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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a boundary Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings between nonequidimensional unit balls. As an application, a new boundary rigidity result is presented.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B^{n}$ be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Denote by $\operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ the set of all holomorphic mapping from the unit ball $B^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ into $B^{N} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$. For a bounded domain $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$, let $C^{1+\alpha}(V)$ be the set of all functions $f$ on $V$ whose first order partial derivatives exist and are Hölder continuous. For $z_{0} \in \partial B^{n}$, the tangent space $T_{z_{0}}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$ and holomorphic tangent space $T_{z_{0}}^{1,0}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$ at $z_{0}$ are defined by

$$
T_{z_{0}}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)=\left\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid \operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta\right)=0\right\}, \quad T_{z_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)=\left\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta=0\right\},
$$

respectively. In this paper, we give a general boundary Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings between unit balls in any dimensions as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ for any $n, N \geq 1$, and denote by $J_{f}(z)$ the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $z$. If $f$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at $z_{0} \in \partial B^{n}$ and $f\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0} \in \partial B^{N}$, then we have:
(I) $J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}\left(\partial B^{N}\right)$ for any $\beta \in T_{z_{0}}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$, and $J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{N}\right)$ for any $\beta \in T_{z_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$.
(II) There exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda z_{0}
$$

with $\lambda \geq\left|1-\bar{a}^{T} w_{0}\right|^{2} /\left(1-\|a\|^{2}\right)>0$, where $a=f(0)$.
Remark 1.2. For the case of biholomorphic mapping, item (I) holds; see Chapter 3 of [Krantz 1992]. Here we conclude the same result for holomorphic mappings between unit balls of different dimensions. For $n=N=1$, the theorem says

[^0]$f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)>0$, so the image $f\left(\partial B^{1}\right)$ at $w_{0}$ is always smooth. For $n>1$, if $f\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$ is a smooth manifold, then conclusion (I) is almost trivial. However, we would like to point out that $f\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$ may be not a smooth manifold.

In the special case when $n=N$, Theorem 1.1 reduces to (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.1 of [Liu et al. 2015]. For $n=N=1$, part (II) of the theorem gives the classical boundary Schwarz lemma in [Garnett 1981].

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we will present a new boundary rigidity result. First, recall the following famous rigidity result for holomorphic self-mappings on $B^{n}$.

Theorem 1.3 [Burns and Krantz 1994]. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{n}\right)$ with $n \geq 1$ such that

$$
f(z)=z+O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{4}\right)
$$

as $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \in \partial B^{n}$. Then $f(z) \equiv z$.
Notice that the order of the estimation $O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{4}\right)$ is sharp in Theorem 1.3, as shown by the example [Burns and Krantz 1994]

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{1}{10}(z-1)^{3}, \quad z \in D,
$$

where $D$ is the unit disk.
On the other hand, Huang [1995] shows that if $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{n}\right)$ satisfies $f(z)=$ $z+O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{3}\right)$ as $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, and $f\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$ with $z_{0} \in B^{n}$, then $f(z)=z$ on the unit ball. This result gives a condition under which the order of the estimation $O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{4}\right)$ in [Burns and Krantz 1994] can be lower with a fixed point.

A problem of the boundary rigidity for nonequidimensional mappings was given by Krantz [2011]. Using Theorem 1.1, we give a positive answer to this problem, and provide a new boundary rigidity result for holomorphic mappings between nonequidimensional unit balls. In fact, we find conditions under which the order of the estimation can be lower and is also sharp without internal fixed point. Our result is given as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ for $N \geq n \geq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\left(z^{T}, 0\right)^{T}+O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{3}\right) \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$. If $f$ is $C^{2}$ at $\mathbf{1}$ and $f_{1}(z)=z_{1}$, where $f_{1}$ is the first component of $f$, then $f(z) \equiv\left(z^{T}, 0\right)^{T}$.

Example. Let $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2} z_{1}^{k}, 0\right)^{T} \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{2}, B^{3}\right)$ for integer $k \geq 1$. Since $f(z)-\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)^{T}=\left(0, z_{2}\left(z_{1}^{k}-1\right), 0\right)^{T}$, and

$$
\frac{\left|f(z)-\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)\right|}{|z-\mathbf{1}|^{2}}=\frac{\left|z_{2}\left(z_{1}^{k}-1\right)\right|}{\left|z_{1}-1\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left|z_{1}^{k}-1\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{1}-1\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(k^{2}+1\right),
$$

it satisfies $f(z)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)^{T}+O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{2}\right)$. However, it is obvious that $f(z) \neq$ $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)^{T}$, which indicates that the order of $O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{3}\right)$ is sharp.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove the main result, we first give some notation and lemmas. For any $z=$ $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{T}, w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the inner product and the corresponding norm are given by $\langle z, w\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \overline{w_{j}}$ and $\|z\|=\langle z, z\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively. $\partial B^{n}$ denotes the boundary of $B^{n}$.

