**REMARKS ON THE THETA CORRESPONDENCE
OVER FINITE FIELDS**

DONGWEN LIU AND ZHICHENG WANG

REMARKS ON THE THETA CORRESPONDENCE
OVER FINITE FIELDS

DONGWEN LIU AND ZHICHENG WANG

**S.-Y. Pan decomposed the uniform projection of the Weil representation of
a finite symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pair, in terms of Deligne–Lusztig
virtual characters, assuming that the order of the finite field is large enough.
We use Pan's decomposition to study the theta correspondence for dual
pairs of this kind, following the approach of Adams and Moy and Aubert,
Michel and Rouquier. Our results give the theta correspondence between
unipotent representations and certain quadratic unipotent representations.**

1. Introduction

The theory of Weil representations and theta correspondence over finite fields has
been studied extensively (see, e.g., [Adams and Moy 1993; Aubert et al. 1996;
2016; Epequin Chavez 2019; Gérardin 1977; Gurevich and Howe 2017; Howe
1973; Pan 2016; 2019a; 2019b; Srinivasan 1968; 1979b]) and has many applications
to the representation theory of p-adic groups, but there are still a few important
open problems unsolved in the finite field case. In particular, there is a complete
understanding for the theta correspondence of unipotent representations for finite
reductive dual pairs, with only the exception of symplectic-odd orthogonal dual
pairs. The aim of this paper is to fill this gap and give some answers for this missing
case. In contrast to other dual pairs, it is well known that the theta lifting of unipotent
representations may not be unipotent in general for symplectic-odd orthogonal dual
pairs. It turns out that new phenomena occur and the correspondence involves
certain quadratic unipotent representations, which are called θ-representations in
this paper.

To give some details, let us start from the Deligne–Lusztig characters [1976],
which are a major tool for the study of representations of finite Lie groups. Let $\boldsymbol{F}$
be a finite field of q elements, $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over $\boldsymbol{F}$,
and F be the corresponding Frobenius endomorphism. Let $G = \boldsymbol{G}^F$ be the group
of rational points of $\boldsymbol{G}$. For an F-stable maximal torus $\boldsymbol{T}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and a character θ

of $T = \boldsymbol{T}^F$, let $R_{T,\theta}^G$ denote the virtual character of G defined by P. Deligne and G. Lusztig in the seminal work [Deligne and Lusztig 1976].

Let $\boldsymbol{T}_0$ be a maximally split F-stable maximal torus of $\boldsymbol{G}$, and $W_{\boldsymbol{G}} = N(\boldsymbol{T}_0)/\boldsymbol{T}_0$ be the Weyl group of $\boldsymbol{G}$. For each $w \in W_{\boldsymbol{G}}$, we can associate an F-stable maximal torus $\boldsymbol{T}_w$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and a specific character of $T_w = \boldsymbol{T}_w^F$ of order 2, denoted by θ_w [Pan 2016]. If $\boldsymbol{G} = \mathbf{SO}_{2n+1}$ where n is a positive integer, then

$$\frac{1}{|W_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}|} \sum_{w \in W_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}} R_{T_w,\theta_w}^{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}$$

is the unique linear character of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$ of order 2, which will be denoted by $\chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}$. For $n = 0$, by convention $\chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}$ is the trivial character. An irreducible representation π of G is called a θ-*representation* if it occurs in some R_{T_w,θ_w}^G. The θ-representations can be interpreted as certain quadratic unipotent representations of finite reductive Lie groups (see, e.g., [Mœglin 1995] for the notion of quadratic unipotent representations for p-adic groups). For SO_{2n+1}, $\pi \to \chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}\pi$ gives a bijection between unipotent representations and θ-representations.

Let $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ be the Weil representation of the finite symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ with q odd, which depends on a choice of a nontrivial additive character ψ of $\boldsymbol{F}$ (see [Gérardin 1977]). We will denote by $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}^{\#}$ the uniform projection of $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$, i.e., the projection onto the subspace of virtual characters spanned by all the Deligne–Lusztig characters. A pair (G, G') of mutually centralized reductive subgroups of Sp_{2n} is called a reductive dual pair. Then the Weil representation $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ restricts to a representation $\omega_{G,G'}$ of $G \times G'$, whose character will be denoted by the same notation.

J. Adams and A. Moy [1993] show that for unitary or symplectic-even orthogonal dual pairs, if $\pi \otimes \pi'$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'}$, then π is unipotent if and only if π' is unipotent, in which case they are in correspondence under the decomposition of the uniform projection $\omega_{G,G'}^{\#}$. They also study the first occurrence of cuspidal representations and give the explicit correspondence of cuspidal unipotent representations.

As we mentioned above, it is known that for symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs, theta correspondence no longer preserves unipotent representations in general. On the other hand, Pan [2016] gives the decomposition of $\omega_{G,G'}^{\#}$ for such dual pairs. It turns out that based on Pan's work we can obtain the following analog of the result in [Adams and Moy 1993], which fills the gap in the symplectic-odd orthogonal case. See Theorem 3.7 for details, and also Theorem 3.3 for a general statement.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that q is odd and large enough such that the main result in [Srinivasan 1979b] holds. Let λ_k be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of Sp_{2n}, $n = k(k+1)$. Then its theta lifting to $\mathrm{O}_{2n+1}^{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon = \pm$, is the unique cuspidal θ-representation of $\mathrm{O}_{2n+1}^{\epsilon}$ with trivial central character, and is the first occurrence in each Witt tower of odd orthogonal groups.*

The proof of this theorem invokes some ingredients, among which we would like to mention the so-called conservation relation (see, e.g., [Pan 2002; Sun and Zhu 2015]). Such a relation provides a link between the first occurrence of the theta lifting of a representation towards two different Witt towers. For finite dual pairs it is known only for cuspidal representations, which is sufficient for our purpose.

Applying Lusztig's bijection [1988] we are able to classify the cuspidal θ-representations of symplectic groups (Theorem 3.11). Consequently we can switch the roles of symplectic and odd orthogonal groups in Theorem 1.1 and obtain an analogous result Theorem 3.12, the proof of which uses the conservation relations in an inductive manner.

The cuspidal representations are the building blocks of the so-called Harish-Chandra series. As a second step, A.-M. Aubert, J. Michel and R. Rouquier [Aubert et al. 1996, Théorème 3.7] study the theta correspondence of Harish-Chandra series of unipotent cuspidal representations for unitary and symplectic-even orthogonal dual pairs. According to Lusztig's bijection and [Pan 2002, Theorem 3.10], using their results we should be able to handle the theta correspondence of any irreducible representations. Based on Theorem 1.1, we are able to extend their results to the symplectic-odd orthogonal case using similar calculations to those in [Aubert et al. 1996].

Our second main result Theorem 4.2 establishes the theta correspondence between the Harish-Chandra series of cuspidal (quadratic) unipotent representations of symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs. We briefly describe its content as follows. Denote T_l the split torus over $\boldsymbol{F}$ of rank l, and let θ_l, $\theta_{k,l}$ and $\theta'_{k,l}$ be the quadratic characters of T_l defined in Section 4. Let $(G_n, G'_{n'})$ be a dual pair of symplectic and odd orthogonal groups over $\boldsymbol{F}$, and λ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of G_n such that its theta lifting λ' to $G'_{n'}$ is irreducible cuspidal as well. Let γ be an irreducible constituent of the parabolic induction $R^{G_m}_{G_n \times T_{m-n}}(\lambda \times \theta)$, where θ is some quadratic character specified below, and $\Theta_{G'_{m'}}(\gamma)$ be the theta lifting of γ to $G'_{m'}$. Then Theorem 4.2 states that $\Theta_{G'_{m'}}(\gamma) = 0$ if $m' < n'$, and is spanned by irreducible constituents of $R^{G'_{m'}}_{G'_{n'} \times T_{m'-n'}}(\lambda' \otimes \theta')$ otherwise, where the pair (θ, θ') of quadratic characters is as follows:

- If G_n is symplectic and $G'_{n'}$ is odd orthogonal, then (θ, θ') is equal to $(\theta_{m-n}, \mathbf{1})$ or $(\mathbf{1}, \theta'_{m-n,m'-n'})$.

- If G_n is odd orthogonal and $G'_{n'}$ is symplectic, then (θ, θ') is equal to $(\mathbf{1}, \theta_{m'-n'})$ or $(\theta_{m-n}, \theta_{m-n,m'-n'})$.

We expect that our results may have some applications towards the theta lifting of quadratic unipotent representations of p-adic groups.

