Pacific Journal of Mathematics

K-THEORY OF AFFINE ACTIONS

JAMES WALDRON

Volume 301 No. 2

August 2019

K-THEORY OF AFFINE ACTIONS

JAMES WALDRON

For a Lie group *G* and a vector bundle *E* we study those actions of the Lie group *TG* on *E* for which the action map $TG \times E \to E$ is a morphism of vector bundles, and call those *affine actions*. We prove that the category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ of such actions over a fixed *G*-manifold *X* is equivalent to a certain slice category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. We show that there is a monadic adjunction relating $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ to $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$, and the right adjoint of this adjunction induces an isomorphism of Grothendieck groups $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \cong KO_G(X)$. Complexification produces analogous results involving $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ and $K_G(X)$.

1. Introduction

1A. Let *G* be a Lie group. The tangent bundle *TG* carries two structures: it is a vector bundle over *G*, and a Lie group, with multiplication given by the derivative of the multiplication of *G*. These structures are compatible, in the sense that the multiplication $TG \times TG \rightarrow TG$ is a morphism of vector bundles, so that *TG* is a *group object* in the category of vector bundles. It is therefore natural to study actions of *TG* on vector bundles, such that the action map $TG \times E \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of vector bundles (see Definition 3.1 below). We refer to such actions as *affine actions*, as each element of *TG* necessarily acts by an affine linear transformation between fibres of *E* (see Remark 3.3 below). A basic example of an affine action is the following:

Example 1.1 (tangent bundles). For any action $t: G \times X \to X$ of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold X, the derivative defines an affine action $t_*: TG \times TX \to TX$ of TG on TX. Note that restricting the action t_* to G defines the natural action $G \times TX \to TX$ of G on TX, whilst restricting t_* to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ allows one to define a map $\mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(TX)$ which is exactly the infinitesimal action associated to t. These maps are compatible in the sense that $\mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(TX)$ is G-equivariant.

Example 1.1 suggests the question of whether the action $t_*: TG \times TX \to TX$, or more generally any affine action $\mu: TG \times E \to E$, can be reconstructed from its restrictions to *G* and g.

MSC2010: 19E99.

Keywords: vector bundles, equivariant K-theory, differential geometry.

One motivation for studying equivariant vector bundles is their use in defining the (real) equivariant K-theory $KO_G(X)$ of a G-manifold X (see [Segal 1968]). Recall that (at least if G and X are compact) $KO_G(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of the commutative monoid of isomorphism classes of G-equivariant real vector bundles over X. A natural question to ask is whether one can emulate this construction in the case of affine actions to define an abelian group $K_{TG}^{aff}(X)$. If so, how is this group related to $KO_G(X)$?

A different motivation for studying affine actions comes from the theory of *Lie* algebroids. Recall that a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle over X equipped with an \mathbb{R} -linear Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ and a map $A \rightarrow TX$, such that a certain Leibniz rule is satisfied. (See [Mackenzie 2005] for more details.) There exists a notion of equivariant Lie algebroid (called a *Harish-Chandra Lie algebroid* in [Beilinson and Bernstein 1993]) which involves both a *G*-action $G \times A \rightarrow A$ and a linear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ satisfying certain conditions. Variants of this notion have appeared in [Alekseev and Meinrenken 2009; Bruzzo et al. 2009; Marrero et al. 2012; Ginzburg 1999]. It was shown in [Marrero et al. 2012] that equivariant Lie algebroids give rise to examples of affine actions (see Example 4.5 below). One motivation for our results is therefore to generalise the notion of affine action and study this concept at the level of vector bundles.

1B. *Main results.* Throughout the paper *G* is a real Lie group and *X* is a *G*-manifold. See Section 2 for our notation and conventions and Section 3A for the definition of affine actions and their morphisms. We use $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ (respectively $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$) to denote the category of affine actions (respectively the category of real *G*-equivariant vector bundles) over *X*. We use \mathfrak{g}_X to denote the *G*-equivariant vector bundles) over *X*. We use \mathfrak{g}_X to denote the *G*-equivariant vector bundle associated to the adjoint representation of *G*. See Section 3C for the definition of the slice category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$.

Theorem A. The following three categories are isomorphic:

- (1) The category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ of affine actions of TG over X.
- (2) The category of pairs $(E, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$, defined as follows:
 - The objects are pairs (E, ρ_g) , where E is a G-equivariant vector bundle over X and $\rho_g : \mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(E)$ is a G-equivariant linear map.
 - The morphisms (E, ρ_g) → (E', ρ_g) are morphisms ψ : E → E' of G-equivariant vector bundles over X such that Γ(ψ) ∘ ρ_g = ρ'_g.
 - Composition is given by composition of morphisms of vector bundles over X.
- (3) The slice category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$.

Our second main result compares affine actions to equivariant vector bundles. There is a canonical forgetful functor

$$U: \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X).$$

Via the isomorphism $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \cong \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ of Theorem A, the functor U is equal to the canonical forgetful functor $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ which maps an object $\mathfrak{g}_X \xrightarrow{\phi} E$ to *E*. We also define a pair of functors F, $\sigma : \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$. In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$, they are defined on objects by $\sigma : E \mapsto (E, 0)$ and $F : E \mapsto (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{g}_X})$. See Section 5A for the precise definitions.

Theorem B. The following statements hold:

- (1) F is left adjoint to U.
- (2) The adjunction $F \dashv U$ is monadic.
- (3) σ is the unique section of U.

Our third main result concerns the Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$. We denote by

$$KO_G(-): G$$
-Man \rightarrow Ab

the functor from the category *G*-Man of *G*-manifolds to the category Ab of abelian groups, which maps a *G*-manifold *X* to the Grothendieck group of *G*-equivariant real vector bundles over *X*. (This agrees with real *G*-equivariant topological K-theory as defined in [Segal 1968] if both *G* and *X* are compact.) Although the category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ is not additive we show in Section 6B that it does have finite products. This allows us to define the Grothendieck group $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ (see Section 2C and Definition 6.13). This construction extends to a contravariant functor

$$K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(-): G\text{-Man} \to \text{Ab}.$$

Our third main result shows that $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(-)$ agrees with $KO_G(-)$:

Theorem C. For X a G-manifold the functor U induces a group isomorphism

$$K(\mathbf{U}): K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(X) \to KO_G(X).$$

Its inverse is

$$K(\sigma): KO_G(X) \to K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(X).$$

These isomorphisms are natural in X, and thus define an isomorphism of functors

$$K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(-) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G(-).$$

1C. *The complex case.* It is possible to reformulate the notion of affine action in the complex setting by replacing TG by the complexified tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ and considering actions $T_{\mathbb{C}}G \times E \to E$ on complex vector bundles *E*. The analogues of Theorems A, B and C hold with essentially the same proofs. See Section 7 for the precise statements.

1D. *The proofs.* Theorem A is proved using the facts that $TG \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}$ as a vector bundle, and $TG \cong \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ as a Lie group, where the semidirect product is defined via the adjoint representation of *G*. This allows one to decompose an action μ of *TG* into an action μ_G of *G* and a linear map $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with domain \mathfrak{g} . Parts of the proof are similar to that of Theorem 3.5 in [Marrero et al. 2012], which deals with the particular case where *E* is a Lie algebroid, and constructs, at the level of objects, one direction of the isomorphism of Theorem A.

Using the isomorphism $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \cong \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ of Theorem A, Theorems B and C are proved using the following two category-theoretic lemmas regarding over slice categories:

Lemma D. Let \mathscr{C} be a category, m an object in \mathscr{C} , and $\mathcal{U}: m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ the standard forgetful functor. If the coproduct $m \amalg a$ exists in \mathscr{C} for all objects a in \mathscr{C} then the functor $\mathcal{F}: a \mapsto (m \amalg a, i_m)$ is left adjoint to \mathcal{U} , and this adjunction is monadic.

Lemma E. Let \mathscr{C} be an additive category and m an object in \mathscr{C} . Let $\mathcal{U}: m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ be the standard forgetful functor and $\mathcal{S}: \mathscr{C} \to m \setminus \mathscr{C}$ the section $a \mapsto (a, 0)$. Then the group homomorphism

$$K(\mathcal{U}): K(m \setminus \mathscr{C}) \to K(\mathscr{C})$$

is an isomorphism. Its inverse is

$$K(\mathcal{S}): K(\mathcal{C}) \to K(m \backslash \mathcal{C}).$$

Here, $K(\mathcal{U})$ denotes the homomorphism of Grothendieck groups associated to the product preserving functor \mathcal{U} , and similarly for $K(\mathcal{S})$, see Section 2C. We expect that Lemma D is well known to experts (in particular it is stated without proof in [nLab 2009–]), but we are unaware of a complete reference and so have provided a proof.

