

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF ROUND SPHERES
IN SPACE FORMS**

FRANCISCO FONTENELE AND ROBERTO ALONSO NÚÑEZ

A CHARACTERIZATION OF ROUND SPHERES IN SPACE FORMS

FRANCISCO FONTENELE AND ROBERTO ALONSO NÚÑEZ

Let \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} be the complete simply connected $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space form of curvature c . We obtain a new characterization of geodesic spheres in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} in terms of the higher order mean curvatures. In particular, we prove that the geodesic sphere is the only complete bounded immersed hypersurface in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} , $c \leq 0$, with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature. The proof relies on the well known Omori–Yau maximum principle, a formula of Walter for the Laplacian of the r -th mean curvature of a hypersurface in a space form, and a classical inequality of Gårding for hyperbolic polynomials.

1. Introduction

A question of interest in differential geometry is whether the geodesic sphere is the only compact oriented hypersurface in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with constant r -th mean curvature H_r , for some $r = 1, \dots, n$. Here H_1 , H_2 , and H_n are the mean curvature, the scalar curvature, and the Gauss–Kronecker curvature, respectively; see the definitions in Section 2. When $r = 1$ this question is the well known Hopf conjecture, and when $r = 2$ it is a problem proposed by Yau [1982, Problem 31, p. 677].

As proved by Alexandrov [1958] for $r = 1$, and by Ros [1988; 1987] for any r (see also [Montiel and Ros 1991] and the appendix by Korevaar in [Ros 1988]), the above question has an affirmative answer for embedded hypersurfaces. In the immersed case, the question has a negative answer when $r = 1$ — see the examples of nonspherical compact hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the Euclidean space constructed by Wente [1986] and Hsiang, Teng and Yu [Hsiang et al. 1983] — and an affirmative answer when $r = n$ (by a theorem of Hadamard). The problem is still unsolved for $1 < r < n$. For partial answers when $r = 2$ (Yau’s problem), see [Cheng 2002; Li 1996; Okayasu 2005].

Fontenele is partially supported by CNPq (Brazil).

MSC2010: primary 14J70, 53C42; secondary 53A10, 53C40.

Keywords: hypersurfaces in space forms, scalar curvature, Laplacian of the r -th mean curvature, hyperbolic polynomials.

Because of the difficulty of the above question, it is natural to attempt to obtain the rigidity of the sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} under geometric conditions stronger than requiring that H_r be constant for some r . In this regard, Gardner [1970] proved that if a compact oriented hypersurface M^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} has two consecutive mean curvatures H_r and H_{r+1} constant, for some $r = 1, \dots, n-1$, then it is a geodesic sphere. This result was extended to compact hypersurfaces in any space form by Bivens [1983]. For improvements on Bivens' result, see [Koh 1998; Wang 2014].

Cheng and Wan [1994] proved that a complete hypersurface M^3 with constant scalar curvature R and constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^4 is a generalized cylinder $\mathbb{S}^k(a) \times \mathbb{R}^{3-k}$, for some $k = 1, 2, 3$ and some $a > 0$; see [Núñez 2017] for results of this nature in higher dimensions. From this result one obtains the following improvement, when $n = 3$ and $r = 1$, to the theorem of Gardner referred to above: *The geodesic spheres are the only complete bounded hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 with constant scalar curvature and constant mean curvature* (cf. Corollary 1.2).

Our main result (Theorem 1.1) provides a new characterization of geodesic spheres in space forms. There are many results of this nature in the literature, most of which assure that a compact hypersurface that satisfies certain geometric conditions is a geodesic sphere. What makes the characterization provided by Theorem 1.1 special is that the geometric conditions in it are imposed on a *complete* hypersurface (that is bounded when $c \leq 0$, and contained in a spherical cap when $c > 0$), and not on a *compact* one.

In the theorem below and throughout this work, \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} stands for the $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete simply connected space of constant sectional curvature c .