From Mackey theory one knows that constituents of such Harish-Chandra series can be parametrized by irreducible representations of certain Weyl groups. Thus the

theta correspondence reduces to a correspondence of Weyl group representations. In [Aubert et al. 1996], explicit formulas have been proved for the unitary case and conjectured for the symplectic-even orthogonal case, and the latter has been proved recently in [Pan 2019a]. We expect that similar conjectures should also hold for the symplectic-odd orthogonal case. We also remark that from the explicit correspondence we can observe that the conservation relations in general do not hold for noncuspidal representations of finite dual pairs. See [Pan 2019b, Section 9] for more results on the (non-)conservation relations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the theory of Deligne–Lusztig characters as well as Pan's decomposition formula [2016]. In Section 3 we prove the theta correspondence between cuspidal unipotent representations and cuspidal θ-representations, following [Adams and Moy 1993] and using the conservation relations. Based on this result, in Section 4 we establish the theta correspondence of certain Harish-Chandra series, using some calculations of Jacquet and induction functors as in [Aubert et al. 1996] and [Mœglin et al. 1987]. We explain briefly the correspondence of Weyl group representations mentioned above at the end of Section 4.

Notation. In this paper the cardinality q of F is assumed to be odd and large enough (as in Theorem 3.1). The finite Lie group $G = G^F$ is also written as $G(q)$. Representations are realized on vector spaces over $\overline{Q}_\ell$ where $\ell \neq p$ is a prime. We do not distinguish a (virtual) representation and its character. Thus $R(G)$ stands for the set of virtual representations of G as well as the set of integral combinations of irreducible characters. For $\pi \in R(G)$, let $\mathrm{Irr}(\pi)$ be the set of constituents of π. For $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we simply write $\rho \in \pi$ if $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi)$. For $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in R(G)$, let

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2)_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \pi_1(g) \overline{\pi_2(g)},$$

where the conjugate is the restriction of the usual complex conjugate to $\overline{Q} \subset \overline{Q}_\ell$.

2. Deligne–Lusztig theory

Let F be a finite field of q elements, G be a connect reductive group defined over F and F be the corresponding Frobenius endomorphism. The group of rational points of G is denoted by $G = G^F$.

2A. *Conjugacy classes of maximal tori.* For an F-stable maximal torus T of G, let $W_G(T) = N_G(T)/T$ be its Weyl group, where $N_G(T)$ is the normalizer of T in G, and let $T = T^F$ be the group of rational points of T.

Fix a maximally split F-stable maximal torus T_0 of G, and denote its Weyl group by W_G. A conjugate ${}^g T_0 = g T_0 g^{-1}$ of T_0 by an element $g \in G$ is F-stable if and only if $g^{-1} F(g) \in N_G(T_0)$. By the Lang–Steinberg theorem, for each $w \in W_G$ we may

choose an element $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}F(g)$ is in $N_G(T_0)$ whose image in W_G is equal to w. The F-stable maximal torus ${}^gT_0$ will be denoted by T_w. Two elements $w, w' \in W_G$ are called F-conjugate if there exists $x \in W_G$ such that $w' = x^{-1}wF(x)$. The map ${}^gT_0 \to g^{-1}F(g)$ gives a bijection between the G-conjugacy classes of F-stable maximal tori of G and the F-conjugacy classes of W_G.

2B. *Deligne–Lusztig characters.*

In their celebrated paper, Deligne and Lusztig [1976] defined a virtual representation $R^G_{T,\theta}$ of G, associated to a character θ of T. The construction of Deligne–Lusztig characters makes use of the deep theory of ℓ-adic cohomology. Here we only review some standard facts on these characters which will be used in this paper (see [Carter 1985, Chapter 7]).

If $y = su$ is the Jordan decomposition of an element $y \in G$, then

$$(2\text{-}1) \qquad R^G_{T,\theta}(y) = \frac{1}{|C^0(s)|} \sum_{g \in G, s^g \in T} \theta(s^g) Q^{C^0(s)}_{{}^gT}(u)$$

where $C^0(s) = C^0_G(s)$ is the connected component of the centralizer of s in G, and $Q^{C^0(s)}_{{}^gT} = R^{C^0(s)}_{{}^gT,1}(u)$ is the Green function of $C^0(s)$ associated to ${}^gT$. Note that $s^g = g^{-1}sg \in T$ if and only if ${}^gT = gTg^{-1} \subset C^0(s)$.

It is known that

$$(2\text{-}2) \qquad 1 = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} R^G_{T_w,1} = \sum_{(T) \subset G} \frac{1}{|W_G(T)|} R^G_{T,1}$$

where $(T) \subset G$ means that the summation is taken over the G-conjugacy classes of F-stable maximal tori in G.

Two virtual representations $R^G_{T,\theta}$ and $R^G_{T',\theta'}$ are disjoint if (T, θ) and (T, θ') are not geometrically conjugate. The elements in the subspace of class functions on G spanned by all the $R^G_{T,\theta}$'s are called uniform functions of G. For a class function f on G, denote by $f^{\#}$ its orthogonal projection to the subspace of uniform functions.

2C. *Weyl group.*

By [Srinivasan 1979b, Lemma 3.1], there is a natural bijection between conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ and the disjoint union of the $O^\epsilon_{2n}(q)$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\mathrm{SO}^\epsilon_{2n}(q)$ for $\epsilon = \pm$. For $w \in W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$, we define $\epsilon_w = \epsilon$ if the F-stable maximal torus T_w of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ corresponds to an F-stable maximal torus in $\mathrm{SO}^\epsilon_{2n}(q)$, and define

$$W^\epsilon_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} = \{w \in W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} | \epsilon_w = \epsilon\}.$$

We shall identify the Weyl groups of Sp_{2n} and SO_{2n+1}, which will be denoted by W_n. Thus we also write $W^\epsilon_n = W^\epsilon_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$. The Weyl group $W_{\mathrm{SO}^+_{2n}}$ of SO^+_{2n} can be regarded as a subgroup of W_n of index 2.

Clearly, $\epsilon_w = +$ if $T_w \simeq \mathrm{GL}_1(q)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$, and $\epsilon_w = -$ if $T_w \simeq U_1(q)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q^t)$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2nt}(q)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$, an F-stable

maximal torus of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q^t)$ is also an F-stable maximal torus of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2nt}(q)$. Hence $W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q^t)}$ can be embedded as a subset of $W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2nt}(q)}$. For $w \in W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q^t)}$, the value of ϵ_w is the same no matter whether w is regarded as an element of $W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q^t)}$ or $W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2nt}(q)}$, and therefore $\epsilon_w = +$ (resp. $\epsilon_w = -$) if $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(q^t)$ (resp. $T_w \cong U_1(q^t)$) in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2t}(q)$.

2D. *The character θ_w.* If $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(q^t)$ or $U_1(q^t)$, let θ_T denote the unique character of T of order 2, i.e., $\theta_T(a) = \nu(a)^{(q^t-1)/2}$ (resp. $\theta_T(a) = \nu(a)^{(q^t+1)/2}$) for $a \in T$ if $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(q^t)$ (resp. $T \cong U_1(q^t)$), where ν is an isomorphism of T onto a subgroup of $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_\ell^\times$. Define $\theta_T = \theta_{T_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{T_r}$ if $T \cong T_1 \times \cdots \times T_r$ is an F-stable maximal torus of a connected reductive group G, where $T_i \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(q^{t^i})$ or $U_1(q^{t^i})$ for some positive integer t_i, $i = 1, \ldots, r$. It is clear that ${}^g\theta_T = \theta_{{}^gT}$ for $g \in G$. If $T = T_w$ for some $w \in W_G$, the character θ_T will be denoted by θ_w.

We can check that $\theta_T = \chi_G|_T$ if G is equal to GL_n, U_n or SO_n (n a positive integer), where χ_G is the unique linear character of G of order 2. It is known as the spinor norm character in the case of orthogonal groups. For a representation or a character π of G, we abbreviate $\chi_G \otimes \pi$ by $\chi_G\pi$.

The following identity can be found in [Pan 2016].

Lemma 2.1. *If $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, U_n or SO_n, then*

$$\chi_G = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $u \in G$ is a unipotent element, then $\chi_G(u) = 1$.*

Proof. By (2-1), (2-2) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\chi_G(u) = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \theta_w(1) Q^G_{{}^gT}(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} Q^G_{{}^gT}(u) = 1(u) = 1. \qquad \square$$

Recall that an irreducible representation π is called a unipotent representation if it occurs in some $R^G_{T,1}$. We have the following:

Lemma 2.3. *If $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, U_n or SO_n, then*

(i) *π is a unipotent representation if and only if $\chi_G\pi$ occurs in some $R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}$,*

(ii) *$R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}$ only consists of $\chi_G\pi$ with π unipotent.*

Proof. If $y = su$ is the Jordan decomposition of an element $y \in G$, then

$$\chi_G R^G_{T_w, 1}(y) = \chi_G(y) \frac{1}{|C^0(s)|} \sum_{g \in G, s^G \in T_w} 1(s^g) Q^{C^0(s)}_{{}^g T_w}(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|C^0(s)|} \sum_{g \in G, s^G \in T_w} \chi_G(s^g) \chi_G(u) Q^{C^0(s)}_{{}^g T_w}(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|C^0(s)|} \sum_{g \in G, s^G \in T_w} \theta_w(s^g) Q^{C^0(s)}_{{}^g T_w}(u) = R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}(y). \qquad \square$$

Similar to the notion of unipotent representations, a representation π is called a θ-representation if it occurs in some $R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}$. For $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$, we do not have nontrivial characters of G, but we can still talk about θ-representations.