1E. *Outline.* In Section 2 we fix notation and conventions. In Section 3 we define affine actions and morphisms between them. The main result of Section 3 is Theorem A, the proof of which is broken into Lemma 3.7 and Propositions 3.8, 3.10 and 3.11. In Section 4 we describe a number of examples of affine actions, and describe the category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}(X)$ for certain classes of groups *G* and *G*-manifolds *X*. In Section 5 we define several functors between $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. We then prove Lemma D, which is used to prove Theorem B. In Section 6 we define

pullback functors for affine actions. We then prove that the category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ has finite products and use this to define the abelian group $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ and the functor $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(-): G\operatorname{-Man} \to \operatorname{Ab}$. We then prove Lemma E from which we prove Theorem C.

2. Preliminaries

2A. *Notation and conventions.* By "manifold" we shall always mean smooth finite-dimensional real manifold. Maps between manifolds are assumed to be smooth. Unless stated otherwise, by "vector bundle" we mean finite-dimensional real vector bundle. We will usually denote manifolds by *X* or *Y*, vector bundles by *E* or *F*, vector fields by *v* or *w*, and sections of vector bundles by ξ or *v*. For a vector bundle *E* over *X* we use $\pi_E : E \to X$ to denote the bundle projection, and $0_E : X \to E$ to denote the zero section. We allow morphisms of vector bundles over different bases. If *E* and *F* are vector bundles over *X*, then by "morphism of vector bundles over *X*" we mean a vector bundle morphism $\phi : E \to F$ which satisfies $\pi_F \circ \phi = \pi_E$. If ϕ is a morphism of this type, then $\Gamma(\phi) : \Gamma(E) \to (F)$ denotes the associated linear map.

For $E \to X$ a vector bundle, $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(E)$, we use ξ_x to denote ξ evaluated at x. We use e_x to denote an element of E_x and v_x to denote an element of $T_x X$. We denote the zero element of E_x by 0_x . For a morphism of vector bundles $\phi : E \to F$ over X and $x \in X$ we denote by $\phi_x : E_x \to F_x$ the restriction of ϕ .

If $E \to X$ and $F \to Y$ are vector bundles then $E \times F$ is a vector bundle over $X \times Y$ in a natural way, with fibre over (x, y) canonically isomorphic to $E_x \oplus F_y$.

We denote hom-sets in a category \mathscr{C} by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(-, -)$. We reserve the unadorned Hom for morphisms of real vector spaces (i.e., linear maps). If *G* is a Lie group then we use Hom_G for morphisms of representations of *G* (i.e., *G*-equivariant linear maps). We denote identity morphisms by 1_a or id_a . If \mathscr{C} is an additive category then we denote any zero-morphism by 0. If $a \times b$ is a product in a category \mathscr{C} then we denote the associated projections by $\operatorname{pr}_a : a \times b \to a$ and $\operatorname{pr}_b : a \times b \to b$. Similarly, if $a \amalg b$ is a coproduct then we denote the associated inclusions by $i_a : a \to a \amalg b$ and $i_b : b \to a \amalg b$. If $a \amalg b$ is a coproduct and $f : a \to c$ and $g : b \to c$ are morphisms, then we denote by $(f, g) : a \amalg b \to c$ the associated morphism. We use a similar notation for morphisms into products.

If *G* is a Lie group then by a "*G*-manifold" we shall mean a smooth manifold *X* equipped with a smooth left action $t: G \times X \to X$. We denote by $t_g = t(g, -): X \to X$ the diffeomorphism associated to $g \in G$, which we also denote by $x \mapsto g \cdot x$. If *X* and *Y* are *G*-manifolds then by a *G*-map $f: X \to Y$ we mean a *G*-equivariant smooth map.

For a *G*-manifold *X*, by "*G*-equivariant vector bundle", or just "*G*-vector bundle", we mean a vector bundle $E \to X$ equipped with a left action $\mu_G : G \times E \to E$ which covers $t : G \times X \to X$ and which is fibrewise linear. A morphism $\phi : E \to F$

of *G*-equivariant vector bundles is a morphism of vector bundles over *X* which is also *G*-equivariant. We denote by $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ (respectively $\operatorname{Vect}_G^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$) the category of real (respectively complex) *G*-equivariant vector bundles over *X*. (See [Segal 1968] for generalities on equivariant vector bundles.) $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}_G^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ are both additive categories. In particular, for *E* and *F G*-vector bundles the *G*-vector bundle $E \oplus F$ is both the product and the coproduct of *E* and *F*.

We denote the category of finite-dimensional real (respectively complex) representations of *G* by Rep(*G*) (respectively Rep_C(*G*)). If *V* is such a representation then we denote by V_X the associated *G*-vector bundle, i.e., the trivial bundle $X \times V$ with *G*-action $g \cdot (x, v) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot v)$. If *E* is a *G*-equivariant vector bundle then we consider $\Gamma(E)$ as a *G*-representation with *G*-action $(g \cdot \xi)_x = g \cdot \xi_{g^{-1} \cdot x}$. If *V* and *W* are representations of *G*, and *E* is a *G*-vector bundle, then there exist bijections

(1)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)}(V_X, W_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{Hom}(V, W))^G$$
$$\phi \mapsto (x \mapsto \phi_x),$$

(2)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)}(V_X, E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, \Gamma(E))$$
$$\phi \mapsto (v \mapsto (x \mapsto \phi(x, v))).$$

2B. *Tangent groups.* Let *G* be a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ its Lie algebra. We will usually denote elements of *G* by *g* or *h*, and elements of \mathfrak{g} by α or β . The tangent bundle *TG* of *G* carries a natural Lie group structure with multiplication defined by the composite map $TG \times TG \xrightarrow{\cong} T(G \times G) \xrightarrow{m_*} TG$, where $m : G \times G \to G$ is the multiplication of *G*. We will denote the multiplication in *TG* by •. If $v_g \in T_g G$ and $w_h \in T_h G$ then it follows from the chain rule that

$$v_g \bullet w_h = (L_g)_* w_h + (R_h)_* v_g.$$

In particular, $0_g \bullet (-) = (L_g)_*$, $(-) \bullet 0_h = (R_h)_*$, $0_g \bullet 0_h = 0_{gh}$, and if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}$ then $\alpha \bullet \beta = \alpha + \beta$. If one considers \mathfrak{g} as an abelian Lie group upon which *G* acts via the adjoint representation then the associated semidirect product $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ has multiplication

$$(\alpha, g) \bullet (\beta, h) = (\alpha + \operatorname{Ad}_{g}\beta, gh).$$

There is a Lie group isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G \xrightarrow{\cong} TG$ given by $(\alpha, g) \mapsto (R_g)_*\alpha$. Under this isomorphism, the inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ corresponds to $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG \hookrightarrow TG$, the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ corresponds to $0_{TG} : G \to TG$, and the projection $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G \to G$ corresponds to $\pi_{TG} : TG \to G$.

2C. *Grothendieck groups.* Let \mathscr{C} be an essentially small category (one where the collection of isomorphism classes of objects in \mathscr{C} is a set) with finite products. The set \mathscr{C}/\cong of isomorphism classes of objects in \mathscr{C} forms a commutative monoid

under the operation $[E] + [E'] \equiv [E \times E']$. We denote by $K(\mathscr{C})$ the associated abelian group defined by the Grothendieck construction. If \mathscr{C} is an additive category then this agrees with the standard notion of the "split" Grothendieck group of \mathscr{C} , i.e., the abelian group generated by isomorphism classes of objects and relations $[A] + [B] = [A \oplus B]$.

If $\mathcal{F} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$ is a product preserving functor between categories satisfying the above assumptions then there is a group homomorphism $K(\mathcal{F}) : K(\mathscr{C}) \to K(\mathscr{C}')$ defined by $[E] - [E'] \mapsto [\mathcal{F}(E)] - [\mathcal{F}(E')]$. The group homomorphism $K(\mathcal{F})$ depends functorially on \mathcal{F} . If $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$ are naturally isomorphic functors then $K(\mathcal{F}) = K(\mathcal{F}')$.

We write $KO_G(X)$ for $K(\operatorname{Vect}_G(X))$ and $K_G(X)$ for $K(\operatorname{Vect}_G^{\mathbb{C}}(X))$. This agrees with *G*-equivariant topological K-theory as defined by Segal [1968] if both *G* and *X* are compact.

3. Affine actions

Throughout the paper, *G* denotes a Lie group and *X* denotes a *G*-manifold with action $t: G \times X \to X$.

3A. Affine actions.

Definition 3.1. An *affine action* of *TG* on a real vector bundle $E \to X$ is a left action $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ of the Lie group *TG* on the total space of *E* such that μ is a vector bundle morphism covering $t : G \times X \to X$.

Example 3.2. The derivative of *t* defines an affine action $t_* : TG \times TX \rightarrow TX$ of *TG* on *TX*.

Remark 3.3. The condition that μ is a morphism of vector bundles covering *t* is equivalent to requiring that:

(i) The following diagram commutes:

(ii) For all $(g, x) \in G \times X$ the restriction of μ to the fibre $T_g G \oplus E_x$ over (g, x) is a linear map $T_g G \oplus E_x \to E_{g \cdot x}$.

