Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DEFORMATIONS OF LINEAR LIE BRACKETS

PIER PAOLO LA PASTINA AND LUCA VITAGLIANO

Volume 303 No. 1

November 2019

DEFORMATIONS OF LINEAR LIE BRACKETS

PIER PAOLO LA PASTINA AND LUCA VITAGLIANO

A VB-algebroid is a vector bundle object in the category of Lie algebroids. We attach to every VB-algebroid a differential graded Lie algebra and we show that it controls deformations of the VB-algebroid structure. Several examples and applications are discussed. This is the first in a series of papers devoted to deformations of vector bundles and related structures over differentiable stacks.

Introduction

Lie algebroids are ubiquitous in differential geometry: they encompass several algebraic and geometric structures such as Lie algebras, tangent bundles, foliations, Poisson brackets, Lie algebra actions on manifolds and so on, and they are the infinitesimal counterparts of Lie groupoids. The notion of Lie algebroid appeared for the first time in the work of Pradines [1967] and has become more and more important in the last fifty years. In particular, deformations of Lie algebroids have been discussed by Crainic and Moerdijk [2008], while deformations of Lie groupoids have been studied very recently by Crainic, Mestre and Struchiner [Crainic et al. 2015].

VB-algebroids are vector bundle objects in the category of Lie algebroids [Mackenzie 1998a; Gracia-Saz and Mehta 2010]. They emerge naturally in the study of Lie algebroids. For instance, the tangent and the cotangent bundles of a Lie algebroid are VB-algebroids. Additionally, VB-algebroids are generalizations of ordinary representations of Lie algebroids: specifically they are equivalent to 2-term representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids, hence to (special kinds of) representations of Lie algebroids on graded vector bundles [Arias Abad and Crainic 2012; Gracia-Saz and Mehta 2010]. Finally, VB-algebroids are the infinitesimal counterparts of VB-groupoids. The latter serve as models for vector bundles over certain singular spaces: *differentiable stacks* [Behrend and Xu 2011]. Examples of differentiable stacks are orbifolds, leaf spaces of foliations and orbit spaces of Lie group actions.

This is the first in a series of papers devoted to deformations of vector bundles over differentiable stacks and related deformation problems. A first step in this

MSC2010: primary 22A22, 58H05, 58H15; secondary 17B55.

Keywords: Lie algebroids, VB-algebroids, deformations, graded manifolds, deformation cohomology.

direction has been taken by del Hoyo and Ortiz [2016], who have shown that the VB-cohomology of a VB-groupoid is actually *VB-Morita invariant*, i.e., it is an invariant of the associated vector bundle of differentiable stacks. Notice that several important geometric structures, like Riemannian metrics, symplectic forms, complex structures, etc., can be seen as vector bundle maps. In order to study deformations of the former, it is then useful to study deformations of vector bundles themselves first. In this paper, we begin this program working at the infinitesimal level, i.e., studying deformations of VB-algebroids. More precisely, we study deformations of VB-algebroid structures on double vector bundles. In the second paper of the series we will study deformations of VB-groupoids and their behavior under the Lie functor [La Pastina and Vitagliano 2019].

The paper is divided into two main sections. The first one presents the general theory, and the second one discusses examples and applications. In turn, the first section is divided into four subsections. In Section 1.1 we recall from [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008] the differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA) controlling deformations of Lie algebroids. We also discuss gauge equivalent deformations, something that is missing in the original discussion by Crainic and Moerdijk. In Section 1.2 we recall the basics of VB-algebroids, in particular their description in terms of graded manifolds. In Section 1.3 we discuss deformations of VBalgebroids. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid. In particular $W \Rightarrow E$ is a Lie algebroid, so it has an associated deformation DGLA. We show that deformations of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ are controlled by the sub-DGLA of *linear* cochains, originally introduced in [Esposito et al. 2016], that we call the linear deformation complex, and we provide various equivalent descriptions of this object. The most efficient one involves the *homogeneity structure* of $W \rightarrow A$, i.e., the action of the monoid $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on the total space by fiber-wise homotheties: linear deformation cochains are precisely those that are invariant under the action by (nonzero) homotheties. It is clear that this action induces graded subalgebras of the algebras of functions, differential forms and multivectors on the total space of a vector bundle and can be used to define *linear* objects in these algebras, thus giving a unified framework to the original definitions in [Bursztyn and Cabrera 2012] and [Iglesias-Ponte et al. 2012]. We recall this briefly in the Appendix. Another important description of the linear deformation complex is in terms of graded geometry. It is well known that Lie algebroids are equivalent to DG-manifolds concentrated in degree 0 and 1 and VB-algebroids are equivalent to vector bundles in the category of such graded manifolds [Mehta 2006; Vaintrob 1997; Voronov 2012]. Moreover, it is (implicitly) shown in [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008] that the deformation DGLA of a Lie algebroid $A \Rightarrow M$ is isomorphic to the DGLA of vector fields on A[1], giving an elegant and manageable interpretation. A similar interpretation becomes very useful in the case of VB-algebroids.

In Section 1.4 we show that it is possible to "linearize" deformation cochains of the top algebroid $W \Rightarrow E$ of a VB-algebroid ($W \Rightarrow E$; $A \Rightarrow M$), adapting a technique from [Cabrera and Drummond 2017]. The main consequence is that the linear deformation cohomology is embedded, as a graded Lie algebra, in the deformation cohomology of the top algebroid.

In the second section of the paper we present examples. We discuss in detail particularly simple instances of VB-algebroids coming from linear algebra, namely VB-algebras and LA-vector spaces (Sections 2.1 and 2.2 respectively). VB-algebras are equivalent to Lie algebra representations, and our discussion encompasses the classical theory of Nijenhuis and Richardson [1966; 1967a]. In Section 2.3, we discuss deformations of the tangent and the cotangent VB-algebroids of a Lie algebroid. Partial connections along foliations and Lie algebra actions on vector bundles can be also encoded by VB-algebroids and we study the associated deformation complexes in Sections 2.4 and 2.5 respectively. We also discuss VB-algebroids of type 1 in the classification of Gracia-Saz and Mehta [2010]. Their deformation cohomology is canonically isomorphic to that of the base algebroid (Section 2.6).

We usually indicate with a bullet • the presence of a degree in a graded vector space. If V^{\bullet} is a graded vector space, its *shift by one* $V[1]^{\bullet}$ is defined by $V[1]^k = V^{k+1}$. We assume the reader is familiar with graded manifolds and the graded geometry description of Lie algebroids. Here, we only recall that a graded manifold is *concentrated* in degree $k, \ldots, k+l$, if the degrees of its coordinates range from k to k+l and a DG-manifold is a graded manifold equipped with an homological vector field. For instance, if $A \Rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid, then shifting by one the degree of the fibers of the vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$, we get a DG-manifold A[1], concentrated in degree 0 and 1, whose homological vector field is the de Rham differential d_A of A. Explicitly, the algebra of smooth functions on A[1] is

$$C^{\infty}(A[1]) = \Omega^{\bullet}_A := \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^*).$$

The correspondence $A \rightsquigarrow A[1]$ establishes an equivalence between the category of Lie algebroids and the category of DG-manifolds concentrated in degree 0 and 1 [Vaintrob 1997]. We stress that the graded manifold A[1] is obtained from A by *assigning a degree* 1 to the linear fiber coordinates. We warn the unfamiliar reader that, despite the notation, the shift $A \rightsquigarrow A[1]$ is (related but) different from the degree shift for a graded vector space discussed at the beginning of this paragraph. The reader can find more details in [Mehta 2006] which is also our main reference for graded geometry.

1. Deformations of VB-algebroids

1.1. *Deformations of Lie algebroids.* A Lie algebroid $A \Rightarrow M$ over a manifold M is a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ with a Lie bracket [-, -] on its space of sections $\Gamma(A)$

and a bundle map $\rho: A \to TM$, satisfying the Leibniz rule:

$$[\alpha, f\beta] = \rho(\alpha)(f)\beta + f[\alpha, \beta]$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A), f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

We briefly recall the deformation theory of Lie algebroids, originally due to Crainic and Moerdijk [2008], adding some small details about equivalence of deformations which are missing in the original treatment. We begin with a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Let $k \ge 0$.

Definition 1.1.1. A *multiderivation* of *E* with *k* entries (and $C^{\infty}(M)$ -multilinear symbol), also called a *k*-derivation, is a skew-symmetric, \mathbb{R} -*k*-linear map

$$c: \Gamma(E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$$

such that there exists a bundle map $\sigma_c : \wedge^{k-1}E \to TM$, the symbol of c, satisfying the following Leibniz rule:

$$c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1},f\alpha_k)=\sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})(f)\alpha_k+fc(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k),$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(E), f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

1-derivations are simply *derivations*, 2-derivations are called *biderivations*. The space of derivations of *E* is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ (or $\mathfrak{D}(E, M)$ if we want to insist on the fact that the base of the vector bundle *E* is *M*). The space of *k*-derivations is denoted $\mathfrak{D}^k(E)$ (or $\mathfrak{D}^k(E, M)$). In particular, $\mathfrak{D}^1(E) = \mathfrak{D}(E)$. We also put $\mathfrak{D}^0(E) = \Gamma(E)$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(E) = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \mathfrak{D}^k(E)$. Then $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(E)[1]$, endowed with the *Gerstenhaber bracket* $[\![-, -]\!]$, is a graded Lie algebra. We recall that, for $c_1 \in \mathfrak{D}^k(E)$, and $c_2 \in \mathfrak{D}^l(E)$, the *Gerstenhaber product* of c_1 and c_2 is the \mathbb{R} -(k+l-1)-linear map $c_1 \circ c_2$ given by

$$(c_1 \circ c_2)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{l,k-1}} (-1)^{\tau} c_1(c_2(\alpha_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\tau(l)}), \alpha_{\tau(l+1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\tau(l+k-1)})$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+l-1} \in \Gamma(E)$, and the Gerstenhaber bracket is defined by

$$\llbracket c_1, c_2 \rrbracket = (-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} c_1 \circ c_2 - c_2 \circ c_1.$$

The graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(E)[1]$ first appeared in [Grabowska et al. 2003].

The group of vector bundle automorphisms of *E* acts naturally on multiderivations of *E*. If $\phi : E \to E$ is an automorphism covering the diffeomorphism $\phi_M : M \to M$, then ϕ acts on sections of *E* (by pull-back) via the following formula:

$$\phi^*\alpha := \phi^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \phi_M, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(E),$$

and it acts on higher degree multiderivations via:

$$(\phi^*c)(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k) := \phi^*(c(\phi^{-1*}\alpha_1,\ldots,\phi^{-1*}\alpha_k))$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(E), c \in \mathfrak{D}^k(E)$. Moreover, ϕ acts in the obvious way on sections of the dual bundle E^* . It is clear that

(1-1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^*(f\alpha) &= \phi_M^* f \cdot \phi^* \alpha, \\
\phi^*(c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)) &= (\phi^* c)(\phi^* \alpha_1, \dots, \phi^* \alpha_k), \\
\phi_M^*(\varphi, \alpha) &= \langle \phi^* \varphi, \phi^* \alpha \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(E)$, $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, and $\varphi \in \Gamma(E^*)$, where $\langle -, - \rangle : E^* \otimes E \to \mathbb{R}$ is the duality pairing. Finally, ϕ acts on the exterior algebras of *E* and *E*^{*}, and it also acts on vector bundle maps $\wedge^{\bullet}E \to TM$ in the obvious way.

A direct computation shows that the action of vector bundle automorphisms on multiderivations does also respect the Gerstenhaber bracket, i.e.,

(1-2)
$$\phi^*[\![c_1, c_2]\!] = [\![\phi^* c_1, \phi^* c_2]\!]$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(E)$. Additionally,

(1-3)
$$\phi^* \sigma_c = \sigma_{\phi^* c}$$

for all $c \in \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(E)$.

