

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**RIGIDITY THEOREMS OF HYPERSURFACES WITH
FREE BOUNDARY IN A WEDGE IN A SPACE FORM**

JUNCHEOL PYO

Volume 299 No. 2

April 2019

RIGIDITY THEOREMS OF HYPERSURFACES WITH FREE BOUNDARY IN A WEDGE IN A SPACE FORM

JUNCHEOL PYO

Dedicated to Professor Jaigyoung Choe in honor of his 65th birthday.

This paper presents some rigidity results about compact hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge in a space form. First, we prove that every compact immersed stable constant mean curvature hypersurface with free boundary in a wedge is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of the wedge. Second, we show that the same rigidity result holds for a compact embedded constant higher-order mean curvature hypersurface with free boundary in a wedge. Finally, we extend this result to a compact immersed hypersurface with free boundary in a wedge that has the additional property that the ratio of two higher-order mean curvatures is constant.

The same conclusions hold for a compact hypersurface with free boundary that lies in a half-space in a space form.

1. Introduction

The set of all points at a given positive intrinsic distance from a fixed point in a manifold will be called an *intrinsic sphere*. Intrinsic spheres in space forms have been characterized in a number of different ways. Among all hypersurfaces of a given volume bounding a domain in a space form, an intrinsic sphere has the least area; that is, it is the boundary of an isoperimetric domain in a space form. Every smooth boundary of an isoperimetric domain is a stable constant mean curvature (CMC) hypersurface. Barbosa and do Carmo [1984] proved that an intrinsic sphere in Euclidean space is the only closed stable immersed CMC hypersurface; Barbosa, do Carmo, and Eschenburg [Barbosa et al. 1988] extended this result to other space forms.

We call a hypersurface a *totally geodesic hypersurface* if all of its intrinsic geodesics are also geodesic curves in the ambient manifold. Totally geodesic hypersurfaces and intrinsic spheres are the only totally umbilic hypersurfaces. The

This research was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2017R1E1A1A03070495 and NRF-2017R1A5A1015722).

MSC2010: 53C24, 49Q10.

Keywords: intrinsic spheres, surfaces with free boundary, higher-order mean curvature.

mean curvature as well as the higher-order mean curvature are all constant on such a surface. Alexandrov [1962] proved that a closed embedded CMC hypersurface in Euclidean space must be an intrinsic sphere. This result has also been generalized to hyperbolic space and the open hemisphere. Ros [1988] generalized Alexandrov's results to a closed embedded hypersurface in Euclidean space of constant scalar curvature. Using the so-called Alexandrov reflection method, Korevaar [1988] gave another proof of Ros' result and extended it to the hyperbolic space and the open hemisphere. Ros [1987] generalized Alexandrov's result to hypersurfaces of constant higher-order mean curvature in Euclidean space; Montiel and Ros [1991] settled Alexandrov's result for other space forms.

Koh and Lee [2001] characterized intrinsic spheres in a space form in terms of the ratio of two higher-order mean curvatures. They proved that a closed hypersurface in a space form is an intrinsic sphere if it has constant ratio H_r/H_l , where $l < r$, and nonvanishing H_l , where H_r is the r -th order mean curvature of the hypersurface.

It is natural to extend the above results for closed surfaces to compact surfaces with nonempty boundary in a domain. When the domain is a ball, Nitsche [1985] showed that an immersed disk-type CMC surface in a ball which intersects the boundary sphere orthogonally is part of a sphere, and Souam [1997] extended Nitsche's result to other space forms. Presently, only partial results are known for higher-dimensional stable CMC hypersurfaces in a ball [Ros and Vergasta 1995; Souam 1997].

Recently, when the domain is a wedge in Euclidean space, López [2014] showed that a compact connected CMC surface orthogonally meeting the boundary of the wedge in \mathbb{R}^3 is part of sphere if it is either stable or embedded. In this paper, we extend López's results both to other space forms and to a higher-dimensional case. We now establish some notation that will be used throughout the remainder of this paper.

Let $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional simply connected space form of constant sectional curvature k . By changing the metric conformally we may assume that $k=0$ or $k=\pm 1$; that is, $\bar{M}^{n+1}(0) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1) = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, and $\bar{M}^{n+1}(1) = \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. When $k=1$, we consider the open hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1} rather than the whole sphere.

Let Π_1 and Π_2 be two totally geodesics in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ which intersect. By Π_1 and Π_2 , $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ is divided into four connected domains. Choosing any of the four domains and then taking closure of the domain, we have a wedge-shaped closed connected domain $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$. For simplicity, we refer to W as a *wedge*. Let M^n be an n -dimensional compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary ∂M . Let $\psi : M \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be an isometric immersion, and we identify M with $\psi(M)$. In this paper, we consider a hypersurface M in a wedge W , which means that there exists an immersion $\psi : M \rightarrow W$ such that $\psi(\text{int}(M)) \subset \text{int}(W)$ and $\psi(\partial M) \subset \partial W$, where ∂W is the boundary of W and $\text{int}(A)$ denotes the interior of a set A . The $(n-1)$ -dimensional totally geodesic

$E = \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$ is called the *edge* of W . Since we consider the open hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1} rather than the whole sphere, for $k = 1$, the edge is a connected totally geodesic. In the other cases ($k = 0, -1$), clearly the edge is a connected one. Throughout this paper, we assume that $\partial M \cap (\Pi_1 \setminus E) \neq \emptyset \neq \partial M \cap (\Pi_2 \setminus E)$ and all hypersurfaces are connected. We call M a *hypersurface with free boundary* in W when M intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M .

First, in Section 3, we prove:

A compact immersed stable CMC hypersurface with free boundary in a wedge in a space form is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of the wedge.

This is a generalization of Barbosa, do Carmo, and Eschenburg's results [Barbosa and do Carmo 1984; Barbosa et al. 1988] for hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge. A CMC hypersurface M in a wedge W is called a *capillary* hypersurface if M meets the boundary of W with a constant angle along ∂M . McCuan [1997] and Park [2005] showed that a capillary surface in a wedge that is topologically an annulus is part of a sphere. Recently, Choe and Koiso [2016] proved that a compact capillary hypersurface in a wedge that is disjoint from the edge is part of an intrinsic sphere if the boundary of the capillary surface is embedded for the surface case, or if the boundary is convex for the higher-dimensional case. More results and more physical explanation for capillary surfaces can be found in [Concus and Finn 1998; Concus et al. 2001; Finn 1986].

