

# *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*

**ON THE IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS  
OF A GELFAND–GRAEV REPRESENTATION  
OF A FINITE CHEVALLEY GROUP**

CHARLES W. CURTIS

Volume 307    No. 1

July 2020



# ON THE IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF A GELFAND–GRAEV REPRESENTATION OF A FINITE CHEVALLEY GROUP

CHARLES W. CURTIS

**This paper contains a construction of the irreducible representations in the field of complex numbers of the Hecke algebra of a Gelfand–Graev representation of a finite Chevalley group, based on formulas for the structure constants of the Hecke algebra. Using this information, formulas for the corresponding irreducible characters of the finite Chevalley group are obtained.**

## 1. Introduction

This note is a supplement to two earlier papers [Curtis 1988; 2015], and contains applications of the main result of [Curtis 2015] on the structure constants of the Hecke algebra  $H$  of a Gelfand–Graev representation. In it, we first prove, in Section 2, that the irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra  $H$  are given by the eigenvalues of certain matrices whose entries are structure constants of  $H$ . The main problem is to calculate the representations of the Chevalley group  $G$  itself. From the theory of Hecke algebras [Curtis and Reiner 1981, §11], the irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra  $H$  are in a bijective correspondence with uniquely determined irreducible representations of  $G$ . In Section 3, we review this correspondence, and obtain formulas for the characters of  $G$ , using a theorem of Rimhak Ree.

We begin with some notation and terminology. Let  $G$  be a Chevalley group over a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$  (as in [Chevalley 1955] or [Steinberg 1968]). Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$  with  $U = O_p(B)$  (the unipotent radical of  $B$ ), and let  $T$  be a maximal torus such that  $B = UT$ . Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $G$ . Then  $W$  is a finite Coxeter group with distinguished generators  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be the root system associated with  $W$ , with  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  the set of simple roots corresponding to the generators  $s_i \in S$ , and  $\Phi_{\pm}$  the set of positive roots (respectively, negative roots) associated with them. For each root  $\alpha$ , let  $U_{\alpha}$  be the root subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to it. The subgroup  $U$  is generated by the root subgroups  $U_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

---

*MSC2010:* primary 20C33; secondary 20C08.

*Keywords:* representation theory, finite Chevalley groups, Hecke algebras.

From [Steinberg 1968, §3], the Chevalley group  $G$  has a  $(B, N)$ -pair, with Borel subgroup  $B$ ,  $N$  the subgroup generated by all elements  $w_\alpha(t)$ , and  $B \cap N = T$ , with  $T$  the subgroup generated by all elements  $h_\alpha(t)$  (see the definitions of  $w_\alpha(t)$  and  $h_\alpha(t)$  in Section 2). Then  $N/T \cong W$ . (If the field  $k$  contains more than three elements, then  $N$  is the normalizer  $N = N_G(T)$  [Steinberg 1968, p. 36].)

By the Bruhat decomposition, the  $(U, U)$ -double cosets are parametrized by the elements of  $N$ , while the  $(B, B)$ -double cosets are parametrized by the elements of  $W$ .

We consider induced representations  $\gamma$  of the form  $\psi^G$ , for a linear representation  $\psi$  of  $U$  in the field of complex numbers. Let

$$e = |U|^{-1} \sum_{u \in U} \psi(u^{-1})u$$

be the primitive idempotent affording  $\psi$  in the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}U$  of  $U$  over the field of complex numbers. Then  $\gamma = \psi^G$  is afforded by the left  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module  $\mathbb{C}Ge$ . The *Hecke algebra* of  $\gamma$  is the subalgebra  $H = e\mathbb{C}Ge$  of  $\mathbb{C}G$ , and is isomorphic to  $(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}G} \mathbb{C}Ge)^\circ$ . These representations and their Hecke algebras were first investigated by Gelfand and Graev [1962a; 1962b]. In particular they introduced the important class of *Gelfand–Graev representations* of  $G$ , which are the induced representations  $\psi^G$ , for a linear representation  $\psi$  of  $U$  in *general position*, that is,  $\psi|_{U_{\alpha_i}} \neq 1$  for each simple root subgroup  $U_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\psi|_{U_\alpha} = 1$  for each positive and not simple root  $\alpha$ .

