tau(\phi) = \text{trace } \nabla d\phi$ is the tension field of ϕ that vanishes for a harmonic map. More clearly, the Euler–Lagrange equation associated to the bienergy is given by

$$\tau_2(\phi) = -\Delta \tau(\phi) - \operatorname{trace} R^N(d\phi, \tau(\phi))d\phi = 0,$$

where R^N is the curvature tensor of N^m (see, e.g., [Jiang 1987]). We call ϕ to be a biharmonic map if its bitension field $\tau_2(\phi)$ vanishes.

Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds have been extensively studied by geometers. In particular, many authors investigated a special class of biharmonic maps named *biharmonic immersions*. An immersion $\phi: (M^n, g) \to (N^m, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if its mean curvature vector field \vec{H} fulfills the fourth-order semilinear elliptic equations (see, e.g., [Caddeo et al. 2001]):

(1-1)
$$\Delta \vec{H} + \operatorname{trace} R^{N}(d\phi, \vec{H})d\phi = 0.$$

MSC2010: primary 53C40, 53D12; secondary 53C42.

Keywords: biharmonic maps, biharmonic submanifolds, Chen's conjecture, generalized Chen's conjecture.

It is well known that any minimal immersion (satisfying $\vec{H} = 0$) is harmonic. The nonharmonic biharmonic immersions are called proper biharmonic.

We should mention that biharmonic submanifolds in a Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^m were independently defined by B. Y. Chen in the middle of 1980s (see [Chen 1991]) with the geometric condition $\Delta \vec{H} = 0$, or equivalently $\Delta^2 \phi = 0$. Interestingly, both biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic immersions in Euclidean spaces coincide with each other.

In recent years, the classification problem of biharmonic submanifolds has attracted great attention in geometry. In particular, there is a longstanding conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds due to Chen:

Chen's conjecture [1991]. Every biharmonic submanifold in Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^m is minimal.

Chen's conjecture still remains open, even for hypersurfaces. In three decades, only partial answers to Chen's conjecture have been obtained, e.g., [Akutagawa and Maeta 2013; Alías et al. 2013; Chen 2015; Ou 2012]. In the case of hypersurfaces, Chen's conjecture is true for the following special cases:

- Surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3 [Chen 1991; Jiang 1987].
- Hypersurfaces with at most two distinct principal curvatures in \mathbb{E}^m [Dimitrić 1992].
- Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 [Hasanis and Vlachos 1995] (see also [Defever 1998]).
- $\delta(2)$ -ideal and $\delta(3)$ -ideal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^m [Chen and Munteanu 2013].
- Weakly convex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^m [Luo 2014].
- Hypersurfaces with at most three distinct principal curvatures in \mathbb{E}^m [Fu 2015a].
- Generic hypersurfaces with irreducible principal curvature vector fields in \mathbb{E}^m [Koiso and Urakawa 2014].
- Invariant hypersurfaces of cohomogeneity one in \mathbb{E}^m [Montaldo et al. 2016].

In 2001, Caddeo, Montaldo and Oniciuc [Caddeo et al. 2001] proposed the following generalized Chen's conjecture:

Generalized Chen's conjecture. Every biharmonic submanifold in a Riemannian manifold with nonpositive sectional curvature is minimal.

Recently, Ou and Tang [2012] constructed a family of counterexamples, where the generalized Chen's conjecture is false when the ambient space has nonconstant negative sectional curvature. However, the generalized Chen's conjecture remains open when the ambient spaces have constant sectional curvature. For more recent developments of the generalized Chen's conjecture, we refer to [Chen 2014; 2015; Montaldo and Oniciuc 2006; Oniciuc 2012; Nakauchi and Urakawa 2011; Ou 2016].

The classification of proper biharmonic submanifolds in Euclidean spheres is rather rich and interesting. The first example of proper biharmonic hypersurfaces is a generalized Clifford torus $S^p(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^q(1/\sqrt{2}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with $p \neq q$ and p+q=n, given by Jiang [1986]. The complete classifications of biharmonic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 and \mathbb{S}^4 were obtained in [Caddeo et al. 2001; Balmuş et al. 2010]. Moreover, biharmonic hypersurfaces with at most three distinct principal curvatures in \mathbb{S}^n were classified in [Balmuş et al. 2010; Fu 2015b]. For more details, we refer the readers to [Balmuş et al. 2013; Loubeau and Oniciuc 2014; Oniciuc 2002; 2012; Ichiyama et al. 2010].

In general, the classification problem of proper biharmonic hypersurfaces in space forms becomes more complicated when the number of distinct principal curvatures is 4 or more.

In view of the above aspects, it is reasonable to study biharmonic submanifolds with some geometric conditions. In geometry, hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature have been intensively studied by many geometers for the rigidity problem and classification problem, for instance, see [Cheng and Yau 1977]. Some estimate for scalar curvature of compact proper biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in spheres was obtained in [Balmuş et al. 2008]. Recently, it was proved in [Fu 2015c] that a biharmonic hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in the 5-dimensional space forms $\mathbb{M}^5(c)$ necessarily has constant mean curvature.

Motivated by above results, in this paper we consider biharmonic hypersurfaces M^n with constant scalar curvatures in a space form $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$. More precisely, we get:

Theorem 1.1. Let M^n be an orientable biharmonic hypersurface with at most six distinct principal curvatures in $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$. If the scalar curvature R is constant, then M^n has constant mean curvature.

In general, it is difficult to deal with the biharmonic immersion equation (1-1) due to its high nonlinearity. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we use some new ideas to overcome the difficulty of treating the equation of a biharmonic hypersurface. More precisely, we transfer the problem into a system of algebraic equations (see Lemma 3.3), so we can determine the behavior of the principal curvature functions by investigating the solution of the system of algebraic equations (see Lemma 3.4). Then, we are able to prove that a biharmonic hypersurface with constant scalar curvatures in a space form $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$ must have constant mean curvature, provided that the number of distinct principal curvature is no more than 6. We would like to point out that our approach in this paper is different from those in [Fu 2015b; 2015c; Defever 1998; Balmuş et al. 2010].

Remark 1.2. Balmuş, Montaldo and Oniciuc in [Balmuş et al. 2008] conjectured that the proper biharmonic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} must have constant mean curvature. Theorem 1.1 with c=1 gives a partial answer to this conjecture.

We should point out that the complete classification of proper biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a sphere is still open in the case where the number of distinct principal curvatures is more than 3 (see [Oniciuc 2012]).

Moreover, combining these results with the biharmonic equations in Section 2, we have:

Corollary 1.3. Any biharmonic hypersurface with constant scalar curvature and with at most six distinct principal curvatures in Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} or hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} is minimal.

Thus, this result gives a partial answer to Chen's conjecture and the generalized Chen's conjecture.

Further, as a direct consequence, we get the following characterization result:

Corollary 1.4. Any biharmonic hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} or hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} for n < 7 has to be minimal.