Ros [1987] obtained an interesting inequality for closed hypersurfaces of positive mean curvature. When the mean curvature is a positive constant, a linear isoperimetric inequality for closed hypersurfaces of nonvanishing mean curvature is satisfied. This inequality was extended to other space forms by Brendle [2013] and Qiu and Xia [2015] in different ways. In Section 4, we extend these results to compact hypersurfaces with nonempty boundary. Besides Reilly's formula, somewhat surprisingly, many geometric and rigidity results can be deduced from the so-called Minkowski formula; see, for example, [Koh 1998; Montiel and Ros 1991; Ros 1987]. Montiel and Ros [1991] extended the Minkowski formula in space forms. In Section 5, we extend the Minkowski formula for closed hypersurfaces to hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge. Choe and Park [2011] extended the Minkowski formula for hypersurfaces with free boundary in a cone in Euclidean space. Second, in Section 6, we extend the result of [Montiel and Ros 1991] to hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge using a Ros-type inequality and a Minkowski-type formula for compact hypersurfaces with free boundary:

A compact embedded constant higher-order mean curvature hypersurface with free boundary in a wedge in a space form is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of the wedge.

In the last section, we extend the results of [Koh and Lee 2001] to hypersurfaces with free boundary. In this case, the same rigidity holds when the hypersurface lies in the wedge near the boundary. More precisely, we prove:

If a compact immersed hypersurface has nonempty boundary such that near the boundary the hypersurface meets the boundary of a wedge orthogonally along the boundary, then it is part of an intrinsic sphere if H_l does not vanish and the ratio H_r/H_l is constant for $0 < l < r$.

Note that there are no a priori restrictions on the topology of the hypersurface M ; that is, it may have some genus or boundary components. Also note that the proof works in the case that the boundary ∂M lies in a hyperplane, that is, we obtain similar results for M in a half-space in a space form.

2. Preliminaries

For $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, when $k = -1$, use the hyperboloid model and when $k = 1$, take the usual embedding to \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . More precisely, let \mathbb{L}^{n+2} be the $(n+2)$ -dimensional Lorentz–Minkowski space with the Lorentzian metric

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1}y_{n+1} - x_{n+2}y_{n+2}.$$

Then, $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1) \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ is defined as

$$\{x \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2} \mid |x|^2 = -1, x_{n+2} \geq 1\}.$$

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be an immersion. If $k = -1$, we regard this immersion as $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$, and if $k = 1$ we regard it as $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$.

Denote by $\bar{\nabla}$, $\bar{\Delta}$, and $\bar{\nabla}^2$ the gradient, the Laplacian, and the Hessian on $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, respectively, and denote by ∇ , Δ , N , σ , and H the gradient, the Laplacian, the unit outward normal vector field whenever this makes sense, the second fundamental form, and the normalized mean curvature on $M \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, respectively. Let dV , dA , and ds be canonical measures of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, M , and ∂M , respectively.

We recall the formal definition of stability of CMC hypersurfaces; see [Barbosa et al. 1988; Ros and Vergasta 1995; Souam 1997] for further details. Let $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a wedge. A CMC hypersurface with free boundary in W arises from a critical point of the area functional for all volume-preserving variations in W . More precisely, let $\psi : M \rightarrow W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be an immersion such that $\psi(\text{int}(M)) \subset \text{int}(W)$ and $\psi(\partial M) \subset \partial W$. A variation of ψ is a smooth family of proper hypersurfaces in W given by a 1-parameter family of immersions $\Psi_t : M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow W$ with $\Psi_0 = \psi$.

The area function is defined by

$$A(t) = \int_M dA_t,$$

where dA_t is the volume form of $\Psi_t(M)$. The volume function enclosing the space between $\psi(M)$ and $\Psi_t(M)$ is defined by

$$V(t) = \int_{M \times [0,t]} \Psi^* dV,$$

where dV is the volume form of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$. The variation is said to be *volume-preserving* if $V(t) = V(0)$ for all t .

With the associated variational vector field $Y = (\partial\Psi/\partial t)|_{t=0}$, the first variation formulas of the area and the volume are

$$(1) \quad A'(0) = -n \int_M Hf \, dA + \int_{\partial M} \langle Y, \nu \rangle \, ds,$$

$$(2) \quad V'(0) = \int_M f \, dA,$$

where $f = \langle Y, N \rangle$. The variation is called *normal* if $Y = fN$, and *admissible* if $\Psi_t(\text{int}(M)) \subset \text{int}(W)$ and $\Psi_t(\partial M) \subset \partial W$ for all t .

From (1) and (2), ψ is a critical point of the area functional $A(t)$ for all volume-preserving and admissible variations if and only if $\psi(M) \subset W$ is a CMC hypersurface with free boundary.

By a standard computation, the second variation formula of any admissible volume-preserving normal variation is

$$A''(0) = - \int_M (f \Delta f + (|\sigma|^2 + kn)f^2) \, dA + \int_{\partial M} \left(f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} - II(N, N)f^2 \right) \, ds,$$

where II is the second fundamental form of ∂W in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$.

A stationary immersion $\psi : M \rightarrow W$ is called *stable* if $A''(0) \geq 0$ for any admissible volume-preserving normal variation of ψ . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \in H^1(M) \mid \int_M f \, dA = 0\}$, where $H^1(M)$ denotes the first Sobolev space of M , and we define the *index form* \mathcal{I} of ψ as the symmetric bilinear form on $H^1(M)$ given by

$$\mathcal{I}(f, g) = \int_M (\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle - (|\sigma|^2 + kn)fg) \, dA - \int_{\partial M} II(N, N)fg \, ds.$$

It follows that the stationary immersion ψ is stable if and only if $\mathcal{I}(f, f) \geq 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

3. Stable CMC surfaces with free boundary in a wedge

Theorem 1. *Let W be a wedge in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$. If M is a compact immersed stable CMC ($H \neq 0$) hypersurface with free boundary in W , then it is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of W .*

Proof. Suppose the wedge W is determined by Π_1 and Π_2 and the edge E is given by $E = \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$. By an isometry in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, we assume that E contains the

origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for $k = 0$ case, the north pole $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ for $k = 1$ case and the point $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ for $k = -1$ case. Let η_i , $i = 1, 2$, be the unit normal vector of Π_i , $i = 1, 2$, outward-pointing with respect to W . Let N be the unit normal vector field of M . Let ν be the outward unit conormal vector field along ∂M which means that ν is tangential to M and normal to ∂M . The free boundary condition implies that $\nu = \eta_i$ on $\partial M \cap \Pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

In \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , \mathbb{H}^{n+1} , and \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1} , the only totally umbilic hypersurfaces are the geodesic hypersurfaces and the intrinsic spheres; see Chapter 7 of [Spivak 1975]. Since M is assumed to satisfy $\partial M \cap \Pi_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \partial M \cap \Pi_2$, the only possibility to be a compact surface with free boundary in a wedge is that M is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point on the edge $E \subset W$. So, we claim that M is totally umbilic. Proving this claim completes the proof.