The second of these conditions implies that $v_g \in T_g G$ acts on $e_x \in E_x$ by the composite map $e_x \mapsto (v_g, e_x) \mapsto \mu(v_g, e_x)$. This is an affine linear map from E_x to $E_{g \cdot x}$, which justifies the name *affine action*.

Definition 3.4. A *morphism* from an affine action $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ to an affine action $\mu' : TG \times E' \to E'$ is a morphism $\psi : E \to E'$ of vector bundles over X which is TG equivariant, i.e., the following diagrams commute:



Definition 3.5. Affine actions of *TG* over *X* form a category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$.

Remark 3.6. If one considers TG as a group object in the category of vector bundles, then affine actions coincide with the notion of actions of group objects — see, for example [MacLane 1971].

3B. The structure of affine actions. Lemma 3.7 and Propositions 3.8, 3.10 and 3.11 below describe how an affine action $TG \times E \rightarrow E$ can be decomposed into a *G*-action $G \times E \rightarrow E$ and a linear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$, and how morphisms between affine actions can be described in terms of this decomposition. These propositions will be used in Section 3C to prove our first main result, Theorem A. The first proposition shows that an affine action can be recovered from its restriction to *G* and \mathfrak{g} , as motivated by Example 1.1 in the introduction.

Lemma 3.7. If μ : $TG \times E \rightarrow E$ is an affine action on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ then

$$\mu(v_g, e_x) = \mu(0_g, e_x) + \mu((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g, 0_{g \cdot x}).$$

Proof. Using the fact that μ is fibrewise linear we have

$$\mu(v_g, e_x) = \mu(v_g + 0_g, e_x + 0_x) = \mu((0_g, e_x) + (v_g, 0_x)) = \mu(0_g, e_x) + \mu(v_g, 0_x).$$

Using the fact that μ is a left action of TG we have

$$\mu(v_g, 0_x) = \mu(v_g \bullet 0_{g^{-1}} \bullet 0_g, 0_x) = \mu((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g, \mu(0_g, 0_x))$$
$$= \mu((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g, 0_{g \cdot x}).$$

Proposition 3.8. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. There is a bijection

(4) {affine actions $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ } $\xrightarrow{\cong}$ {pairs (μ_G, ρ_g) satisfying (\star), ($\star\star$)}, where:

- (*) $\mu_G : G \times E \to E$ is a left action of G on E making E into a G-equivariant vector bundle over X.
- (**) $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(E)$ is a *G*-equivariant linear map, where the *G*-action on $\Gamma(E)$ is induced from μ_G .

The bijection (4) maps an affine action μ to the pair (μ_G , ρ_g) defined by

(5)
$$\mu_G(g, e_x) = \mu(0_g, e_x),$$

(6)
$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = (x \mapsto \mu(\alpha, 0_x)).$$

The inverse of (4) maps a pair (μ_G, ρ_g) satisfying (\star) , $(\star\star)$ to the affine action μ defined by

(7)
$$\mu(v_g, e_x) = \mu_G(g, e_x) + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)_{g : x}$$

for $v_g \in T_g G$ and $e_x \in E_x$.

Note that the addition on the right-hand side of (7) is defined as the assumptions on μ_G and ρ_g imply that both terms are elements of $E_{g \cdot x}$.

Proof. We first show that if $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ is an affine action then the pair (μ_G, ρ_g) defined by (5) and (6) satisfies (\star) and ($\star\star$), which shows that the map (4) is well defined. That μ_G is a left action of G follows from the facts that μ is equal to the composite map

$$G \times E \xrightarrow{0_{TG} \times \mathrm{id}_E} TG \times E \xrightarrow{\mu} E$$

and that $0_{TG} : G \to TG$ is a Lie group homomorphism. The fact that μ is a vector bundle morphism covering $t : G \times X \to X$ implies that for fixed $g \in G$ the map $\mu_G(g, -) : E \to E$ is a vector bundle morphism covering $t(g, -) : X \to X$. This shows that μ_G satisfies (*). We will sometimes denote this *G* action by $g \cdot e_x = \mu_G(g, e_x)$.

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ then the commutativity of (3) and the fact that $(\alpha, 0_x) \in T_e G \oplus E_x$ implies that $\mu(\alpha, 0_x) \in E_x$, so that the map $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = (x \mapsto \mu(\alpha, 0_x))$ is a smooth section of *E*. The fibrewise linearity of μ implies that the map $\alpha \mapsto \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) \in \Gamma(E)$ is linear. If $g \in G$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in X$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathrm{Ad}_{g}\alpha))_{x} &= \mu(0_{g} \bullet \alpha \bullet 0_{g^{-1}}, 0_{x}) \\ &= 0_{g} \cdot \mu(\alpha, 0_{g^{-1}} \cdot 0_{x}) \\ &= 0_{g} \cdot \mu(\alpha, 0_{g^{-1} \cdot x}) \\ &= g \cdot \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g^{-1} \cdot x} \\ &= (g \cdot \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha))_{x}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies (******).

Now let (μ_G, ρ_g) be a pair satisfying (\star) and ($\star\star$), and let us show that μ as defined in (7) defines a fibrewise linear left action of *TG*. That μ is fibrewise linear

follows from the fibrewise linearity of μ_G and the linearity of ρ_g . Transporting the action from TG to $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ via the Lie group isomorphism $v_g \mapsto ((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g, g)$, we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot e_x = g \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x}.$$

If $(\alpha, g), (\beta, h) \in G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ then using (\star) and $(\star\star)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, g) \cdot ((\beta, h) \cdot e_x) &= (\alpha, g) \cdot (h \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\beta)_{h \cdot x}) \\ &= g \cdot (h \cdot e_x) + g \cdot \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\beta)_{h \cdot x} + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{gh \cdot x} \\ &= gh \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathrm{Ad}_g \beta)_{gh \cdot x} + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{gh \cdot x} \\ &= gh \cdot (e_x) + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha + \mathrm{Ad}_g \beta)_{gh \cdot x} \\ &= (\alpha + \mathrm{Ad}_g \beta, gh) \cdot e_x \\ &= ((\alpha, g) \bullet (\beta, h)) \cdot e_x. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that μ defines a left action of TG.

It remains to show that (4) is a bijection with inverse defined by (7). It follows from Lemma 3.7 that for an affine action μ mapped to (μ_G , $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$) by (4) we have

$$\mu_G(g, e_x) + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)_{g \cdot x} = \mu(0_g, e_x) + \mu((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g, 0_{g \cdot x})$$
$$= \mu(v_g, e_x).$$

Conversely, if (μ_G, ρ_g) is a pair satisfying (\star) , $(\star\star)$, and μ is the affine action defined by (7), then

$$\mu(0_g, e_x) = \mu_G(g, e_x) + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}((R_{g^{-1}})_* 0_g)_{g \cdot x}$$

= $\mu_G(g, e_x) + 0_{g \cdot x}$
= $\mu_G(g, e_x),$

and

$$\mu(\alpha, 0_x) = \mu_G(e, 0_x) + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}((R_e)_*\alpha)_{e \cdot x}$$
$$= 0_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_x$$
$$= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_x.$$

Remark 3.9. It follows from Lemma 3.7 and the proof of Proposition 3.8 that if one transports an affine action $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ from TG to $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ via the Lie group isomorphism $v_g \mapsto (g, (R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)$ then

(8)
$$(\alpha, g) \cdot e_x = g \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x}$$

(9)
$$= \mu(0_g, e_x) + \mu(\alpha, 0_{g \cdot x}).$$

In particular, $g \cdot e_x = \mu(0_g, e_x)$ and $\alpha \cdot e_x = e_x + \mu(\alpha, 0_x)$, so that elements of \mathfrak{g} act as fibrewise affine linear transformations. This motivates the name *affine action*.

Proposition 3.10. Let $E \to X$ be a *G*-equivariant vector bundle with *G*-action $\mu_G : G \times E \to E$. There is a bijection

(10)
$$\{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(E) \mid \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ is a } G\text{-equivariant linear map}\}$$

 $\stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \{\phi: \mathfrak{g}_X \to E \mid \phi \text{ is a morphism of } G\text{-equivariant vector bundles}\}.$

The bijection (10) maps $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(E)$ to the morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_X \to E$ defined by

 $\phi(x,\alpha) = \rho_{\mathfrak{q}}(\alpha)_x.$

Proof. This follows from the bijection (2) applied to the *G*-representation \mathfrak{g} and the *G*-equivariant vector bundle *E*.

Proposition 3.11. Let $\mu : TG \times E \to E$ and $\mu' : TG \times E' \to E'$ be affine actions corresponding to pairs $(\mu_G, \rho_g), (\mu_G, \phi)$ and $(\mu'_G, \rho'_g), (\mu'_G, \phi')$ under the bijections of Propositions 3.8 and 3.10. Let $\psi : E \to E'$ be a morphism of vector bundles over X. The following are equivalent:

- (1) ψ is TG-equivariant.
- (2) ψ is *G*-equivariant and $\Gamma(\psi) \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- (3) ψ is *G*-equivariant and $\psi \circ \phi = \phi'$.