3. Weil representations and cuspidal unipotent representations

Adams and Moy [1993] (see also [Aubert et al. 1996; 2016; Epequin Chavez 2019]) consider the question of how the unipotent representations behave under theta correspondence. In particular they show that theta correspondence sends a cuspidal unipotent representation of G to a cuspidal unipotent representation of G' if $(G, G') = (\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O^\epsilon_{2n'})$ or $(U_n, U_{n'})$. In the proof they use the decomposition of the Weil representation of $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O^\epsilon_{2n'})$ and $(U_n, U_{n'})$ given in [Srinivasan 1979b]. Pan [2016] gives a decomposition of the Weil representation of a finite symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pair, which we have recalled as Theorem 3.1. Thus we can give the correspondence of cuspidal unipotent representations of such dual pairs based on Pan's result, together with another ingredient called conservation relation.

3A. *Weil representation and theta lifting.* Let $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ be the character of the Weil representation (see [Gérardin 1977]) of the symplectic group Sp_{2n} which depends on a nontrivial additive character ψ of F, and let $\omega^\#_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ denote the uniform projection of $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$, i.e., the projection onto the subspace of virtual characters spanned by all the Deligne–Lusztig characters. Let (G, G') be a reductive dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. Write $\omega_{G, G'}$ for the restriction of $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}$ to $G \times G'$. Then it decomposes into a direct sum

$$\omega_{G, G'} = \bigoplus_{\pi, \pi'} m_{\pi, \pi'} \pi \otimes \pi',$$

where π and π' run over irreducible representations of G and G', respectively. We can rearrange this sum to get

$$\omega_{G, G'} = \bigoplus_\pi \pi \otimes \Theta_{G'}(\pi),$$

where $\Theta_{G'}(\pi) = \bigoplus_{\pi'} m_{\pi, \pi'} \pi'$ is a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of G', called the (big) theta lifting of π to G'. The theta lifting from G to G' will be written

as $\pi \mapsto \Theta_{G'}(\pi)$, and we have $\pi' \in \Theta_{G'}(\pi)$ if and only if $\pi \otimes \pi' \in \omega_{G,G'}$. We should mention that it is an important open problem to find some "good" constituents from $\Theta_{G'}(\pi)$ (see [Epequin Chavez 2019; Gurevich and Howe 2017] for different approaches and results in this direction). By abuse of notation we also write $\omega_{G,G'}$ for its character, and write $\omega^{\#}_{G,G'}$ for the uniform projection of $\omega_{G,G'}$.

It is often more convenient to work with the families of dual pairs associated to Witt towers instead of a single dual pair. Thus let us introduce systematically some notation for Witt towers. We will consider two Witt towers $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ such that $(G_n, G'_{n'})$ form a reductive dual pair of type I for any $G_n \in \mathcal{T}$ and $G'_{n'} \in \mathcal{T}'$. There are the following types of Witt towers:

- For unitary groups there are two Witt towers $\boldsymbol{U}^+ = \{U_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{U}^- = \{U_{2n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$.

- For symplectic groups there is only one Witt tower $\mathrm{Sp} = \{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$.

- For even orthogonal groups there are two Witt towers $\boldsymbol{O}^+_{\mathrm{even}} = \{O^+_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{O}^-_{\mathrm{even}} = \{O^-_{2n}\}_{n \geq 1}$.

- For odd orthogonal groups there are two Witt towers $\boldsymbol{O}^\epsilon_{\mathrm{odd}} = \{O^\epsilon_{2n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\epsilon = \pm$.

For the dual pair $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O^\epsilon_{2n'})$ where $\epsilon = \pm$, we write $\omega^\epsilon_{n,n'}$ instead of $\omega_{G,G'}$. Since there are also two different nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on an odd-dimensional vector space over $\boldsymbol{F}$ (though their orthogonal groups are physically equal), we have two different types of symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs. Similarly we denote them by $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O^\epsilon_{2n'+1})$ and the corresponding Weil representations by $\omega^\epsilon_{n,n'}$, where $\epsilon = \pm$. For unitary groups write $G^\epsilon_n = U_{2n}$ for $\epsilon = +$ or U_{2n+1} for $\epsilon = -$. Then we denote the Weil representation of $(G^\epsilon_n, G^{\epsilon'}_{n'})$ by $\omega^{\epsilon,\epsilon'}_{n,n'}$. We will specify the dual pair we are working with when necessary, so it should cause no confusion when we use the notation $\omega^\epsilon_{n,n'}$ or $\omega^{\epsilon,\epsilon'}_{n,n'}$, and occasionally we suppress the signs to ease notation.

Now we recall the main result of [Pan 2016], which will be a crucial tool used in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that q is sufficiently large such that the main theorem in* [Srinivasan 1979b] *holds. Then for a positive integer n and a nonnegative integer n',*

$$\omega^{\#}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n},\mathrm{SO}_{2n'+1}} \cdot (1 \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n'+1}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,n')} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\mathrm{SO}_{2(n'-k)+1}}|}$$

$$\sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr}(T_v)} \sum_{w \in W_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\mathrm{SO}_{2(n'-k)+1}}} \epsilon_w R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}_{T_v \times T_w, \theta \otimes \theta_w}$$

$$\otimes R^{\mathrm{SO}_{2n'+1}}_{T_v \times T_{w'}, \theta \otimes \theta_{w'}}$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}(T_v)$ *denotes the set of irreducible characters of the torus T_v.*

We should point out that the above formula for the uniform projection is independent of the choice of $SO_{2n'+1} = SO_{2n'+1}^\epsilon$. In the rest of this paper the finite field F is assumed to be large enough as in Theorem 3.1.

3B. *Correspondence of unipotent and θ-representations.* For finite unitary and symplectic-even orthogonal dual pairs, Adams and Moy showed that if $\pi \otimes \pi'$ occurs in $\omega_{n,n'}$, then π is unipotent if and only if π' is unipotent. This does not hold for finite symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs, in which case one of the results we will prove says that π is unipotent if and only if π' is a θ-representation when $n = n'$. Much as in [Adams and Moy 1993], our proof makes use of the decomposition of the Weil representation given by Theorem 3.1 in the symplectic-odd orthogonal case.

The following well-known result can be found in [Adams and Moy 1993; Mœglin et al. 1987], which says that the first occurrence of theta lifting of an irreducible cuspidal representation in a given Witt tower is also irreducible cuspidal, while the later occurrences are not cuspidal.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(G_n, G'_{n'})$ be a dual pair of finite classical groups. Assume that π is an irreducible cuspidal representation of G_n, and that*

  (i) *$\pi \mapsto \pi'$ in the theta correspondence for $G_n \times G'_{n'}$,*

  (ii) *π does not occur in the theta correspondence for $G_n \times G'_k$ for any $k < n'$.*

Then π' is irreducible cuspidal, and $\Theta_{G'_k}(\pi)$ has no cuspidal constituents for $k > n'$.

Recall that by Lemma 2.3, the map $\pi \mapsto \chi_G \pi$ ($G \neq Sp_{2n}$) gives a bijection between the unipotent representations and θ-representations of G, and by Lemma 2.2 it preserves the set of cuspidal representations.

We have the following basic result.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that an irreducible representation $\pi \otimes \pi'$ of $(Sp_{2n}, SO_{2n'+1}^\epsilon)$ occurs in $\omega_{n,n'}^\epsilon$. Then the following hold.*

  (i) *If π is a unipotent representation of Sp_{2n}, then $n' \geq n$ and $\pi' \in R_{T_v \times T_{w'}, \theta_v \otimes 1}^{SO_{2n'+1}^\epsilon}$ for some $v \in W_n$ and $w' \in W_{n'-n}$.*

  (ii) *If π' is a θ-representation of $SO_{2n'+1}^\epsilon$, then $n \geq n'$ and $\pi \in R_{T_v \times T_w, 1 \otimes \theta_w}^{Sp_{2n}}$ for some $v \in W_{n'}$ and $w \in W_{n-n'}$.*

  (iii) *π is a θ-representation of Sp_{2n} if and only if π' is a unipotent representation of $SO_{2n'+1}^\epsilon$.*

Proof. The proof is similar in spirit to that of [Adams and Moy 1993, Theorem 3.5]. First of all, for $G = SO_{2n'+1}$ from $\theta_T = \chi_G|_T$ it follows that all the pairs (T_w, θ_w), $w \in W_n$, are in the same geometric conjugacy class. More generally, two pairs of the form $(T_{v_i} \times T_{w_i}, 1 \times \theta_{w_i})$, $v_i \in W_{n_i}$, $w_i \in W_{n'-n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, are geometrically conjugate if and only if $n_1 = n_2$.