Case: $k = 0$. Let $h = \langle \psi, N \rangle$ be the support function of ψ . From a direct computation in [Barbosa and do Carmo 1984, Lemma 3.5], we have

$$(3) \quad \Delta h = nH - |\sigma|^2 h.$$

Since Π_1 and Π_2 are totally geodesics and M intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M , ν is a principal direction of ψ along ∂M . More precisely, it follows that, for any tangent vector field X of ∂M , we have

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu N, X \rangle = -\langle \sigma(X, \nu), N \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X \nu, N \rangle = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that ν is constant on ∂M . Hence, for a function λ ,

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nu} = \langle \nu, N \rangle + \langle \psi, \bar{\nabla}_\nu N \rangle = \langle \nu, N \rangle + \langle \psi, \lambda \nu \rangle = 0.$$

Integrating (3) on M and applying Stokes' theorem, by (4) we have

$$(5) \quad \int_M nH - |\sigma|^2 h \, dA = 0.$$

From a direct computation, we have

$$\Delta |\psi|^2 = 2n(1 - H \langle \psi, N \rangle);$$

by integrating on M and applying Stokes' theorem, we obtain

$$\int_M (1 - H \langle \psi, N \rangle) \, dA = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial M} \langle \psi, \nu \rangle \, ds.$$

Since E contains the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $\nu = \eta_i$ on Π_i , $i = 1, 2$, respectively, $\langle \psi, \nu \rangle = 0$ on ∂M . So, for a hypersurface with free boundary, we also have the Minkowski-type formula

$$\int_M (1 - H \langle \psi, N \rangle) \, dA = 0.$$

Set $u = 1 - Hh$, $u \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\partial u / \partial \nu = 0$ by (4) and $II \equiv 0$ on ∂W , the second variation formula becomes

$$A''(0) = - \int_M (u \Delta u + |\sigma|^2 u^2) dA.$$

From a direct computation using (3), $u \Delta u + |\sigma|^2 u^2 = u(|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathcal{I}(u, u) &= - \int_M (u \Delta u + |\sigma|^2 u^2) dA && \text{(by stability condition)} \\ &= - \int_M ((1 - Hh)(|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)) dA \\ &= - \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2) dA + \int_M nH^2 dA - \int_M nH^3 h dA && \text{(by (5))} \\ &= - \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2) dA + nH^2 \int_M u dA \\ &= - \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2) dA \leq 0 && \text{(by } nH^2 \leq |\sigma|^2 \text{).} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|\sigma|^2 = nH^2$ on M ; that is, all points of M are umbilic.

From now on we consider the case $k \neq 0$. We first recall the following identities [Barbosa et al. 1988, Lemma 3.3]:

$$(6) \quad \Delta \psi = -nHN - kn\psi,$$

$$(7) \quad \Delta N = -|\sigma|^2 N - knH\psi.$$

Case: $k = 1$. Let $\bar{\psi} = \int_M \psi dA$ and $\bar{N} = \int_M N dA$. We claim that \bar{N} belongs to the vector space spanned by $\{\bar{\psi}, \eta_1, \eta_2\}$.

Integrating (6) and applying Stokes' theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -nH \int_M N dA &= n \int_M \psi dA + \int_M \Delta \psi dA \\ &= n\bar{\psi} + \text{Vol}(\partial M \cap \Pi_1)\eta_1 + \text{Vol}(\partial M \cap \Pi_2)\eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \bar{N} is spanned by $\{\bar{\psi}, \eta_1, \eta_2\}$, completing the claim.

Now, choose $n - 1$ vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} such that

$$\langle \bar{\psi}, v_i \rangle = \langle \eta_1, v_i \rangle = \langle \eta_2, v_i \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Clearly, $\langle \bar{N}, v_i \rangle = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, define $f_i = \langle \psi, v_i \rangle$ and $g_i = \langle N, v_i \rangle$. Since $\langle \bar{\psi}, v_i \rangle = \langle \bar{N}, v_i \rangle = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we have $\int_M f_i dA = \int_M g_i dA = 0$.

From (6) and (7), for each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we deduce

$$(8) \quad \Delta f_i + n f_i = -nH g_i,$$

$$(9) \quad \Delta g_i + |\sigma|^2 g_i = -nH f_i.$$

Recall ν is the outward unit conormal vector field along ∂M and $\eta_i = \nu$ on $\partial M \cap \Pi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Along the boundary ∂M ,

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle \psi, v_i \rangle = \langle \nu, v_i \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Since ν is a principal direction of ψ along ∂M , for a function λ ,

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle N, v_i \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_\nu N, v_i \rangle = \langle \lambda \nu, v_i \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

By combining (8)–(11), for each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, the index form is

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{I}(f_i, f_i) = n \int_M H f_i g_i \, dA - \int_M |\sigma|^2 f_i^2 \, dA,$$

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{I}(g_i, g_i) = n \int_M H f_i g_i \, dA - n \int_M g_i^2 \, dA,$$

and summing up,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}(f_i, f_i) + \mathcal{I}(g_i, g_i) && \text{(by stability condition)} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_M (|\sigma|^2 f_i^2 - 2nHf_i g_i + ng_i^2) \, dA \right) \\ (14) \quad &\leq -n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_M (H^2 f_i^2 - 2Hf_i g_i + g_i^2) \, dA \right) && \text{(by } nH^2 \leq |\sigma|^2 \text{)} \\ &= -n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_M (Hf_i - g_i)^2 \, dA \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since inequality (14) turns to equality, we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2) f_i^2 \, dA = 0,$$

and by $nH^2 \leq |\sigma|^2$ again, we obtain

$$(16) \quad (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i^2 \right) = 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, the zero set of f_i in M is the set of points that belong to M and the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid \langle x, v_i \rangle = 0\}$, so, the zero set of $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i^2$ in M is the set of points that belong to M and the three-dimensional subspace which is orthogonal to $\{v_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

For $n \geq 3$, the zero set of $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i^2$ in M has measure zero in M . From (15), $|\sigma|^2 = nH^2$ on M ; that is, M is totally umbilic. For the surfaces case ($n = 2$), we

use the reductio ad absurdum argument. Suppose M is not totally umbilic, then the umbilic points are isolated by the holomorphic Hopf differential. By (15), $f_1 \equiv 0$ on M , and it follows that M is a surface in a three-dimensional subspace that is orthogonal to v_1 and hence it is totally geodesic, a contradiction. Therefore, M is totally umbilic. This completes the claim when $k = 1$.