If $A \Rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid, the Lie bracket $b_A = [-, -]$ on sections of A is a biderivation and it contains the full information about $A \Rightarrow M$. Additionally, $[\![b_A, b_A]\!] = 0$ as a consequence of the Jacobi identity. We summarize this remark with the following:

Proposition 1.1.2. *Lie algebroid structures on* $A \rightarrow M$ *are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer–Cartan elements in the graded Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(A)[1]$, *i.e., degree* 1 *elements b such that* $[\![b, b]\!] = 0$.

Now, fix a Lie algebroid structure $A \Rightarrow M$ on the vector bundle $A \to M$, and let b_A be the Lie bracket on sections of A. Equipped with the Gerstenhaber bracket and the interior derivation $\delta := [\![b_A, -]\!], \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(A)[1]$ is a differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA), denoted $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ (or $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A, M)$ if we want to insist on the base manifold being M) and called the *deformation complex of* A. The cohomology of $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ is denoted $H^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ (or $H^{\bullet}_{def}(A, M)$), and called the *deformation complex of* A.

Remark 1.1.3. Notice that we adopt a different convention from that of [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008], where $C_{def}^k(A)$ is the space of *k*-derivations. With that convention, however, $C_{def}^{\bullet}(A)$ is a DGLA only up to a shift.

The differential $\delta: C^{\bullet}_{def}(A) \to C^{\bullet+1}_{def}(A)$ is given, on *k*-derivations, by

(1-4)
$$\delta c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} [\alpha_i, c(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_i}, \dots, \alpha_{k+1})] + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} c([\alpha_i, \alpha_j], \alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_i}, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_j}, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}).$$

Definition 1.1.4. A *deformation* of b_A is any (other) Lie algebroid structure on the vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$.

It is clear that $b = b_A + c$ satisfies $[\![b, b]\!] = 0$ if and only if

$$\delta c + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket c, c \rrbracket = 0,$$

i.e., *c* is a (degree 1) solution of the *Maurer–Cartan equation* in the DGLA $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$. Hence Proposition 1.1.2 can be rephrased saying that *deformations of* b_A *are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer–Cartan elements of* $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$.

Now, let b_0 , b_1 be deformations of b_A . We say that b_0 and b_1 are *equivalent* if there exists a *fiber-wise linear* isotopy taking b_0 to b_1 , i.e., there is a smooth path of vector bundle automorphisms $\phi_t : A \to A$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\phi_0 = id_A$ and $\phi_1^* b_1 = b_0$. On the other hand, two Maurer–Cartan elements c_0 , c_1 are *gauge-equivalent* if they are interpolated by a smooth path of 1-cochains c_t , and c_t is a solution of the following ODE:

(1-5)
$$\frac{dc_t}{dt} = \delta \Delta_t + \llbracket c_t, \Delta_t \rrbracket$$

for some smooth path of 0-cochains (i.e., derivations) Δ_t , $t \in [0, 1]$.

Notice that (1-5) is equivalent to

(1-6)
$$\frac{db_t}{dt} = \llbracket b_t, \Delta_t \rrbracket$$

where $b_t = b_A + c_t$.

Proposition 1.1.5. Let $b_0 = b_A + c_0$, $b_1 = b_A + c_1$ be deformations of b_A . If b_0 , b_1 are equivalent, then c_0 , c_1 are gauge-equivalent. If M is compact, the converse is also true.

Proof. Suppose that b_0 and b_1 are equivalent deformations, and let $\phi_t : A \to A$ be a fiber-wise linear isotopy taking b_0 to b_1 . Set $b_t = \phi_t^{-1*}b_0 = b_A + c_t$, and let Δ_t be the infinitesimal generator of ϕ_t , i.e.,

(1-7)
$$\frac{d\phi_t^*}{dt} = \phi_t^* \circ \Delta_t$$

Notice that

$$\llbracket b_t, b_t \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi_t^{-1*} b_0, \phi_t^{-1*} b_0 \rrbracket = \phi_t^{-1*} \llbracket b_0, b_0 \rrbracket = 0,$$

so b_t is a deformation of b_A for all t. Moreover, $\phi_t^*(b_t(\alpha, \beta)) = b_0(\phi_t^*\alpha, \phi_t^*\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$. Differentiating with respect to t, we obtain:

$$\phi_t^* \left(\Delta_t (b_t(\alpha, \beta)) + \frac{db_t}{dt}(\alpha, \beta) \right) = b_0(\phi_t^*(\Delta_t(\alpha)), \phi_t^*\beta) + b_0(\phi_t^*\alpha, \phi_t^*(\Delta_t(\beta))) \\ = \phi_t^*(b_t(\Delta_t(\alpha), \beta) + b_t(\alpha, \Delta_t(\beta))),$$

so

$$\frac{db_t}{dt}(\alpha,\beta) = b_t(\Delta_t(\alpha),\beta) + b_t(\alpha,\Delta_t(\beta)) - \Delta_t(b_t(\alpha,\beta)),$$

i.e., (1-6), hence (1-5), holds, as desired.

Conversely, suppose that M is compact and there exist a family of derivations Δ_t and a family of 1-cochains b_t such that (1-5) or, equivalently, (1-6) holds. Let X_t be the symbol of Δ_t . From compactness, X_t is a complete time-dependent vector field on M, i.e., it generates a complete flow $(\phi_M)_t$. The time dependent derivation Δ_t generates a flow by vector bundle automorphisms $\phi_t : A \to A$, covering the complete flow $(\phi_M)_t$ (and implicitly defined by the ODE (1-7)). By linearity, ϕ_t is a complete flow itself. We want to show that

(1-8)
$$\phi_t^*(b_t(\alpha,\beta)) = b_0(\phi_t^*\alpha,\phi_t^*\beta), \quad \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma(A).$$

For t = 0 this is obviously true and the derivatives of both sides are the same because of (1-6). So we have (1-8), and, by taking t = 1, we conclude that ϕ_t is a (fiber-wise linear) isotopy taking b_0 to b_1 .

Remark 1.1.6. An *infinitesimal deformation* of a Lie algebroid $A \Rightarrow M$ is an element $c \in C_{def}^1(A)$ such that $\delta c = 0$, i.e., a 1-cocycle in $C_{def}^{\bullet}(A)$. As usual in deformation theory, this definition is motivated by the fact that, *if* c_t *is a smooth path of Maurer–Cartan elements starting at* 0, *then* $(dc_t/dt)|_{t=0}$ *is an infinitesimal deformation of* A. More generally, the cocycle condition $\delta c = 0$ is just the linearization at c = 0 of the Maurer–Cartan equation. Hence, 1-cocycles in $C_{def}^{\bullet}(A)$ can be seen as the (formal) tangent vectors to the variety of Maurer–Cartan elements. Similarly, 1-coboundaries can be seen as tangent vectors to the gauge orbit through 0. We conclude that $H_{def}^1(A)$ is the *formal tangent space* to the moduli space of deformations under gauge equivalence.

Remark 1.1.7. The deformation complex of a Lie algebroid has an efficient description in terms of graded geometry. In fact, graded geometry becomes very useful when dealing with several issues related to VB-algebroids.

Let $A \Rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let $(\Omega_A^{\bullet} = \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet}A^*), d_A)$ be its de Rham complex (sometimes we will use $\Omega_{A,M}^{\bullet}$ for *A*-forms, if we want to insist on *M* being the base manifold). Cochains in Ω_A^{\bullet} can be seen as functions on the DG-manifold *A*[1] obtained from *A* shifting by one the fiber degree. The *Q*-structure on *A*[1] is simply d_A . Additionally, there is a canonical isomorphism $C_{def}^{\bullet}(A) \cong \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ of DGLAs, where $\mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ is the space of vector fields on the DG-manifold A[1] (in other words, $\mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ is the space of (graded) derivations of Ω_A^{\bullet}). With the graded commutator and the adjoint operator $[d_A, -]$, $\mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ is indeed a DGLA. The isomorphism $C_{def}^{\bullet}(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$, $c \mapsto \delta_c$ can be described explicitly as follows. Let $c \in C^k(A)$ and let σ_c be the symbol of c. Then $\delta_c \in \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ is the degree k vector field that takes $\omega \in \Omega_A^p$, to $\delta_c \omega \in \Omega_A^{k+p}$ with

(1-9)
$$\delta_{c}\omega(\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{k+p}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{k,p}} (-1)^{\tau} \sigma_{c}(\alpha_{\tau(1)},...,\alpha_{\tau(k)}) \omega(\alpha_{\tau(k+1)},...,\alpha_{\tau(k+p)}) \\ - \sum_{\tau \in S_{k+1,p-1}} (-1)^{\tau} \omega(c(\alpha_{\tau(1)},...,\alpha_{\tau(k+1)}),\alpha_{\tau(k+2)},...,\alpha_{\tau(k+p)}),$$

where $S_{l,m}$ denotes (l, m)-unshuffles. Notice that *c* can be reconstructed from δ_c by using formula (1-9) for p = 0, 1:

(1-10)
$$\delta_c f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \sigma_c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) f_k$$

and

(1-11)
$$\delta_{c}\varphi(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{k+1}) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{k-i} \sigma_{c}(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\widehat{\alpha_{i}},\ldots,\alpha_{k+1}) \langle \varphi, \alpha_{i} \rangle + \langle \varphi, c(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{k+1}) \rangle,$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, $\varphi \in \Omega^1_A = \Gamma(A^*)$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \Gamma(A)$.

1.2. Double vector bundles and VB-algebroids. In this section we recall the basic definitions and properties of double vector bundles and VB-algebroids that will be useful later. For all the necessary details about the homogeneity structure of a vector bundle, including our notations, we refer to the Appendix, which we recommend reading before continuing with the bulk of the paper. We only recall here that, given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, the homogeneity structure of E is the action $h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times E \rightarrow E$, $(\lambda, e) \mapsto h_{\lambda}e := \lambda \cdot e$, of nonnegative reals on E by homotheties (fiber-wise multiplication by scalars).

Definition 1.2.1. A *double vector bundle* (DVB for short) is a vector bundle in the category of vector bundles. More precisely, it is a commutative square

(1-12)
$$W \xrightarrow{p} E$$

$$q_{W} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow q$$

$$A \xrightarrow{p} M$$

where all four sides are vector bundles, the projection $q_W : W \to A$, the addition $+_A : W \times_A W \to W$, the multiplication $\lambda \cdot_A : W \to W$ by any scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in the fibers of $W \to A$ and the zero section $\tilde{0}^A : A \to W$ are vector bundle maps covering

the projection $q: E \to M$, the addition $+: E \times_M E \to E$, the scalar multiplication $\lambda \cdot : E \to E$ and the zero section $0^E : M \to E$, respectively. The projection, the addition, the scalar multiplication and the zero section of a vector bundle will be called the *structure maps*. DVB (1-12) will be also denoted by $(W \to E; A \to M)$.

Notice that W is a vector bundle over E and over A, so it carries two homogeneity structures. However, we will mainly use the latter and denote it simply by h. For many more details on DVBs we refer to [Mackenzie 2005] and [Gracia-Saz and Mehta 2010].

Let $(W \to E; A \to M)$ be a DVB. The manifold W will be called the *total space*. Consider the submanifold

$$C := \ker(W \to E) \cap \ker(W \to A) \subset W.$$

In other words, elements of *C* are those projecting simultaneously on the (images of the) zero sections of *A* and *E* (which are both diffeomorphic to *M*). The fiberwise operations of the vector bundles $W \to E$ and $W \to A$ coincide on *C* (see [Mackenzie 2005]), so they define a (unique) vector bundle structure on *C* over *M*. The vector bundle $C \to M$ is called the *core* of $(W \to E; A \to M)$.