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature R bounded from below, and let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion. In the case $c \leq 0$, assume that $f(M^n)$ is bounded, and in the case $c > 0$, that $f(M^n)$ lies inside a geodesic ball of radius $\rho < \pi/2\sqrt{c}$. If the mean curvature H is constant and, for some $r = 2, \dots, n$, the r -th mean curvature H_r is constant, then $f(M^n)$ is a geodesic sphere of \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} .*

The following results follow immediately from the above theorem. Notice that the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 that the scalar curvature of M^n is bounded from below is superfluous when $r = 2$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a complete Riemannian manifold M^n in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} . In the case $c \leq 0$, assume that $f(M^n)$ is bounded, and in the case $c > 0$, that $f(M^n)$ lies inside a geodesic ball of radius $\rho < \pi/2\sqrt{c}$. If the mean curvature H and the scalar curvature R are constant, then $f(M^n)$ is a geodesic sphere of \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} .*

Corollary 1.3. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion of a compact Riemannian manifold M^n in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} . In the case $c > 0$, assume that $f(M)$ is contained*

in an open hemisphere of \mathbb{S}_c^{n+1} . If the mean curvature H is constant and, for some $r = 2, \dots, n$, the r -th mean curvature H_r is constant, then $f(M^n)$ is a geodesic sphere of \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+1} .

Remark 1.4. The examples of [Wente 1986; Hsiang et al. 1983] referred to in the second paragraph of this section show that the hypothesis that H_r is constant for some r , $2 \leq r \leq n$, can not be removed from Theorem 1.1. It is surely a difficult question to know whether the theorem holds without the assumption that H is constant (cf. Yau’s problem mentioned in the beginning of this section). We do not know whether Theorem 1.1 (for $r \geq 3$) holds without the hypothesis that the scalar curvature of M is bounded below.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the well known Omori–Yau maximum principle [Cheng and Yau 1975; Omori 1967; Yau 1975], a formula of Walter [1985] for the Laplacian of the r -th mean curvature of a hypersurface in a space form, and a classical inequality of Gårding [1959] for hyperbolic polynomials.

2. Preliminaries

Given an isometric immersion $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M^n into an $(n+k)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold N^{n+k} , denote by $\sigma : TM \times TM \rightarrow TM^\perp$ the (vector valued) second fundamental form of f , and by A_ξ the shape operator of the immersion with respect to a (locally defined) unit normal vector field ξ . From the Gauss formula one obtains, for all smooth vector fields X and Y ,

$$(2-1) \quad \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle.$$

In the particular case that M and N are orientable and $k = 1$, one may choose a global unit normal vector field ξ and so define a (symmetric) 2-tensor field h on M by $h(X, Y) = \langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle$. Then, by (2-1),

$$h(X, Y) = \langle AX, Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where $A = A_\xi$ is the shape operator of the immersion with respect to ξ . If we assume further that N^{n+1} has constant sectional curvature, it follows from the symmetry of h and the Codazzi equation that the covariant derivative ∇h of h is symmetric. From now on we denote by h_{ij} and h_{ijk} the components of h and ∇h , respectively, in a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, i.e.,

$$h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j), \quad h_{ijk} = \nabla h(e_i, e_j, e_k).$$

Given an isometric immersion $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+1}$, denote by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ the principal curvatures of M^n with respect to a global unit normal vector field ξ (i.e., the eigenvalues of the shape operator $A = A_\xi$). It is well known that if we label the

principal curvatures at each point by the condition $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, then the resulting functions $\lambda_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are continuous.

The r -th mean curvature H_r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, of M^n is defined by

$$(2-2) \quad \binom{n}{r} H_r = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_r}.$$

Notice that H_1 is the mean curvature H ($= \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} A$, where $\operatorname{tr} A$ is the trace of A) and $H_n = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ is the Gauss–Kronecker curvature of the immersion. In the particular case that N^{n+1} has constant sectional curvature, the function H_2 is up to a constant the (normalized) scalar curvature R of M^n . In fact, if N^{n+1} has constant sectional curvature c and if $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the tangent space at a given point of M^n such that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the sectional curvature $K(e_i, e_j)$ of the plane spanned by e_i and e_j is given by

$$K(e_i, e_j) = c + \lambda_i \lambda_j$$

by the Gauss equation, and so

$$R = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} K(e_i, e_j) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} (c + \lambda_i \lambda_j) = c + H_2.$$

The squared norm $|A|^2$ of the shape operator A is defined as the trace of A^2 . It is easy to see that

$$|A|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i^2.$$

From (2-2) and the last two equalities we obtain the following useful relation involving the mean curvature H , the norm $|A|$ of the shape operator A , and the normalized scalar curvature R :

$$(2-3) \quad n^2 H^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = |A|^2 + n(n-1)(R-c).$$

In terms of the r -th symmetric function $\sigma_r : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(2-4) \quad \sigma_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r},$$

the equality (2-2) can be rewritten as

$$\binom{n}{r} H_r = \sigma_r \circ \vec{\lambda},$$

where $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the principal curvature vector of the immersion. In order to unify the notation, we define $H_0 = 1 = \sigma_0$ and $H_r = 0 = \sigma_r$ for all $r \geq n+1$.