We need to recall some basic facts. Denote $G \cdot G'$ by H, and the character $R_T^G(\theta) \times R_{T'}^{G'}(\theta')$ by $R_{T \cdot T'}^H(\theta \cdot \theta')$. These characters form an orthogonal basis for the space of uniform class functions of H. The set of irreducible characters $\mathcal{E}(H)$ of H can be partitioned by geometric conjugacy classes (see, e.g., [Lusztig 1984])

$$\mathcal{E}(H) = \coprod_s \mathcal{E}(H, (s)),$$

where $s = s_{G^*} \cdot s_{G'^*}$ runs over the semisimple conjugacy classes of the dual group $H^* = G^* \cdot G'^*$. The irreducible constituents of a single $R_{T \cdot T'}^H(\theta \times \theta')$ are all associated to one geometric conjugacy class (s). Let π_H be a representation of H. Then we have

$$\pi_H = \bigoplus_s \pi_H(s),$$

where s runs over the semisimple conjugacy classes in H^* and $\pi_H(s) \in \mathcal{E}(H, (s))$ is a subrepresentation of H. It is known that if $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(H, (s))$ and $\pi' \in \mathcal{E}(H, (s'))$ with $(s) \neq (s')$, then $(\pi, \pi')_H = 0$. We also have

$$\pi_H^\# = \bigoplus_s \pi_H(s)^\#.$$

If $\pi_H(s) \neq 0$, then it is a positive combination of representations in $\mathcal{E}(H, (s))$. It follows from the fact that the regular representation is uniform that $\pi_H(s)^\#$ is nonzero.

Now let $\pi_H = \pi \otimes \pi'$, which occurs in $\omega_{n,n'}^\epsilon$ by assumption. To prove (i), we note that if π is unipotent then $\pi_H \in \mathcal{E}(H, (s))$ with $s_{G^*} = 1$. By the above argument, $\pi_H^\# = \pi_H(s)^\# \neq 0$; hence it occurs in some

$$R_{T,1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}} \otimes R_{T',\theta}^{\mathrm{SO}_{2n'+1}^\epsilon},$$

which has to appear in a summand of $\omega_{n,n'}^\#$. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1 and the first paragraph of the proof. The proof of (ii) and (iii) is similar and will be omitted. □

In particular, by Theorem 3.3 (i) and (ii), if $n = n'$ then π is a unipotent representation of Sp_{2n} if and only if π' is a θ-representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}^\epsilon$. Applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we shall describe the theta correspondence between cuspidal unipotent representations of Sp_{2n} and cuspidal θ-representations of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n'+1}$. We remark that the latter notion is not vacuous thanks to the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *If π is a cuspidal unipotent representation of a finite classical group G which is not symplectic, then $\chi_G \pi$ is a cuspidal θ-representation.*

Proof. For any unipotent element u, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\chi_G \pi(u) = \chi_G(u)\pi(u) = \pi(u).$$

Hence $\chi_G \pi$ is cuspidal. It is a θ-representation by Lemma 2.3. □

3C. *First occurrence for symplectic-odd orthogonal pairs.* For an irreducible representation π of G_n, the smallest integer $n'(\pi)$ such that π occurs in $\omega_{n,n'(\pi)}$ is called the *first occurrence index* of π in the Witt tower $\{G'_{n'}\}_{n' \geq 0}$. By [Mœglin et al. 1987, Chapitre 3, Lemme IV.2], there exists n' such that $\Theta_{G'_{n'}}(\pi) \neq 0$, hence $n'(\pi)$ is well-defined. In this section we compute the first occurrence of cuspidal unipotent and θ-representations for symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs. We begin by reviewing Lusztig's results [1977] on the cuspidal unipotent representations of symplectic and orthogonal groups.

Theorem 3.5. *The groups*

  (i) U_n, $n = k(k+1)/2$,

 (ii) Sp_{2n}, $n = k(k+1)$,

(iii) SO_{2n+1}, $n = k(k+1)$,

(iv) $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}^\epsilon$, $n = k^2$, $\epsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$

are the only groups in their respective Lie families which possess a cuspidal unipotent representation. In each case, the specified group G has a unique cuspidal unipotent representation.

By Lemma 3.4, we have the following immediate consequence for cuspidal θ-representations.

Corollary 3.6. *The groups*

(1) U_n, $n = k(k+1)/2$,

(2) SO_{2n+1}, $n = k(k+1)$,

(3) $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}^\epsilon$, $n = k^2$, $\epsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$

are the only groups in their respective Lie families which possess a cuspidal θ-representation. In each case, the specified group G has a unique cuspidal θ-representation.

We define a θ-representation of O_{2n+1}, which is nonconnected, to be a constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}^{O_{2n+1}} \pi$ for a θ-representation π of SO_{2n+1}. Let λ'_k stand for the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of SO_{2n+1}, $n = k(k+1)$. Then

$$\lambda'_{k,\chi} := \chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}} \lambda'_k$$

is the unique cuspidal θ-representation of SO_{2n+1}, and we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}}^{O_{2n+1}} \lambda'_{k,\chi} = \lambda'^+_{k,\chi} \oplus \lambda'^-_{k,\chi},$$

where $\lambda'^+_{k,\chi} := \lambda'_{k,\chi} \otimes 1$ and $\lambda'^-_{k,\chi} := \lambda'_{k,\chi} \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$ are cuspidal θ-representations of $O_{2n+1} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \times \{\pm I\}$. Now we can give the first main result of this section, which is stated as Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction.

Theorem 3.7. *Let λ_k be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of Sp_{2n}, $n = k(k+1)$. Then the first occurrence index $n'^{\epsilon}(\lambda_k)$ in the Witt tower $\{O_{2n'+1}^{\epsilon}\}_{n' \geq 0}$ is equal to n, and the theta lifting $\Theta_{O_{2n+1}^{\epsilon}}(\lambda_k)$ equals $\lambda_{k,\chi}'^{+}$.*

The proof of this theorem involves three ingredients. The first one is the following information which can be read from [Howe 1973].

Proposition 3.8. *Every irreducible representation of Sp_{2n} is contained in $\omega_{n,n}^{+} \oplus \omega_{n,n}^{-}$, where $\omega_{n,n'}^{\epsilon} = \omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}^{\epsilon}}$.*

The second ingredient is the following so-called conservation relation for cuspidal representations given in [Pan 2002].

Proposition 3.9. (i) *Let π be an irreducible cuspidal representation of Sp_{2n} and $n'^{\epsilon}(\pi)$ be the first occurrence index of π in the Witt tower $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{odd}}^{\epsilon} = \{O_{2n'+1}^{\epsilon}\}_{n' \geq 0}$. Then*

$$n'^{+}(\pi) + n'^{-}(\pi) = 2n.$$

(ii) *Let π' be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $O_{2n'+1}^{\epsilon}$ and $n(\pi')$ be the first occurrence index of π' in the Witt tower $\mathrm{Sp} = \{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$. Then*

$$n(\pi') + n(\pi' \otimes \mathrm{sgn}) = 2n' + 1.$$

A few remarks are in order. In the literature, the conservation relations are usually formulated in terms of the dimensions of vector spaces, but in this paper we will use the above form for convenience. Here we only recalled the statement for symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pairs and we refer the readers to [Pan 2002] for other cases. In the world of p-adic local fields, the conservation relations have been established in full generality in [Sun and Zhu 2015]. We should also mention that the standard formulation of conservation relations does not seem to hold in general for noncuspidal representations of finite dual pairs, and we will give some explanations at the end of the paper.

The last ingredient we need is that the action of $-I$ under a unipotent representation is trivial. This fact might be known but we could not find a reference, so we include a detailed proof for completeness. It can be used to determine whether the theta lifting of a unipotent representation takes place in the even or odd part of the Weil representation, but we will not need this result.

