

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**RELATIONS OF RATIONALITY FOR SPECIAL VALUES OF
RANKIN–SELBERG L -FUNCTIONS OF $GL_n \times GL_m$
OVER CM-FIELDS**

HARALD GROBNER AND GUNJA SACHDEVA

RELATIONS OF RATIONALITY FOR SPECIAL VALUES OF RANKIN–SELBERG L -FUNCTIONS OF $GL_n \times GL_m$ OVER CM-FIELDS

HARALD GROBNER AND GUNJA SACHDEVA

We establish an “automorphic version” of Deligne’s conjecture for motivic L -functions in the case of Rankin–Selberg L -functions $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ of $GL_n \times GL_m$ over arbitrary CM-fields F . Our main results are of two different kinds: Firstly, for arbitrary integers $1 \leq m < n$ and suitable pairs (Π, Π') of cohomological automorphic representations, we relate critical values of $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ with a product of Whittaker periods attached to Π and Π' , Blasius’s CM-periods of Hecke-characters and certain nonzero values of standard L -functions. Secondly, these relations lead to quite broad generalizations of fundamental rationality-results of Waldspurger, Harder and Raghuram, and others.

Introduction

Motivated by conjectures of Deligne, Bellinson and Bloch and Kato, significant progress has been made in the study of special values of automorphic L -functions in recent decades. In this paper we continue this series of results on by treating the case of Rankin–Selberg L -functions over arbitrary CM-fields F . More precisely, let $1 \leq m < n$ be arbitrary integers and let Π and Π' be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively. If the infinity types of Π and Π' are compatible (in a sense to be made precise below) we will prove relations of rationality for a certain string of special values of the attached Rankin–Selberg L -function $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$, which turn out to fit Deligne’s prediction; as a particular example, the contributions of the archimedean components Π_∞ and Π'_∞ to our rationality-relations will be expressed by explicit powers of $(2\pi i)$, matching the ones conjectured by Deligne.

As compared to the extensive literature for the case $GL_n \times GL_{n-1}$ (for F a CM-field, we refer in particular to [Kurchanov 1978; 1979; Harder 1983; Hida

Grobner is supported by START-prize Y-966 and the stand-alone research project P32333 of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF).

MSC2020: primary 11F67; secondary 11F70, 11G18, 11R39, 22E55.

Keywords: periods, rationality, special values, L -function, Rankin–Selberg, $GL(n)$.

1994; Lin 2015; Raghuram 2016; Grobner and Harris 2016; Grobner 2018; Grobner and Lin 2020; Januszewski 2019]), the rank m of our second GL-factor GL_m being in principle *any integer* $1 \leq m < n$ (for suitable pairs (Π, Π')) is arguably one of the most notable features of this article. In this regard, the results of this paper should not only be seen as an extension of the series of results mentioned above, but also of the approach taken in [Lin 2015] and in the second author's thesis [Sachdeva 2020]. We also refer to the very recent [Raghuram 2020], where an application of our Main Theorem in the special case of the standard L -function (i.e., $m = 1$) has been proven by a different approach.

Main theorem and applications. The main results of this article are Theorem 3.1 (reviewed as Main Theorem below) and its two corollaries (reviewed as Applications I and II). The main theorem is somewhat technical and turns out to be quite involved in its assumptions and assertions, while the two applications are much lighter statements, providing wide generalizations of important results of Waldspurger (Application I) and Harder and Raghuram (Application II), as well as of other people.

The main theorem. From now on F denotes an arbitrary CM-field with maximal totally real subfield F^+ . The quadratic Hecke character associated with F/F^+ admits a unitary extension to \mathbb{A}_F^\times which is denoted η . Then by construction $\eta \|\cdot\|^{-1/2}$ is algebraic. See Section 1A for details. For an integer $n \geq 2$ we let Π be an (irreducible) subrepresentation of the subspace of cuspidal functions in $L^2(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)\mathbb{R}_+ \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F))$. As above, we shall assume that Π is cohomological, i.e., there exists a finite-dimensional, irreducible algebraic representation \mathcal{E}_μ of the real Lie group $G_{n,\infty} := \mathrm{GL}_n(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ such that Π_∞ has nontrivial relative Lie algebra cohomology with respect to \mathcal{E}_μ . Here, μ stands for the highest weight of \mathcal{E}_μ (depending on a choice of a Borel subgroup $B_n \subset G_n$). Choosing coordinates one may indeed identify it with $\mu = (\mu_v)_{v \in S_\infty}$ where $\mu_v = (\mu_{v,1}, \dots, \mu_{v,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mu_{v,1} \geq \dots \geq \mu_{v,n}$.