Proof. (1 \Leftrightarrow 2) Via the isomorphism $TG \cong \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$, a map $\psi : E \to E'$ is *TG*-equivariant if and only if it is $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ -equivariant for the action (8). If $(\alpha, g) \in \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ then

$$\psi((\alpha, g) \cdot e_x) = \psi(g \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x})$$
$$= \psi(g \cdot e_x) + \psi(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x})$$

and

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot \psi(e_x) = g \cdot \psi(e_x) + \rho'_{\mathfrak{a}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x}.$$

Therefore, ψ is *TG*-equivariant if and only if

(11)
$$\psi(g \cdot e_x) + \psi(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x}) = g \cdot \psi(e_x) + \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{g \cdot x}.$$

Setting first $\alpha = 0$, and then g = e and $e_x = 0$, one sees that (11) is equivalent to the two equations

(12)
$$\psi(g \cdot e_x) = g \cdot \psi(e_x),$$

(13)
$$\psi(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)) = \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha).$$

Equation (12) is the condition that ψ is *G*-equivariant, and (13) is the condition that $\Gamma(\psi) \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

 $(2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in X$ then

$$(\Gamma(\psi) \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha))_{x} = \psi(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_{x}) = \psi(\phi(x, \alpha))$$

and

$$\rho'_{\mathfrak{a}}(\alpha)_x = \phi'(x, \alpha).$$

Therefore, $\Gamma(\psi) \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ if and only if $\psi \circ \phi = \phi'$.

3C. *The category of affine actions.* Recall that for a fixed object *m* in a category C, the *over-slice category* $m \setminus C$ is defined as follows:

- The objects are pairs (a, ϕ) , where a is an object in \mathscr{C} and $\phi : m \to a$ is a morphism in \mathscr{C} .
- The morphisms (a, f) → (a', f') are morphisms χ : a → a' in 𝒞 such that χ ∘ f = f':



• The composition of morphisms is induced from that of \mathscr{C} .

There is a canonical faithful forgetful functor $m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ defined by $(a, f) \mapsto a$ and $(\chi : (a, f) \to (a', f')) \mapsto (\chi : a \to a')$.

Theorem A. The following three categories are isomorphic:

- (1) The category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ of affine actions of TG over X.
- (2) The category of pairs $(E, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$, defined as follows:
 - The objects are pairs (E, ρ_g) , where E is a G-equivariant vector bundle over X and $\rho_g : g \to \Gamma(E)$ is a G-equivariant linear map.
 - The morphisms (E, ρ_g) → (E', ρ_g) are morphisms ψ : E → E' of G-equivariant vector bundles over X such that Γ(ψ) ∘ ρ_g = ρ'_g.
 - Composition is given by composition of morphisms of vector bundles over X.

(3) The slice category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$.

Proof. The bijections of Propositions 3.8 and 3.10 provide bijections between the classes of objects of each of these three categories. The bijections of Proposition 3.11 provide bijections between hom-sets. These bijections are functorial because the composition of morphisms in all three categories is given by the composition of morphisms of vector bundles over X.

Remark 3.12. For the remainder of the paper we shall use the isomorphisms of Theorem A implicitly. We reserve the notation (E, ρ_g) and (E, ϕ) for objects in the second and third categories in Theorem A respectively, and refer to either as a *pair*. In particular, Theorem A shows that associated to every affine action $TG \times E \rightarrow E$ is an "underlying" *G*-vector bundle *E*, equal to the *E* in either of the associated pairs. Explicitly, the underlying *G*-action μ_G is defined by the formula (5) and is equal to the restriction of μ along the Lie group homomorphism $0_{TG}: G \rightarrow TG$.

In Section 4 we give a number of examples, some phrased in terms of affine actions and some in terms of pairs. In Sections 5 and 6 we work mostly with the category $g_X \setminus \text{Vect}_G(X)$, but where it is easy to do so describe the corresponding statements in terms of affine actions — see Remarks 5.5, 5.7 and 6.2, and Corollaries 6.7 and 6.9.

4. Examples and special cases

4A. Examples.

Example 4.1 (the tangent bundle). The composite map

$$TG \times TX \xrightarrow{\cong} T(G \times X) \xrightarrow{t_*} TX$$

is an affine action on *TX*. The corresponding pair (μ_G, i_g) is given by $g \cdot w = (t_g)_*(w)$ and $i_g(\alpha) = \alpha^{\#}$, where $\alpha^{\sharp} \in \Gamma(TX)$ is the induced vector field associated to α , defined by

$$\alpha_x^{\sharp} = t_*(\alpha, 0_x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}(\exp(t\alpha) \cdot x).$$

In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot w_x = (t_g)_* w_x + \alpha_{g \cdot x}^{\#}.$$

Example 4.2 (equivariant vector bundles). If *E* is a *G*-equivariant vector bundle with *G*-action $\mu_G : G \times E \to E$ then the composite map

$$TG \times E \xrightarrow{\pi_G \times \mathrm{id}} G \times E \xrightarrow{\mu_G} E$$

is an affine action. The corresponding pair is $(\mu_G, 0)$. In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot e_x = g \cdot e_x.$$

Example 4.3 (the *G*-bundle \mathfrak{g}_X). The *G*-vector bundle \mathfrak{g}_X defines a canonical object (\mathfrak{g}_X , id) in the category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. The corresponding affine action is given by

$$v_g \cdot (x, \beta) = (g \cdot x, \operatorname{Ad}_g \beta + (R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g).$$

In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot (x, \beta) = (g \cdot x, \operatorname{Ad}_g \beta + \alpha).$$

Example 4.4 (*G*-modules). As a generalization of Example 4.3, suppose that *M* is a finite-dimensional real *G*-representation and $\bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{g} \to M$ is a *G*-map. Then $\bar{\phi}$ extends to a constant morphism of *G*-vector bundles $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_X \to M_X$ and (M_X, ϕ) is an object in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. The corresponding affine action is given by

$$v_g \cdot (x, m) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot m + \overline{\phi}((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)).$$

In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot (x, m) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot m + \phi(\alpha))$$

This construction extends to a functor

$$\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \cong \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$$

which coincides with the pullback functor $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(*) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ associated to the *G*-map $X \to *$ (see Definition 6.1 in Section 6A below).

Example 4.5 (equivariant Lie algebroids). Recall that a *Lie algebroid* is a vector bundle $A \to X$ equipped with an \mathbb{R} -linear Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ and a vector bundle morphism $\rho: A \to TX$, such that the Leibniz rule $[\xi, f\xi'] = \rho(\xi)(f)\xi' + f[\xi, \xi']$ is satisfied for all $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$. See [Mackenzie 2005] for more details.

A *G*-equivariant Lie algebroid (called a Harish-Chandra Lie algebroid in [Beilinson and Bernstein 1993]) is a Lie algebroid $A \to X$ equipped with an action $G \times A \to A$ of *G* on *A* by Lie algebroid automorphisms and a *G*-equivariant Lie algebra morphism $i_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(A)$ such that $\alpha \cdot \xi = [i_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha), \xi]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(A)$, where $\xi \mapsto \alpha \cdot \xi$ is the action of \mathfrak{g} on $\Gamma(A)$ given by the derivative of the action of *G*. Variants of this notion have appeared in [Alekseev and Meinrenken 2009; Bruzzo et al. 2009; Marrero et al. 2012; Ginzburg 1999].

By forgetting the Lie brackets, every *G*-equivariant Lie algebroid gives rise to a pair (A, i_g) and therefore to an affine action of *TG*. Affine actions of this type were considered in [Marrero et al. 2012].

Example 4.6 (affine actions on \mathbb{R}_X). Let \mathbb{R}_X be the *G*-vector bundle associated to the trivial representation of *G*. There is a bijection between affine actions with underlying *G*-vector bundle \mathbb{R}_X , and the space $C^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{g}^*)^G$. This follows from the bijection (2) and the isomorphism Hom(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) $\cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ of *G*-representations:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)}(\mathfrak{g}_X,\mathbb{R}_X)\cong C^\infty(X,\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R}))^G\cong C^\infty(X,\mathfrak{g}^*)^G.$$

Given a function $f \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathfrak{g}^*)^G$, the corresponding affine action is given by

$$v_g \cdot (x, \lambda) = (g \cdot x, \lambda + f_x((R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)).$$

In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$(\alpha, g) \cdot (x, \lambda) = (g \cdot x, \lambda + f_x(\alpha)).$$

Example 4.7 (products). If (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') are objects in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ then so is $(E \oplus E', (\phi, \phi'))$. In fact,

$$(E \oplus E', (\phi, \phi')) = (E, \phi) \times (E', \phi'),$$

where the right-hand side is the product of (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') in the category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ (see Section 6B). The corresponding affine action is the diagonal action

$$v_g \cdot (e_x, e'_x) = (v_g \cdot e_x, v_g \cdot e'_x).$$

In terms of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes G$ we have

$$v_g \cdot (e_x, e'_x) = (g \cdot e_x + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_x, g \cdot e'_x + \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)_x).$$

4B. Special cases.