Case: $k = -1$. Let $v = (0, \dots, 1) \in E$. Define $f = \langle \psi, v \rangle$ and $g = \langle N, v \rangle$. By (6) and (7), a direct computation yields

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f^2 = f \Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 = -nHfg + nf^2 + |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta g^2 = g \Delta g + |\nabla g|^2 = -|\sigma|^2 g^2 + nHfg + |\nabla g|^2,$$

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(fg) &= f \Delta g + g \Delta f + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \\ &= -|\sigma|^2 fg + nHf^2 - nHg^2 + nfg + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} H^2 \Delta f^2 - H \Delta(fg) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta g^2 = |H\nabla f - \nabla g|^2 - (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)(g^2 - Hfg).$$

Recall $\eta_i = v$ on $\partial M \cap \Pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Along the boundary ∂M ,

$$(20) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \langle \psi, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle = 0.$$

Similar to the case when $k = 0$, v is a principal direction of ψ along ∂M . Hence,

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \langle N, v \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_v N, v \rangle = 0.$$

From (20) and (21), we get $\int_M \frac{1}{2} H^2 \Delta f^2 - H \Delta(fg) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta g^2 dA = 0$, and hence

$$(22) \quad \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)(g^2 - Hfg) dA = \int_M |H\nabla f - \nabla g|^2 dA.$$

Define $u = Hg - f$. Since $u = Hg - f = -\frac{1}{n} \Delta f$ and by Stokes' theorem,

$$(23) \quad \int_M u dA = -\frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial M} \langle v, v \rangle ds = 0.$$

From (22) and (23),

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(u, u) &= \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)(Hfg - f^2) dA \\ &= \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)(g^2 - f^2) dA - \int_M |H\nabla f - \nabla g|^2 dA. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify computations, we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_A | A = 0, \dots, n+1\}$ around a point $\psi(p)$, $p \in M$, such that $e_0 = \psi$, $e_{n+1} = N$ and e_1, \dots, e_n are tangential to $\psi(M)$.

With this frame,

$$v = -\langle \psi, v \rangle \psi + \langle N, v \rangle N + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, v \rangle e_i,$$

and

$$\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, v \rangle e_i.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -1 = \langle v, v \rangle &= -\langle \psi, v \rangle^2 + \langle N, v \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, v \rangle^2 \\ &= -f^2 + g^2 + |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(25) \quad g^2 - f^2 = -(1 + |\nabla f|^2).$$

By (24) and (25),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathcal{I}(u, u) & \hspace{15em} \text{(by stability condition)} \\ &= - \int_M (|\sigma|^2 - nH^2)(1 + |\nabla f|^2) dA - \int_M |H\nabla f - \nabla g|^2 dA \\ &\leq 0 \hspace{15em} \text{(from } nH^2 \leq |\sigma|^2), \end{aligned}$$

that is, all points of M are umbilic, and hence, the conclusion for the case $k = -1$ follows. □

Observe that the proof also holds when the boundary ∂M lies in a hyperplane. This gives rise to the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a half-space in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ determined by a hyperplane P . Let M be a compact immersed stable CMC hypersurface with free boundary in \mathcal{H} . Then M is an intrinsic hemisphere centered at a point of P .*

4. Ros-type inequality

In this section, we extend the Ros-type inequality for closed hypersurfaces to compact hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge.

Theorem 3. *Let $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a wedge, and E be the edge of W . Let M be a compact embedded hypersurface with free boundary in W . Let Ω be the compact domain enclosed by M and ∂W . Defining $r(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)}(x, v)$ for a fixed point $v \in E$,*

$$V_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \cos r(x) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \cosh r(x) & \text{if } k = -1. \end{cases}$$

If the mean curvature H is positive on M , then

$$(26) \quad \int_M \frac{V_k}{H} dA \geq (n + 1) \int_\Omega V_k dV,$$

and equality holds if and only if M is part of an intrinsic sphere.

Proof. Take $\Omega_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ to be a domain with a smooth boundary obtained from Ω by rounding off the singular part of $\partial\Omega$ in a small distance $\epsilon > 0$. Let N be the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$; it is the same one on M as in the previous section.

From a direct computation, $\bar{\nabla}^2 V_k = -kV_k g$, where g is the metric of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$. For any smooth function f on Ω_ϵ , the Reilly-type formula is given by

$$(27) \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k \left((\bar{\Delta} f + k(n + 1)f)^2 - |\bar{\nabla}^2 f + kfg|^2 \right) dV \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon} V_k (2u \Delta z + nHu^2 + \sigma(\nabla z, \nabla z) + 2nkuz) dA \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon} \bar{\nabla}_N V_k (|\nabla z|^2 - nkz^2) dA,$$

where $z = f|_M$ and $u = \bar{\nabla}_N f$. Equation (27) is a particular case of the general Reilly-type formula in a Riemannian manifold; see [Qiu and Xia 2015, Theorem 1.1].

Case: $k = 0$. Let $f : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to the mixed boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\Delta} f = 1 & \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon, \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W, \\ u = \partial f / \partial N = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W. \end{cases}$$

Equation (27) becomes the classical Reilly formula

$$(28) \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} ((\bar{\Delta} f)^2 - |\bar{\nabla}^2 f|^2) dV = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} nHu^2 dA + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W} \sigma(\nabla z, \nabla z) dA.$$

Since ∂W is composed of part of a totally geodesic, $\sigma \equiv 0$ on ∂W . From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (28) becomes

$$(29) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega_\epsilon)}{n + 1} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} Hu^2 dA.$$

On the other hand,

$$(30) \quad (\text{Vol}(\Omega_\epsilon))^2 = \left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \bar{\Delta} f dV \right)^2 = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} u dA \right)^2 \\ \leq \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} Hu^2 dA \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} \frac{1}{H} dA \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega_\epsilon)}{n + 1} \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} \frac{1}{H} dA,$$

where the first inequality comes from the Hölder inequality and the second inequality is a consequence of (29). Therefore, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (26).

When equality occurs, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that the Hessian $\bar{\nabla}^2 f$ is proportional to the identity matrix. Because $\bar{\Delta} f = 1$ on Ω , $\bar{\nabla}^2 f = \frac{1}{n}g$ in Ω . With $f = 0$ on M , the conclusion follows from the Obata-type result that M is part of an intrinsic sphere. This completes the proof when $k = 0$; see [Reilly 1980, Theorem B].

Case: $k \neq 0$. Let $f : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to the mixed boundary value problem

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\Delta} f + k(n + 1)f = 1 & \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon, \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W, \\ u = \partial f / \partial N = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W. \end{cases}$$

From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$(32) \quad \frac{n}{n + 1} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k (\bar{\Delta} f + k(n + 1)f)^2 dV \geq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k (|\bar{\Delta} f + k(n + 1)f|^2 - |\bar{\nabla}^2 f + kfg|^2) dV.$$

We deal with $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ in two parts, $\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W$ and $\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W$.