Consider now another integer m , such that $1 \leq m < n$. We define Π' in analogy to Π above as an (irreducible) subrepresentation of the subspace of cuspidal functions in $L^2(\mathrm{GL}_m(F)\mathbb{R}_+ \backslash \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F))$ but which is now assumed to be conjugate self-dual with respect to the nontrivial Galois automorphisms of F/F^+ and with the property that $\Pi'^{\mathrm{alg}} := \Pi' \otimes \eta^e$ is cohomological. Here, $e \in \{0, 1\}$ and $e = 0$ if and only if $n \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$.

The reason for introducing the twist $\Pi'^{\mathrm{alg}} = \Pi' \otimes \eta^e$, i.e., for assuming different conditions on cohomology for n and m is explained by the following construction: In order to be able to use the main result of [Grobner 2018] (which is the starting-point of our proof), we choose any conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}$ of \mathbb{A}_F^\times such that the isobaric sum $\Sigma := \Pi' \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-m-1}$ is cohomological

with respect to algebraic coefficients \mathcal{E}_μ . It turns out that such a choice can be made if and only if Π'^{alg} , rather than Π' itself, is cohomological. We will say that the infinity types of Π and Π' are compatible (or, more metaphorically, satisfy the “piano-condition”, see (1.8) and below), if a choice of Σ can be made such that $\text{Hom}_{G_{n-1,\infty}}[\mathcal{E}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu'}, \mathbb{C}]$ is nontrivial.

With these assumptions, nonzero *Whittaker periods* $p(\Pi)$ and $p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}})$, and *CM-periods* $p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}})$, have been defined, respectively, in [Raghuram and Shahidi 2008; Grobner 2018] and [Blasius 1986]. By their very construction their product is well-defined up to multiplication by nonzero elements in a certain number field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi'^{\text{alg}})E^{\text{cm}}$, where E^{cm} is an abbreviation for a number field, which depends on the chosen characters χ_i and contains a Galois closure $F^{\text{Gal}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the extension F/\mathbb{Q} . We refer to Sections 1F and 2D for details.

We are now in the position to state our main theorem.

Main Theorem. *Assume that $\text{Hom}_{G_{n-1,\infty}}[\mathcal{E}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu'}, \mathbb{C}]$ is nontrivial and let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ be any critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$. If $k \neq 0$, then*

$$(0.1) \quad L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi'\right) \sim (2\pi i)^{[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]\left((n-1)\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)n-1\right)+\frac{1}{2}(n-m-1)(n-m-2)} p(\Pi) p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \frac{L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})}{L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j\right)},$$

with the relation “ \sim ” being over the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\eta\|\cdot\|^{-1/2})E^{\text{cm}}$.

If $k = 0$, i.e., if $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the central critical point, then the same relation holds under certain conditions of regularity on Π_∞ and Σ_∞ as well as a global nonvanishing hypothesis; see Theorem 3.1. Moreover, if n is even and m is odd, then all L -values $L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi'\right)$, $L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$ and $L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j\right)$ in (0.1) are critical.

The reader should observe that this result is the “best possible” since the individual quantities on the right-hand side are only well-defined up to multiplication by an element in the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\eta\|\cdot\|^{-1/2})E^{\text{cm}}$. We also remark that if $k \neq 0$, then the denominators $L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j\right)$ in (0.1) are nonzero, which is in turn part of the global nonvanishing hypothesis for the central case $k = 0$ mentioned in our Main Theorem.

If $m = n - 1$, then our main theorem becomes Theorem 5.2 from [Grobner and Lin 2020] for cuspidal automorphic representations, which refined the main result of [Raghuram 2016] over CM-fields by giving an explicit power of $(2\pi i)$ instead of an abstract archimedean period. It is worth noting that this power is precisely what is predicted by Deligne’s conjecture on critical values of motivic L -functions [1979],

generalizing Euler’s classical result on the nature of $\zeta(k)$ at even, positive integers. We refer to [Grobner and Lin 2020, Remark 5.8] for a more detailed exposition.