Example 4.8 (discrete groups). If G is a discrete group then $TG \cong G$, and affine actions are the same as equivariant vector bundles.

Example 4.9 (tori). Suppose that *G* is one-dimensional and abelian. In this case the adjoint representation is one-dimensional and trivial. This implies that for every *G*-equivariant vector bundle *E* there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma(E)) \cong \Gamma(E)^G$. Affine actions are therefore equivalent to pairs (E, ξ) , where *E* is a *G*-equivariant vector bundle and ξ is a *G*-invariant section of *E*.

Example 4.10 (points). If X = * is a point then $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) = \mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$.

Assuming that G is simple and compact, one can use the description of products of Example 4.7 to describe the objects in $g \setminus \text{Rep}(G)$ explicitly:

Proposition 4.11. *If G is a simple compact Lie group and* (V, ϕ) *is an object in* $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ *then either*

- (1) $(V, \phi) = (V, 0), or$
- (2) $(V, \phi) \cong (\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id})^n \times (W, 0),$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and W is a finite-dimensional G-representation with no summand isomorphic to g.

Remark 4.12. Note that in the setting of Proposition 4.11, if $(V, \phi) = (V, 0)$ then $(V, \phi) \cong (\mathfrak{g}, 0)^n \times (W, 0)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and W a finite-dimensional *G*-representation with no summand isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. The proof is an application of complete reducibility (*G* is compact) and Schur's Lemma (\mathfrak{g} is irreducible as a *G*-representation because *G* is simple). Note that as *G* is simple and compact the adjoint representation \mathfrak{g} of *G* is absolutely irreducible ([Onishchik 2004]). In particular, $\operatorname{End}_G(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Let (V, ϕ) be an object in $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$. As a *G*-representation $V \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W$ for some nonnegative integer *n* and *G*-representation *W* with no summand isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} . It follows from Schur's Lemma that there is an isomorphism

(14)
$$\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W), \quad \underline{\lambda} \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto (\lambda_1 \alpha, \dots, \lambda_n \alpha, 0)).$$

Suppose that $(V', \phi') \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n'} \oplus W'$ is a second object in $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ and that under the bijection (14) (V, ϕ) (respectively (V', ϕ')) corresponds to $(\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W, \underline{\lambda})$ (respectively $(\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n'} \oplus W', \underline{\lambda}')$). If $(V, \phi) \cong (V', \phi')$ then we necessarily have n' = nand $W' \cong W$. Using Schur's Lemma again, we have a bijection

$$\operatorname{Aut}_G(\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Aut}_G(W),$$

from which it follows that isomorphisms in $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ from (V, ϕ) to (V', ϕ') correspond to diagrams



in which $(A, \psi) \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Aut}_G(W)$ and $A\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}'$. The proposition now follows from the fact that there are exactly two $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ orbits in \mathbb{R}^n : the zero orbit {0}, and its complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\underline{0}\}$. Under the bijection (14) the zero vector $\underline{0}$ corresponds to the zero morphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W$ and therefore to the object $(\mathfrak{g}, 0)^n \times (W, 0) \cong (V, 0)$, and the vector $(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\underline{0}\}$ corresponds to the map (diag, $0) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n} \oplus W$ and therefore to the object $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id})^n \times (W, 0)$.

Remark 4.13. In the Grothendieck group $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(*)$ (see Definition 6.13) the objects $[(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{id})]$ and $[(\mathfrak{g}, 0)]$ are, surprisingly, in fact equal. See Remark 6.16 below for a further discussion of this point.

Example 4.14 (trivial *G*-spaces). As a generalization of Example 4.10 consider the case where *X* is a trivial *G*-space. In this case an affine action $TG \times E \rightarrow E$ over *X* is equivalent to a smoothly varying family $TG \times E_x \rightarrow E_x$ of affine actions parametrised by $x \in X$. In particular, associated to each point $x \in X$ there is an object (E_x, ϕ_x) in $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \operatorname{Rep}(G)$.

Proposition 4.15 (homogeneous *G*-spaces). Let G/H be a homogeneous *G*-space. Then there is an equivalence of categories

(15)
$$\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(G/H) \simeq \mathfrak{g} \backslash \operatorname{Rep}(H),$$

where \mathfrak{g} is considered as an *H*-module by restriction of the adjoint representation of *G*.

Proof. Under the equivalence $\operatorname{Vect}_G(G/H) \to \operatorname{Rep}(H)$ the *G*-vector bundle \mathfrak{g}_X is mapped to the *H*-representation \mathfrak{g} . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(G/H) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_X \backslash \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \simeq \mathfrak{g} \backslash \operatorname{Rep}(H).$$

Example 4.16 (the tangent bundle of G/H). Under the equivalence (15) the tangent bundle T(G/H) corresponds to the quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, considered as an object in the category $\mathfrak{g}\setminus\operatorname{Rep}(H)$.

Proposition 4.17 (free *G*-spaces). Suppose that *P* is a free, proper *G*-space so that P/G is a manifold and $P \rightarrow P/G$ is a principal *G*-bundle. Then

 $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(P) \simeq \operatorname{ad}(P) \setminus \operatorname{Vect}(P/G),$

where $ad(P) = P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ is the adjoint bundle of P.

Proof. The quotient construction $E \mapsto E/G$ yields an equivalence of categories $\operatorname{Vect}_G(P) \to \operatorname{Vect}(P/G)$ under which the *G*-vector bundle \mathfrak{g}_P is mapped to the adjoint bundle $\operatorname{ad}(P) = P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore

(16)
$$\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(P) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_P \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(P) \simeq \operatorname{ad}(P) \setminus \operatorname{Vect}(P/G).$$

Example 4.18 (Atiyah algebroids). If $\pi : P \to X$ is a principal *G*-bundle then the *Atiyah algebroid* of *P* is the Lie algebroid (see Example 4.5) TP/G over *X* (see [Mackenzie 2005]). The Atiyah algebroid fits into a short exact sequence

 $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ad}(P) \rightarrow TP/G \rightarrow TX \rightarrow 0$

which arises from an application of the quotient construction to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ker} \pi_* \to TP \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi^* TX \to 0$$

and the isomorphism of *G*-equivariant vector bundles Ker $\pi_* \cong \mathfrak{g}_P$. Under (16), the tangent bundle *TP* corresponds to the object $(TP/G, \operatorname{ad}(P) \to TP/G)$ in $\operatorname{ad}(P) \setminus \operatorname{Vect}(X)$.

5. Vect $_{TG}^{aff}(X)$ and Vect $_G(X)$

In this section we relate affine actions to equivariant vector bundles and in Section 5C prove our second main result Theorem B. We mostly describe the statements in terms of the category $g_X \setminus \text{Vect}_G(X)$, but will indicate the corresponding results for $\text{Vect}_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(X)$ — see Remarks 5.5 and 5.7.

5A. *The functors* **U**, **F** *and* σ . We will define several functors between the categories $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. In Remark 5.5 we explain what are the corresponding functors between $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$.

Definition 5.1. We denote by U the forgetful functor

$$U: \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

which maps a pair (E, ϕ) to the *G*-vector bundle *E* and maps a morphism ψ : $(E, \phi) \rightarrow (E', \phi')$ to the morphism $\psi : E \rightarrow E'$.

Definition 5.2. We denote by σ the functor

$$\sigma: \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \backslash \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

defined on objects by $\sigma(E) = (E, 0)$ and on morphisms by $\sigma(\psi : E \to E') = (\psi : (E, 0) \to (E', 0)).$

Definition 5.3. We denote by F the functor

$$F: \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

which maps a *G*-vector bundle *E* to the object $(\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E, i_{\mathfrak{g}_X})$, where $i_{\mathfrak{g}_X} : \mathfrak{g}_X \to \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E$ is the natural inclusion $(x, \alpha) \mapsto ((x, \alpha), 0_x)$, and maps a morphism $\psi : E \to E'$ to the morphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \psi : (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E, i_{\mathfrak{g}_X}) \to (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E', i_{\mathfrak{g}_X})$.

Remark 5.4. Note that F can be written in terms of coproducts in $Vect_G(X)$ or products in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus Vect_G(X)$ (see Example 4.7 and Proposition 6.8):

$$\mathbf{F} = (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus -, i_{\mathfrak{g}_X}) = ((\mathfrak{g}_X, \mathrm{id}) \times -) \circ \sigma.$$

Remark 5.5. Via the isomorphism of Theorem A, the functors U, σ and F correspond to functors between Vect^{aff}_{TG}(X) and Vect_G(X), which we denote by the same symbols. The functor

$$U: \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X)$$

is given by the restriction of actions along the Lie group morphism $0_{TG}: G \to TG$. It maps an affine action $\mu: TG \times E \to E$ to the *G*-vector bundle *E* with action $g \cdot e_x = 0_g \cdot e_x$. The functor

$$\sigma: \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$$

is given by the restriction of actions along $\pi_{TG} : TG \to G$. It maps a *G*-vector bundle *E* to the affine action defined by $v_g \cdot e_x = g \cdot e_x$. See also Example 4.2. The functor

$$F: \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$$

maps a *G*-equivariant vector bundle *E* to the affine action $TG \times (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E$ given by

$$v_g \cdot ((x, \alpha), e_x) = ((g \cdot x, \operatorname{Ad}_g \alpha + (R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g), g \cdot e_x).$$

5B. *Lemma on slice categories.* This subsection contains the proof of the following category-theoretic result. Lemma D will only be used to prove Theorem C and will not be referred to elsewhere, so the reader uninterested in category-theoretic abstractions may wish to skip to Section 5C.

