Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STRONGLY ALGEBRAIC REALIZATION OF DIHEDRAL GROUP ACTIONS

KARL HEINZ DOVERMANN

Volume 305 No. 2 April 2020

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 305, No. 2, 2020
dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2020.305.563

STRONGLY ALGEBRAIC REALIZATION OF DIHEDRAL GROUP ACTIONS

KARL HEINZ DOVERMANN

Let D_{2q} be the dihedral group with $2q$ elements and suppose that q is not divisible by 4. Let M be a closed smooth D_{2q}-manifold. Then there exists a nonsingular real algebraic D_{2q}-variety X which is equivariantly diffeomorphic to M and all D_{2q}-vector bundles over X are strongly algebraic.

1. Introduction

Suppose G is a compact Lie group and Ω is an orthogonal representation of G, also called a real G-module. A *real algebraic G-variety X*, see Definition 3.1 or [Dovermann and Masuda 1995], is a G-invariant common set of zeros of a finite collection of polynomials. The action on X is given as the restriction of the action on Ω. We use the term *nonsingular* with its classical meaning, see [Whitney 1957] or [Bochnak et al. 1987, Section 3.3]. If M is a closed smooth G-manifold and X is a nonsingular real algebraic G-variety that is equivariantly diffeomorphic to M, then we say that M is *algebraically realized* and that X is an *algebraic model* of M. We call X a *strongly algebraic* model of M if, in addition, all G-vector bundles over X are strongly algebraic. This means that the bundles are classified, up to equivariant homotopy, by equivariant entire rational maps to equivariant Grassmannians with their canonical algebraic structure, see Section 3C. Existing results motivate:

Conjecture 1.1 [Dovermann et al. 1994, p. 32]. *Let G be a compact Lie group. Then every closed smooth G-manifold has a strongly algebraic model.*

Our main result verifies the conjecture in a special case:

Theorem 1.2. *Every closed smooth D_{2q}-manifold, q not divisible by* 4, *has a strongly algebraic model.*

Nash [1952] had asked whether every closed smooth manifold has an algebraic model, and this was confirmed by Tognoli [1973]. Benedetti and Tognoli [1980] showed that every closed smooth manifold has a strongly algebraic model. See also [Akbulut and King 1981; 1992].

MSC2010: primary 14P25; secondary 57S15, 57S25.
Keywords: real algebraic models, equivariant bordism.

We have confirmed Conjecture 1.1 in special cases. They include the case where G is the product of an odd order group and a 2-torus [Dovermann et al. 1994, Theorem B] and the case where G is cyclic; see [Dovermann and Wasserman 2008; 2019; Dovermann et al. 2017]. The new difficulty that we face in this paper is that Sylow 2 subgroups of dihedral groups are not central, and being able to deal with this adds credence to the conjecture. With the goal of proving Conjecture 1.1 in greater generality, we are developing ideas and tools to overcome difficulties in its proof.

To prove Proposition 2.3 we combine results from representation theory with extensions of the process of simplifying isotropy structures via blow-ups as applied in [Dovermann and Wasserman 2008; Wasserman 1997]. To prove Theorem 2.4, and its bordism theoretic reformulation as Theorem 3.7, we make creative use of the existing literature.

Algebraic realization problems translate to unoriented bordism problems. One expects 2-Sylow subgroups to play a crucial role. If $G = D_{2q}$ and q is not divisible by 4, then any of its 2-Sylow subgroups G_2 is at most of order 4, and for such groups Conjecture 1.1 has been verified. If 4 divides q, then G_2 is dihedral and has at least 8 elements. The proof of Conjecture 1.1 for this group may require extensive equivariant bordism computations. The concept of being iso-special (see Definition 2.1) will be inadequate. Locally we will have to accept three isotropy groups.

2. Outline of Proof

Throughout, until Section 7, we assume that $G = D_{2q}$ and q is odd. In Section 7 we deduce Theorem 1.2 for $G = D_{2q}$ when 2 divides q, but not 4, from the case when q is odd.

Definition 2.1. A smooth G-manifold is said to be *iso-special* if locally the action has at most two isotropy groups. If there are two isotropy groups, say H and K with $K \subset H$, then we assume that $[H : K] = 2$ and the codimension of the H-fixed point set in the K-fixed point set is 1.

Blow-ups (see [Hirzebruch 1966, p. 175f.; Stong 1970, p. 41]) were used in [Wasserman 1997] to simplify the isotropy structure of a manifold, at least in case of abelian group actions. Our term iso-special corresponds to the term *nonsingular* in [Wasserman 1997, Definition 18]. We will review the process of a blow-up in Section 4. If M is a smooth G-manifold and N is a G-invariant submanifold, then we denote the blow-up of M along N by $B(M, N)$. Previously we have shown:

Proposition 2.2 [Dovermann and Wasserman 2008, Section 4]. *If N and $B(M, N)$ have strongly algebraic models, then so does M.*

In this paper we will show the following two assertions:

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a closed smooth D_{2q}-manifold, q odd. Then there exists a finite sequence of equivariant blow-ups*

$$M_0 = M, \quad M_1 = B(M_0, A_0), \quad \dots, \quad M_k = B(M_{k-1}, A_{k-1}) \tag{2-1}$$

so that M_k and each A_i, $0 \le i \le k-1$, are iso-special.