In the following, we denote by $\Gamma(W, E)$ the space of sections of $W \to E$. Sections of $C \to M$ can be naturally embedded into $\Gamma(W, E)$, via the map $\Gamma(C) \to \Gamma(W, E)$, $\chi \mapsto \overline{\chi}$, defined by:

(1-13)
$$\bar{\chi}_e = \tilde{0}_e^E +_A \chi_{q(e)}, \quad e \in E$$

The image of the inclusion $\chi \mapsto \overline{\chi}$ is, by definition, the space $\Gamma_{core}(W, E)$ of *core* sections of $W \to E$.

There is another relevant class of sections of $W \to E$: *linear sections*. We say that a section of $W \to E$ is a *linear section* if it is a vector bundle map covering some section of $A \to M$. The space of linear sections of $W \to E$ is denoted $\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E)$. We will usually denote by $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \ldots$ the sections in $\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E)$. The $C^{\infty}(E)$ -module $\Gamma(W, E)$ is spanned by $\Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E)$ and $\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E)$.

Linear and core sections of $W \rightarrow E$ can be efficiently characterized using the homogeneity structure *h*. Namely, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 1.2.2. A section $w \in \Gamma(W, E)$ is

- (1) *linear if and only if* $h_{\lambda}^* w = w$ *for every* $\lambda > 0$;
- (2) core if and only if $h_{\lambda}^* w = \lambda^{-1} w$ for every $\lambda > 0$.

More generally, we say that a section w of $W \to E$ is of weight q if $h_{\lambda}^* w = \lambda^q w$ for every $\lambda > 0$. Using this terminology, linear sections are precisely sections of weight 0 and core sections are sections of weight -1. It is easy to check that *there are no nonzero sections of* $W \to E$ of weight less than -1.

Remark 1.2.3. Let $(W \to E; A \to M)$ be a DVB, let *C* be its core and let $W_A^* \to A$ be the dual vector bundle of $W \to A$. Then



is a DVB, called the *dual* of W over A, whose core is E^* . We refer to [Mackenzie 2005] for the structure maps of the dual DVB.

Example 1.2.4. A distinguished example of a DVB is the *tangent double of a vector bundle*. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle, then



is a DVB with core canonically isomorphic to *E*. It is easy to see that linear sections of $TE \rightarrow E$ are precisely linear vector fields (see the Appendix). Moreover, the inclusion $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma_{core}(TE \rightarrow E)$ is the classical *vertical lift*, identifying a section of *E* with a fiber-wise constant vertical vector field on *E* itself. We will also call *core vector fields* the core sections of $TE \rightarrow E$.

The dual of TE over E is



We now pass to VB-algebroids.

Definition 1.2.5. A *VB-algebroid* is a DVB as in (1-12), equipped with a Lie algebroid structure $W \Rightarrow E$ such that the anchor $\rho_W : W \rightarrow TE$ is a vector bundle map covering a vector bundle map $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$ and the Lie bracket $[-, -]_W$ on sections of $W \rightarrow E$ satisfies

(1-14)
$$[\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E), \Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E)]_W \subset \Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E),$$
$$[\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E), \Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E)]_W \subset \Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E),$$
$$[\Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E), \Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E)]_W = 0.$$

Notice that, using the grading defined above, property (1-14) is equivalent to asking that the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(W, E)$ is of weight 0. This can be made very precise using the action of vector bundle automorphisms on multiderivations (see below).

Remark 1.2.6. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid with core *C*, and let $(W^* \rightarrow C^*; A \rightarrow M)$ be its dual DVB. One can show that there is a canonical VB-algebroid structure $(W_A^* \Rightarrow C^*; A \Rightarrow M)$ on the latter, called the *dual VB-algebroid*. The dual VB-algebroid will appear only marginally in the sequel, so we do not discuss the details of this construction. For more information, see [Mackenzie 1998b].

Graded geometric description. There is a very useful description of VB-algebroids in terms of graded geometry. We begin discussing *linear vector fields* on (the total space of) a vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ of graded manifolds. First we fix our notation. As already mentioned, a section ϕ of the dual bundle $\mathcal{E}^* \to \mathcal{M}$ determines a fiber-wise linear function ℓ_{ϕ} on \mathcal{E} . As in the nongraded case, a section ε of \mathcal{E} itself determines a *fiber-wise constant* vector field $\varepsilon^{\uparrow} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$, its *vertical lift*, uniquely defined by

$$\varepsilon^{\uparrow}(\ell_{\phi}) := (-)^{|\varepsilon||\phi|} \langle \phi, \varepsilon \rangle.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{core}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$ the space of core vector fields, i.e., fiber-wise constant vertical vector fields on \mathcal{E} . The correspondence $\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon^{\uparrow}$ establishes a graded $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})^{\bullet}$ -module isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet} \cong \mathfrak{X}_{core}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$. Now let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$. Then X is *linear* if it preserves fiber-wise linear functions. Equivalently, X is linear if the (graded) commutator [X, -] preserves fiber-wise constant vector fields. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{lin}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$ the space of linear vector fields on \mathcal{E} . Notice that linear vector fields also preserve fiber-wise constant functions. Finally, similarly as in the nongraded case, denote by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$ the space of graded derivations of \mathcal{E} . There is a canonical isomorphism of graded Lie algebras and graded $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})^{\bullet}$ -modules $\mathfrak{X}_{lin}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$, $X \mapsto D_X$, implicitly defined by $(D_X \varepsilon)^{\uparrow} = [X, \varepsilon^{\uparrow}]$, for all $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})^{\bullet}$.

Now, we have already recalled that Lie algebroids are equivalent to DG-manifolds concentrated in degree 0 and 1. For VB-algebroids we have an analogous result [Voronov 2012] that we now briefly explain. Recall that a DG-vector bundle is a vector bundle of graded manifolds $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} are both DG-manifolds, with homological vector fields $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively, and, additionally, $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ is linear, and projects onto $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$. Equivalently $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a vector bundle of graded manifolds, \mathcal{M} is a DG-manifold, with homological vector field $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$, \mathcal{E} is equipped with a homological derivation $D_{\mathcal{E}}$, i.e., a degree 1 derivation such that $[D_{\mathcal{E}}, D_{\mathcal{E}}] = 0$, and, additionally, the symbol of $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ is precisely $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$. For more details about DG-vector bundles see, e.g., [Vitagliano 2016].

Finally, let $(W \to E; A \to M)$ be a DVB. If we shift the degree in the fibers of both $W \to E$ and $A \to M$ (and use the functoriality of the shift) we get a vector bundle of graded manifolds, denoted $W[1]_E \to A[1]$. If $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid, then $W[1]_E \to A[1]$ is a DG-vector bundle concentrated in degree 0 and 1.

Theorem 1.2.7 (see [Voronov 2012]). The correspondence $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M) \rightsquigarrow (W[1]_E \rightarrow A[1])$ establishes an equivalence between the category of VB-algebroids and the category of DG-vector bundles concentrated in degree 0 and 1.

1.3. *The linear deformation complex of a VB-algebroid.* In this subsection we introduce the main object of this paper: the *linear deformation complex of a VB-algebroid*, first introduced in [Esposito et al. 2016] (for different purposes). Actually, the whole discussion in Section 1.1 extends to VB-algebroids. We skip most of the proofs; they can be carried out in a very similar way as for plain Lie algebroids.

We begin with a DVB ($W \to E$; $A \to M$). Denote by $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E)$ the space of multiderivations of the vector bundle $W \to E$. As in Section 1.2, denote by *h* the homogeneity structure of $W \to A$. The action of *h* induces a grading on the space of multiderivations.

Definition 1.3.1. A multiderivation $c \in \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E)$ is *homogeneous of weight q* (or, simply, of *weight q*) if $h_{\lambda}^* c = \lambda^q c$ for every $\lambda > 0$. A multiderivation is *linear* if it is of weight 0, and it is *core* if it is of weight -1.

We denote by $\mathfrak{D}_q^{\bullet}(W, E)$ the space of multiderivations of weight q, and by $\mathfrak{D}_{lin}^{\bullet}(W, E)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{core}^{\bullet}(W, E)$, respectively, the spaces of linear and core multiderivations.

As $\Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E)$ and $\Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E)$ generate $\Gamma(W, E)$, a multiderivation is completely characterized by its action, and the action of its symbol, on linear and core sections. From (1-1) and the fact that there are no nonzero sections of weight less than -1, it then follows *there are no nonzero multiderivations of weight less than* -1. Moreover:

Proposition 1.3.2. Let c be a k-derivation of $W \rightarrow E$. Then c is linear if and only if all the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)$ is a linear section,
- (2) $c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}, \bar{\chi}_1)$ is a core section,
- (3) $c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-i},\bar{\chi}_1,\ldots,\bar{\chi}_i)=0,$
- (4) $\sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})$ is a linear vector field,
- (5) $\sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-2}, \bar{\chi}_1)$ is a core vector field,
- (6) $\sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-i-1},\bar{\chi}_1,\ldots,\bar{\chi}_i)=0$

for all linear sections $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_k$, all core sections $\bar{\chi}_1, \ldots, \bar{\chi}_i$ of $W \to E$, and all $i \ge 2$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 1.2.2; see also [Esposito et al. 2016]. □

In particular, a linear k-derivation is uniquely determined by its action on k linear sections and on k - 1 linear sections and a core section, and by the action of its symbol on k - 1 linear sections and on k - 2 linear sections and a core section; see also [Esposito et al. 2016, Theorem 3.34].

It immediately follows from (1-2) that $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_{\text{lin}}(W, E)[1]$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E)[1]$. The following proposition is then straightforward.

Proposition 1.3.3. *VB-algebroid structures on the DVB* $(W \to E; A \to M)$ *are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer–Cartan elements in* $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_{lin}(W, E)[1]$.

Fix a VB-algebroid structure $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ on the DVB $(W \to E; A \to M)$, and denote by b_W the Lie bracket on sections of $W \to E$. We also denote by $C^{\bullet}_{def}(W, E)$ the deformation complex of the top algebroid $W \Rightarrow E$. It is clear that b_W is a linear biderivation of $W \to E$, i.e., $b_W \in \mathfrak{D}^2_{lin}(W, E)$. Hence $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_{lin}(W, E)[1]$ is a sub-DGLA of $C^{\bullet}_{def}(W, E)$, denoted $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$, and called the *linear deformation complex* of $W \Rightarrow E$. Its cohomology is denoted $H^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$ and called the *linear deformation cohomology* of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$.

Definition 1.3.4. A *linear deformation* of b_W (or simply a *deformation*, if this does not lead to confusion) is a VB-algebroid structure on the DVB ($W \rightarrow E$; $A \rightarrow M$).

Exactly as for Lie algebroids, Proposition 1.3.3 is equivalent to saying that deformations of b_W are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer–Cartan elements of $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$.

Let b_0 , b_1 be linear deformations of b_W . We say that b_0 and b_1 are *equivalent* if there exists a DVB isotopy taking b_0 to b_1 , i.e., a smooth path of DVB automorphisms $\phi_t : W \to W$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\phi_0 = id_W$ and $\phi_1^* b_1 = b_0$. On the other hand, two Maurer–Cartan elements c_0 , c_1 in $C_{def,lin}^{\bullet}(W)$ are *gauge-equivalent* if they are interpolated by a smooth path of 1-cochains $c_t \in C_{def,lin}^{\bullet}(W)$, and c_t is a solution of the ODE

$$\frac{dc_t}{dt} = \delta \Delta_t + \llbracket c_t, \, \Delta_t \rrbracket$$

for some smooth path of 0-cochains $\Delta_t \in C^{\bullet}_{\text{def,lin}}(W), t \in [0, 1]$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{db_t}{dt} = \llbracket b_t, \, \Delta_t \rrbracket$$

where $b_t = b_W + c_t$.