Remark 1.5. We could replace or weaken the *constant scalar curvature* condition in Theorem 1.1 by *constant length of the second fundamental form* or *linear Weingarten type*, i.e., the scalar curvature R satisfying R = aH + b for some constants a and b. In fact, the discussion is extremely similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and the same conclusion holds true as well.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some necessary background theory for hypersurfaces and equivalent conditions for biharmonic hypersurfaces. In Section 3, we prove some useful lemmas (Lemmas 3.1–3.6), which are crucial to prove the main theorem. Finally, in Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic material for the theory of hypersurfaces immersed in a Riemannian space form.

Let $\phi: M^n \to \mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ be an isometric immersion of a hypersurface M^n into a space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with constant sectional curvature c. Denote the Levi-Civita connections of M^n and $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively. Let X and Y denote the vector fields tangent to M^n and let ξ be a unit normal vector field. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas (see [Chen 2015]) are given, respectively, by

(2-1)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

where h is the second fundamental form and A is the Weingarten operator. Note that the second fundamental form h and the Weingarten operator A are related by

(2-3)
$$\langle h(X,Y), \xi \rangle = \langle AX, Y \rangle.$$

The mean curvature vector field \vec{H} is defined by

$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{trace} h.$$

Moreover, the Gauss and Codazzi equations are given, respectively, by

$$R(X, Y)Z = c(\langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y) + \langle AY, Z \rangle AX - \langle AX, Z \rangle AY,$$
$$(\nabla_X A)Y = (\nabla_Y A)X,$$

where R is the curvature tensor of M^n and $(\nabla_X A)Y$ is given by

(2-5)
$$(\nabla_X A)Y = \nabla_X (AY) - A(\nabla_X Y)$$

for all X, Y, Z tangent to M^n .

Assume that $\vec{H} = H\xi$ and H denotes the mean curvature.

By identifying the tangent and the normal parts of the biharmonic condition (1-1) for hypersurfaces in a space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$, the following characterization result for M^n to be biharmonic was obtained (see also [Caddeo et al. 2002; Balmuş et al. 2010]):

Proposition 2.1. The immersion $\phi: M^n \to \mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ of a hypersurface M^n in an n+1-dimensional space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is biharmonic if and only if

(2-6)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta H + H \operatorname{trace} A^2 = ncH, \\ 2A \operatorname{grad} H + nH \operatorname{grad} H = 0. \end{cases}$$

The Laplacian operator Δ on M^n acting on a smooth function f is given by

(2-7)
$$\Delta f = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla f) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \nabla_{e_i}(\nabla f), e_i \rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_i e_i - \nabla_{e_i} e_i) f.$$

The following result was obtained in [Fu 2015b]:

Theorem 2.2. Let M^n be an orientable proper biharmonic hypersurface with at most three distinct principal curvatures in $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$. Then M^n has constant mean curvature.

3. Some lemmas

We now consider an orientable biharmonic hypersurface M^n (n > 3) in a space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

In general, the set M_A of all points of M^n , at which the number of distinct eigenvalues of the Weingarten operator A (i.e., the principal curvatures) is locally constant, is open and dense in M^n . Since M^n with at most three distinct principal curvatures everywhere in a space form $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is CMC, i.e., the mean curvature is constant (Theorem 2.2), one can work only on the connected component of M_A consisting of points where the number of principal curvatures is more than 3 (by

passing to the limit, H will be constant on the whole M^n). On that connected component, the principal curvature functions of A are always smooth.

Suppose that, on the component, the mean curvature H is not constant. Thus, there is a point p where grad $H(p) \neq 0$. In the following, we will work on a neighborhood of p where grad $H(p) \neq 0$ at any point of M^n .

The second equation of (2-6) shows that grad H is an eigenvector of the Weingarten operator A with the corresponding principal curvature -nH/2. We may choose e_1 such that e_1 is parallel to grad H, and with respect to some suitable orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, the Weingarten operator A of M takes the form

$$(3-1) A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where λ_i are the principal curvatures and $\lambda_1 = -nH/2$. Therefore, it follows from (2-4) that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = nH$, and hence

$$(3-2) \qquad \sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i = -3\lambda_1.$$

Denote by R the scalar curvature and by B the squared length of the second fundamental form h of M. It follows from (3-1) that B is given by

(3-3)
$$B = \operatorname{trace} A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_1^2.$$

From the Gauss equation, the scalar curvature R is given by

(3-4)
$$R = n(n-1)c + n^2H^2 - B = n(n-1)c + 3\lambda_1^2 - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2.$$

Hence

(3-5)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = n(n-1)c - R + 3\lambda_1^2.$$

Since grad $H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(H)e_i$ and e_1 is parallel to grad H, it follows that

$$e_1(H) \neq 0$$
, $e_i(H) = 0$, $2 \le i \le n$,

and hence

(3-6)
$$e_1(\lambda_1) \neq 0, \quad e_i(\lambda_1) = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

Put $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ij}^k e_k$ $(1 \le i, j \le n)$. A direct computation concerning the compatibility conditions $\nabla_{e_k} \langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 0$ and $\nabla_{e_k} \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ $(i \ne j)$ yields, respectively, that

(3-7)
$$\omega_{ki}^{i} = 0, \qquad \omega_{ki}^{j} + \omega_{ki}^{i} = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

The Codazzi equation yields

(3-8)
$$e_i(\lambda_j) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\omega_{ii}^j,$$

(3-9)
$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\omega_{ki}^j = (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)\omega_{ik}^j,$$

for distinct i, j, k.

Moreover, from (3-6) we have

$$[e_i, e_j](\lambda_1) = 0,$$

which yields directly

(3-10)
$$\omega_{ij}^1 = \omega_{ii}^1, \qquad 2 \le i, j \le n \quad \text{and} \quad i \ne j.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let M^n be an orientable biharmonic hypersurface with nonconstant mean curvature in $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}(c)$. Then the multiplicity of the principal curvature λ_1 (which equals -nH/2) is 1, i.e., $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_1$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. If $\lambda_j = \lambda_1$ for $j \neq 1$, by putting i = 1 in (3-8), we get

$$0 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)\omega_{i1}^j = e_1(\lambda_j) = e_1(\lambda_1),$$

which contradicts (3-6).

Lemma 3.2. The smooth real-valued functions λ_i and ω_{ii}^1 $(2 \le i \le n)$ satisfy the following differential equations:

(3-11)
$$e_1 e_1(\lambda_1) = e_1(\lambda_1) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \omega_{ii}^1 \right) + \lambda_1 (n(n-2)c - R + 4\lambda_1^2),$$

(3-12)
$$e_1(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i \omega_{ii}^1 - \lambda_1 \omega_{ii}^1,$$

(3-13)
$$e_1(\omega_{ii}^1) = (\omega_{ii}^1)^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_i + c.$$

Proof. Substituting $H = -2\lambda_1/n$ into the first equation of (2-6), and using (2-7), (3-6), (3-3) and (3-5), we get (3-11). By putting i = 1 in (3-8), combining this with (3-9) gives (3-12).

Next, we will prove (3-13).

For j=1 and $i \neq 1$ in (3-8), by (3-6) we have $\omega_{1i}^1=0$ $(i \neq 1)$. Combining this with (3-7), we have

(3-14)
$$\omega_{11}^i = 0$$
, for $1 \le i \le n$.

