

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**AN AMIR–CAMBERN THEOREM FOR  
QUASI-ISOMETRIES OF  $C_0(K, X)$  SPACES**

ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO AND ANDRÉ LUIS PORTO DA SILVA

## AN AMIR–CAMBERN THEOREM FOR QUASI-ISOMETRIES OF $C_0(K, X)$ SPACES

ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO AND ANDRÉ LUIS PORTO DA SILVA

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional Banach space. We prove that if  $K$  and  $S$  are locally compact Hausdorff spaces and there exists a bijective map  $T : C_0(K, X) \rightarrow C_0(S, X)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{M} \|f - g\| - L \leq \|T(f) - T(g)\| \leq M \|f - g\| + L,$$

for every  $f, g \in C_0(K, X)$  then  $K$  and  $S$  are homeomorphic, whenever  $L \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq M^2 < S(X)$ , where  $S(X)$  denotes the Schäffer constant of  $X$ .

This nonlinear vector-valued extension of the Amir–Cambern theorem via quasi-isometries  $T$  with large  $M$  was previously unknown even for the classical  $\ell_p^n$  spaces,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

### 1. Introduction

If  $K$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $X$  is a Banach space, we denote by  $C_0(K, X)$  the Banach space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on  $K$ , taking values in  $X$ , and provided with the usual supremum norm. If  $X$  is the scalar field ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) we will denote this space by  $C_0(K)$ . In the case where  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space we write  $C(K, X)$  instead of  $C_0(K, X)$ .

The well-known Banach–Stone theorem states that if  $K$  and  $S$  are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then the existence of a linear isometry  $T$  from  $C_0(K)$  onto  $C_0(S)$  implies that  $K$  and  $S$  are homeomorphic [Banach 1932; Behrends 1979; Stone 1937]. Amir [1965] and Cambern [1967] independently generalized this theorem by proving that if  $C_0(K)$  and  $C_0(S)$  are isomorphic under a linear isomorphism  $T$  satisfying  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| < 2$ , then  $K$  and  $S$  must also be homeomorphic. The constant 2 is the best possible for the formulation of this result [Cambern 1970; Cohen 1975].

---

*MSC2010:* primary 46B03, 46E15; secondary 46B25, 46E40.

*Keywords:* vector-valued Amir–Cambern theorem,  $C_0(K, X)$  spaces, finite-dimensional uniformly non-square spaces, quasi-isometry, Schäffer constant.

Various authors, beginning with Jerison [1950], have considered the problem of determining geometric properties of  $X$  which allow generalizations of these theorems to the  $C_0(K, X)$  spaces; see for instance [Cidral et al. 2015].

In the present paper we strengthen the Amir–Cambern theorem by showing that the conclusion holds if the requirement that  $T$  be a linear isomorphism with  $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| < 2$  is replaced by the requirement that  $T$  be a *bijective coarse*  $(M, L)$ -*quasi-isometry* on  $C_0(K, X)$  spaces for finite-dimensional spaces  $X$  with  $L \geq 0$  and  $M$  satisfying  $1 \leq M^2 < S(X)$ , where  $S(X)$  is the following parameter introduced by Schäffer [Gao and Lau 1990; Schäffer 1976] for Banach spaces  $X$ :

$$S(X) = \inf\{\max\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} : \|x\| = 1 \text{ and } \|y\| = 1\}.$$

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is called uniformly nonsquare [James 1964, Definition 1.1] if there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that for any  $x, y \in X$ , with  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\|y\| = 1$ , we have

$$\min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \leq 2(1 - \delta).$$

Then,  $X$  is uniformly nonsquare if and only if  $S(X) > 1$  [Kato et al. 2001, Proposition 1]. Moreover,  $S(\mathbb{R}) = 2$ ,  $S(\mathbb{C}) = \sqrt{2}$ , and  $1 \leq S(X) \leq \sqrt{2}$  for every Banach space with dimension greater than or equal to 2 [Gao and Lau 1990, Theorem 2.5]. If  $X$  is a Hilbert space with dimension at least 2 then  $S(X) = \sqrt{2}$ , but this equality does not characterize the Hilbert spaces  $X$ ; see for instance [Komuro et al. 2016, p.1].

A bijective map  $T : C_0(K, X) \rightarrow C_0(S, X)$  is said to be a *coarse*  $(M, L)$ -*quasi-isometry* or simply an  $(M, L)$ -*quasi-isometry* if for some constants  $M \geq 1$  and  $L \geq 0$  the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{M}\|f - g\| - L \leq \|T(f) - T(g)\| \leq M\|f - g\| + L$$

are satisfied for all  $f, g \in C_0(K, X)$ . This notion includes some important concepts used in the nonlinear classification of Banach spaces [Benyamini and Lindenstrauss 2000; Godefroy et al. 2014; Górak 2011; Kalton 2008].