Proposition 3.10. (i) *If π is a unipotent representation of GL_n, U_n, Sp_{2n} or $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}^{\epsilon}$, then $\pi(-I)$ is trivial.*

(ii) *If π is a unipotent representation of Sp_{2n} and $\pi \otimes \pi'$ occurs in the Weil representation $\omega_{n,n'}^{\epsilon}$ of $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}^{\epsilon})$, then $\pi'(-I)$ is trivial.*

Proof. (ii) clearly follows from (i) because $\pi(-I) = \pi'(-I)$ through the Weil representation $\omega_{n,n'}^{\epsilon}$. We shall prove (i) for all the classical groups (except odd

orthogonal groups) simultaneously by induction on n. We first observe that by (2-1),

$$(3\text{-}1) \qquad\qquad R_{T,1}^G(-I) = R_{T,1}^G(I).$$

If $n = 1$, then G has only two unipotent representations 1 and π. Hence the conclusion follows from (3-1). Assume that $n > 1$ and $\pi \in R_{T,1}^G$ for some T. If T is not anisotropic, then it is contained in a Levi subgroup L of a proper parabolic subgroup P of G, i.e., $P = \mathbf{P}^F$ for some F-stable proper parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{G}$. By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$(\pi, R_{T,1}^G)_G = (\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G R_{T,1}^L)_G = (J_P^G(\pi), R_{T,1}^L)_L \neq 0,$$

where J_P^G is the Jacquet functor. We may write $L = \operatorname{GL}_{n_1} \times \operatorname{GL}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}_{n_r} \times G_{n_0}$, where $n_i < n$, $i = 0, \dots, r$, and G_{n_0} is a classical group of the same type as G. There exists $\pi_0 \in J_P^G(\pi)$ such that $(\pi_0, R_{T,1}^L)_L \neq 0$. Then by induction we have that $\pi(-I) = \pi_0(-I)$ is trivial. By [Srinivasan 1979a, Theorem 6.25], if $\pi \notin R_{T,1}^G$ for any T which is not anisotropic, then π is a cuspidal representation. If $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$ then we are done because it does not have cuspidal unipotent representations when $n > 1$. If $G \neq \operatorname{GL}_n$, then it remains to consider the case that π is the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of G (if it exists). The uniqueness of π enables us to write

$$(\pi, R_{T,1}^G)\pi = R_{T,1}^G - \sum_{\pi_i \in R_{T,1}^G, \pi_i \neq \pi} (\pi_i, R_{T,1}^G)_G \pi_i,$$

where the π_i's are all unipotent representations but not cuspidal. Then the conclusion follows from (3-1) and that $\pi_i(-I)$ is trivial for each i. ∎

Proof of Theorem 3.7. By Proposition 3.8, the cuspidal unipotent representation λ_k of Sp_{2n} is contained in $\omega_{n,n}^+ \oplus \omega_{n,n}^-$. By Theorem 3.3, λ_k is not contained in any $\omega_{n,n'}^\epsilon$ when $n' < n$, and for some $\epsilon_0 \in \{+, -\}$ the theta lifting $\Theta_{O_{2n+1}^{\epsilon_0}}(\lambda_k)$ to $O_{2n+1}^{\epsilon_0}$ is the first occurrence in the Witt tower $\mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{odd}}^{\epsilon_0}$; hence it is a cuspidal θ-representation. Then it follows from Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 3.10 that $\Theta_{O_{2n+1}^{\epsilon_0}}(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{k,\chi}'^+$. The conservation relation Proposition 3.9(i) implies that $n'^{-\epsilon_0}(\lambda_k) = 2n - n'^{\epsilon_0}(\lambda_k) = n$, and one can similarly deduce that $\Theta_{O_{2n+1}^{-\epsilon_0}}(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{k,\chi}'^+$ as well. ∎

We also study the first occurrence of the theta lifting of cuspidal θ-representations of Sp_{2n} in $\mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{odd}}^\epsilon$, which by Theorem 3.3 will be cuspidal unipotent representations. By definition, a unipotent representation of O_{2n+1} is a constituent of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{SO}_{2n+1}}^{O_{2n+1}}(\pi)$ for a unipotent representation π of SO_{2n+1}. Let λ_k' be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of SO_{2n+1}, $n = k(k+1)$. Then we have

$$(3\text{-}2) \qquad\qquad \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{SO}_{2n+1}}^{O_{2n+1}}(\lambda_k') = \lambda_k'^+ \oplus \lambda_k'^-,$$

where $\lambda_k'^+ := \lambda_k' \otimes 1$ and $\lambda_k'^- := \lambda_k' \otimes \operatorname{sgn}$ are cuspidal unipotent representations of $O_{2n+1} \cong \operatorname{SO}_{2n+1} \times \{\pm I\}$.

Applying a result of Lusztig, we first obtain the following classification of cuspidal θ-representations of finite symplectic groups.

Theorem 3.11. *The groups* Sp_{2n}, $n = k^2$, *are the only symplectic groups which possess cuspidal θ-representations. Each* Sp_{2k^2}, $k \neq 0$, *has two cuspidal θ-representations* $\lambda_{k,\alpha}$ *and* $\lambda_{k,\beta}$, *which satisfy* $\lambda_{k,i}(-I) = (-1)^k$, $i = \alpha, \beta$.

Proof. We first recall some general results. Assume that G is a simple simply connected linear algebraic group over F, and T is an F-stable maximal torus of G. Let G^* be the dual group of G, and T^* be the F-stable maximal torus of G^* which is the dual of T. Write $G^* = G^{*F}$, $T^* = T^{*F}$. Then a character θ of T corresponds to some element $s \in T^*$, which is well-defined up to conjugacy by $W_{G^*}(T^*)^F$. In this case we write $R^G_{T^*,s}$ instead of $R^G_{T,\theta}$. Let $\mathcal{E}(G, (s))$ be the set of irreducible representations which occur in $R^G_{T^*,s}$ for some T^* such that $s \in T^*$. By [Lusztig 1988], there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{E}(G, (s)) \to \mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s), (1)),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s), (1))$ is the set of irreducible representations of $C_{G^*}(s)$ whose restrictions to $C_{G^*}(s)^\circ$ are sums of unipotent representations of $C_{G^*}(s)^\circ$. Note that $C_{G^*}(s)$ may not be connected in general. Moreover if the identity components of the centers of G and $C_{G^*}(s)$ have the same F-rank, then $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(G, (s))$ is cuspidal if and only if $\mathcal{L}(\pi) \in \mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s), (1))$ is cuspidal (see, e.g., [Lusztig 1977, Chapter 9] and [Malle 2017, Lemma 2.7]).

For $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ we have $G^* = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$. The pair (T_w, θ_w) corresponds to $s \in T_w$, where $s = (-I, 1)$ with I being the identity in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}^{\epsilon_w} \subset \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$. Then

$$C_{G^*}(s) \cong O_{2n}^{\epsilon_w} \subset \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1};$$

hence by the above results we have a bijection between cuspidal θ-representations of Sp_{2n} and the union of cuspidal unipotent representations of O_{2n}^ϵ over $\epsilon = \pm$. By Theorem 3.5, each $O_{2k^2}^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon := \mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$ has two cuspidal unipotent representations, which are the two constituents induced from the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2k^2}^\epsilon$, and these are the only even orthogonal groups which possess cuspidal unipotent representations. Hence, each Sp_{2n}, $n = k^2$, has two cuspidal θ-representations $\lambda_{k,1}$ and $\lambda_{k,2}$, and these are the only possibilities.

From [Howe 1973] we also know that every irreducible representation of Sp_{2n} is contained in $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n}^+} \oplus \omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n}^-}$. Each $\lambda_{k,i}$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, occurs in some $R^G_{T_w, \theta_w}$ such that $\epsilon_w = \epsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$. Similar to the proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.7, applying the main result of [Srinivasan 1979b] as well as conservation relations we can show that $\lambda_{k,i}$ occurs in $\omega_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n}^\epsilon}$ and $\Theta_{O_{2n}^\epsilon}(\lambda_{k_i})$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, are the two cuspidal θ-representations of O_{2n}^ϵ. Since $\chi_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n}^\pm}(-I) = \pm 1$, we obtain that $\lambda_{k,i}(-I) = \chi_{\mathrm{SO}^\epsilon}(-I) = (-1)^k$. $\qquad\square$

Finally, we can give the second main result of this section.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\lambda_{k,i}$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, be the cuspidal θ-representations of Sp_{2k^2}, and let $n'^\epsilon(\lambda_{k,i})$ be the first occurrence index of $\lambda_{k,i}$ in the Witt tower $\boldsymbol{O}^\epsilon_{\mathrm{odd}}$. Then for some $\epsilon_0 \in \{+, -\}$ one has $n'^{\epsilon_0}(\lambda_{k,\alpha}) = n'^{-\epsilon_0}(\lambda_{k,\beta}) = k(k-1)$ and $n'^{-\epsilon_0}(\lambda_{k,\alpha}) = n'^{\epsilon_0}(\lambda_{k,\beta}) = k(k+1)$. Write $\epsilon(k) = \mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$. Then the theta liftings are given by*

$$\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_{2k(k-1)+1}}(\lambda_{k,\alpha}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k-1}, \qquad \Theta_{O^{-\epsilon_0}_{2k(k+1)+1}}(\lambda_{k,\alpha}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k},$$

$$\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_{2k(k+1)+1}}(\lambda_{k,\beta}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k}, \qquad \Theta_{O^{-\epsilon_0}_{2k(k-1)+1}}(\lambda_{k,\beta}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k-1},$$

where λ'^ϵ_k is defined by (3-2).