A basis for the Hecke algebra  $H$  of a Gelfand–Graev representation  $\psi^G$  is given by the nonzero elements of the form  $ene$ ,  $n \in N$ , because  $N$  is a set of representatives of the  $(U, U)$ -double cosets. The *standard basis elements* are the nonzero elements of the form  $c_n = \text{ind}(n)ene$ , where  $\text{ind}(n) = |U : nUn^{-1} \cap U|$ . The structure constants  $[c_\ell c_m : c_n]$  for the standard basis elements,  $c_\ell, c_m, \dots$  are complex numbers defined by the formulas

$$c_\ell c_m = \sum_n [c_\ell c_m : c_n] c_n,$$

with  $\ell, m, n \in N^*$ , and are algebraic integers (here  $N^*$  is the set of elements  $n \in N$  such that  $ene \neq 0$ ).

The *structure constants* of  $H$  are given by the formula

$$[c_\ell c_m : c_n] = \sum_{u\ell u_1 = nvm^{-1} \in U\ell U \cap nU_{m^{-1}}m^{-1}} \psi((uu_1)^{-1}v),$$

by [Curtis and Reiner 1981, Proposition 11.30] and the fact that  $U\ell U \cap nU_{m^{-1}}m^{-1}$  is a set of representatives of the left  $U$ -cosets in  $U\ell U \cap nU_{m^{-1}}m^{-1}U$ . As in [Curtis 1988] and [Curtis 2009],  $U_n = U \cap nU_- n^{-1}$  for  $n \in N$ . The main purpose of this paper is to show how the irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra  $H$  of a

Gelfand–Graev representation, and the characters of corresponding representations of the Chevalley group  $G$ , are obtained from the structure constants of the Hecke algebra  $H$ . The structure constants are calculated more precisely in [Curtis 2015].

Other decompositions of Gelfand–Graev representations have been obtained by Chang [1976], for the groups  $\mathrm{GL}(3, q)$ , and for a general finite Chevalley group by Deligne and Lusztig [1976, §10] and by myself [Curtis 1993], in both cases using the Deligne–Lusztig theory of representations of algebraic groups on the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of varieties on which  $G$  acts.

## 2. The irreducible representations of $H$

As the endomorphism algebra of an induced representation  $\psi^G$ ,  $H$  is a semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A fundamental property of  $H$  was proved by Gelfand and Graev [1962b], for the Chevalley groups  $\mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ , by Yokonuma [1967] for a general Chevalley group, and with a simplified proof and extended to the case of twisted Chevalley groups by Steinberg [1968, Theorem 49].

**Theorem 2.1** (Yokonuma, Steinberg). *The Hecke algebra  $H$  of a Gelfand–Graev representation of a finite Chevalley group is a commutative algebra. As a consequence, a Gelfand–Graev representation is multiplicity free: each irreducible component occurs with multiplicity one.*

The irreducible representations of  $H$  were obtained for the Chevalley groups  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$  by Gelfand and Graev [1962a], using formulas which they called *Bessel functions over finite fields*. The formulas were obtained using the structure constants of the Hecke algebra of a Gelfand–Graev representation. The approach to the irreducible representations of  $H$  to follow is based on formulas for the structure constants of  $H$  given in [Curtis 2015, Corollary 4.2]. These are stated later, after a brief review of the necessary background, and are proved in [Curtis 2009; 2015]. One of the main points is to describe how the equations  $u\ell u_1 = nvm^{-1}$ , for certain elements  $u, u_1, v \in U$  and  $\ell, m, n \in N$ , are solved in a general Chevalley group using ideas about refinements of the Bruhat decomposition due to Kawanaka [1975] and Deodhar [1985].