Proposition 1.3.5. Deformations of the VB-algebroid $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ are controlled by the DGLA $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$ in the following sense. Let $b_0 = b_W + c_0$, $b_1 = b_W + c_1$ be linear deformations of b_W . If b_0, b_1 are equivalent, then c_0, c_1 are gauge-equivalent. If M is compact, the converse is also true.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 1.1.5, with linear derivations replacing derivations and DVB automorphisms replacing vector bundle automorphisms. We only need to be careful when using the compactness hypothesis. Recall from [Esposito et al. 2016] that a linear derivation generates a flow by DVB automorphisms. In particular, if Δ_t is a time-dependent linear derivation of $W \rightarrow E$, then its symbol $X_t = \sigma(\Delta_t) \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is a *linear vector field*, hence it generates a flow by vector bundle automorphisms of *E*. From the compactness of *M*, it follows that X_t , hence the flow of Δ_t , is complete.

Remark 1.3.6. An *infinitesimal deformation* of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is an element $c \in C^1_{def,lin}(W)$ such that $\delta c = 0$, i.e., c is a 1-cocycle in $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$. If c_t is a smooth path of Maurer–Cartan elements starting at 0, then $(dc_t/dt)|_{t=0}$ is an infinitesimal deformation of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$. Similarly as for Lie algebroids, $H^1_{def,lin}(W)$ is the formal tangent space to the moduli space of linear deformations under gauge equivalence. It also follows from standard deformation theory arguments that $H^2_{def,lin}(W)$ contains obstructions to the extension of an infinitesimal linear deformation to a formal one. Finally, we interpret 0-degree deformation cohomologies. It easily follows from the definition that 0-cocycles in $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(A)$ are *infinitesimal multiplicative* (IM) derivations of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ i.e., derivations of $W \rightarrow E$ generating a flow by VB-algebroid automorphisms [Esposito et al. 2016]. Among those, 1-cocycles are *inner IM derivations*, i.e., IM derivations of the form $[\tilde{\alpha}, -]$ for some *linear* section $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $W \rightarrow E$. So $H^0_{def,lin}(W)$ consists of *outer IM derivations*. See [Esposito et al. 2016] for more details.

Alternative descriptions. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid. Then $W \Rightarrow E$ is a Lie algebroid. As in the graded geometric description section we denote by $W[1]_E$ the DG-manifold obtained from W shifting by the degree in the fibers of $W \to E$. So $C_{def}^{\bullet}(W) \cong \mathfrak{X}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$. Moreover, it is easy to see from (1-9) and Proposition 1.3.2 that a deformation cochain $c \in C_{def}^{\bullet}(W)$ is linear if and only if the corresponding vector field $\delta_c \in \mathfrak{X}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$ is a linear vector field with respect to the vector bundle structure $W[1]_E \to A[1]$. So there is a canonical isomorphism of DGLAs

$$C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(W) \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\operatorname{lin}}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}.$$

As linear vector fields are equivalent to derivations, we also get

(1-15) $C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(W) \cong \mathfrak{D}(W[1]_E, A[1])^{\bullet}$

as DGLAs.

Deformations of A from linear deformations of W. There is a natural surjection $C^{\bullet}_{\text{def},\text{lin}}(W) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}_{\text{def}}(A)$ which is easily described in the graded geometric picture: it is just the projection

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$$

of linear vector fields on the base. Equivalently, it is the symbol map

$$\sigma:\mathfrak{D}(W[1]_E, A[1])^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}.$$

In particular, we get a short exact sequence of DGLAs

(1-16)
$$0 \to \mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet} \to 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$ is the space of (graded) endomorphisms of $W[1]_E \to A[1]$.

Equivalently, there is a short exact sequence

(1-17)
$$0 \to \mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet} \to C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(W) \to C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def}}(A) \to 0.$$

Note that the sub-DGLA $\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$ controls deformations of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ that fix $A \Rightarrow M$, i.e., deformations of W that fix b_A (the Lie algebroid structure on A) identify with Maurer–Cartan elements in $\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$. Finally, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(1-18) \cdots \to H^{k}(\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_{E})) \to H^{k}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(W) \to H^{k}_{\mathrm{def}}(A) \to H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_{E})) \to \cdots$$

connecting the linear deformation cohomology of W with the deformation cohomology of A.

Remark 1.3.7. A description of the subcomplex $\mathfrak{End}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(W[1]_E)^{\bullet}$ is not needed in terms of more classical data in this paper. However, we stress that this description exists in analogy with [Esposito et al. 2016, Theorem 3.34].

Deformations of the dual VB-algebroid. We conclude this section by noting that the linear deformation complex of a VB-algebroid is canonically isomorphic to that of its dual. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid with core *C*, and let $(W_A^* \Rightarrow C^*; A \Rightarrow M)$ be the dual VB-algebroid.

Theorem 1.3.8. There is a canonical isomorphism of DGLAs

$$C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(W) \cong C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(W^*_A).$$

Proof. There is an easy proof exploiting graded geometry. We only sketch it, and leave the straightforward details to the reader. So, first of all, it is easy to see, e.g., in local coordinates, that the vector bundles of graded manifolds $W_A^*[1]_{C^*} \rightarrow A[1]$ and $W[1]_E^* \rightarrow A[1]$ are actually isomorphic up to a shift in the degree of the fiber coordinates. Additionally, derivations of a vector bundle of graded manifolds are canonically isomorphic to that of

(1) its dual,

(2) any vector bundle obtained from it by a shift in the degree of the fibers.

We conclude that

$$C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \cong \mathfrak{D}(W[1]_E, A[1])^{\bullet} \cong \mathfrak{D}(W[1]_E^*, A[1])^{\bullet}$$
$$\cong \mathfrak{D}(W^*[1]_{C^*}, A[1])^{\bullet} \cong C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W^*_A).$$

1.4. From deformation cohomology to linear deformation cohomology. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid. We have shown that deformations of the VB-algebroid structure are controlled by a sub-DGLA $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$ of the deformation complex $C^{\bullet}_{def}(W)$ of the top Lie algebroid $W \Rightarrow E$. In the next section, we show that the inclusion $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \hookrightarrow C^{\bullet}_{def}(W)$ induces an inclusion $H^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \hookrightarrow H^{\bullet}_{def}(W)$

in cohomology. In particular, given an infinitesimal linear deformation that is trivial as infinitesimal deformation of the Lie algebroid $W \Rightarrow A$, i.e., it is connected to the zero deformation by an infinitesimal isotopy of vector bundle maps, then it is also trivial as infinitesimal linear deformation, i.e., it is also connected to the zero deformation by an infinitesimal isotopy of DVB maps.

The key idea is adapting to the present setting the "homogenization trick" of [Cabrera and Drummond 2017]. Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. In their paper, Cabrera and Drummond consider the following natural projections from $C^{\infty}(E)$ to its $C^{\infty}(M)$ -submodules $C_q^{\infty}(E)$ (of weight q homogeneous functions):

(1-19)
$$\operatorname{pr}_q: C^{\infty}(E) \to C^{\infty}_q(E), \quad f \mapsto \frac{1}{q!} \frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q}|_{\lambda=0} h^*_{\lambda} f.$$

Notice that $pr_q(f)$ is just the degree q part of the (fiber-wise) Taylor polynomial of f. In the following, we adopt the notations from the Appendix and denote

(1-20)
$$\operatorname{core} := \operatorname{pr}_{0} : C^{\infty}(E) \to C^{\infty}_{\operatorname{core}}(E), \qquad f \mapsto f_{\operatorname{core}} = h_{0}^{*}f,$$
$$\operatorname{lin} := \operatorname{pr}_{1} : C^{\infty}(E) \to C^{\infty}_{\operatorname{lin}}(E), \qquad f \mapsto f_{\operatorname{lin}} = \frac{d}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0}h_{\lambda}^{*}f_{\lambda}^{*}f_{\lambda}^{*}$$

where $C_{\text{core}}^{\infty}(E) := C_0^{\infty}(E)$, and $C_{\text{lin}}^{\infty}(E) := C_1^{\infty}(M)$.

Formula (1-19) does not apply directly to multiderivations. To see why, let $(W \to E, A \to M)$ be a DVB, let *h* be the homogeneity structure of $W \to A$, and let $c \in \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E)$. Then the curve $\lambda \mapsto h_{\lambda}^* c$ is not defined in 0. Actually, $\lambda = 0$ is a "pole of order 1" for $h_{\lambda}^* c$. More precisely, we have the following:

Proposition 1.4.1. The limit

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\lambda\cdot h_{\lambda}^*c$$

exists and defines a core multiderivation c_{core} .

Proof. The existence of the limit can be shown in coordinates. Also, for every $\mu \neq 0$,

$$h_{\mu}^{*}c_{\text{core}} = h_{\mu}^{*}(\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^{*}c) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\mu}^{*}h_{\lambda}^{*}c = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mu^{-1}(\lambda \mu \cdot h_{\lambda \mu}^{*}c) = \mu^{-1}c_{\text{core}}.$$

The next proposition can be proved in the same way.

Proposition 1.4.2. The limit

(

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^* c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\rm core})$$

exists and defines a linear multiderivation c_{lin} .

So far we have defined maps

1-21)

$$\operatorname{core}: \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E) \to \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{core}}(W, E), \quad c \mapsto \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^{*}c$$

$$\operatorname{lin}: \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E) \to \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{lin}}(W, E), \quad c \mapsto \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^{*}c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\operatorname{core}})$$

that split the inclusions in $\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W, E)$. We call the latter the *linearization map*.

Remark 1.4.3. Once we have removed the singularity at 0, we can proceed as in (1-19) and define the projections on homogeneous multiderivation of positive weights q > 0:

$$\mathrm{pr}_q:\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(W,E)\to\mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}_q(W,E),\quad c\mapsto \frac{1}{q!}\frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q}|_{\lambda=0}(h^*_{\lambda}c-\lambda^{-1}\cdot c_{\mathrm{core}}).$$

Now, let $(W \Rightarrow E, A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid. Then we have a linearization map

$$\lim : C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(W) \to C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}, \operatorname{lin}}(W).$$

Theorem 1.4.4 (linearization of deformation cochains). *The linearization map is a cochain map splitting the inclusion* $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \hookrightarrow C^{\bullet}_{def}(W)$. *In particular there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$C^{\bullet}_{def}(W) \cong C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \oplus ker(lin)^{\bullet}.$$

of cochain complexes. Hence, the inclusion of linear deformation cochains into deformation cochains induces an injection

(1-22)
$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(W) \hookrightarrow H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def}}(W).$$

Proof. We only have to prove that the linearization preserves the differential $\delta = [\![b_W, -]\!]$ (here, as usual b_W is the Lie bracket on sections of $W \Rightarrow E$). Using the fact that b_W is linear, we have that δ commutes with h_{λ}^* . From (1-4) it is obvious that δ preserves limits. So

$$(\delta c)_{\rm core} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^*(\delta c) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot \delta(h_{\lambda}^* c) = \delta\left(\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^* c\right) = \delta c_{\rm core},$$

and

$$(\delta c)_{\text{lin}} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^*(\delta c) - \lambda^{-1} \delta(c_{\text{core}})) = \delta \left(\lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^* c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\text{core}}) \right) = \delta c_{\text{lin}},$$

esired.

as desired.

The inclusion (1-22) can be used to transfer vanishing results from deformation cohomology of the Lie algebroid $W \Rightarrow E$ to the linear deformation cohomology of the VB-algebroid $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$. For example, if $H^0_{def}(W) = 0$, every Lie algebroid derivation of $W \Rightarrow E$ is inner, and hence every IM derivation of the VB-algebroid W is inner. Similarly, if $W \Rightarrow E$ has no nontrivial infinitesimal deformations, so does $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$, and so on.