Thus, the main aim of this work is to prove the following nonlinear vector-valued extension of the Amir–Cambern theorem via quasi-isometries.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional Banach space with  $S(X) > 1$ . Suppose that  $K$  and  $S$  are locally compact Hausdorff spaces and there exists a bijective  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometry  $T$  from functions  $C_0(K, X)$  onto  $C_0(S, X)$  satisfying*

$$M^2 < S(X),$$

*then  $K$  and  $S$  are homeomorphic.*

The starting point of our research toward proving Theorem 1.1 was the fact that, for the particular case where  $X$  is a *finite-dimensional strictly convex space* [Clarkson 1936] and  $M < 1 + \epsilon_0$  for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , the theorem was proved by Jarosz [1989, Theorem 4]. However, even in the case where  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the arguments presented in the

proof of [Jarosz 1989, Theorem 1] require  $\epsilon_0$  to be very small, namely  $\epsilon_0 < 10^{-30}$ . In addition, if  $X$  has dimension at least 2,  $\epsilon_0$  depends on the modulus of convexity of  $X$  and nothing is established about it beyond its existence.

This result of Jarosz naturally leads us to the following problem.

**Problem 1.2.** When can the Amir–Cambern theorem be extended for  $C_0(K, X)$  spaces to  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometries with  $M > 1$ ?

Theorem 1.1 states that every finite-dimensional uniformly nonsquare space and, in particular, every finite-dimensional strictly convex space provides a positive solution to the above problem for a range  $M$  depending on a geometrical property of  $X$ . Notice also that in the special case where  $X = \ell_p^n$  (the real  $n$ -dimensional  $l_p$  space,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $n \geq 2$ ), the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1 was only known when  $p = 2$  [Galego and Da Silva 2018, Main Theorem].

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $K$  and  $S$  are locally compact Hausdorff spaces and  $T$  is a bijective  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometry from  $C_0(K, \ell_p^n)$  onto  $C_0(S, \ell_p^n)$  satisfying*

$$M^2 < \min\{2^{1/p}, 2^{1-1/p}\},$$

*then  $K$  and  $S$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* It suffices to recall that by [Gao and Lau 1990, Theorem 3.1], for every  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $n \geq 2$ , we know that

$$S(\ell_p^n) = \min\{2^{1/p}, 2^{1-1/p}\}. \quad \square$$

The case  $X = \mathbb{R}$  of Theorem 1.1 was proved in [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016, Main Theorem]. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 does not apply to  $X = \ell_\infty^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the real  $n$ -dimensional  $l_\infty$  space, because in this case  $S(X) = 1$  and moreover by a well-known result of Sundaresan [1973, p.22] there are nonhomeomorphic compact Hausdorff spaces  $K$  and  $S$  such that  $C(K, X)$  is isometric with  $C(S, X)$ .

Notice that, in view of Problem 1.2 and in connection with Theorem 1.1, the following question arises naturally.

**Problem 1.4.** Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space such that there exists  $c > 1$  satisfying the following property: for any locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $K$  and  $S$  and bijective  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometry  $T$  from  $C_0(K, X)$  onto  $C_0(S, X)$  with

$$M^2 < c,$$

it follows that  $K$  and  $S$  are homeomorphic.

Then:

- (1) Is it true that  $S(X) > 1$ ?
- (2) Is  $c \leq S(X)$ ?
- (3) Does it follow that  $X$  is a finite-dimensional space?

## 2. An inequality involving the Schäffer constant

We begin the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) by establishing an inequality related to the Schäffer constant that will be very useful later. The constant

$$(2-1) \quad J(X) = \sup\{\min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} : \|x\| = 1 \text{ and } \|y\| = 1\},$$

is called the nonsquare or James constant of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a real Banach space of finite dimension at least 2, then according to [\[Casini 1986, Proposition 2.1\]](#) or [\[Gao and Lau 1990, Theorem 2.5\]](#)

$$(2-2) \quad J(X)S(X) = 2.$$

This fact also holds if  $X$  is a complex Banach space, for if  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  is its natural real Banach space structure, we have that  $J(X) = J(X_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $S(X) = S(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ . So, from now on, we shall not distinguish the scalar field of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $x, y \in X$ . Then*

$$\min\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \leq \frac{2}{S(X)} \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}.$$

*Proof.* First assume that  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $S(X) = 2$  and for each pair  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\min\{|x + y|, |x - y|\} = \left| |x| - |y| \right| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\},$$

so we are done.

Suppose now that the dimension of  $X$  is at least 2 and fix  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $S(X) \leq 2$ , the lemma follows trivially in the case that  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ . Thus, we assume that  $x, y \neq 0$  and put  $\hat{x} = x/\|x\|$ ,  $\hat{y} = y/\|y\|$ . We may also assume that

$$(2-3) \quad \|x\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\| = \min\{\|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\|, \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\|\}.$$

Next, by [\(2-1\)](#) and [\(2-2\)](#) we infer that

$$\frac{2}{S(X)} = J(X) = \sup\{\min\{\|u + v\|, \|u - v\|\} : \|u\| = 1 \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}.$$