We begin the review by recalling some notation. For each root  $\alpha$ , there is a homomorphism (see [Steinberg 1968, p. 46])  $\varphi = \varphi_\alpha : \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi$  takes

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow x_\alpha(t), & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow x_{-\alpha}(t), & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow w_\alpha(t) \in N, \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow h_\alpha(t) \in T \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in k$ . The elements  $w_\alpha(t)$  and  $h_\alpha(t)$  are given by

$$w_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t)x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1})x_\alpha(t), \quad h_\alpha(t) = w_\alpha(t)w_\alpha(1)^{-1},$$

by [Steinberg 1968, p. 30]. If  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  is a reduced expression of an element  $w \in W$  then  $\dot{w} = \dot{s}_k \cdots \dot{s}_1$ , with  $\dot{s}_i = w_{\alpha_i}(t_i)$  for some fixed choice of  $t_i \in k^* = k - \{0\}$ , is a representative in  $N$  of  $w$  which is independent of the choice of the reduced expression chosen, by [Steinberg 1968, Lemma 83, p. 242]. In what follows we assume that representatives  $\dot{x} \in N$  of all elements  $x \in W$  have been chosen in this way, for a fixed choice of representatives  $\dot{s}_i$  of the generators  $s_i \in S$ .

As in [Deodhar 1985], a *subexpression*  $\tau$  of a fixed reduced expression  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  is a sequence  $\tau = (\tau_k, \dots, \tau_1, \tau_0)$  of elements of  $W$  such that  $\tau_i \tau_{i-1}^{-1} \in \{1, s_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\tau_0 = 1$ . Then the set of terminal elements  $\tau_k$  of subexpressions of  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  coincides with the set of elements  $x \in W$  such that  $x \leq w$  in the Chevalley–Bruhat order. In what follows, the *length* of an element  $w \in W$  in terms of the generators  $s_i \in S$  is denoted by  $\ell(w)$ .

For each element  $w \in W$ , let  $U_w = U \cap {}^w U_-$ , where  $U_- = {}^{w_0} U$  and  $w_0$  is the element of maximal length in  $W$ . Then  $U = U_w U_{w w_0}$  and  $B w B = U_w \dot{w} B$ , in both cases with uniqueness of expression. Let  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  be a reduced expression of  $w \in W$ . Then  $U_w = U_{\alpha_k} \dot{s}_k U_{s_{k-1} \cdots s_1} \dot{s}_k^{-1}$  with uniqueness of expression.

The calculation of the structure constants of the Hecke algebra  $H$  of a Gelfand–Graev representation, and in particular the solutions of the equations in  $G$  described earlier, is based on an examination of the following subset of  $G$ . Let  $w, x, y$  be elements of  $W$ , and  $\dot{w}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}$  corresponding elements of  $N$ . Let

$$U(w, x, y) = \{u \in U_w : u \dot{w} B \cap \dot{y} U_{x^{-1} \dot{x}^{-1}} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then the set  $U(w, x, y)$  is independent of the choice of representatives  $\dot{w}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}$  of  $w, x, y$  in  $N$ . Moreover,  $U_w \dot{w} B \cap \dot{y} U_{x^{-1} \dot{x}^{-1}}$  is a set of representatives of the left  $B$ -cosets in  $B w B \cap y(B x B)^{-1}$ , and its cardinality is the structure constant  $[e_w e_x : e_y]$  of the standard basis elements  $e_w, e_x, e_y$ , for  $w, x, y \in W$ , in the Iwahori–Hecke algebra. For a fixed reduced expression  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  of an element  $w \in W$ , and elements  $x, y$  in  $W$ , Kawanaka defined a family of subexpressions of the expression for  $w$ , called  $K$ -sequences in [Curtis 2015], and proved in [Kawanaka 1975, Lemma 2.14b] that the cardinality of the set  $U(w, x, y)$  and the nonzero structure constants of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra are given by the formula

$$|U(w, x, y)| = [e_w e_x : e_y] = |B \dot{w} B \cap \dot{y} U_{x^{-1} \dot{x}^{-1}}| = \sum_{\tau} q^{a(\tau)} (q-1)^{b(\tau)},$$

where  $e_w, e_x, e_y$  are standard basis elements of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra  $H(G, B)$ , and the sum is taken over all  $K$ -sequences  $\tau$  for  $w, x, y$ , and  $a(\tau)$  and  $b(\tau)$  are the nonnegative integers associated with a  $K$ -sequence, as in [Curtis 2015]. As a

consequence, it follows that  $U(w, x, y) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if there exist  $K$ -sequences for  $w, x, y$ ; see also [Borel and Tits 1972, (3.19)], where the conditions are stated in a different way.