For j = 1, and $k, i \neq 1$ in (3-9) we have

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_1)\omega_{ki}^1 = (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)\omega_{ik}^1$$

which together with (3-10) yields

(3-15)
$$\omega_{ki}^1 = 0, \quad k \neq i, \quad \text{if } \lambda_k \neq \lambda_i.$$

For $i \neq j$ and $2 \leq i, j \leq n$, if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$, then by putting k = 1 in (3-9) we have

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)\omega_{i1}^j = 0,$$

which together with Lemma 3.1, (3-15) and (3-7) yields

(3-16)
$$\omega_{i1}^{j} = 0, \quad i \neq j, \text{ and } 2 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

From the Gauss equation and (3-1), we have $\langle R(e_1, e_i)e_1, e_i \rangle = -\lambda_1\lambda_i - c$. On the other hand, the Gauss curvature tensor R is defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

Using (3-14), (3-16) and (3-7), a direct computation gives

$$\langle R(e_1, e_i)e_1, e_i \rangle = -e_1(\omega_{ii}^1) + (\omega_{ii}^1)^2.$$

Thus, we obtain differential equation (3-13), completing the proof of Lemma 3.2. \Box

Consider an integral curve of e_1 passing through $p = \gamma(t_0)$ as $\gamma(t)$, $t \in I$. Since $e_i(\lambda_1) = 0$ for $2 \le i \le n$ and $e_1(\lambda_1) \ne 0$, it is easy to show that there exists a local chart $(U; t = x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m)$ around p, such that $\lambda_1(t, x^2, \dots, x^m) = \lambda_1(t)$ on the whole neighborhood of p.

In the following, we begin our arguments under the assumption that the scalar curvature R is always constant. The following system of algebraic equations is important for us to proceed further.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that R is constant. We have

(3-17)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (\omega_{ii}^{1})^{k} = f_{k}(t), \quad for \ k = 1, \dots, 5,$$

where $f_k(t)$ are some smooth real-valued functions with respect to t.

Proof. Since $e_1(\lambda_1) \neq 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(t)$ and R is constant, (3-11) becomes

(3-18)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \omega_{ii}^{1} = f_{1}(t),$$

where

$$f_1(t) = \frac{e_1 e_1(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 \left(n(n-2)c + 4\lambda_1^2 - R \right)}{e_1(\lambda_1)}.$$

Taking the sum of (3-13) and (3-12) for i and taking into account (3-2) and (3-18), respectively, we have

(3-19)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (\omega_{ii}^{1})^{2} = f_{2}(t),$$

(3-20)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i} \omega_{ii}^{1} = g_{1}(t),$$

where $f_2 = 3\lambda_1^2 - (n-1)c + e_1(f_1)$ and $g_1(t) = \lambda_1 f_1 - 3e_1(\lambda_1)$.

Multiplying by ω_{ii}^1 on both sides of (3-13), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}e_1((\omega_{ii}^1)^2) = (\omega_{ii}^1)^3 + \lambda_1\lambda_i\omega_{ii}^1 + c\omega_{ii}^1.$$

Using this and (3-18)–(3-20), we obtain

(3-21)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (\omega_{ii}^{1})^{3} = f_{3}(t),$$

where $f_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_1(f_2) - \lambda_1 g_1 - cf_1$.

Differentiating (3-20) with respect to e_1 and using (3-12) and (3-13), we have

(3-22)
$$e_1(g_1) = 2\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i (\omega_{ii}^1)^2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 + c\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i - \lambda_1 \sum_{i=2}^n (\omega_{ii}^1)^2.$$

From (3-2), (3-5) and (3-19), this yields

(3-23)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i (\omega_{ii}^1)^2 = g_2(t),$$

where $g_2 = \frac{1}{2} \{ e_1(g_1) - \lambda_1 (n(n-1)c - R + 3\lambda_1^2) + 3c\lambda_1 + \lambda_1 f_2 \}$. Multiplying by $(\omega_{ii}^1)^2$ on both sides of (3-13), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}e_1((\omega_{i:}^1)^3) = (\omega_{i:}^1)^4 + \lambda_1\lambda_i(\omega_{i:}^1)^2 + c(\omega_{i:}^1)^2.$$

Applying (3-19), (3-21) and (3-23) to this, we obtain

(3-24)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (\omega_{ii}^{1})^{4} = f_{4}(t),$$

where $f_4 = \frac{1}{3}e_1(f_3) - \lambda_1 g_2 - cf_2$.

Multiplying by λ_i on both sides of (3-12) gives

$$\lambda_i^2 \omega_{ii}^1 = \frac{1}{2} e_1(\lambda_i^2) + \lambda_1 \lambda_i \omega_{ii}^1,$$

which together with (3-5) and (3-20) yields

(3-25)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \omega_{ii}^1 = g_3(t),$$

where $g_3 = 3\lambda_1 e_1(\lambda_1) + \lambda_1 g_1$.

Differentiating (3-23) with respect to e_1 and using (3-12) and (3-13), we have

$$(3-26) e_1(g_2) = 3\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i (\omega_{ii}^1)^3 - \lambda_1 \sum_{i=2}^n (\omega_{ii}^1)^3 + 2\lambda_1 \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 \omega_{ii}^1 + 2c \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i \omega_{ii}^1.$$

Substituting (3-20), (3-21) and (3-25) into (3-26) gives

(3-27)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i (\omega_{ii}^1)^3 = g_4(t),$$

where

$$g_4 = \frac{1}{3}(e_1(g_2) + \lambda_1 f_3 - 2\lambda_1 g_3 - 2cg_1).$$

Multiplying by $(\omega_{ii}^1)^3$ on both sides of (3-13), we have

$$\frac{1}{4}e_1((\omega_{ii}^1)^4) = (\omega_{ii}^1)^5 + \lambda_1\lambda_i(\omega_{ii}^1)^3 + c(\omega_{ii}^1)^3.$$

Applying (3-21), (3-24) and (3-27) to this, we have

(3-28)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (\omega_{ii}^{1})^{5} = f_{5}(t),$$

where $f_5 = \frac{1}{4}e_1(f_4) - \lambda_1 g_4 - cf_3$.

Lemma 3.4. Assume that R is constant. If the number m of distinct principal curvatures satisfies $m \le 6$, then $e_i(\lambda_j) = 0$ for $2 \le i, j \le n$, i.e., all principal curvature λ_i depend only on one variable t.

Proof. Since the number m of distinct principal curvatures satisfies $m \le 6$, there are at most five distinct principal curvatures for λ_i $(2 \le i \le n)$ except λ_1 . It follows easily from (3-12) and (3-13) that

$$\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \omega_{ii}^1 \neq \omega_{jj}^1.$$

We now distinguish the following two cases:

Case A: Suppose that m = 6. We denote by $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ the five distinct principal curvatures with the corresponding multiplicities n_i for $1 \le i \le 5$. Note that here n_i are positive integers and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_i = n - 1$ (see Lemma 3.1). According to (3-12), let

$$u_i := \frac{e_1(\tilde{\lambda}_i)}{\tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda_1}.$$

Thus, the u_i are mutually different for $1 \le i \le 5$.