On $\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W$, $z = f|_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} = 0$, and

$$(33) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} V_k (2u \Delta z + nHu^2 + \sigma(\nabla z, \nabla z) + 2nkuz) dA + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} \bar{\nabla}_N V_k (|\nabla z|^2 - nkz^2) dA = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} nV_k Hu^2 dA.$$

On $\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W$, $u = 0$. Since ∂W is part of a totally geodesic, $\sigma(\nabla z, \nabla z) = 0$. Since $N = \eta_i$ on Π_i , $i = 1, 2$, and $\bar{\nabla} r(x) \subset \partial W$, we have $V_k(x) = \cos r(x)$ and $\bar{\nabla}_N V_k = -\sin r(x)g(\bar{\nabla} r(x), N) = 0$ or $V_k(x) = \cosh r(x)$ and $\bar{\nabla}_N V_k = -\sinh r(x)g(\bar{\nabla} r(x), N) = 0$ on ∂W . Then, we obtain

$$(34) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W} V_k (2u \Delta z + nHu^2 + \sigma(\nabla z, \nabla z) + 2nkuz) dA + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W} \bar{\nabla}_N V_k (|\nabla z|^2 - nkz^2) dA = 0.$$

Then, from (31)–(34), we have

$$(35) \quad \frac{1}{n + 1} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k dV \geq \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} V_k Hu^2 dA.$$

Because $\bar{\Delta} V_k = -(n + 1)kV_k$ and $\bar{\nabla}_N V_k = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \partial W$, the Green’s formula implies

$$(36) \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k dV = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} V_k u dA.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k dV \right)^2 &= \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} V_k u dA \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} V_k H u^2 dA \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} \frac{V_k}{H} dA \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} V_k dV \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial W} \frac{V_k}{H} dA,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the Hölder inequality and the second inequality follows from (35).

Therefore, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain (26).

Combining (32) and (35)–(37) and the equality in (26),

$$|\bar{\nabla}^2 f + kfg|^2 = \frac{1}{n+1} (\bar{\Delta} f + k(n+1)f)^2.$$

Since $\bar{\Delta} f + k(n+1)f = 1$, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \left(f + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = -k \left(f + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) g \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

With $f + 1/(n+1) = 1/(n+1)$ on M , the conclusion follows from the Obata-type result [Reilly 1980, Theorem B] that M is part of an intrinsic sphere. \square

The above result is counterpart of the Ros-type inequality for closed hypersurfaces in [Brendle 2013, Theorem 3.5]. Qiu and Xia [2015] also gave another proof of a Ros-type inequality for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds which include space forms.

If the boundary of the compact hypersurface lies in a hyperplane of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ we conclude an analogous result:

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a half-space in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ determined by a hyperplane P . Let M be a compact embedded hypersurface with free boundary in \mathcal{H} . Let Ω be the compact domain enclosed by M and P . Defining $r(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)}(x, v)$ for a fixed point $v \in P$,*

$$V_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \cos r(x) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \cosh r(x) & \text{if } k = -1. \end{cases}$$

If the mean curvature H is positive on M , then

$$\int_M \frac{V_k}{H} dA \geq (n+1) \int_\Omega V_k dV,$$

and equality holds if and only if M is an intrinsic hemisphere centered at a point of P .

5. Minkowski-type formula

With the unit normal vector field N of M , we denote by κ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, the principal curvatures of M . For any $r = 1, \dots, n$, the *mean curvature of order r* , H_r , is defined by the identity

$$(38) \quad P_n(t) := (1 + \kappa_1 t) \cdots (1 + \kappa_n t) = 1 + \binom{n}{1} H_1 t + \cdots + \binom{n}{n} H_n t^n$$

for any real number t . Note that H_1 is the normalized mean curvature of M , H_2 is the scalar curvature of M up to a constant, and H_n is the Gauss–Kronecker curvature of M . For convenience, we define $H_0 = 1$.

For higher-order mean curvatures, the following inequalities hold:

Lemma 5. *If there is a point of M where all the principal curvatures are positive and $H_r > 0$, $r = 1, \dots, n$, on M , then:*

- (i) $H_l > 0$ if $l < r$.
- (ii) $H_r/H_l \leq H_{r-1}/H_{l-1}$ for any $l < r$.
- (iii) $H_s^{(s-1)/s} \leq H_{s-1}$ and $H_s^{1/s} \leq H_1 = H$, where equality holds only at umbilic points if $s > 1$.

Proof. For (i) and (iii), see, for example, Lemma 1 of [Montiel and Ros 1991]. For (ii), see, for example, Section 12 of [Beckenbach and Bellman 1961]. \square

Besides Reilly's formula, somewhat surprisingly, many geometric and rigidity results can be deduced from the so-called Minkowski formula; see, for example, [Montiel and Ros 1991; Ros 1987]. Montiel and Ros [1991] extended the Minkowski formula in space forms and gave another characterization of an intrinsic sphere. We now extend the Minkowski formula for closed hypersurfaces to hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge.

We include the proof of the Minkowski formula for closed hypersurfaces in space forms for the reader's convenience (see [Montiel and Ros 1991] for further details), and then generalize it to hypersurfaces with free boundary.

Case: $k = 0$. From a direct computation, we have

$$(39) \quad \Delta|\psi|^2 = 2n(1 - H\langle\psi, N\rangle).$$

For a real number t close enough to 0, the parallel hypersurface is given by

$$\psi_t = \exp_{\psi} tN = \psi + tN$$

and this is also an immersion.

If dA and $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ denote the volume form and the principal curvatures of $\psi(M)$, respectively, then the volume form of $\psi_t(M) = M_t$ is given by

$$dA_t = (1 + \kappa_1 t) \cdots (1 + \kappa_n t) dA = P_n(t) dA,$$

where P_n is as in (38). From a direct computation, the mean curvature $H(t)$ of M_t is

$$(40) \quad H(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{\kappa_i}{1 + \kappa_i t} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{P'_n(t)}{P_n(t)}.$$

Integrating (39) on M_t gives,

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M (1 - H(t)\langle \psi + tN, N \rangle) dA_t \\ &= \int_M \left(P_n(t) - \frac{t}{n} P'_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} P'_n(t)\langle \psi, N \rangle \right) dA, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (38) and (40). Because (41) holds for any real variable t , all of its coefficients vanish. As a result, we obtain the Minkowski-type identity

$$(42) \quad \int_M H_{r-1} - H_r \langle \psi, N \rangle dA = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

Case: $k \neq 0$. Because of the similarity between $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1)$ and $\bar{M}^{n+1}(1)$, we focus on $k = -1$. From a direct computation, for any $v \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$, we have

$$(43) \quad \Delta \langle \psi, v \rangle = n(\langle \psi, v \rangle - H \langle N, v \rangle),$$

and then, integration on M and applying the Stokes' theorem yield

$$(44) \quad \int_M (\langle \psi, v \rangle - H \langle N, v \rangle) dA = 0.$$

For a real number t close enough to 0, the parallel hypersurface is given by

$$\psi_t = \exp_\psi(tN) = \cosh t \psi + \sinh t N$$

and this is also an immersion.

