Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE BRAVERMAN–KAZHDAN PROPOSAL FOR LOCAL FACTORS: SPHERICAL CASE

ZHILIN LUO

Volume 300 No. 2 June 2019

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 300, No. 2, 2019
dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2019.300.431

ON THE BRAVERMAN–KAZHDAN PROPOSAL FOR LOCAL FACTORS: SPHERICAL CASE

ZHILIN LUO

We study the Braverman–Kazhdan proposal for the local spherical situation. In the p-adic case, we give a definition of the spherical component of conjectural space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and the ρ-Fourier transform kernel Φ_ρ^K, and verify several conjectures of Braverman and Kazhdan (GAFA 2000 special volume, part I, 237–278) in this situation. In the archimedean case, we study the asymptotic of the basic function $1_{\rho,s}$ and the ρ-Fourier transform kernel $\Phi_{\rho,s}^K$.

1. Introduction

The theory of zeta integrals can be traced back to the work of B. Riemann, who first wrote the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^s$ as the Mellin transform of a theta function. The idea was developed by J. Tate in his thesis [1950] using the theory of zeta integrals. For convenience, in the introduction we restrict to the nonarchimedean local fields case. For each character χ of $F^\times$, where F is a nonarchimedean local field, one considers a family of distributions given by zeta integrals $Z(s, f, \varphi)$ with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$ on the space $\mathcal{S}(F^\times) = C_c^\infty(F)$. Tate showed that the distribution admits meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, possibly with a pole at $s = 0$. The pole can be described by the L-factor $L(s, \chi)$, in the sense that the distribution $Z(s, \cdot, \chi)/L(s, \chi)$ admits holomorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.

R. Godement and H. Jacquet [1972] generalized the work of Tate and studied, for any irreducible admissible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over a nonarchimedean local field, the family of distributions given by zeta integrals $Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi)$ with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$ on the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}(n)) = C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$, where $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient of π. They showed that $Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi)$ has meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with a possible pole at $s = 0$, and their poles are captured by the standard local L-factor $L(s, \pi)$ attached to π.

According to R. Langlands [1970], for any reductive algebraic group G defined over F, and for any finite dimensional representation ρ of the Langlands dual

MSC2010: primary 11F70, 22E45; secondary 22E35.
Keywords: L-function, Fourier transform, Schwartz space.

group ${}^LG$, one may define the local L-factor $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ associated to an irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$. It is natural to ask: Is it possible to find a family of distributions similar to the case of Godement–Jacquet that define the general local L-factor $L(s, \pi, \rho)$? Over the last fifty years, various types of global zeta integrals of Rankin–Selberg type, whose local zeta integrals may define local L-factors for a special list of G and ρ, were found. Often, the zeta integrals of Rankin–Selberg type are not the same as those of Godement–Jacquet. In 2000, A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan [2000] proposed a conjectural construction of families of distributions that may define the general L-factors $L(s, \pi, \rho)$, similar to those in [Godement and Jacquet 1972]. We will explain their proposal below.

1.1. ***Notation and convention.*** Throughout the paper, we fix a local field F of characteristic 0, which can be either a p-adic field or an archimedean field. When F is a p-adic field, we let $\mathcal{O}_F$ be the ring of integers of F with fixed uniformizer ϖ, and we assume that the residue field of F has cardinality q.

We fix a valuation $|\cdot|$ on F. When F is a p-adic field, we normalize $|\cdot|$ so that $|\varpi| = q^{-1}$. When $F \cong \mathbb{R}$, it is the usual valuation on $\mathbb{R}$. When $F \cong \mathbb{C}$, $|z| = z\bar{z}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\bar{z}$ is the complex conjugate of z.

Let G be a split connected reductive algebraic group over F. Following the notation of [Li 2017, Section 3.1], we assume that the group G fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \to G_0 \to G \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{G}_m \to 1. \tag{1}$$

Here G_0 is a split connected semisimple algebraic group over F, and σ is a character of G playing the role of determinant as in the $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ case.

Let ${}^LG$ be the Langlands dual group of G. We fix an irreducible algebraic representation

$$\rho : {}^LG \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$$

of dimension $n = \dim V_\rho$. There are similar results for reducible ρ, but for convenience we only work with the case when ρ is irreducible. Following [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Definition 3.13] and [Li 2017, Section 3.1], we further assume that ρ is faithful, the restriction of ρ to the central torus $\mathbb{G}_m \to {}^LG$ is $z \to z\,\mathrm{Id}$, and $\ker(\rho)$ is connected.

We require that the representation ρ fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\sigma}} & {}^LG & \longrightarrow & {}^LG_0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\rho}} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(V, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}(V, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

The top row is obtained by dualizing the short exact sequence (1), and $\bar{\rho}$ is the

projective representation obtained from ρ. By [Li 2017, Section 3.1], we may assume that the rows are exact and the second square is cartesian.

We fix a Borel pair (B, T) for our group G. Let $X_*(T)$ and $X^*(T)$ be the cocharacter and character group of T, respectively. Let $W = W(G, T)$ be the Weyl group. Let ρ_B be the half sum of positive roots. The corresponding modular character is denoted by δ_B. Following the suggestion of [Bouthier et al. 2016], we let $l = 2\langle \rho_B, \lambda \rangle$, where λ is the highest weight of the representation ρ.

When F is a p-adic field, we choose a hyperspecial vertex in the Bruhat–Tits building of G which lies in the apartment determined by T. The corresponding hyperspecial subgroup $G(F)$ is denoted by K as usual. When F is an archimedean field, by the Cartan–Iwasawa–Malcev theorem [Borel 1998, Theorem 1.2], we fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G.

When F is a p-adic field, we fix the Cartan decomposition

$$G(F) = \coprod_{\lambda \in X_*(T)_+} K\lambda(\varpi)K,$$

where $X_*(T)_+$ is the positive Weyl chamber. When F is an archimedean field, we also fix the Cartan decomposition $G = K \exp(\mathfrak{a})K$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. Let $T(F) \cap K = T_K$.

We fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of F with conductor $\mathcal{O}_F$. We also fix a Haar measure on F such that the Haar measure is self-dual with respect to the additive character ψ.

1.2. ***Braverman–Kazhdan proposal.*** In [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000], the local aspect of the Braverman–Kazhdan proposal was to construct a family of zeta distributions associated to each finite-dimensional representation ρ of the Langlands dual group ${}^L G$ that define the general L-factor $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ for every irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ via a generalization of the work of Godement and Jacquet [1972]. Roughly speaking, they proposed the existence of a function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G) \subset C^\infty(G)$, which should be the space of test functions for the zeta distributions, such that the following conjecture holds:

Conjecture 1.2.1 [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Conjecture 5.11]. *With the notation above, the following hold.*

(i) *For every $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ and every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, the integral*

$$Z(s, f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g)|\sigma(g)|^{s+\frac{l}{2}}\, dg$$

is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$.

(ii) *$Z(s, f, \varphi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and defines a rational function of q^s.*

(iii) $I_\pi = \{Z(s, f, \varphi) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ *is a finitely generated nonzero fractional ideal of the ring* $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$, *where* $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$ *is the space of matrix coefficients of* π.

Remark 1.2.2. Braverman and Kazhdan [2000] defined the number l to be the semisimple rank of G. Following [Bouthier et al. 2016], it is suggested that the correct normalization should be $l = 2\langle \rho_B, \lambda \rangle$, where λ is the highest weight of ρ. In the case where ρ is the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$, $l = n - 1$. The definition coincides with the work of Godement and Jacquet [1972].

Assuming that the Conjecture 1.2.1 holds, one may define the local L-factor $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ to be the unique generator of the fractional ideal I_π of the form $P(q^{-s})^{-1}$, where P is a polynomial such that $P(0) = 1$. Moreover, they also proposed the existence of a Fourier-type transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Section 5.3] that is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) = |\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(G),$$

and satisfies the following:

Conjecture 1.2.3 [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Conjecture 5.9]. *The* ρ*-Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ *extends to a unitary operator on* $L^2(G, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$ *and the space* $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ *is* $\mathcal{F}_\rho$*-invariant. Here the character* σ *is defined in* (1).

Here $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$ is a G-stable σ-compact distribution in the sense of [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Definition 3.8]. After an unramified twist, the action of $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ on the space of $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ is given by a rational function in s, which is the associated local gamma factor $\gamma(-s - \frac{l}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi)$.

Remark 1.2.4. Here we want to make a remark on the γ-factor. Assuming the local Langlands functoriality for ρ, we can set

$$\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) = \gamma(s, \rho(\pi), \psi),$$

where $\rho(\pi)$ is the functorial lifting of π along ρ. The γ-factor is a rational function in s. Hence, for special values of s, for instance $s = -\frac{l}{2}$, there might exist $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ such that the constant $\gamma\left(-\frac{l}{2}, \rho(\pi), \psi\right)$ does not exist for π. In this case, we can take an unramified twist of $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$, which we denote as $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$. Then the action of $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ on the space of π is given by the local gamma factor $\gamma\left(-s - \frac{l}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi\right)$.

Remark 1.2.5. Braverman and Kazhdan [2000, Section 1.2] defined the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ with the property that its action on the space of $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ is given by the local gamma factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$. For normalization purposes, we define our G-stable distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ with action on π via the scalar $\gamma(-s - \frac{l}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi)$. In Lemma 2.4.4, we show how to derive the relation

between the γ-factor and $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ formally from the conjectural functional equation

$$Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \varphi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \varphi), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi).$$

Braverman and Kazhdan [2000, Section 7], gave a conjectural algebrogeometric construction of the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$. It is not difficult to define the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho\circ i,s}$ on T associated to the representation $\rho \circ i$ of ${}^L T$

$${}^L T \xrightarrow{i} {}^L G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho).$$

Since the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ is conjectured to be G-stable, using the adjoint quotient map $G^{\mathrm{reg}} \to T/W$, one can naturally extend it to a distribution on G once the W-equivariance of the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho\circ i,s}$ is established as conjectured in [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Conjecture 7.11]. Braverman and Kazhdan conjectured that the construction gives us the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$ that we want. There is a parallel conjecture in finite field case, and some recent works ([Braverman and Kazhdan 2003; Chen 2016; Cheng and Ngô 2017]) confirm the construction.

For the construction of the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$, Braverman and Kazhdan [2000, Section 5.5] expected to use the Vinberg's monoids [Vinberg 1995]. For each ρ, one can construct a reductive monoid $\overline{G}_\rho$ containing G as an open dense subvariety, whose unit is just the group G, and there is a $G \times G$ equivariant embedding of G into $\overline{G}_\rho$. Here $\overline{G}_\rho$ was expected to play the role of M_n as in [Godement and Jacquet 1972]. But for almost all ρ, $\overline{G}_\rho$ is a singular variety. Hence we cannot simply use the locally constant compactly supported functions on $\overline{G}_\rho$ as our conjectural function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$. Recently, some work in the function field case ([Bouthier et al. 2016]) has explained the relation between the geometry of $\overline{G}_\rho$ and the *basic function* in $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$.

Assuming the local Langlands functoriality for ρ, L. Lafforgue [2014] proposed the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ using the Plancherel formula. However, the analytical properties of $\mathcal{S}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ may not be easily figured out from such an abstract definition.