In this case, the system of polynomial equations (3-17) becomes

$$n_1u_1 + n_2u_2 + n_3u_3 + n_4u_4 + n_5u_5 = f_1,$$

$$n_1u_1^2 + n_2u_2^2 + n_3u_3^2 + n_4u_4^2 + n_5u_5^2 = f_2,$$

$$(3-29)$$

$$n_1u_1^3 + n_2u_2^3 + n_3u_3^3 + n_4u_4^3 + n_5u_5^3 = f_3,$$

$$n_1u_1^4 + n_2u_2^4 + n_3u_3^4 + n_4u_4^4 + n_5u_5^4 = f_4,$$

$$n_1u_1^5 + n_2u_2^5 + n_3u_3^5 + n_4u_4^5 + n_5u_5^5 = f_5.$$

Since $e_i(f_1) = 0$ for $2 \le i \le n$, differentiating both sides of the equations in (3-29) with respect to e_i ($2 \le i \le n$), we obtain

$$n_{1}e_{i}(u_{1}) + n_{2}e_{i}(u_{2}) + n_{3}e_{i}(u_{3}) + n_{4}e_{i}(u_{4}) + n_{5}e_{i}(u_{5}) = 0,$$

$$n_{1}u_{1}e_{i}(u_{1}) + n_{2}u_{2}e_{i}(u_{2}) + n_{3}u_{3}e_{i}(u_{3}) + n_{4}u_{4}e_{i}((u_{4}) + n_{5}u_{5}e_{i}(u_{5}) = 0,$$

$$(3-30) \quad n_{1}u_{1}^{2}e_{i}(u_{1}) + n_{2}u_{2}^{2}e_{i}(u_{2}) + n_{3}u_{3}^{2}e_{i}(u_{3}) + n_{4}u_{4}^{2}e_{i}(u_{4}) + n_{5}u_{5}^{2}e_{i}(u_{5}) = 0,$$

$$n_{1}u_{1}^{3}e_{i}(u_{1}) + n_{2}u_{2}^{3}e_{i}(u_{2}) + n_{3}u_{3}^{3}e_{i}(u_{3}) + n_{4}u_{4}^{3}e_{i}(u_{4}) + n_{5}u_{5}^{3}e_{i}(u_{5}) = 0,$$

$$n_{1}u_{1}^{4}e_{i}(u_{1}) + n_{2}u_{2}^{4}e_{i}(u_{2}) + n_{3}u_{3}^{4}e_{i}(u_{3}) + n_{4}u_{4}^{4}e_{i}(u_{4}) + n_{5}u_{5}^{4}e_{i}(u_{5}) = 0.$$

Now consider this system of five linear equations with five unknowns $e_i(u_k)$ for $1 \le k \le 5$.

According to Cramer's rule in linear algebra, for any k, $e_i(u_k) \equiv 0$ holds true if and only if the determinant of the coefficient matrix of (3-30) is not vanishing, i.e.,

(3-31)
$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 \\ u_1^2 & u_2^2 & u_3^2 & u_4^2 & u_5^2 \\ u_1^3 & u_2^3 & u_3^3 & u_4^3 & u_5^3 \\ u_1^4 & u_2^4 & u_3^4 & u_4^4 & u_5^4 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We note that the determinant in (3-31) is the famous Vandermonde determinant with order 5 and hence

(3-32)
$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 \\ u_1^2 & u_2^2 & u_3^2 & u_4^2 & u_5^2 \\ u_1^3 & u_2^3 & u_3^3 & u_4^3 & u_5^3 \\ u_1^4 & u_2^4 & u_4^4 & u_4^4 & u_5^4 \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le j < i \le 5} (u_i - u_j).$$

Since the u_i are mutually different for $1 \le i \le 5$, (3-32) implies that (3-31) holds true identically. Hence, we have $e_i(u_k) = 0$ for any $1 \le k \le 5$ and $2 \le i \le n$.

Therefore, by using $e_i(u_k) = 0$ and

$$e_i e_1(u_k) - e_1 e_i(u_k) = [e_i, e_1](u_k) = \sum_{j=2}^n (\omega_{i1}^j - \omega_{1i}^j) e_j(u_k),$$

we get

$$e_i e_1(u_k) = 0.$$

Noting that with the notation u_k , (3-13) becomes

$$e_1(u_k) = (u_k)^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_k + c.$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to e_i , by taking into account $e_i(u_k) = 0$ and $e_i e_1(u_k) = 0$ we derive

$$e_i(\lambda_k) = 0$$

for any $1 \le k \le 5$ and $2 \le i \le n$.

Case B: Suppose $m \le 5$. Denote by $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ the distinct principal curvatures with the corresponding multiplicities n_i for $1 \le i \le 4$. Then the number of different u_i is less than or equal to 4. In the case that four of the u_i are mutually different, it is only necessary to consider the system (3-17) for k = 1, 2, 3, 4. A similar discussion to the one in Case A yields the conclusion. If less than four of the u_i are mutually different, then the conclusion follows by some arguments similar to the above.

Thus, we conclude Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. For three arbitrary distinct principal curvatures λ_i , λ_j and λ_k , where $2 \le i, j, k \le n$, we have the following relations:

(3-33)
$$\omega_{ij}^k(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) = \omega_{ji}^k(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) = \omega_{kj}^i(\lambda_j - \lambda_i),$$

(3-34)
$$\omega_{ij}^{k}\omega_{ji}^{k} + \omega_{jk}^{i}\omega_{kj}^{i} + \omega_{ik}^{j}\omega_{ki}^{j} = 0,$$

(3-35)
$$\omega_{ij}^{k}(\omega_{jj}^{1} - \omega_{kk}^{1}) = \omega_{ji}^{k}(\omega_{ii}^{1} - \omega_{kk}^{1}) = \omega_{kj}^{i}(\omega_{jj}^{1} - \omega_{ii}^{1}).$$

Proof. We recall from the beginning of this section that the number m of distinct principal curvatures satisfies $m \ge 4$. Hence, by taking into account the second expression of (3-7) and (3-9) for three distinct principal curvatures λ_i , λ_j and λ_k $(2 \le i, j, k \le n)$, we obtain (3-33) and (3-34) immediately.

Let us consider (3-35). It follows from the Gauss equation that

$$\langle R(e_i, e_i)e_k, e_1 \rangle = 0.$$

Moreover, since $\omega_{ij}^1 = 0$ for $i \neq j$ from (3-7) and (3-16), from the definition of the curvature tensor we have

(3-36)
$$\omega_{ij}^{k}(\omega_{ij}^{1} - \omega_{kk}^{1}) = \omega_{ij}^{k}(\omega_{ij}^{1} - \omega_{kk}^{1}).$$

Similarly, by considering $\langle R(e_i, e_k)e_i, e_1 \rangle = 0$ one also has

$$\omega_{jk}^{i}(\omega_{kk}^{1} - \omega_{ii}^{1}) = \omega_{kj}^{i}(\omega_{jj}^{1} - \omega_{ii}^{1}),$$

which together with (3-7) and (3-36) gives (3-35).

Lemma 3.6. Under the assumptions as above, we have

(3-37)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 \omega_{jj}^1 - \sum_{k=2, k \neq l_{(i,j)}}^n 2\omega_{ij}^k \omega_{ji}^k = -\lambda_i \lambda_j - c, \quad \text{for } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j,$$

where $l_{(i,j)}$ stands for the indexes satisfying $\lambda_{l_{(i,j)}} = \lambda_i$ or λ_j .