Theorem 2.4. *Every iso-special closed smooth D_{2q}-manifold, q odd, has a strongly algebraic model.*

The definition of being iso-special is designed so that in combination with the blow-up procedure the proof of Conjecture 1.1 reduces to the special case of iso-special manifolds. Proposition 2.3 is proved in Section 4. In Section 3E we deduce Theorem 2.4 from a bordism theoretic assertion, Theorem 3.7. The proof of Theorem 3.7 occupies the later sections of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.2, q odd. Suppose M has a blow-up sequence as in (2-1). Theorem 2.4 tells us that M_k and A_{k-1} have strongly algebraic models. Proposition 2.2 tells us that M_{k-1} has a strongly algebraic model. Proceeding inductively, we conclude that M has a strongly algebraic model. □

3. Notation, definitions, and background material

The dihedral group D_{2q} is generated by two elements that we call a and b, subject to the relations $a^2 = b^q = e$ and $aba = b^{-1}$. We write T for the subgroup generated by a and $\mathbb{Z}_q$ for the subgroup generated by b. If $q = rq'$ then a and b^r generate a subgroup of D_{2q} that we denote by $D_{2q'}$. It has the subgroup T generated by a and a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ generated by b^r.

3A. *Representations of the dihedral groups.* The dihedral group is ambivalent: its elements are conjugate to their inverses. For finite groups being ambivalent is equivalent to all characters being real [Isaacs 1976, p. 31]. The number of real, as well as complex, irreducible representations is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of elements of the group. The complex irreducible representations are complexifications of real irreducible representations. This, and more, follows from the Frobenius–Schur indicator; see [Serre 1977, p. 90ff.].

Specifically, if q is odd, then there are $\frac{q+3}{2}$ conjugacy classes of elements and irreducible representations, of which 2 are of dimension 1 and $\frac{q-1}{2}$ are of dimension 2. If q is even, then there are $\frac{q+6}{2}$ irreducible representations, of which 4 are of dimension 1 and $\frac{q-2}{2}$ are of dimension 2. They are described in [Serre 1977, p. 37f.].

Suppose $q > 1$ is odd. The trivial representation, denoted by $\mathbb{R}$, is one of the real irreducible representations of dimension 1. We denote the other one by $\mathbb{R}_-$. The element $a \in D_{2q}$ acts by multiplication with -1, while b acts trivially. The

remaining real irreducible representations are of dimension 2. The generators act by multiplication with the matrices

$$\theta(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi j/q) & \sin(2\pi j/q) \\ -\sin(2\pi j/q) & \cos(2\pi j/q) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-1}$$

for $1 \le j \le (q-1)/2$.

3B. ***Real algebraic varieties and entire rational maps.*** Let G be a compact Lie group and Ω an orthogonal representation of G. We think of an orthogonal representation as an underlying Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ together with an action of G via orthogonal maps.

Definition 3.1. A *real algebraic G-variety* is a G-invariant, common set of zeros of a finite set of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_m : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$V = \{x \in \Omega \mid p_1(x) = \cdots = p_m(x) = 0\}.$$

The action of G on Ω restricts to an action on V. We use the Euclidean topology on varieties and the term *nonsingular* with its standard meaning [Whitney 1957].

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be real algebraic varieties. A map $f : V \to W$ is said to be *regular* if it extends to a map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that each of its coordinates F_i (i.e., $F_i = \delta_i \circ F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\delta_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection on the i-th coordinate) is a polynomial. We say that f is *entire rational* if there are regular maps $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f = p/q$ on V and q does not vanish anywhere on V.

These concepts generalize naturally to the equivariant setting.

3C. ***Grassmannians and classification of vector bundles.*** A good reference is [Bochnak et al. 1987, §3.4]. Let Λ stand for $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let Ξ be a representation of G over Λ. Its underlying space is Λ^n for some n. We assume that the action of G preserves the standard bilinear form on Λ^n. Let $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi)$ denote the set of endomorphisms of Ξ over Λ. It is a representation of G with the action given by

$$G \times \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi) \to \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi) \quad \text{with} \quad (g, L) \mapsto gLg^{-1}.$$

Let d be a natural number. We set

$$G_\Lambda(\Xi, d) = \{L \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi) \mid L^2 = L,\ L^* = L,\ \operatorname{trace} L = d\} \tag{3-2}$$

$$E_\Lambda(\Xi, d) = \{(L, u) \in \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi) \times \Xi \mid L \in G_\Lambda(\Xi, d),\ Lu = u\} \tag{3-3}$$

$$\gamma_\Lambda(\Xi, d) = (p : E_\Lambda(\Xi, d) \to G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)). \tag{3-4}$$

Here L^* denotes the adjoint of L. If one chooses an orthogonal (or unitary) basis of Ξ, then $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(\Xi)$ is canonically identified with the set of $n \times n$ matrices, and L^* is obtained by transposing L and conjugating its entries. This description

specifies $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ and $E_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ as real algebraic G-varieties. These varieties are nonsingular. The map in (3-4) is projection on the first factor, and $\gamma_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ is an equivariant vector bundle. Its base and total space are nonsingular real algebraic varieties, and the projection map is regular, hence entire rational.