By the work of Godement and Jacquet [1972], when ρ is the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$, the above conjectures hold. We can take $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ to be the restriction to $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ of functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$, and $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ embeds into M_n naturally. Here M_n is the monoid of $n \times n$ matrices which fits into the construction of Vinberg [1995]. $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ in this case is the classical Fourier transform on M_n fixing $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(f)(g) &= |\det g|^{-n} (\Phi_{\psi,\mathrm{std}} * f^\vee)(g) \\ &= \int_{\mathrm{M}_n(F)} f(y) \psi(\mathrm{tr}(yg))\, dy, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{\psi,\mathrm{std}}(g) = \psi(\mathrm{tr}(g))|\det(g)|^n$.

Basic function: Although the structure of the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ is still unclear, there is a distinguished element in the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$, called the basic function, which we will introduce below.

In [Godement and Jacquet 1972], the authors found that the characteristic function $1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ of $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ satisfies the following two properties:

(i) For any spherical representation π of $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$ with Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$, let φ_π be the associated zonal spherical function; then

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \varphi_\pi) &= \int_G 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}(g)\varphi_\pi(g)|\det g|^{s+(n-1)/2}\, dg \\ &= \det(1 - (c)q^{-s}|V)^{-1} = L(s, \pi, \mathrm{std}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{std}}(1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}) = 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}$.

Let $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}(G, K) \to \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$ be the Satake transform, which is an isomorphism of algebras. Using the Cartan decomposition, the zeta integral $Z(s, 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \varphi_\pi)$ is equal to the Satake transform of the function

$$1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}|\det|^{s+(n-1)/2}$$

evaluated at the Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$ of π. For general ρ, one is naturally led to the following definition of the *basic function* $1_{\rho,s}$ with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.2.6 [Li 2017, Defintion 3.2.1]. The basic function $1_{\rho,s} = 1_\rho|\sigma|^s$ with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is the smooth bi-K-invariant function on G such that

$$\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,s})(c) = L(s, \pi, \rho)$$

for any spherical representation π of G with Satake parameter c, where σ is the character defined in (1).

Following the work of Godement–Jacquet [1972], one hopes that the function $1_{\rho,-l/2} = 1_\rho|\sigma|^{-l/2}$ lies in the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ and has the following property:

Conjecture 1.2.7. $\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-l/2}) = 1_{\rho,-l/2}$.

It is shown in [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Lemma 5.8] that Conjecture 1.2.7 holds assuming the compatibility of parabolic descent and ρ-Fourier transform [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Conjecture 3.15].

One of the reasons that we care about the function $1_{\rho,s}$ is its role in Langlands' "Beyond endoscopy" program [2004]. When $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, we expect to plug it into the Arthur–Selberg trace formula [Finis et al. 2011]. On the spectral side, we would get a partial automorphic L-function. On the geometric side, the weighted orbital integrals of the basic function can tell us information about the automorphic L-function. For details, the reader is recommended to read [Ngô 2018] and the last section of [Getz 2018].

1.3. ***Our results.*** We obtain results uniformly for both p-adic and archimedean local fields. For convenience, we treat them separately in the following.

***p*-adic case:** We give a construction of the spherical component of the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ and the distribution kernel of ρ-Fourier transform $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$. Here we need to use the extension of *Satake isomorphism* $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}(G, K) \to \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$ to *almost compactly supported functions* $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(G, K)$ in the sense of [Li 2017, Proposition 2.3.2], since the L-functions and γ-factors are rational functions rather than polynomial functions on $\widehat{T}/W$. The functions in $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ are not always compactly supported, but always almost compactly supported.

Definition 1.3.1. Define the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ to be

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K) = 1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}} * \mathcal{H}(G, K).$$

Define the distribution kernel of the ρ-Fourier transform $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ to be

$$\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s} = 1_{\rho,1+s+\frac{l}{2}} * \mathcal{S}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{L(-s-\frac{l}{2}, \pi, \rho^\vee)}\right).$$

In Proposition 2.2.2, we show that when ρ is the standard representation of $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$, we actually have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K) = 1_{\mathrm{std},-\frac{n-1}{2}} * \mathcal{H}(G, K) = 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} * \mathcal{H}(G, K).$$

Here $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$ is the restriction of functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n, K)$, the bi-$K$-invariant functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$, to $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. The structure for the standard case will be our main ingredient for introducing Definition 1.3.1.

Based on Definition 1.3.1 we can verify that Conjectures 1.2.1 and 1.2.3 hold under the assumption that the functions and representations are spherical. We can also verify Conjecture 1.2.7 without referring to [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000, Conjecture 3.15]. More precisely, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1.3.2. *Let π be a spherical representation of G. For every $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, the integral*

$$Z(s, f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g)|\sigma(g)|^{s+\frac{l}{2}}\, dg$$

is a rational function in q^s, and the fractional ideal $I_\pi = \{Z(s, f, \varphi) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ is equal to $L(s, \pi, \rho)\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$.

The idea for the proof of Theorem 1.3.2 is as follows. We notice that the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ is bi-K-invariant. Following the proof of Proposition 2.2.2, we can actually assume that φ is bi-K-invariant, which means that φ is a scalar multiple of

the zonal spherical function associated to π. Then, up to multiplying by a constant, the zeta integral $Z(s, f, \varphi)$ is equal to $\mathcal{S}(f_{s+l/2})(c)$, where $c \in \widehat{T}/W$ is the Satake parameter associated to π. Now Theorem 1.3.2 follows from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and Remark 2.2.3.

Theorem 1.3.3. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$, define the ρ-Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ as in [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000] by the formula*

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) = |\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ extends to a unitary operator on $L^2(G, K, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$ and the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ is $\mathcal{F}_\rho$-invariant.

The idea for the proof of Theorem 1.3.3 is as follows. To show that $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ extends to a unitary operator on $L^2(G, K, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$, we can equivalently show

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \mathcal{F}_\rho(h)\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} = \langle f, h\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)}$$

for any $f, h \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$, since the smooth compactly supported functions are dense in $L^2(G, K, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$.

We first rewrite the integration as

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \mathcal{F}_\rho(h)\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} = \mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee(e),$$
$$\langle f, h\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} = \bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee(e).$$

Then as in the proof of Proposition 2.4.8 we can show that after the Satake transform, the functions $\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee$ and $\bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee$ are equal to each other as a rational function on $\widehat{T}/W$. Hence we get the first part of Theorem 1.3.3. To show that the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ is $\mathcal{F}_\rho$-invariant, we show that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K))$ and $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ have the same image under Satake transform.

Theorem 1.3.4. $\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-l/2}) = 1_{\rho,-l/2}$.

The idea for the proof of Theorem 1.3.4 follows from the direct computation of the Satake transform of $\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-l/2})$ and $1_{\rho,-l/2}$. We show that they coincide with each other after Satake transform as a rational function on $\widehat{T}/W$, from which we deduce that they are equal to each other.

The detailed proofs of the theorems are given in Section 2.4.

Archimedean case: We give a construction of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$ using the *spherical Plancherel transform*. More precisely:

Definition 1.3.5. We define

$$\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s} = 1_{\rho,1+s+\frac{l}{2}} * \mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{L(-s-\frac{l}{2}, \pi, \rho^\vee)}\right).$$

Here $\mathcal{H}$ is the *spherical Plancherel transform*.

Parallel to the p-adic case, we can verify that Conjecture 1.2.7 holds through showing that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-l/2})$ and $1_{\rho,-l/2}$ have the same image under spherical Plancherel transform $\mathcal{H}$.

We also study asymptotic properties of $1_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$. We let $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$ be the L^p-Harish-Chandra Schwartz space, where $0 < p \leq 2$ is any real number. Then we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.6. (i) *If $F \cong \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > \max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\},$$

or

(ii) *if $F \cong \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\},$$

then the function $1_{\rho,s}$ lies in $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$.

Here $\{\varpi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are the weights of the representation ρ, $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{p} - 1$, and $C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}$ is the convex hull in $\mathfrak{a}^*$ generated by elements $W \cdot \varepsilon\rho_B$.

Theorem 1.3.7. (i) *If $F \cong \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > -1 - \frac{l}{2} + \max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\},$$

or

(ii) *if $F \cong \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l}{4} + \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\},$$

then the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ lies in $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$.

The idea for proving the asymptotic theorems is based on several asymptotic estimations for the classical Γ-function and its derivatives, which are recalled and proved in the beginning of Section 3.4.

The details are presented in Section 3.4 and Section 3.5.

Jayce Getz [2018] also has similar descriptions for $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)$, where f lies in $C_c^\infty(G, K)$. His description of the Fourier transform uses the relation between $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ and the standard transform on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ via a spherical Plancherel transform, in which the ρ-Fourier transform is not written as an explicit kernel function. Using the functional equation, one can observe that his definition coincides with our definition of $\mathcal{F}_\rho$. On the other hand, using the explicit estimation for the kernel function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$, we find that our domain for the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ is bigger than $C_c^\infty(G, K)$. For instance, we can take Fourier transform for the basic function $1_{\rho,s}$.

Organization of paper In Section 2.1, we have a quick review of the Satake isomorphism. In Section 2.2, we give a description of the structure of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$, which are the restriction of functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n, K)$ to $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$. In Section 2.3, we briefly review the theory of basic functions. In Section 2.4 we prove the unramified part of the conjectures mentioned in the introduction.

In Section 3.1, we review the theory of spherical Plancherel transforms. In Sections 3.2 and 3.3, we review the Langlands classification and Langlands correspondence of spherical representations for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. From the Langlands classification and Langlands correspondence, we obtain the explicit formula of local L-factors. In Sections 3.4 and 3.5 we prove asymptotic properties of $1_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$, from which we can deduce the theorems mentioned in the introduction.

2. *p*-adic case

The theory of spherical functions and spherical representations for p-adic groups were developed by I. Satake [1963]. In particular, Satake proved that under the Satake transform $\mathcal{S}$, the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$, which nowadays is called the *Satake isomorphism*.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is contained in the conjectural function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ as a proper subspace. In order to obtain a similar description for $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$, we need to extend the Satake isomorphism to $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$. This is achieved in [Li 2017, Proposition 2.3.2]. For the basic function $1_{\rho,-l/2}$, although it is not compactly supported on G, it is compactly supported on the sets $\{g \in G \mid |\sigma(g)| = q^{-n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. For different n, the sets are disjoint. This means that the function $1_{\rho,-l/2}$ is almost compactly supported as defined in [Li 2017, Definition 2.3.1]. In particular we can apply the Satake isomorphism to $1_{\rho,-l/2}$.

Using the Satake isomorphism, we will give a definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$, and we can verify several conjectures in this case as mentioned in the introduction.

2.1. *Satake isomorphism.*

In this section, we review the Satake isomorphism. The main references are [Cartier 1979; Gross 1998; Satake 1963].

Definition 2.1.1 (Satake transform). For $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$, define $\mathcal{S}(f)$ to be

$$\mathcal{S}(f)(t) = \delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_N f(tn)\, dn.$$

Satake [1963] proved the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is an algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ to $\mathcal{H}(T, T_K)^W$, where both algebras are equipped with convolution structure.