In [Curtis 1988] a geometric version of Kawanaka’s formula was proved. It states that  $U(w, x, y)$ , viewed as a subset of the algebraic group  $G(\bar{k})$  over the algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of the finite field  $k$ , is a disjoint union of subsets  $U_\tau$ , which are called *cells* in [Curtis 1988]. The cells  $U_\tau$  are subsets of  $G(\bar{k})$  parametrized by  $K$ -sequences  $\tau$  for  $w, x, y$  relative to a fixed reduced expression of the element  $w$ , with corresponding subsets  $U_\tau$ , also called cells (defined in [Curtis 1988]), in the finite Chevalley group  $G = G(k)$ . A review of the definition and properties of  $K$ -sequences  $\tau$ , and cells  $U_\tau$ , is given in [Curtis 2015, §2–3]. The main result in [Curtis 1988] extends Deodhar’s decomposition [1985] (see also [Curtis 2009, §4]) of the intersection  $B_y B \cap B_- x B$ , viewed as subsets of the flag variety  $G/B$  in the algebraic group  $G(\bar{k})$ , with  $B_-$  the Borel subgroup opposite to  $B$ . Each cell  $U_\tau$  is isomorphic (in bijective correspondence as a set, or isomorphic as a variety in  $G(\bar{k})$ ) to a product

$$U_\tau \cong \prod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \times \prod_{\beta} U_{\beta}^*$$

for certain subsets  $\{\alpha\}$  and  $\{\beta\}$  of cardinalities  $a(\tau)$  and  $b(\tau)$  of the positive root subgroups determined by  $\tau$  and where  $U_{\beta}^*$  is the set of nonidentity elements in  $U_{\beta}$ . From the decomposition of  $U(w, x, y)$  as a union of cells  $U_\tau$ , it follows that  $U_w \dot{w} B \cap \dot{y} U_{x^{-1}} \dot{x}^{-1}$  can be identified with the set of triples  $(u, b, v)$  with  $u \in U_\tau$  for some  $\tau$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $v \in U_{x^{-1}}$ , satisfying the equation  $u \dot{w} b = \dot{y} v \dot{x}^{-1}$ , with  $b$  and  $v$  uniquely determined by  $u$  by [Curtis 2009, Lemma 2.4]. This completes the review of how the equations  $u \ell u_1 = n v m^{-1}$ , in a Chevalley group, are solved, in connection with more exact formulas for the structure constants.

We now state the version of the structure constant formula [Curtis 2015, Corollary 4.2] on which the calculation of the irreducible representations is based.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The structure constants of  $H$  are complex numbers, given by the formula*

$$[c_\ell c_m : c_n] = \sum_{\tau} \sum_{u \in U_\tau} \psi((u u_1)^{-1} v)$$

for all standard basis elements  $c_\ell, c_m, c_n$ , and satisfy the following conditions. For each  $K$ -sequence  $\tau$  for  $w, x, y$ , and corresponding cell  $U_\tau$ , the sum is taken over solutions of the equation  $u \dot{w} \hat{u}_1 \hat{s} = \dot{y} \hat{v} \dot{x}^{-1}$  (obtained by [Curtis 2015, Theorem 4.1]), with  $u \in U_\tau$  and  $\hat{u}_1, \hat{v}, \hat{s}$  satisfying the conditions  $\hat{u}_1 = s u_1 s^{-1} \in U$ ,  $\hat{v} = s'' v (s'')^{-1} \in U_{x^{-1}}$ , and  $\hat{s} = s(\dot{x} s'' (s')^{-1} \dot{x}^{-1})^{-1} \in T$ . If there are no solutions satisfying these conditions, then the structure constant is zero.

We proceed to the calculation of the irreducible representations of  $H$ . We first make some changes in notation. Let  $d$  be the dimension of the Hecke algebra  $H$ ,

and let the standard basis elements of  $H$  be  $c_1, \dots, c_d$ . The structure constants become  $c_{ijk}$ , and the multiplication in  $H$  is now given by the equations

$$c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^d c_{ijk} c_k, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq d.$$

Let  $Z^1, \dots, Z^d$  be a basic set of irreducible representations for the commutative semisimple algebra  $H$ . The representations are all one dimensional, and are completely described by their values  $Z^m(c_j)$  on the standard basis elements  $c_1, \dots, c_d$  of  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *The values  $Z^m(c_j)$  of the irreducible representations are eigenvalues of the  $d \times d$  matrices  $A_i = (c_{ijk}), 1 \leq j, k \leq d$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .*