Then, by [\(2-3\)](#) it follows that

$$\|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\| \leq \frac{2}{S(X)},$$

and putting  $\alpha = \|y\|/\|x\| \in (0, 1]$ , we note that

$$\frac{\|x + y\|}{\|x\|} = \|\hat{x} + \alpha\hat{y}\| \leq (1 - \alpha)\|\hat{x}\| + \alpha\|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\| \leq \frac{2}{S(X)},$$

and we are also done. □

### 3. Special sets associated to $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometries

In a recent study of  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometries between the spaces  $C_0(K)$  and  $C_0(S)$  [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016], subsets  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$  and  $\Gamma_v(s, w)$  of  $S$  and  $K$ , respectively, where  $k \in K$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $v$  and  $w$  are suitable elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , were introduced. In this section, we introduce the definitions and a property (Proposition 3.1) of an analogous class of these sets for  $v, w \in X$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

From now on, as in the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, we fix a finite-dimensional Banach space  $X$  with  $S(X) > 1$  and a bijective coarse  $(M, L)$ -quasi-isometry

$$T : C_0(K, X) \rightarrow C_0(S, X)$$

satisfying  $M^2 < S(X)$  and  $L \geq 0$ . One can easily see that for any  $\alpha > 0$ , the map  $f \mapsto \alpha T(f/\alpha)$  is a bijective coarse  $(M, \alpha L)$ -quasi-isometry, so it is possible to change the value of  $L$  as we wish. Then, we may suppose that  $L = 1$ . Moreover, notice that the map  $f \mapsto T(f) - T(0)$  is a bijective coarse quasi-isometry with the same constants  $(M, L)$  of  $T$ , with the additional property that it maps 0 to 0. For that reason we may suppose that  $T(0) = 0$ . Finally, notice that the map  $T^{-1}$  does not necessarily have the same constants  $(M, L)$  of  $T$ ; in fact we can only guarantee that it is a bijective coarse  $(M, ML)$ -quasi-isometry. Thus, we may actually suppose that  $L = 1/M$ , and this will ensure that both the maps  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are bijective coarse  $(M, 1)$ -quasi-isometries.

Let  $H$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $k \in H$ ,  $f \in C_0(H, X)$  and  $v \in X$ . Following [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016, Definition 2.2] we set

$$\omega(k, f, v) = \max\{\|f\|, \|f(k) - v\|\}.$$

Let  $v, w \in X$  satisfy  $\|v\| \geq M$  and  $\|w\| = \|v\|/M - 1$ . Following [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016, Definition 3.1], we set

$$\Gamma_w(k, v) = \{s \in S : \|Tf(s) - w\| \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1, \text{ for all } f \in C_0(K, X)\}.$$

Similarly, for  $s \in S$ ,  $w, z \in X$  with  $\|w\| \geq M$  and  $\|z\| = \|w\|/M - 1$ , we also set

$$\Lambda_z(s, w) = \{k \in K : \|T^{-1}g(k) - z\| \leq M\omega(s, g, w) + 1, \text{ for all } g \in C_0(S, X)\}.$$

Since it is required in the definition of the sets  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$  and  $\Lambda_z(s, w)$  that  $\|v\| \geq M$  and  $\|w\| = \|v\|/M - 1$  and, respectively,  $\|w\| \geq M$  and  $\|z\| = \|w\|/M - 1$ , these restrictions on the norms of the parameters will be implicit in every usage of these sets.

It is important to have in mind that, since both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are bijective coarse  $(M, 1)$ -quasi-isometries, for any result involving the sets  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$ , a similar result holds for the sets  $\Lambda_z(s, w)$ . We will use the same result label to refer to either case.

The proof of the following proposition is essentially the same as the proof of [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016, Proposition 3.2].

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists  $r_0 > 0$ , depending only on  $M$ , such that, for all  $k \in K$  and  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| \geq r_0$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $\Gamma_w(k, v) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}_k$  denote the set of open neighborhoods of  $k$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{V}_k$  we fix  $f_U \in C_0(K)$  such that the image of  $f_U$  is contained in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $f_U(k) = 1$  and  $f_U|_{K \setminus U} \equiv 0$ . We consider the net  $(v \cdot f_U)_{U \in \mathcal{V}_k}$ .

**Claim.**  $\limsup \|f - v \cdot f_U\| \leq \omega(k, f, v)$ , for all  $f \in C_0(K, X)$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , fix  $U_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_k$  such that

$$\|f(u) - f(k)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } u \in U_\varepsilon.$$

Pick  $U \in \mathcal{V}_k$  such that  $U \subset U_\varepsilon$ , and we shall evaluate  $\|f - v \cdot f_U\|$ . If  $u \in U$ , then

$$\|f(u) - v \cdot f_U(u)\| \leq \|f(k) - v \cdot f_U(u)\| + \varepsilon.$$

Notice that  $v \cdot f_U$  has its image contained in the segment  $\{\alpha v : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ , and then

$$\|f(k) - v \cdot f_U(u)\| \leq \max\{\|f(k)\|, \|f(k) - v\|\}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3-1) \quad \|f(u) - v \cdot f_U(u)\| \leq \max\{\|f(k)\|, \|f(k) - v\|\} + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

On the other hand, if  $u \in K \setminus U$ , then  $f_U(u) = 0$ , and consequently,

$$(3-2) \quad \|f(u) - v \cdot f_U(u)\| = \|f(u)\| \leq \|f\|, \quad \text{for all } u \in K \setminus U.$$

By (3-1) and (3-2), we conclude that

$$\|f - v \cdot f_U\| \leq \max\{\|f\|, \|f(k) - v\|\} + \varepsilon,$$

and the claim is proved.