Using the canonical W-equivariant isomorphisms

$$T/T_K \cong X_*(T) \cong X^*(\widehat{T}),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{H}(T, T_K)^W \cong \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[X^*(\widehat{T})]^W.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}[X^*(\widehat{T})]$ consists of $\mathbb{C}$-linear combinations of algebraic characters of $\widehat{T}$, it can naturally be identified with algebraic functions on $\widehat{T}$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}[X^*(\widehat{T})]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$. Sometimes we abuse the notation of Satake transform $\mathcal{S}$ with the image identified with $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$.

2.2. ***Structure of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$.*** In this section, we review the theory of the zeta integrals for the standard L-function of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over a nonarchimedean local field following the approach of Godement–Jacquet. The main references are [Godement and Jacquet 1972] and [Jacquet 1979]. In the end we give a description of the structure of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$.

Godement and Jacquet [1972] established the theory of standard L-functions for multiplicative groups of central simple algebras following the approach of [Tate 1950]. For our purpose, we only focus on $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$, though the story for multiplicative groups of central simple algebras is almost the same.

Let (π, V) be an admissible representation of G with smooth admissible contragredient dual $(\pi^\vee, \widetilde{V})$. Let

$$\langle\,,\rangle : \widetilde{V} \times V \to \mathbb{C},$$
$$(\tilde{v}, v) \to \langle \tilde{v}, v\rangle$$

be the canonical linear pairing between $\widetilde{V}$ and V.

Let $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear span of the functions

$$\pi_{\tilde{v},v} : g \to \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g)v\rangle, \quad v \in V,\ \tilde{v} \in \widetilde{V}.$$

Elements in $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$ are called the matrix coefficients of π.

By the admissibility of π, the smooth contragredient of $\pi^\vee$ is canonically isomorphic to π. It follows that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, the function

$$\varphi^\vee(g) = \varphi(g^{-1})$$

is a matrix coefficient of $\pi^\vee$.

Let $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ be the space of $n \times n$ matrices over F. Let $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$ be the space of smooth compactly supported functions on $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, set

$$Z(s, f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g)|\det g|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}}\, d^\times g. \tag{2}$$

In [Godement and Jacquet 1972], the following proposition was proved.

Proposition 2.2.1 [Jacquet 1979, Proposition (1.2)]. *Suppose that π is an irreducible and admissible representation of G, then*:

(i) *There exists $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the integral (2) converges absolutely for* $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \mathrm{Re}(s_0)$.

(ii) *The integral (2) is given by a rational function in q^{-s}, where q is the cardinality of the residue field of F. Moreover, the family of rational functions in q^{-s}*

$$I(\pi) = \{Z(s, f, \varphi) \mid f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$$

admits a common denominator which does not depend on f or φ.

(iii) *Let $\psi \neq 1$ be an additive character of F. There exists a rational function $\gamma(s, \pi, \psi)$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n)$, we have the functional equation*

$$Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}(f), \varphi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \psi) Z(s, f, \varphi), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is the Fourier transform of f with respect to ψ,

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(x) = \int_{\mathrm{M}_n} f(y)\psi(\mathrm{tr}(yx))\, dy.$$

Here we choose dy to be the self-dual Haar measure on $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$, in the sense that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(f))(x) = f(-x).$$

Now we prove the claim in the introduction, that the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$, which consists of the restriction to $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$ of bi-K-invariant functions in the space $C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n(F))$, has the simple expression

$$1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O})} * \mathcal{H}(G, K) = 1_{\mathrm{std}, -\frac{n-1}{2}} * \mathcal{H}(G, K).$$

Proposition 2.2.2. $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K) = 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} * \mathcal{H}(G, K)$.

Proof. Let $\pi = \pi_c$ be a spherical representation of G with Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$. By Proposition 2.2.1

$$\left\{ \frac{Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi)}{L(s, \pi)} \;\middle|\; f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{M}_n(F)), \varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi) \right\} = \mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^s].$$

Now for any matrix coefficient $\varphi_\pi(g) = \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g)v \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$, there exist finitely many constant numbers c_i in $\mathbb{C}$, h_0^i and g_0^i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in G, such that $\varphi_\pi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Gamma_\chi(h_0^i g g_0^i)$, where Γ_χ is the zonal spherical function associated to π. Therefore up to translation and scaling, we can assume that our φ_π is just the zonal spherical function Γ_χ. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) &= \int_G f(g)\Gamma_\chi(g)|\det g|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}}\, dg \\ &= f|\det|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}} * \Gamma_\chi^\vee(e), \end{aligned}$$

and by the fact that G is unimodular,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) &= \int_G f(g^{-1})\Gamma_\chi(g^{-1})|\det g^{-1}|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}}\, dg \\ &= \Gamma_\chi^\vee * f|\det|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}}(e). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma_\chi^\vee$ is bi-K-invariant, we can assume that f is bi-K-invariant as well. It follows that Proposition 2.2.1 in the spherical case can be restated as

$$\{Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)\} = L(s, \pi)\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^s].$$

Now we notice that $Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) = \mathcal{S}(f|\det|^{s+(n-1)/2})(c)$. If we let $\Gamma_{\chi,s}$ be the zonal spherical function associated to $\pi_s = \pi|\det|^s$, then we have $Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) = Z(0, f, \Gamma_{\chi,s})$, and

$$Z(0, f, \Gamma_{\chi,s}) = \mathcal{S}(f|\det|^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(c \cdot q^{-s}) = \mathcal{S}(f)(c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}}),$$

where $c \cdot q^{-s}$ is the Satake parameter of $\pi_{c,s} = \pi_c|\det|^s$.

Therefore,

$$Z(s, \mathcal{H}(G, K), \Gamma_\chi) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}(G, K))(c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}}) = \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}}).$$

The space $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}})$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ naturally.

On the other hand, the space

$$\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}}) = \{Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) \mid f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)\}$$

can be identified with

$$\{Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi) \mid f \in C_c^\infty(G), \varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$$

using the same argument as in the beginning of the proof. Moreover, the space $\{Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi) \mid f \in C_c^\infty(G), \varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ is a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ containing the constants; it follows that $\{Z(s, f, \varphi_\pi) \mid f \in C_c^\infty(G), \varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\} = \mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$, and we have proved that $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-(n-1)/2}) = \mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^s]$. Therefore we get

$$\frac{Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi)}{L(s, \pi)} \in \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{n-1}{2}}), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K).$$

Letting $s = (1-n)/2$, we get

$$\mathcal{S}(f) \in \mathcal{S}(1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)})\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W] = \mathcal{S}(1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} * \mathcal{H}(G, K)).$$

From this we get $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K) \subset 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} * \mathcal{H}(G, K)$, and therefore we have proved the equality

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K) = 1_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} * \mathcal{H}(G, K). \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.2.3. Actually, from the proof of Proposition 2.2.2 we find that if a smooth bi-K-invariant function f satisfies the condition

$$Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) \subset \mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}] \quad \text{for any unramified character } \chi,$$

then the function f lies in $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$.

Proposition 2.2.2 will be our basic ingredient for introducing the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$.

2.3. ***Unramified L-factors and the basic function.*** In this section, we review basic results of the basic function. The main references are [Li 2017] and [Sakellaridis 2018].

Let π_c be the spherical representation of G with Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$.

First we recall the definition of the unramified local L-factor.

Definition 2.3.1. The unramified local L-factor attached to π_c and ρ is defined by

$$L(\pi_c, \rho, X) = \det(1 - \rho(c)X)^{-1},$$

which is a rational function in X.

The usual L-factors are obtained by specializing X, namely

$$L(s, \pi_c, \rho) = L(\pi_c, \rho, q^{-s}), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we recall the following identity.

Lemma 2.3.2 [Bump 2004, Proposition 43.5].

$$L(s, \pi_c, \rho) = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\left(\bigwedge^i \rho(c)\right) q^{-is}\right]^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Sym}^k \rho(c)) q^{-ks}.$$

Here we notice that, by assumption $\rho \circ \widehat{\sigma}$ can be identified with the standard embedding of $\mathbb{G}_m$ into $\mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ via $z \to z\mathrm{Id}$. Moreover, following the assumption in [Li 2017, Section 3.2], the restriction of ρ to the central torus is $z \to z\mathrm{Id}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we find that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\pi_c \otimes |\sigma|^s, \rho, X) &= \det(1 - \rho(c \cdot q^{-s})X)^{-1} \\ &= \det(1 - \rho(c \cdot q^{-s}\mathrm{Id})X)^{-1} \\ &= \det(1 - \rho(c)q^{-s}X)^{-1} = L(\pi_c, \rho, q^{-s}X). \end{aligned}$$

Now to define the basic function $1_{\rho,s}$, we want to apply the inverse Satake isomorphism to $L(\pi_c, \rho, X)$. But $L(\pi_c, \rho, X)$ is a rational function rather than polynomial on $\widehat{T}/W$; hence we need to analyze the support of the inverse Satake transform of $L(\pi_c, \rho, X)$. Following [Li 2017, Section 3.2], we give an argument showing that the basic function is a formal sum of compactly supported functions on G with disjoint support.

We recall the Kato–Lusztig formula for inverse Satake transforms.

Theorem 2.3.3 [Kato 1982; Lusztig 1983]. *For $\lambda \in X_*(T)_+ = X^*(\widehat{T})_+$, let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible representation of ${}^LG$ of highest weight λ, then*

$$\mathrm{tr}V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in X_*(T)_+, \mu \le \lambda} q^{-\langle \rho_B, \mu \rangle} K_{\lambda,\mu}(q^{-1}) \mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K})$$

*as an element in $\mathcal{H}(T, T_K)^W$. Here the function $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the **Lusztig's q-analogue** of Kostant's partition function as mentioned in [Li 2017, Section 2.2].*

If we let $\mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho : V(\lambda))$ be the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho$, then

$$L(\pi_c, \rho, X) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{\lambda \in X_*(T)_+} \mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho : V(\lambda)) \mathrm{tr}V(\lambda)(c) X^k.$$

By the Kato–Lusztig formula, this equals

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \mu \in X_*(T)_+ \\ \mu \le \lambda}} \mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho : V(\lambda)) q^{-\langle \rho_B, \mu \rangle} K_{\lambda,\mu}(q^{-1}) \mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K})(c) \Bigg) X^k$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \in X_*(T)_+} \Bigg(\sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in X_*(T)_+ \\ \lambda \ge \mu}} K_{\lambda,\mu}(q^{-1}) \mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho : V(\lambda)) X^k \Bigg) q^{-\langle \rho_B, \mu \rangle} \mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K})(c).$$

Here we observe that each weight ν of $\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho$ satisfies $\sigma(\nu) = k$, where k is identified with the character of $\mathbb{G}_m : z \to z^k$. Thus for each $\mu \in X_*(T)_+$, the inner sum can be taken over $k = \sigma(\mu)$.

For $\mu \in X_*(T)_+$, we set

$$c_\mu(q) = \sum_{\lambda \in X_*(T)_+, \lambda \ge \mu} K_{\lambda,\mu}(q^{-1}) \mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho : V(\lambda)), \tag{4}$$

if $\sigma(\mu) \ge 0$, and 0 otherwise.