As one might expect, the knowledge of the properties of the symmetric functions is very important to the study of the higher order mean curvatures of a hypersurface. In order to state a property of the symmetric functions that is relevant to us, we summarize below some of the results of the classical article [Gårding 1959] on hyperbolic polynomials; see also [Caffarelli et al. 1985, p. 268; Fontenele and Silva 2001, p. 217].

Let $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a homogenous polynomial of degree m and let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a fixed vector of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that P is hyperbolic with respect to the vector a , or in short, that P is a -hyperbolic, if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the polynomial in s , $P(sa + x)$, has m real roots. Denote by Γ_P the connected component of the set $\{P \neq 0\}$ that contains a . Gårding [1959] proved that Γ_P is an open convex cone, with vertex at the origin, and that the homogenous polynomial of degree $m - 1$ defined by

$$Q(x) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} P(sa + x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}(x)$$

is also a -hyperbolic. Moreover, $\Gamma_P \subset \Gamma_Q$.

As can easily be seen, the n -th symmetric function σ_n is hyperbolic with respect to the vector $a = (1, \dots, 1)$. Applying the results of the previous paragraph to σ_n , and observing that

$$\sigma_r(x) = \frac{1}{(n-r)!} \left. \frac{d^{n-r}}{ds^{n-r}} \right|_{s=0} \sigma_n(sa + x), \quad r = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

one concludes that σ_r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, is hyperbolic with respect to $a = (1, \dots, 1)$, and that $\Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_n$, where $\Gamma_r := \Gamma_{\sigma_r}$.

Gårding [1959] established an inequality for hyperbolic polynomials involving their completely polarized forms. A particular case of this inequality, from which the general case is derived, says that

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_k}(x) \geq P(y)^{1/m} P(x)^{1-1/m}, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma_P.$$

As observed in [Caffarelli et al. 1985, p. 269], the above inequality is equivalent to the assertion that $P^{1/m}$ is a concave function on Γ_P . In particular, we have the following result, which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.1. *For each $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the function $\sigma_r^{1/r}$ is concave on Γ_r .*

3. The Laplacian of the r -th mean curvature

The symmetric functions σ_r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, defined by (2-4), arise naturally from the identity

$$\prod_{s=1}^n (x_s + t) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sigma_r(x) t^{n-r},$$

which is valid for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating this identity with respect to x_j , one obtains

$$\prod_{s \neq j} (x_s + t) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(x) t^{n-r}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Differentiating the above equality with respect to x_i for $i \neq j$ yields

$$\prod_{s \neq i, j} (x_s + t) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) t^{n-r}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Hence,

$$(3-1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{r-2}(\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_j), & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_{r-2}(\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_j) = \sigma_{r-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

Walter [1985] established a formula for the Laplacian of the r -th mean curvature of a hypersurface in a space of constant sectional curvature. For the convenience of the reader, we state that formula below. Recall that the Laplacian Δu of a C^2 -function u defined on a Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is the trace of the 2-tensor field $\text{Hess } u$, called the Hessian of u , defined by $\text{Hess } u(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla u, Y \rangle$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M^n be an orientable hypersurface of an orientable Riemannian manifold N_c^{n+1} of constant sectional curvature c . Then for every $r = 1, \dots, n$ and every $p \in M^n$,*

$$\binom{n}{r} \Delta H_r = n \sum_j \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) \text{Hess } H(e_j, e_j) - \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} + \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) (h_{iik} h_{jjk} - h_{ijk}^2),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the principal curvatures of M^n at p , $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$ that diagonalizes the shape operator A , and K_{ij} is the sectional curvature of M^n in the plane spanned by $\{e_i, e_j\}$.