Lemma 2.1 [Rudin 1980]. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ with $n, N \geq 1$. If $f(0)=0$, then $\|f(z)\| \leq\|z\|, \quad z \in B^{n}$.
Lemma 2.2 [Dai et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2016]. For given $p \in B^{n} \cup \partial B^{n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $q \neq 0$, let $L(\xi)=p+\xi q$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
L\left(D_{p, q}\right) \subset B^{n}, \quad L\left(\partial D_{p, q}\right) \subset \partial B^{n}
$$

where $D_{p, q}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}| | \xi-c_{p, q} \mid<r_{p, q}\right\}$, with

$$
c_{p, q}=-\frac{\langle p, q\rangle}{\|q\|^{2}}, \quad r_{p, q}=\sqrt{\frac{1-\|p\|^{2}}{\|q\|^{2}}+\left|\frac{\langle p, q\rangle}{\|q\|^{2}}\right|^{2}}
$$

Proof. Assume $\left\|L\left(D_{p, q}\right)\right\|^{2}<1$, which means

$$
\|p\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{p}^{T} \xi q\right)+\|\xi q\|^{2}<1
$$

and

$$
\frac{\|p\|^{2}}{\|q\|^{2}}+2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{p}^{T} q \xi\right)}{\|q\|^{2}}+|\xi|^{2}<\frac{1}{\|q\|^{2}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left|\xi+\frac{\langle p, q\rangle}{\|q\|^{2}}\right|^{2}<\frac{1-\|p\|^{2}}{\|q\|^{2}}+\left|\frac{\langle p, q\rangle}{\|q\|^{2}}\right|^{2}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove the theorem in five steps.
Step 1. Denote by $e_{i}^{n}$ the $i$-th column of the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Assume $z_{0}=e_{1}^{n}=\mathbf{1} \in \partial B^{n}$, and $f$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$ in a neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$. Moreover, assume $f(0)=0$ and $f\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0}=e_{1}^{N}$.

We first show that for any $q \in H=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid \operatorname{Re} z_{1}<0\right\}$, there exists a $r_{q}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}+t q \in B^{n}, \quad 0<t<r_{q} \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)^{T} \in H$ and $\operatorname{Re} q_{1}<0$. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbf{1}+t q \in B^{n} \Leftrightarrow\|\mathbf{1}+t q\|^{2}<1 \Leftrightarrow\left|1+t \operatorname{Re} q_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|t \operatorname{Im} q_{1}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|q_{j}\right|^{2} t^{2}<1
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
0<t<\frac{-2 \operatorname{Re} q_{1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|q_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

Letting $r_{q}=-2 \operatorname{Re} q_{1} /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|q_{j}\right|^{2}\right)$ implies the claim.
Let $p=z_{0}, q=(-1+i k) z_{0}$ for any given $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then from (2-1), when $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, $p+t q \in B^{n} \cap V$. For such $t$, taking the Taylor expansion of $f\left((1-t+i k t) z_{0}\right)$ at $t=0$, we have

$$
f\left((1-t+i k t) z_{0}\right)=w_{0}+J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)(-1+i k) z_{0} t+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\left\|f\left((1-t+i k t) z_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{0}+J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)(-1+i k) z_{0} t+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|(1-t+i k t) z_{0}\right\|^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
1+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{w}_{0}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)(-1+i k) z_{0} t\right)+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right) \leq 1-2 t+O\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Substituting $w_{0}=e_{1}^{N}, z_{0}=e_{1}^{n}$ and let $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{e_{1}^{N}} T}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)(-1+i k) e_{1}^{n}\right) \leq-1
$$

i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}(-1+i k)\right) \leq-1
$$

Let $\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) / \partial z_{1}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) / \partial z_{1}\right)+i \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) / \partial z_{1}\right)$. Then from the above inequality, one gets

$$
-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}-k \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}} \leq-1
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}-1 \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2-2) is valid for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}=0
$$

which implies

$$
0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}-1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}} \geq 1 \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. Let $p=z_{0}, q=-z_{0}+i k e_{j}^{n}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, $p+t q \in B^{n} \cap V$. Similarly, taking the Taylor expansion of $f\left((1-t) z_{0}+i k t e_{j}^{n}\right)$ at $t=0$, we have