We have to justify the rearrangement of sums. Given μ with $\sigma(\mu) = k \ge 0$, the expression (4) is a finite sum over those λ with $\sigma(\lambda) = k$ as explained above, and hence is well defined. On the other hand, given $k \ge 0$, there are only finitely many $V(\lambda)$ that appear in $\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho$. Thus only finitely many μ are in $X_*(T)_+$ with $\sigma(\mu) = k$ and $c_\mu(q) \ne 0$. To sum up, we arrive at the following equation in $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$:

$$L(\pi_c, \rho, X) = \sum_{\mu \in X_*(T)_+} c_\mu(q) q^{-\langle \rho_B, \mu \rangle} \mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K})(c) X^{\sigma(\mu)}.$$

Now we define the function $\varphi_{\rho,X} : T(F)/T_K \to \mathbb{C}[X]$ by

$$\varphi_{\rho,X} = \sum_{\mu \in X_*(T)_+} c_\mu(q) q^{-\langle \rho_B, \mu \rangle} \mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K}) X^{\sigma(\mu)}.$$

By the previous argument we find that for fixed k,

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu\in X_*(T)_+,\mu\le\lambda} \mathrm{mult}(\mathrm{Sym}^k\rho : V(\lambda))q^{-\langle\rho_B,\mu\rangle}K_{\lambda,\mu}(q^{-1})\mathcal{S}(1_{K\mu(\varpi)K})(c)X^k$$

lies in $\mathcal{H}(T, T_K)^W$. Hence $\varphi_{\rho,X}$ is a formal sum of functions in $\mathcal{H}(T, T_K)^W$.

Definition 2.3.4. Define the *basic function* $1_{\rho,X}$ as a formal sum of functions; each is supported on $\{\mu \in X_*(T)_+ \mid \sigma(\mu) = k\}$ for some $k \ge 0$ lying in $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ as

$$1_{\rho,X} = \sum_{\mu\in X_*(T)_+} c_\mu(q)q^{-\langle\rho_B,\mu\rangle}1_{K\mu(\varpi)K}X^{\sigma(\mu)}.$$

One may specialize the variable X. Define $1_{\rho,s}$ as the specialization at $X = q^{-s}$. Then

$$1_{\rho,s} = 1_\rho|\sigma|^s.$$

In [Li 2017], several analytical properties of $1_{\rho,s}$ were proved. By definition, we have $\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,X}) = \varphi_{\rho,X}$. Let $c \in \widehat{T}/W$ and π_c be the K-unramified irreducible representation with Satake parameter c. Let V_c denote the underlying $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of π_c. Then

$$\varphi_{\rho,X}(c) = L(\pi_c, \rho, X)$$

is a rational function in $c \in \widehat{T}/W$. For $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large with respect to c, the operator $\pi_c(1_{\rho,s}) : V_c \to V_c$ and its trace are well defined and

$$\mathrm{tr}(1_{\rho,s} \mid V_c) = L(s, \pi_c, \rho).$$

Moreover, it was shown in [Li 2017] that the coefficient $c_\mu(q)$ is of polynomial growth with respect to μ, and the integrability of $1_{\rho,s}$ when $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large was also demonstrated. We refer the reader to [Li 2017] for further details.

2.4. ***Construction of $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$.*** In this section, we give a definition of the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ and construct the spherical component of the operator $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ using the inverse Satake transform.

The definition is motivated by the structure of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G, K)$ as in Proposition 2.2.2.

Definition 2.4.1. We define the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ to be $1_{\rho,-l/2} * \mathcal{H}(G, K)$.

By our definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$, the spherical part of Conjecture 1.2.1 holds automatically. Moreover, following the proof of Proposition 2.2.2, we find that

$$\{Z(s, f, \Gamma_\chi) \mid f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)\} = \mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W](c \cdot q^{-s-\frac{l}{2}}) = \mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$$

for any spherical representation π_c with Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$. From the proof of Proposition 2.2.2, we realize that $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ is the largest subspace of $C^\infty(G, K)$ satisfying the spherical part of Conjecture 1.2.1. In other words, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.4.2. *Let π be a spherical representation of G. For every $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, the integral*

$$Z(s, f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g)|\sigma(g)|^{s+\frac{l}{2}}\, dg$$

is a rational function in q^s, and $I_\pi = \{Z(s, f, \varphi) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\} = L(s, \pi, \rho)\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$.

Using our definition, we can also show the following

Lemma 2.4.3. *$\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ contains $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$.*

Proof. By Satake isomorphism, the space $\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,-l/2} * \mathcal{H}(G, K))$ as rational functions on $c \in \widehat{T}/W$ is equal to $L\left(-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho\right)\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$, which contains $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$. Applying inverse Satake transforms, we get the lemma. □

Before we give our definition of the spherical component of the kernel $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$, we show how to derive the relation between $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ and $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$ from the conjectural functional equation

$$Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \varphi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)Z(s, f, \varphi),$$

where

$$Z(s, f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g)|\sigma(g)|^{s+\frac{l}{2}}\, dg$$

and $\varphi(g) = \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g)v\rangle$ lies in $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$.

Since the analytical property of $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$ is still conjectural, the proof of the following lemma is purely formal. But later when restricting to the spherical component, we can make it rigorous.

Lemma 2.4.4. *For any irreducible admissible representation π of G*

$$\pi(\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}) = \gamma\left(-s - \frac{l}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi\right)\mathrm{Id}.$$

Proof. As conjectured in [Braverman and Kazhdan 2000], the function $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)$ is defined to be

$$|\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee).$$

We plug the formula into the functional equation, and get

$$\langle \tilde{v}, Z(1-s, |\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee), \pi^\vee)v\rangle = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)\langle \tilde{v}, Z(s, f, \pi)v\rangle. \tag{5}$$

Here $Z(s, f, \pi)$ is defined to be the operator $\int_G f(g)\pi(g)|\sigma(g)|^{l/2}\, dg$ whenever $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large. We can further simplify the left-hand side of (5) to

$$\begin{aligned} Z(1-s, |\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee), \pi^\vee) &= Z(-s-l, \Phi_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee, \pi^\vee) \\ &= (\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho})(\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(f^\vee). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then the conjectural identity can be simplified to be

$$\langle \tilde{v}, (\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho})(\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(f^\vee)v\rangle = \gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)\langle \tilde{v}, \pi_{s+\frac{l}{2}}(f)v\rangle.$$

Now by assumption, $\Phi_{\psi,\rho}$ is a G-stable distribution, therefore it should be conjugation-invariant. Then by Schur's lemma the operator $(\pi^\vee)_{-s-l/2}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho})$ should act as a scalar $c(s)$. Hence the identity can be further simplified as

$$c(s)\langle \tilde{v}, (\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(f^\vee)v\rangle = \gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)\langle \tilde{v}, \pi_{s+\frac{l}{2}}(f)v\rangle.$$

Now we arrive at the equality

$$c(s)Z(-s-l, f^\vee, \varphi^\vee) = \gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)Z(s,f,\varphi).$$

Using the identity $Z(-s-l, f^\vee, \varphi^\vee) = Z(s,f,\varphi)$, we get

$$c(s) = \gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi).$$

In other words, we obtain

$$(\pi^\vee)_{-s-\frac{l}{2}}(\Phi_{\psi,\rho}) = \gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)\mathrm{Id},$$

which is equivalent to the desired relation

$$\pi(\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}) = \gamma\left(-s-\frac{l}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi\right)\mathrm{Id}. \qquad \square$$

Now we restrict our representation π to be a spherical representation.

By the definition of γ-factor in the spherical case, we know

$$\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi) = \varepsilon(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)\frac{L(1-s,\pi^\vee,\rho)}{L(s,\pi,\rho)}.$$

Since we assume that ψ is self-dual, this means that ψ has level 0. By the computations in [Godement and Jacquet 1972] we know that $\varepsilon(s,\pi,\rho,\psi) = 1$ when ρ is the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. In order to be consistent with the functoriality for general ρ, which means that $\varepsilon(s,\pi,\rho,\psi) = \varepsilon(s,\rho(\pi),\psi)$, where $\rho(\pi)$ is the functorial lifting of π along ρ, we can just let $\varepsilon(s,\pi,\rho,\psi) = 1$ for general ρ whenever ψ is of level 0.

Therefore $\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)$ can be simplified as

$$\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi) = \frac{L(1-s,\pi^\vee,\rho)}{L(s,\pi,\rho)}.$$

If we assume that the spherical representation π has Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$, then $\pi^\vee$ has Satake parameter $c^{-1} \in \widehat{T}/W$. For convenience, we write π_c to mean that the spherical representation has Satake parameter $c \in \widehat{T}/W$.

Using the definition of the unramified L-factor, we find that

$$L(s,\pi,\rho)=\det(1-\rho(c)q^{-s})^{-1},$$
$$L(1-s,\pi^{\vee},\rho)=\det(1-\rho(c^{-1})q^{1-s})^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$\det(1-\rho(c^{-1})q^{1-s})=\det(1-\rho^{\vee}(c)q^{1-s}),$$

where $\rho^{\vee}$ is the contragredient of ρ.

It follows that $\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)$ can be further simplified to be

$$\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)=\frac{L(1-s,\pi,\rho^{\vee})}{L(s,\pi,\rho)}.$$

Now by previous discussion, we know that

$$\pi(\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s})=\gamma\Big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho,\psi\Big)\mathrm{Id}.$$

Using the inverse Satake isomorphism, we get the spherical component of the distribution $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$, which we denote by $\Phi^{K}_{\psi,\rho,s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{K}_{\psi,\rho,s}&=\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Big(\gamma\Big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho,\psi\Big)\Big)\\&=\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Big(L\Big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho^{\vee}\Big)\Big)*\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho\big)}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Since $L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho^{\vee}\big)=L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,(\rho^{\vee})^{\vee}\big)=L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\big)$, and $L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^{\vee},\rho\big)=L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^{\vee}\big)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{K}_{\psi,\rho,s}&=\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Big(L\Big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\Big)\Big)*\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^{\vee}\big)}\Bigg)\\&=1_{\rho,1+s+\frac{l}{2}}*\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^{\vee}\big)}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.5. We notice that for a fixed $s\in\mathbb{C}$, as a function in the Satake parameter $c\in\widehat{T}/W$,

$$\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^{\vee}\big)}=\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi_c,\rho^{\vee}\big)}$$

lies in $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$, therefore

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^{\vee}\big)}\Bigg)$$

lies in $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$. We also notice that the spectral property of $\Phi^{K}_{\psi,\rho,s}$ is really determined by the basic function $1_{\rho,s}$. On the other hand, we find that when writing

the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$ as expansion via basis $\{1_{K\lambda K}\}_{\lambda\in X_*(T)_+}$, all its coefficients are real numbers, from which we deduce that the complex conjugate of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$, which we denote as $\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}$ is equal to $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$. This will be useful for proving Proposition 2.4.8.

By construction, our definition of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$ does give us the functional equation

$$Z(1-s,\mathcal{F}_\rho(f),\varphi)=\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)Z(s,f,\varphi),\quad f\in\mathcal{S}_\rho(G,K),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)=|\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*f^\vee)$.