4. Complete and bounded hypersurfaces

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, besides Propositions 2.1 and 3.1, we use the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature K bounded from below and $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k}$ an isometric immersion of M^n into the $(n + k)$ -dimensional complete simply connected space \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k} of constant sectional curvature c . In the case $c \leq 0$, assume that $f(M^n)$ is bounded, and in the case $c > 0$, that $f(M^n)$ lies inside a geodesic ball of radius $\rho < \pi/2\sqrt{c}$. Then there exist $p \in M$ and a unit vector $\xi_0 \in (f_*T_pM)^\perp$ such that for any unit vector $v \in T_pM$,*

$$(4-1) \quad \langle A_{\xi_0} v, v \rangle > \begin{cases} 0, & c \geq 0, \\ \sqrt{-c}, & c < 0. \end{cases}$$

We believe that the above proposition is known, but since we were unable to find a reference for it in the literature, we prove it below. The main ingredient in this proof is the following well known maximum principle due to Omori and Yau [Cheng and Yau 1975; Omori 1967; Yau 1975]; see [Fontenele and Xavier 2011, Theorem 3.4] for a conceptual refinement of this principle.

Omori–Yau maximum principle. *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature or Ricci curvature bounded from below, and let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 -function bounded from above. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in M$ such that*

$$f(x_\varepsilon) > \sup f - \varepsilon, \quad \|\nabla f(x_\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{Hess } f(x_\varepsilon)(v, v) < \varepsilon\|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in T_{x_\varepsilon}M - \{0\}$$

or

$$f(x_\varepsilon) > \sup f - \varepsilon, \quad \|\nabla f(x_\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \Delta f(x_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon,$$

respectively.

The following lemma, which is also used in the proof of Proposition 4.1, expresses the gradient and Hessian of the restriction of a function to a submanifold in terms of the space gradient and Hessian; see [Dajczer 1990, p. 46] for a proof. In its statement, we use the symbol ∇ for the gradient of any function involved.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M^n into a Riemannian manifold N^{n+k} , and let $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^2 . Then for all $p \in M$ and $v, w \in T_pM$, one has*

$$(4-2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_*(\nabla(g \circ f)(p)) &= [\nabla g(f(p))]^\top, \\ \text{Hess}(g \circ f)_p(v, w) &= \text{Hess } g_{f(p)}(f_*v, f_*w) + \langle \nabla g(f(p)), \sigma_p(v, w) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where σ is the second fundamental form of the immersion, f_* is the differential of f and “ \top ” means orthogonal projection onto $f_*(T_pM)$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By hypothesis, $f(M)$ is contained in some closed ball $\bar{B}_\rho(q_0)$ of center q_0 and radius ρ , with $\rho < \pi/2\sqrt{c}$ if $c > 0$. Let $r(\cdot) = d(\cdot, q_0)$ be the distance function from the point q_0 in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k} and let $g = r \circ f$. Since g is

bounded from above (for $f(M) \subset \bar{B}_\rho(q_0)$) and the sectional curvatures of M are bounded from below, the Omori–Yau maximum principle assures us that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $x_\varepsilon \in M$ such that

$$g(x_\varepsilon) > \sup g - \varepsilon, \quad \|\nabla g(x_\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{Hess } g_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v) < \varepsilon \|v\|^2, \quad \forall v \in T_{x_\varepsilon} M.$$

From the last two inequalities and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$(4-3) \quad \varepsilon > \|\nabla g(x_\varepsilon)\| = \|\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\top\|$$

and, for every $v \in T_{x_\varepsilon} M$,

$$(4-4) \quad \varepsilon \|v\|^2 > \text{Hess } g_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v) = \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(f_*v, f_*v) + \langle \sigma_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v), \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon)) \rangle,$$

where the superscript “ \top ” indicates orthogonal projection on $f_*(T_{x_\varepsilon} M)$.

For every $v \in T_{x_\varepsilon} M$, write

$$(4-5) \quad f_*v = v_1 + v_2,$$

where v_1 and v_2 are the components of f_*v that are parallel and orthogonal, respectively, to $\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))$. Recalling that $\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla r} \nabla r = 0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k} , one has

$$(4-6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(f_*v, f_*v) &= \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(v_1 + v_2, v_1 + v_2) \\ &= \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(v_2, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that v_2 is tangent to the geodesic sphere S of \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k} centered at q_0 that contains $f(x_\varepsilon)$. Applying (4-2) for the inclusion $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k}$ and $g = r$, one obtains

$$(4-7) \quad \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(v_2, v_2) = \langle Bv_2, v_2 \rangle,$$

where B is the shape operator of S with respect to $-\nabla r$. Since the principal curvatures of a geodesic sphere of radius t in \mathbb{Q}_c^{n+k} are constant and given by

$$(4-8) \quad \mu_c(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} t), & c > 0, 0 < t < \pi/\sqrt{c}, \\ 1/t, & c = 0, t > 0, \\ \sqrt{-c} \coth(\sqrt{-c} t), & c < 0, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

it follows from (4-6) and (4-7) that

$$(4-9) \quad \text{Hess } r_{f(x_\varepsilon)}(f_*v, f_*v) = \mu_c(r(f(x_\varepsilon))) \|v_2\|^2.$$

