

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**THE ACTION OF THE HECKE OPERATORS ON THE
COMPONENT GROUPS OF MODULAR JACOBIAN VARIETIES**

TAEKYUNG KIM AND HWAJONG YOO

THE ACTION OF THE HECKE OPERATORS ON THE COMPONENT GROUPS OF MODULAR JACOBIAN VARIETIES

TAEKYUNG KIM AND HWAJONG YOO

For a prime number $q \geq 5$ and a positive integer N prime to q , Ribet proved the action of the Hecke algebra on the component group of the Jacobian variety of the modular curve of level Nq at q is “Eisenstein”, which means the Hecke operator T_ℓ acts by $\ell + 1$ when ℓ is a prime number not dividing the level. We completely compute the action of the Hecke algebra on this component group by a careful study of supersingular points with extra automorphisms.

1. Introduction

Let $q \geq 5$ be a prime number, and let N be a positive integer. Let $X_0(Nq)$ denote the modular curve over \mathbb{Q} and $J_0(Nq)$ its Jacobian variety. For any integer n , there is the Hecke operator T_n acting on $J_0(Nq)$. Let $\Phi_q(Nq)$ denote the component group of the special fiber \mathcal{J} of the Néron model of $J_0(Nq)$ at q . According to the theorems of Ribet [1988; 1990] (when q does not divide N) and Edixhoven [1991] (in general), the action of the Hecke algebra on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is “Eisenstein.” Here by “Eisenstein” we mean the Hecke operator T_ℓ acts on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ by $\ell + 1$ when a prime number ℓ does not divide Nq .¹ In this article, we compute the action of the Hecke operators T_ℓ on the component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ when ℓ divides Nq and q does not divide N .

Here is an exotic example² which leads us to this study: Let $N = \prod_{i=1}^v p_i$ be the product of distinct prime numbers with $v \geq 1$, and let $q \equiv 2$ or $5 \pmod{9}$ be an odd prime number. Assume that $p_i \equiv 4$ or $7 \pmod{9}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq v$. Let $\mathbb{T}(Nq)$ and $\mathbb{T}(N)$ denote the \mathbb{Z} -subalgebras of $\text{End}(J_0(Nq))$ and $\text{End}(J_0(N))$, respectively, generated by all the Hecke operators T_n for $n \geq 1$. Let

$$\mathfrak{m} := (3, T_{p_i} - 1, T_q + 1, T_\ell - \ell - 1 : \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq v, \\ \text{and for primes } \ell \nmid Nq) \subset \mathbb{T}(Nq)$$

MSC2010: 11G05, 11G18, 14G35.

Keywords: Hecke operators, Hecke action, component group, modular Jacobian varieties.

¹On the other hand, Ribet and Edixhoven did not proceed to compute the action of the Hecke operator T_p on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ for a prime divisor p of the level Nq because their results were enough for their applications.

²This phenomenon cannot occur when the residual characteristic is greater than 3.

and

$$\mathfrak{n} := (3, T_{p_i} - 1, T_\ell - \ell - 1 : \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \text{ and for primes } \ell \nmid N) \subset \mathbb{T}(N)$$

be Eisenstein ideals. By [Yoo 2016, Theorem 1.4], \mathfrak{m} is maximal. Furthermore, \mathfrak{n} is maximal if and only if $\nu \geq 2$.

The dimension of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{n}]$ is equal to ν if \mathfrak{n} is maximal, i.e., $\nu \geq 2$. (Here $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{n}] := \{x \in J_0(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : Tx = 0 \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{n}\}$.) It is an extension of $\mu_3^{\oplus \nu-1}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and it does not contain a submodule isomorphic to μ_3 . On the other hand, the dimension of $J_0(Nq)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is either 2ν or $2\nu + 1$. Furthermore $J_0(Nq)[\mathfrak{m}]$ contains a submodule \mathcal{N} isomorphic to $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{n}]$, and it also contains $\mu_3^{\oplus \nu}$ (which is contributed from the Shimura subgroup). As \mathcal{N} is unramified at q , by [Serre and Tate 1968], \mathcal{N} maps injectively into $\mathcal{J}[\mathfrak{m}]$ and it turns out that its image is isomorphic to $\mathcal{J}^0[\mathfrak{m}]$, where \mathcal{J}^0 is the identity component of \mathcal{J} . (Note that $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is the quotient of \mathcal{J} by \mathcal{J}^0 .) Since $\mu_3^{\oplus \nu}$ is also unramified at q , it maps into $\mathcal{J}[\mathfrak{m}]$ and therefore its image maps injectively to $\Phi_q(Nq)[\mathfrak{m}]$. (This statement is also true when $\nu = 1$.) The structure of the component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is known by the work of Mazur and Rapoport [1977]:³

$$\Phi_q(Nq) = \Phi \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{2^\nu-1},$$

where Φ is cyclic and generated by the image of the cuspidal divisor $(0) - (\infty)$. The action of the Hecke operators on Φ is well known (e.g., [Yoo 2014, Appendix A1]), and so $\Phi[\mathfrak{m}] = 0$. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{2^\nu-1}[\mathfrak{m}] \neq 0$ and its dimension is at least ν . Indeed it is equal to $2^{\nu-1}$, which can easily be computed by the theorems below.

Now, we introduce our results.

Theorem 1.1. *For a prime divisor p of N , the Hecke operator T_p acts on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ by p .*

The key idea of the proof is that the two degeneracy maps coincide on the component group (see [Ribet 1988; Edixhoven 1991, §4.2, Lemme 2]).