Proposition 2.4.6. *Conjecture 1.2.7 holds, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ sends the basic function $1_{\rho,-l/2}$ to $1_{\rho,-l/2}$.*

Proof. By definition

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}})(g)=|\sigma(g)|^{-l-1}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*1^\vee_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}})(g)=|\sigma(g)|^{-l-1}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*(1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})(g).$$

Applying the Satake isomorphism to the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*(1^\vee_\rho)_{l/2}$, one gets a rational function on $\widehat{T}/W$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*(1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})(c)=\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho})(c)\mathcal{S}((1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})(c)=\frac{L\left(1+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\right)}{L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^\vee\right)}\mathcal{S}((1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})(c).$$

Here we notice that if φ_π is the zonal spherical function of π, then $\varphi(g^{-1})$ is exactly the zonal spherical function of $\pi^\vee$, so we get $\mathcal{S}(1^\vee_\rho)(c)=L(0,\pi^\vee_c,\rho)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{S}((1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})(c)=L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\right)=L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^\vee\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(|\sigma|^{l+1}\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}}))=\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}*(1^\vee_\rho)_{\frac{l}{2}})&=\frac{L\left(1+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\right)}{L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^\vee\right)}L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho^\vee\right)\\&=L\left(1+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\right)=\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,1+\frac{l}{2}})=\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}}|\sigma|^{l+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Using the inverse Satake isomorphism, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-l/2})=1_{\rho,-l/2}$. □

Finally we are going to verify the spherical part of Conjecture 1.2.3.

Proposition 2.4.7. *$\mathcal{F}_\rho$ preserves the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G,K)$.*

Proof. To show that $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ preserves the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G,K)$, we only need to show that for any $f\in\mathcal{H}(G,K)$, as a rational function on $\widehat{T}/W$

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}}*f))}{L\left(-\frac{l}{2},\pi_c,\rho\right)}$$

lies in $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W]$.

By definition,

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}} * f) = |\sigma|^{-l-1}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * ((1_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}} * f)^\vee)) = |\sigma|^{-l-1}\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee * 1^\vee_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is commutative, and functions in $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ also commute with $1_{\rho,s}$, we get

$$\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee * 1^\vee_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}} = \Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * 1^\vee_{\rho,-\frac{l}{2}} * f^\vee.$$

As shown in the proof of Proposition 2.4.6, we know that $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * 1^\vee_{\rho,-l/2} = 1_{\rho,1+l/2}$. Therefore we only need to show

$$|\sigma|^{-l-1}(1_{\rho,1+\frac{l}{2}} * f^\vee) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K),$$

which, after applying the Satake isomorphism, is equivalent to showing that

$$\mathcal{S}(1_{\rho,1+\frac{l}{2}} * f^\vee) \subset L\Big(1 + \frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho\Big)\mathbb{C}[\widehat{T}/W].$$

But this follows from the definition. □

Proposition 2.4.8. *The transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ extends to a unitary operator on the space $L^2(G, K, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$.*

Proof. To show that the transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ extends to a unitary operator on the space $L^2(G, K, |\sigma|^{l+1}dg)$, we only need to show

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \mathcal{F}_\rho(h)\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} = \langle f, h\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)}$$

for all f and h in $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), \mathcal{F}_\rho(h)\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} &= \int_G \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)(g)\overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}(g)|\sigma(g)|^{l+1}\,dg \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee(e),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, h\rangle_{L^2(G,K,|\sigma|^{l+1}dg)} &= \int_G f(g)\bar{h}(g)|\sigma(g)|^{l+1}\,dg \\ &= \bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee(e).\end{aligned}$$

To show that they are equal to each other, using the Satake isomorphism, it is enough to show that as a rational function in $c \in \widehat{T}/W$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee)(c) = \mathcal{S}(\bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee)(c).$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is an algebra homomorphism, we get

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f))\mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee). \tag{7}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f)(g) &= \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)(g)|\sigma(g)|^{l+1}\\ &= \Phi^K_{\psi,\rho} * f^\vee(g),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee(g) &= \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}(g^{-1}) = |\sigma(g)|^{l+1}\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}} * \bar{h}^\vee(g^{-1})\\ &= |\sigma(g)|^{l+1}(\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}} * \bar{h}^\vee)^\vee(g)\\ &= |\sigma(g)|^{l+1}(\bar{h} * \overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)(g).\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the calculations into (7), we get that as a rational function in $c \in \widehat{T}/W$, the left-hand side of (7) can be written as

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho})(c)\mathcal{S}(f^\vee)(c)\mathcal{S}(\bar{h})(c\cdot q^{-(l+1)})\mathcal{S}(\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)(c\cdot q^{-(l+1)}). \tag{8}$$

Similarly, the right-hand side of (7) can be written as

$$\mathcal{S}(\bar{h}_{l+1})(c)\mathcal{S}(f^\vee)(c) = \mathcal{S}(\bar{h})(c\cdot q^{-(l+1)})\mathcal{S}(f^\vee)(c). \tag{9}$$

Comparing (8) and (9), we only need to show

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho})(c)\mathcal{S}(\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)(c\cdot q^{-(l+1)}) = 1.$$

First we simplify the term

$$\mathcal{S}(\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)(c\cdot q^{-(l+1)}) = \mathcal{S}((\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)_{l+1})(c).$$

Then using the definition of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})(c) = \gamma\Big(-s-\frac{l}{2}, (\pi_c)^\vee, \rho, \psi\Big) = \frac{L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho\big)}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho^\vee\big)}.$$

Letting $s=0$, we get that as a rational function in $c \in \widehat{T}/W$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho})(c) = \frac{L\big(1+\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho\big)}{L\big(-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho^\vee\big)}$$

By Remark 2.4.5, the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$ is real-valued, which means that $\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}} = \Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}((\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}}^\vee)_{l+1})(c) &= \mathcal{S}(\overline{\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,-(l+1)}})(c^{-1}) = \mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,-(l+1)})(c^{-1})\\ &= \frac{L\big(-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c^\vee, \rho\big)}{L\big(\frac{l}{2}+1, \pi_c^\vee, \rho^\vee\big)} = \frac{L\big(-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_c, \rho^\vee\big)}{L\big(\frac{l}{2}+1, \pi_c, \rho\big)} = \mathcal{S}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})(c)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee)(c) = \mathcal{S}(\bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee)(c)$$

as a rational function in $c \in \widehat{T}/W$. Using the inverse Satake isomorphism we get the desired equality

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho,l+1}(f) * \overline{\mathcal{F}_\rho(h)}^\vee = \bar{h}_{l+1} * f^\vee. \qquad \square$$

3. Archimedean case

In this section we study asymptotic properties for $1_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ when F is an archimedean field.

First we give the definition of $1_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$.

Definition 3.0.1. The basic function $1_{\rho,s}$ is defined to be the smooth bi-K-invariant function on G such that

$$\int_G 1_{\rho,s}(g)\varphi_\pi(g)\,dg = L(s,\pi,\rho),$$

where φ_π is the zonal spherical function associated to the spherical representation π of G, and $1_{\rho,s} = 1_\rho|\sigma|^s$.

Definition 3.0.2. The spherical component of the distribution kernel of the ρ-Fourier transform kernel $\Phi_{\psi,\rho,s}$, which we denote by $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$, is defined to be the smooth bi-K-invariant function on G such that

$$\int_G \Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}(g)\varphi_\pi(g)\,dg = \gamma\Big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho,\psi\Big),$$

where φ_π is the zonal spherical function associated to the spherical representation π of G, and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s} = \Phi^K_{\psi,\rho}|\sigma|^s$.

By the *spherical Plancherel transform*, we know that the analytical properties of $1_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ are completely determined by the corresponding analytical properties of $L(s,\pi,\rho)$ and $\gamma(s,\pi,\rho,\psi)$.

3.1. ***Spherical Plancherel transform.*** In this section, we review the theory of the spherical Plancherel transform for any real reductive Lie group belonging to the *Harish-Chandra class* as defined in [Gangolli and Varadarajan 1988, Definition 2.1.1]. In particular, it applies to our situation. The main references are [Anker 1991] and [Gangolli and Varadarajan 1988].

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. We fix the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of K. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$, we let π_λ be the spherical representation induced from the character

$$m\exp(H)n \to e^{i\lambda(H)}, \qquad H \in \mathfrak{a},$$

of the minimal parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$. Here $A = \exp\mathfrak{a}$, M is the centralizer of A in K, and N is the corresponding unipotent radical.

We denote the zonal spherical function of π_λ by φ_λ.

We fix the norm $|\cdot|$ induced by the Killing form on G as in [Anker 1991, 1 Preliminaries].

The elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ act on $C^\infty(G)$ as differential operators. Following [Anker 1991, 1 Preliminaries], for any $(D, E) \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \times U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $f \in C^\infty(G)$, $x \in G$, we can define the left D right E derivative $f(D; x; E)$ of f, which again lies in $C^\infty(G)$.

We introduce the function spaces $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$ and $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$. Here $0 < p \le 2$ is any real number, and $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{p} - 1$.

Definition 3.1.1. For $0 < p \le 2$, let $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$ be the space of bi-K-invariant functions f in $C^\infty(K\backslash G/K)$ such that the norm

$$\sigma_{D,E,s}^{(p)}(f) = \sup_{x\in G}(|x|+1)^s \varphi_0(x)^{-\frac{2}{p}} |f(D; x; E)|$$

is finite for any $D, E \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Using the natural convolution structure of two bi-K-invariant functions, we can prove that $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$ is a Frechét algebra, where the topology is induced by the seminorms given by $\{\sigma_{D,E,s}^{(p)} \mid D, E \in U(\mathfrak{g}), s \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. Moreover, as mentioned in [Anker 1991, Lemma 6], the space $C_c^\infty(K\backslash G/K)$ is a dense subspace of $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$.

Now we introduce the space $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$.

Definition 3.1.2. Let $C^{\varepsilon\rho}$ be the convex hull generated by $W \cdot \varepsilon\rho_B$ in $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^* = \mathfrak{a}^* + iC^{\varepsilon\rho_B}$. Then $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$ consists of complex valued functions h on $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*$ such that

(i) h is holomorphic in the interior of $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*$,

(ii) h and all its derivatives extend continuously to $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*$,

(iii) for any polynomial function P on $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\tau_{P,t}^{(\varepsilon)}(h) = \sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda|+1)^t \left| P\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big) h(\lambda) \right|$$

is finite.

Let $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$ be the W-invariant elements in $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$. We can show that $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$ is a Frechét algebra, where the algebra structure is given by pointwise multiplication, and the W-invariant Paley–Wiener functions on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*)^W$, give a dense subspace of $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$ after restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*$.

In particular, when $\varepsilon = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ is the classical Schwartz space on $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

Definition 3.1.3. For any $f \in S^p(K\backslash G/K)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let $\mathcal{H}$ be the spherical transform defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(f)(\lambda) = \int_G f(x)\varphi_\lambda(x)\,dx.$$

Theorem 3.1.4 [Anker 1991; Gangolli and Varadarajan 1988]. (i) *$\mathcal{H}$ is a topological isomorphism of the Frechét algebra between $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$ and $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$, where $0 < p \le 2$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{p} - 1$.*

(ii) *The inverse transform is given by*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(h)(x) = \text{const} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} d\lambda |c(\lambda)|^{-2} h(-\lambda)\varphi_\lambda(x).$$

3.2. ***Langlands classification for*** $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$**: *spherical case.*** Before studying the analytical properties of L-functions and γ-factors, we need to obtain an explicit formula for L-functions and γ-factors. Therefore we review the Langlands classifications and Langlands correspondence of spherical representations for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The main reference for this and the next sections is [Knapp 1994]. For more advanced reference, the reader can consult [Langlands 1989].