Recall that if $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{D} \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{F}$ are a pair of adjoint functors, with \mathcal{F} left adjoint to \mathcal{U} , then there is an associated *monad* acting on the category \mathcal{C} . This monad consists of the endofunctor $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{UF}: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, together with a certain pair χ, η of natural transformations which are constructed from the unit and counit of the adjunction. There is a canonical *comparison functor* $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}^{(\mathbb{T},\chi,\eta)}$, from \mathcal{D} to the category of $\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle$ -algebras, and the adjunction is called *monadic* if \mathcal{K} is an isomorphism. One can therefore understand the statement that an adjunction is monadic as saying that the category \mathcal{D} can be reconstructed from \mathcal{C} and the monad $\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle$ in a canonical way, which shows the importance of the notion. See [MacLane 1971] for further details.

Lemma D. Let \mathscr{C} be a category, m an object in \mathscr{C} , and $\mathcal{U}: m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ the standard forgetful functor. If the coproduct $m \amalg a$ exists in \mathscr{C} for all objects a in \mathscr{C} then the functor $\mathcal{F}: a \mapsto (m \amalg a, i_m)$ is left adjoint to \mathcal{U} , and this adjunction is monadic.

Proof. Let (a', ϕ') be an object in $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$. Via the universal property of the coproduct $m \amalg a$ the natural bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(m, a') \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(a, a') \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(m \amalg a, a')$$

restricts to a natural bijection

(17)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(a, a') \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{m \setminus \mathscr{C}}((m \amalg a, i_m), (a', \phi'))$$
$$f \mapsto \Sigma((\phi', f)).$$

The bijection (17) can be rewritten as

(18)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(a, \mathcal{U}((a', \phi'))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{m \setminus \mathscr{C}}(\mathcal{F}(a), (a', \phi')).$$

This shows that \mathcal{F} is left adjoint to \mathcal{U} .

It remains to show that the adjunction $\mathcal{F} \dashv \mathcal{U}$ defined by (18) is monadic. We must show that the canonical comparison functor $\mathcal{K}: m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}^{\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle}$ from $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$ to the category of $\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle$ -algebras is an isomorphism, where $\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle$ is the monad associated to the adjunction, and \mathbb{T}, χ, η and \mathcal{K} are defined below (see [MacLane 1971] for the general case).

Straightforward calculations show that the unit $\eta : 1_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{UF}$ and the counit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{FU} \Rightarrow 1_{m \setminus \mathscr{C}}$ of the adjunction have components

$$\eta_a = i_a : a \to m \amalg a,$$

$$\varepsilon_{(a',\phi')} = (\phi', 1_{a'}) : (m \amalg a', i_m) \to (a', \phi').$$

We denote the associated monad by $\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle$, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{UF} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}, \ \chi = \mathcal{U}\varepsilon \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{T}^2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and $\eta : 1_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. The functor \mathbb{T} is the functor $a \mapsto m \amalg a$. A further calculation shows that χ has components

$$\chi_a = (i_m, 1_{m \amalg a}) : m \amalg (m \amalg a) \to m \amalg a.$$

We can now describe the category $\mathscr{C}^{(\mathbb{T},\chi,\eta)}$ of $\langle \mathbb{T},\chi,\eta \rangle$ -algebras, or \mathbb{T} -algebras for short. Let $\langle a,h \rangle$ be a \mathbb{T} -algebra. Then *h* is a morphism $h: \mathbb{T}a = m \amalg a \to a$ in \mathscr{C} such that $h \circ \eta_a = 1_a$ as morphisms $a \to a$, and $h \circ \mathbb{T}h = h \circ \chi_a$ as morphisms $\mathbb{T}^2a \to a$. Under the natural bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(m \amalg a, a) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(m, a) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(a, a),$$

the first condition on *h* is equivalent to the condition that *h* is of the form $(\bar{h}, 1_a)$. A calculation then shows that the second condition on *h* holds automatically. It follows that \mathbb{T} -algebras can be identified with objects of $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$.

Let $f : \langle a, h \rangle \to \langle a', h' \rangle$ be a morphism of T-algebras. Then $f : a \to a'$ is a morphism in \mathscr{C} such that $h' \circ \mathbb{T}f = f \circ h$ as morphisms $\mathbb{T}a \to a'$. Identifying $h = (\bar{h}, 1_a)$ and $h' = (\bar{h}', 1_{a'})$ as above, the condition on f is

$$(\bar{h}', 1_a) \circ (1_m \amalg f) = f \circ (\bar{h}, 1_a)$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\bar{h}', f) = (f\bar{h}, f)$$

and therefore to $\bar{h}' = f\bar{h}$. It follows that morphisms between \mathbb{T} -algebras can be identified with morphisms in $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$. The discussion above shows that there is an isomorphism of categories $m \setminus \mathscr{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{C}^{(\mathbb{T},\chi,\eta)}$ defined on objects by

$$(a, \bar{h}) \mapsto \langle a, (\bar{h}, 1_a) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}(a, \bar{h}), \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon_{(a, \bar{h})}) \rangle$$

and on morphisms by

$$\begin{split} (f:(a,\bar{h})\to(a',\bar{h}'))\mapsto(f:\langle a,(\bar{h},1_a)\rangle\to\langle a',(\bar{h}',1_{a'})\rangle)\\ &=\left(\mathcal{U}f:\langle\mathcal{U}(a,\bar{h}),\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon_{(a,\bar{h})})\rangle\to\langle\mathcal{U}(a',\bar{h}'),\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon_{(a',\bar{h}')})\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

This functor is exactly the comparison functor $\mathcal{K} : m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}^{\langle \mathbb{T}, \chi, \eta \rangle}$ (see [MacLane 1971]), which implies that the adjunction $\mathcal{F} \dashv \mathcal{U}$ is monadic.

5C. Theorem B.

Theorem B. The following statements hold:

- (1) F is left adjoint to U.
- (2) The adjunction $F \dashv U$ is monadic.
- (3) σ is the unique section of U.

Proof. The category $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ is additive and so, in particular, has finite coproducts. If *E* is an object in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ then the coproduct $\mathfrak{g}_X \amalg E$ is given by the *G*-vector bundle $\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E$ together with the natural inclusions $i_{\mathfrak{g}_X} : \mathfrak{g}_X \to \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E$ and $i_E : E \to \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E$. It follows that F corresponds to the functor $\mathcal{F} : a \mapsto (c \amalg a, i_c)$ in the statement of Lemma D, and U to the functor \mathcal{U} . Therefore (1) and (2) follow from Lemma D.

We now prove (3). If *E* is an object in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ then $\operatorname{U}(\sigma(E)) = \operatorname{U}(E, 0) = E$, and if $\psi : E \to E'$ is a morphism then $\operatorname{U}(\sigma(\psi)) = \operatorname{U}(\psi : (E, 0) \to (E', 0)) = \psi$: $E \to E'$, so that σ is a section of U. It remains to show that it is unique. Let σ' be a section of U. If *E* is an object in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ then $\sigma'(E) = (E, \phi)$ for some morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_X \to E$. Applying σ to the zero-morphism $0 : E \to E$ produces a morphism $0 : (E, \phi) \to (E, \phi)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ which implies that $\phi = 0 \circ \phi = 0$. Therefore $\sigma'(E) = (E, 0) = \sigma(E)$. If $\psi : E \to E'$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ then the fact that σ' is a section of U implies that $\sigma'(\psi) = \psi : (E, 0) \to (E', 0)$. Therefore $\sigma' = \sigma$.

Remark 5.6. It follows from the details of the proof of Lemma D, and in particular (18), that the adjunction $F \dashv U$ of Theorem B is given in terms of hom-sets by:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)}(E, \operatorname{U}((E', \phi'))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)}(F(E), (E', \phi'))$$



Remark 5.7. It follows from the isomorphism $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \cong \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ of Theorem A, that the analogue of Theorem B involving the functors

 $U: \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{F}, \sigma: \operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$

defined in Remark 5.5 also holds.