If dA and $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ denote the volume form and the principal curvatures of $\psi(M)$, respectively, then the volume form of M_t is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dA_t &= (\cosh t + \kappa_1 \sinh t) \cdots (\cosh t + \kappa_n \sinh t) dA \\ &= \cosh^n t P_n(\tanh t) dA, \end{aligned}$$

where P_n is as in (38). From a direct computation, the mean curvature $H(t)$ of ψ_t is

$$(45) \quad H(t) = \frac{n \cosh t \sinh t P_n(\tanh t) + P'_n(\tanh t)}{n \cosh^2 t P_n(\tanh t)}.$$

Integrating (44) on M_t and using (38) and (45), we have

$$(46) \quad \int_M (nP_n(\tanh t) - \tanh t P'_n(\tanh t)) \langle \psi, v \rangle - P'_n(\tanh t) \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0.$$

Equation (46) holds for any variable $\tanh t$. By comparing its coefficients, we obtain the Minkowski-type identity

$$\int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle - H_r \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

Similarly for the case $k = 1$, we have the following identities:

Minkowski-type identity [Montiel and Ros 1991]. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a closed orientable immersed hypersurface. For any $r = 1, \dots, n$, the following hold:*

- (a) *If $k = 0$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} - H_r \langle \psi, N \rangle dA = 0$.*
- (b) *If $k = -1$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle - H_r \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0$ for any $v \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$.*
- (c) *If $k = 1$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle + H_r \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$.*

We extend the Minkowski-type identity to immersed hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge in a space form.

Proposition 6. *Let $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a wedge and E be the edge of W . Let M be a compact immersed hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ with $\partial M \subset \partial W$ such that near ∂M , M lies inside of W and perpendicular to ∂W . Then, for any $r = 1, \dots, n$ we obtain:*

- (a) *If $k = 0$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} - H_r \langle \psi, N \rangle dA = 0$.*
- (b) *If $k = -1$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle - H_r \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0$ for any $v \in E$.*
- (c) *If $k = 1$, then $\int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle + H_r \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0$ for any $v \in E$.*

Proof. By an isometry in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we assume that E contains the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For sufficiently small t , the parallel hypersurface $\psi_t(M) = M_t$ is an immersed hypersurface. Since W is a wedge and M is a hypersurface with free boundary, ∂M_t lies on ∂W and M_t intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M_t . Integrating (39) on M_t and applying Stokes' theorem, we have

$$(47) \quad \int_M \left(P_n(t) - \frac{t}{n} P'_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} P'_n(t) \langle \psi, N \rangle \right) dA = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{\partial |\psi + tN|^2}{\partial \nu_t} ds,$$

where ν_t is the outward unit conormal vector field to ∂M_t . Since ∂M_t lies on ∂W and M_t intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M_t , $\partial |\psi + tN|^2 / \partial \nu_t = 0$ on ∂M_t . Then (47) is the same as (41). The conclusion follows the same argument as that of the closed case.

Because of the similarity between the two cases ($k = \pm 1$), we consider only the case $k = -1$.

Recall η_i , $i = 1, 2$, is the unit normal vector of Π_i , $i = 1, 2$. By an isometry in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1)$, we assume that $v = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in E$ and $\langle v, \eta_i \rangle = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. For sufficiently small t , the parallel hypersurface $\psi_t(M) = M_t$ is an immersed

hypersurface. Since W is a wedge and M is a hypersurface with free boundary, ∂M_t lies on ∂W and M_t intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M_t .

Integrating (43) on M_t and applying Stokes' theorem give

$$(48) \quad \int_{M_t} (\langle \psi_t, v \rangle - H(t)\langle N_t, v \rangle) dA_t - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial M_t} \langle v_t, v \rangle ds = 0,$$

where v_t is the outward unit conormal vector field to ∂M_t .

Since M_t intersects ∂W orthogonally along ∂M_t , $v_t = \eta_i$ on $\partial M_t \cap \Pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and then, $\langle v_t, v \rangle \equiv 0$ on ∂M_t . Then (48) is the same as (44). The conclusion follows the same argument as that of the closed case. \square

Using the same argument, a similar result holds if the boundary of a hypersurface with free boundary lies in a hyperplane of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$.

6. Constant- H_r embedded hypersurfaces with free boundary

Theorem 7. *Let $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a wedge. Let $M \subset W$ be a compact embedded constant- H_r ($r = 1, \dots, n$) hypersurface with free boundary. Then M is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of W .*

Proof. Denote by Ω the compact domain enclosed by M and ∂W .

For the case $k = 0$, by an isometry in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we assume that E contains the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Because the unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega \cap \partial W$ is perpendicular to the position vector ψ ,

$$(49) \quad \text{Vol}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_M \langle \psi, N \rangle dA,$$

where $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ is the volume of Ω and N is the outward unit vector field of M .

From (a) of Proposition 6 and (49), we have

$$\int_M H_{r-1} dA = H_r \int_M \langle \psi, N \rangle dA = (n+1)H_r \text{Vol}(\Omega).$$

Denote by $S(r)$ the intrinsic sphere of radius r centered at the origin. For sufficiently large r , M is contained inside of $S(r)$. Decreasing $r \searrow 0$, we can find $r_0 > 0$ such that $S(r) \cap M = \emptyset$ for $r > r_0$ but $S(r_0) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. That is, $S(r_0)$ is the first touching to M at a point $q \in S(r_0) \cap M$. At the touching point q , all the principal curvatures of M and H_1 are positive by comparison with $S(r_0)$. This argument also holds in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1)$ without any change. When \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1} , if r close enough to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, M is contained inside of $S(r)$; thus, the Euclidean argument also holds.

From (iii) of Lemma 5,

$$\int_M H_{r-1} dA \geq \int_M H_r^{(r-1)/r} dA,$$

and then,

$$(50) \quad (n + 1) \text{Vol}(\Omega) \geq \int_M H_r^{-1/r} dA \geq \int_M \frac{1}{H} dA.$$

Comparing (26) and (50), M is part of an intrinsic sphere by Theorem 3.