Now, the missing action is that of the Hecke operator T_q on $\Phi_q(Nq)$. Note that T_q acts on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ by an involution because the action of the Hecke algebra on $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is “ q -new.” To describe its action more precisely, we define some notation: for $N = \prod_{p|N} p^{n_p}$ being the prime factorization of N (i.e., $n_p > 0$), let $\nu := \#\{p : p \neq 2, 3\}$ and let

$$u := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } 4 \mid N \text{ or if there exists } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$v := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ or } 9 \mid N \text{ or if there exists } p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

³There are some minor errors in the paper, which are corrected by Edixhoven [1991, §4.4.1]

Suppose that $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ or $v = 0$. Then $\Phi_q(Nq) = \Phi$ and T_q acts on Φ by 1, where Φ is the cyclic subgroup generated by the image of the cuspidal divisor $(0) - (\infty)$ (Proposition 4.1). If $v \geq 1$, $\Phi_q(Nq)$ becomes isomorphic to

$$\Phi' \oplus \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B},$$

where $\mathbf{A} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus u(2^v-2)}$, $\mathbf{B} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus v(2^v-1)}$ and Φ' is a cyclic group containing Φ and $\Phi'/\Phi \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z})$.⁴

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $v \geq 1$.*

- (1) *Suppose that $v = 1$. Then there are distinct subgroups $B_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbf{B} so that $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus B_i$. For any $1 \leq i \leq (2^v - 1)$, T_q acts on B_i by $(-1)^i$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $u = 1$. Then there are distinct subgroups $A_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbf{A} so that $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus A_i$. For any $1 \leq k \leq (2^{v-1} - 2)$, T_q acts on $A_{2k-1} \oplus A_{2k}$ by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.⁵ In other words, if $A_{2k-1} = \langle \mathbf{u}_{2k-1} \rangle$ and $A_{2k} = \langle \mathbf{u}_{2k} \rangle$, then*

$$T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2k-1}) = \mathbf{u}_{2k-1} + \mathbf{u}_{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2k}) = \mathbf{u}_{2k}.$$

For a complete description of the action of T_q on each subgroup, see Section 4.

2. Supersingular points of $X_0(N)$

From now on, we always assume that $q \geq 5$ is a prime number and N is a positive integer which is prime to q . Let p denote a prime divisor of N . Let \mathbf{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic q .

Let $\Sigma(N)$ denote the set of supersingular points of $X_0(N)(\mathbf{F})$. Since we assume that $q \geq 5$, the group of automorphisms of supersingular points is cyclic of order 2, 4 or 6. Let

$$\Sigma_n(N) := \{s \in \Sigma(N) : \#\text{Aut}(s) = n\} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n(N) := \#\Sigma_n(N).$$

Note that $s_4(N) = u \cdot 2^v$ and $s_6(N) = v \cdot 2^v$ (see [Edixhoven 1991, §4.2, Lemme 1]), where u, v and v are as in Section 1. Moreover $s_2(N)$ can be computed using Eichler's mass formula [Katz and Mazur 1985, Theorem 12.4.5, Corollary 12.4.6]:

$$(2-1) \quad \frac{s_2(N)}{2} + \frac{s_4(N)}{4} + \frac{s_6(N)}{6} = \frac{(q-1)Q}{24},$$

where $Q := N \prod_{p|N} (1+p^{-1})$ is the degree of the degeneracy map $X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(1)$.

⁴The structure of $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is already known by [Mazur and Rapoport 1977] when N is square-free and prime to 6, and by [Edixhoven 1991, §4.4.1] in general.

⁵This reminds us of the result by Mazur [1977]: when N is a prime number, the kernel of the Eisenstein prime of $J_0(N)$ containing a prime number ℓ is completely reducible when ℓ is odd, and is indecomposable when $\ell = 2$.

In the remainder of this section, we study $\Sigma_4(N)$ and $\Sigma_6(N)$ in detail. (See also [Ribet 1988, §2; 1995, §4; Edixhoven 1991, §4.2].) In the section below, we always assume that $\nu \geq 1$, i.e., there is a prime divisor $p \geq 5$ of N . (If $\nu = 0$ then $s_{2e}(N) \leq 1$ for $e = 2$ or 3 , and the description is very simple.)

Let \mathcal{E} be a supersingular elliptic curve with $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$, and let C be a cyclic subgroup of \mathcal{E} of order N . Assume that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$) if $\sigma = \sigma_4$ (resp. $\sigma = \sigma_6$), where σ_k is a primitive k -th root of unity.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N = p^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ with $p \geq 5$. Suppose $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, C) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then, there exists another cyclic subgroup D of order N such that $\mathcal{E}[N] \simeq C \oplus D$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, D) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and (\mathcal{E}, C) is not isomorphic to (\mathcal{E}, D) .*

Proof. Here, we closely follow the argument in the proof of Proposition 1 in [Ribet 1988, §2].

Let R be the subring $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}, C)$. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, C) = \langle \sigma \rangle$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$) if $\sigma = \sigma_4$ (resp. $\sigma = \sigma_6$). Therefore p splits completely in R . Note that $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ is a principal ideal domain and therefore

$$R/pR \simeq R/\gamma R \oplus R/\delta R \simeq \delta R/pR \oplus \gamma R/pR$$

with $p = \gamma\delta$. Moreover,

$$R/NR = R/p^n R \simeq R/\gamma^n R \oplus R/\delta^n R \simeq \delta^n R/NR \oplus \gamma^n R/NR.$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}[N]$ is a free module of rank 1 over R/NR by the action of R on \mathcal{E} . We may identify C with the quotient I/NR for some ideal I of R containing N if we fix an R -isomorphism between $\mathcal{E}[N]$ and R/NR . Thus, $I = \delta^n R$ or $\gamma^n R$. Suppose that $I = \delta^n R$. Then, by the fixed isomorphism, $C = \mathcal{E}[\gamma^n]$. Let $D := \mathcal{E}[\delta^n]$ so that its corresponding ideal is $\gamma^n R$. Then, $\mathcal{E}[N] \simeq C \oplus D$. Moreover since $\gamma^n R$ is also an ideal of R , D is also stable under the action of σ . In other words, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, D) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Also, (\mathcal{E}, C) cannot be isomorphic to (\mathcal{E}, D) since $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\sigma(C) = C$. □