In the proof, we use the notation  $\omega_j^m$  for  $Z^m(c_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , for a fixed irreducible representation  $Z^m$ . Because the representation  $Z^m$  is a homomorphism of algebras, it preserves the structure equations, so

$$\omega_i^m \omega_j^m = \sum_{k=1}^d c_{ijk} \omega_k^m, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq d.$$

Let  $w_m$  be the column vector with entries  $\omega_1^m, \dots, \omega_d^m$ , corresponding to an irreducible representation  $Z^m$  of  $H$ . By a straightforward computation it follows that

$$A_i w_m = \omega_i^m w_m,$$

so  $w_m$  is an eigenvector of the matrix  $A_i$  in the statement of the theorem, with eigenvalue  $\omega_i^m$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

We now prove that  $w_m$  is the unique (up to scalar multiples) common eigenvector of the matrices  $A_i$  with eigenvalues  $\omega_1^m, \dots, \omega_d^m$ . This follows because it is easily proved, using the structure equations again and the fact that  $H$  is a commutative algebra, that the map  $c_i \rightarrow A_i$  affords the left regular representation of  $H$ . It follows that the vector space  $V$  of  $d$ -rowed column vectors on which the matrices  $A_i$  act affords a faithful representation of  $H$ , and the subspaces of  $V$  generated by the vectors  $w_m$  are a basic set of simple modules appearing with multiplicity one, for the commutative semisimple algebra  $H$ . This completes the proof of the uniqueness result stated above.

To complete the picture, we give another proof, with historical background, that the values of the irreducible representations  $Z^m(c_j)$  are eigenvalues of matrices whose entries are structure constants.



Let us fix the index  $i$ . Then the elements  $\omega_1^m, \dots, \omega_d^m$  are a nontrivial solution to the system of homogeneous equations (involving a Kronecker delta)

$$\sum_{k=1}^d (\delta_{jk} \omega_i^m \omega_j^m - c_{ijk} \omega_k^m) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq d,$$

with coefficient matrix

$$(\delta_{jk} \omega_j^m - c_{ijk}), \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq d.$$

The solution is nontrivial because the identity element  $e$  of  $H$  is one of the standard basis elements  $c_j$ , and as  $Z^m(e) \neq 0$ , some one of the elements  $\omega_j^m$  is nonzero.

From what has been proved, it follows that the determinant of the coefficient matrix of the system is equal to zero, so that the element  $\omega_i^m$  is an eigenvalue of the coefficient matrix of the system. Therefore, the elements  $\omega_1^m, \dots, \omega_d^m$  are all eigenvalues of matrices whose entries are structure constants.

**Remark.** The idea that the irreducible representations of a commutative semisimple algebra can be obtained from a knowledge of the structure constants of the algebra, as far as I know, is due to Frobenius. In his first paper on characters of a finite group [Frobenius 1896], he found the structure constants of the centers of the group algebras of the finite groups  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p)$ , and used them along with other information to calculate the character tables of those groups. This result, many of us believe, was the starting point of the representation theory (in the field of complex numbers) of finite Chevalley groups.

### 3. On the irreducible characters of a finite Chevalley group $G$ appearing as constituents of a Gelfand–Graev representation of $G$

In Section 2, the irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra  $H$  of a Gelfand–Graev representation of a finite Chevalley group  $G$  were calculated. They are all one dimensional, and are given by eigenvalues of matrices whose entries are structure constants of the Hecke algebra  $H$ . We now wish to investigate the irreducible characters  $\zeta$  of  $G$  such that  $(\zeta, \psi^G) \neq 0$ . As usual, we extend characters of  $G$  to functions on the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$ , and view the Hecke algebra  $H$  as a subalgebra of the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$ . We first have, by [Curtis and Reiner 1981, Theorem 11.25], the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is a bijection from the set of irreducible characters  $\zeta$  of  $G$  such that  $(\zeta, \psi^G) \neq 0$  to the set of all irreducible characters  $\varphi$  of  $H$ , given by  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta|H = \varphi$ .*