Now, we consider $k \neq 0$ case. From a direct computation, we have $\bar{\Delta}\langle\psi, v\rangle = -k(n + 1)\langle\psi, v\rangle$ for any $v \in E$. Integrating on Ω and using Stokes' theorem, we have

$$-k(n + 1) \int_{\Omega} \langle\psi, v\rangle dV = \int_M \langle N, v\rangle dA + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \partial W} \langle v, v\rangle dA,$$

where N and v are the outward unit normal vector fields of M and $\partial\Omega \cap \partial W$, respectively.

By an isometry of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$, we assume $v = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in E$ and $\langle\eta_i, v\rangle = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. With v , $\langle v, v\rangle \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \partial W$, that is,

$$(51) \quad -k(n + 1) \int_{\Omega} \langle\psi, v\rangle dV = \int_M \langle N, v\rangle dA.$$

Let $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, v)$ be the distance function from v to x in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$. If $k = -1$, then $\langle\psi, v\rangle = -\cosh r(\psi)$ and if $k = 1$, then $\langle\psi, v\rangle = \cos r(\psi)$; that is, $k\langle\psi, v\rangle = V_k(\psi)$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$.

From (b) of Proposition 6, we have

$$\int_M H_{r-1} V_k(\psi) + H_r \langle N, v\rangle dA = 0.$$

Since H_r is constant and (51), $(n + 1)H_r \int_{\Omega} V_k dV = \int_M H_{r-1} V_k dA$. By the same argument for the $k = 0$ case, there exists a point in M such that all the principal curvatures are positive. From (iii) of Lemma 5,

$$(n + 1)H_r \int_{\Omega} V_k dV = \int_M H_{r-1} V_k dA \geq \int_M H_r^{(r-1)/r} V_k dA,$$

and then,

$$(52) \quad (n + 1) \int_{\Omega} V_k dV \geq \int_M H_r^{-1/r} V_k dA \geq \int_M \frac{V_k}{H} dA.$$

Comparing (26) and (52) and using the results of Theorem 3, we conclude that M is part of an intrinsic sphere. □

As before, when ∂M lies in a hyperplane, the following conclusion holds.

Theorem 8. *Let \mathcal{H} be a half-space in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ determined by a hyperplane P . Let M be a compact embedded constant- H_r ($r = 1, \dots, n$) hypersurface with free boundary in \mathcal{H} . Then M is an intrinsic hemisphere centered at a point of P .*

7. Constant- H_r/H_l immersed hypersurfaces with free boundary

Using the Minkowski formula and the inequalities for higher-order mean curvatures (Lemma 5), Koh and Lee [2001] gave characterizations of an intrinsic sphere in space forms. In Proposition 6, the Minkowski formula is extended to hypersurfaces with free boundary in space forms; then, Koh and Lee’s results are naturally extended for hypersurfaces with free boundary. For the reader’s convenience, we give the proof in detail.

Theorem 9. *Let $W \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ be a wedge. Let M be a compact immersed hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(k)$ with $\partial M \subset \partial W$ such that near ∂M , M lies inside of W and meets ∂W perpendicularly along ∂M . If, for $r, l = 1, \dots, n$ and $r > l$, the ratio H_r/H_l is constant and H_l does not vanish on M , then it is part of an intrinsic sphere centered at a point of the edge of W .*

Proof. For the case $k = 0$, by an isometry in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we assume that E contains the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . By the same argument as the proof of Theorem 7, there is an elliptic point q in M ; that is, all the principal curvatures are positive, and clearly, both H_r and H_l are positive at q . Because $\alpha = H_r/H_l$ is constant and H_l does not vanish on M , the curvatures H_r, H_l are positive on M and $\alpha > 0$. By (i) of Lemma 5, $H_s > 0$ if $s < r$. By (ii) of Lemma 5,

$$(53) \quad 0 < \alpha = \frac{H_r}{H_l} \leq \frac{H_{r-1}}{H_{l-1}}.$$

Because $H_r = \alpha H_l$ and by (a) of Proposition 6,

$$(54) \quad \int_M H_{r-1} - \alpha H_l \langle \psi, N \rangle dA = 0.$$

Because $\alpha > 0$ is constant and by (a) of Proposition 6,

$$(55) \quad \int_M \alpha (H_{l-1} - H_l \langle \psi, N \rangle) dA = 0.$$

Combining (54) and (55) yields

$$\int_M (H_{r-1} - \alpha H_{l-1}) dA = 0.$$

From (53),

$$\frac{H_r}{H_l} = \frac{H_{r-1}}{H_{l-1}} = \alpha \quad \text{on } M.$$

Proceeding inductively, and defining $p = r - l$, we obtain

$$(56) \quad \frac{H_{p+1}}{H_1} = \frac{H_p}{H_0} = H_p \quad \text{on } M;$$

that is, $H_{p+1}/H_p = H_1$.

On the other hand, by (ii) of Lemma 5,

$$(57) \quad H_{p+1}/H_p \leq H_p/H_{p-1} \leq \cdots \leq H_1.$$

Combining (56) and (57) gives,

$$H_{p+1}/H_p = H_p/H_{p-1} = \cdots = H_1,$$

and therefore,

$$H_r = H_1^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, p+1.$$

By (iii) of Lemma 5, M is part of an intrinsic sphere.

By an isometry in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(-1)$, we assume that E contains $v = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$. As before there exists a point q such that all the principal curvatures are positive, and clearly, both H_r and H_l are positive at q . Because $\alpha = H_r/H_l$ is constant and H_l does not vanish on M , the curvatures H_r, H_l are positive on M and $\alpha > 0$.

By (ii) of Lemma 5,

$$(58) \quad 0 < \alpha = \frac{H_r}{H_l} \leq \frac{H_{r-1}}{H_{l-1}}.$$

Because $H_r = \alpha H_l$ and by Proposition 6,

$$(59) \quad \int_M H_{r-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle - \alpha H_l \langle N, v \rangle dA = 0.$$

Because $\alpha > 0$ is constant and by Proposition 6,

$$(60) \quad \int_M \alpha (H_{l-1} \langle \psi, v \rangle - H_l \langle N, v \rangle) dA = 0.$$

Combining (59) and (60) yields,

$$\int_M (H_{r-1} - \alpha H_{l-1}) \langle \psi, v \rangle dA = 0.$$

Because $\langle \psi, v \rangle \leq -1$ on M and by (58),

$$\frac{H_r}{H_l} = \frac{H_{r-1}}{H_{l-1}} = \alpha \quad \text{on } M.$$

Proceeding inductively, and defining $p = r - l$, we obtain

$$(61) \quad \frac{H_{p+1}}{H_1} = \frac{H_p}{H_0} = H_p \quad \text{on } M;$$

that is, $H_{p+1}/H_p = H_1$.