From now on, we use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Definition 2.2. By the above formulas, for every $n \geq 1$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$), there are precisely two cyclic subgroups C, D of \mathcal{E} of order p^n such that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, C) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, D) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ (and $\mathcal{E}[p^n] \simeq C \oplus D$) if $\sigma = \sigma_4$ (resp. if $\sigma = \sigma_6$). Thus, for each $n \geq 1$ we define \mathcal{C}_{p^n} and \mathcal{D}_{p^n} by

$$\mathcal{C}_{p^n} := \mathcal{E}[\gamma^n] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{p^n} := \mathcal{E}[\delta^n].$$

Proposition 2.3. *For each $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}[p^n] = \mathcal{C}_{p^n}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{p^{n+1}}[p^n] = \mathcal{D}_{p^n}$.*

Proof. By the fixed R -isomorphism ι between $\mathcal{E}[p^{n+1}]$ and $R/p^{n+1}R$, we identify $\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}$ with $I/p^{n+1}R$, where $I = \delta^{n+1}R$. As I is an ideal of R , $\gamma I = p(\delta^n R) \subset I$

and $I/\gamma I \simeq R/\gamma R \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}[p^n] \xrightarrow{\iota} (I/p^{n+1}R)[p^n] = \gamma I/p^{n+1}R \xrightarrow[\times 1/p]{\sim} (\delta^n R)/p^n R,$$

which corresponds to \mathcal{C}_{p^n} . Similarly, we prove that $\mathcal{D}_{p^{n+1}}[p^n] = \mathcal{D}_{p^n}$, and the proposition follows. \square

Let $N = Mp^n$ with $(6M, p) = 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Let L be a cyclic subgroup of \mathcal{E} of order M .

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}, L) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then, there is an isomorphism between $(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}/\mathcal{C}_p, (L \oplus \mathcal{C}_p)/\mathcal{C}_p)$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_{p^n}, L)$.*

Proof. We mostly follow the idea of the proof of Proposition 2 in [Ribet 1988, §2].

The endomorphism γ sends $\mathcal{E}[\gamma^{n+1}] = \mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}$ to $\mathcal{E}[\gamma^n] = \mathcal{C}_{p^n}$, and L to itself (because $L \cap \mathcal{E}[p] = 0$). Now we denote by $\bar{\gamma}$ the map $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{C}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induced by γ . Note that $\bar{\gamma}$ is an isomorphism because \mathcal{C}_p is $\mathcal{E}[\gamma]$, the kernel of γ . By the above consideration, this isomorphism $\bar{\gamma}$ sends $(\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}/\mathcal{C}_p, (L \oplus \mathcal{C}_p)/\mathcal{C}_p)$ to (\mathcal{C}_{p^n}, L) because $\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}/\mathcal{C}_p$ and $(L \oplus \mathcal{C}_p)/\mathcal{C}_p$, respectively, are the images of $\mathcal{C}_{p^{n+1}}$ and L by the quotient map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{C}[p]$. Therefore $\bar{\gamma}$ gives rise to the desired isomorphism between triples. \square

Corollary 2.5. *The map $(\mathcal{E}, C, L) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, C[p^n], L)$ induces a bijection between $\Sigma_{2e}(Np)$ and $\Sigma_{2e}(N)$, where $\sigma = \sigma_{2e}$. Moreover if $(\mathcal{E}, C, L) \in \Sigma_{2e}(Np)$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{E}, C[p^n], L) \simeq (\mathcal{E}/C[p], C/C[p], (L \oplus C[p])/C[p]).$$

The corollary tells us that two degeneracy maps α_p and β_p in Section 3 coincide on $\Sigma_{2e}(Np)$, which is a generalization of [Edixhoven 1991, §4.2, Lemme 2].

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_{p^n}, L) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then, $\text{Frob}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$ and $\text{Frob}(\mathcal{C}_{p^n}) = \mathcal{D}_{p^n}$, where Frob is the Frobenius morphism in characteristic q . Furthermore, $\text{Frob}^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_{p^n}, L) = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}_{p^n}, L)$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to the reduction of the elliptic curve with j -invariant 1728 (resp. 0) if $\sigma = \sigma_4$ (resp. $\sigma = \sigma_6$), the Frobenius morphism is an endomorphism of \mathcal{E} (see [Silverman 2009, Chapter V, Examples 4.4 and 4.5]). Moreover, the Frobenius morphism and σ generate $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, which is a quaternion algebra. (Note that the degree of the Frobenius morphism is q .) Since $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is a quaternion algebra, we have

$$\sigma \circ \text{Frob} = \text{Frob} \circ \bar{\sigma} = \text{Frob} \circ \sigma^{-1},$$

where $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the complex conjugation in $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$. Analogously, we have

$$\gamma \circ \text{Frob} = \text{Frob} \circ \bar{\gamma} = \text{Frob} \circ \delta.$$

Since $\sigma(\text{Frob}(\mathcal{C}_{p^n})) = \text{Frob}(\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{p^n})) = \text{Frob}(\mathcal{C}_{p^n})$, $\text{Frob}(\mathcal{C}_{p^n})$ is also stable under the action of σ . Moreover \mathcal{C}_{p^n} does not intersect with the kernel of Frob .

Thus, $\text{Frob}(C_{p^n})$ is either C_{p^n} or D_{p^n} . As an endomorphism of \mathcal{E} , γ sends C_{p^n} (resp. D_{p^n}) to $C_{p^{n-1}}$ (resp. D_{p^n}). Similarly, δ maps C_{p^n} (resp. D_{p^n}) to C_{p^n} (resp. $D_{p^{n-1}}$). Therefore if $\text{Frob}(C_{p^n}) = C_{p^n}$, then

$$\gamma \circ \text{Frob}(C_{p^n}) = \gamma(C_{p^n}) = C_{p^{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Frob} \circ \delta(C_{p^n}) = \text{Frob}(C_{p^n}) = C_{p^n},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we get $\text{Frob}(C_{p^n}) = D_{p^n}$.

Since every supersingular point can be defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , the quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_q , Frob^2 acts trivially on $\Sigma(N)$ (see [Ribet 1990, Remark 3.5.b]), which proves the last claim. □

Remark 2.7. By taking $H = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ in Lemma 1 of [Ribet 1995], we can obtain a similar result if we show that the Atkin–Lehner style involution in [Ribet 1995, §4] is equal to the Frobenius morphism.