Proof. In the following, we consider the case that the number m of distinct principal curvatures is 6.

Without loss of generality, except in the case of λ_1 , we assume λ_p , λ_q , λ_r , λ_u , λ_v are the five distinct principal curvatures in sequence with the corresponding multiplicities n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , n_4 , n_5 , respectively, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1, \underbrace{\lambda_p, \ldots, \lambda_p}_{n_1}, \underbrace{\lambda_q, \ldots, \lambda_q}_{n_2}, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \ldots, \lambda_r}_{n_3}, \underbrace{\lambda_u, \ldots, \lambda_u}_{n_4}, \underbrace{\lambda_v, \ldots, \lambda_v}_{n_5}.$$

We now compute $\langle R(e_p, e_q)e_p, e_q \rangle$. On one hand, it follows from the Gauss equation and (3-1) that

(3-38)
$$\langle R(e_p, e_q)e_p, e_q \rangle = -\lambda_p \lambda_q - c.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\nabla_{e_{p}} \nabla_{e_{q}} e_{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{p} (\omega_{qp}^{k}) e_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{qp}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \omega_{pk}^{l} e_{l},$$

$$\nabla_{e_{q}} \nabla_{e_{p}} e_{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{q} (\omega_{pp}^{k}) e_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{pp}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \omega_{qk}^{l} e_{l},$$

$$\nabla_{[e_{p}, e_{q}]} e_{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\omega_{pq}^{k} - \omega_{qp}^{k}) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \omega_{kp}^{l} e_{l},$$

it follows that

$$(3-39) \quad \langle R(e_{p}, e_{q})e_{p}, e_{q} \rangle = \\ e_{p}(\omega_{qp}^{q}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{qp}^{k} \omega_{pk}^{q} - e_{q}(\omega_{pp}^{q}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{pp}^{k} \omega_{qk}^{q} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\omega_{pq}^{k} - \omega_{qp}^{k}) \omega_{kp}^{q}.$$

Since $\lambda_p \neq \lambda_q$, from (3-8), (3-7) and Lemma 3.4 we have

(3-40)
$$\omega_{qp}^q = \omega_{pq}^p = \omega_{pp}^q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=2}^n \omega_{pp}^k \omega_{qk}^q = 0.$$

Moreover, if $2 \le k \le n_1 + 1$, then $\lambda_k = \lambda_p$, by the second expression of (3-7) and (3-9) we get

$$(\lambda_p - \lambda_k)\omega_{qp}^k = (\lambda_q - \lambda_k)\omega_{pq}^k$$
 and $(\lambda_k - \lambda_q)\omega_{pk}^q = (\lambda_p - \lambda_q)\omega_{kp}^q$,

which imply that

$$\omega_{pq}^k = \omega_{pk}^q = \omega_{kp}^q = 0.$$

Similarly, if $n_1 + 2 \le k \le n_1 + n_2 + 1$, we also have

$$\omega_{pq}^k = \omega_{pk}^q = \omega_{kp}^q = 0.$$

Hence, by taking (3-40)–(3-42) into account, (3-39) becomes

$$\langle R(e_p, e_q)e_p, e_q \rangle = \omega_{pp}^1 \omega_{qq}^1 + \sum_{k=n_1+n_2+2}^n \{ \omega_{qp}^k \omega_{pk}^q - (\omega_{pq}^k - \omega_{qp}^k) \omega_{kp}^q \},$$

which together with (3-38), (3-7) and (3-34) gives

(3-43)
$$\omega_{pp}^{1}\omega_{qq}^{1} - \sum_{k=n_{1}+n_{2}+2}^{n} 2\omega_{pq}^{k}\omega_{qp}^{k} = -\lambda_{p}\lambda_{q} - c.$$

Similarly, we can deduce other equations for different pairs $\omega_{pp}^1 \omega_{rr}^1$, $\omega_{pp}^1 \omega_{uu}^1$, Hence we get (3-37).

In the case that the number m of distinct principal curvatures is equal to 4 or 5, a very similar argument gives (3-37) as well.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that the mean curvature H is not constant.

Differentiating (3-2) with respect to e_1 and using (3-12) and (3-13), we obtain

(4-1)
$$3e_1(\lambda_1) = \sum_{i=2}^n (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)\omega_{ii}^1.$$

Following the previous section, we only deal with the case where the number of distinct principal curvatures is 6, i.e., m = 6. In fact, the proofs for the cases m = 4, 5 are very similar, so we omit them here without loss of generality.

According to Lemma 3.5, we consider the following cases:

Case A: $\omega_{pq}^r \neq 0$, $\omega_{pq}^u \neq 0$, and $\omega_{pq}^v \neq 0$. Since λ_p , λ_q , λ_r , λ_u , λ_v are mutually different, equations (3-33) and (3-35) reduce to

$$\begin{split} \frac{\omega_{pp}^1 - \omega_{qq}^1}{\lambda_p - \lambda_q} &= \frac{\omega_{pp}^1 - \omega_{rr}^1}{\lambda_p - \lambda_r} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^1 - \omega_{rr}^1}{\lambda_q - \lambda_r} \\ &= \frac{\omega_{pp}^1 - \omega_{uu}^1}{\lambda_p - \lambda_u} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^1 - \omega_{uu}^1}{\lambda_q - \lambda_u} \\ &= \frac{\omega_{pp}^1 - \omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_p - \lambda_v} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^1 - \omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_q - \lambda_v}. \end{split}$$

Thus, there exist two smooth functions φ and ψ depending on t such that

(4-2)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 = \varphi \lambda_i + \psi.$$

Differentiating with respect to e_1 on both sides of (4-2), and using (3-12) and (3-13) we get

$$(4-3) e_1(\varphi) = \lambda_1(\varphi^2 + 1) + \varphi \psi,$$

(4-4)
$$e_1(\psi) = \psi(\lambda_1 \varphi + \psi) + c.$$

Taking into account (4-2), and using (3-2), (3-5) one has

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \omega_{ii}^{1} = -3\lambda_1 \varphi + (n-1)\psi,$$

and (4-1) and (3-11), respectively, become

(4-5)
$$3e_1(\lambda_1) = (R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_1^2)\varphi + (n+2)\lambda_1\psi,$$

(4-6)
$$e_1e_1(\lambda_1) = e_1(\lambda_1)(-3\lambda_1\varphi + (n-1)\psi) + \lambda_1(n(n-2)c - R + 4\lambda_1^2).$$

Differentiating (4-5) with respect to e_1 , we may eliminate $e_1e_1(\lambda_1)$ by (4-6). Using (4-3), (4-4) and (4-6) we have

$$(4-7) 3(n-4)e_1(\lambda_1)\psi = \lambda_1(6R - (4n^2 - 12n - 3)c - 27\lambda_1^2).$$