As a first example, consider a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} TE \Longrightarrow E \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ TM \Longrightarrow M \end{array}$$

is a VB-algebroid.

Proposition 1.4.5. *The linear deformation cohomology of* $(TE \Rightarrow E; TM \Rightarrow M)$ *is trivial.*

Proof. From Theorem 1.4.4, $H^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(TE)$ embeds into the deformation cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{def}(TE)$ of the tangent algebroid $TE \Rightarrow E$ which is trivial; see, for example, [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008].

Other applications of Theorem 1.4.4 will be considered in Section 2.

Remember from Section 1.3 that a linear deformation cochain $c \in C_{def,lin}^k(W)$ is completely determined by its action on k linear sections and on k-1 linear sections and a core section, and the action of its symbol on k-1 linear sections and on k-2 linear sections and a core section. We conclude this subsection providing a slightly more explicit description of the linearization map (1-21) in terms of these restricted actions.

Proposition 1.4.6. Let $c \in \mathfrak{D}^k(W; E)$. Then c_{lin} is completely determined by the following identities:

- (1) $c_{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_k) = c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_k)_{\text{lin}},$
- (2) $c_{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1},\bar{\chi}) = c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1},\bar{\chi})_{\text{core}},$
- (3) $\sigma_{c_{\text{lin}}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) = \sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})_{\text{lin}},$
- (4) $\sigma_{c_{\text{lin}}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-2},\bar{\chi}) = \sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-2},\bar{\chi})_{\text{core}}$

for all $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_k \in \Gamma_{\text{lin}}(W, E), \ \bar{\chi} \in \Gamma_{\text{core}}(W, E).$

Proof. We first compute

$$c_{\text{core}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot (h_{\lambda}^* c)(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda h_{\lambda}^* (c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)) = c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)_{\text{core}}.$$

Then

$$c_{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^* c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\text{core}})(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^* (c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)) - \lambda^{-1} c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)_{\text{core}})$$
$$= c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k)_{\text{lin}}.$$

Identity (2) can be proved in a similar way. To prove (3) first notice that

$$\sigma_{c_{\rm core}} = \sigma_{\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^{*c}} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot \sigma_{h_{\lambda}^{*c}} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^{*} \sigma_{c},$$

where we used (1-3). Hence

$$\sigma_{c_{\text{core}}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (\lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^* \sigma_c)(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (\lambda \cdot h_{\lambda}^*(\sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}))) = \sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})_{\text{core}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{c_{\text{lin}}} &= \sigma_{\text{lim}_{\lambda \to 0}(h_{\lambda}^* c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\text{core}})} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma_{h_{\lambda}^* c - \lambda^{-1} \cdot c_{\text{core}}} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{\lambda}^* \sigma_c - \lambda^{-1} \sigma_{c_{\text{core}}}), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\sigma_{c_{\text{lin}}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \left((h_{\lambda}^* \sigma_c)(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) - \lambda^{-1} \sigma_{c_{\text{core}}}(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \left(h_{\lambda}^*(\sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})) - \lambda^{-1} \sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})_{\text{core}} \right)$$
$$= \sigma_c(\tilde{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})_{\text{lin}}.$$

Identity (4) can be proved in a similar way.

2. Examples and applications

In this section we provide several examples. Examples in Sections 2.1, 2.4 and 2.5 parallel the analogous examples in [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008], connecting our linear deformation cohomology to known cohomologies. Examples in Sections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.6 are specific to VB-algebroids.

2.1. *VB-algebras.* A *VB-algebra* is a *vector bundle object in the category of Lie algebras.* In other words, it is a VB-algebroid of the form

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{h} & \Longrightarrow \{0\} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \Longrightarrow \{*\} \end{split}$$

In particular, \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} are Lie algebras. Now, let $C := \ker(\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g})$ be the core of $(\mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow \{0\}; \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \{*\})$. It easily follows from the definition of VB-algebroid that

- C is a representation of \mathfrak{g} , and
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \ltimes C$ is the semidirect product Lie algebra,
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \ltimes C \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the projection onto the first factor.

Let End C denote endomorphisms of the vector space C. In the present case, the short exact sequence (1-16) reads

(2-1)
$$0 \to C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{End} C) \to C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}, \operatorname{lin}}(\mathfrak{h}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to 0,$$

where $C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{End} C) = \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \operatorname{End} C$ is the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the induced representation $\operatorname{End} C$, and $C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})[1]$ is the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex with coefficients in the adjoint representation.

From the classical theory of Nijenhuis and Richardson [1966; 1967a; 1967b], the latter controls deformations of \mathfrak{g} , while the former controls deformations of the representation of \mathfrak{g} on *C*.

The sequence (2-1) has a natural splitting in the category of graded Lie algebras. Namely, there is an obvious graded Lie algebra map

$$C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(\mathfrak{h}), \quad c \mapsto \tilde{c}$$

given by

$$\tilde{c}(v_1 + \chi_1, \ldots, v_{k+1} + \chi_{k+1}) := c(v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1})$$

for all $c \in C_{def}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, and all $v_i + \chi_i \in \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus C$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$. It is clear that the inclusion $C_{def}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \to C_{def,lin}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h})$ splits the projection $C_{def,lin}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}) \to C_{def}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence

(2-2)
$$C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def},\operatorname{lin}}(\mathfrak{h}) \cong C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g},\operatorname{End} C) \oplus C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

as graded Lie algebras. However (2-2) is not a DGLA isomorphism. We now describe the differential δ in $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(\mathfrak{h})$ in terms of the splitting (2-2). First of all, denote by $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{End} C$ the action of \mathfrak{g} on C, and let

$$\Theta: \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \operatorname{End} C,$$

be the map obtained from θ by extension of scalars. From the properties of the action, Θ is actually a cochain map

$$\Theta: C^{\bullet}_{\operatorname{def}}(\mathfrak{g})[-1] \to C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{End} C).$$

Finally, a direct computation reveals that the isomorphism (2-2) identifies the differential in $C^{\bullet}_{\text{def,lin}}(\mathfrak{h})$ with that of the mapping cone (denoted by $\text{Cone}(\Theta)$):

$$(C^{\bullet}_{\text{def.lin}}(\mathfrak{h}), \delta) \cong \text{Cone}(\Theta)$$

as cochain complexes. Notice that the long exact cohomology sequence of the mapping cone is just (1-18).

2.2. *LA-vector spaces.* An *LA vector space* is a *Lie algebroid object in the category of vector spaces.* In other words, it is a VB-algebroid of the form



In particular, *W* and *E* are vector spaces. Now, let $C := \ker(W \to E)$ be the core of $(W \Rightarrow E; \{0\} \Rightarrow \{*\})$. It easily follows from the definition of VB-algebroid that *W* identifies canonically with the direct sum $C \oplus E$ and all the structure maps are

completely determined by a linear map $\partial : C \to E$. Specifically, sections of $W \to E$ are the same as smooth maps $E \to C$, and given a basis (C_I) of C, the Lie bracket on maps $E \to C$ is given by

(2-3)
$$[f,g] = f^{I}(\partial C_{I})^{\uparrow}g - g^{I}(\partial C_{I})^{\uparrow}f,$$

where $f = f^I C_I$ and $g = g^I C_I$. It follows that the anchor $\rho : W \to TE$ is given on sections by

(2-4)
$$\rho(f) = f^{I} (\partial C_{I})^{\uparrow}.$$

Linear deformations of $(W \Rightarrow E; \{0\} \Rightarrow \{*\})$ are the same as deformations of ∂ as a linear map. Let us describe the linear deformation complex explicitly. As the bottom Lie algebroid is trivial, $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W)$ consists of graded endomorphisms $End(C[1] \oplus E)^{\bullet}$ of the graded vector space $W[1]_E = C[1] \oplus E$. From (2-3) and (2-4) the differential δ in $End(C[1] \oplus E)^{\bullet}$ is just the commutator with ∂ , meaning that the deformation cohomology consists of homotopy classes of graded cochain maps $(C[1] \oplus E, \partial) \rightarrow (C[1] \oplus E, \partial)$. More explicitly, $(End(C[1] \oplus E)^{\bullet}, \delta)$ is concentrated in degrees -1, 0, 1. Namely, it is

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(E, C)[1] \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \operatorname{End}(C) \oplus \operatorname{End}(E) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \operatorname{Hom}(C, E)[-1] \to 0,$$

where δ_0 and δ_1 are given by:

$$\delta_0 \phi = (\phi \circ \partial, \partial \circ \phi),$$

$$\delta_1(\psi_C, \psi_E) = \partial \circ \psi_C - \psi_E \circ \partial,$$

where $\phi \in \text{Hom}(E, C)$, $\psi_C \in \text{End}(C)$ and $\psi_E \in \text{End}(E)$. We immediately conclude

 $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(W) = \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{coker}\,\partial \oplus \mathrm{ker}\,\partial[1])^{\bullet},$

that is,

$$\begin{split} H^{-1}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W) &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\,\partial, \,\mathrm{ker}\,\partial),\\ H^{0}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W) &= \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{coker}\,\partial) \oplus \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{ker}\,\partial),\\ H^{1}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W) &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{ker}\,\partial, \,\mathrm{coker}\,\partial). \end{split}$$

This shows, for instance, that infinitesimal deformations of a linear map $\partial : C \to E$ are all trivial if and only if ∂ is injective or surjective, as expected.

2.3. *Tangent and cotangent VB-algebroids.* Let $A \Rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Then $(TA \Rightarrow TM; A \Rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid, called the *tangent VB-algebroid* of A. The structure maps of the Lie algebroid $TA \Rightarrow TM$ are defined as follows. First of all recall that $(TA \rightarrow TM; A \rightarrow M)$ is a DVB whose core is canonically isomorphic to A itself. In particular, any section α of A determines a core section $\bar{\alpha}$ of $TA \rightarrow TM$. A section α of A also determines a linear section $T\alpha$ of $TA \rightarrow TM$: its tangent map.

Denote by $\tau : TM \to M$ the projection. In the following, for a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we denote by $X_{tan} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ its *tangent lift*. By definition, the flow of X_{tan} is obtained from the flow of X by taking the tangent diffeomorphisms. Equivalently, X_{tan} is the (linear) vector field on TM uniquely determined by

(2-5)
$$X_{\tan}(\ell_{df}) = \ell_{dX(f)} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\tan}(\tau^*) = \tau^* X(f)$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Here ℓ_{df} is the fiber-wise linear function on *TM* corresponding to the 1-form df (viewed as a section of the dual bundle T^*M). Notice that (2-5) can be used to define the tangent lift of vector fields on a graded manifold. This will be useful below.

Now we come back to the tangent VB-algebroid ($TA \Rightarrow TM$; $A \Rightarrow M$). The anchor $\rho_{TA} : TA \rightarrow TTM$ is determined by

(2-6)
$$\rho_{TA}(T\alpha) = \rho(\alpha)_{\tan}, \quad \rho_{TA}(\bar{\alpha}) = \rho(\alpha)^{\uparrow},$$

and the bracket $[-, -]_{TA}$ in $\Gamma(TA, TM)$ is completely determined by:

(2-7)
$$[T\alpha, T\beta]_{TA} = T[\alpha, \beta], \quad [T\alpha, \overline{\beta}]_{TA} = [\overline{\alpha, \beta}], \quad [\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}]_{TA} = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$. The dual VB-algebroid $(T^*A \Rightarrow A^*; A \Rightarrow M)$ of the tangent VB-algebroid is called the *cotangent VB-algebroid*. We want to discuss the linear deformation cohomology of $(TA \Rightarrow TM; A \Rightarrow M)$ (hence of $(T^*A \Rightarrow A^*; A \Rightarrow M)$). We use the graded geometric description. Deformation cochains of $TA \Rightarrow TM$ are vector fields on the graded manifold $TA[1]_{TM}$ obtained from TA shifting by one the degree in the fibers of the vector bundle $TA \rightarrow TM$. Linear deformation cochains are vector fields that are linear with respect to the vector bundle structure $TA[1]_{TM} \rightarrow A[1]$.