3. The action of T_p on the component group

Before discussing the action of the Hecke operators on the component group, we study it on the group of divisors supported on supersingular points, which we denote by $\text{Div}(\Sigma(N))$.

Let $N = Mp^n$ with $(M, p) = 1$ and $n \geq 1$, and assume that $(N, q) = 1$. Let $\alpha_p, \beta_p : X_0(Npq) \rightrightarrows X_0(Nq)$ denote two degeneracy maps of degree p , defined by

$$\alpha_p(E, C, L) := (E, C[p^n], L)$$

and

$$\beta_p(E, C, L) := (E/C[p], C/C[p], (L + C[p])/C[p]),$$

where C (resp. L) denotes a cyclic subgroup of order p^{n+1} (resp. Mq) in an elliptic curve E (see [Mazur and Ribet 1991, §13]). Let T_p and ξ_p be two Hecke correspondences defined by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_0(Npq) & \\ \alpha_p \swarrow & & \searrow \beta_p \\ X_0(Nq) & \xleftarrow{\xi_p} & X_0(Nq) \\ & T_p & \end{array}$$

By pullback, the Hecke correspondence T_p (resp. ξ_p) induces the Hecke operator $T_p := \beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*$ (resp. $\xi_p := \alpha_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^*$) on $J_0(Nq)$.

The same description of the Hecke operator T_p on $\text{Div}(\Sigma(N))$ as above works. In other words, we have two degeneracy maps⁶ $\alpha_p, \beta_p : \Sigma(Np) \rightrightarrows \Sigma(N)$ of degree p , defined by

$$\alpha_p(E, C, L) := (E, C[p^n], L)$$

⁶Every elliptic curve isogenous to a supersingular one is also supersingular

and

$$\beta_p(E, C, L) := (E/C[p], C/C[p], (L + C[p])/C[p]),$$

where C (resp. L) denotes a cyclic subgroup of order p^{n+1} (resp. M) in a supersingular elliptic curve E over F . These maps induce the maps

$$\mathrm{Div}(\Sigma(N)) \xrightarrow[\beta_p^*]{\alpha_p^*} \mathrm{Div}(\Sigma(Np)) \xrightarrow[\beta_{p,*}]{\alpha_{p,*}} \mathrm{Div}(\Sigma(N))$$

on their divisor groups, and the Hecke operator T_p (resp. ξ_p) can be defined by $\beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*$ (resp. $\alpha_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^*$). (For the details when $n = 0$, see [Ribet 1990, §3; 1991, pp. 18–22; Edixhoven 1991, §4.1; Emerton 2002, §7]. By the same method, we get the above description without further difficulties.)

Now, let $\Phi_q(Nq)$ denote the component group of the special fiber \mathcal{J} of the Néron model of $J_0(Nq)$ at q . To compute the action of T_p on it, we closely follow the method of Ribet (see [Ribet 1988; 1990, §2, §3; Edixhoven 1991, §1]). Since N is not divisible by q , the identity component \mathcal{J}^0 of \mathcal{J} is a semiabelian variety by Deligne and Rapoport [1973] and Raynaud [1970]. Moreover, \mathcal{J}^0 is an extension of $J_0(N)_F \times J_0(N)_F$ by \mathcal{T} , the torus of \mathcal{J}^0 . Let \mathcal{X} be the character group of the torus \mathcal{T} . By Grothendieck, there is a (Hecke-equivariant) monodromy exact sequence [SGA 7_I 1972] (see also [Ribet 1990, §2, §3; Raynaud 1991; Illusie 2015, §4]),

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^t, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \Phi_q(Nq) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here \mathcal{X}^t denotes the character group corresponding to the dual abelian variety of $J_0(Nq)$, which is equal to $J_0(Nq)$. Namely, $\mathcal{X}^t = \mathcal{X}$ as sets, but the action of the Hecke operator T_ℓ on \mathcal{X}^t is equal to the action of its dual ξ_ℓ on \mathcal{X} (see [Ribet 1988; 1990, §3; Emerton 2002, §7]). Note that \mathcal{X} is the group of degree 0 elements in $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(N)}$. For $s, t \in \Sigma(N)$, let $e(s) := \frac{1}{2}\#\mathrm{Aut}(s)$ and

$$\phi_s(t) := \begin{cases} e(s) & \text{if } s = t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and extends via linearity, i.e., $\phi_s(\sum a_i t_i) = \sum a_i \phi_s(t_i)$. Then, $\iota(s - t) = \phi_s - \phi_t$. Note also that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(N)}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\psi_s := 1/e(s)\phi_s$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{X}^t, \mathbb{Z})$ is its quotient by the relation

$$\sum_{s \in \Sigma(N)} \psi_s = \sum_{s \in \Sigma(N)} \frac{1}{e(s)} \phi_s = 0.$$

(This is the minimal relation to make $\sum a_w \psi_w$ vanish for all the divisors of the form $s - t$, which are the generators of \mathcal{X} .) For more details, see [Ribet 1990, §2, §3, Raynaud 1991].

In conclusion, the component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(N)}, \mathbb{Z})/R,$$

where R is the set of relations

$$(3-1) \quad R = \left\{ e(s)\psi_s = e(t)\psi_t \quad \text{for any } s, t \in \Sigma(N), \quad \sum_{t \in \Sigma(N)} \psi_t = 0 \right\}.$$

Let Ψ_s denote the image of ψ_s by the natural projection $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(N)}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Phi_q(Nq)$. The Hecke operator T_p acts on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(N)}, \mathbb{Z})$ via the action of ξ_p on $\text{Div}(\Sigma(N))$, i.e.,

$$T_p(\psi_s)(t) := \psi_s(\xi_p(t)) = \psi_s(\alpha_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^*(t)).$$