We include a few remarks about the proof in [Curtis and Reiner 1981, §11]. Let  $\zeta$  be an irreducible character of  $G$  as in the statement of the theorem, and let  $M$  be

a simple  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module affording  $\zeta$ . Recall that  $H = e\mathbb{C}Ge$ , where the idempotent  $e = |U|^{-1} \sum_{u \in U} \psi(u^{-1})u$  in  $H$  affords the character  $\psi$  of  $U$  in general position. Then the induced character  $\psi^G$  is afforded by the left ideal  $\mathbb{C}Ge$ , and  $(\zeta, \psi^G) \neq 0$  implies that  $eM$  is a left  $H$ -module affording the character  $\zeta|_H$  of  $H$ . Moreover,  $eM$  is a simple  $H$ -module, and  $\varphi = \zeta|_H$  is an irreducible character of  $H$  afforded by  $eM$ .

The main result of this section is a character formula, due to Rimhak Ree, for the irreducible character  $\zeta$  of  $G$  as above. The character formula gives the value  $\zeta(t)$  of the character of  $G$  at an element  $t$  of  $G$  in terms of the values of the character  $\varphi$  of  $H$ , where  $\varphi = \zeta|_H$ . We require first some remarks about dual bases in the Hecke algebra  $H$ .

We recall the standard basis elements of  $H$ ,  $c_n = \text{ind}(n)ene$ , with  $n \in N^*$ , where  $N^* = n \in N, ene \neq 0$ . A second basis of  $H$  is given by the elements  $\hat{c}_n = c_{n^{-1}}$  with  $n \in N^*$ . A linear function  $\lambda$  on  $H$  is defined by the formula  $\lambda(\sum \xi_n c_n) = \xi_1$ , for an element  $\sum \xi_n c_n$  in  $H$  with coefficients  $\xi_n$  and  $c_1 = e$ . A bilinear form  $B$  on  $H$  is then defined by setting  $B(h, k) = \lambda(hk)$ , for  $h, k \in H$ . One checks that the bilinear form  $B$  is symmetric, associative, and nondegenerate. It is then not difficult to prove that the bases are dual in the sense that  $B(\hat{c}_n, c_m) = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $B(\hat{c}_n, c_n) = \text{ind}(n)$ , for all  $n \in N^*$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (R. Ree). *Let  $\zeta$  be an irreducible character of  $G$  such that  $(\zeta, \psi^G) \neq 0$ , where  $\psi^G$  is the character of a Gelfand–Graev representation of  $G$ . Then  $\zeta|_H \neq 0$ , and  $\varphi = \zeta|_H$  is an irreducible character of  $H$ . Let  $t \in G$ , let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the conjugacy class of  $t$ , and let  $C$  be the conjugacy class sum. Then*

$$\zeta(t) = |C_G(t)|\varphi(eCe) \left( |U| \sum_{n \in N^*} (\text{ind}(n))^{-1} \varphi(\hat{c}_n) \varphi(c_n) \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of [Curtis and Reiner 1981, Theorem 11.28] with some changes. Let  $M$  be a matrix representation of  $G$  affording  $\zeta$ . Then  $eCe = Ce$  because  $C$  is in the center of the group algebra, and

$$M(C) = \omega I,$$

where  $\omega = |\mathfrak{C}|\zeta(t)\zeta(1)^{-1}$ , as one sees by taking traces on both sides. Then

$$M(eCe) = M(C)M(e).$$

Taking traces again, we have

$$\zeta(eCe) = \omega\zeta(e) = |\mathfrak{C}|\zeta(t)\zeta(e)\zeta(1)^{-1}.$$

Now let

$$\varepsilon = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \zeta(x^{-1})x$$

be the central primitive idempotent in  $\mathbb{C}G$  corresponding to  $\zeta$ . Then

$$\varepsilon e = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \zeta(x^{-1})exe.$$

Let  $e = \sum_{u \in U} \alpha_u u$ , for complex coefficients  $\alpha_u$ . Because  $e^2 = e$ , we have

$$\varepsilon e = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{u, v \in U} \zeta(x^{-1}) \alpha_u \alpha_v e u x v e.$$