The Langlands classification for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ describes all irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ up to infinitesimal equivalence. Since we only care about the spherical representations, we only present the classification and correspondence for spherical representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

The building block for spherical representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the quasicharacter $a \to |a|_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$. Here $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the ordinary valuation on $\mathbb{R}$, and $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have the diagonal torus subgroup

$$T = \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}))^n.$$

For each j with $1 \le j \le n$, let σ_j be a quasicharacter of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$ of the form $a \to |a|_{\mathbb{R}}^{t_j}$. Then by tensor product, $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ defines a representation of the diagonal torus T, and we extend the representation to the corresponding Borel subgroup $B = TN$, where N is the unipotent radical. We set

$$I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$$

using unitary induction.

Theorem 3.2.1 [Knapp 1994, Theorem 1]. *For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$,*

(i) *if the parameters t_j of $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ satisfy*

$$\mathrm{Re}\, t_1 \ge \mathrm{Re}\, t_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mathrm{Re}\, t_n,$$

then $I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$;

(ii) *the representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ exhaust the spherical representation of G up to infinitesimal equivalence;*

(iii) *two such representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ and $J(\sigma_1', \ldots, \sigma_{n'}')$ are infinitesimally equivalent if and only if $n' = n$ and there exists a permutation $j(i)$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\sigma_i' = \sigma_{j(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.*

Next we determine the corresponding Langlands parameters of spherical representations, which are given by homomorphisms of the abelianization of the Weil group, which we denoted by $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{ab} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, into $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Following [Knapp 1994, Section 3], the Langlands parameters corresponding to spherical representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are given by the direct sum of n one-dimensional representations of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ of the form

$$(+,t): \quad \varphi(z) = |z|_{\mathbb{R}}^{t}, \quad \varphi(j) = +1.$$

Now let φ be an n-dimensional semisimple complex representation of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$, which is the direct sum of n quasicharacters of the form $(+,t)$. For any $1 \le j \le n$, let φ_j be the corresponding irreducible constituent of φ. To φ_j we associate a quasicharacter. In this way, we associate a tuple $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ of representations to φ. By permutations if necessary, the complex numbers $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.2.1. Then by Theorem 3.2.1, we can make the association

$$\varphi \to \rho_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) = J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \tag{10}$$

and come to the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.2.2 [Knapp 1994, Theorem 2]. *The association (10) is a well-defined bijection between the set of all equivalence classes of n-dimensional semisimple complex representations of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ which are the direct sum of n one-dimensional representations of the form $(+,t)$, and the set of all equivalence classes of spherical representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

If the association φ is one-dimensional by $(+,t)$, the associated L-function and ε-factor are

$$L(s,\varphi) = \pi^{-\frac{(s+t)}{2}}\Gamma\Big(\frac{s+t}{2}\Big), \quad \varepsilon(s,\pi,\psi) = 1.$$

For φ reducible, $L(s,\varphi)$ and $\varepsilon(s,\varphi,\psi)$ are the product of the L-functions and $\varepsilon(s,\varphi,\psi)$ of the one-dimensional factors of φ.

3.3. ***Langlands classification for*** $\mathbf{GL_n(\mathbb{C})}$**: *spherical case.*** The Langlands classification for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ describes all irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ up to infinitesimal equivalence. Since we only care about the spherical representations, we only present the classification and correspondence for spherical representations.

The building block for spherical representations of the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the quasicharacter $a \to |a|_{\mathbb{C}}^{t}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$. Here $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the ordinary valuation on $\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$|z|_{\mathbb{C}} = |z\bar{z}| = |z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have the diagonal torus subgroup

$$T = \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}))^n.$$

For each j with $1 \leq j \leq n$, let σ_j be a quasicharacter of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$ of the form $a \to |a|_{\mathbb{C}}^{t_j}$. Then by tensor product, $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ defines a representation of the diagonal torus T, and we extend the representation to the corresponding Borel subgroup $B = TN$, where N is the unipotent radical. We then set

$$I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$$

using unitary induction.

Theorem 3.3.1 [Knapp 1994, Theorem 4]. *For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$,*

(i) *if the parameters t_j of $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ satisfy*

$$\mathrm{Re}\, t_1 \geq \mathrm{Re}\, t_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{Re}\, t_n,$$

then $I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$;

(ii) *the representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ exhaust the spherical representations of G up to infinitesimal equivalence;*

(iii) *two such representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ and $J(\sigma_1', \ldots, \sigma_{n'}')$ are infinitesimally equivalent if and only if $n' = n$ and there exists a permutation $j(i)$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\sigma_i' = \sigma_{j(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Next we determine the corresponding Langlands parameters of spherical representations, which are given by homomorphisms of the Weil group $W_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ into $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Following [Knapp 1994, Section 4], the Langlands parameters corresponding to spherical representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are given by the direct sum of n one-dimensional representations of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the form

$$(0, t) : z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \to |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^t, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z},\ t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now let φ be an n-dimensional semisimple complex representation of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is the direct sum of n quasicharacters of the form $(0, t)$. To φ_j we associate a quasicharacter $\sigma_j = |\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}^{t_j}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$. In this way, we associate a tuple $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ of representations to φ. By permutations if necessary, the complex numbers $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.3.1. Then by Theorem 3.3.1, we can then make the association

$$\varphi \to \rho_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi) = J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \tag{11}$$

and come to the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.3.2 [Knapp 1994, Theorem 5]. *The association (11) is a well-defined bijection between the set of all equivalence classes of n-dimensional semisimple complex representations of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are the direct sum of n one-dimensional representations and the set of all equivalence classes of spherical representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

If φ is given by $(0, t)$, the associated L-function and ε-factor are

$$L(s, \varphi) = 2(2\pi)^{-(s+t)}\Gamma(s+t), \qquad \varepsilon(s, \pi, \psi) = 1.$$

For φ reducible, $L(s, \varphi)$ and $\varepsilon(s, \varphi, \psi)$ are the product of the L-functions and $\varepsilon(s, \varphi, \psi)$ of the irreducible constituents of φ.

3.4. ***Asymptotic of $\mathbf{1}_{\rho,s}$ and $\Phi^{K}_{\psi,\rho,s}$: real case.*** Based on the local Langlands correspondence, we know that in order to study the asymptotic of L-functions, we need to study the asymptotic of the Γ function, where

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1}e^{-x}\,dx.$$

Here we recall the following estimation from [Bateman 1953, 1.18(6)], which can easily be derived from the classical Stirling formula.

Theorem 3.4.1. *For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\Gamma(x+iy) = \sqrt{2\pi}|y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-x-\frac{|y|\pi}{2}}\left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|y|}\right)\right], \quad |y| \to \infty.$$

Then we give a proof for the following estimation for the derivatives of the Γ-function.

Theorem 3.4.2. *We have*

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}(z)}{\Gamma(z)(\log z)^n} = 1,$$

where $\Gamma^{(n)}(z)$ is the n-th derivative of $\Gamma(z)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem via induction.

Let $D_n(z) = \Gamma^{(n)}(z)/\Gamma(z)$. When $n = 1$, using the classical Stirling formula, we have

$$\log\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\log(2\pi) - \log(z)) + z(\log z - 1) + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$$

for any $|z| \to \infty$, $|\arg z| < \pi$. Diving both sides by $z\log z$, we get

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{\log\Gamma(z)}{z\log z} = 1.$$

By L'Hôpital's rule, we get

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_1(z)}{1+\log z} = 1.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_1(z)}{\log z} = 1.$$

Thus we complete the proof for $n = 1$.

By definition, $\Gamma(z)D_n(z) = \Gamma^{(n)}(z)$. Taking the derivative on both sides, we get

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(z)D_n(z) + D_n'(z)\Gamma(z) = \Gamma^{(n+1)}(z).$$

From this we can deduce the equality

$$D_{n+1}(z) = D_n'(z) + D_n(z)D_1(z).$$

Hence

$$\frac{D_{n+1}(z)}{(\log z)^{n+1}} = \frac{D_n'(z)}{(\log z)^{n+1}} + \frac{D_n(z)D_1(z)}{(\log z)^n(\log z)}.$$

We assume that the limit

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_k(z)}{(\log z)^k} = 1, \quad 1 \le k \le n,$$

holds. To show the limit formula holds for $k = n+1$, we only need to show that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_n'(z)}{(\log z)^{n+1}} = 0.$$

Now we have the formula

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_n(z)}{(\log z)^n} = 1.$$

By L'Hôpital's rule, we get

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{zD_n'(z)}{n(\log z)^{n-1}} = 1.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{z(\log z)^2 D_n'(z)}{n(\log z)^{n+1}} = 1,$$

and we get

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{D_n'(z)}{(\log z)^{n+1}} = 0.$$

Combining the above results we prove the theorem. □

Next we come to describe an explicit formula for $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$.

By definition, π_λ is induced from the character

$$m\exp(H)n \to e^{i\lambda(H)}.$$

If we assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, where m is 1 plus the semisimple rank of G,

then its associated Langlands parameter is of the form

$$t \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{ab} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\times} \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{i\lambda_1} & & & \\ & |t|^{i\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{i\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that ρ has weights $\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \ldots, \varpi_n$, where $n = \dim(V_\rho)$. Then the associated parameter for $\rho(\pi_\lambda)$, which is the functorial lifting image of π_λ along ρ, is

$$t \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{i\varpi_1(\lambda)} & & & \\ & |t|^{i\varpi_2(\lambda)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{i\varpi_n(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varpi_j(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} n_k^j \lambda_k,\ n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

In the following, we need to use Lemma 3.4.3, on the representation ρ:

Lemma 3.4.3. *We have the inequality*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\varpi_k(x)| \geq C_\rho \sum_{t=1}^{m} |x_t|, \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathfrak{a}^* \tag{12}$$

for some constant $C_\rho > 0$.

Proof. The basic ingredient that we use is the fact that the representation ρ is faithful.

We restrict ρ to the split torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ of ${}^L G$. Up to conjugation, we can view ρ as an injective homomorphism from $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ to $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, where $n = \dim(V_\rho)$. Passing to the Lie algebra, we get an injective homomorphism from $(\mathbb{C})^m$ to $(\mathbb{C})^n$, which is given by the direct sum of the $\varpi_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq n$. Here each ϖ_k can be viewed as a character of $(\mathbb{C})^m$.

We notice that the inequality (12) is invariant by scaling, and holds identically when $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 0$. Therefore in order to obtain the bound C_ρ, we can assume that $\sum_{t=1}^{m} |x_t| = 1$. In this case, the function $f(x)$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\varpi_k(x)|, \quad \sum_{t=1}^{m} |x_t| = 1,$$

is continuous. Using the fact that the equality $\sum_{t=1}^{m} |x_t| = 1$ defines a compact set in $(\mathbb{C})^m$, we notice that there exists $x \in (\mathbb{C})^m$ with the property $\sum_{t=1}^{m} |x_t| = 1$, such that $f(x)$ is maximal. We let C_ρ be the maximum.

Now if C_ρ is equal to 0, this means that $\varpi_k(x) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. In particular,

it means that the morphism ρ is not injective when restricted to the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{C})^m$, which is a contradiction.

It follows that $C_\rho > 0$. This completes the proof. □

Now we are going to state our result on an asymptotic of $1_{\rho,s}$.

Theorem 3.4.4. *If* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > \max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\},$$

then $1_{\rho,s}$ *belongs to* $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$. *Here* $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{p} - 1,\ 0 < p \le 2$, *and* $\{\varpi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ *are the weights of the representation* $\rho : {}^LG \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$.