For $s \in \Sigma(N)$, we temporarily denote $\alpha_p^*(s) = \sum_{i=1}^p A^i(s)$ and $\beta_p^*(s) = \sum_{i=1}^p B^i(s)$ (allowing repetition). We note that if $e(s) = 1$ then there is no repetition, i.e., $A^i(s) \not\cong A^j(s)$ and $B^i(s) \not\cong B^j(s)$ if $i \neq j$. If $e(s) = e > 1$, then after renumbering the index properly we have

$$e(A^i(s)) = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{ and } e(A^p(s)) = e.$$

Moreover, we have

$$A^{e(k-1)+1}(s) \simeq \dots \simeq A^{ek}(s) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{e},$$

and

$$A^i(s) \not\cong A^j(s) \quad \text{if } \left[\frac{i-1}{e} \right] \neq \left[\frac{j-1}{e} \right],$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x . This can be seen as follows: Let $\sigma = \sigma_{2e}$, and let s represent a pair (\mathcal{E}, C) , where C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order N . Since $e(s) = e$, $\sigma(C) = C$. Suppose that $s' \in \Sigma(Np)$ with $\alpha_{p,*}(s') = s$. Then s' represents a pair (\mathcal{E}, D) with $D[N] = C$. If $\sigma(D) = D$, then $\text{Aut}([\mathcal{E}, D]) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $(\mathcal{E}, D) \not\cong (\mathcal{E}, D')$ if $D \neq D'$. (Note that there is a unique such D .) On the other hand, if $\sigma(D) \neq D$ then

$$(\mathcal{E}, D) \simeq (\mathcal{E}, \sigma(D)) \simeq \dots \simeq (\mathcal{E}, \sigma^{e-1}(D)) \simeq (\mathcal{E}, \sigma^e(D)) = (\mathcal{E}, D)$$

and $\text{Aut}([\mathcal{E}, D]) = \{\pm 1\}$. Thus, we can rearrange $A^i(s)$ as above. (Note that this can only be possible when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2e}$, which is true because $e(s) = e$.)

Now, we claim that $\phi_s(\alpha_{p,*}(t)) = \phi_t(\alpha_p^*(s))$. Indeed, $\phi_s(\alpha_{p,*}(t))$ is nonzero if and only if $t \in \{A^1(s), \dots, A^p(s)\}$. So, it suffices to show this equality when $t \in \{A^1(s), \dots, A^p(s)\}$. If $e(s) = 1$, then there is no repetition and the claim follows clearly (both are 1). Now, let $e(s) = e > 1$. If $e(t) = 1$, then $t = A^i(s)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Since the number of repetitions of $t = A^i(s)$ in $\{A^1(s), \dots, A^p(s)\}$ is e ,

the above equality holds. If $e(t) = e$, then $t = A^p(s)$ and $\phi_s(\alpha_{p,*}(t)) = e = \phi_t(\alpha_p^*(s))$, as claimed. Analogously, we have

$$\phi_t(\beta_{p,*}(s)) = \phi_s(\beta_p^*(t)).$$

More generally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s(\alpha_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^*(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_s(\alpha_{p,*}(B^i(t))) = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{B^i(t)}(A^j(s)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_{A^j(s)}(B^i(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{A^j(s)}(\beta_p^*(t)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_t(\beta_{p,*}(A^j(s))) = \phi_t(\beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*(s)) = \phi_t(T_p(s)). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $T_p(s) = \sum s_j$, then $\phi_t(T_p(s)) = \sum \phi_{s_i}(t) = \sum e(s_i)\psi_{s_i}(t)$ and hence for any $t \in \Sigma(N)$,

$$e(s)T_p(\psi_s)(t) = \phi_s(\alpha_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^*(t)) = \phi_t(T_p(s)) = \sum e(s_i)\psi_{s_i}(t).$$

In other words, we get

$$(3-2) \quad T_p(\Psi_s) = \frac{1}{e(s)} \sum e(s_i)\Psi_{s_i}.$$

We can also define the action of T_p on the component group via functorialities. Namely, let

$$\Phi_q(Nq) \xrightarrow[\beta_p^*]{\alpha_p^*} \Phi_q(Npq) \xrightarrow[\beta_{p,*}]{\alpha_{p,*}} \Phi_q(Nq)$$

denote the maps functorially induced from the degeneracy maps.⁷ Then, as before, $T_p := \beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*$. Note that since the degrees of α_p and β_p are p , we have $\alpha_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^* = \beta_{p,*} \circ \beta_p^* = p$.

Lemma 3.1. *The operator $\alpha_{p,*}$ is equal to $\beta_{p,*}$ on $\Phi_q(Npq)$.*

Proof. For $s \in \Sigma_{2e}(Npq)$ with $e = 2$ or 3 , $\alpha_p(s) = \beta_p(s)$ by [Corollary 2.5](#), and hence $\alpha_{p,*}(\Psi_s) = \beta_{p,*}(\Psi_s)$. For $s \in \Sigma_2(Npq)$, let $\alpha_p(s) = t$ and $\beta_p(s) = w$. Then, $\alpha_{p,*}(\Psi_s) = e(t)\Psi_t = e(w)\Psi_w = \beta_{p,*}(\Psi_s)$. In other words, for any $s \in \Sigma(Npq)$, $\alpha_{p,*}(\Psi_s) = \beta_{p,*}(\Psi_s)$. Since Ψ_s 's generate $\Phi_q(Npq)$, the result follows. \square

In fact, [Theorem 1.1](#) is an easy corollary of the above lemma.

⁷If $\alpha_p^*(s) = \sum t_j$ then $\alpha_p^*(\Psi_s) = \sum \Psi_{t_j}$ and if $\alpha_p(t) = s$ then $\alpha_{p,*}(\Psi_t) = e(s)/e(t)\Psi_s$; and similarly for β_p^* and $\beta_{p,*}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\alpha_{p,*} = \beta_{p,*}$ on $\Phi_q(Npq)$, we have

$$T_p(\Psi_s) = \beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*(\Psi_s) = \alpha_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^*(\Psi_s) = p\Psi_s,$$

which implies the result. □

4. The action of T_q on the component group

In this section, we provide a complete description of the action of T_q on the component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$. See Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, which imply Theorem 1.2.