We remark that the ϵ_0 above depends on the choice of the nontrivial character ψ of $\boldsymbol{F}$ which we used to define the Weil representations. If ψ is replaced by $\psi_t(x) := \psi(tx)$ for some $t \in \boldsymbol{F}^\times \setminus \boldsymbol{F}^{\times 2}$, then ϵ_0 should be replaced by $-\epsilon_0$.

Proof. We use an inductive argument which is parallel to the proof in [Adams and Moy 1993]. By convention we let λ_0 be the trivial representation of Sp_0.

For Sp_2, $\lambda_{1,\alpha}$ is contained in $\omega^+_{1,1} \oplus \omega^-_{1,1}$. Since by Theorem 3.3(iii) the first occurrence of the theta lifting of $\lambda_{1,\alpha}$ is unipotent cuspidal, we see that $\lambda_{1,\alpha}$ occurs in $\omega^{\epsilon_0}_{1,0}$ for some ϵ_0. By conservation relation, $\lambda_{1,\alpha}$ occurs in $\omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{1,2}$ as the first occurrence in $\boldsymbol{O}^{-\epsilon_0}_{\mathrm{odd}}$. By Theorem 3.11, we have the theta liftings $\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_1}(\lambda_{1,\alpha}) = \lambda'^-_0$ and $\Theta_{O^{-\epsilon_0}_5}(\lambda_{1,\alpha}) = \lambda'^-_2$. Similarly $\lambda_{1,\beta}$ is contained in $\omega^+_{1,0} \oplus \omega^-_{1,0}$. It cannot happen that $\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_1}(\lambda_{1,\alpha}) = \Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_1}(\lambda_{1,\beta}) = \lambda'^-_0$, hence $\lambda_{1,\beta}$ must be contained in $\omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{1,0}$. By conservation again, $\lambda_{1,\beta}$ occurs in $\omega^{\epsilon_0}_{1,2}$ as the first occurrence in $\boldsymbol{O}^{\epsilon_0}_{\mathrm{odd}}$. The theta liftings of $\lambda_{1,\beta}$ to $O^{-\epsilon_0}_1$ and $O^{\epsilon_0}_5$ are therefore λ'^-_0 and λ'^-_2, respectively. We may exhaust the theta correspondence inductively through the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc}
\mathrm{Sp}_0 & \lambda_0 & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^+_0 = 1 & \omega^{\epsilon_0}_{0,0} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_0 & \lambda_0 & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^+_0 = 1 & \omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{0,0} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_2 & \lambda_{1,\alpha} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^-_0 = \mathrm{sgn} & \omega^{\epsilon_0}_{1,0} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_2 & \lambda_{1,\beta} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^-_0 = \mathrm{sgn} & \omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{1,0} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_2 & \lambda_{1,\alpha} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^-_1 & \omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{1,2} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_2 & \lambda_{1,\beta} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^-_1 & \omega^{\epsilon_0}_{1,2} \\
& & \vdots & & \\
\mathrm{Sp}_{2k^2} & \lambda_{k,\alpha} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k-1} & \omega^{\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k-1)} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_{2k^2} & \lambda_{k,\beta} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k-1} & \omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k-1)} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_{2k^2} & \lambda_{k,\alpha} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k} & \omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k+1)} \\
\mathrm{Sp}_{2k^2} & \lambda_{k,\beta} & \longrightarrow & \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k} & \omega^{\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k+1)}
\end{array}
$$

In general for Sp_{2k^2}, by Proposition 3.8, $\lambda_{k,i}$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, are contained in $\omega^+_{k^2,k^2} \oplus \omega^-_{k^2,k^2}$. Since the first occurrences of their theta liftings are cuspidal unipotent, they are contained in $\omega^+_{k^2,k(k-1)} \oplus \omega^-_{k^2,k(k-1)}$. By induction we have

$$\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_{2k(k-1)+1}}(\lambda_{k-1,\beta}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k-1)}_{k-1}.$$

Then by the conservation relation given by Proposition 3.9(ii) we may arrange the indices such that

$$\Theta_{O^{\epsilon_0}_{2k(k-1)+1}}(\lambda_{k,\alpha}) = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k-1)}_{k-1} \otimes \mathrm{sgn} = \lambda'^{\epsilon(k)}_{k-1}.$$

By conservation again, $\lambda_{k,\alpha}$ occurs in $\omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k+1)}$. In a similar way, $\lambda_{k,\beta}$ occurs in $\omega^{-\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k-1)}$ and $\omega^{\epsilon_0}_{k^2,k(k+1)}$. The remaining assertions about the theta liftings are clear. $\square$

4. Theta correspondence and Harish-Chandra series

In this section we study the theta correspondence of representations in certain Harish-Chandra series in a way similar to [Aubert et al. 1996].

Let T_l be the split torus over F of rank l, and $\theta_l := \theta_{T_l}$ the order 2 character of T_l defined in Section 2D. In particular $(T_1, \theta_1) = (\mathrm{GL}_1, \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1})$. For later use, for $k, l \geq 0$ we introduce a pair of quadratic characters $\theta_{k,l}$ and $\theta'_{k,l}$ of T_l by

$$(4\text{-}1) \qquad \theta_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 1_k \otimes \theta_{l-k} & \text{if } k \leq l, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4\text{-}2) \qquad \theta'_{k,l} = \theta_{k,l}\theta_l = \begin{cases} \theta_k \otimes 1_{l-k} & \text{if } k \leq l, \\ \theta_l & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where 1_k and 1_{l-k} are the trivial characters of T_k and T_{l-k}, respectively.

For two groups $G_n \subset G_m$ in the same Witt tower, we can embed G_n into the Levi subgroup $G_n \times T_{m-n}$ of a parabolic subgroup of G_m. Let λ be a cuspidal representation of G_n, and denote by $R(G_m)_\lambda$ the subset of $R(G_m)$ whose elements are spanned by

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G_m)_\lambda := \mathrm{Irr}(R^{G_m}_{G_n \times T_{m-n}}(\lambda \otimes 1)).$$

Similarly introduce the subset $R(G_m)_{\lambda,\theta}$ of $R(G_m)$ whose elements are spanned by

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G_m)_{\lambda,\theta} := \mathrm{Irr}(R^{G_m}_{G_n \times T_{m-n}}(\lambda \otimes \theta_{m-n})).$$

Note that in this context the functor R above stands for the usual parabolic induction, which coincides with the generalized Deligne–Lusztig induction.

The standard Levi subgroups L of G_m are of the form

$$L = \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(q) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(q) \times G_l(q)$$

such that $m = n_1 + \cdots + n_r + l$. A representation ρ of L is a cuspidal, unipotent

or θ-representation if it is of the form $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_r \otimes \sigma$, where ρ_i and σ are cuspidal, unipotent or θ-representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(q)$ and $G_l(q)$, respectively.

For a finite (reductive) group G, let $(R^G, \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_\ell[G])$ be the regular representation of $G \times G$ on the space of $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_\ell$-valued functions f on G defined by

$$(R^G(g_1, g_2)f)(g) = f(g_1^{-1} g g_2), \qquad \text{for all } g_1, g, g_2 \in G.$$

Then R^G has the well-known Peter–Weyl decomposition

$$R^G = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \pi \otimes \pi^\vee,$$

where $\pi^\vee$ is the contragredient of π. For a quadratic character χ of G, let χR^G be the representation of $G \times G$ defined by

$$\chi R^G = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \chi\pi \otimes \pi^\vee = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \pi \otimes \chi\pi^\vee.$$

The following result given in [Aubert et al. 1996, Théorème 3.7] shows that the theta correspondence is compatible with the Harish-Chandra decomposition and that it preserves cuspidal unipotent representations for the dual pairs $(U_n(q), U_{n'}(q))$ and $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q), O_{2n'}^\epsilon(q))$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume* $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}') = (\boldsymbol{U}^\epsilon, \boldsymbol{U}^{\epsilon'})$, $(\mathrm{Sp}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{even}}^\epsilon)$ *or* $(\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{even}}^\epsilon, \mathrm{Sp})$, $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm$. *Let* λ *be an irreducible cuspidal representation of* $G_n \in \mathcal{T}$ *and let* $n' = n'(\lambda)$ *be its first occurrence index in* $\mathcal{T}'$, *so that* $\lambda' := \Theta_{G'_{n'}}(\lambda)$ *is an irreducible cuspidal representation of* $G'_{n'} \in \mathcal{T}'$. *Then for* $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_m)_\lambda$, $\Theta_{G'_{m'}}(\lambda) = 0$ *whenever* $m' < n'$ *and* $\Theta_{G'_{m'}}(\gamma) \in R(G'_{m'})_{\lambda'}$ *otherwise.*