Proof. By definition

$$L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)} \Gamma\Big(\frac{s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big).$$

When $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, we want to show that the function $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$, as a function of λ, lies in the space $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$. The W-invariance of the function follows from the fact that $\pi_{w\lambda} \cong \pi_\lambda$ for any $w \in W$. Therefore we only need to show the following seminorm for $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$

$$\tau_{P,t}^{(\varepsilon)}(L(s, \pi_\lambda, t)) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*} (|\lambda| + 1)^t P\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big) L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$$

is finite if $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$. The reason that we need this bound is to prevent touching the possible poles of $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$.

Now we are going to estimate

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*} (|\lambda| + 1)^t P\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big) \Big[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)} \Gamma\Big(\frac{s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)\Big].$$

The term

$$P\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big) \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

is dominated by

$$C_1(|\lambda| + 1)^a \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

for some $a > 0$ and constant $C_1 > 0$.

For the term

$$P\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big) \Gamma\Big(\frac{s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big),$$

using Theorem 3.4.2 for the estimation on the derivative of $\Gamma(z)$, it is dominated by

$$C_2(|\lambda| + 1)^b \Gamma\Big(\frac{s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)$$

for some $b > 0$ and some constant $C_2 > 0$. Here we use the fact that $\log(z)$ is

dominated by $C(|z|+1)$ for some constant C if $\mathrm{Re}(z)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$.

Hence we only need to show that the following term is bounded:

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda|+1)^t \prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\Big(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big).$$

When $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^* = \mathfrak{a}^* + iC^{\varepsilon\rho}$, the real part of $(s+i\varpi_k(\lambda))/2$ is bounded and lies in a compact set, so the function $\pi^{-((s+i\varpi_k(\lambda))/2)}$ is always bounded. Using Theorem 3.4.1 for the estimation for $\Gamma(x+iy)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda|+1)^t \prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\Big(\frac{s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)\\ &\quad\le \sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*} C(|\lambda|+1)^t(\sqrt{2\pi})^n \\ &\qquad \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left|\frac{\mathrm{Im}(s)+\varpi_k(x)}{2}\right|^{\frac{\mathrm{Re}(s)-\varpi_k(y)-1}{2}} e^{\frac{\varpi_k(y)}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{Re}(s)}{2}-\frac{|\mathrm{Im}(s)+\varpi_k(x)|\pi}{4}}\right]\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C>0$. Here we write $\lambda = x+iy$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ y \in C^{\varepsilon\rho}$.

Now we know that $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, and y lies in $C^{\varepsilon\rho}$, which is a compact set. The term $\varpi_k(\lambda)$ is also dominated by a polynomial function in $|\lambda|+1$. Therefore up to a constant and a polynomial in $(|\lambda|+1)$, we only need to evaluate the term

$$\sup_{x\in\mathfrak{a}^*}(|x|+1)^t \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{-\frac{|\varpi_k(x)|\pi}{4}}.$$

By Lemma 3.4.3, it is bounded by

$$\sup_{x\in\mathfrak{a}^*}(|x|+1)^t \prod_{k=1}^{m} e^{-\frac{C_\rho|x_k|\pi}{4}},$$

which is bounded by a constant. This proves the theorem. □

Remark 3.4.5. As mentioned in [Getz 2018], by [Finis and Lapid 2011; 2016; Finis et al. 2011], the Arthur–Selberg trace formula is valid for functions in $\mathcal{S}^p(K\backslash G/K)$ whenever $0<p\le 1$. Therefore our result gives an explicit bound of the parameter s when the basic function $1_{\rho,s}$ can be plugged into the Arthur–Selberg trace formula.

We can also prove an asymptotic for $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$. By definition, the spherical component of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ is determined via the identity

$$\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}) = \frac{L(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho)}{L(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho)}.$$

Here we notice that if π has Langlands parameter

$$t \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{i\lambda_1} & & & \\ & |t|^{i\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{i\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\pi^\vee$ has Langlands parameter

$$t \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{-i\lambda_1} & & & \\ & |t|^{-i\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{-i\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first simplify the expression for the γ-factor by the functional equation of $\Gamma(z)$.

Lemma 3.4.6. *The formula*

$$\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}) = \Big(L\Big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\Big)\Big)\Big/\Big(L\Big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\Big)\Big)$$

can be simplified to be

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\Gamma\Big(\frac{1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big) \cdot\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\Big(\pi\Big(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)\Big)\Gamma\Big(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)\Bigg].$$

Proof. Using the definition of the L-function, we have

$$\frac{L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\big)}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\big)} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\Gamma\Big(\frac{1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}\left(s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\Gamma\Big(-\frac{s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\frac{\Gamma\Big(\frac{1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)}{\Gamma\Big(-\frac{s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)}.$$

Using the functional equation for $\Gamma(z)$,

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma\Big(-\frac{s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)} = \frac{1}{\pi}\sin\Big(\pi\Big(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big)\Big)\Gamma\Big(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\Big).$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right]. \quad \square$$

We write $\lambda = x + iy$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $y \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}$, and we notice that the function

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right)$$

is a Paley–Wiener function in λ, and hence lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$ as the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$ contains all the Paley–Wiener functions. The function $\pi^{-(1/2+s+l/2+i\varpi_k(\lambda))}$ is bounded. Then combining with Theorem 3.4.4 and the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$ is a Fréchet algebra, we know that if $\mathrm{Re}\left(s+1+\frac{l}{2}\right)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\left(s+2+\frac{l}{2}\right)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$, the function $\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$. Using the fact that $\pi_\lambda \cong \pi_{w\lambda}$ for $w \in W$, we know that $\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$.

In other words, we have proved the following asymptotic for $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$.

Theorem 3.4.7. *If* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > -1 - \frac{l}{2} + \max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n, \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\},$$

then the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ *lies in* $\mathcal{S}^p(K\backslash G/K)$.

We can also show that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ preserves $1_{\rho,-l/2}$. The proof is just the same as for the p-adic case by verifying that they have the same image under spherical Plancherel transform.

Remark 3.4.8. We make a remark on the function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$. In [Godement and Jacquet 1972], the authors defined the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ to be the derivatives of the basic function 1_{std}, which is not the restriction of the classical Schwartz functions on M_n to G. Using the classical theory of Fourier transform, one can show that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ is fixed by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{std}}$. Moreover, using Casselman's subrepresentation theorem [Casselman and Miličić 1982], one can show that the function space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ is enough to obtain the standard L-factors.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ be the polynomial ring on $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ is invariant under multiplication by $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$,

the function space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ is a Weyl algebra module, which means that the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ has a nice algebraic structure. It seems that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{std}}(G)$ defined in [Godement and Jacquet 1972] does not carry any natural topological structure. In general, we might hope that our function space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G)$ carries natural topological structure like the Fréchet topology on classical Schwartz space.

On the other hand, one may ask why we do not set up our space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ to be just $1_{\rho,-l/2} * C_c^\infty(G, K)$ as in p-adic case. Here we notice that the L-factor cannot be written as the fraction of two functions in the Paley–Wiener space $\mathcal{P}\big(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*\big)$, since the function $\Gamma(z)$ satisfies the limit

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty, |\arg z|<\pi} \frac{\Gamma(z)}{e^{z\log z}} = 1.$$

In other words, the function space $L\big(-\frac{l}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho\big)\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ does not contain $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ as a proper subspace. We can define $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ to be the space of functions generated additively by $1_{\rho,-l/2}$, $C_c^\infty(G, K)$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho(C_c^\infty(G, K))$. Then $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G, K)$ naturally contains $1_{\rho,-l/2}$ and is fixed by $\mathcal{F}_\rho$, but the algebraic and topological structure is not as clear as in the p-adic case.

3.5. ***Asymptotic of*** $\mathbf{1}_{\rho,s}$ ***and*** $\mathbf{\Phi}^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$***: complex case.*** Following the proof in the real case, we describe an explicit formula for $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$.

By definition, π_λ is induced from the character

$$m\exp(H)n \to e^{i\lambda(H)}.$$

If we assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, where m is 1 plus the semisimple rank of G, then its associated Langlands parameter is of the form

$$t \in W_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{i\lambda_1} & & & \\ & |t|^{i\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{i\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\lambda_1}{2}} & & & \\ & |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\lambda_2}{2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\lambda_m}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that ρ has weights $\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \ldots, \varpi_n$, where $n = \dim(V_\rho)$. Then the associated parameter for $\rho(\pi_\lambda)$, which is the functorial lifting image of π_λ along ρ, is

$$t \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\varpi_1(\lambda)}{2}} & & & \\ & |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\varpi_2(\lambda)}{2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{i\varpi_n(\lambda)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varpi_j(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^m n_k^j \lambda_k$, $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Now we are going to state our result on an asymptotic of $1_{\rho,s}$.

Theorem 3.5.1. *If* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > \max\left\{\frac{\varpi_k(\mu)}{2} \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\},$$

then $1_{\rho,s}$ *belongs to* $S^p(K\backslash G/K)$. *Here* $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{p} - 1,\ 0 < p \le 2$, *and* $\{\varpi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ *are the weights of the representation* $\rho : {}^LG \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$.

Proof. By definition

$$L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} 2(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right).$$

When $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, we want to show that the function $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$, as a function of λ, lies in the space $S(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$. The W-invariance of the function follows from the fact that $\pi_{w\lambda} \cong \pi_\lambda$ for any $w \in W$. Therefore we only need to show the seminorm for $L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$,

$$\tau_{P,t}^{(\varepsilon)}(L(s, \pi_\lambda, t)) = \sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda| + 1)^t P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)L(s, \pi_\lambda, \rho),$$

is finite if $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is bigger than $\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\}$.

Now we are going to estimate

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda| + 1)^t P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n}(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right].$$

The estimation is almost the same as the real case.

The term

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

is dominated by

$$C_1(|\lambda| + 1)^a(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

for some $a > 0$ and constant $C_1 > 0$.

For the term

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right),$$

using Theorem 3.4.2 for the estimation on the derivative of $\Gamma(z)$, it is dominated by

$$C_2(|\lambda| + 1)^b\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)$$

for some $b > 0$ and some constant $C_2 > 0$. Here we use the fact that $\log(z)$ is dominated by $C(|z| + 1)$ for some constant C if $\mathrm{Re}(z)$ is bigger than $\max\left\{\frac{\varpi_k(\mu)}{2} \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\}$.

Hence we only need to show that the following term is bounded:

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda|+1)^t\prod_{k=1}^{n}(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right).$$

When $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*=\mathfrak{a}^*+iC^{\varepsilon\rho}$, the real part of $(2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda))/2$ is bounded and lies in a compact set, so the function $(2\pi)^{-(2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda))/2}$ is always bounded. Using Theorem 3.4.1 for the estimation for $\Gamma(x+iy)$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}$ fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}(|\lambda|+1)^t\prod_{k=1}^{n}(2\pi)^{-\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{2s+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\\&\quad\le\sup_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*}C(|\lambda|+1)^t(\sqrt{2\pi})^n\\&\qquad\cdot\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left|\frac{2\mathrm{Im}(s)+\varpi_k(x)}{2}\right|^{\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(s)-\varpi_k(y)-1}{2}}\cdot e^{\frac{\varpi_k(y)}{2}-\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(s)}{2}-\frac{|2\mathrm{Im}(s)+\varpi_k(x)|\pi}{4}}\right]\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C>0$. Here we write $\lambda=x+iy$ with $x\in\mathfrak{a}^*$, $y\in C^{\varepsilon\rho}$.