$$
f\left((1-t) z_{0}+i k t e_{j}^{n}\right)=w_{0}+J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(-z_{0}+i k e_{j}^{n}\right) t+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f\left((1-t) z_{0}+i k t e_{j}^{n}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left\|w_{0}+J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(-z_{0}+i k e_{j}^{n}\right) t+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|(1-t) z_{0}+i k t e_{j}^{n}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
1+2 \operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(-z_{0}+i k e_{j}^{n}\right) t\right)+O\left(t^{1+\alpha}\right) \leq 1-2 t+O\left(t^{2}\right) .
$$

Substituting $w_{0}=e_{1}^{N}, z_{0}=e_{1}^{n}$ and letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{e_{1}^{N}} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(-e_{1}^{n}+i k e_{j}^{n}\right)\right) \leq-1,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(-\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}+i k \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{j}}\right) \leq-1 .
$$

From the above inequality as well as inequality (2-3), one has

$$
-k \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{j}} \leq \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{1}}-1 .
$$

With an argument similar to Step 1, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{j}}=0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n
$$

Meanwhile, if we assume $p=z_{0}, q=-z_{0}+k e_{j}^{n}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and any $k \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to find

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{j}}=0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\partial z_{j}}=0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n, \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well. As a result of (2-3) and (2-4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda_{f} z_{0} \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w_{0}=e_{1}^{N}, z_{0}=e_{1}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{f}=\partial f_{1}\left(z_{0}\right) / \partial z_{1} \geq 1$.

Step 3. Now let $z_{0}$ be any given point at $\partial B^{n}$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U_{z_{0}}$ such that $U_{z_{0}}\left(z_{0}\right)=e_{1}^{n}$. Assume $f(0)=0, f\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0}$ and $w_{0}$ is not necessarily $e_{1}^{N}$ at $\partial B^{N}$. Similarly, there is a unitary matrix $U_{w_{0}}$ such that $U_{w_{0}}\left(w_{0}\right)=e_{1}^{N}$. Let

$$
g(z)=U_{w_{0}} \circ f \circ{{\overline{U_{z}}}^{T} ;, ~}_{T}
$$

then $g(0)=0, g\left(e_{1}^{n}\right)=e_{1}^{N}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{g}(z)=U_{w_{0}} J_{f}\left({\overline{U_{z_{0}}}}^{T} z\right){\overline{U_{z}}}^{T} . \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Steps 1 and 2, we have

$$
{\overline{J_{g}\left(e_{1}^{n}\right)}}^{T} e_{1}^{N}=\lambda_{g} e_{1}^{n}
$$

for $z_{0}=e_{1}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{g}=\partial g_{1}\left(e_{1}^{n}\right) / \partial z_{1} \geq 1$, which implies

$$
\overline{U_{w_{0}} J_{f}\left({\overline{U_{z}}}^{T} e_{1}^{n}\right){\overline{U_{z_{0}}}} T} e_{1}^{N}=\lambda_{g} e_{1}^{n},
$$

i.e.,

$$
U_{z_{0}}{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T}{\overline{U_{w_{0}}}}^{T} e_{1}^{N}=\lambda_{g} e_{1}^{n} .
$$

After multiplying by ${\overline{U_{z}}}^{T}$ on both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$
{\overline{U_{z 0}}}^{T} U_{z_{0}}{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T}{\overline{U_{w_{0}}}}^{T} e_{1}^{N}=\lambda_{g}{\overline{U_{z}}}^{T} e_{1}^{n},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda_{g} z_{0} \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{g}=\partial g_{1}\left(e_{1}^{n}\right) / \partial z_{1} \geq 1$.
Step 4. Let $f\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0}$ with $z_{0} \in \partial B^{n}, w_{0} \in \partial B^{N}$. If $f(0)=a \neq 0$, then we use the automorphism of $B^{N}$ to get the result. Assume $\phi_{a}(w)$ is an automorphism of $B^{N}$ such that $\phi_{a}(a)=0$. Then $\phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right) \in \partial B^{N}$ as well. With a similar analysis to Step 3, there exists a $U_{\phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right)}$ such that $U_{\phi_{a}}\left(\phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)=w_{0}$. Let

$$
h=U_{\phi_{a}} \circ \phi_{a} \circ f,
$$

then $h(0)=0, h\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0}$. As a result of Step 3, there is a real number $\gamma \geq 1$ such that

$$
{\overline{J_{h}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\gamma z_{0}
$$

Using the expression for $h$, we obtain

Since $U_{\phi_{a}}\left(\phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)=w_{0}$, we have ${\overline{U_{\phi_{a}}}}^{T} w_{0}=\phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right)$. From the expression for the automorphism $\phi_{a}$ given by [Rudin 1980], we have the following equality:

$$
\overline{J_{\phi_{a}}\left(w_{0}\right)}{ }^{T}{\overline{U_{\phi_{a}}}}^{T} w_{0}={\overline{J_{\phi_{a}}\left(w_{0}\right)}}^{T} \phi_{a}\left(w_{0}\right)=\frac{1-\|a\|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{a}^{T} w_{0}\right|^{2}} w_{0} .
$$

Therefore, combining with (2-8) we get

$$
\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)^{T}} \frac{1-\|a\|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{a}^{T} w_{0}\right|^{2}} w_{0}=\gamma z_{0} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda z_{0}, \tag{2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda=\frac{\left|1-\bar{a}^{T} w_{0}\right|^{2}}{1-\|a\|^{2}} \gamma \geq \frac{\left|1-\bar{a}^{T} w_{0}\right|^{2}}{1-\|a\|^{2}}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad a=f(0) .
$$

The proof of (II) is completed.
Step 5. For any $\beta \in T_{z_{0}}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta\right)=0 . \tag{2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove $J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}\left(\partial B^{N}\right)$, it is sufficient to verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta\right)=0 . \tag{2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2-9), ${\overline{J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda z_{0}$, which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)={\overline{\bar{J}_{f}\left(z_{0}\right)^{T}}}^{T}{ }_{0}^{T}=\lambda{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} . \tag{2-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta\right)=\lambda \operatorname{Re}\left({\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta\right)=0,
$$

where the last equality comes from (2-10). Therefore, (2-11) is proved and hence

$$
J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}\left(\partial B^{N}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, for any $\beta \in T_{z_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta=0 . \tag{2-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove $J_{f}^{(1,0)}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{N}\right)$, it is sufficient to get

$$
{\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta=0 .
$$

From (2-12) and (2-13),

$$
{\overline{w_{0}}}^{T} J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta=\lambda{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta=\lambda{\overline{z_{0}}}^{T} \beta=0,
$$

Therefore, $J_{f}\left(z_{0}\right) \beta \in T_{w_{0}}^{(1,0)}\left(\partial B^{N}\right)$. The proof of (I) is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

For any fixed point $b \in B^{n}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{b}$ be the complex (straight) line joining $b$ and $\mathbf{1}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{b}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid z=\mathbf{1}+\xi(\mathbf{1}-b), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
$$

and let $d_{b}$ be the complex disc given by $\mathcal{L}_{b} \cap B^{n}$. In particular,

$$
d_{0}=\left\{z \in B^{n} \mid z_{2}=\cdots=z_{n}=0\right\} .
$$

From Lemma 2.2, it is found that $d_{b}=L\left(D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)^{T} \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ with $N \geq n \geq 1$, and $f_{1}(z)=$ $z_{1}, z \in B^{n}$. Then

$$
f\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}, \quad z \in d_{0} .
$$

Proof. Restricting $f(z)=\left(z_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)^{T}$ on $d_{0}$, then $\left.f\right|_{d_{0}}$ can be regarded as a holomorphic mapping from $D$ into $B^{N}$, which implies $\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|f_{j}(z)\right|^{2}<1$, $z \in d_{0}$ and then $\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|f_{j}(z)\right|^{2}<1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}, z \in d_{0}$. By $z_{1} \rightarrow 1$, the maximum principle of subharmonic function guarantees $\left.f_{j}\right|_{d_{0}} \equiv 0$ for any $2 \leq j \leq N$. Therefore, $\left.f\right|_{d_{0}}=\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Step 1. Given $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)^{T} \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(B^{n}, B^{N}\right)$ such that (1-1) holds and $f_{1}(z) \equiv z_{1}$ on $B^{n}$. From Lemma 3.1, one gets $\left.f\right|_{d_{0}}=\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}$. We aim to prove $f_{j}(z)=z_{j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $f_{j}(z)=0$ for $n+1 \leq j \leq N$ on the unit ball.

Represent $f_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) z_{k}, \quad z \in B^{n}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq N, \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{j k}(z)$ are all holomorphic functions on the unit ball. In fact, taking the Taylor expansion for $f_{j}(z)$ at 0 for $2 \leq j \leq N$, one gets

$$
f_{j}(z)=f_{j}(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|v|=k} C_{v} z^{v}, \quad z \in B^{n} .
$$

Let $\phi_{j 1}\left(z_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i} z_{1}^{i}$. Then there are holomorphic functions $\phi_{j k}(z)$ satisfying

$$
f_{j}(z)=f_{j}(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|v|=k} C_{v} z^{v}=f_{j}(0)+\phi_{j 1}\left(z_{1}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) z_{k}, \quad z \in B^{n} .
$$

We notice that, for $2 \leq k \leq n$, the $\phi_{j k}(z)$ are not necessarily unique in this expression for $f_{j}(z)$. Since $f_{j}\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=0$ for any $\left(z_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T} \in B^{n} \cup\{\mathbf{1}\}$, we have $f_{j}(0)=0$ and $\phi_{j 1}\left(z_{1}\right) \equiv 0, z \in B^{n} \cup\{\mathbf{1}\}$, so that (3-1) holds.

In particular, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j k}(z) \equiv \delta_{j k}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq N, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n, \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the theorem is proved. If not, due to $f(z) \in B^{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) z_{k}\right|^{2}<1, \quad z \in B^{n} \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a $b \in B^{n}$ with $\tilde{b}=\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)^{T} \neq 0$, there at least exists one $b_{j} \neq 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$; without loss of generality, let $b_{2} \neq 0$. We consider $d_{b}=L\left(D_{\mathbf{1 , 1}-b}\right)$ from Lemma 2.2, where the expression for $D_{1,1-b}$ can be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b}=\left\{\left.\xi \in \mathbb{C}| | \xi+\frac{1-\bar{b}_{1}}{\|\mathbf{1}-b\|^{2}} \right\rvert\,<\frac{\left|1-b_{1}\right|}{\|\mathbf{1}-b\|^{2}}\right\} . \tag{3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\xi=0 \in \partial D_{\mathbf{1 , 1}-b}$ and $z=\mathbf{1} \in \partial d_{b}$. Furthermore, for any $z \in d_{b}$, $z=L(\xi)=\mathbf{1}+\xi(\mathbf{1}-b) \in d_{b}, \xi \in D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b}$, i.e.,

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{T}=\left(1+\xi\left(1-b_{1}\right),-\xi b_{2}, \ldots,-\xi b_{n}\right)^{T}, \quad \xi \in D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b},
$$

which gives that for $z \in d_{b} \cup \partial d_{b}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}} \geq \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}=\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} . \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is available only for $z \in \partial d_{b}$ and $z \neq \mathbf{1}$, i.e., $z_{2} \neq 0(\xi \neq 0)$.
Step 2. Since (1-1) holds as $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, it follows that

$$
f(z)-\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}=O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{3}\right) .
$$

Restricting $z \in d_{b}$, we obtain
(3-6a) $\quad f(z)-\left.\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}\right|_{z \in d_{b}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(0, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{2 k}(z) z_{k}-z_{2}, \ldots, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{n k}(z) z_{k}-z_{n},\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{(n+1) k}(z) z_{k}, \ldots, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{N k}(z) z_{k}\right)^{T} \\
& =\left(0,\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{2 k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}-\frac{b_{2}}{b_{2}}\right) z_{2}, \ldots,\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{n k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}-\frac{b_{n}}{b_{2}}\right) z_{2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{(n+1) k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right) z_{2}, \ldots,\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{N k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right) z_{2}\right)^{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.O\left(|z-\mathbf{1}|^{3}\right)\right|_{z \in d_{b}}=O\left(\left(\left|\frac{1-b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left|z_{2}\right|^{3}\right)=O\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{3}\right) . \tag{3-6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(z) & =\left(\Gamma_{2}(z), \ldots, \Gamma_{N}(z)\right)^{T} \\
& \triangleq\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{2 k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}, \ldots, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{n k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{(n+1) k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}, \ldots, \sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{N k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right)^{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have from (3-6a) and (3-6b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)-\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{b_{n}}{b_{2}}, 0 \ldots, 0\right)^{T}=O\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right), \quad z \in d_{b} . \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \in \partial d_{b}$, gives $z_{2} \rightarrow 0$ and hence (3-7) yields the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(\mathbf{1}) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}-\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}} & =0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n, \\
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(\mathbf{1}) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}} & =0, \quad n+1 \leq j \leq N . \tag{3-8}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the first order derivative of (3-7) at $\mathbf{1}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}^{\prime}(\mathbf{1}) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}=0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq N . \tag{3-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now set