We are going to extend this theorem to the Witt tower $(\mathrm{Sp}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{odd}}^\epsilon)$. Since $O_{2n'+1}^+$ and $O_{2n'+1}^-$ are isomorphic, we may simply write $\mathrm{Irr}(O_{2n'+1})$ instead of $\mathrm{Irr}(O_{2n'+1}^+)$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(O_{2n'+1}^-)$. The main result of this section is the following theorem, which is compatible with Pan's decomposition formula (Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 4.2. *Let* λ *be an irreducible cuspidal representation of* Sp_{2n} *and let* $n' = n'^\epsilon(\lambda)$ *be its first occurrence index in* $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{odd}}^\epsilon$, *so that* $\lambda' := \Theta_{O_{2n'+1}^\epsilon}(\lambda)$ *is an irreducible cuspidal representation of* $O_{2n'+1}^\epsilon$. *Then the following holds.*

(i) *For* $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda,\theta}$, $\Theta_{O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon}(\gamma) = 0$ *if* $m' < n'$ *and* $\Theta_{O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon}(\gamma) \in R(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'}$ *otherwise.*

(ii) *For* $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon)_{\lambda'}$, $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma') = 0$ *if* $m < n$ *and* $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma') \in R(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda,\theta}$ *otherwise.*

(iii) *For* $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_\lambda$, $\Theta_{O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon}(\gamma) = 0$ *if* $m' < n'$ *and* $\Theta_{O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon}(\gamma) \in R(O_{2m'+1}^\epsilon)_{\lambda',\theta'}$ *otherwise, where* $\theta' := \theta'_{m-n, m'-n'}$ *is defined by* (4-2).

(iv) *For* $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O^\epsilon_{2m'+1})_{\lambda',\theta}$, $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma') = 0$ *if* $m < n$ *and* $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma') \in R(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda,\theta'}$, *where* $\theta' := \theta_{m'-n',m-n}$ *is defined by* (4-1).

Proof. We will only prove (i) and (iii). The proofs of (ii) and (iv) are similar and will be left to the reader. The proofs are parallel to Theorem 4.1 and we shall follow the calculations in [Aubert et al. 1996] and [Mœglin et al. 1987] closely.

We first prove (i), by induction on m.

• Suppose that $m = n$ (i.e., $\gamma = \lambda$ is cuspidal) and $m' > n'$. It is known (see [Mœglin et al. 1987, Chapitre 3]) that each constituent $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)$ is noncuspidal. Let j be the maximum integer such that

$$\gamma \in R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_j}(\lambda'_1 \otimes \rho')$$

with $\lambda'_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2(m'-j)+1})$ cuspidal and $\rho' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_j)$. Since $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &< (\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \\
&\leq \left(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_j}(\lambda'_1 \otimes \rho')\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \\
&= \left({}^*R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_j}(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}), \gamma \otimes \lambda'_1 \otimes \rho'\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_j}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $^*R$ stands for the Jacquet functor, which is adjoint to the induction functor R. We have the following decomposition (see [Mœglin et al. 1987, Chapitre 3, IV Théorème 5]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^*R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_j}(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}) \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min(m,j)} R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times \mathrm{GL}_j \times O_{2(m'-j)+1}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-i)} \times \mathrm{GL}_i \times (\mathrm{GL}_{j-i} \times \mathrm{GL}_i) \times O_{2(m'-j)+1}}(\omega^\epsilon_{m-i,m'-j} \otimes 1_{\mathrm{GL}_{j-i}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_i} R^{\mathrm{GL}_i}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{\min(m,j)} \Big(&\omega^\epsilon_{m-i,m'-j} \otimes 1_{\mathrm{GL}_{j-i}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_i} R^{\mathrm{GL}_i}, \\
&{}^*R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times \mathrm{GL}_j \times O_{2(m'-j)+1}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-i)} \times \mathrm{GL}_i \times (\mathrm{GL}_{j-i} \times \mathrm{GL}_i) \times O_{2(m'-j)+1}}(\gamma \otimes \lambda'_1 \otimes \rho')\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the scalar product in the i-th summand is taken over the group

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-i)} \times O_{2(m'-j)+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{j-i} \times \mathrm{GL}_i \times \mathrm{GL}_i.$$

Since $\gamma = \lambda$ is cuspidal, the only nonzero term corresponds to $i = 0$, which implies

$$(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'-j} \otimes 1_{\mathrm{GL}_j}, \gamma \otimes \lambda'_1 \otimes \rho') > 0.$$

It follows that $\rho' = 1_{\mathrm{GL}_j}$ and $\lambda'_1 \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2(m'-j)+1}}(\gamma)$. Because λ'_1 is cuspidal, we must have $m' - j = n'$ and $\lambda'_1 = \lambda'$, i.e., $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'}$.

• Suppose that $m > n$. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda, \theta}$, then there exists $\gamma_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)})_{\lambda, \theta}$ such that $\gamma \in R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma_1 \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1})$. For $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &< (\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \\
&\le \left(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma_1 \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}) \otimes \gamma'\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \\
&= \left({}^* R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}), \gamma_1 \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} \otimes \gamma'\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times O_{2m'+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^* R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}&(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}) \\
&= \omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} + R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'-1} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} R^{\mathrm{GL}_1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')$ is bounded by

$$
(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma' \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1})_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}
$$

$$
+ \left(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'-1} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} R^{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes {}^* R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma') \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_1}.
$$

If the first term is nonzero, then $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma_1)$. By induction, $\gamma' \in R(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'}$. If the second term is nonzero, then there exist $\gamma_1' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'-1})$ and $\rho' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ such that $\gamma_1' \otimes \rho' \in {}^* R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma')$ and

$$
\left(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'-1} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} R^{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_1' \otimes \rho' \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_1} \ne 0.
$$

It follows that $\rho' = 1$ and $\gamma_1' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'-1}}(\gamma_1)$. By induction, $\gamma_1' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'-1})_{\lambda'}$ and therefore $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'}$ by Frobenius reciprocity. This proves (i).

Next we prove (iii), again by induction on m. Assume that $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_\lambda$ and $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)$. The case $m = n$ is covered by (i), so we may assume that $m > n$. Then there exists $\gamma_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)})_\lambda$ such that $\gamma \in R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma_1 \otimes 1)$. Since $\gamma' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &< (\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \le \left(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma_1 \otimes 1) \otimes \gamma'\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m} \times O_{2m'+1}} \\
&= \left({}^* R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}), \gamma_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma'\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times O_{2m'+1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the proof of (i), $(\omega^\epsilon_{m,m'}, \gamma \otimes \gamma')$ is bounded by

$$
(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma' \otimes 1)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}
$$

$$
+ \left(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'-1} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} R^{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes {}^* R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma') \otimes 1\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_1}.
$$

The first term is zero. If the second term is nonzero, then there exists $\gamma_1' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'-1})$

and $\rho' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ such that $\gamma_1' \otimes \rho' \in {}^*R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1}(\gamma')$ and

$$\left(\omega^\epsilon_{m-1,m'-1} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1} R^{\mathrm{GL}_1}, \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_1' \otimes \rho' \otimes 1\right)_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2(m-1)} \times O_{2m'-1} \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{GL}_1} \neq 0.$$

It follows that $\rho' = \chi_{\mathrm{GL}_1}$ and $\gamma_1' \in \Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'-1}}(\gamma_1)$. By induction,

$$\gamma_1' \in R^{O_{2m'-1}}_{O_{2n'+1} \times T_{m'-n'-1}}(\lambda' \otimes \theta'_{m-n-1, m'-n'-1}),$$

hence by Frobenius reciprocity

$$\gamma' \in R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2n'+1} \times T_{m'-n'}}(\lambda' \otimes \theta'_{m-n, m'-n'}).$$

This finishes the proof of (iii).   □

In particular, if we consider the Harish-Chandra series of cuspidal unipotent representations, then combining Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\lambda := \lambda_k$ be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of Sp_{2n}, $n = k(k+1)$, and $\lambda' := \lambda'^+_{k,\chi}$ be its theta lifting to O^ϵ_{2n+1}. Then the following holds.*

   (i) *For $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_\lambda$, $\Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma) = 0$ if $m' < m$ and*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\Theta_{O^\epsilon_{2m'+1}}(\gamma)) \subset \mathrm{Irr}\left(R^{O_{2m'+1}}_{O_{2n+1} \times T_{m-n} \times T_{m'-m}}(\lambda' \otimes \theta_{m-n} \otimes 1)\right)$$

   otherwise.