Proposition 3.2. *The variety $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ is the Grassmannian consisting of real (resp. complex) subspaces of Ξ of real (resp. complex) dimension d.*

Proof. There is a bijection between subspaces of Ξ and orthogonal (resp. unitary) projections. To a projection one associates its image. □

We may take larger and larger representations Ξ of G and form a direct limit. At the same time, we can take direct limits of $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$, $E_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ and $\gamma_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$. We call Ξ a universe if it contains each irreducible representation of G an infinite number of times. If Ξ is a universe, then $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ is a classifying space for G-vector bundles of dimension d over nice space, like finite G-CW complexes. There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of G-vector bundles of dimension d over a G-CW complex X and equivariant homotopy classes from X to $G_\mathbb{R}(\Xi, d)$. The nonequivariant proof in [Milnor and Stasheff 1974, §5] generalizes easily. See also [Segal 1968, §2; Wasserman 1969].

In the context of our discussion of strongly algebraic vector bundles, we like $G_\mathbb{R}(\Xi, d)$ to be a variety, which is the case as long as Ξ is of finite dimension. Depending on the bundle classification problem, one may get away using a finite-dimensional representation Ξ. For instance, for any G-CW complex X of dimension k, $G_\mathbb{R}(\Xi, d)$ classifies G-vector bundles of dimension d over X if each irreducible representation of G occurs with multiplicity at least $k + d + 1$ in Ξ.

3D. *Strongly algebraic vector bundles.* In our setting the preferred concept of a vector bundle is the one of a strongly algebraic vector bundle. See also [Bochnak et al. 1987, §12.1]. One has this notion with real, $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$, as well as complex, $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}$, coefficients.

Definition 3.3. A *strongly algebraic G-vector bundle* over a real algebraic G-variety is a bundle whose classifying map to $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$ is equivariantly homotopic to an equivariant entire rational map.

Occasionally, we think of G-vector bundles as equivariant maps to a Grassmannian $G_\Lambda(\Xi, d)$. Then we need to allow stabilization of Ξ.

3E. *Results from the literature.* We will use:

Proposition 3.4 [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition 2.13]. *Let G be a compact Lie group and M a closed smooth G-manifold. Suppose that for every finite collection of G-vector bundles over M there is an algebraic model X, such that each bundle in*

this collection, pulled back over X, is strongly algebraic. Then M has an algebraic model over which all G-vector bundles are strongly algebraic.

Suppose Y is a nonsingular real algebraic G-variety. It is convenient to call $\mu : X \to Y$ an *algebraic map* if X is a nonsingular real algebraic G-variety and μ is equivariant and entire rational. Suppose M is a closed smooth G-manifold and $f : M \to Y$ is equivariant. We call an algebraic map $\mu : X \to Y$ an *algebraic model* of $f : M \to Y$ if there is an equivariant diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \to X$ so that f is equivariantly homotopic to $\mu \circ \Phi$.

Theorem 3.5 [Dovermann et al. 1994, Theorem C]. *Let G be a compact Lie group. An equivariant map from a closed smooth G-manifold to a nonsingular real algebraic G-variety has an algebraic model if and only if its cobordism class has an algebraic representative.*

3F. *Bordism formulation.* Consider a finite product of Grassmannians:

$$\mathfrak{G} = G_{\mathbb{R}}(\Xi, d_1) \times \cdots \times G_{\mathbb{R}}(\Xi, d_k), \tag{3-5}$$

where Ξ is a sufficiently large representation of G and $d_1, \dots, d_k$ is a sequence of natural numbers. Such a space is used as a classifying space for a collection of k bundles.

Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ be the set of all subgroups of G and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$. We say that a G-manifold M is of *type* $\mathcal{H}$ if the isotropy groups G_x belong to $\mathcal{H}$ for all $x \in M$. Recall that $G_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1}$, so we should assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is invariant under conjugation. To avoid listing all elements in a conjugacy class:

Notation 3.6. We write $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ for the closure of $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ under conjugation.

We adopt the notation used in [Stong 1970, §2; 1977]. We use $\mathcal{N}_k^G(Y)$ to denote G equivariant unoriented bordism classes of equivariant maps $f : M \to Y$ from closed G-manifolds of dimension k to a G space Y. Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subgroups of G we write $\mathcal{N}_k^G[\mathcal{F}](Y)$ to indicate that the isotropy groups for the domain M of the map are assumed to be in $\mathcal{F}$. The same restriction on the isotropy groups of the domain applies to a bordism between two maps. In the iso-special case we add a subscript c and write $\mathcal{N}_{r,c}^G[\{H, K\}^\bullet](Z)$ to indicate that the codimension of the H-fixed point set in the K-fixed point is one. Eventually we will prove:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $G = D_{2q}$ be the dihedral group, where q is odd, and $\mathfrak{G}$ is as in (3-5).*

(1) *If H is a subgroup of G, then all classes in $\mathcal{N}_*^G[\{H\}^\bullet](\mathfrak{G})$ have algebraic representatives.*