Note that the Hecke operator T_q acts on $\Sigma(N)$ by the Frobenius morphism [Ribet 1990, Proposition 3.8], and the same is true for ξ_q . Since the Frobenius morphism is an involution on $\Sigma(N)$ (see Proposition 2.6), we have

$$(4-1) \quad T_q(\psi_s)(t) = \psi_s(\xi_q(t)) = \psi_s(\text{Frob}(t)) = \psi_{\text{Frob}(s)}(t) \quad \text{for any } t \in \Sigma(N),$$

which implies that $T_q(\psi_s) = \psi_{\text{Frob}(s)}$.

From now on, if there is no confusion we remove (N) from the notation for simplicity. Let $n := \frac{1}{12}(q - 1)Q$ (which is not necessarily an integer), and let Φ denote the cyclic subgroup of $\Phi_q(Nq)$ generated by Ψ_s for a fixed $s \in \Sigma_2$. (Note that this Φ is the same as that of Mazur and Rapoport [1977], namely, Φ is equal to the cyclic subgroup generated by the image of the cuspidal divisor $(0) - (\infty)$.)

Case 1: $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ or $v = 0$. Let $e = 1$ if $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ and $e = 2u + 3v$ if $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $v = 0$. If $(u, v) = (0, 0)$, $s_2 = n$ and $s_4 = s_6 = 0$. If $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $v = 0$, then $s_{2e} = 1$ and $s_2 = \frac{1}{e}(en - 1)$. (Note that s_2 is an integer but n is not.)

Proposition 4.1. *The component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is equal to Φ , which is cyclic of order en . The Hecke operator T_q acts on it by 1.*

Proof. First, we assume that $(u, v) = (0, 0)$. Then $\Psi_s = \Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ for any $s \in \Sigma = \Sigma_2$. Therefore $\Phi_q(Nq) = \Phi$ and $n\Psi_s = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \Psi_s = 0$. Moreover, $T_q(\Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \Psi_{s'} = \Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$, where $s' = \text{Frob}(\mathfrak{s})$.

Now, we assume that $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $v = 0$. In this case, either $N = 2q$ (with $(u, v) = (1, 0)$ and $e = 2$) or $N = 3q$ (with $(u, v) = (0, 1)$ and $e = 3$). In each case, let $z \in \Sigma_{2e}$. Then

$$\sum_{s \in \Sigma_2} \Psi_s + \Psi_z = s_2\Psi_{\mathfrak{s}} + \Psi_z = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{\mathfrak{s}} = e\Psi_z.$$

Therefore the component group is generated by Ψ_z , and its order is $(es_2 + 1) = en$. Since $en = es_2 + 1$ is prime to e , this group is also generated by $\Psi_{\mathfrak{s}} = e\Psi_z$. (In fact, $\Psi_z = -s_2\Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$.) Moreover we have $T_q(\Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \Psi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ as above. □

Case 2: $(u, v) = (0, 1)$ and $v \geq 1$. In this case, $s_4 = 0$, $s_6 = 2^v$, and $s_2 = \frac{1}{3}(3n - 2^v)$. Let $\Sigma_6 := \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2^v}\}$. Here we assume that $\text{Frob}(t_{2k-1}) = t_{2k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1}$.⁸ Let $t := t_{2^v-1}$ and $t' := t_{2^v}$.

Proposition 4.2. *The component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ decomposes as follows:*

$$\Phi_q(Nq) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2^v-1} B_i =: B_0 \oplus \mathbf{B},$$

where $B_0 = \Phi$ is cyclic of order $3n$, and for $1 \leq i \leq 2^v - 1$, B_i is cyclic of order 3. For $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1}$, B_{2k-1} and B_{2k} are generated by

$$\mathbf{v}_{2k-1} := \Psi_{t_{2k-1}} - \Psi_{t_{2k}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_{2k} := \Psi_{t_{2k-1}} + \Psi_{t_{2k}} - \Psi_t - \Psi_{t'},$$

respectively. The Hecke operator T_q acts on B_i by $(-1)^i$.

Proof. Note that $\Psi_s = 3\Psi_{t_i} = 3\Psi_{t_j}$ for all i, j and $\sum_{i=1}^{2^v} \Psi_{t_i} + s_2\Psi_s = 0$. Therefore $\Phi_q(Nq)$ is generated by Ψ_{t_i} for $1 \leq i \leq 2^v - 1$. The order of each group $\langle \Psi_{t_i} \rangle$ is $9n$ because

$$9n\Psi_{t_i} = 3s_2(3\Psi_{t_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^v} 3\Psi_{t_i} = 3\left(\sum_{s \in \Sigma_2} \Psi_s + \sum_{i=1}^{2^v} \Psi_{t_i}\right) = 0,$$

and $9n$ is the smallest positive integer to make this happen. Moreover $\langle \Psi_{t_i} \rangle \cap \langle \Psi_{t_j} \rangle$ is of order $3n$ for any $i \neq j$. Since $3n = 3s_2 + 2^v$ is prime to 3, we can decompose the component group into

$$(4-2) \quad \langle 3\Psi_t \rangle \oplus \langle (3s_2 + 2^v)\Psi_t \rangle \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^v-2} \langle \Psi_{t_i} - \Psi_t \rangle.$$

Since $\Psi_s = 3\Psi_{t_i} = 3\Psi_t = 3\Psi_{t'}$ for any i and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^v} \Psi_{t_i} = -3s_2\Psi_t,$$

we have

$$\Psi_{2k-1} - \Psi_t = 2\mathbf{v}_{2k-1} + 2\mathbf{v}_{2k} + \mathbf{v}_{2^v-1},$$

$$\Psi_{2k} - \Psi_t = \mathbf{v}_{2k-1} + 2\mathbf{v}_{2k} + \mathbf{v}_{2^v-1},$$

$$(3s_2 + 2^v)\Psi_t = \sum_{i=1}^{2^v} (\Psi_t - \Psi_{t_i}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{2^{v-1}} \mathbf{v}_{2k} - (-1)^v \mathbf{v}_{2^v-1}.$$

Therefore the decomposition in the proposition is isomorphic to (4-2). The action of T_q on each B_i is obvious from its construction. \square

⁸By Proposition 2.6, we know that Frob is an involution of Σ_6 without fixed points.