Note here, n > 4 since the number of distinct principal curvatures is 6. Eliminating $e_1(\lambda_1)$ between (4-5) and (4-7) gives

$$(4-8) \quad (n-4) \left\{ \left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_1^2 \right) \varphi \psi + (n+2)\lambda_1 \psi^2 \right\}$$

$$= \lambda_1 \left(6R - (4n^2 - 12n - 3)c - 27\lambda_1^2 \right).$$

Further, differentiating (4-7) with respect to e_1 , by (4-4), (4-6), (4-7), (4-5) we have

$$(4-9) \left(432\lambda_1^4 + a_1\lambda_1^2 + a_2\right)\varphi + \left\{-54(n+3)\lambda_1^3 + a_3\lambda_1\right\}\psi = 12(n-4)\lambda_1^3 + a_4\lambda_1,$$

where

$$a_1 = (97n^2 - 111n + 60)c - 105R,$$

$$a_2 = ((4n^2 - 9n + 9)c - 6R)(n(n - 1)c - R),$$

$$a_3 = 12R - (4n^2 - 6n + 21)c,$$

$$a_4 = 3n(n - 4)(n - 2)c.$$

Differentiating (4-9) with respect to e_1 and using (4-3) and (4-4), we get

$$(1728\lambda_1^3 + 2a_1\lambda_1)\varphi e_1(\lambda_1) + (432\lambda_1^4 + a_1\lambda_1^2 + a_2)\{\lambda_1(\varphi^2 + 1) + \varphi\psi\}$$

$$+\{-162(n+3)\lambda_1^2 + a_3\}\psi e_1(\lambda_1) + \{-54(n+3)\lambda_1^3 + a_3\lambda_1\}\{\psi(\lambda_1\varphi + \psi) + c\}$$

$$= (36(n-4)\lambda_1^2 + a_4)e_1(\lambda_1).$$

Multiplying by 3(n-4) on both sides of the above equation and using (4-5) and (4-7) we have

$$(4-10) \quad (n-4)\left(1728\lambda_1^3 + 2a_1\lambda_1\right)\varphi\left\{\left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_1^2\right)\varphi + (n+2)\lambda_1\psi\right\} \\ + 3(n-4)\left(432\lambda_1^4 + a_1\lambda_1^2 + a_2\right)\left\{\lambda_1(\varphi^2 + 1) + \varphi\psi\right\} \\ + \lambda_1\left\{-162(n+3)\lambda_1^2 + a_3\right\}\left\{6R - (4n^2 - 12n - 3)c - 27\lambda_1^2\right\} \\ + 3(n-4)\left\{-54(n+3)\lambda_1^3 + a_3\lambda_1\right\}\left\{\psi(\lambda_1\varphi + \psi) + c\right\} \\ = (n-4)\left(36(n-4)\lambda_1^2 + a_4\right)\left\{\left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_1^2\right)\varphi + (n+2)\lambda_1\psi\right\}.$$

Note that Equation (4-10) could be rewritten as

$$(4-11) \quad q_1(\lambda_1)\varphi^2 + q_2(\lambda_1)\varphi\psi + q_3(\lambda_1)\psi^2 + q_4(\lambda_1)\varphi + q_5(\lambda_1)\psi + q_6(\lambda_1) = 0,$$

where q_i are nontrivial polynomials concerning the function λ_1 and given by:

$$q_{1} = (n-4)\left(1728\lambda_{1}^{3} + 2a_{1}\lambda_{1}\right)\left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) + 3(n-4)\left(432\lambda_{1}^{4} + a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{2}\right)\lambda_{1},$$

$$q_{2} = (n-4)(n+2)\lambda_{1}\left(1728\lambda_{1}^{3} + 2a_{1}\lambda_{1}\right) + 3(n-4)\left(432\lambda_{1}^{4} + a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{2}\right) + 3(n-4)\left\{-54(n+3)\lambda_{1}^{3} + a_{3}\lambda_{1}\right\}\lambda_{1},$$

$$q_{3} = 3(n-4)\left\{-54(n+3)\lambda_{1}^{3} + a_{3}\lambda_{1}\right\},$$

$$q_{4} = (n-4)\left(36(n-4)\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{4}\right)\left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_{1}^{2}\right),$$

$$q_{5} = -(n-4)(n+2)\left(36(n-4)\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{4}\right)\lambda_{1},$$

$$q_{6} = -3(n-4)\left(432\lambda_{1}^{4} + a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{2}\right)\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{1}\left(-162(n+3)\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{3}\right)\left\{6R - (4n^{2} - 12n - 3)c - 27\lambda_{1}^{2}\right\} + 3c(n-4)\left\{-54(n+3)\lambda_{1}^{3} + a_{3}\lambda_{1}\right\}.$$

In the same manner, (4-8) and (4-9) could be also rewritten, respectively, as:

(4-13)
$$p_{1}(\lambda_{1})\varphi\psi + p_{2}(\lambda_{1})\psi^{2} = p_{3}(\lambda_{1}),$$
(4-14)
$$h_{1}(\lambda_{1})\varphi + h_{2}(\lambda_{1})\psi = h_{3}(\lambda_{1}),$$

where p_i , h_i (i = 1, 2) are polynomials concerning the function λ_1 and given by

$$p_{1} = (n-4)\left(R - n(n-1)c - 6\lambda_{1}^{2}\right),$$

$$p_{2} = (n-4)(n+2)\lambda_{1},$$

$$p_{3} = \lambda_{1}\left(6R - (4n^{2} - 12n - 3)c - 27\lambda_{1}^{2}\right),$$

$$h_{1} = 432\lambda_{1}^{4} + a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{2},$$

$$h_{2} = -54(n+3)\lambda_{1}^{3} + a_{3}\lambda_{1},$$

$$h_{3} = 12(n-4)\lambda_{1}^{3} + a_{4}\lambda_{1}.$$

Multiplying by h_1^2 on both sides of (4-11), by taking into account (4-14) we may eliminate φ and get

$$(4-16) P_1 \psi^2 + P_2 \psi = P_3,$$

where

$$P_{1} = q_{1}h_{2}^{2} - q_{2}h_{1}h_{2} + q_{3}h_{1}^{2},$$

$$(4-17) P_{2} = -2q_{1}h_{2}h_{3} + q_{2}h_{1}h_{3} - q_{4}h_{1}h_{2} + q_{5}h_{1}^{2},$$

$$P_{3} = -q_{1}h_{3}^{2} - q_{4}h_{1}h_{3} - q_{6}h_{1}^{2}.$$

Similarly, eliminating φ in (4-13) by using (4-14) yields

$$(4-18) Q_1 \psi^2 + Q_2 \psi = Q_3,$$

where

(4-19)
$$Q_1 = p_2 h_1 - p_1 h_2,$$

$$Q_2 = p_1 h_3,$$

$$Q_3 = p_3 h_1.$$

Moreover, multiplying by Q_1 and P_1 on both sides of the equations (4-16) and (4-18), respectively, after eliminating the ' ψ^2 ' part we obtain

$$(4-20) (P_2Q_1 - P_1Q_2)\psi = P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3.$$

Multiplying (4-20) by $P_1\psi$ and then combining this with (4-16) gives

$$(4-21) \qquad \left\{ P_1(P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3) + P_2(P_2Q_1 - P_1Q_2) \right\} \psi = P_3(P_2Q_1 - P_1Q_2).$$

At last, after eliminating ψ between (4-20) and (4-21) we get

$$(4-22) P_1(P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3)^2 + P_2(P_2Q_1 - P_1Q_2)(P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3)$$

$$= P_3(P_2Q_1 - P_1Q_2)^2.$$

We observe from (4-12), (4-15), (4-17) and (4-19) that both P_i and Q_i ($1 \le i \le 3$) are polynomials concerning λ_1 with constant coefficients. Hence, it follows that

$$P_{1} = -10077696(n-4)(n+3)(n-1)\lambda_{1}^{11} + \cdots,$$

$$P_{2} = -839808(n-4)^{2}(11n+5)\lambda_{1}^{11} + \cdots,$$

$$P_{3} = -69984(19n+113)\lambda_{1}^{13} + \cdots,$$

$$Q_{1} = 108(n-4)(n-1)\lambda_{1}^{5} + \cdots,$$

$$Q_{2} = -72(n-4)^{2}\lambda_{1}^{5} + \cdots,$$

$$Q_{3} = -11664\lambda_{1}^{7} + \cdots,$$

where we only need to write the highest order terms of λ_1 .