For each  $U$ , we fix  $s_U \in S$  such that

$$\|T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U)\| = \|T(v \cdot f_U)\|.$$

Since  $\|v \cdot f_U\| = \|v\|$  and  $T(0) = 0$ , we have that

$$\|v\|/M - 1 \leq \|T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U)\| \leq M\|v\| + 1.$$

Then, the net  $(T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U))_{U \in \mathcal{V}_k} \subset X$  is bounded and since  $X$  is finite-dimensional, we may assume that  $T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U) \rightarrow w_0$ , for some  $w_0 \in X$ . Moreover, we have

$$(3-3) \quad \|w_0\| \geq \|v\|/M - 1.$$

The vector  $w_0$  will be later used to define  $w$ .

Now, let us see that  $(s_U)_{U \in \mathcal{V}_k}$  admits a convergent subnet. It follows by the claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-4) \quad \limsup \|Tf(s_U) - T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U)\| &\leq \limsup \|Tf - T(v \cdot f_U)\| \\
 &\leq M \limsup \|f - v \cdot f_U\| + 1 \\
 &\leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(K, X).
 \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $f_0 \in C_0(K, X)$  such that  $\|f_0\| = \|v\|/2$  and  $f_0(k) = v/2$ . Then  $\omega(k, f_0, v) = \|v\|/2$  and, by (3-3) and (3-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-5) \quad \liminf \|Tf_0(s_U)\| &\geq \liminf \|T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U)\| - \limsup \|Tf_0(s_U) - T(v \cdot f_U)(s_U)\| \\
 &\geq \|w_0\| - (M\omega(k, f_0, v) + 1) \\
 &\geq \|v\|/M - 1 - (M\|v\|/2 + 1) \\
 &= \|v\|(1/M - M/2) - 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M^2 < S(X) \leq 2$ , we have that  $1/M - M/2 > 0$ , and then, there exists  $r_0$  depending only on  $M$  such that for  $\|v\| > r_0$ , we have

$$\liminf \|Tf_0(s_U)\| > 0.$$

Since  $Tf_0$  vanishes at infinity, it follows that  $(s_U)_{U \in \mathcal{V}_k}$  admits a convergent subnet, so we may assume that  $s_U \rightarrow s$ . By (3-4), we derive that

$$(3-6) \quad \|Tf(s) - w_0\| \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(K, X).$$

Define  $w = \alpha_0 w_0$ , with  $\alpha_0 = (\|v\|/M - 1)/\|w_0\|$ . We have that  $\|w\| = \|v\|/M - 1$  and, by (3-3),  $\alpha_0 \leq 1$ .

We will conclude the proof by showing that (3-6) is also satisfied for  $w$  instead of  $w_0$ . Given  $f \in C_0(K, X)$ , notice that

$$\|Tf(s)\| \leq \|Tf\| \leq M\|f\| + 1 \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1,$$

then, by (3-6),

$$\|Tf(s) - w\| \leq \alpha_0 \|Tf(s) - w_0\| + (1 - \alpha_0) \|Tf(s)\| \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1. \quad \square$$

From now on, we consider  $r_0$  given by Proposition 3.1 to be fixed. Since  $r_0$  depends only on  $M$ , this same constant works for the sets  $\Lambda_v(s, w)$ .

#### 4. The special sets $\Gamma_w(k, v)$ when $M^2 < S(X)$

In this section we state a fundamental proposition concerning the special sets  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$  associated to the  $(M, 1)$ -quasi-isometry  $T$  that we are considering.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists  $r_1 > r_0$ , depending only on  $M$  and  $S(X)$ , such that, for all  $k \in K$ ,  $v \in X$  and  $v' \in X$  with  $\|v\| > r_1$  and  $\|v - v'\| < 1$ , if  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, -v)$*



for some  $w \in X$  and  $s' \in \Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$  for some  $w' \in X$ , then  $s = s'$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $s \neq s'$ . Then, fix  $g \in C_0(S, X)$  such that

$$(4-1) \quad g(s) = -w, \quad g(s') = -w' \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\| = \max\{\|w\|, \|w'\|\}.$$

By applying the definitions of the sets  $\Gamma_w(k, -v)$  and  $\Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$ , respectively, to  $T^{-1}g$ , we get the inequalities

$$(4-2) \quad 2\|w\| = \|T(T^{-1}g)(s) - w\| \leq M\omega(k, T^{-1}g, -v) + 1,$$

and

$$(4-3) \quad 2\|w'\| = \|T(T^{-1}g)(s') - w'\| \leq M\omega(k, T^{-1}g, v') + 1.$$