Case 3: $(u, v) = (1, 0)$ and $v \geq 1$. Note that $s_4 = 2^v$, $s_6 = 0$, and $s_2 = n - 2^{v-1}$. Let $\Sigma_4 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2^v}\}$. As before, we assume that $\text{Frob}(w_{2k-1}) = w_{2k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1}$.⁹ Let $w := w_{2^{v-1}}$ and $w' := w_{2^v}$.

Proposition 4.3. *The component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ decomposes as*

$$\Phi_q(Nq) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2^v-2} A_i = A_0 \oplus \mathbf{A},$$

where A_0 is cyclic of order $4n$ generated by Ψ_w , and for $1 \leq i \leq 2^v - 2$, A_i is cyclic of order 2. For $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1} - 2$, A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} are generated by

$$\mathbf{u}_{2k-1} := \Psi_{w_{2k-1}} - \Psi_w \text{ and } \mathbf{u}_{2k} := \Psi_{w_{2k-1}} + \Psi_{w_{2k}} - \Psi_w - \Psi_{w'}, \text{ respectively.}$$

And $A_{2^{v-3}}$ and $A_{2^{v-2}}$ are generated by

$$\mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} := \Psi_{w_{2^{v-3}}} - \Psi_w \text{ and } \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}} := \Psi_{w_{2^{v-3}}} - \Psi_{w_{2^{v-2}}}, \text{ respectively.}$$

Moreover, the action of the Hecke operator T_q on each group is as follows:

$$T_q(\Psi_w) = (1 + 2n)\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i},$$

$$T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2k-1}) = \mathbf{u}_{2k-1} + \mathbf{u}_{2k} \text{ and } T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2k}) = \mathbf{u}_{2k} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1} - 2,$$

$$T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}}) = 2n\Psi_w + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-2} \mathbf{u}_{2i} \text{ and } T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}}) = \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}}.$$

Proof. The argument in Proposition 4.2 applies *mutatis mutandis*. For instance, when $v \geq 2$ an isomorphism between $A_0 \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^v-2} \langle \Psi_{w_i} - \Psi_w \rangle$ and $A_0 \oplus \mathbf{A}$ can be given as follows: for $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1} - 2$,

$$\Psi_{w_{2k}} - \Psi_w = \mathbf{u}_{2k} + \mathbf{u}_{2k-1} + (\Psi_{w'} - \Psi_w),$$

$$\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'} = 2n\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i},$$

$$\Psi_{w_{2^{v-2}}} - \Psi_w = \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}}.$$

The action of the Hecke operator T_q on each A_i is clear except

$$T_q(\Psi_w) = \Psi_{w'} = \Psi_w - (\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'}) = (1 + 2n)\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i},$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}}) &= \Psi_{w_{2^{v-2}}} - \Psi_{w'} = \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}} + (\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'}) \\ &= 2n\Psi_w + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-2} \mathbf{u}_{2i}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

⁹By Proposition 2.6, we know that Frob is an involution of Σ_4 without fixed points.

Case 4: $(u, v) = (1, 1)$ and $v \geq 1$. Note that $s_4 = s_6 = 2^v$ and $s_2 = \frac{1}{6}(6n-5 \cdot 2^v)$. Let $\Sigma_4 = \{w_1, \dots, w_{2^v}\}$ and $\Sigma_6 := \{t_1, \dots, t_{2^v}\}$. As before, we assume that $\text{Frob}(w_{2k-1}) = w_{2k}$ and $\text{Frob}(t_{2k-1}) = t_{2k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2^{v-1}$. Let $w := w_{2^{v-1}}$ and $w' := w_{2^v}$. Also, let $t := t_{2^{v-1}}$ and $t' := t_{2^v}$.

Proposition 4.4. *The component group $\Phi_q(Nq)$ decomposes as*

$$\Phi_q(Nq) = A_0 \oplus \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B},$$

where A_0 is cyclic of order $12n$ generated by Ψ_w . The structures of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are the same as those in Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. The actions of T_q on \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are the same as before except on A_{2^v-3} (when $v \geq 2$), where T_q acts by

$$T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2^v-3}) = 6n\Psi_w + \mathbf{u}_{2^v-3} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-2} \mathbf{u}_{2i}.$$

Moreover, the action of T_q on A_0 is analogous to the previous case:

$$T_q(\Psi_w) = (1 + 6n)\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i}.$$

Proof. Note that from (3-1), we have

$$s_2\Psi_s + \Psi_{w_1} + \dots + \Psi_{w'} + \Psi_{t_1} + \dots + \Psi_{t'} = 0.$$

Multiplying by 3, we have

$$(4-3) \quad \Psi_{w_1} + \dots + \Psi_{w'} = -(3s_2 + 2 \cdot 2^v)\Psi_s = -(6s_2 + 4 \cdot 2^v)\Psi_w.$$

Also, multiplying by 4, we have

$$(4-4) \quad \Psi_{t_1} + \dots + \Psi_{t'} = -(4s_2 + 3 \cdot 2^v)\Psi_s = -(12s_2 + 9 \cdot 2^v)\Psi_t.$$

Therefore $\Psi_{w_1}, \dots, \Psi_w, \Psi_{t_1}, \dots, \Psi_{t'}$ can generate the whole group. By a similar computation, the order of $\langle \Psi_{w_i} \rangle$ is $12n$ and the order of $\langle \Psi_{t_i} \rangle$ is $18n$. All of them contain Φ as a subgroup, which is of order $6n$. Here we note that $\langle \Psi_t \rangle = \langle 3\Psi_t \rangle \oplus \langle 6n\Psi_t \rangle$ because $6n = 6s_2 + 5 \cdot 2^v$ is prime to 3. Therefore we can decompose $\Phi_q(Nq)$ into

$$(4-5) \quad \langle \Psi_w \rangle \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^v-2} \langle \Psi_{w_i} - \Psi_w \rangle \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^v-2} \langle \Psi_{t_i} - \Psi_t \rangle \bigoplus \langle 6n\Psi_t \rangle.$$