(2) *If H and K are two subgroups of G and $[H : K] = 2$, then all classes in $\mathcal{N}_{r,c}^G[\{H, K\}^\bullet](\mathfrak{G})$ have algebraic representatives.*

Deduce Theorem 2.4 from Theorem 3.7. Let M be a closed, smooth, and iso-special D_{2q}-manifold. Consider a finite collection $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$ of D_{2q}-vector bundles over M. Classify it by a map χ into a product of Grassmannians $\mathfrak{G}$ as in (3-5). Then $\chi = \chi_1 \times \cdots \times \chi_k$ where the individual χ_j classify the bundles ξ_j. According to Theorem 3.7, the bordism class of $\chi : M \to \mathfrak{G}$ has an algebraic representative. According to Theorem 3.5, there is an algebraic model $\widehat{\chi} : X \to \mathfrak{G}$ for $\chi : M \to \mathfrak{G}$. Then $\widehat{\chi} = \widehat{\chi}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{\chi}_k$. The $\widehat{\chi}_j$ are entire rational, up to equivariant homotopy, and they classify strongly algebraic bundles. Being able to do this for every collection $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$ of D_{2q}-vector bundles over M implies, according to Proposition 3.4, that M has a strongly algebraic model. □

4. Blow-ups

In this section we recall the definition of blow-ups and study their effect on the isotropy structure of a G-manifold in the special case where $G = D_{2q}$ and q is odd.

Construction 4.1. Let M be a closed smooth G-manifold with a collection $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$ of G-vector bundles over it. Let N be a G-invariant submanifold of M with normal bundle ν. Denote the trivial representation by $\mathbb{R}$ and the product bundle with fibre $\mathbb{R}$ by $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$. We may restrict $\xi_1, \dots \xi_k$ over N and then use the projection $\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}) \to N$ to pull the bundles back over $\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$. The resulting bundles are called $\overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_k}$.

We may identify $(M, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ and $(\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}), \overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_k})$ along a neighbourhood of N that is contained in M and $\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$. The result is commonly called the *blow-up* of $(M, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ along N. It is denoted by $B((M, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k), N)$. By construction,

$$B((M, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k), N) \sim (M, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \sqcup (\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}), \overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_k}), \tag{4-1}$$

where $\sim$ indicates an equivariant cobordism that incorporates bundle data.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. The argument is inductive. We induct over the partial order on the divisors of q. First we blow up components of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ fixed point set that do not contain points that are fixed under D_{2p}. Secondly we blow up components of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ fixed point set that contain points that are fixed under D_{2p}.

(i) Let A_0 be the union of those components of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ that contain only points of isotropy type $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Clearly A_0 is a D_{2q}-invariant submanifold of M, and having only one isotropy type it is iso-special. Certainly, A_0 can have components of different dimensions. Let $A_0^{=0}$ be the part of A_0 that is of codimension 0 in M. In particular, A_0 consists of a D_{2q}-invariant collection of components of M. For this part of M the assertion of Proposition 2.3 holds. We can exclude it from further consideration. Notationally it is easier to set it aside.

Let $A_0^{>0}$ be the union of those components of A_0 that are of positive codimension in M. Blow up $M \setminus A_0^{=0}$, the remaining part of M, along $A_0^{>0}$. Set

$M_1 = B(M \setminus A_0^{=0}, A_0^{>0})$. Let ν_x stand for the normal slice at a point $x \in A_0^{>0}$. Because $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is of odd order and ν_x does not have the trivial representation $\mathbb{R}$ as a summand, there is no real $\mathbb{Z}_q$-invariant line in ν_x. Hence $\mathbb{R}P(\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R})$ has exactly one $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed point. After the identification of $M \setminus A_0^{=0}$ and $\mathbb{R}P(\nu \oplus \mathbb{R})$ along a common neighbourhood of $A_0^{>0}$ the common $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed set $A_0^{>0}$ has been eliminated. Our blow-up removes $A_0^{>0}$ from the $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed point set. Any remaining points of isotropy type $\mathbb{Z}_q$ belong to components that contain D_{2q}-fixed points.

Let q' be a maximal nontrivial proper divisor of q. We repeat the above process with $\mathbb{Z}_q$ replaced by $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$. Let A_1 be the union of the components of the $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ fixed point set, all of whose points are of isotropy type $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$. Note that A_1 is iso-special. As before, set the codimension 0 components $A_1^{=0}$ aside. Blow up $M_1 \setminus A_1^{=0}$ along $A_1^{>0}$, the components of A_1 of positive codimension in M_1. The blow-up removes $A_1^{>0}$ from the $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ fixed point set. Any remaining points of isotropy type $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ belong to components that also contain $D_{2q'}$-fixed points.

We continue this process for all nontrivial divisors of q, partially ordered by divisibility, and end up with a manifold M_k, for some k. For some $1 \neq r \mid q$ we may have set aside a manifold B_r all of whose points have isotropy type $\mathbb{Z}_r$. Denote their union by B. We have a blow-up sequence that starts with M and ends with $M_k \sqcup B$, and all blow-ups are along iso-special submanifolds.