Since  $\|w\| = \|v\|/M - 1$ , by (4-2) we obtain

$$(4-4) \quad \frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M\omega(k, T^{-1}g, -v) + 3,$$

and since  $\|w'\| = \|v'\|/M - 1$  and  $\|v - v'\| < 1$ , according to (4-3) we have

$$(4-5) \quad \frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M\omega(k, T^{-1}g, v') + 3 + \frac{2}{M},$$

and again by  $\|v - v'\| < 1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(k, T^{-1}g, v') &= \max\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \|T^{-1}g(k) - v'\|\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \|T^{-1}g(k) - v\|\} + 1 \\ &= \omega(k, T^{-1}g, v) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to (4-5) we deduce that

$$(4-6) \quad \frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M\omega(k, T^{-1}g, v) + 3 + M + \frac{2}{M}.$$

Thus, putting  $\Delta = 3 + M + 2/M$ , it follows from (4-4) and (4-6) that

$$\frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M \min\{\omega(k, T^{-1}g, -v), \omega(k, T^{-1}g, v)\} + \Delta.$$

That is,  $2\|v\|/M$  is less than or equal to

$$M \min\{\max\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \|T^{-1}g(k) + v\|\}, \max\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \|T^{-1}g(k) - v\|\}\} + \Delta.$$

Then, by using the identity of real numbers  $a, b$  and  $c$ ,

$$\min\{\max\{a, b\}, \max\{a, c\}\} = \max\{a, \min\{b, c\}\},$$

with

$$a = \|T^{-1}g\|, \quad b = \|T^{-1}g(k) + v\| \quad \text{and} \quad c = \|T^{-1}g(k) - v\|,$$

we have that

$$\frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M \max\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \min\{\|T^{-1}g(k) + v\|, \|T^{-1}g(k) - v\|\}\} + \Delta.$$

Moreover, by applying [Lemma 2.1](#) with  $x = T^{-1}g(k)$  and  $y = v$  we conclude that

$$(4-7) \quad \frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq M \max\left\{\|T^{-1}g\|, \frac{2}{S(X)} \max\{\|T^{-1}g(k)\|, \|v\|\}\right\} + \Delta.$$

On the other hand, putting  $\Delta' = 2 - M$  and having in mind [\(4-1\)](#) we also infer that

$$(4-8) \quad \|T^{-1}g\| \leq M\|g\| + 1 = M \max\{\|w\|, \|w'\|\} + 1 \leq \|v\| + \Delta'.$$

Therefore by [\(4-7\)](#) and [\(4-8\)](#) we conclude that

$$\frac{2\|v\|}{M} \leq \frac{2M}{S(X)} (\|v\| + \Delta') + \Delta,$$

that is,

$$2\|v\| \left( \frac{1}{M} - \frac{M}{S(X)} \right) \leq \frac{2M\Delta'}{S(X)} + \Delta.$$

Since  $M^2 < S(X)$ , we have that  $1/M - M/S(X) > 0$ . Thus, there exists  $r_1 \geq r_0$ , depending only on  $M$  and  $S(X)$ , such that we have a contradiction for  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| > r_1$ .  $\square$

We consider  $r_1$  given by [Proposition 4.1](#) to be fixed. Since it depends only on  $M$  and  $S(X)$ , this same constant works for the sets  $\Lambda_v(s, w)$ . The following consequence of the previous proposition will allow us to define, in the next section, a function  $\varphi : K \rightarrow S$  which as we shall see in [Section 7](#) will be a homeomorphism between  $K$  and  $S$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *For all  $k \in K$ ,  $s, s' \in S$ , and  $v, v' \in X$ , with  $\|v\| > r_1$ ,  $\|v'\| > r_1$  and  $\|v - v'\| < 1$ , if  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v)$  and  $s' \in \Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$  for some  $w, w' \in X$ , then  $s = s'$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\|v\| > r_1 \geq r_0$ , by [Proposition 3.1](#) there exists  $w'' \in X$  such that  $\Gamma_{w''}(k, -v) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $s'' \in \Gamma_{w''}(k, -v)$ .

Observe that since  $s'' \in \Gamma_{w''}(k, -v)$  and  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v)$ , it follows by [Proposition 4.1](#) that  $s'' = s$ . Moreover, since  $s'' \in \Gamma_{w''}(k, -v)$  and  $s' \in \Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$ , again by [Proposition 4.1](#) we infer that  $s'' = s'$ . Hence  $s = s'$ .  $\square$

## 5. The functions $\varphi : K \rightarrow S$ and $\psi : S \rightarrow K$

In this section, we will begin to construct a homeomorphism between  $K$  and  $S$  via the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *For all  $k \in K$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that for all  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| > r_1$  and  $w \in X$ , either  $\Gamma_w(k, v) = \{s\}$  or  $\Gamma_w(k, v) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $k \in K$  and put  $A = \{v \in X : \|v\| > r_1\}$ . Hence, it suffices to prove that for any  $v, v' \in A$ , if  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v)$  and  $s' \in \Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$  for some  $w, w' \in X$ , then  $s = s'$ .