As $\|\nabla r\| \equiv 1$, by (4-5) one has $v_1 = \langle f_*v, \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon)) \rangle \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))$. Then, by (4-3),

$$\|v_1\| = |\langle f_*v, \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\top \rangle| \leq \|f_*v\| \|\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\top\| < \varepsilon \|v\|.$$

From (4-5) and the above inequality, we obtain

$$(4-10) \quad \|v_2\|^2 = \|f_*v\|^2 - \|v_1\|^2 = \|v\|^2 - \|v_1\|^2 > (1 - \varepsilon^2) \|v\|^2.$$

Hence, by (4-4), (4-9), and (4-10),

$$\varepsilon \|v\|^2 > \mu_c(r(f(x_\varepsilon)))(1 - \varepsilon^2)\|v\|^2 + \langle \sigma_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v), \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon)) \rangle.$$

Since μ_c is decreasing and $r(f(x_\varepsilon)) \leq \rho$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \|v\|^2 &> \mu_c(\rho)(1 - \varepsilon^2)\|v\|^2 + \langle \sigma_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v), \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon)) \rangle \\ &= \mu_c(\rho)(1 - \varepsilon^2)\|v\|^2 + \langle \sigma_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v), \nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\perp \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\perp$ is the component of $\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))$ that is orthogonal to $f_*(T_{x_\varepsilon}M)$. Setting $\xi_\varepsilon = -\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\perp / \|\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\perp\|$, it follows from (2-1) and the above inequality that

$$(4-11) \quad \langle A_{\xi_\varepsilon} v, v \rangle = \langle \sigma_{x_\varepsilon}(v, v), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle > \frac{\mu_c(\rho)(1 - \varepsilon^2) - \varepsilon}{\|\nabla r(f(x_\varepsilon))^\perp\|}$$

for all $v \in T_{x_\varepsilon}M$, $\|v\| = 1$. Since, by (4-3), the term on the right-hand side of (4-11) tends to $\mu_c(\rho)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and, by (4-8), $\mu_c(\rho) > 0$ for $c \geq 0$ and $\mu_c(\rho) > \sqrt{-c}$ for $c < 0$, (4-1) is fulfilled choosing $p = x_\varepsilon$ and $\xi_0 = \xi_\varepsilon$, where ε is any positive number sufficiently small. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since H is constant and R is bounded from below, from (2-3) one obtains that $|A|^2$ is bounded, and so that the sectional curvatures of M^n are bounded from below. Then, by Proposition 4.1, there exist a point $p \in M$ and a unit vector $\xi_0 \in (f_*T_pM)^\perp$ such that

$$(5-1) \quad \langle A_{\xi_0} v, v \rangle > \alpha_c \|v\|^2, \quad v \in T_pM,$$

where

$$\alpha_c = \begin{cases} 0, & c \geq 0, \\ \sqrt{-c}, & c < 0. \end{cases}$$

Choosing the unit normal vector field ξ such that $\xi(p) = \xi_0$, by (5-1) the principal curvatures of M at p satisfy

$$(5-2) \quad \lambda_i(p) > \alpha_c \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Proposition 3.1, as H and H_r are constant one has

$$(5-3) \quad \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda})(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} = \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda})(h_{iik} h_{jjk} - h_{ijk}^2),$$

where $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. From (5-2) one obtains that $H_r > 0$ and that $\vec{\lambda}(p)$ belongs to the Gårding's cone Γ_r (see Section 2). Then, since M is connected,

$$\vec{\lambda}(q) \in \Gamma_r, \quad \forall q \in M.$$

By Proposition 2.1, $W_r = \sigma_r^{1/r}$ is a concave function on Γ_r . Thus,

$$(5-4) \quad \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \frac{\partial^2 W_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \leq 0$$

for all $x \in \Gamma_r$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 W_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{1}{r} \sigma_r^{(1/r)-2} \left(\frac{1-r}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j} + \sigma_r \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right).$$