On the other hand, by (ii) of Lemma 5,

$$(62) \quad H_{p+1}/H_p \leq H_p/H_{p-1} \leq \cdots \leq H_1.$$

Combining (61) and (62) gives,

$$H_{p+1}/H_p = H_p/H_{p-1} = \cdots = H_1,$$

and therefore,

$$H_r = H_1^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, p + 1.$$

By (iii) of Lemma 5, M is part of an intrinsic sphere.

For the case $k = 1$, we assume that the edge E contains $v = (0, 0, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Because $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1}$, we have $\langle \psi, v \rangle > 0$. By the same argument for $k = -1$, the conclusion follows as for the $k = 1$ case. \square

Theorem 10. *Let P be a hyperplane in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(k)$. Let M be a compact immersed hypersurface in $\overline{M}^{n+1}(k)$ with $\partial M \subset P$ such that near ∂M , M lies on one side of P and meets P perpendicularly along ∂M . If, for $r, l = 1, \dots, n$ and $r > l$, the ratio H_r/H_l is constant and H_l does not vanish on M , then it is an intrinsic hemisphere centered at a point of P .*

Acknowledgement

We warmly thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- [Alexandrov 1962] A. D. Alexandrov, "A characteristic property of spheres", *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **58** (1962), 303–315. MR Zbl
- [Barbosa and do Carmo 1984] J. L. Barbosa and M. do Carmo, "Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature", *Math. Z.* **185**:3 (1984), 339–353. MR Zbl
- [Barbosa et al. 1988] J. L. Barbosa, M. do Carmo, and J. Eschenburg, "Stability of hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Riemannian manifolds", *Math. Z.* **197**:1 (1988), 123–138. MR Zbl
- [Beckenbach and Bellman 1961] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik **30**, Springer, 1961. MR Zbl
- [Brendle 2013] S. Brendle, "Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds", *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **117** (2013), 247–269. MR Zbl
- [Choe and Koiso 2016] J. Choe and M. Koiso, "Stable capillary hypersurfaces in a wedge", *Pacific J. Math.* **280**:1 (2016), 1–15. MR Zbl
- [Choe and Park 2011] J. Choe and S.-H. Park, "Capillary surfaces in a convex cone", *Math. Z.* **267**:3–4 (2011), 875–886. MR Zbl
- [Concus and Finn 1998] P. Concus and R. Finn, "Discontinuous behavior of liquids between parallel and tilted plates", *Phys. Fluids* **10**:1 (1998), 39–43. MR Zbl
- [Concus et al. 2001] P. Concus, R. Finn, and J. McCuan, "Liquid bridges, edge blobs, and Scherk-type capillary surfaces", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **50**:1 (2001), 411–441. MR Zbl
- [Finn 1986] R. Finn, *Equilibrium capillary surfaces*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **284**, Springer, 1986. MR Zbl

- [Koh 1998] S.-E. Koh, “A characterization of round spheres”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**:12 (1998), 3657–3660. MR Zbl
- [Koh and Lee 2001] S.-E. Koh and S.-W. Lee, “Addendum to the paper: ‘Sphere theorem by means of the ratio of mean curvature functions’”, *Glasg. Math. J.* **43**:2 (2001), 275–276. Addendum to S.-E. Koh, **42**:1 (2000), 91–95. MR Zbl
- [Korevaar 1988] N. J. Korevaar, “Sphere theorems via Alexandrov for constant Weingarten curvature hypersurfaces”, (1988), 221–223. Appendix to A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature and a congruence theorem”, *J. Differential Geom.* **27**:2 (1988), 215–220. MR Zbl
- [López 2014] R. López, “Capillary surfaces with free boundary in a wedge”, *Adv. Math.* **262** (2014), 476–483. MR Zbl
- [McCuan 1997] J. McCuan, “Symmetry via spherical reflection and spanning drops in a wedge”, *Pacific J. Math.* **180**:2 (1997), 291–323. MR Zbl
- [Montiel and Ros 1991] S. Montiel and A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures”, pp. 279–296 in *Differential geometry*, edited by B. Lawson and K. Tenenblat, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math. **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, UK, 1991. MR Zbl
- [Nitsche 1985] J. C. C. Nitsche, “Stationary partitioning of convex bodies”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **89**:1 (1985), 1–19. MR Zbl
- [Park 2005] S.-h. Park, “Every ring type spanner in a wedge is spherical”, *Math. Ann.* **332**:3 (2005), 475–482. MR Zbl
- [Qiu and Xia 2015] G. Qiu and C. Xia, “A generalization of Reilly’s formula and its applications to a new Heintze–Karcher type inequality”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015**:17 (2015), 7608–7619. MR Zbl
- [Reilly 1980] R. C. Reilly, “Geometric applications of the solvability of Neumann problems on a Riemannian manifold”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **75**:1 (1980), 23–29. MR Zbl
- [Ros 1987] A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3**:3-4 (1987), 447–453. MR Zbl
- [Ros 1988] A. Ros, “Compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature and a congruence theorem”, *J. Differential Geom.* **27**:2 (1988), 215–220. MR Zbl
- [Ros and Vergasta 1995] A. Ros and E. Vergasta, “Stability for hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundary”, *Geom. Dedicata* **56**:1 (1995), 19–33. MR Zbl
- [Souam 1997] R. Souam, “On stability of stationary hypersurfaces for the partitioning problem for balls in space forms”, *Math. Z.* **224**:2 (1997), 195–208. MR Zbl
- [Spivak 1975] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry, IV*, Publish or Perish, Boston, 1975. MR Zbl

Received December 25, 2016. Revised March 19, 2018.

JUNCHEOL PYO

jcpyo@pusan.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

BUSAN

SOUTH KOREA

and

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

SEOUL

SOUTH KOREA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$490/year for the electronic version, and \$665/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 299 No. 2 April 2019

- Hierarchically hyperbolic spaces II: Combination theorems and the distance formula 257
JASON BEHRSTOCK, MARK HAGEN and ALESSANDRO SISTO
- The weighted σ_k -curvature of a smooth metric measure space 339
JEFFREY S. CASE
- Uniqueness of tangent cones for biharmonic maps with isolated singularities 401
YOU MIN CHEN and HAO YIN
- Hamiltonian unknottedness of certain monotone Lagrangian tori in $S^2 \times S^2$ 427
KAI CIELIEBAK and MARTIN SCHWINGENHEUER
- Chord shortening flow and a theorem of Lusternik and Schnirelmann 469
MARTIN MAN-CHUN LI
- Rigidity theorems of hypersurfaces with free boundary in a wedge in a space form 489
JUNCHEOL PYO



0030-8730(201904)299:2;1-N