   (ii) *For $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Irr}(O^\epsilon_{2m'+1})_{\lambda',\theta}$, $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma') = 0$ if $m < m'$ and*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}(\gamma')) \subset \mathrm{Irr}\left(R^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}}_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \times T_{m'-n} \times T_{m-m'}}(\lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \theta_{m-m'})\right)$$

   otherwise.

We end this paper by some remarks on the open problem of finding the explicit theta correspondence of Harish-Chandra series, which can be reformulated as a correspondence of Weyl group representations. Explicit descriptions have been proved for unitary dual pairs ([Aubert et al. 1996, Théorème 3.10]) and symplectic-even orthogonal dual pairs ([Aubert et al. 1996, Conjecture 3.11], proved recently in [Pan 2019a]). These results are also developed recently in [Epequin Chavez 2019] in order to pick up extremal components from the big theta lifting. We expect that a similar conjecture should hold for symplectic-odd orthogonal case as well according to the results in this section.

Let us give a few details. From Mackey theory we know that irreducible constituents in the Harish-Chandra series of a cuspidal unipotent representation are parametrized by irreducible representations of a Weyl group. This observation leads to a connection with the Springer correspondence [Aubert et al. 2016; Epequin Chavez 2019]. More precisely, let λ_k be the unique cuspidal unipotent

representation of a classical group G_{n_k}, where n_k is defined in Theorem 3.5. Namely, we have the table

n_k	type of G	sign of G
$\lfloor k(k+1)/4 \rfloor$	unitary	$\mathrm{sgn}(-1)^{k(k+1)/2}$
$k(k+1)$	symplectic	NA
$k(k+1)$	odd orthogonal	$\pm$
k^2	even orthogonal	$\mathrm{sgn}(-1)^k$

For $G_{n_k} \subset G_m$ in the same Witt tower, the set $\mathrm{Irr}(G_m)_{\lambda_k}$ is parametrized by irreducible representations of the group

$$W_{G_m}(\lambda_k) = \{x \in N_{G_m}(L)/L \mid \lambda_k^x \cong \lambda_k\},$$

where $L = G_{n_k} \times T_{m-n_k}$. The uniqueness of λ_k implies that the defining condition of $W_{G_m}(\lambda_k)$ is trivial, hence

$$W_{G_m}(\lambda_k) = N_{G_m}(L)/L \cong W_{m-n_k}$$

which is a Weyl group of type B_{m-n_k}.

By [Adams and Moy 1993], the theta correspondence takes λ_k to $\lambda'_{k'}$, where $k' = k$ for the symplectic-even orthogonal case and $k' = k \pm 1$ for the unitary case. Hence in these cases the theta correspondence between Harish-Chandra series of cuspidal unipotent representations can be characterized as a correspondence between representations of a pair of Weyl groups $(W_{m-n_k}, W_{m'-n_{k'}})$. As we mentioned above, explicit correspondence of representations of such a pair $(W_l, W_{l'})$ has been proved for unitary dual pairs and symplectic-even orthogonal dual pairs in [Aubert et al. 1996] and [Pan 2019a], respectively. The set $\mathrm{Irr}(W_l)$ has a well-known parametrization by bipartitions of l (see, e.g., [Geck and Pfeiffer 2000, Theorem 5.5.6]). J. Epequin Chavez [2019] further develops the above correspondence using bipartitions. Among other applications, an observation we make from the results in [Epequin Chavez 2019] is that in contrast to the p-adic case [Sun and Zhu 2015], the conservation relations do not hold in general for noncuspidal representations of finite dual pairs. It should be very interesting to understand the conservation type relations for finite dual pairs beyond the cuspidal case.

For the symplectic-odd orthogonal case, recall from Theorem 3.7 that λ_k is the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of Sp_{2n_k}, and $\lambda'^{+}_{k,\chi}$ is the unique cuspidal θ-representation of O_{2n_k+1} with trivial central character, $n_k = k(k+1)$. In a similar manner as in the unipotent case, the Harish-Chandra series $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda_k, \theta}$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'^{+}_{k,\chi}}$ are in bijection with $\mathrm{Irr}(W_{m-n_k})$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(W_{m'-n_k})$, respectively. By Theorem 4.2 (i) and (ii), we expect that a conjecture analogous to [Aubert et al. 1996, Conjecture 3.11] should hold for the theta correspondence between $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2m})_{\lambda_k, \theta}$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(O_{2m'+1})_{\lambda'^{+}_{k,\chi}}$.

Acknowledgements

References

[Adams and Moy 1993] J. Adams and A. Moy, "Unipotent representations and reductive dual pairs over finite fields", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **340**:1 (1993), 309–321. MR Zbl

[Aubert et al. 1996] A.-M. Aubert, J. Michel, and R. Rouquier, "Correspondance de Howe pour les groupes réductifs sur les corps finis", *Duke Math. J.* **83**:2 (1996), 353–397. MR Zbl

[Aubert et al. 2016] A.-M. Aubert, W. Kraśkiewicz, and T. Przebinda, "Howe correspondence and Springer correspondence for dual pairs over a finite field", pp. 17–44 in *Lie algebras, Lie superalgebras, vertex algebras and related topics*, edited by K. C. Misra et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **92**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016. MR Zbl

[Carter 1985] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Wiley, New York, 1985. MR Zbl

[Deligne and Lusztig 1976] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, "Representations of reductive groups over finite fields", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **103**:1 (1976), 103–161. MR Zbl

[Epequin Chavez 2019] J. Epequin Chavez, "Extremal unipotent representations for the finite Howe correspondence", *J. Algebra* **535** (2019), 480–502. MR Zbl

[Geck and Pfeiffer 2000] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras*, Lond. Math. Soc. Monogr. (N.S.) **21**, Oxford Univ. Press, 2000. MR Zbl

[Gérardin 1977] P. Gérardin, "Weil representations associated to finite fields", *J. Algebra* **46**:1 (1977), 54–101. MR Zbl

[Gurevich and Howe 2017] S. Gurevich and R. Howe, "Small representations of finite classical groups", pp. 209–234 in *Representation theory, number theory, and invariant theory* (New Haven, CT, 2015), edited by J. Cogdell et al., Progr. Math. **323**, Birkhäuser, Cham, 2017. MR Zbl

[Howe 1973] R. Howe, "Invariant theory and duality for classical groups over finite fields with applications to their singular representation theory", preprint, Yale Univ., 1973.

[Lusztig 1977] G. Lusztig, "Irreducible representations of finite classical groups", *Invent. Math.* **43**:2 (1977), 125–175. MR Zbl

[Lusztig 1984] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **107**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1984. MR Zbl

[Lusztig 1988] G. Lusztig, "On the representations of reductive groups with disconnected centre", pp. 157–166 in *Orbites unipotentes et représentations, I: Groupes finis et algèbres de Hecke*, Astérisque **168**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1988. MR Zbl

[Malle 2017] G. Malle, "Cuspidal characters and automorphisms", *Adv. Math.* **320** (2017), 887–903. MR Zbl

[Mœglin 1995] C. Mœglin, "Quadratic unipotent representations of *p*-adic groups", pp. 245–262 in *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, I* (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993), edited by S. Gindikin et al., Progr. Math. **131**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995. MR Zbl

[Mœglin et al. 1987] C. Mœglin, M.-F. Vignéras, and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1291**, Springer, 1987. MR Zbl

[Pan 2002] S.-Y. Pan, "Local theta correspondence of depth zero representations and theta dichotomy", *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **54**:4 (2002), 793–845. MR Zbl

[Pan 2016] S.-Y. Pan, "Weil representations of finite symplectic groups and finite odd-dimensional orthogonal groups", *J. Algebra* **453** (2016), 291–324. MR Zbl

[Pan 2019a] S.-Y. Pan, "Howe correspondence of unipotent characters for a finite symplectic/even-orthogonal dual pair", preprint, 2019. arXiv

[Pan 2019b] S.-Y. Pan, "Lusztig correspondence and Howe correspondence for finite reductive dual pairs", preprint, 2019. arXiv

[Srinivasan 1968] B. Srinivasan, "The characters of the finite symplectic group Sp(4, q)", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (1968), 488–525. MR Zbl

[Srinivasan 1979a] B. Srinivasan, *Representations of finite Chevalley groups: a survey*, Lecture Notes in Math. **764**, Springer, 1979. MR Zbl

[Srinivasan 1979b] B. Srinivasan, "Weil representations of finite classical groups", *Invent. Math.* **51**:2 (1979), 143–153. MR Zbl

[Sun and Zhu 2015] B. Sun and C.-B. Zhu, "Conservation relations for local theta correspondence", *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28**:4 (2015), 939–983. MR Zbl

DONGWEN LIU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY
HANGZHOU
CHINA
maliu@zju.edu.cn

ZHICHENG WANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY
HANGZHOU
CHINA
11735009@zju.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS