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#### Abstract

A super-modular category is a unitary premodular category with Müger center equivalent to the symmetric unitary category of super-vector spaces. Super-modular categories are important alternatives to modular categories as any unitary premodular category is the equivariantization of a either a modular or super-modular category. Physically, super-modular categories describe universal properties of quasiparticles in fermionic topological phases of matter. In general one does not have a representation of the modular group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ associated to a super-modular category, but it is possible to obtain a representation of the (index 3 ) $\theta$-subgroup: $\Gamma_{\theta}<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We study the image of this representation and conjecture a super-modular analogue of the $\mathbf{N g}$-Schauenburg congruence subgroup theorem for modular categories, namely that the kernel of the $\Gamma_{\theta}$ representation is a congruence subgroup. We prove this conjecture for any super-modular category that is a subcategory of modular category of twice its dimension, i.e., admitting a minimal modular extension. Conjecturally, every super-modular category admits (precisely 16) minimal modular extensions and our conjecture would be a consequence.


## 1. Introduction

A key part of the data for a modular category $\mathcal{C}$ is the $S$ and $T$ matrices encoding the nondegeneracy of the braiding and the twist coefficients, respectively. We will denote by $\tilde{S}$ the unnormalized matrix obtained as the invariants of the Hopf link so that $\tilde{S}_{0,0}=1$, while $S=\tilde{S} / D$ will denote the (unitary) normalized $S$-matrix where $D^{2}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})$ is the categorical dimension and $D>0$. Later, we will use the same conventions for any premodular category (for which $S$ may not be invertible). The diagonal matrix $T:=\theta_{i} \delta_{i, j}$ has finite order (Vafa's theorem, see [Bakalov and

[^0]Kirillov 2001]) for any premodular category. For a modular category the $S$ and $T$ matrices satisfy (see, e.g., [Bakalov and Kirillov 2001, Theorem 3.1.7]):
(1) $S^{2}=C$ where $C_{i, j}=\delta_{i, j^{*}}$ (so $S^{4}=C^{2}=I$ ).
(2) $(S T)^{3}=\frac{D_{+}}{D} S^{2}$ where $D_{+}=\sum_{i} \tilde{S}_{0, i}^{2} \theta_{i}$.
(3) $T C=C T$.

These imply that from any modular category $\mathcal{C}$ of rank $r$ (i.e., with $r$ isomorphism classes of simple objects) one obtains a projective unitary representation of the modular group $\rho: S L(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(r)$ defined on generators by $\mathfrak{s}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \rightarrow S$ and $\mathfrak{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \rightarrow T$ composed with the canonical projection $\pi_{r}: \mathrm{U}(r) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(r)$. By rescaling the $S$ and $T$ matrices, $\rho$ may be lifted to a linear representation of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, but these lifts are not unique. This representation has topological significance: one identifies the modular group with the mapping class group $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{1,0}\right)$ of the torus ( $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{s t}^{-1} \mathfrak{s}^{-1}$ correspond to Dehn twists about the meridian and parallel) and this projective representation is the action of the mapping class group on the Hilbert space associated to the torus by the modular functor obtained from $\mathcal{C}$.

A subgroup $H<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a congruence subgroup if $H$ contains a principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(n):=\{A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}): A \equiv I(\bmod n)\}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since $\Gamma(n)$ is the kernel of the reduction modulo $n$ map $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})$, any congruence subgroup has finite index. The level of a congruence subgroup $H$ is the minimal $n$ so that $\Gamma(n)<H$. More generally, for $G<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ we say $H<G$ is a congruence subgroup if $G \cap \Gamma(n)<H$ with the level of $H$ defined similarly.

The connection between topology and number theory found through the representation above is deepened by the following congruence subgroup theorem:

Theorem 1.1 [ Ng and Schauenburg 2010]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a modular category of rank $r$ with $T$-matrix of order $N$. Then the projective representation $\rho: \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(r)$ has $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ a congruence subgroup of level $N$.

In particular the image of $\rho$ factors over $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ and hence is a finite group. This fact has many important consequences: for example, it is related to rank-finiteness [Bruillard et al. 2016a] and can be used in classification problems [Bruillard et al. 2016b].

A super-modular category is a unitary ribbon fusion category whose Müger center is equivalent, as a unitary symmetric ribbon fusion category, to the category sVec of super-vector spaces (equipped with its unique structure as a unitary spherical symmetric fusion category). Super-modular categories (or slight variations) have been studied from several perspectives; see [Bonderson 2007; Davydov et al. 2013a; Bruillard et al. 2017; Lan et al. 2016] for a few examples. An algebraic motivation for studying these categories is the following: any unitary braided fusion category
is the equivariantization [Drinfeld et al. 2010] of either a modular or super-modular category (see [Sawin 2002, Theorem 2]). Physically, super-modular categories provide a framework for studying fermionic topological phases of matter [Bruillard et al. 2017]. Topological motivations include the study of spin 3-manifold invariants [Sawin 2002; Blanchet 2005; Blanchet and Masbaum 1996] and (3+1)-TQFTs [Walker and Wang 2012].

Remark. We restrict to unitary categories both for mathematical convenience and for their physical significance. On the other hand, there is a nonunitary version $\mathrm{sVec}^{-}$ of sVec: the underlying (non-Tannakian) symmetric fusion category is the same, but with the other possible spherical structure, which leads to negative dimensions. We could define super-modular categories more generally as premodular categories $\mathcal{B}$ with Müger center equivalent to either of sVec or $\mathrm{sVec}^{-}$. However, we do not know of any examples $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{sVec}^{-}$that are not simply of the form $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{sVec}^{-}$ for some modular category $\mathcal{C}$ (A. Bruguières asked Rowell and Wang for such an example in 2016).

One interesting feature of super-modular categories $\mathcal{B}$ is that their $S$ and $T$ matrices have tensor decompositions [Bonderson et al. 2013, Appendix; Bruillard et al. 2017, Theorem III.5]):

$$
S=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1  \tag{1-1}\\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \otimes \hat{S}, \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \otimes \hat{T}
$$

where $\hat{S}$ is unitary and $\hat{T}$ is a diagonal (unitary) matrix, depending on $r / 2-1$ sign choices. Two naive questions motivated by the above are whether $\hat{S}$ and a choice of $\hat{T}$ provide a (projective) representation of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and whether the group generated by $\hat{S}$ and a choice of $\hat{T}$ is finite. Of course if $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{sVec} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ for some modular category $\mathcal{D}$ (split super-modular) then the answer to both is "yes". More generally, as Example 2.1 below illustrates, the answer to both questions is "no".

The physical and topological applications of super-modular categories motivate a more refined question as follows. The consideration of fermions on a torus [AlvarezGaumé et al. 1986] leads to the study of spin structures on the torus $\Sigma_{1,0}$ : there are three even spin structures $(A, A),(A, P),(P, A)$ and one odd spin structure $(P, P)$, where $A, P$ denote antiperiodic and periodic boundary conditions. The full mapping class group $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{1,0}\right)=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ permutes the even spin structures: $\mathfrak{s}$ interchanges $(P, A)$ and $(A, P)$, and preserves $(A, A)$, whereas $\mathfrak{t}$ interchanges $(A, A)$ and $(P, A)$ and preserves $(A, P)$. Note that both $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{2}$ preserve $(A, A)$, so that the index 3 subgroup $\Gamma_{\theta}:=\left\langle\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}^{2}\right\rangle<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the spin mapping class group of the torus equipped with spin structure $(A, A)$. The spin mapping class group of the torus with spin structure $(A, P)$ or $(P, A)$ is similarly generated by $\mathfrak{s}^{2}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$, which is projectively isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $\Gamma_{\theta}$ is
projectively the free product of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ [Rademacher 1929]. Now the matrix $\hat{T}^{2}$ is unambiguously defined for any super-modular category $\mathcal{B}$, and in [Bruillard et al. 2017, Theorem II.7] it is shown that $\mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{2} \rightarrow \hat{T}^{2}$ define a projective representation $\hat{\rho}$ of $\Gamma_{\theta}$. We propose the following:

Conjecture 1.2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a super-modular category of rank $2 k$ and $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}^{2}$ the corresponding matrices as in (1-1). Then the kernel of the projective representation $\hat{\rho}: \Gamma_{\theta} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(k)$ given by $\hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{s})=\pi_{k}(\hat{S})$ and $\hat{\rho}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{2}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\hat{T}^{2}\right)$ is a congruence subgroup.

In particular if this conjecture holds then $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ is finite. We do not know what to expect the level of $\operatorname{ker} \hat{\rho}$ to be (in terms of, say, the order of $\hat{T}^{2}$ ), but we provide some examples below.

An important outstanding conjecture [Davydov et al. 2013b, Question 5.15; Bruillard et al. 2017, Conjecture III.9; Müger 2003, Conjecture 5.2] is that every super-modular category $\mathcal{B}$ has a minimal modular extension, that is, $\mathcal{B}$ can be embedded in a modular category $\mathcal{C}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})=2 \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{B})$. One may characterize such $\mathcal{C}$ : they are called spin modular categories [Beliakova et al. 2017]; see Section 3A below. Our main result proves Conjecture 1.2 for super-modular categories admitting minimal modular extensions.

## 2. Preliminaries

2A. Super-modular categories. Though one may always define an $S$-matrix for any ribbon fusion category $\mathcal{B}$, it may be degenerate. This failure of modularity is encoded in the subcategory of transparent objects called the Müger center $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Here an object $X$ is called transparent if all the double braidings with $X$ are trivial:

$$
c_{Y, X} c_{X, Y}=\mathrm{Id}_{X \otimes Y}
$$

By Proposition 1.1 of [Bruguières 2000], the simple objects in $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are those $X$ with $\tilde{S}_{X, Y}=d_{X} d_{Y}$ for all simple $Y$, where $d_{Y}=\operatorname{dim}(Y)=\tilde{S}_{1, Y}$ is the categorical dimension of the object $Y$. The Müger center is obviously symmetric, that is, $c_{Y, X} c_{X, Y}=\mathrm{Id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Symmetric fusion categories have been classified by Deligne [1990], in terms of representations of supergroups. In the case that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ (i.e., is Tannakian), the modularization (de-equivariantization) procedure of Bruguières [2000] and Müger [2004] yields a modular category $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{B}) /|G|$. Otherwise, by taking a maximal Tannakian subcategory $\operatorname{Rep}(G) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, the deequivariantization $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ has Müger center $\left(\mathcal{B}_{G}\right)^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{sVec}$, the symmetric fusion category of super-vector spaces. Generally, a braided fusion category $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{sVec}$ as symmetric fusion categories is called slightly degenerate [Drinfeld et al. 2010].

The symmetric fusion category sVec has a unique spherical structure compatible with unitarity and has $S$ - and $T$-matrices: $S_{\mathrm{sVec}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $T_{\mathrm{sVec}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.

From this point on we will assume that all our categories are unitary, so that sVec is a unitary symmetric fusion category. A unitary slightly degenerate ribbon category will be called super-modular. In other terminology, we say $\mathcal{B}$ is supermodular if its Müger center is generated by a fermion, that is, an object $\psi$ with $\psi^{\otimes 2} \cong 1$ and $\theta_{\psi}=-1$.

Equation (1-1) shows that the $S$ and $T$ matrices of any super-modular category can be expressed as (Kronecker) tensor products: $S=S_{\text {svec }} \otimes \hat{S}$ and $T=T_{\text {svec }} \otimes \hat{T}$ with $\hat{S}$ uniquely determined and $\hat{T}$ determined by some sign choices. The projective group generated by $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}$ may be infinite for all choices of $\hat{T}$ as the following example illustrates:

Example 2.1. Consider the modular category $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{6}$. The label set is

$$
I=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\} .
$$

The subcategory $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{6}$ is generated by four simple objects with even labels: $X_{0}=\mathbf{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{6}$. We have

$$
\hat{S}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4+2 \sqrt{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1+\sqrt{2} \\
1+\sqrt{2} & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pm i
\end{array}\right)
$$

For either choice of $\hat{T}$ the eigenvalues of $\hat{S} \hat{T}$ are not roots of unity: one checks that they satisfy the irreducible polynomial $x^{16}-x^{12}+\frac{1}{4} x^{8}-x^{4}+1$, which has nonabelian Galois group and is not monic over $\mathbb{Z}$.

2B. The $\boldsymbol{\theta}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{S L}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbb{Z})$. The index 3 subgroup $\Gamma_{\theta}<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{2}$ has a uniform description (see, e.g., [Köhler 1988]):

$$
\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}): a c \equiv b d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\}
$$

The notation $\Gamma_{\theta}$ comes from the fact that Jacobi's $\theta$ series $\theta(z):=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{n^{2} \pi \mathrm{i} z}$ is a modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_{\theta}$. Moreover, $\Gamma_{\theta}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{0}(2)$, the Hecke congruence subgroup of level 2 defined as those matrices in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that are upper triangular modulo 2, and $\Gamma(2)$ is a subgroup of both $\Gamma_{0}(2)$ and $\Gamma_{\theta}$. In particular, $\Gamma_{0}(2)$ and $\Gamma_{\theta}$ are distinct, yet isomorphic, congruence subgroups of level 2. An explicit isomorphism $\vartheta: \Gamma_{\theta} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}(2)$ is given by $\vartheta(\mathfrak{g})=M \mathfrak{g} M^{-1}$ where $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$. This can be verified directly, via:

$$
M\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) M^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+c & \frac{d+b-a-c}{2} \\
2 c & d-c
\end{array}\right)
$$

Observe that $\vartheta(\Gamma(n))=\Gamma(n)$ for any $n$, and for $n$ even $\Gamma(n) \triangleleft \Gamma_{\theta}$. In particular, we see that $\Gamma_{\theta} / \Gamma(n)<\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma(n)$ is isomorphic to an index 3 subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})$ that is not normal. Suppose $\varphi: \Gamma_{\theta} \rightarrow H$ has a kernel which is a
congruence subgroup, i.e., $\Gamma(n)<\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$. The congruence level of $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$, i.e., the minimal $n$ with $\Gamma(n)<\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$, is the minimal $n$ so that $\Gamma_{\theta} / \Gamma(n) \rightarrow \varphi\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$. The following provides a characterization of such quotients:

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $n=2^{k} q$ with $k \geq 1$ and $q$ odd. Denote by $P_{k}$ a 2-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then,

$$
\Gamma_{\theta} / \Gamma(n) \cong P_{k} \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z})
$$

Proof. By the Chinese remainder theorem, nonnormal index 3 subgroups of

$$
\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_{p \mid n} \mathrm{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

correspond to nonnormal index 3 subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / p^{\ell_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ where $n=\prod_{p \mid n} p^{\ell_{p}}$ is the prime factorization of $n$. Any 2 -Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ has index 3 and is not normal (since reduction modulo 2 gives a surjection to $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ ) so it is enough to show that this fails for $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ with $p>2$.

In general, if $H<G$ is a nonnormal subgroup of index 3 then the (transitive) left action of $G$ on the coset space $G / H$ provides a homomorphism to the symmetric group on three letters, i.e., $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. If $\phi(G)=\mathfrak{A}_{3}$ (the alternating group on three letters) then we would have $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)=H \triangleleft G$. Thus $\phi(G)=\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, so that any such group $G$ must have an irreducible 2-dimensional representation with character values $2,-1,0$.

By [Nobs 1976; Eholzer 1995], we see that for $p>2$, the groups $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ only have 2-dimensional irreducible representations for $p=3,5$, and each of these representations factor over the reduction modulo $p \operatorname{map} \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$. By inspection neither $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ nor $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z})$ have $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ as quotients.

## 3. Main results

In this section we prove Conjecture 1.2 for any super-modular category that admits a minimal (spin) modular extension.

3A. Spin modular categories. A spin modular category $\mathcal{C}$ is a modular category with a (chosen) fermion. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a spin modular category, with fermion $\psi$, (unnormalized) $S$-matrix $\tilde{S}$ and $T$-matrix $T$. Proposition II. 3 of [Bruillard et al. 2017] provides a number of useful symmetries of $\tilde{S}$ and $T$ :
(1) $\tilde{S}_{\psi, \alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}$, where $\epsilon_{\alpha}= \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_{\psi}=1$.
(2) $\theta_{\psi \alpha}=-\epsilon_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha}$.
(3) $\tilde{S}_{\psi \alpha, \beta}=\epsilon_{\beta} \tilde{S}_{\alpha, \beta}$.

We have a canonical $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading $\mathcal{C}_{0} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{1}$ with simple objects $X \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ if $\epsilon_{X}=1$
and $X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$ when $\epsilon_{X}=-1$. The trivial component $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ is a super-modular category, since $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}=\langle\psi\rangle \cong$ sVec.

Since $\theta_{X}=-\epsilon_{X} \theta_{\psi X}$ it is clear that $\psi X \nexists X$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$. However, objects in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ may be fixed by $-\otimes \psi$ or not. This provides another canonical decomposition $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{C}_{v} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}$ as abelian categories, where a simple object $X \in \mathcal{C}_{v} \subset \mathcal{C}_{1}$ if $X \psi \nexists X$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{C}_{1}$ if $X \psi \cong X$. Finally, using the action of $-\otimes \psi$ we make a (noncanonical) decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{0}=\breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0} \oplus \psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{v}=\breve{\mathcal{C}}_{v} \oplus \psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{v}$ so that when $X \in \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0}$ we have $X \psi \in \psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0}$ and similarly for $\mathcal{C}_{v}$. Notice that for $X \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ we have $X^{*} \not \approx \psi \otimes X$ since $\theta_{X}=\theta_{X^{*}}$, so that we may ensure $X$ and $X^{*}$ are both in $\breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0}$ or both in $\psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0}$. On the other hand, for $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{v}$ it is possible that $X^{*} \cong \psi \otimes X$ - for example, this occurs for $\mathrm{SO}(2)_{1}$.

We choose an ordered basis

$$
\Pi=\Pi_{0} \sqcup \psi \Pi_{0} \sqcup \Pi_{v} \sqcup \psi \Pi_{v} \sqcup \Pi_{\sigma}
$$

for the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$ that is compatible with the above partition $\mathcal{C}=$ $\breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0} \oplus \psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{0} \oplus \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{v} \oplus \psi \breve{\mathcal{C}}_{v} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}$. Using [Bruillard et al. 2017, Proposition II.3] we have the block matrix decomposition for the $S$ and $T$ matrices:

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{crrrr}
\frac{1}{2} \hat{S} & \frac{1}{2} \hat{S} & A & A & X \\
\frac{1}{2} \hat{S} & \frac{1}{2} \hat{S} & -A & -A & -X \\
A^{T} & -A^{T} & B & -B & 0 \\
A^{T} & -A^{T} & -B & B & 0 \\
X^{T} & -X^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\hat{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\hat{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \hat{T}_{v} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{T}_{v} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{\sigma}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $B$ and $\hat{S}$ are symmetric matrices, and each of $\hat{T}, \hat{T}_{v}$ and $T_{\sigma}$ are diagonal matrices.

Now consider the following ordered partitioned basis:
(1) $\Pi_{0}^{+}:=\left\{X_{i}+\psi X_{i}: X_{i} \in \Pi_{0}\right\}$,
(2) $\Pi_{0}^{-}:=\left\{X_{i}-\psi X_{i}: X_{i} \in \Pi_{0}\right\}$,
(3) $\Pi_{v}^{+}:=\left\{Y_{i}+\psi Y_{i}: Y_{i} \in \Pi_{v}\right\}$,
(4) $\Pi_{\sigma}:=\left\{Z_{i} \in \Pi_{\sigma}\right\}$ and
(5) $\Pi_{v}^{-}:=\left\{Y_{i}-\psi Y_{i}: Y_{i} \in \Pi_{v}\right\}$.

With respect to this partitioned basis, the $S$ and $T$ matrices have the block form:

$$
S^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\hat{S} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 A & X & 0 \\
0 & 2 A^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 X^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 B
\end{array}\right) \quad T^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \hat{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hat{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \hat{T}_{v} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & T_{\sigma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{T}_{v}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this choice of basis one sees that the representation $\rho$ restricted to $\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\langle\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}^{2}\right\rangle$ has three invariant (projective) subspaces, spanned by $\Pi_{0}^{+}, \Pi_{0}^{-} \cup \Pi_{v}^{+} \cup \Pi_{\sigma}$ and $\Pi_{v}^{-}$, respectively. In particular, we have a surjection $\rho\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right) \rightarrow \hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$, mapping the image of $S$ in $\operatorname{PSU}(|\Pi|)$ to the image of $\hat{S}$ in $\operatorname{PSU}\left(\left|\Pi_{0}^{+}\right|\right)$. We can now prove:
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a super-modular category with minimal modular extension $\mathcal{C}$ so that $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}_{0}$. Assume further that the $T$-matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ has order $N$. Then $\hat{\rho}: \Gamma_{\theta} \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(k)$ has $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ which is a congruence subgroup of level at most $N$.
Proof. Let $S$ and $T$ be the $S$-matrix and $T$-matrix of $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the projective representation $\rho$ of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\rho(\mathfrak{s})=S$ and $\rho(\mathfrak{t})=T$. By Theorem 1.1, $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ is a congruence subgroup of level $N$, i.e., $\Gamma(N)<\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. Now the restriction of $\rho_{\mid \Gamma_{\theta}}$ to $\Gamma_{\theta}$ has

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\rho_{\mid \Gamma_{\theta}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}(\rho) \cap \Gamma_{\theta} \supset \Gamma(N) \cap \Gamma_{\theta} .
$$

However, since $\mathcal{C}$ contains a fermion $N$ is even, so $\Gamma(N)<\Gamma(2)<\Gamma_{\theta}$ hence $\Gamma(N) \cap \Gamma_{\theta}=\Gamma(N)$. It follows that $\Gamma(N)<\operatorname{ker}\left(\rho_{\mid \Gamma_{\theta}}\right)$. The discussion above now implies $\Gamma(N)<\operatorname{ker}\left(\rho_{\mid \Gamma_{\theta}}\right)<\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ as we have a surjection $\rho\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right) \rightarrow \hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$. Thus, we have shown that $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ is a congruence subgroup of level at most $N$, and in particular, $\hat{\rho}$ has finite image.

3B. Further questions. The charge conjugation matrix $C$ in the basis above has the form $C_{i, j}^{\prime}= \pm \delta_{i, j^{*}}$. Since we have arranged that $X_{i} \in \Pi_{0}$ implies $X_{i}^{*} \in \Pi_{0}$, $C_{i, j}^{\prime}=-1$ can only occur for $i=j \in \Pi_{v}^{-}$: if $(W-\psi W)^{*}=-(W-\psi W)$ for some simple object $W$, then $W^{*}=\psi W$. We see that this can only happen if $W \in \mathcal{C}_{v}$ by comparing twists. Under this change of basis, we have

$$
\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C}) C^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(S^{\prime} T^{\prime}\right)^{3}=\frac{D_{+}}{D}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

It would be interesting to explore the extra relations among the various submatrices of $S^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$.

The 16 spin modular categories of dimension 4 are of the form $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{1}$ (where $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{1} \cong \mathrm{SO}(m)_{1}$ if and only if $\left.n \cong m(\bmod 16)\right)$. For $n$ odd $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{1}$ has rank 3 whereas for $n$ even $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{1}$ has rank 4 . For example, the Ising modular category corresponds to $n=1$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2)_{1}$ has fusion rules like the group $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. For any modular category $\mathcal{D}$ and $1 \leq n \leq 16$ the spin modular category $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{1} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ with fermion $(\psi, \mathbf{1})$ has either $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}=\varnothing$ or $\mathcal{C}_{v}=\varnothing$. An interesting problem is to classify spin modular categories with either $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}=\varnothing$ or $\mathcal{C}_{v}=\varnothing$, particularly those with no $\boxtimes$-factorization.

## 4. A case study

Our result gives an upper bound on the level of $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ for super-modular categories $\mathcal{B}$ with minimal modular extensions $\mathcal{C}$ : the level of $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ is at most the order of
the $T$-matrix of $\mathcal{C}$. The actual level can be lower: for a trivial example we consider the super-modular category sVec. In this case $\hat{S}=\hat{T}^{2}=I$ so the level $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ is 1 , yet the order of the $T$ matrix for its (sixteen) minimal modular extensions can be $2,4,8$ or 16 . More generally for any split super-modular category $\mathcal{B}=$ $\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathrm{sVec} \subset \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \operatorname{SO}(n)_{1}=\mathcal{C}$ (with fermion $(\mathbf{1}, \psi)$ ) the ratio of the levels of the kernels of the $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (for $\mathcal{C}$ ) and $\Gamma_{\theta}$ (for $\mathcal{B}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}$ ) representations can be $2^{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq 4$.

To gain further insight we consider a family of nonsplit super-modular categories obtained from the spin modular category (see [Bruillard et al. 2017, Lemma III.7]) $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{4 m+2}$. This has modular data:

$$
\tilde{S}_{i, j}:=\frac{\sin \frac{(i+1)(j+1) \pi}{4 m+4}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{4 m+4}}, \quad T_{j, j}:=e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\left(j^{2}+2 j\right) /(8 m+8)}
$$

where $0 \leq i, j \leq 4 m+2$. Since $T$ has order $16(m+1)$, Theorem 1.1 implies that the image of the projective representation $\rho: \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSU}(4 m+3)$ defined via the normalized $S$-matrix $S$ and $T$ factors over $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ where $N=16(m+1)$.

The super-modular subcategory $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 m+2}$ has simple objects labeled by even $i, j$. The factorization (1-1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{i, j}=\frac{\sin \frac{(2 i+1)(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m+4}}{\Xi \sin \frac{\pi}{4 m+4}}, \quad \hat{T}_{j, j}=e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\left(j^{2}+j\right) /(2 m+2)} \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, where

$$
\Xi=\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2}} / \sin \frac{\pi}{4 m+4}
$$

In [Bruillard et al. 2017] all 16 minimal modular extensions of PSU(2) $)_{4 m+2}$ are explicitly constructed and each has $T$-matrix of order $16(m+1)$ so that the kernel of the corresponding projective $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ representation is a congruence subgroup of level $16(m+1)$. Our computations suggest the following conjecture, with cases verified using Magma indicated in parentheses. A sample of the results of these computations are found in Table 1. The notation $\langle n, k\rangle$ indicates the $k$ th group of order $n$ in the GAP library of small groups [Besche et al. 2002]. In the last column, we sometimes give a slightly different description than is indicated in part (f) below. We include the groups $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right), A_{m}^{\prime}:=\left[A_{m}, A_{m}\right]$ and $\bar{A}_{m}:=A_{m} / Z\left(A_{m}\right)$. As $\hat{\rho}$ is not necessarily irreducible, we have $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right) \rightarrow \bar{A}_{m}$. The congruence level of ker $\hat{\rho}$ is computed using Lemma 2.2.

| $m$ | $\left\|\bar{A}_{m}\right\|$ | $\bar{A}_{m}$ | $A_{m}^{\prime}$ | $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2^{4}$ | $D_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | $D_{16}=A_{1}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 2 | 12 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{8}$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 3 | $2^{7}$ | $\langle 128,71\rangle$ | $\langle 64,184\rangle$ | $\langle 128,71\rangle$ |
| 4 | 60 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ | $A_{4}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 5 | $2^{4} \cdot 12$ | $D_{16} \times \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \boldsymbol{Q}_{8}$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 6 | 168 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)$ | $A_{6}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 7 | $2^{10}$ | $\bar{A}_{7}$ | $\|\cdot\|=2^{9}$ | $\bar{A}_{7}$ |
| 8 | 324 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{9}\right)$ | $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{3} \rtimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{8}$ | $\left(A_{8}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 9 | $2^{4} \cdot 60$ | $D_{16} \times \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ | $A_{9}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 10 | 660 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{11}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{11}\right)$ | $A_{10}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 11 | $2^{7} \cdot 12$ | $\langle 128,71\rangle \times \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ | $\langle 64,184\rangle \times \boldsymbol{Q}_{8}$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes\langle 128,71\rangle$ |
| 12 | 1092 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{13}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{13}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{13}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 13 | $2^{4} \cdot 168$ | $D_{16} \times \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)$ | $A_{13}^{\prime} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 14 | 720 | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{15}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{8} \times \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ | $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \mathbb{Z}_{15}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |

Table 1. A sample of $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 k+2}$ results.

Conjecture 4.1. Let $A_{m}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ generated by $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}^{2}$ associated with $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 m+2}$, the quotient $\bar{A}_{m}:=A_{m} / Z\left(A_{m}\right)$ and the commutator subgroup $A_{m}^{\prime}:=\left[A_{m}, A_{m}\right]$. Then:
(a) When $m+1=q$ is odd, $\bar{A}_{m}=\bar{A}_{q-1} \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z})$ (verified for $\left.2 \leq m \leq 18\right)$.
(b) When $m+1=2^{n}$ we have $\left|\bar{A}_{m}\right|=\left|\bar{A}_{2^{n}-1}\right|=2^{3 n+1}$ (verified for $1 \leq n \leq 5$ ).
(c1) If we write $m+1=2^{n} q$ where $q$ is odd, then $\bar{A}_{m} \cong \bar{A}_{2^{n}-1} \times \bar{A}_{q-1}$ (verified for $1 \leq m \leq 14$ ).
(c2) If we write $m+1=2^{n} q$ where $q$ is odd, $\left|\bar{A}_{m}\right|=2^{3 n+1} q^{3} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2 p^{2}$ ( primes $p$ ) (verified for $1 \leq m \leq 21$ ).
(d) For $5 \leq m+1=p$ prime, $A_{p-1}^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ (verified for $\left.4 \leq m \leq 12\right)$.
(e) If we write $m+1=2^{n} q$ where $q$ is odd, then $A_{m}^{\prime} \cong A_{2^{n}-1}^{\prime} \times A_{q-1}^{\prime}$ (verified for $1 \leq m \leq 14)$.
(f) For $m+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, we have $A_{m}^{\prime} \triangleleft \hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ and $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ is an iterated semidirect product of $A_{m}^{\prime}$ with cyclic group actions (verified for $1 \leq m \leq 14$ ). In general, $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ is a congruence subgroup of level $4(m+1)$ (verified for $1 \leq m \leq 12$ ).

## Appendix: Magma code

For our computational experiments we used the symbolic algebra software Magma [Bosma et al. 1997]. In this appendix we give some basic pseudo-code and some sample Magma code to illustrate how we found the image of $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ in our case study, so that the interested reader can do similar explorations. Given an integer $m$, the $(m+1) \times(m+1) \hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}^{2}$ matrices obtained from $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 m+2}$ are given in (4-1). In order to use the Magma software we express the entries of $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}^{2}$ in the cyclotomic field $\boldsymbol{Q}(\omega)$, where $\omega$ is an ( $8 m+8$ )-th root of unity. For this we must write

$$
\sin \frac{(2 i+1)(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m+4} \text { and } \sqrt{2(m+1)}
$$

in terms of $\omega$, for which we use the result of generalized form of quadratic Gauss sums [Berndt and Evans 1981].

Here is the pseudocode to find $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ for $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 m+2}$ :

## Algorithm: projective image

input: an integer $m$
output: $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ for $\operatorname{PSU}(2)_{4 m+2}$
set $K$ to the cyclotomic field $\boldsymbol{Q}(\omega)$, where $\omega$ is an ( $8 m+8$ )-th root of unity.
set $M=2(m+1)$.
initialize $S$ and $T 2$ to be $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ zero matrices over $K$.
Step 1: calculate auxiliary factor $\alpha$.
if $M \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$
set $\alpha=\sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \omega^{4 n^{2}} /\left(1+\omega^{M}\right)$
else
set $\alpha=\left(\left(\omega^{m+1}-\omega^{-(m+1)}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{m} \omega^{8 n^{2}}\right) / \omega^{2 m+2}$
if $m+1 \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$
set $\alpha=\alpha / \omega^{M}$
set $\alpha=2 / \alpha$
Step 2: define the entries of $S$ and $T 2$.
for $1 \leq i, j \leq m+1$
set $S_{i, j}=\alpha\left(\omega^{(2 i-1)(2 j-1)}-\omega^{-(2 i-1)(2 j-1)}\right) /\left(2 \omega^{M}\right)$
for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$
set $T 2_{j, j}=\omega^{(2(j-1))^{2}+4(j-1)}$
Step 3: find the projective image.
set $A$ to the matrix group generated by $S$ and $T 2$
set $Z K$ to the group of scalar matrices over $K$
return $A /(Z K \cap A)$, the projective image of $A$.

The following code can be used in Magma [Bosma et al. 1997] to find the $\hat{\rho}\left(\Gamma_{\theta}\right)$ in this case, and slight modifications will give the other headings of Table 1:

```
m:=1;
K<W>:=CyclotomicField(8*m+8);
GL:=GeneralLinearGroup (m+1,K);
M:=2*(m+1);
alpha:=0;
if M mod 4 eq 0 then
    for n:=0 to M-1 do
        alpha:=alpha + w^ (4* (n^2));
    end for;
    alpha:=alpha/(w^M+1);
else
    for n:=0 to m do
        alpha:= alpha + w^ (8* (n^2));
    end for;
    if (m+1) mod 4 eq 3 then
        alpha:=alpha/(w^M);
    end if;
    alpha:=((\mp@subsup{W}{}{\wedge}(m+1) - \mp@subsup{W}{}{\wedge}(-(m + 1)))/(\mp@subsup{W}{}{\wedge}(2*m + 2)))*alpha;
end if;
alpha:=2/alpha;
S:=ZeroMatrix(K,m+1,m+1);
for i:=1 to m+1 do
    for j:=1 to m+1 do
        S[i,j]:=(\mp@subsup{w}{}{\wedge}((2*i-1)*(2*j-1))-\mp@subsup{w}{}{\wedge}(-(2*i-1)*(2*j-1)))/(2*(\mp@subsup{w}{}{\wedge}M));
        S[i,j]:=S[i,j]*alpha;
    end for;
end for;
T2:=ZeroMatrix(K,m+1,m+1);
for j:=1 to m+1 do
    T2[j,j]:=W^}((2*(j-1))^2+4*(j-1))
end for;
A:=MatrixGroup<m+1,K|S,T2>;
ZK:=MatrixGroup<m+1,K|w*IdentityMatrix(K,m+1)>;
F:=(A/(A meet ZK));
```
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