(ii) We create a second blow-up sequence, starting with $\overline{M} = M_k$. Suppose that $\overline{M}^{\mathbb{Z}_q} \neq \varnothing$. Then $\overline{M}^{D_{2q}} \neq \varnothing$. Set $\bar{A}_0 = \overline{M}^{D_{2q}}$. This manifold is D_{2q}-invariant and all points have isotropy type D_{2q}, hence it is iso-special. Blow up along $\bar{A}_0$. We will show that $B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ is iso-special. Locally we have isotropy groups D_{2q} and $\mathbb{Z}_q$, and one is of index two in the other. We have to show that the codimension of the D_{2q} fixed set in the $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed set is 1.

The normal slice ν_x to $\bar{A}_0$ in $\overline{M}$ at $x \in \bar{A}_0$ is a representation of D_{2q}. It has no trivial irreducible representation as summand. It is of the form $\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus \Omega$. As in Section 3A, $\mathbb{R}_-$ is the nontrivial 1-dimensional irreducible representation of D_{2q}. We denote its multiplicity in ν_x by α. The two dimensional irreducible representations of D_{2q} were described in (3-1). Various values for j, reflecting different angles of rotation, may occur. We gather those that occur as part of ν_x, with their multiplicities, in the summand Ω. Note, as q is odd there are no D_{2q}-invariant real lines in Ω.

A D_{2q}-invariant real line in $\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus \Omega \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is a line in $\alpha\mathbb{R}_-$ or it is the line $\mathbb{R}$. Use the symbol $\approx$ to denote a diffeomorphism. Then

$$\mathbb{R}P(\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R})^{D_{2q}} = \mathbb{R}P(\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \sqcup \mathbb{R}P(0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R}P^{\alpha-1} \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^0.$$

Any real line in $\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is fixed under the action of $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Hence

$$\mathbb{R}P(\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}_q} = \mathbb{R}P(\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R}P^{\alpha}.$$

We can compute codimensions (cd) in the manifold by looking at codimensions in normal slices:

$$\mathrm{cd}(B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{D_{2q}}, B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_q}) = \mathrm{cd}(\mathbb{R}P(\alpha\mathbb{R}_- \oplus 0 \oplus 0), \mathbb{R}P(\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}_q}) = 1.$$

Having checked the codimension conditions, we deduce that $B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ is iso-special.

Blow up along $B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_q}$. We obtain

$$\overline{M}_1 = B(B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0), B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_p}).$$

The normal slice ν_x to $B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)$ at a point $x \in B(\overline{M}, \bar{A}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a sum of irreducible representations as in (3-1). There is a single $\mathbb{Z}_q$-invariant line in $\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}P(\nu_x \oplus \mathbb{R})$ has a single $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed point. The latter disappears in the blow-up.

In summary, this pair of two blow-ups, each along an iso-special submanifold, removes the $\mathbb{Z}_q$ fixed points from $\overline{M}$.

As before, we perform this step for all nontrivial divisors of q, and we proceed inductively following the partial order on the set of divisors of q. Eventually, after repeated blow-ups along iso-special submanifolds, we end up with a manifold $\overline{M}_s$ whose isotropy types are the trivial group and/or the order 2 subgroup T of D_{2q}.

If 1 and T are the only isotropy types of the action on $\overline{M}_s$, we may still have to arrange the codimension 1 condition for $\overline{M}_s$ to be an iso-special manifold. To achieve this we blow up $\overline{M}_s$ along the iso-special submanifold $\overline{M}_s^T$. This is a special case of the first blow-up in (ii) with $q = 1$ and $\Omega = 0$. The normal fibre ν_x is a representation of T. As we computed earlier, $\mathrm{cd}(B(\overline{M}_s, \overline{M}_s^T)^T, B(\overline{M}_s, \overline{M}_s^T)) = 1$, so that $B(\overline{M}_s, \overline{M}_s^T)$ is iso-special.

Combined with the first sequence of blow-ups, we have a sequence of blow-ups along iso-special submanifolds that starts with M and terminates with the iso-special manifold $\overline{M}_s \sqcup B$. The proposition asserted that this is possible, and we verified it. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3.7 (1): the one isotropy type case

The assertion of Theorem 3.7 is that iso-special $G = D_{2q}$ manifolds (q odd) have strongly algebraic models, and in this section we prove the assertion if the manifold has only one isotropy type.

Some cases are easy to dispose of. If the single isotropy group is the trivial group, then D_{2q} acts freely and the assertion has been shown as Theorem B (2) in [Dovermann et al. 1994]. The same reference covers the case where D_{2q} acts trivially.

Next, suppose that the closed smooth D_{2q}-manifold N has the single isotropy type $(D_{2q'})$. The index of $D_{2q'}$ in its normalizer is q/q', which is odd. Theorem C in [Suh 1996] tells us that N together with the set of all D_{2q}-vector bundles over it can be algebraically realized. Our expression is that the D_{2q}-manifold N has a

strongly algebraic model. Hence the assertion of the theorem is proved in this case as well. Setting $q' = 1$ this includes the case when $D_{2q'} = T$.