Putting  $y = u x v$  we have  $x^{-1} = v y^{-1} u$  and

$$\varepsilon e = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{y \in G} \zeta \left( \sum_{u, v \in U} \alpha_u \alpha_v v y^{-1} u \right) e y e.$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon e = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \zeta(e x^{-1} e) e x e.$$

Now apply  $M$  and take traces. Noting that  $M(\varepsilon) = I$ , we obtain

$$\zeta(e) = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \zeta(e x^{-1} e) \zeta(e x e).$$

We now bring the dual bases  $\{\hat{c}_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  of  $H$  into the picture. For a  $(U, U)$ -double coset  $UnU$ ,  $n \in N^*$ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\sum_{x \in UnU} \zeta(e x^{-1} e) \zeta(e x e) = |UnU| (\text{ind}(n))^{-2} \zeta(\hat{c}_n) \zeta(c_n).$$

Now apply the facts that  $|UnU| = \text{ind}(n)|U|$  and  $\zeta|_H = \varphi$  to obtain finally

$$\zeta(e) = \zeta(1)|G|^{-1}|U| \sum_{n \in N^*} (\text{ind}(n))^{-1} \varphi(\hat{c}_n) \varphi(c_n).$$

Comparing this formula for  $\zeta(e)$  with the one for  $\zeta(eCe)$ , we obtain the result stated in the theorem.  $\square$

**Example.** We first note that the preceding theorem gives a nice formula for the degree  $\zeta(1)$  of an irreducible character of  $G$  appearing in the Gelfand–Graev representation. In their first paper, Gelfand and Graev [1962a] summarized their results on the degrees of the irreducible characters of the finite Chevalley groups  $\text{SL}(2, q)$  for a finite field of  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a power of an odd prime. In this case there are two Gelfand–Graev representations. As they pointed out, all the irreducible characters of a Gelfand–Graev representation have degree  $q - 1$ , with one exception of degree  $\frac{1}{2}(q - 1)$ . Let us apply the preceding theorem to this situation. The theorem states that the degree of an irreducible character appearing

in a Gelfand–Graev representation is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(1) &= |G|\varphi(e)\left(|U|\sum_n(\text{ind}(n))^{-1}\varphi(\hat{c}_n)\varphi(c_n)\right)^{-1} \\ &= (q+1)(q-1)\left(\sum_n(\text{ind}(n))^{-1}\varphi(\hat{c}_n)\varphi(c_n)\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas for the irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra of a Gelfand–Graev representation of  $\text{SL}(2, q)$  in [Curtis 2015, §5], it can then be shown (in this case) that for all irreducible characters  $\varphi$  of the Hecke algebra  $H$ , with one exception, one has  $\sum_n(\text{ind}(n))^{-1}\varphi(\hat{c}_n)\varphi(c_n) = q+1$ , and that in the exceptional case, the expression becomes  $2(q+1)$ . It follows that all but one of the irreducible components of a Gelfand–Graev character of  $\text{SL}(2, q)$  have degree  $q-1$ , and that in the exceptional case the degree is  $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ , exactly as Gelfand and Graev stated.

An interesting approach to the rather mysterious characters of degree  $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$  was given by Lusztig [1978, §2.20], using the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of the Drinfeld curve, on which the finite group  $\text{SL}(2, q)$  acts.

## References

- [Borel and Tits 1972] A. Borel and J. Tits, “Compléments à l’article ‘Groupes réductifs’”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **41** (1972), 253–276. MR Zbl
- [Chang 1976] B. Chang, “Decomposition of Gelfand–Graev characters of  $\text{GL}_3(q)$ ”, *Comm. Algebra* **4**:4 (1976), 375–401. MR Zbl
- [Chevalley 1955] C. Chevalley, “Sur certains groupes simples”, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **7** (1955), 14–66. MR Zbl
- [Curtis 1988] C. W. Curtis, “A further refinement of the Bruhat decomposition”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102**:1 (1988), 37–42. MR Zbl
- [Curtis 1993] C. W. Curtis, “On the Gelfand–Graev representations of a reductive group over a finite field”, *J. Algebra* **157**:2 (1993), 517–533. MR Zbl
- [Curtis 2009] C. W. Curtis, “On problems concerning the Bruhat decomposition and structure constants of Hecke algebras of finite Chevalley groups”, *Michigan Math. J.* **58**:1 (2009), 213–230. MR Zbl
- [Curtis 2015] C. W. Curtis, “Notes on the structure constants of Hecke algebras of induced representations of finite Chevalley groups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **279**:1-2 (2015), 181–202. MR Zbl
- [Curtis and Reiner 1981] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory, I: With applications to finite groups and orders*, Wiley, New York, 1981. MR Zbl
- [Deligne and Lusztig 1976] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, “Representations of reductive groups over finite fields”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103**:1 (1976), 103–161. MR Zbl
- [Deodhar 1985] V. V. Deodhar, “On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings, I: A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells”, *Invent. Math.* **79**:3 (1985), 499–511. MR Zbl
- [Frobenius 1896] F. G. Frobenius, “Über Gruppencharaktere”, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* **1896** (1896), 985–1021. Reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen, III*, Springer (1968), 1–37. Zbl