$$
A_{0}=\left(\phi_{i j}(\mathbf{1})\right)_{(N-1) \times(n-1)}, \quad A_{1}=\left(\phi_{i j}^{\prime}(\mathbf{1})\right)_{(N-1) \times(n-1)},
$$

so (3-8) and (3-9) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} \tilde{b}=(\tilde{b}, 0, \ldots, 0)^{T}, \quad A_{1} \tilde{b}=0 \tag{3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{b}=\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)^{T}$. Since (3-10) is valid for any $\tilde{b} \neq 0$, we have $A_{0}=$ $\left(I_{n-1}, 0\right)^{T}$ and $A_{1}=0$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}(\mathbf{1})=\delta_{i j}, \quad \phi_{i j}^{\prime}(\mathbf{1})=0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq N, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n, \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Restricting $f$ on $d_{b}$, from (3-3), we have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) z_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}<1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}, \quad z \in d_{b}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}<\frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}, \quad z \in d_{b}
$$

From (3-5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}=\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad z \in \partial d_{b}, \quad z \neq \mathbf{1} \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z=\mathbf{1}$, i.e., $z_{2}=0$, it follows from (3-11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(\mathbf{1}) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}=\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \tag{3-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3-12) and (3-13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad z \in \partial d_{b} \tag{3-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d_{b}=L\left(D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b}\right),(3-14)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(L(\xi)) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad \xi \in \partial D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b} \tag{3-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the maximum principle for the subharmonic function

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(L(\xi)) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}
$$

on $D_{1,1-b}$, we have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(L(\xi)) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad \xi \in D_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \phi_{j k}(z) \frac{b_{k}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad z \in d_{b} \tag{3-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Consider the mapping $\Gamma(z)$ on $d_{b}$, which is a holomorphic mapping from $\overline{d_{b}}$ to the closure of the ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the center 0 and radius $\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|b_{j} / b_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ from (3-16). From the expression of $D_{1,1-b}$ given by (3-4), let

$$
\eta_{1}(\xi)=\frac{\xi+\left(1-\bar{b}_{1}\right) /\|\mathbf{1}-b\|^{2}}{\left|1-b_{1}\right| /\|\mathbf{1}-b\|^{2}}: \bar{D}_{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-b} \rightarrow \bar{D}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{2}(\xi)=\frac{\left|1-b_{1}\right|}{1-\bar{b}_{1}} \xi: \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}
$$

where $\bar{D}_{1,1-b}$ and $\bar{D}$ denote the closures of $D_{1,1-b}$ and $D$, respectively. Constructing a mapping

$$
\Psi(\xi)=\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Gamma \circ \eta_{1}^{-1} \circ \eta_{2}^{-1}: D \rightarrow \bar{B}^{N-1}
$$

we have from (3-11) that

$$
\Psi(1)=\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{b_{n}}{b_{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T} \in \partial B^{N-1}
$$

Moreover, the mapping $f$ is holomorphic on $B^{n}$ and satisfies (1-1) as $z \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$; from the construction, $\Psi$ is holomorphic on $D$ and $C^{2}$ at 1 . In addition $\Psi(1)=w_{0} \in \partial B^{N-1}$. According to Theorem 1.1, there exists a $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
{\overline{J_{\Psi}(1)}}^{T} w_{0}=\lambda \cdot 1>0
$$

unless $\Psi$ is a constant mapping. In other words, the above inequality means that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left|\frac{b_{j}}{b_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\left|1-b_{1}\right|}{\|\mathbf{1}-b\|^{2}} \cdot \frac{\overline{1-\bar{b}_{1}}}{\left|1-b_{1}\right|} \cdot \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}(\mathbf{1})} \cdot\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{b_{n}}{b_{2}}\right)^{T}>0
$$

However, from (3-11), it is found that $\Gamma^{\prime}(\mathbf{1})=0$, which is a contradiction and forces $\Psi$ to be a constant mapping such that $\Gamma$ satisfies (3-11), i.e.,

$$
\phi_{i j}(z)=\phi_{i j}(\mathbf{1}) \equiv \delta_{i j}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq N, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n .
$$

Consequently, from the expression for $f_{j}(z)$ in (3-1), one gets $f_{j}(z)=z_{j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $f_{j}(z)=0$ for $n+1 \leq j \leq N$. Therefore, we have $f(z) \equiv\left(z^{T}, 0\right)^{T}$ on the unit ball.
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