Suppose thus that  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v)$  and  $s' \in \Gamma_{w'}(k, v')$  for some  $w, w' \in X$ . We will distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.**  $X$  is of dimension at least 2. Therefore  $A$  is path-connected. So we may find points  $u_0, \dots, u_n$  in  $A$  such that  $u_0 = v'$ ,  $u_n = v$  and  $\|u_j - u_{j-1}\| < 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Put  $s_0 = s'$  and  $s_n = s$ . Moreover, according to [Proposition 3.1](#), for each  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , there exists  $s_j \in S$  and  $w_j \in X$  such that  $s_j \in \Gamma_{w_j}(k, u_j)$ .

For each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , since  $\|u_j - u_{j-1}\| < 1$ , [Corollary 4.2](#) implies that  $s_j = s_{j-1}$ . By using this fact repeatedly, we conclude that  $s' = s_1 = \dots = s_{n-1} = s$ .

**Case 2.**  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . In this case, fix  $w''$  such that  $\Gamma_{w''}(k, -v) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, using [Proposition 4.1](#) we have

$$\Gamma_{w''}(k, -v) = \Gamma_w(k, v) = \{s\}.$$

Since  $A = (-\infty, -r_1) \cup (r_1, +\infty)$ , there is a path in  $A$  connecting  $v'$  to either  $v$  or  $-v$ . Then, proceeding as in Case 1 we conclude that  $s' = s$ .  $\square$

Thus, we are able to define the function  $\varphi : K \rightarrow S$  where  $\varphi(k)$  is the element  $s$  given by [Proposition 5.1](#). By symmetry, we may also define a function  $\psi : S \rightarrow K$  such that  $\psi(s)$  is the element  $k$  given by the symmetric version of [Proposition 5.1](#).

To show that in fact  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are continuous and  $\psi^{-1} = \varphi$  we will still need to prove another property of the sets  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$ .

## 6. Another decisive property of the sets $\Gamma_w(k, v)$ when $M^2 < S(X)$

The next proposition will help us prove that functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  defined in the previous section are homeomorphisms provided that we change the number  $r_1$  in the statement of [Proposition 5.1](#) by another convenient number greater than it. See [Proposition 7.1](#).

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exists  $r_2 > r_1$ , depending only on  $M$  and  $S(X)$ , such that, for all  $k \in K$  and  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| > r_2$ , if  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v)$  for some  $w \in X$  and  $\Lambda_z(s, w) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $z \in X$ , then  $\Lambda_z(s, w) = \{k\}$ .*

*Proof.* Pick  $k' \in \Lambda_z(s, w)$  and we must show that  $k' = k$ . Suppose the contrary and fix  $f \in C_0(K, X)$  such that

$$(6-1) \quad f(k) = \frac{v}{2}, \quad f(k') = -\frac{\|v\|}{2\|z\|}z \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\| = \frac{\|v\|}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\omega(k, f, v) = \frac{\|v\|}{2}.$$

Applying the definition of  $\Gamma_w(k, v)$  to  $f$ , we see that

$$\|Tf(s) - w\| \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1 = \frac{M}{2}\|v\| + 1.$$

Moreover, since

$$\|Tf\| \leq M\|f\| + 1 = \frac{M}{2}\|v\| + 1,$$

it follows that

$$\omega(s, Tf, w) \leq \frac{M}{2}\|v\| + 1.$$

So, by applying the definition of  $\Lambda_z(s, w)$  to  $Tf$ , we have

$$(6-2) \quad \|f(k') - z\| = \|T^{-1}(Tf)(k') - z\| \leq M\omega(s, Tf, w) + 1 \leq \frac{M^2}{2}\|v\| + M + 1.$$

On the other hand, since  $\|w\| = \|v\|/M - 1$  and  $\|z\| = \|w\|/M - 1$  we obtain

$$\|z\| = \left(\frac{\|v\|}{M} - 1\right)\frac{1}{M} - 1 = \frac{\|v\|}{M^2} - \frac{1}{M} - 1.$$

Furthermore, according to (6-1),  $f(k')$  and  $z$  have opposite directions. Then

$$(6-3) \quad \|f(k') - z\| = \|f(k')\| + \|z\| = \frac{\|v\|}{2} + \frac{\|v\|}{M^2} - \frac{1}{M} - 1.$$

Therefore, putting  $\Delta'' = M + 2 + 1/M$ , by (6-2) and (6-3) we conclude that

$$(6-4) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{M^2}{2}\right)\|v\| \leq \Delta''.$$

Since  $M^2 < S(X) \leq 2$ , it can be easily seen that

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{M^2}{2} > 0.$$

So, there exists  $r_2 \geq r_1$  depending only on  $M$  and  $S(X)$  such that the inequality (6-4) fails to be true for  $v \in X$  with  $\|v\| > r_2$ , completing the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

As we did to  $r_0$  and  $r_1$ , we may fix  $r_2$  given by the Proposition 6.1, and it is clear that this constant also works for the for the sets  $\Lambda_v(s, w)$ .