Lemma 2.3.1. Let TA[1] be the tangent bundle of A[1] and let $\tau : TA[1] \rightarrow A[1]$ be the projection. There is a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles of graded manifolds



uniquely determined by the following condition:

(2-8)
$$\langle \iota^* \ell_{d\omega}, T \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T \alpha_k \rangle = \ell_{d \langle \omega, \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle}$$

for all $\omega \in C^{\infty}(A[1])^{\bullet} = C^{\bullet}(A)$ of degree k, all sections $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(A)$, and all k. Additionally

(2-9)
$$\langle \iota^* \ell_{d\omega}, T \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T \alpha_{k-1} \wedge \overline{\alpha}_k \rangle = \tau^* \langle \omega, \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle.$$

Formulas (2-8) and (2-9) require some explanations. The expression $d\omega$ on the left-hand side should be interpreted as a 1-form on A[1], the de Rham differential of the function ω , and $\ell_{d\omega}$ is the associated fiber-wise linear function on TA[1]. The pull-back of $\ell_{d\omega}$ along ι^* is a function on $TA[1]_{TM}$, i.e., a $C^{\infty}(TM)$ -valued, skew-symmetric multilinear map on sections of $TA \to TM$. The $T\alpha$ are the tangent maps $T\alpha : TM \to TA$ of the $\alpha : M \to A$. In particular they are linear sections of $TA \to TM$. The right-hand side of (2-8) is the fiber-wise linear function on TM corresponding to the 1-form $d\langle \omega, \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle$ on M. Here we interpret ω as a skew-symmetric multilinear map on sections of A.

Proof of Lemma 2.3.1. Let (x^i) be coordinates on M, let (u_α) be a local basis of $\Gamma(A)$, and let (u^α) be the associated fiber-wise linear coordinates on A. These data determine coordinates (x^i, \tilde{u}^α) on A[1] in the obvious way. In particular the x^i have degree 0 and the \tilde{u}^α have degree 1. We also consider standard coordinates $(x^i, u^\alpha, \dot{x}^i, \dot{u}^\alpha)$ induced by (x^i, u^α) on TA. Notice that $(u^\alpha, \dot{u}^\alpha)$ are fiber-wise linear coordinates with respect to the vector bundle structure $TA \rightarrow TM$. More precisely, they are the fiber-wise linear coordinates associated to the local basis $(Tu_\alpha, \bar{u}_\alpha)$ of $\Gamma(TA, TM)$. Next we denote by $(x^i, \dot{x}^i, \tilde{u}^\alpha, \tilde{u}^\alpha)$ the induced coordinates on $TA[1]_{TM}$. They have degree 0, 0, 1, 1 respectively. We denote by $(x^i, \tilde{u}^\alpha, X^i, \tilde{U}^\alpha)$ the standard coordinates on TA[1] induced by (x^i, \tilde{u}^α) . Define ι by putting

$$\iota^* X^i = \dot{x}^i$$
 and $\iota^* \widetilde{U}^\alpha = \widetilde{u}^\alpha$.

A direct computation exploiting the appropriate transition maps reveals that ι is globally well defined. Now we prove (2-8). We work in coordinates. Take a degree k function $\omega = f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(x)\tilde{u}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \tilde{u}^{\alpha_k}$ on A[1]. A direct computation shows that

(2-10)
$$\iota^* \ell_{d\omega} = \frac{\partial f_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k}}{\partial x^i} \tilde{u}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \tilde{u}^{\alpha_k} \dot{x}^i + k f_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k} \tilde{u}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \tilde{u}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \tilde{\dot{u}}^{\alpha_k}$$

Now, let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(A)$, and $a = 1, \ldots, k$. If α_a is locally given by $\alpha_a = g_a^{\alpha}(x)u_{\alpha}$, then

$$T\alpha_a = \frac{\partial g_a^{\alpha}}{\partial x^i} \dot{x}^i \bar{u}_{\alpha} + g_a^{\alpha} T u_{\alpha},$$

and, from (2-10),

$$\langle \iota^* \ell_{d\omega}, T\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T\alpha_k \rangle = k! \left(\frac{\partial f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}}{\partial x^i} g_1^{\alpha_1} \dots g_k^{\alpha_k} + f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k} g_1^{\alpha_1} \dots g_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \frac{\partial g_k^{\alpha_k}}{\partial x^i} \right) \dot{x}^i$$
$$= k! \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k} g_1^{\alpha_1} \dots g_k^{\alpha_k}) \dot{x}^i = \ell_{d\langle \omega, \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle}.$$

Identity (2-9) is proved in a similar way. To see that there is no other vector bundle isomorphism $\iota: TA[1]_{TM} \to TA[1]$ with the same property (2-8) notice that $X^i = \ell_{dx^i}$ and $\widetilde{U}^{\alpha} = \ell_{d\widetilde{u}^{\alpha}}$. Now use (2-8) to show that $\iota^* X^i = \dot{x}^i$ and $\iota^* \widetilde{U}^{\alpha} = \tilde{u}^{\alpha}$. \Box

In the following we will identify $TA[1]_{TM}$ with TA[1] via the isomorphism ι of Lemma 2.3.1. Now, recall that $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(TA) = \mathfrak{X}_{lin}(TA[1])^{\bullet}$ fits in the short exact sequence of DGLAs:

$$(2-11) \qquad 0 \to \mathfrak{End}(TA[1])^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}(TA[1])^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet} \to 0.$$

The tangent lift

(2-12)
$$\tan: \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(TA[1])^{\bullet}, \quad X \mapsto X_{\tan}$$

splits the sequence (2-11) in the category of DGLAs. As $\mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet} = C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$, we immediately have the following:

Proposition 2.3.2. For every Lie algebroid $A \Rightarrow M$ there is a direct sum decomposition

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(TA) = H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(T^*A) = H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{End}(TA[1])) \oplus H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def}}(A)$$

In the last part of the subsection we describe the inclusion (2-12) in terms of deformation cochains. This generalizes (2-6) and (2-7) to possibly higher cochains. Using the canonical isomorphisms $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(TA) = \mathfrak{X}_{lin}(TA[1])^{\bullet}$, and $C^{\bullet}_{def}(A) = \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ we get an inclusion

$$\tan: C^{\bullet}_{def}(A) \hookrightarrow C^{\bullet}_{def, lin}(TA), \quad c \mapsto c_{tan}.$$

Proposition 2.3.3. Let $c \in C_{def}^{k-1}(A)$. Then $c_{tan} \in C_{def,lin}^{k-1}(TA)$ satisfies:

(1) $c_{\tan}(T\alpha_1,\ldots,T\alpha_k) = Tc(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k),$

(2) $c_{\tan}(T\alpha_1,\ldots,T\alpha_{k-1},\bar{\alpha}_k)=\overline{c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)},$

(3) $\sigma_{c_{\tan}}(T\alpha_1,\ldots,T\alpha_{k-1}) = \sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})_{\tan},$

(4) $\sigma_{c_{\text{tan}}}(T\alpha_1,\ldots,T\alpha_{k-2},\bar{\alpha}_{k-1}) = \sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})^{\uparrow}$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(A)$. Identities (1)–(4) (together with the fact that c_{tan} is a linear cochain) determine c_{tan} completely.

Proof. We begin with (3). Recall that the tangent lift X_{tan} of a vector field X is completely determined by (2-5) (and this remains true in the graded setting). So, let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(A[1])^{\bullet}$ be the graded vector field corresponding to c (hence $X_{tan} \in \mathfrak{X}(TA[1]_{TM})$ is the graded vector field corresponding to c_{tan}), let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1} \in \Gamma(A)$. Using (1-10), compute

$$\sigma_{c_{\tan}}(T\alpha_1,\ldots,T\alpha_{k-1})\ell_{df} = \langle X_{\tan}(\ell_{df}),T\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge T\alpha_{k-1}\rangle$$
$$= \langle \ell_{d(X(f))},T\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge T\alpha_{k-1}\rangle.$$

From (2-8),

$$\langle \ell_{d(X(f))}, T\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T\alpha_{k-1} \rangle = \ell_{d\langle X(f), \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{k-1} \rangle} = \ell_{d(\sigma_c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})f)}$$

= $\sigma_c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})_{\tan}\ell_{df}.$

Since $\sigma_{c_{tan}}(T\alpha_1, \ldots, T\alpha_{k-1})$ and $\sigma_c(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1})_{tan}$ are both linear and project onto $\sigma_c(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1})$, this is enough to conclude that $\sigma_{c_{tan}}(T\alpha_1, \ldots, T\alpha_{k-1}) = \sigma_c(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1})_{tan}$. Identity (4) can be proved in a similar way using (2-9) and

$$\sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})^{\uparrow}\ell_{df}=\tau^*\langle df,\sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})\rangle=\tau^*(\sigma_c(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1})f).$$

We now prove (1). Both sides of the identity are linear sections of $TA \rightarrow TM$ and one can easily check in local coordinates that a linear section $\tilde{\alpha}$ is completely determined by pairings of the form $\langle \ell_{d\varphi}, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle$. Here, φ is a section of $A^* \rightarrow M$ seen as a degree 1 function on A[1], $d\varphi$ is its de Rham differential, and $\ell_{d\varphi}$ is the associated degree 1 fiber-wise linear function on TA[1], which, in turn, can be interpreted as a 1-form on the algebroid $TA \Rightarrow TM$, as in Lemma 2.3.1.

So, take $\varphi \in \Gamma(A^*)$, $c \in C_{def}^{k-1}(A)$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(A)$, and compute

$$\begin{split} \langle \ell_{d\varphi}, c_{\tan}(T\alpha_1, \dots, T\alpha_k) \rangle \\ &= \langle X_{tot}(\ell_{d\varphi}), T\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T\alpha_k \rangle - \sum_i (-)^{k-i} \sigma_{c_{tan}}(T\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{T\alpha_i}, \dots, T\alpha_k) \langle \ell_{d\varphi}, T\alpha_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \ell_{d(X(\varphi))}, T\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T\alpha_k \rangle - \sum_i (-)^{k-i} \sigma_c(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_i}, \dots, \alpha_k)_{tan} \ell_{d\langle \omega, \alpha_i \rangle} \\ &= \ell_{d\langle X(\varphi), \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle} - \sum_i (-)^{k-i} \ell_{d(\sigma_c(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_i}, \dots, \alpha_k) \langle \omega, \alpha_i \rangle)} \\ &= \ell_{d\langle \varphi, c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \rangle} = \langle \ell_{d\varphi}, Tc(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \rangle, \end{split}$$

where we used, in particular, (1-9), the first equality in (2-5), identity (3), and (2-8). So (1) holds.

Identity (2) can be proved in a similar way using (1-9), both identities (2-5), identity (4), and (2-9). We leave to the reader the straightforward details. \Box

Remark 2.3.4. Proposition 2.3.3 shows, in particular, that the Lie bracket b_A on $\Gamma(A)$ and the Lie bracket b_{TA} in $\Gamma(TA, TM)$ are related by $b_{TA} = (b_A)_{tan}$.