By substituting P_i and Q_i into (4-22), we get a polynomial equation concerning λ_1 with constant coefficients $c_i = c_i(n, c, R)$:

(4-23)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{47} c_i \lambda_1^i = 0,$$

where the coefficient c_{47} of the highest order term satisfies

$$c_{47} = -10077696(n-4)^{2}(n+3)(n-1)^{2} [69984 \times 108(19n+113) +10077696 \times 11664(n+3)]^{2} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, λ_1 has to be constant and $H = -2\lambda_1/n$ is a constant, which is a contradiction.

Case B: $\omega_{pq}^r \neq 0$, $\omega_{pq}^u \neq 0$, and $\omega_{ij}^k = 0$ for all other distinct triplets $\{i, j, k\}$ and distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k$. Then, (3-37) implies that

(4-24)
$$\omega_{pp}^{1}\omega_{vv}^{1} = -\lambda_{p}\lambda_{v} - c,$$

$$(4-25) \qquad \omega_{qq}^{1}\omega_{vv}^{1} = -\lambda_{q}\lambda_{v} - c,$$

$$\omega_{rr}^{1}\omega_{vv}^{1} = -\lambda_{r}\lambda_{v} - c,$$

$$\omega_{uv}^{1}\omega_{vv}^{1} = -\lambda_{u}\lambda_{v} - c.$$

Similar to Case A, since $\omega_{pq}^r \neq 0$ and $\omega_{pq}^u \neq 0$, (3-33) and (3-35) imply that

(4-26)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 = \varphi \lambda_i + \psi, \quad \text{for } i = p, q, r, u,$$

where φ and ψ satisfy the differential equations (4-3) and (4-4).

Substituting (4-26) into (4-24) and (4-25), we obtain

(4-27)
$$\omega_{vv}^1 = -\frac{1}{\varphi}\lambda_v,$$

$$\lambda_{v}\psi = c\varphi,$$

which means that ω_{vv}^1 and λ_v are determined completely by φ and ψ .

Substitute (4-26)–(4-28) into (4-1), and then differentiate it with respect to e_1 . By using (4-3), (4-4) and (3-11), a similar discussion as in Case A gives a polynomial concerning the function λ_1 with constant coefficients. Hence, λ_1 has to be constant, which yields a contradiction as well.

Case C: $\omega_{pq}^r \neq 0$ (or $\omega_{pq}^r = 0$), and all the $\omega_{ij}^k = 0$ for distinct triplets $\{i, j, k\}$ and distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k$. Then, (3-37) implies that

(4-29)
$$\omega_{pp}^1 \omega_{uu}^1 = -\lambda_p \lambda_u - c, \quad \omega_{pp}^1 \omega_{vv}^1 = -\lambda_p \lambda_v - c,$$

(4-30)
$$\omega_{aa}^1 \omega_{uu}^1 = -\lambda_a \lambda_u - c, \quad \omega_{aa}^1 \omega_{vv}^1 = -\lambda_a \lambda_v - c,$$

$$(4-31) \omega_{rr}^1 \omega_{uu}^1 = -\lambda_r \lambda_u - c, \omega_{rr}^1 \omega_{vv}^1 = -\lambda_r \lambda_v - c,$$

$$(4-32) \omega_{uu}^1 \omega_{vv}^1 = -\lambda_u \lambda_v - c.$$

We first consider $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for i = p, q, r, u, v. Consequently, (4-29)–(4-32) reduce to

$$\frac{\omega_{pp}^{1}}{\lambda_{p}} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^{1}}{\lambda_{q}} = \frac{\omega_{rr}^{1}}{\lambda_{r}} = -\frac{\lambda_{u} - \lambda_{v}}{\omega_{uu}^{1} - \omega_{vv}^{1}},$$

$$\frac{\omega_{uu}^{1}}{\lambda_{u}} = \frac{\omega_{vv}^{1}}{\lambda_{v}} = -\frac{\lambda_{p} - \lambda_{q}}{\omega_{pp}^{1} - \omega_{qq}^{1}},$$

and hence

(4-33)
$$\frac{\omega_{pp}^1}{\lambda_p} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^1}{\lambda_q} = \frac{\omega_{rr}^1}{\lambda_r} = \varphi,$$

$$\frac{\omega_{uu}^1}{\lambda_u} = \frac{\omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_v} = \psi$$

for two functions φ and ψ .

Substituting (4-33) and (4-34) back to (4-29) gives

$$(1 + \varphi \psi) \lambda_p \lambda_u = -c,$$

$$(1 + \varphi \psi) \lambda_p \lambda_v = -c,$$

which imply that $\lambda_u = \lambda_v$. This is impossible.

If $\lambda_p = 0$, then (3-12) and (4-29) imply that $\omega_{pp}^1 = 0$ and c = 0. Then (4-30) and (4-31) yield

$$\frac{\omega_{uu}^1}{\lambda_u} = \frac{\omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_v} = \gamma$$

for some function γ . However, combining (4-35) with (4-32) gives $\gamma^2 = -1$. Hence it is a contradiction.

Lastly, we consider $\lambda_u = 0$. Then (3-12) and (4-29) reduce to $\omega_{uu}^1 = c = 0$. The second equations of (4-29)–(4-31) show that

(4-36)
$$\frac{\omega_{pp}^1}{\lambda_p} = \frac{\omega_{qq}^1}{\lambda_q} = \frac{\omega_{rr}^1}{\lambda_r} = \varphi,$$

$$\frac{\omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_v} = -\frac{1}{\varphi}.$$

By taking into account (4-36) and (4-37) together with (3-11) and (4-1), a very similar and direct computation as in Case A also gives a polynomial concerning the function λ_1 with constant coefficients. Hence, this is a contradiction and the mean curvature H must be constant.

In conclusion, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor Cezar Oniciuc for fruitful discussions and useful suggestions. The authors also wish to express appreciation to the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions to improve the original version of this paper. The first author was supported by NSFC (No. 11601068), CSC of China (No. 201508210004) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2016T90226, No. 2014M560216). The second author was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP150101275).