Now we know that $s\in\mathbb{C}$ is fixed, and y lies in $C^{\varepsilon\rho}$, which is a compact set. The term $\varpi_k(\lambda)$ is also dominated by a polynomial function in $|\lambda|+1$. Therefore up to a constant and a polynomial in $(|\lambda|+1)$, we only need to evaluate the term

$$\sup_{x\in\mathfrak{a}^*}(|x|+1)^t\prod_{k=1}^{n}e^{-\frac{|\varpi_k(x)|\pi}{4}}.$$

By Lemma 3.4.3, it is bounded by

$$\sup_{x\in\mathfrak{a}^*}(|x|+1)^t\prod_{k=1}^{m}e^{-\frac{C_\rho|x_k|\pi}{4}},$$

which is bounded by a constant. This proves the theorem. □

We can also prove an asymptotic for $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$. By definition, the spherical component of $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ is determined via the identity

$$\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})=\frac{L\left(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\right)}{L\left(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\right)}.$$

Here we notice that if π has Langlands parameter

$$t\to\begin{pmatrix}|t|^{i\lambda_1}&&&\\&|t|^{i\lambda_2}&&\\&&\ddots&\\&&&|t|^{i\lambda_m}\end{pmatrix},$$

then $\pi^\vee$ has Langlands parameter

$$t \to \begin{pmatrix} |t|^{-i\lambda_1} & & & \\ & |t|^{-i\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & |t|^{-i\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first simplify the expression for the γ-factor.

Lemma 3.5.2. *The formula* $\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}) = \big(L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\big)\big)/\big(L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\big)\big)$ *can be simplified to be*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\pi}\sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\Bigg].$$

Proof. Using the definition of the L-function, we have

$$\frac{L\big(1+s+\frac{l}{2},\pi,\rho\big)}{L\big(-s-\frac{l}{2},\pi^\vee,\rho\big)} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{-\left(1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)/2}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{\left(2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)/2}\,\Gamma\left(-\frac{2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}.$$

Using the functional equation for $\Gamma(z)$,

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{\pi}\sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\pi^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)\right)}\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right) \cdot\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\Bigg]. \quad \square$$

We write $\lambda = x + iy$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $y \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}$, and we notice that the function

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{2+2s+\frac{l}{2}+i\varpi_k(\lambda)}{2}\right)\right)$$

is a Paley–Wiener function in λ, and therefore it lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$. The function $\pi^{-(1/2+2s+l/2+i\varpi_k(\lambda))}$ is bounded. Then combining with Theorem 3.5.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$ is a Fréchet algebra, we know that if $\mathrm{Re}\left(2s+1+\frac{l}{2}\right)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\left(2s+2+\frac{l}{2}\right)$ is bigger than $\max\{\varpi_k(\mu) \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\}$, the function $\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)$. Using the fact that $\pi_\lambda \cong \pi_{w\lambda}$ for $w \in W$, we know that $\mathcal{H}(\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s})$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon^*)^W$.

In other words, we have proved the following asymptotic for $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$:

Theorem 3.5.3. *If* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathrm{Re}(s) > -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l}{4} + \max\left\{\frac{\varpi_k(\mu)}{2} \mid 1 \le k \le n,\ \mu \in C^{\varepsilon\rho_B}\right\},$$

then the function $\Phi^K_{\psi,\rho,s}$ *lies in* $\mathcal{S}^p(K\backslash G/K)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. Dihua Jiang, who encourages me continuously, and gave me helpful advice when I was writing the paper. I would also like to thank Fangyang Tian for discussing the proof of Lemma 3.4.3, Chen Wan for reading the manuscript carefully, and Jorin Schug for correcting some grammar. Finally, I would like to thank the anonymous referee for several useful comments.

This work is supported in part through the NSF Grant: DMS–1600685 of Dihua Jiang.

References

[Anker 1991] J.-P. Anker, "The spherical Fourier transform of rapidly decreasing functions: a simple proof of a characterization due to Harish-Chandra, Helgason, Trombi, and Varadarajan", *J. Funct. Anal.* **96**:2 (1991), 331–349. MR Zbl

[Bateman 1953] H. Bateman, *Higher transcendental functions, I*, edited by A. Erdélyi, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR Zbl

[Borel 1998] A. Borel, *Semisimple groups and Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Texts and Readings in Math. **16**, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 1998. MR Zbl

[Bouthier et al. 2016] A. Bouthier, B. C. Ngô, and Y. Sakellaridis, "On the formal arc space of a reductive monoid", *Amer. J. Math.* **138**:1 (2016), 81–108. Correction in **139**:1 (2017), 293–295. MR Zbl

[Braverman and Kazhdan 2000] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan, "γ-functions of representations and lifting", pp. 237–278 in *Visions in mathematics* (Tel Aviv, 1999), vol. 1, edited by N. Alon et al., Birkhäuser (= GAFA special volume), 2000. MR Zbl

[Braverman and Kazhdan 2003] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan, "γ-sheaves on reductive groups", pp. 27–47 in *Studies in memory of Issai Schur* (Chevaleret, France/Rehovot, Israel, 2000), edited by A. Joseph et al., Progr. Math. **210**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003. MR Zbl

[Bump 2004] D. Bump, *Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Math. **225**, Springer, 2004. MR Zbl

[Cartier 1979] P. Cartier, "Representations of p-adic groups: a survey", pp. 111–155 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, I* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. MR Zbl

[Casselman and Miličić 1982] W. Casselman and D. Miličić, "Asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients of admissible representations", *Duke Math. J.* **49**:4 (1982), 869–930. MR Zbl

[Chen 2016] T.-H. Chen, "Non-linear Fourier transforms and the Braverman–Kazhdan conjecture", preprint, 2016. arXiv

[Cheng and Ngô 2017] S. Cheng and B. C. Ngô, "On a conjecture of Braverman and Kazhdan", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (online publication March 2017), art. id. rnx052.

[Finis and Lapid 2011] T. Finis and E. Lapid, "On the continuity of Arthur's trace formula: the semisimple terms", *Compos. Math.* **147**:3 (2011), 784–802. MR Zbl

[Finis and Lapid 2016] T. Finis and E. Lapid, "On the continuity of the geometric side of the trace formula", *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **41**:3 (2016), 425–455. MR Zbl

[Finis et al. 2011] T. Finis, E. Lapid, and W. Müller, "On the spectral side of Arthur's trace formula: absolute convergence", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **174**:1 (2011), 173–195. MR Zbl

[Gangolli and Varadarajan 1988] R. Gangolli and V. S. Varadarajan, *Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on real reductive groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik **101**, Springer, 1988. MR Zbl

[Getz 2018] J. Getz, "Nonabelian Fourier transforms for spherical representations", *Pacific J. Math.* **294**:2 (2018), 351–373. MR Zbl

[Godement and Jacquet 1972] R. Godement and H. Jacquet, *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **260**, Springer, 1972. MR Zbl

[Gross 1998] B. H. Gross, "On the Satake isomorphism", pp. 223–237 in *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry* (Durham, UK, 1996), edited by A. J. Scholl and R. L. Taylor, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **254**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998. MR Zbl

[Jacquet 1979] H. Jacquet, "Principal L-functions of the linear group", pp. 63–86 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, II* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. MR Zbl

[Kato 1982] S.-i. Kato, "Spherical functions and a q-analogue of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula", *Invent. Math.* **66**:3 (1982), 461–468. MR Zbl

[Knapp 1994] A. W. Knapp, "Local Langlands correspondence: the Archimedean case", pp. 393–410 in *Motives, II* (Seattle, 1991), edited by U. Jannsen et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **55**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. MR Zbl

[Lafforgue 2014] L. Lafforgue, "Noyaux du transfert automorphe de Langlands et formules de Poisson non linéaires", *Jpn. J. Math.* **9**:1 (2014), 1–68. MR Zbl

[Langlands 1970] R. P. Langlands, "Problems in the theory of automorphic forms", pp. 18–61 in *Lectures in modern analysis and applications, III*, edited by C. T. Taam, Lecture Notes in Math. **170**, Springer, 1970. MR Zbl

[Langlands 1989] R. P. Langlands, "On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups", pp. 101–170 in *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, edited by P. J. Sally, Jr. and D. A. Vogan, Jr., Math. Surveys Monogr. **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. MR Zbl

[Langlands 2004] R. P. Langlands, "Beyond endoscopy", pp. 611–697 in *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory* (Baltimore, 2002), edited by H. Hida et al., Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 2004. MR Zbl

[Li 2017] W.-W. Li, "Basic functions and unramified local L-factors for split groups", *Sci. China Math.* **60**:5 (2017), 777–812. MR Zbl

[Lusztig 1983] G. Lusztig, "Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities", pp. 208–229 in *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III* (Luminy, France, 1981), Astérisque **101-102**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983. MR Zbl

[Ngô 2018] B. C. Ngô, "Hankel transform, Langlands functoriality and functional equation of automorphic L-functions", preprint, 2018, Available at https://tinyurl.com/takngo.

[Sakellaridis 2018] Y. Sakellaridis, "Inverse Satake transforms", pp. 321–349 in *Geometric aspects of the trace formula* (Bavaria, 2016), edited by W. Müller et al., Springer, 2018.

[Satake 1963] I. Satake, "Theory of spherical functions on reductive algebraic groups over $\mathfrak{p}$-adic fields", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **18** (1963), 5–69. MR Zbl

[Tate 1950] J. T. Tate, Jr., *Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke's zeta-functions*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1950, Available at https://search.proquest.com/docview/304411725.

[Vinberg 1995] E. B. Vinberg, "On reductive algebraic semigroups", pp. 145–182 in *Lie groups and Lie algebras: E. B. Dynkin's Seminar* (Moscow, 1957-1962), edited by S. G. Gindikin and E. B. Vinberg, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **169**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. MR Zbl

Received March 14, 2018. Revised September 13, 2018.

ZHILIN LUO
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
UNITED STATES

luoxx537@umn.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US $490/year for the electronic version, and $665/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 300 No. 2 June 2019

Hochschild coniveau spectral sequence and the Beilinson residue 257
OLIVER BRAUNLING and JESSE WOLFSON

The graph Laplacian and Morse inequalities 331
IVAN CONTRERAS and BOYAN XU

Counting using Hall algebras III: Quivers with potentials 347
JIARUI FEI

J-invariant of hermitian forms over quadratic extensions 375
RAPHAËL FINO

On the determinants and permanents of matrices with restricted entries over prime fields 405
DOOWON KOH, THANG PHAM, CHUN-YEN SHEN and LE ANH VINH

Symmetry and monotonicity of positive solutions for an integral system with negative exponents 419
ZHAO LIU

On the Braverman–Kazhdan proposal for local factors: spherical case 431
ZHILIN LUO

Fields of character values for finite special unitary groups 473
A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY and C. RYAN VINROOT

Sharp quantization for Lane–Emden problems in dimension two 491
PIERRE-DAMIEN THIZY

Towards a sharp converse of Wall's theorem on arithmetic progressions 499
JOSEPH VANDEHEY