2.4. *Partial connections.* Let *M* be a manifold, $D \subset TM$ an involutive distribution, and let \mathcal{F} be the integral foliation of *D*. In particular $D \Rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid with injective anchor. A flat (partial) *D*-connection ∇ in a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ defines a VB-algebroid

$$\begin{array}{c} H \Longrightarrow E \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ D \Longrightarrow M \end{array}$$

where $H \subset TE$ is the *horizontal distribution* determined by *D*. Notice that the core of $(H \Rightarrow E; D \Rightarrow M)$ is trivial, and every VB-algebroid with injective (base) anchor and trivial core arises in this way. Hence, (small) deformations of $(H \Rightarrow E; D \Rightarrow M)$ are the same as simultaneous deformations of the foliation \mathcal{F} and the flat partial

connection ∇ . We now discuss the linear deformation cohomology. Denote by $q: E \to M$ the projection. First of all, the de Rham complex of $D \Rightarrow M$ is the same as leaf-wise differential forms $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})$ with the leaf-wise de Rham differential $d_{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence, the deformation complex of D consists of derivations of $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})$ (the differential being the graded commutator with $d_{\mathcal{F}}$). As the core of $(H \Rightarrow E; D \Rightarrow M)$ is trivial, there is a canonical isomorphism $H \cong q^*D$ of vector bundles over E. It easily follows that the linear deformation complex $(C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(H), \delta)$ consists of derivations of the graded module $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, E)$ of E-valued, leaf-wise differential forms, and the differential δ is the commutator with the (leaf-wise partial) connection differential $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\nabla}$. The kernel of $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(H) \to C^{\bullet}_{def}(D)$ consists of graded $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})$ -linear endomorphisms of $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, E)$. The latter are the same as End E-valued leaf-wise differential forms $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, End E)$, and the restricted differential is the connection differential (corresponding to the induced connection in End E).

Now, denote by v = TM/D the normal bundle to \mathcal{F} . It is canonically equipped with the Bott connection ∇^{Bott} , and there is a deformation retraction, hence a quasiisomorphism, $\pi : C_{\text{def}}^{\bullet}(D) \to \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, v)$ that maps a deformation cochain *c* to the composition $\pi(c)$ of the symbol $\sigma_c : \wedge^{\bullet} D \to TM$ followed by the projection $TM \to v$. A similar construction can be applied to linear deformation cochains. To see this, first notice that derivations of *E* modulo covariant derivatives along $\nabla, \mathfrak{D}(E)/\text{ im }\nabla$, are sections of a vector bundle $\tilde{v} \to M$. Additionally, \tilde{v} is canonically equipped with a flat partial connection, also called the *Bott connection* and denoted ∇^{Bott} , defined by

$$\nabla_X^{\text{Bott}}(\Delta \mod \operatorname{im} \nabla) = [\nabla_X, \Delta] \mod \operatorname{im} \nabla$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}(E)$, and $X \in \Gamma(D)$. The symbol map $\sigma : \mathfrak{D}(E) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ descends to a surjective vector bundle map $\tilde{\nu} \to \nu$, intertwining the Bott connections. As End $E \cap \text{im } \nabla = 0$, we have ker $(\tilde{\nu} \to \nu) = \text{End } E$. In other words, there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles with partial connections:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{End} E \rightarrow \tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \nu \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, we define a surjective cochain map $\tilde{\pi} : C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(H) \to \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\nu})$. Let \tilde{c} be a linear deformation cochain. Its symbol $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}$ maps linear sections of $H \to E$ to linear vector field on E. As $H \cong q^*D$, linear sections identify with plain sections of D. Accordingly $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}$ can be seen as a $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ -valued D-form. Take this point of view and denote by $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{c}) : \wedge^{\bullet}D \to \tilde{\nu}$ the composition of $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}$ followed by the projection $\mathfrak{D}(E) \to \Gamma(\tilde{\nu})$.

Summarizing, we have the commutative diagram

The rows are short exact sequences of DG-modules, and the vertical arrows are DGmodule surjections. Additionally, π is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence, it immediately follows from the snake lemma and the five lemma that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism as well. We have thus proved the following:

Proposition 2.4.1. There is a canonical isomorphism of graded vector spaces between the linear deformation cohomology of the VB-algebroid $(H \Rightarrow E; D \Rightarrow M)$, and the leaf-wise cohomology with coefficients in \tilde{v} :

 $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def},\mathrm{lin}}(H) = H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F},\tilde{\nu}).$

2.5. Lie algebra actions on vector bundles. Let \mathfrak{g} be a (finite-dimensional, real) Lie algebra acting on a vector bundle $E \to M$ by infinitesimal vector bundle automorphisms. In particular \mathfrak{g} acts on M and there is an associated action Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M \Rightarrow M$. Additionally, \mathfrak{g} acts on the total space E by linear vector fields. Equivalently, there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{D}(E)$ covering the (infinitesimal) action $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$. It follows that $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E \Rightarrow E; \mathfrak{g} \ltimes M \Rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid. We want to discuss linear deformation cohomologies of $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E \Rightarrow E$. We begin reviewing remarks by Crainic and Moerdijk [2008] on the deformation cohomology of $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M \Rightarrow M$ providing a graded geometric interpretation. The deformation complex $C^{\bullet}_{def}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M)$ consists of vector fields on $(\mathfrak{g} \times M)[1] = \mathfrak{g}[1] \times M$. Denote by

 $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}:\mathfrak{g}[1]\times M\to\mathfrak{g}[1]$

the projection. Composition on the right with the pull-back

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*: C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}[1])^{\bullet} \to C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$$

establishes a projection from vector fields on $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M$ to $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -relative vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_{rel}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet}$, i.e., vector fields on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ with coefficients in functions on $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M$:

(2-13)
$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rel}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet}, \quad X \mapsto X \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}.$$

The kernel of projection (2-13) consists of $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -vertical vector fields $\mathfrak{X}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$. Denote by $d_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$ the homological vector field on $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M$. The graded commutator $\delta := [d_{\mathfrak{g}}, -]$ preserves $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -vertical vector fields. Hence there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

(2-14)
$$0 \to \mathfrak{X}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rel}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet} \to 0.$$

Now, $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$ is exactly the deformation complex of $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{X}_{rel}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet}$ is (canonically isomorphic to) the Chevalley–Eilenberg cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $C^{\infty}(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, the tensor product of $C^{\infty}(M)$ and the adjoint representation, up to a shift by 1. Following [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008], we shortly denote this tensor product by \mathfrak{g}_M . Finally, $\mathfrak{X}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$ is canonically

isomorphic to the Chevalley–Eilenberg cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. So there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \to C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{X}(M)) \to C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{def}}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M) \to C^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_M) \to 0,$$

and a long exact cohomology sequence

$$(2-15) \cdots \to H^{k}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{X}(M)) \to H^{k}_{\mathrm{def}}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes M) \to H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}_{M}) \to H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{X}(M)) \to \cdots$$

We pass to $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E$. The linear deformation complex $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E)$ consists of linear vector fields on $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E$. As above, we consider the projection $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}[1] \times E \to \mathfrak{g}[1]$. Composition on the right with the pull-back $\tilde{\pi}^*_{\mathfrak{g}}$ establishes a projection:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rel}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet}, \quad X \mapsto X \circ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}$$

(beware, the range consists of $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -relative, not $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -relative, vector fields) whose kernel consists of $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -vertical linear vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$. Hence there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

(2-16)
$$0 \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{rel}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\bullet} \to 0.$$

Using the projection $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times M)^{\bullet}$, we can combine sequences (2-16) and (2-14) in an exact diagram

where, as usual, $\mathfrak{End}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$ consists of graded endomorphisms of the vector bundle $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E \to \mathfrak{g}[1] \times M$ (covering the identity). Now, $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$ is the linear deformation complex of $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E \Rightarrow E; \mathfrak{g} \ltimes M \Rightarrow M)$, and $\mathfrak{End}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$ is canonically isomorphic to the Chevalley–Eilenberg cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $\mathfrak{End} E$, endomorphisms of E (covering the identity). Finally, $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$ is canonically isomorphic to the Chevalley–Eilenberg cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $\mathfrak{D}(E)$. The isomorphism

$$C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{D}(E)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E)^{\bullet}$$

maps a cochain $\omega \otimes \Delta$ to the vector field $\tilde{\pi}^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(f_{\omega})X_{\Delta}$, where f_{ω} is the function on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ corresponding to $\omega \in C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$, and X_{Δ} is the unique $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -vertical vector field on $\mathfrak{g}[1] \times E$ projecting on the linear vector field on E corresponding to derivation Δ .

We conclude that there is an exact diagram of cochain complexes

This proves the following:

Proposition 2.5.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra acting on a vector bundle $E \to M$ by infinitesimal vector bundle automorphisms. The linear deformation cohomology of the VB-algebroid ($\mathfrak{g} \ltimes E \Rightarrow E, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes M \Rightarrow M$) fits in the exact diagram



2.6. *Type 1 VB-algebroids.* Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a VB-algebroid with core *C*. The *core-anchor* of $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is the vector bundle map $\partial : C \to E$ defined as follows. Let χ be a section of *C*, and let $\bar{\chi}$ be the corresponding core section of $W \to E$. The anchor $\rho : W \to TE$ maps $\bar{\chi}$ to a core vector field $\rho(\bar{\chi})$ on *E*. In turn $\rho(\bar{\chi})$ is the vertical lift of a section ε of *E*. By definition, $\partial \chi = \varepsilon$.

According to a definition by Gracia-Saz and Mehta [2010], a VB-algebroid is *type* 1 (resp. *type* 0) if the core-anchor is an isomorphism (resp. is the zero map). More generally, $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is *regular* if the core-anchor has constant rank. In this case $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is the direct sum of a *type* 1 and a *type* 0

VB-algebroid, up to isomorphisms. So type 1 and type 0 VB-algebroids are the building blocks of regular VB-algebroids. In this subsection we discuss linear deformation cohomologies of type 1 VB-algebroids.

Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a type 1 VB-algebroid, and denote by $q : E \to M$ the projection. Gracia-Saz and Mehta [2010] show that $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is canonically isomorphic to the VB-algebroid $(q^!A \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$. Here $q^!A \Rightarrow E$ is the *pull-back Lie algebroid*. Recall that its total space $q^!A$ is the fibered product $q^!A := TE_{dq} \times_{\rho} A$. Hence, sections of $q^!A \to E$ are pairs (X, α) , where X is a vector field on E and α is a section of the pull-back bundle $q^*A \to E$, with the additional property that $dq(X_e) = \rho(\alpha_{q(e)})$ for all $e \in E$. Then there exists a unique Lie algebroid structure $q^!A \Rightarrow E$ such that the anchor $q^!A \to TE$ is the projection $(X, \alpha) \mapsto X$, and the Lie bracket is given by

$$[(X, q^*\alpha), (Y, q^*\beta)] = ([X, Y], q^*[\alpha, \beta]),$$

on sections of the special form $(X, q^*\alpha)$, $(X, q^*\beta)$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$. Finally, $(q^!A \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ is a VB-algebroid, and every VB-algebroid of type 1 arises in this way (up to isomorphisms).