In our final case, suppose that M is a closed D_{2q}-manifold and that $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ is its only isotropy group. Necessarily $1 \neq q'$ divides q. We combine ideas from [Cho et al. 2001, Section 2] and [Dovermann and Masuda 1995]. Applying Proposition 3.4 we need to show: Given any finite collection $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ of G-vector bundles over M, there is an algebraic model X of M so that these G-vector bundles pull back to strongly algebraic G-vector bundles over X.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an indexing set for the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$. The irreducible representation associated with $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ is denoted by α_ϵ. Consider one bundle ξ in the collection. For each $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a unique largest D_{2q} subbundle $\xi(\alpha_\epsilon)$ of ξ whose fibre is a multiple of α_ϵ. This uses the fact that irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ are restrictions of representations of D_{2q}. Following [Cho et al. 2001] there should be one subbundle $\xi(\alpha_\epsilon)$ for a each conjugacy class of irreducible representations. But, the conjugation action of D_{2q} on the set of real irreducible D_{2q} representations is trivial. Thus, in our context, a bundle is α_ϵ-isotypical in the sense of [Cho et al. 2001] if the fibre of the bundle is a multiple of α_ϵ.

There is a direct sum decomposition $\xi = \bigoplus_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}} \xi(\alpha_\epsilon)$. The direct sum of strongly algebraic bundles is strongly algebraic; see [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition 2.11]. That means, to prove Theorem 3.7 in our special case, we may assume that each of the bundles ξ_i in our collection is isotypical.

Let $\mathrm{Vect}_G(M)$ stand for the semigroup of G-vector bundles over M and let $\mathrm{Vect}_G(M, \alpha_\epsilon)$ stand for the subsemigroup of α_ϵ-isotypical bundles. There is a G-vector bundle L over M whose fibre is α_ϵ. Suppose now that ξ is α_ϵ-isotypical. The assignment that sends ξ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q'}}(L, \xi)$ defines an isomorphism $\mathrm{Vect}_G(M, \alpha_\epsilon) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{G/\mathbb{Z}_{q'}}(M)$; see [Cho et al. 2001, Lemma 2.2]. Its inverse sends a bundle $\eta \in \mathrm{Vect}_{G/\mathbb{Z}_{q'}}(M)$ to $L \otimes \eta$. Depending on the type of α_ϵ, the tensor product will be over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The tensor product of strongly algebraic bundles is strongly algebraic; see [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition 2.11].

The group $G/\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ acts freely on M and the bundles $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q'}}(L, \xi)$ and η in the previous paragraph are $G/\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ bundles over M. Our reduction says that we only need to prove the assertion of Theorem 3.7 in case the group acts freely on M. The latter holds according to [Dovermann et al. 1994, Theorem B (2)].

Thus we have proved Theorem 3.7 if the action of D_{2q} has a single isotropy type.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.7 (2): the two isotropy type case

In the following we will make use of the exact Conner–Floyd sequences. They were established in [Conner and Floyd 1966, §5]. Earlier, in the setup for Theorem 3.7, we recalled basic bordism theoretic notation. We need a little more. Given two families of subgroups, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ with $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, there is a relative bordism group $\mathcal{N}_k^G[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'](Y)$

[Stong 1970]. In this setting we allow the domain M to be a compact manifold with boundary and the isotropy groups of ∂M are assumed to belong to $\mathcal{F}'$. Two maps are bordant if the $\mathcal{F}$ fixed points together with their normal data are bordant.

Suppose that there are two isotropy types. As before we denote them by H and K. It is assumed that $[H:K]=2$. The order of G is twice an odd number, so it follows that K is of odd order and normal in $G = D_{2q}$. We abbreviate $\widetilde{G} := G/K$. We have the following commutative diagram of Conner–Floyd sequences. All bordism groups in the diagram should have $\mathfrak{G}$ as codomain. Due to the restrictions on the isotropy groups we may replace $\mathfrak{G}$ by $\mathfrak{G}^K$. For reasons of space, we suppress this codomain altogether.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{N}_*^G[\{K\}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^G[\{H,K\}^\bullet] \arrow[r, "j_G"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^G[\{H,K\}^\bullet,\{K\}] \arrow[r, "\partial_G"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & \mathcal{N}_{*-1}^G[\{K\}] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] \\
\mathcal{N}_*^{\widetilde{G}}[\{1\}] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^{\widetilde{G}}[\{T,1\}^\bullet] \arrow[r, "j_\sim"] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^{\widetilde{G}}[\{T,1\}^\bullet,\{1\}] \arrow[r, "\partial_\sim"] & \mathcal{N}_{*-1}^{\widetilde{G}}[\{1\}] \\
\mathcal{N}_*^T[\{1\}] \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Ind}'"] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^T[\{T,1\}] \arrow[r, "j_T"] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Ind}''"] & \mathcal{N}_{*c}^T[\{T,1\},\{1\}] \arrow[r, "\partial_T"] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Ind}"', "\cong"] & \mathcal{N}_{*-1}^T[\{1\}] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Ind}'"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the transition from the first to the second row we divide out K, the ineffective part of the action, and see that $H/K \cong T$. The vertical maps are natural isomorphisms.