6. Pullbacks, products and K-theory

In this section we first show that a G-map $f : X \to Y$ determines a pullback functor $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(Y) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$. Unlike $\operatorname{Vect}_{G}(X)$, $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ does not carry any obvious additive structure. Nonetheless, $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ does have initial and terminal objects, and finite products. This will allow us to define the Grothendieck group $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$, and to show that that the above-mentioned pullback functors determine group homomorphisms between these groups. Our third main result, Theorem C, shows that the functors U and σ defined in Section 5 determine a natural isomorphism between $K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ and the equivariant K-theory $KO_G(X)$. **6A.** *Pullback functors.* If $f_1 : X_1 \to X_2$ is a *G*-equivariant smooth map then the pullback of *G*-vector bundles determines a functor $f_1^* : \operatorname{Vect}_G(X_2) \to \operatorname{Vect}_G(X_1)$. If $f_2 : X_2 \to X_3$ is a composable *G*-equivariant smooth map then there is a natural isomorphism of functors $(f_2 f_1)^* \cong f_1^* f_2^*$. Note that there is a canonical isomorphism of *G*-vector bundles $f_1^* \mathfrak{g}_{X_2} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{X_1}$ given by $(x, (f_1(x), \xi)) \mapsto (x, \xi)$.

Definition 6.1. If $f: X \to Y$ is a *G*-equivariant smooth map then we define the functor

$$\tilde{f}^* : \mathfrak{g}_Y \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(Y) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

to be the composition

(19) $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(Y) \to (f^*\mathfrak{g}_Y) \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X),$

where the first functor in (19) is the functor between slice categories determined by the pullback of *G*-vector bundles, and the second is determined by the canonical isomorphism $f^*\mathfrak{g}_Y \cong \mathfrak{g}_X$.

Remark 6.2. In terms of affine actions the action of TG on f^*E is given by $v_g \cdot (x, e) = (g \cdot x, v_g \cdot e)$.

The following proposition follows immediately from the corresponding result for *G*-vector bundles:

Proposition 6.3. If f_1 , f_2 are composable *G*-equivariant maps and \tilde{f}_1^* , \tilde{f}_2^* are the pullback functors defined in Definition 6.1, then there is a natural isomorphism $(\tilde{f}_2f_1)^* \cong \tilde{f}_1^*\tilde{f}_2^*$.

Remark 6.4. The categories $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ for varying *X*, functors of Definition 6.1, and natural isomorphisms of Proposition 6.3 in fact constitute a *pseudo-functor G*-Man \rightarrow Cat from the category of *G*-manifolds to the 2-category of (essentially small) categories, but we shall not make use of this fact.

The pullback functors defined above are compatible with the functors U, σ and F defined in Section 5A in the following sense. Let us temporarilly record the dependence on X by U_X, σ_X and F_X. The following proposition then follows from the definitions of these functors:

Proposition 6.5. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a *G*-map. Then there are natural isomorphisms

$$U_X \tilde{f}^* \cong f^* U_Y, \quad \sigma_X f^* \cong \tilde{f}^* \sigma_Y, \quad F_X f^* \cong \tilde{f}^* F_Y.$$

6B. Products.

Proposition 6.6. $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ has a terminal object, which is given by $(X \times \{0\}, 0)$, and an initial object, given by $(\mathfrak{g}_X, \operatorname{id})$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of the slice category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ and the fact that $X \times \{0\}$ is a terminal object in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$.

Corollary 6.7. The category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ has a terminal object given by the zerovector bundle $X \times \{0\}$ with action $v_g \cdot (x, 0) = (g \cdot x, 0)$, and an initial object given by the vector bundle \mathfrak{g}_X with action $v_g \cdot (x, \alpha) = (g \cdot x, \operatorname{Ad}_g \alpha + (R_{g^{-1}})_* v_g)$.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism of Theorem A.

Proposition 6.8. The category $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ has finite products. The product of (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') is given by the object $(E \oplus E', (\phi, \phi'))$ and the canonical projections to (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') .

Proof. The result follows from general facts about limits in slice categories. Explicitly, let (F, ψ) be an object in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ and $f : (F, \psi) \to (E, \phi)$ and $f': (F, \psi) \to (E', \phi')$ be morphisms. In particular, $f \psi = \phi$ and $f' \psi = \phi'$. As $E \oplus E'$ is a product in $\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ there is a unique morphism $(f, f') : F \to E \oplus E'$ satisfying $\operatorname{pr}_E \circ (f, f') = f$ and $\operatorname{pr}_{E'} \circ (f, f') = f'$. We have $(f, f') \circ \psi = (f \psi, f' \psi) = (\phi, \phi')$ and therefore (f, f') defines a morphism $(f, f') : (F, \psi) \to (E \oplus E', (\phi, \phi'))$ in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$. Finally, the identities above involving pr_E and $\operatorname{pr}_{E'}$ imply that the same identities hold when these morphisms are considered as morphisms in $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$. This shows that $(E \oplus E', (\phi, \phi'))$ and the canonical projections to (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') satisfy the required universal property. \Box

Corollary 6.9. The category $\operatorname{Vect}_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X)$ has finite products. If E and E' are vector bundles equipped with affine actions then their product is the vector bundle $E \oplus E'$ with affine action $v_g \cdot (e, e') = (v_g \cdot e, v_g \cdot e')$.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism of Theorem A.

Proposition 6.10. The functors U and σ preserve products.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions of the functors U and σ and the description of products in $\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ given in Proposition 6.8.

Remark 6.11. Note that if G is not discrete then the left adjoint functor F of Definition 5.3 does not preserve products:

$$F(E) \times F(E') = ((\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus E'), (i_{\mathfrak{g}_X}, i_{\mathfrak{g}_X}))$$
$$\ncong (\mathfrak{g}_X \oplus (E \oplus E'), i_{\mathfrak{g}_X})$$
$$= F(E \times E').$$

Proposition 6.12. If $f : X \to Y$ is a *G*-map then the functor

$$\tilde{f}^* : \mathfrak{g}_Y \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(Y) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

of Definition 6.1 preserves products.

Proof. This follows from the definition of the functor \tilde{f}^* and the fact that the functor $f^* : \operatorname{Vect}_G(Y) \to \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$ preserves products.

6C. K-theory.

Definition 6.13. Let $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of the category $\text{Vect}_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(X)$.

It follows from Proposition 6.12 and the functoriality of the construction of the Grothendieck group (see Section 2C) that the functor

$$\tilde{f}^* : \mathfrak{g}_Y \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(Y) \to \mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)$$

associated to a *G*-map $f : X \to Y$ induces a group homomorphism $K(\tilde{f}^*) : K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(Y) \to K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(X).$

Definition 6.14. We denote by

$$K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(-): G\text{-Man} \to \text{Ab}$$

the functor which maps a *G*-manifold *X* to the abelian group $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(X)$ and maps a *G*-map $f: X \to Y$ to the group homomorphism $K(\tilde{f}^*)$.

Our last main result is that the functor $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(-)$ is isomorphic to $KO_G(-)$ (recall from Section 2C that $KO_G(X)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of $\text{Vect}_G(X)$). The proof is based on the following Lemma, which states the category-theoretic reasons for this result.

Lemma E. Let \mathscr{C} be an additive category and m an object in \mathscr{C} . Let $\mathcal{U} : m \setminus \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ be the standard forgetful functor and $S : \mathscr{C} \to m \setminus \mathscr{C}$ the section $a \mapsto (a, 0)$. Then the group homomorphism

$$K(\mathcal{U}): K(m \setminus \mathscr{C}) \to K(\mathscr{C})$$

is an isomorphism. Its inverse is

$$K(\mathcal{S}): K(\mathscr{C}) \to K(m \backslash \mathscr{C}).$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{S} = 1_{\mathscr{C}}$, the functoriality of the Grothendieck group implies that the homomorphism $K(\mathcal{U})$ is surjective, $K(\mathcal{S})$ is injective, and $K(\mathcal{U}) \circ K(\mathcal{S}) = 1_{K(\mathscr{C})}$. The lemma will therefore follow from the fact that $K(\mathcal{S})$ is surjective, which we will prove.

Let (a, f) be an object in $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$. Consider the diagram

(20)
$$m \xrightarrow{(f,f)} a \oplus a \\ \downarrow h \\ a \oplus a$$

where $h = (pr_1, pr_2 - pr_1)$, or in terms of elements $h(e_1, e_2) = (e_1, e_2 - e_1)$. It is clear that (20) commutes, and *h* is an isomorphism with inverse $(pr_1, pr_2 + pr_1)$.

Therefore, h determines an isomorphism

$$(a, f) \times (a, f) \xrightarrow{\cong} (a, f) \times (a, 0)$$

in $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$. It follows that in the Grothendieck group $K(m \setminus \mathscr{C})$ we have

$$[(a, f)] = [(a, 0)] = K(S)([a]).$$

Therefore, if (a_1, f_1) and (a_2, f_2) are objects in $m \setminus \mathscr{C}$, then

$$[(a_1, f_1)] - [(a_2, f_2)] = K(\mathcal{S})([a_1] - [a_2]),$$

which shows that K(S) is surjective.

Remark 6.15. There exist similar results describing the Grothendieck groups of various categories associated to an additive category \mathscr{C} . For example, the Main Theorem in [Almkvist 1974] describes the group K(end P(A)), where end P(A) is the additive category of endomorphisms of finitely generated projected modules over a commutative ring A.