Using the above equality in (5-4), we conclude that

$$(5-5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_r(x) \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) &\leq \frac{r-1}{r} \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(x) \\ &= \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\sum_j y_j \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(x) \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \Gamma_r$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Taking $x = \vec{\lambda}$ and $y_i = h_{iik}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, in (5-5), one obtains

$$(5-6) \quad \binom{n}{r} H_r \sum_{i,j} h_{iik} h_{jjk} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) \leq \frac{r-1}{r} \left(\sum_j h_{jjk} \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) \right)^2, \quad \forall k.$$

We claim that in a basis that diagonalizes A ,

$$(5-7) \quad \sum_j h_{jjk} \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) = \binom{n}{r} e_k(H_r).$$

The claim can be proved using the formula $\binom{n}{r} e_k(H_r) = \text{tr}(P_{r-1} \nabla_{e_k} A)$ [Rosenberg 1993, p. 225], where P_{r-1} is the $(r-1)$ -th Newton tensor associated with the shape operator A of M .

Since H_r is a positive constant, from (5-6) and (5-7) one obtains

$$\sum_{i,j} h_{iik} h_{jjk} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) \leq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using this information in (5-3), we conclude that the inequality

$$\sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} \leq - \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda})$$

holds at every point of M . Since, by (3-1) and (5-2),

$$(5-8) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{\lambda}(p)) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{r-2}(\widehat{\lambda}_i(p), \widehat{\lambda}_j(p)) > 0, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$(5-9) \quad \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (\vec{\lambda}(p)) (\lambda_i(p) - \lambda_j(p))^2 K_{ij}(p) \leq 0.$$

Since, by (5-2) and the Gauss equation,

$$K_{ij}(p) = c + \lambda_i(p)\lambda_j(p) > c + \alpha_c^2 \geq 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

it follows from (5-8) and (5-9) that $\lambda_1(p) = \cdots = \lambda_n(p) = H$.

Let U be the set of umbilic points of M . Let B be the set

$$B = \{p \in U : \lambda_i(p) > \alpha_c \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset U.$$

By the argument above, B is nonempty and open. Assuming $B \neq U$, we can find a point $q \in \partial B \subset U$. By continuity of the principal curvatures, they are all constant and bigger than α_c at q , and hence $B = U$. Since U is closed, open, and nonempty, M is totally umbilical. To finish, it is well known that the only complete totally umbilical hypersurfaces in a space form are the geodesic spheres [Spivak 1975, pp. 75–79].

References

- [Aleksandrov 1958] A. D. Aleksandrov, “Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large, V”, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **13**:19 (1958), 5–8. In Russian; translated in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) **21** (1962), 412–416. MR Zbl
- [Bivens 1983] I. Bivens, “Integral formulas and hyperspheres in a simply connected space form”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88**:1 (1983), 113–118. MR Zbl
- [Caffarelli et al. 1985] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, “The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian”, *Acta Math.* **155**:3-4 (1985), 261–301. MR Zbl
- [Cheng 2002] Q.-M. Cheng, “Complete hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with constant scalar curvature”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51**:1 (2002), 53–68. MR Zbl
- [Cheng and Wan 1994] Q. M. Cheng and Q. R. Wan, “Complete hypersurfaces of \mathbb{R}^4 with constant mean curvature”, *Monatsh. Math.* **118**:3-4 (1994), 171–204. MR Zbl
- [Cheng and Yau 1975] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, “Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**:3 (1975), 333–354. MR Zbl
- [Dajczer 1990] M. Dajczer, *Submanifolds and isometric immersions*, Mathematics Lecture Series **13**, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, 1990. MR Zbl
- [Fontenele and Silva 2001] F. Fontenele and S. L. Silva, “A tangency principle and applications”, *Illinois J. Math.* **45**:1 (2001), 213–228. MR Zbl
- [Fontenele and Xavier 2011] F. Fontenele and F. Xavier, “Good shadows, dynamics and convex hulls of complete submanifolds”, *Asian J. Math.* **15**:1 (2011), 9–31. MR Zbl
- [Gårding 1959] L. Gårding, “An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials”, *J. Math. Mech.* **8** (1959), 957–965. MR Zbl
- [Gardner 1970] R. B. Gardner, “The Dirichlet integral in differential geometry”, pp. 231–237 in *Global analysis* (Berkeley, CA, 1968), edited by S.-S. Chern and S. Smale, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **XV**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970. MR Zbl