In the transition from the third to the second row we apply induction. If M is a T-manifold, then $\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}} M = \widetilde{G} \times_T M$ is a $\widetilde{G}$-manifold. It consists of equivalence classes of pairs $(g,x) \in \widetilde{G} \times M$, where $(gt,x) \sim (g,tx)$ when $t \in T$. If Y is a $\widetilde{G}$ space and $f : M \to Y$ is T equivariant, then $\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}} f : \mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}} M \to Y$ is defined by setting $(\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}} f)[g,x] = gf(x)$. Functoriality implies that the squares commute.

Restricting $\widetilde{G}$ actions and $\widetilde{G}$ equivariance to T actions and T equivariance defines the map

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\widetilde{G}}^T : \mathcal{N}_{*c}^{\widetilde{G}}[\{T,1\}^\bullet,\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K) \to \mathcal{N}_{*c}^T[\{T,1\},\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K).$$

We study the outcome. Set $T^g = gTg^{-1}$. According to the definition of the bordism group, a representative of a class in $\mathcal{N}_{*c}^{\widetilde{G}}[\{T,1\}^\bullet,\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K)$ is completely determined by its restriction to a neighbourhood of the T^g fixed point sets for all $g \in \widetilde{G}$. In $\mathcal{N}_{*c}^T[\{T,1\},\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K)$, once we restrict the action of $\widetilde{G}$ to one of T, the class is completely determined by its restriction to a neighbourhood of the T fixed point set.

The induction map $\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}} = \widetilde{G} \times_T$ restores the neighbourboods of all the T^g fixed point sets. By construction, $\mathrm{Res}_{\widetilde{G}}^T$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}}$ are inverses of each other.

In [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition 5.2] we proved that

$$\mathrm{Ind}' : \mathcal{N}_*^T[\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K) \to \mathcal{N}_*^{\widetilde{G}}[\{1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K)$$

is onto. The five lemma implies that Ind'' is onto.

Classes in $\mathcal{N}^T_{*c}[\{T,1\}](\mathfrak{G}^K)$ have algebraic representatives; see [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition F]. If we apply $\mathrm{Ind}_T^{\widetilde{G}}$ we obtain algebraic representatives of the classes in $\mathcal{N}^{\widetilde{G}}_{*c}[\{T,1\}^\bullet](\mathfrak{G}^K)$. This tells us that all classes in $\mathcal{N}^{\widetilde{G}}_{*c}[\{T,1\}^\bullet](\mathfrak{G}^K)$ and in $\mathcal{N}^G_{*c}[\{H,K\}](\mathfrak{G}^K)$ have algebraic representatives. We have completed the proof of Theorem 3.7 also in this second case.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.2, $q = 2r$ and r odd

Let $G = D_{2q}$ where $q = 2r$ and r is odd. Let $a, b \in G$ be as in the beginning of Section 3. The element $\tau = b^r$ is central in G and of order 2. Set $G' = G/\langle\tau\rangle = D_{2r}$. In fact, D_{2q} is a direct product of $\langle\tau\rangle$ and $G' = D_{2r}$.

Let M be a closed smooth G-manifold. According to [Dovermann et al. 1994, Proposition F] M has a strongly algebraic model if and only if the G-manifold $N = M^\tau$ has such a model. We will show the latter.

As τ acts trivially on N, there is an induced action of G' on N. We have seen that, as a G'-manifold, N has a strongly algebraic model. Call it X. At the same time X is a G equivariant algebraic model of N.

Let ξ be a G-vector bundle over X. The action of τ on ξ induces one on the fibres ξ_x of the bundle, $x \in N$. Each fibre, as well as the bundle, decomposes as a direct sum of the fixed point set and its orthogonal complement, on which τ acts by multiplication with -1. We write $\xi = \xi^+ \oplus \xi^-$.

As τ acts trivially on ξ^+ this bundle is actually a G' bundle and strongly algebraic, also as a G-vector bundle.

We have a real 1-dimensional representation σ of G. The element a acts trivially, while b and τ act by multiplication with -1. Clearly $\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\underline{\sigma}$ be the product bundle with fibre σ. This bundle is classified by a constant map, which is entire rational. Hence the bundle is strongly algebraic. The action of τ on $\xi^- \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \underline{\sigma}$ is trivial and this G' bundle is strongly algebraic, also as a G-vector bundle. The tensor product of strongly algebraic bundles is strongly algebraic, and so is $\xi^- \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \underline{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \underline{\sigma} = \xi^-$.

The direct sum of strongly algebraic bundles is strongly algebraic, and so is $\xi = \xi^+ \oplus \xi^-$. Hence X is a strongly algebraic model of N as a G-manifold. This is what we needed to show.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank a kind referee whose comments improved the manuscript and strengthened its result.