- [Gelfand and Graev 1962a] I. M. Gelfand and M. I. Graev, “Categories of group representations and the classification problem of irreducible representations”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **146**:4 (1962), 757–760. In Russian; translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **3** (1962), 1378–1381. MR
- [Gelfand and Graev 1962b] I. M. Gelfand and M. I. Graev, “Construction of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups over a finite field”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **147**:3 (1962), 529–532. In Russian; translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **3** (1962), 1646–1649.
- [Kawanaka 1975] N. Kawanaka, “Unipotent elements and characters of finite Chevalley groups”, *Osaka Math. J.* **12**:2 (1975), 523–554. MR Zbl
- [Lusztig 1978] G. Lusztig, *Representations of finite Chevalley groups* (Madison, WI, 1977), CBMS Region. Conf. Ser. Math. **39**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1978. MR Zbl
- [Steinberg 1968] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale Univ., 1968. MR Zbl
- [Yokonuma 1967] T. Yokonuma, “Sur le commutant d’une représentation d’un groupe de Chevalley fini”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **264** (1967), 433–436. MR Zbl

Received August 7, 2019. Revised January 24, 2020.

CHARLES W. CURTIS  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE  
 UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
 EUGENE, OR  
 UNITED STATES  
 cwc@uoregon.edu



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[matthias@math.ucla.edu](mailto:matthias@math.ucla.edu)

Daryl Cooper  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[cooper@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:cooper@math.ucsb.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Wee Teck Gan  
Mathematics Department  
National University of Singapore  
Singapore 119076  
[matgwt@nus.edu.sg](mailto:matgwt@nus.edu.sg)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$520/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 307    No. 1    July 2020

---

The Dirichlet problem for the minimal hypersurface equation with Lipschitz continuous boundary data in a Riemannian manifold	1
ARÌ AIOLFI, GIOVANNI DA SILVA NUNES, LISANDRA SAUER and RODRIGO SOARES	
A new complex reflection group in $PU(9, 1)$ and the Barnes–Wall lattice	13
TATHAGATA BASAK	
Willmore type inequality using monotonicity formulas	53
XIAOXIANG CHAI	
Split bounded extension algebras and Han’s conjecture	63
CLAUDE CIBILS, MARCELO LANZILOTTA, EDUARDO N. MARCOS and ANDREA SOLOTAR	
Symmetry breaking differential operators, the source operator and Rodrigues formulae	79
JEAN-LOUIS CLERC	
On the irreducible components of a Gelfand–Graev representation of a finite Chevalley group	109
CHARLES W. CURTIS	
Eliminating tame ramification: generalizations of Abhyankar’s lemma	121
ARPAN DUTTA and FRANZ-VIKTOR KUHLMANN	
Periodicities for Taylor coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms	137
PAVEL GUERZHOY, MICHAEL H. MERTENS and LARRY ROLEN	
A conical approach to Laurent expansions for multivariate meromorphic germs with linear poles	159
LI GUO, SYLVIE PAYCHA and BIN ZHANG	
Calderon–Zygmund singular integral estimates in generalized weighted function spaces	197
AHMED LOULIT	
Local plurisubharmonic defining functions on the boundary	221
LUKA MERNIK	
On the compactness of commutators of Hardy operators	239
SHAOGUANG SHI, ZUNWEI FU and SHANZHEN LU	



0030-8730(202007)307:1;1-I