As in Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, we can find an isomorphism between (4-5) and $A_0 \oplus \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$, which proves the first part. From (4-3) (and the previous discussions) we have

$$\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'} = (6s_2 + 5 \cdot 2^v)\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i} = 6n\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i}.$$

The action of T_q on each component is also obvious except

$$T_q(\Psi_w) = \Psi_{w'} = \Psi_w - (\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'}) = (1 + 6n)\Psi_w + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-1} \mathbf{u}_{2i},$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_q(\mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}}) &= \Psi_{w_{2^{v-2}}} - \Psi_{w'} = \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-2}} + (\Psi_w - \Psi_{w'}) \\ &= 6n\Psi_w + \mathbf{u}_{2^{v-3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{v-1}-2} \mathbf{u}_{2i}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

Yoo would like to thank Kenneth Ribet for a number of very helpful discussions about Eisenstein ideals and component groups. The referee deserves special thanks for a thorough reading of the manuscript and for many useful comments and suggestions. This work was supported by IBS-R003-D1.

References

- [Deligne and Rapoport 1973] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport, “Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques”, pp. 143–316 in *Modular functions of one variable, II* (Antwerp, 1972), edited by P. Deligne and W. Kuyk, Lecture Notes in Math. **349**, Springer, 1973. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Edixhoven 1991] B. Edixhoven, “L’action de l’algèbre de Hecke sur les groupes de composantes des jacobiniennes des courbes modulaires est ‘Eisenstein’”, pp. 159–170 in *Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura* (Orsay, 1987/1988), Astérisque **196-197**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Emerton 2002] M. Emerton, “Supersingular elliptic curves, theta series and weight two modular forms”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**:3 (2002), 671–714. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Illusie 2015] L. Illusie, “Grothendieck and vanishing cycles”, preprint, 2015, Available at <https://tinyurl.com/lucillus>.
- [Katz and Mazur 1985] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur, *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, Annals of Math. Studies **108**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1985. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Mazur 1977] B. Mazur, “Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **47** (1977), 33–186. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Mazur and Rapoport 1977] B. Mazur and M. Rapoport, “Behavior of the Néron model of the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$ at bad primes”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **47** (1977), 173–186. Appendix to [Mazur 1977].
- [Mazur and Ribet 1991] B. Mazur and K. A. Ribet, “Two-dimensional representations in the arithmetic of modular curves”, pp. 215–255 in *Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura* (Orsay, 1987/1988), Astérisque **196-197**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Raynaud 1970] M. Raynaud, “Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **38** (1970), 27–76. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Raynaud 1991] M. Raynaud, “Jacobienne des courbes modulaires et opérateurs de Hecke”, pp. 9–25 in *Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura* (Orsay, 1987/1988), Astérisque **196-197**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1991. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Ribet 1988] K. A. Ribet, “On the component groups and the Shimura subgroup of $J_0(N)$ ”, exp. 6, 10 pp. in *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres* (Talence, France, 1987/1988), Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, 1988. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ribet 1990] K. A. Ribet, “On modular representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms”, *Invent. Math.* **100**:2 (1990), 431–476. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ribet 1995] K. A. Ribet, “Irreducible Galois representations arising from component groups of Jacobians”, pp. 131–147 in *Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat’s last theorem* (Hong Kong, 1993), edited by J. Coates, Ser. Number Theory **1**, Int. Press, Cambridge, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Serre and Tate 1968] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, “Good reduction of abelian varieties”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **88** (1968), 492–517. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [SGA 7_I 1972] A. Grothendieck, “Modèles de Néron et monodromie”, exp. IX, 313–523 in *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique, I* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1967-1969), edited by A. Grothendieck, Lecture Notes in Math. **288**, Springer, 1972. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Silverman 2009] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Math. **106**, Springer, 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yoo 2014] H. Yoo, “Non-optimal levels of a reducible mod ℓ modular representation”, 2014. To appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* [arXiv](#)
- [Yoo 2016] H. Yoo, “On Eisenstein ideals and the cuspidal group of $J_0(N)$ ”, *Israel J. Math.* **214**:1 (2016), 359–377. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received October 23, 2017. Revised February 5, 2018.

TAEKYUNG KIM
CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS
INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE
POHANG
SOUTH KOREA
taekyung.kim.maths@gmail.com

HWAJONG YOO
CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS
INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE
POHANG
SOUTH KOREA
hwajong@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 296 No. 2 October 2018

| | |
|---|-----|
| Congruence subgroups and super-modular categories | 257 |
| PARSA BONDERSON, ERIC C. ROWELL, ZHENGHAN WANG and QING ZHANG | |
| On the Chow ring of the stack of truncated Barsotti–Tate Groups | 271 |
| DENNIS BROKEMPER | |
| Hyperbolic manifolds containing high topological index surfaces | 305 |
| MARION CAMPISI and MATT RATHBUN | |
| Length spectra of sub-Riemannian metrics on compact Lie groups | 321 |
| ANDRÁS DOMOKOS, MATTHEW KRAUEL, VINCENT PIGNO, COREY SHANBROM and MICHAEL VANVALKENBURGH | |
| The action of the Hecke operators on the component groups of modular Jacobian varieties | 341 |
| TAEKYUNG KIM and HWAJONG YOO | |
| Liouville theorems, volume growth, and volume comparison for Ricci shrinkers | 357 |
| LI MA | |
| Presentations of generalisations of Thompson’s group V | 371 |
| CONCHITA MARTÍNEZ-PÉREZ, FRANCESCO MATUCCI and BRITA NUCINKIS | |
| Localization functors and cosupport in derived categories of commutative Noetherian rings | 405 |
| TSUTOMU NAKAMURA and YUJI YOSHINO | |
| Zeta integrals for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ via Bessel models | 437 |
| RALF SCHMIDT and LONG TRAN | |
| Toric surfaces over an arbitrary field | 481 |
| FEI XIE | |
| Erratum for the article The Johnson–Morita theory for the rings of Fricke characters of free groups | 509 |
| TAKAO SATOH | |