References

[Akbulut and King 1981] S. Akbulut and H. C. King, "The topology of real algebraic sets with isolated singularities", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **113**:3 (1981), 425–446. MR Zbl

[Akbulut and King 1992] S. Akbulut and H. King, "On approximating submanifolds by algebraic sets and a solution to the Nash conjecture", *Invent. Math.* **107**:1 (1992), 87–98. MR Zbl

[Benedetti and Tognoli 1980] R. Benedetti and A. Tognoli, "On real algebraic vector bundles", *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **104**:1 (1980), 89–112. MR Zbl

[Bochnak et al. 1987] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Géométrie algébrique réelle*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) **12**, Springer, 1987. MR Zbl

[Cho et al. 2001] J.-H. Cho, S. S. Kim, M. Masuda, and D. Y. Suh, "Classification of equivariant complex vector bundles over a circle", *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **41**:3 (2001), 517–534. MR Zbl

[Conner and Floyd 1966] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, "Maps of odd period", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **84** (1966), 132–156. MR Zbl

[Dovermann and Masuda 1995] K. H. Dovermann and M. Masuda, "Algebraic realization of manifolds with group actions", *Adv. Math.* **113**:2 (1995), 304–338. MR Zbl

[Dovermann and Wasserman 2008] K. H. Dovermann and A. G. Wasserman, "Algebraic realization of cyclic group actions", preprint, 2008.

[Dovermann and Wasserman 2019] K. H. Dovermann and A. G. Wasserman, "Algebraic realization for cyclic group actions with one isotropy type", *Transform. Groups* (online publication April 2019).

[Dovermann et al. 1994] K. H. Dovermann, M. Masuda, and D. Y. Suh, "Algebraic realization of equivariant vector bundles", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **448** (1994), 31–64. MR Zbl

[Dovermann et al. 2017] K. H. Dovermann, J. Hanson, and R. D. Little, "Examples of algebraically realized maps", *Geom. Dedicata* **186** (2017), 1–25. MR Zbl

[Hirzebruch 1966] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, 3rd ed., Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **131**, Springer, New York, 1966. MR Zbl

[Isaacs 1976] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **69**, Academic Press, New York, 1976. MR Zbl

[Milnor and Stasheff 1974] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **76**, Princeton University Press, 1974. MR Zbl

[Nash 1952] J. Nash, "Real algebraic manifolds", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **56** (1952), 405–421. MR Zbl

[Segal 1968] G. Segal, "Equivariant K-theory", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 34 (1968), 129–151. MR Zbl

[Serre 1977] J.-P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **42**, Springer, New York, 1977. MR Zbl

[Stong 1970] R. E. Stong, *Unoriented bordism and actions of finite groups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **103**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1970. MR Zbl

[Stong 1977] R. E. Stong, "All in the family", unpublished manuscript, 1977.

[Suh 1996] D. Y. Suh, "Quotients of real algebraic G varieties and algebraic realization problems", *Osaka J. Math.* **33**:2 (1996), 399–410. MR Zbl

[Tognoli 1973] A. Tognoli, "Su una congettura di Nash", *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (3) **27** (1973), 167–185. MR Zbl

[Wasserman 1969] A. G. Wasserman, "Equivariant differential topology", *Topology* **8** (1969), 127–150. MR Zbl

[Wasserman 1997] A. G. Wasserman, "Simplifying group actions", *Topology Appl.* **75**:1 (1997), 13–31. MR

[Whitney 1957] H. Whitney, "Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **66** (1957), 545–556. MR Zbl

Received August 20, 2018. Revised July 29, 2019.

KARL HEINZ DOVERMANN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA
HONOLULU, HI
UNITED STATES
heiner@math.hawaii.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US $520/year for the electronic version, and $705/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 305 No. 2 April 2020

Singular periodic solutions to a critical equation in the Heisenberg group 385
CLAUDIO AFELTRA

On a theorem of Hegyvári and Hennecart 407
DAO NGUYEN VAN ANH, LE QUANG HAM, DOOWON KOH, THANG PHAM and LE ANH VINH

On the Ekeland–Hofer symplectic capacities of the real bidisc 423
LUCA BARACCO, MARTINO FASSINA and STEFANO PINTON

Freeness characterizations on free chaos spaces 447
SOLESNE BOURGUIN and IVAN NOURDIN

Dominance order and monoidal categorification of cluster algebras 473
ELIE CASBI

On the fine expansion of the unipotent contribution of the Guo–Jacquet trace formula 539
PIERRE-HENRI CHAUDOUARD

Strongly algebraic realization of dihedral group actions 563
KARL HEINZ DOVERMANN

On commuting billiards in higher-dimensional spaces of constant curvature 577
ALEXEY GLUTSYUK

On the arithmetic of a family of twisted constant elliptic curves 597
RICHARD GRIFFON and DOUGLAS ULMER

On the nonexistence of S^6 type complex threefolds in any compact homogeneous complex manifolds with the compact lie group G_2 as the base manifold 641
DANIEL GUAN

On $SU(3)$ Toda system with multiple singular sources 645
ALI HYDER, CHANGSHOU LIN and JUNCHENG WEI

Convergence of mean curvature flow in hyper-Kähler manifolds 667
KEITA KUNIKAWA and RYOSUKE TAKAHASHI

The two-dimensional analogue of the Lorentzian catenary and the Dirichlet problem 693
RAFAEL LÓPEZ

Schwarz D-surfaces in Nil_3 721
HEAYONG SHIN, YOUNG WOOK KIM, SUNG-EUN KOH, HYUNG YONG LEE and SEONG-DEOG YANG

Compactness of constant mean curvature surfaces in a three-manifold with positive Ricci curvature 735
AO SUN

The rational cohomology Hopf algebra of a generic Kac–Moody group 757
ZHAO XU-AN and GAO HONGZHU