As $E \to M$ is a vector bundle, it has contractible fibers. So, according to [Sparano and Vitagliano 2018], $q^!A \Rightarrow E$ and $A \Rightarrow M$ share the same deformation cohomology. As an immediate consequence we get that the canonical map $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(q^!A) \to C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ induces an injection in cohomology. We want to show that it is a quasi-isomorphism. To do this it is enough to prove that the kernel $\mathfrak{End}(q^!A[1]_E)^{\bullet}$ of $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(q^!A) \to C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ is acyclic. We use graded geometry again. So, consider the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} q^! A \longrightarrow TE \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{dq} \\ A \longrightarrow TM \end{array}$$

All vertices are vector bundles, and shifting by one the degree in their fibers, we get a pull-back diagram of DG-manifolds:

$$\begin{array}{c} q^{!}A[1]_{E} \longrightarrow T[1]E \\ \tilde{q} \\ \downarrow \\ A[1] \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} T[1]M \end{array}$$

This shows, among other things, that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{End}(q^{!}A[1]_{E})^{\bullet} = C^{\bullet}(A) \otimes_{\Omega^{\bullet}(M)} \mathfrak{End}(T[1]_{E})^{\bullet}$$

of DG-modules. From Proposition 1.4.5, exact sequence (1-16), and the fact that the deformation cohomology of $TM \Rightarrow M$ is trivial, $\mathfrak{End}(T[1]E)^{\bullet}$ is acyclic. Actually

there is a canonical contracting homotopy $h' : \mathfrak{End}(T[1]E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{End}(T[1]E)^{\bullet-1}$. Indeed, there is a canonical contracting homotopy $H : \mathfrak{X}(T[1]E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{X}(T[1]E)^{\bullet-1}$ restricting to both $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(T[1]E)^{\bullet}$ and $\mathfrak{End}(T[1]E)^{\bullet}$ (see, e.g., [Vitagliano 2014; Sparano and Vitagliano 2018], for a definition of H). Then, h' is simply the restriction of H, and it is graded $C^{\bullet}(A)$ -linear. Finally, we define a contracting homotopy

$$h: \mathfrak{End}(q^!A[1]_E)^{\bullet} \to \mathfrak{End}(q^!A[1]_E)^{\bullet-1}$$

by putting $h(\omega \otimes \Phi) := (-)^{\omega} \omega \otimes h'(\Phi)$ for all $\omega \in C^{\bullet}(A)$, and all $\Phi \in \mathfrak{End}(T[1]E)^{\bullet}$. Summarizing, we have proved:

Proposition 2.6.1. Let $(W \Rightarrow E; A \Rightarrow M)$ be a type 1 VB-algebroid. Then the canonical surjection $C^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. In particular, $H^{\bullet}_{def,lin}(W) = H^{\bullet}_{def}(A)$.

In essence, deforming a type 1 VB-algebroid is the same as deforming its base Lie algebroid.

Appendix: The homogeneity structure of a vector bundle

Here, for the reader's convenience, we recall the well-known concepts of homogeneity structure of a vector bundle and of linear multivectors on its total space. We make no claim of originality: these ideas appeared (probably for the first time) in [Grabowski and Rotkiewicz 2009], [Iglesias-Ponte et al. 2012] and [Bursztyn and Cabrera 2012], respectively. In the last two references, the reader can also find the proof of (a version of) Proposition A.0.3. With respect to those references, we will offer just a slightly different point of view, in order to make the presentation consistent. Notations and conventions in this appendix are used throughout the paper, sometimes without further comments.

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. The monoid $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of nonnegative real numbers acts on E by homotheties $h_{\lambda} : E \to E$ (fiber-wise scalar multiplication). The action $h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times E \to E$, $e \mapsto h_{\lambda}(e)$, is called the *homogeneity structure* of E. The homogeneity structure (together with the smooth structure) fully characterizes the vector bundle structure [Grabowski and Rotkiewicz 2009]. In particular, it determines the addition. This implies that *every notion that involves the linear structure of* E *can be expressed in terms of* h *only*: for example, a smooth map between the total spaces of two vector bundles is a bundle map if and only if it commutes with the homogeneity structures.

The homogeneity structure isolates a distinguished subspace in the algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\bullet}(E)$ of multivectors on the total space *E* of the vector bundle.

Definition A.0.1. A multivector $X \in \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet}(E)$ is (*homogeneous*) of weight q if and only if

(A-1)
$$h_{\lambda}^* X = \lambda^q X$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. The space of *k*-vector fields of weight *q* on *E* will be denoted $\mathfrak{X}_q^k(E)$. We denote simply by $C_q^{\infty}(E) := \mathfrak{X}_q^0(E)$ the space of functions of weight *q* and by $\mathfrak{X}_q(E) := \mathfrak{X}_q^1(E)$ the space of vector fields of weight *q*.

Clearly, for $q \ge 0$, weight q functions coincide with functions on E that are fiber-wise polynomial of degree q, while for q < 0 there are no nonzero functions of weight q. In particular, weight-zero functions are fiber-wise constant functions, i.e., pull-backs of functions on the base M. We refer to them as *core functions* and we denote $C_{\text{core}}^{\infty}(E) := C_0^{\infty}(E)$.

The functorial properties of the pull-back imply that the grading defined by the weight is natural with respect to all the usual operations on functions and (multi)vector fields. From this remark, we easily see that *there are no nonzero* k-vector fields of weight less than -k.

Definition A.0.2. A function on *E* is *linear* if it is of weight 1. More generally, a *k*-vector field is *linear* if it is of weight 1 - k. We denote by $C_{\text{lin}}^{\infty}(E)$, $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(E)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}^{\bullet}(E)$ the spaces of linear functions, vector fields and multivectors, respectively.

Linear functions are precisely fiber-wise linear functions. The definition of linear multivectors may sound a little strange, but it is motivated (among other things) by the following proposition:

Proposition A.0.3. Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}^k(E)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is linear;
- (2) X takes
 - (a) k linear functions to a linear function,
 - (b) k 1 linear functions and a core function to a core function,
 - (c) k i linear functions and i core functions to 0, for every $i \ge 2$;
- (3) If (xⁱ) are local coordinates on M and (u^α) are linear fiber coordinates on E, X is locally of the form

(A-2)
$$X = X^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha_{k-1}}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + X^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k}_{\beta}(x) u^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha_k}}.$$

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for reading carefully our manuscript, and for several suggestions that improved the presentation considerably. The authors are members of GNSAGA of INdAM.

References

- [Arias Abad and Crainic 2012] C. Arias Abad and M. Crainic, "Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **663** (2012), 91–126. MR Zbl
- [Behrend and Xu 2011] K. Behrend and P. Xu, "Differentiable stacks and gerbes", J. Symplectic Geom. 9:3 (2011), 285–341. MR Zbl
- [Bursztyn and Cabrera 2012] H. Bursztyn and A. Cabrera, "Multiplicative forms at the infinitesimal level", *Math. Ann.* **353**:3 (2012), 663–705. MR Zbl
- [Cabrera and Drummond 2017] A. Cabrera and T. Drummond, "Van Est isomorphism for homogeneous cochains", *Pacific J. Math.* **287**:2 (2017), 297–336. MR Zbl
- [Crainic and Moerdijk 2008] M. Crainic and I. Moerdijk, "Deformations of Lie brackets: cohomological aspects", *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **10**:4 (2008), 1037–1059. MR Zbl
- [Crainic et al. 2015] M. Crainic, J. N. Mestre, and I. Struchiner, "Deformations of Lie groupoids", preprint, 2015. arXiv
- [Esposito et al. 2016] C. Esposito, A. G. Tortorella, and L. Vitagliano, "Infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids and algebroids", preprint, 2016. arXiv
- [Grabowska et al. 2003] K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski, and P. Urbański, "Lie brackets on affine bundles", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 24:2 (2003), 101–130. MR Zbl
- [Grabowski and Rotkiewicz 2009] J. Grabowski and M. Rotkiewicz, "Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds", *J. Geom. Phys.* **59**:9 (2009), 1285–1305. MR Zbl
- [Gracia-Saz and Mehta 2010] A. Gracia-Saz and R. A. Mehta, "Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids", *Adv. Math.* **223**:4 (2010), 1236–1275. MR Zbl
- [del Hoyo and Ortiz 2016] M. del Hoyo and C. Ortiz, "Morita equivalences of vector bundles", preprint, 2016. arXiv
- [Iglesias-Ponte et al. 2012] D. Iglesias-Ponte, C. Laurent-Gengoux, and P. Xu, "Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids", *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **14**:3 (2012), 681–731. MR Zbl
- [La Pastina and Vitagliano 2019] P. P. La Pastina and L. Vitagliano, "Deformations of vector bundles over Lie groupoids", preprint, 2019. arXiv
- [Mackenzie 1998a] K. C. H. Mackenzie, "Double Lie algebroids and the double of a Lie bialgebroid", preprint, 1998. arXiv
- [Mackenzie 1998b] K. C. H. Mackenzie, "Drinfel'd doubles and Ehresmann doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids", *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1998), 74–87. MR Zbl
- [Mackenzie 2005] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **213**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005. MR Zbl
- [Mehta 2006] R. A. Mehta, *Supergroupoids, double structures, and equivariant cohomology*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2006, available at https://search.proquest.com/docview/ 305363751.
- [Nijenhuis and Richardson 1966] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr., "Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 1–29. MR Zbl
- [Nijenhuis and Richardson 1967a] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr., "Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie groups and Lie algebras", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967), 175–179. MR Zbl

- [Nijenhuis and Richardson 1967b] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr., "Deformations of Lie algebra structures", *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967), 89–105. MR Zbl
- [Pradines 1967] J. Pradines, "Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables: calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **264** (1967), 245–248. MR Zbl
- [Sparano and Vitagliano 2018] G. Sparano and L. Vitagliano, "Deformation cohomology of Lie algebroids and Morita equivalence", *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **356**:4 (2018), 376–381. MR Zbl
- [Vaintrob 1997] A. Y. Vaintrob, "Lie algebroids and homological vector fields", Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 52:2(314) (1997), 161–162. In Russian; translated in Russian Math. Surv. 52:2 (1997), 428–429. MR Zbl
- [Vitagliano 2014] L. Vitagliano, "On the strong homotopy Lie–Rinehart algebra of a foliation", *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **16**:6 (2014), art. id. 1450007. MR Zbl
- [Vitagliano 2016] L. Vitagliano, "Vector bundle valued differential forms on $\mathbb{N}Q$ -manifolds", *Pacific J. Math.* **283**:2 (2016), 449–482. MR Zbl
- [Voronov 2012] T. T. Voronov, "*Q*-manifolds and Mackenzie theory", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **315**:2 (2012), 279–310. MR Zbl

Received June 4, 2018. Revised March 1, 2019.

PIER PAOLO LA PASTINA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME ROME ITALY

lapastina@mat.uniroma1.it

LUCA VITAGLIANO DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF SALERNO FISCIANO ITALY Ivitagliano@unisa.it

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan Mathematics Department National University of Singapore Singapore 119076 matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Matthias Aschenbrenner

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080

cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu

Department of Mathematics

The University of Hong Kong

Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong

jhlu@maths.hku.hk

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$490/year for the electronic version, and \$665/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 303	No. 1	November 2019
------------	-------	---------------

Contrasting various notions of convergence in geometric analysis	1
BRIAN ALLEN allu CHRISTINA SORMANI	47
explicit formulae and discrepancy estimates for <i>a</i> -points of the Klemann zeta-function	47
SIEGERED BALUYOT and STEVEN M. GONEK	
Diffeological vector spaces	73
J. DANIEL CHRISTENSEN and ENXIN WU	15
Degree-one, monotone self-maps of the Pontryagin surface are near-homeomorphisms	93
ROBERT J. DAVERMAN and THOMAS L. THICKSTUN	
Denoetherianizing Cohen–Macaulay rings	133
LÁSZLÓ FUCHS and BRUCE OLBERDING	
Ordinary points mod p of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -locally symmetric spaces	165
MARK GORESKY and YUNG SHENG TAI	
Real structures on polarized Dieudonné modules	217
MARK GORESKY and YUNG SHENG TAI	
Spectrahedral representations of plane hyperbolic curves MARIO KUMMER, SIMONE NALDI and DANIEL PLAUMANN	243
Deformations of linear Lie brackets	265
PIER PAOLO LA PASTINA and LUCA VITAGLIANO	
A mod- <i>p</i> Artin–Tate conjecture, and generalizing the Herbrand–Ribet theorem DIPENDRA PRASAD	299
Transitive topological Markov chains of given entropy and period with or without measure of maximal entropy SYLVIE RUETTE	317
Restricted sum formula for finite and symmetric multiple zeta values	325
HIDEKI MURAHARA and SHINGO SAITO	
Frobenius–Schur indicators for near-group and Haagerup–Izumi fusion categories HENRY TUCKER	337
Compactness theorems for 4-dimensional gradient Ricci solitons YONGJIA ZHANG	361