### 7. The topological spaces $K$ and $S$ are homeomorphic

Observe that the statements of Proposition 3.1, Corollary 4.2, Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 6.1 remain true if we change  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  to  $r_2$ . Consider thus  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  defined as at the end of Section 5. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.1.** *The functions  $\varphi : K \rightarrow S$  and  $\psi : S \rightarrow K$  are continuous and  $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* First we will show that  $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ . Fix  $k \in K$ . By the definition of  $\varphi(k)$  there are  $v, w \in X$  with  $\|v\| > (r_2 + 1)M$  such that

$$\varphi(k) \in \Gamma_w(k, v).$$

Thus,  $\|w\| > r_2$  and by [Proposition 3.1](#) there exists  $z \in X$  satisfying  $\Lambda_z(\varphi(k), w) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, according to [Proposition 6.1](#) we know that

$$\Lambda_z(\varphi(k), w) = \{k\}.$$

Therefore, it follows by the definition of  $\psi$  that  $\psi(\varphi(k)) = k$ . Hence  $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{Id}_K$ . Analogously we deduce that  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_S$ .

We will now prove that  $\varphi$  is continuous. The proof that  $\psi$  is continuous is analogous. Observe that it suffices to prove that for each net  $(k_j)_{j \in J}$  of  $K$  converging to  $k \in K$ , the net  $(\varphi(k_j))_{j \in J}$  admits a subnet converging to  $\varphi(k)$ .

Assume then that  $(k_j)_{j \in J}$  is a net of  $K$  converging to  $k$ . For all  $j \in J$  take  $v_j$  and  $w_j$  such that  $\|v_j\| = c$ , for some  $c > r_2$ , and

$$(7-1) \quad \varphi(k_j) \in \Gamma_{w_j}(k_j, v_j).$$

Since the nets  $(v_j)_{j \in J}$  and  $(w_j)_{j \in J}$  are contained in compact sets, we may assume that there are  $v, w \in X$  such that  $v_j \rightarrow v$  and  $w_j \rightarrow w$ .

For each  $f \in C_0(K, X)$  we have

$$(7-2) \quad \omega(k_j, f, v_j) \rightarrow \omega(k, f, v),$$

and according to [\(7-1\)](#),

$$(7-3) \quad \|Tf(\varphi(k_j)) - w_j\| \leq M\omega(k_j, f, v_j) + 1, \quad \text{for all } j \in J.$$

Fix  $f_1 \in C_0(K, X)$  satisfying  $\|f_1\| = \|v\|/2$  and  $f_1(x) = v/2$ . Then [\(7-3\)](#) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_1(\varphi(k_j))\| &\geq \|w_j\| - \|Tf_1(\varphi(k_j)) - w_j\| \\ &\geq \frac{c}{M} - M\omega(k_j, f_1, v_j) - 2, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $j \in J$ . Notice that  $\omega(k, f_1, v) = \|v\|/2 = c/2$ , so by [\(7-2\)](#) we have

$$\liminf_{j \in J} \|Tf_1(\varphi(k_j))\| \geq \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{M}{2}\right)c - 2,$$

and since  $c > r_2 \geq r_0$  and recalling [\(3-5\)](#), we obtain

$$\liminf_{j \in J} \|Tf_1(\varphi(k_j))\| > 0.$$

Since  $Tf_1$  vanishes at infinity, this implies that  $(\varphi(k_j))_{j \in J}$  admits a subnet converging to some  $s \in S$ , so we assume that  $\varphi(k_j) \rightarrow s$ . Hence, by [\(7-2\)](#) and [\(7-3\)](#),

$$\|Tf(s) - w\| \leq M\omega(k, f, v) + 1, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(K, X),$$

which means that  $s \in \Gamma_w(k, v) = \{\varphi(k)\}$ . Consequently  $s = \varphi(k)$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for carefully reading our manuscript and for making constructive comments that substantially helped improve the quality of the paper.