Theorem C. If X is a G-manifold then the functor U induces a group isomorphism

$$K(\mathbf{U}): K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(X) \to KO_G(X).$$

Its inverse is

$$K(\sigma): KO_G(X) \to K_{TG}^{\operatorname{aff}}(X).$$

These isomorphisms are natural in X, and thus define an isomorphism of functors

$$K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(-) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G(-).$$

Proof. For fixed X, the fact that K(U) and $K(\sigma)$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms follows from Lemma E. The fact that these isomorphisms are natural in X follows from the first two natural isomorphisms in Proposition 6.5 and the functoriality of the Grothendieck group.

Remark 6.16. Note that Theorem C does not hold at the level of monoids. The functor U induces a morphism of commutative monoids

$$(\mathfrak{g}_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G(X)) / \cong \to (\operatorname{Vect}_G(X)) / \cong$$

which is an isomorphism if and only if *G* is discrete. In particular, $[(\mathfrak{g}_X, 0)]$ and $[(\mathfrak{g}_X, \mathrm{id})]$ are both mapped to $[\mathfrak{g}_X]$. This can be seen most explicitly in the situation of Proposition 4.11 (see also Remark 4.12). In this case the equality $[(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id})] = [(\mathfrak{g}, 0)]$ in $K_{TG}^{\mathrm{aff}}(*)$ implies the equality

$$[(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id})^n \times (W, 0)] = [(\mathfrak{g}, 0)^n \times (W, 0)]$$

in $K_{TG}^{\text{aff}}(*)$ between the two classes of elements appearing in the classification of objects in $\mathfrak{g} \setminus \text{Rep}(G)$ given in Proposition 4.11.

7. The complex case

It is possible to reformulate the notion of affine actions in the complex setting. The complexified tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ is both a complex vector bundle and a *real* Lie group. We define an affine action of $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ on a complex vector bundle *E* to be an action for which the action map $T_{\mathbb{C}}G \times E \to E$ is a morphism of *complex* vector bundles. For example, if *X* is a *G*-manifold with action $G \times X \to X$ then the derivative defines a complex affine action $T_{\mathbb{C}}G \times T_{\mathbb{C}}X \to T_{\mathbb{C}}X$ of $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ on the complexified tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}}X$ of *X*.

We use $\operatorname{Vect}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (respectively $\operatorname{Vect}_{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$) to denote the category of complex affine actions (respectively *G*-equivariant complex vector bundles) over *X*, and $K_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (respectively $K_G(X)$) to denote the Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{Vect}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (respectively $\operatorname{Vect}_{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$). As standard, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complexified Lie algebra of *G* equipped with the complexified adjoint representation.

The functors U, σ and F defined in Section 5A have analogues in the complex case, which we denote by

$$U^{\mathbb{C}}: \operatorname{Vect}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$$

and

$$F^{\mathbb{C}}, \sigma^{\mathbb{C}} : \operatorname{Vect}_{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(X) \to \operatorname{Vect}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X).$$

7A. *Complex theorems.* The following analogues of Theorems A, B and C hold with essentially the same proofs.

Theorem A'. The following three categories are isomorphic:

- (1) The category $\operatorname{Vect}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\operatorname{aff},\mathbb{C}}(X)$ of complex affine actions of $T_{\mathbb{C}}G$ over X.
- (2) The category of pairs (E, ρ_g) , defined as follows:
 - The objects are pairs $(E, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$, where E is a G-equivariant complex vector bundle over X and $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \Gamma(E)$ is a G-equivariant complex linear map.
 - The morphisms $(E, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to (E', \rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are morphisms $\psi : E \to E'$ of *G*-equivariant complex vector bundles over *X* such that $\Gamma(\psi) \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{C}} = \rho'_{\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{C}}$.
 - Composition is given by composition of morphisms of complex vector bundles over X.
- (3) The slice category $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_X \setminus \operatorname{Vect}_G^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$.

Theorem B'. The following statements hold:

- (1) $F^{\mathbb{C}}$ is left adjoint to $U^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (2) The adjunction $F^{\mathbb{C}} \dashv U^{\mathbb{C}}$ is monadic.
- (3) $\sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the unique section of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Theorem C'. If X is a G-manifold then the functor $U^{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a group isomorphism

$$K(\mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{C}}): K^{\mathrm{aff},\mathbb{C}}_{T \cap G}(X) \to K_G(X).$$

Its inverse is

$$K(\sigma^{\mathbb{C}}): K_G(X) \to K^{\mathrm{aff},\mathbb{C}}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}(X).$$

These isomorphisms are natural in X, and thus define an isomorphism of functors

 $K_{T_{\mathbb{C}}G}^{\mathrm{aff},\mathbb{C}}(-) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_G(-).$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Martina Balagović for useful comments on the first draft of this paper, and Peter Jørgensen for his continued guidance during the completion of this work. The author would also like to thank the Department of Mathematics at the University of Zagreb for their hospitality during part of the time in which this work was completed.

References

- [Alekseev and Meinrenken 2009] A. Alekseev and E. Meinrenken, "The Atiyah algebroid of the path fibration over a Lie group", *Lett. Math. Phys.* **90**:1-3 (2009), 23–58. MR Zbl
- [Almkvist 1974] G. Almkvist, "The Grothendieck ring of the category of endomorphisms", *J. Algebra* **28** (1974), 375–388. MR Zbl
- [Beilinson and Bernstein 1993] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, "A proof of Jantzen conjectures", pp. 1–50 in *I. M. Gel'fand Seminar*, edited by S. Gel'fand and S. Gindikin, Adv. Soviet Math. **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993. MR Zbl
- [Bruzzo et al. 2009] U. Bruzzo, L. Chirio, P. Rossi, and V. N. Rubtsov, "Equivariant cohomology and localization for Lie algebroids", *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **43**:1 (2009), 22–36. In Russian; translated in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **43**:1 (2009), 18–29. MR Zbl
- [Ginzburg 1999] V. L. Ginzburg, "Equivariant Poisson cohomology and a spectral sequence associated with a moment map", *Int. J. Math.* **10**:8 (1999), 977–1010. MR Zbl
- [Mackenzie 2005] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **213**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005. MR Zbl
- [MacLane 1971] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Math. 5, Springer, 1971. MR Zbl
- [Marrero et al. 2012] J. C. Marrero, E. Padrón, and M. Rodríguez-Olmos, "Reduction of a symplecticlike Lie algebroid with momentum map and its application to fiberwise linear Poisson structures", *J. Phys. A* **45**:16 (2012), art. id. 165201. MR Zbl
- [nLab 2009–] nLab authors, "Over category", wiki article, 2009–, available at https://ncatlab.org/ nlab/show/over+category.
- [Onishchik 2004] A. L. Onishchik, *Lectures on real semisimple Lie algebras and their representations*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2004. MR Zbl

[Segal 1968] G. Segal, "Equivariant K-theory", Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 129–151. MR Zbl

Received October 23, 2017.

JAMES WALDRON SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND PHYSICS NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE UNITED KINGDOM

james.waldron@newcastle.ac.uk

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan Mathematics Department National University of Singapore Singapore 119076 matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Matthias Aschenbrenner

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080

cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu

Department of Mathematics

The University of Hong Kong

Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong

jhlu@maths.hku.hk

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$490/year for the electronic version, and \$665/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 301 No. 2 August 2019

New applications of extremely regular function spaces TROND A. ABRAHAMSEN, OLAV NYGAARD and MÄRT PÕLDVERE	385
Regularity and upper semicontinuity of pullback attractors for a class of nonautonomous thermoelastic plate systems FLANK D. M. BEZERRA, VERA L. CARBONE, MARCELO J. D. NASCIMENTO and KARINA SCHIABEL	395
Variations of projectivity for C*-algebras DON HADWIN and TATIANA SHULMAN	421
Lower semicontinuity of the ADM mass in dimensions two through seven JEFFREY L. JAUREGUI	441
Boundary regularity for asymptotically hyperbolic metrics with smooth Weyl curvature XIAOSHANG JIN	467
Geometric transitions and SYZ mirror symmetry ATSUSHI KANAZAWA and SIU-CHEONG LAU	489
Self-dual Einstein ACH metrics and CR GJMS operators in dimension three TAIJI MARUGAME	519
Double graph complex and characteristic classes of fibrations TAKAHIRO MATSUYUKI	547
Integration of modules I: stability DMITRIY RUMYNIN and MATTHEW WESTAWAY	575
Uniform bounds of the Piltz divisor problem over number fields WATARU TAKEDA	601
Explicit Whittaker data for essentially tame supercuspidal representations GEO KAM-FAI TAM	617
K-theory of affine actions JAMES WALDRON	639
Optimal decay estimate of strong solutions for the 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping RENHUI WAN	667
Triangulated categories with cluster tilting subcategories WUZHONG YANG, PANYUE ZHOU and BIN ZHU	703
Free Rota–Baxter family algebras and (tri)dendriform family algebras YUANYUAN ZHANG and XING GAO	741