References

[Akutagawa and Maeta 2013] K. Akutagawa and S. Maeta, "Biharmonic properly immersed submanifolds in Euclidean spaces", *Geom. Dedicata* **164** (2013), 351–355. MR Zbl

[Alías et al. 2013] L. J. Alías, S. C. García-Martínez, and M. Rigoli, "Biharmonic hypersurfaces in complete Riemannian manifolds", *Pacific J. Math.* **263**:1 (2013), 1–12. MR Zbl

[Balmuş et al. 2008] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, "Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres", *Israel J. Math.* **168** (2008), 201–220. MR Zbl

[Balmuş et al. 2010] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional space forms", *Math. Nachr.* **283**:12 (2010), 1696–1705. MR Zbl

[Balmuş et al. 2013] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic PNMC submanifolds in spheres", *Ark. Mat.* **51**:2 (2013), 197–221. MR Zbl

[Caddeo et al. 2001] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic submanifolds of S^3 ", *Internat. J. Math.* **12**:8 (2001), 867–876. MR Zbl

[Caddeo et al. 2002] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres", *Israel J. Math.* 130 (2002), 109–123. MR Zbl

[Chen 1991] B.-Y. Chen, "Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type", *Soochow J. Math.* 17:2 (1991), 169–188. MR Zbl

[Chen 2014] B.-Y. Chen, "Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type: recent development", *Tamkang J. Math.* **45**:1 (2014), 87–108. MR Zbl

[Chen 2015] B.-Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, 2nd ed., Series in Pure Mathematics **27**, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2015. MR Zbl

[Chen and Munteanu 2013] B.-Y. Chen and M. I. Munteanu, "Biharmonic ideal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces", *Differential Geom. Appl.* **31**:1 (2013), 1–16. MR Zbl

[Cheng and Yau 1977] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, "Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature", *Math. Ann.* **225**:3 (1977), 195–204. MR Zbl

[Defever 1998] F. Defever, "Hypersurfaces of E⁴ with harmonic mean curvature vector", *Math. Nachr.* **196** (1998), 61–69. MR Zbl

[Dimitrić 1992] I. Dimitrić, "Submanifolds of E^m with harmonic mean curvature vector", *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **20**:1 (1992), 53–65. MR Zbl

[Eells and Lemaire 1983] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **50**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983. MR Zbl

- [Fu 2015a] Y. Fu, "Biharmonic hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures in Euclidean space", *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **67**:3 (2015), 465–479. MR Zbl
- [Fu 2015b] Y. Fu, "Biharmonic hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures in spheres", *Math. Nachr.* **288**:7 (2015), 763–774. MR Zbl
- [Fu 2015c] Y. Fu, "On biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvatures in \$\S^5\", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143**:12 (2015), 5399–5409. MR Zbl
- [Hasanis and Vlachos 1995] T. Hasanis and T. Vlachos, "Hypersurfaces in E⁴ with harmonic mean curvature vector field", *Math. Nachr.* **172** (1995), 145–169. MR Zbl
- [Ichiyama et al. 2010] T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi, and H. Urakawa, "Classifications and isolation phenomena of bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields", *Note Mat.* **30**:2 (2010), 15–48. MR Zbl
- [Jiang 1986] G. Y. Jiang, "2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas", *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 7:4 (1986), 389–402. In Chinese; English summary in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 7:4 (1986), 523. MR Zbl
- [Jiang 1987] G. Y. Jiang, "Some nonexistence theorems on 2-harmonic and isometric immersions in Euclidean space", *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **8**:3 (1987), 377–383. In Chinese; English summary in *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **8**:3 (1987), 389. MR Zbl
- [Koiso and Urakawa 2014] N. Koiso and H. Urakawa, "Biharmonic submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold", preprint, 2014. arXiv
- [Loubeau and Oniciuc 2014] E. Loubeau and C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic surfaces of constant mean curvature", *Pacific J. Math.* **271**:1 (2014), 213–230. MR Zbl
- [Luo 2014] Y. Luo, "Weakly convex biharmonic hypersurfaces in nonpositive curvature space forms are minimal", *Results Math.* **65**:1-2 (2014), 49–56. MR Zbl
- [Montaldo and Oniciuc 2006] S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, "A short survey on biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds", *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 47:2 (2006), 1–22. MR Zbl
- [Montaldo et al. 2016] S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and A. Ratto, "On cohomogeneity one biharmonic hypersurfaces into the Euclidean space", *J. Geom. Phys.* **106** (2016), 305–313. MR Zbl
- [Nakauchi and Urakawa 2011] N. Nakauchi and H. Urakawa, "Biharmonic hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold with non-positive Ricci curvature", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **40**:2 (2011), 125–131. MR Zbl
- [Oniciuc 2002] C. Oniciuc, "Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds", An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 48:2 (2002), 237–248. MR Zbl
- [Oniciuc 2012] C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in space forms*, Habilitation Thesis, Universitatea Alexandra Ioan Cuza, 2012.
- [Ou 2012] Y.-L. Ou, "Some constructions of biharmonic maps and Chen's conjecture on biharmonic hypersurfaces", *J. Geom. Phys.* **62**:4 (2012), 751–762. MR Zbl
- [Ou 2016] Y.-L. Ou, "Some recent progress of biharmonic submanifolds", pp. 127–139 in *Recent advances in the geometry of submanifolds—dedicated to the memory of Franki Dillen* (1963–2013), edited by B. Suceavă et al., Contemp. Math. **674**, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 2016. MR Zbl
- [Ou and Tang 2012] Y.-L. Ou and L. Tang, "On the generalized Chen's conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds", *Michigan Math. J.* **61**:3 (2012), 531–542. MR Zbl

Received June 9, 2016. Revised February 7, 2017.

YU FU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS DONGBEI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS DALIAN CHINA

yufu@dufe.edu.cn

MIN-CHUN HONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND
BRISBANE
AUSTRALIA

hong@maths.uq.edu.au

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 294 No. 2 June 2018

A positive mass theorem and Penrose inequality for graphs with noncompact	257
boundary	
EZEQUIEL BARBOSA and ADSON MEIRA	
Diagrams for relative trisections	275
NICKOLAS A. CASTRO, DAVID T. GAY and JUANITA PINZÓN-CAICEDO	
Linkage of modules with respect to a semidualizing module	307
MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI and ARASH SADEGHI	
Biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms	329
Yu Fu and Min-Chun Hong	
Nonabelian Fourier transforms for spherical representations	351
JAYCE R. GETZ	
Entropy of embedded surfaces in quasi-Fuchsian manifolds	375
Olivier Glorieux	
Smooth Schubert varieties and generalized Schubert polynomials in algebraic	401
cobordism of Grassmannians	
JENS HORNBOSTEL and NICOLAS PERRIN	
Sobolev inequalities on a weighted Riemannian manifold of positive	423
Bakry-Émery curvature and convex boundary	
SAÏD ILIAS and ABDOLHAKIM SHOUMAN	
On the existence of closed geodesics on 2-orbifolds	453
Christian Lange	
A Casselman–Shalika formula for the generalized Shalika model of SO _{4n}	473
Miyu Suzuki	
Nontautological bielliptic cycles	495
JASON VAN ZELM	
Addendum: Singularities of flat fronts in hyperbolic space	505
Masatoshi Kokubu, Wayne Rossman, Kentaro Saji, Masaaki	
UMEHARA and KOTARO YAMADA	