## References

- [Amir 1965] D. Amir, “On isomorphisms of continuous function spaces”, *Israel J. Math.* **3** (1965), 205–210. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Banach 1932] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monografie matematyczne **1**, Polish Sci., Warsaw, 1932. [Zbl](#)
- [Behrends 1979] E. Behrends, *M-structure and the Banach–Stone theorem*, Lecture Notes in Math. **736**, Springer, 1979. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Benyamini and Lindenstrauss 2000] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis, I*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. **48**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cambern 1967] M. Cambern, “On isomorphisms with small bound”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 1062–1066. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cambern 1970] M. Cambern, “Isomorphisms of  $C_0(Y)$  onto  $C(X)$ ”, *Pacific J. Math.* **35** (1970), 307–312. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Casini 1986] E. Casini, “About some parameters of normed linear spaces”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) **80**:1-2 (1986), 11–15. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cidral et al. 2015] F. C. Cidral, E. M. Galego, and M. A. Rincón-Villamizar, “Optimal extensions of the Banach–Stone theorem”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **430**:1 (2015), 193–204. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Clarkson 1936] J. A. Clarkson, “Uniformly convex spaces”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40**:3 (1936), 396–414. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cohen 1975] H. B. Cohen, “A bound-two isomorphism between  $C(X)$  Banach spaces”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (1975), 215–217. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Galego and Da Silva 2018] E. M. Galego and A. L. P. Da Silva, “Quasi-isometries of  $C_0(K, E)$  spaces which determine  $K$  for all Euclidean spaces  $E$ ”, *Stud. Math.* **243**:3 (2018), 233–242. [Zbl](#)
- [Galego and Porto da Silva 2016] E. M. Galego and A. L. Porto da Silva, “An optimal nonlinear extension of Banach–Stone theorem”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **271**:8 (2016), 2166–2176. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gao and Lau 1990] J. Gao and K.-S. Lau, “On the geometry of spheres in normed linear spaces”, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **48**:1 (1990), 101–112. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Godefroy et al. 2014] G. Godefroy, G. Lancien, and V. Zizler, “The non-linear geometry of Banach spaces after Nigel Kalton”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **44**:5 (2014), 1529–1583. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Górak 2011] R. Górak, “Coarse version of the Banach–Stone theorem”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377**:1 (2011), 406–413. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [James 1964] R. C. James, “Uniformly non-square Banach spaces”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **80** (1964), 542–550. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jarosz 1989] K. Jarosz, “Nonlinear generalizations of the Banach–Stone theorem”, *Studia Math.* **93**:2 (1989), 97–107. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jerison 1950] M. Jerison, “The space of bounded maps into a Banach space”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **52** (1950), 309–327. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Kalton 2008] N. J. Kalton, “The nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces”, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **21**:1 (2008), 7–60. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato et al. 2001] M. Kato, L. Maligranda, and Y. Takahashi, “On James and Jordan–von Neumann constants and the normal structure coefficient of Banach spaces”, *Studia Math.* **144**:3 (2001), 275–295. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Komuro et al. 2016] N. Komuro, K.-S. Saito, and R. Tanaka, “On the class of Banach spaces with James constant  $\sqrt{2}$ ”, *Math. Nachr.* **289**:8-9 (2016), 1005–1020. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schäffer 1976] J. J. Schäffer, *Geometry of spheres in normed spaces*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **20**, Dekker, New York, 1976. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Stone 1937] M. H. Stone, “Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41**:3 (1937), 375–481. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sundaresan 1973] K. Sundaresan, “Spaces of continuous functions into a Banach space”, *Studia Math.* **48** (1973), 15–22. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received January 6, 2018. Revised April 12, 2018.

ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IME  
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO  
SÃO PAULO  
BRAZIL

[eloi@ime.usp.br](mailto:eloi@ime.usp.br)

*Current address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IME  
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO  
RUA DO MATÃO 1010  
05508-090 SÃO PAULO-  
BRAZIL

ANDRÉ LUIS PORTO DA SILVA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IME  
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO  
SÃO PAULO  
BRAZIL

[porto@ime.usp.br](mailto:porto@ime.usp.br)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Wee Teck Gan  
Mathematics Department  
National University of Singapore  
Singapore 119076  
[matgwt@nus.edu.sg](mailto:matgwt@nus.edu.sg)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[matthias@math.ucla.edu](mailto:matthias@math.ucla.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2018 is US \$475/year for the electronic version, and \$640/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 297    No. 1    November 2018

---

On Legendre curves in normed planes	1
VITOR BALESTRO, HORST MARTINI and RALPH TEIXEIRA	
Remarks on critical metrics of the scalar curvature and volume functionals on compact manifolds with boundary	29
HALYSON BALTAZAR and ERNANI RIBEIRO, JR.	
Cherlin's conjecture for sporadic simple groups	47
FRANCESCA DALLA VOLTA, NICK GILL and PABLO SPIGA	
A characterization of round spheres in space forms	67
FRANCISCO FONTENELE and ROBERTO ALONSO NÚÑEZ	
A non-strictly pseudoconvex domain for which the squeezing function tends to 1 towards the boundary	79
JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS and ERLEND FORNÆSS WOLD	
An Amir–Cambern theorem for quasi-isometries of $C_0(K, X)$ spaces	87
ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO and ANDRÉ LUIS PORTO DA SILVA	
Weak amenability of Lie groups made discrete	101
SØREN KNUDBY	
A restriction on the Alexander polynomials of $L$ -space knots	117
DAVID KRATOVICH	
Stability of capillary hypersurfaces in a Euclidean ball	131
HAIZHONG LI and CHANGWEI XIONG	
Non-minimality of certain irregular coherent preminimal affinizations	147
ADRIANO MOURA and FERNANDA PEREIRA	
Interior gradient estimates for weak solutions of quasilinear $p$ -Laplacian type equations	195
TUOC PHAN	
Local unitary periods and relative discrete series	225
JERROD MANFORD SMITH	