- [Hsiang et al. 1983] W.-Y. Hsiang, Z. H. Teng, and W. C. Yu, “New examples of constant mean curvature immersions of $(2k - 1)$ -spheres into Euclidean $2k$ -space”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **117**:3 (1983), 609–625. MR Zbl
- [Koh 1998] S.-E. Koh, “A characterization of round spheres”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**:12 (1998), 3657–3660. MR Zbl
- [Li 1996] H. Li, “Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms”, *Math. Ann.* **305**:4 (1996), 665–672. MR Zbl
- [Montiel and Ros 1991] S. Montiel and A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces: The Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures”, pp. 279–296 in *Differential geometry*, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math. **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, UK, 1991. MR Zbl
- [Núñez 2017] R. A. Núñez, “On complete hypersurfaces with constant mean and scalar curvatures in Euclidean spaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**:6 (2017), 2677–2688. MR Zbl
- [Okayasu 2005] T. Okayasu, “On compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in the Euclidean space”, *Kodai Math. J.* **28**:3 (2005), 577–585. MR Zbl
- [Omori 1967] H. Omori, “Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **19** (1967), 205–214. MR Zbl
- [Ros 1987] A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3**:3-4 (1987), 447–453. MR Zbl
- [Ros 1988] A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature and a congruence theorem”, *J. Differential Geom.* **27**:2 (1988), 215–223. With an appendix by N. Korevaar. MR Zbl
- [Rosenberg 1993] H. Rosenberg, “Hypersurfaces of constant curvature in space forms”, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **117**:2 (1993), 211–239. MR Zbl
- [Spivak 1975] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, vol. V, Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston, 1975. MR Zbl
- [Walter 1985] R. Walter, “Compact hypersurfaces with a constant higher mean curvature function”, *Math. Ann.* **270**:1 (1985), 125–145. MR Zbl
- [Wang 2014] Q. Wang, “Totally umbilical property and higher-order curvature of hypersurfaces in a positive curvature space form”, *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)* **57**:1 (2014), 47–50. MR Zbl
- [Wente 1986] H. C. Wente, “Counterexample to a conjecture of H. Hopf”, *Pacific J. Math.* **121**:1 (1986), 193–243. MR Zbl
- [Yau 1975] S. T. Yau, “Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 201–228. MR Zbl
- [Yau 1982] S. T. Yau, “Problem section”, pp. 669–706 in *Seminar on differential geometry*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **102**, Princeton University Press, 1982. MR Zbl

Received May 18, 2017. Revised September 11, 2017.

FRANCISCO FONTENELE
 DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRIA
 UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE
 NITERÓI, RJ
 BRAZIL
 fontenele@mat.uff.br

ROBERTO ALONSO NÚÑEZ
 AREQUIPA
 PERU
 roberto78nunez@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 297 No. 1 November 2018

On Legendre curves in normed planes	1
VITOR BALESTRO, HORST MARTINI and RALPH TEIXEIRA	
Remarks on critical metrics of the scalar curvature and volume functionals on compact manifolds with boundary	29
HALYSON BALTAZAR and ERNANI RIBEIRO, JR.	
Cherlin's conjecture for sporadic simple groups	47
FRANCESCA DALLA VOLTA, NICK GILL and PABLO SPIGA	
A characterization of round spheres in space forms	67
FRANCISCO FONTENELE and ROBERTO ALONSO NÚÑEZ	
A non-strictly pseudoconvex domain for which the squeezing function tends to 1 towards the boundary	79
JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS and ERLEND FORNÆSS WOLD	
An Amir–Cambern theorem for quasi-isometries of $C_0(K, X)$ spaces	87
ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO and ANDRÉ LUIS PORTO DA SILVA	
Weak amenability of Lie groups made discrete	101
SØREN KNUDBY	
A restriction on the Alexander polynomials of L -space knots	117
DAVID KRCAOVICH	
Stability of capillary hypersurfaces in a Euclidean ball	131
HAIZHONG LI and CHANGWEI XIONG	
Non-minimality of certain irregular coherent preminimal affinizations	147
ADRIANO MOURA and FERNANDA PEREIRA	
Interior gradient estimates for weak solutions of quasilinear p -Laplacian type equations	195
TUOC PHAN	
Local unitary periods and relative discrete series	225
JERROD MANFORD SMITH	



0030-8730(201811)297:1;1-R