On the other extreme, if $m = 1$, i.e., if we look at the twisted standard L -function of Π , then we retrieve at once Theorem 3.9, Corollary 5.7 and Theorem 6.11 of [Grobner and Harris 2016], as well as a variant of the main result of [Raghuram 2020] over CM-fields. For general m our Main Theorem should hence be viewed as a theorem relating special values of $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ with periods and quotients of special values of (other) standard L -functions. As already mentioned above, we refer to Theorem 3.1 for a proof.

Main applications. Our Main Theorem has the following two implications, which generalize important results of Waldspurger (see Application I) and Harder and Raghuram (see Application II). Indeed, Waldspurger [1985] established a rationality result for the quotient $L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \alpha) / L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta)$ of the standard L -functions attached to the twisted cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi \otimes \alpha$ and $\pi \otimes \beta$ of GL_2 over any number field at their joint critical value $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. More precisely, here α and β are assumed to be quadratic Hecke characters having the same archimedean component $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty$, π denotes a cohomological unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of GL_2 and $L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta)$ is supposed to be nonzero. Under these assumptions, Waldspurger’s rationality-relation is of the form

$$\frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \alpha)}{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} \frac{p(\alpha)}{p(\beta)},$$

with the two period-invariants $p(\alpha)$ and $p(\beta)$ depending only on α and β , respectively, and the archimedean component of the cuspidal representations π . See [Waldspurger 1985, p. 174].

In this paper we generalize Waldspurger’s result to the case of quotients of standard L -functions of GL_n/F where $n \geq 2$ is arbitrary and $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ is a more general special value while F is any CM-field. More precisely, we let α and β be any conjugate self-dual Hecke characters of \mathbb{A}_F^\times such that $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty$ and such that, writing $\alpha_v(z) = \beta_v(z) = z^{a_v} \bar{z}^{-a_v}$ at $v \in S_\infty$, the following two conditions are satisfied: $a_v \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu_{v,1} \geq a_v \geq \mu_{v,n}$. This ensures that there is always a choice of conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2}$, such that the isobaric automorphic sums $\Sigma_\alpha = \alpha \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-2}$ and $\Sigma_\beta = \beta \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-2}$ are cohomological with respect to an algebraic coefficient module $\mathcal{E}_{\mu'}$ and such that $\text{Hom}_{G_{n-1,\infty}}[\mathcal{E}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu'}, \mathbb{C}]$ is nontrivial. We obtain the following application:

Application I. Choose any conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2}$, such that the isobaric automorphic sums Σ_α and Σ_β are cohomological and such that $\text{Hom}_{G_{n-1,\infty}}[\mathcal{E}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu'}, \mathbb{C}]$ is nontrivial. Let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ be any critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma_\alpha)$. If n is even, then all the $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ are indeed critical for $L(s, \Pi \otimes \alpha)$

and $L(s, \Pi \otimes \beta)$ and

$$\frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \alpha)}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \beta)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\beta)\mathbb{Q}(\eta\|\cdot\|^{-1/2})E^{\text{cm}}(\alpha;\beta;\chi_1;\dots;\chi_{n-2})} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{p(\alpha, \Psi_{\alpha\chi_i^{-1}})}{p(\beta, \Psi_{\beta\chi_i^{-1}})}.$$

If $k = 0$, then we assume certain conditions of regularity on Π_∞ and Σ_∞ as well as a global nonvanishing hypothesis; see Theorem 4.1.

We point out that Application I should furthermore be viewed as a generalization as well as a certain refinement of a consequence of the main result of [Grobner and Raghuram 2014b, Theorem 7.1.2], and [Januszewski 2016, Theorem 8.2], established there for totally real fields F^+ and achieved here for general CM-fields F .

Our second application deals with an extension of the main result of [Harder and Raghuram 2020]. There, the authors achieved a fine relation of rationality between the quotients of consecutive critical values of Rankin–Selberg L -functions over totally real fields F^+ and so-called relative periods denoted $\Omega^{\epsilon'}(\pi_f)$: Let π and π' be cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ and $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$, respectively, and let S be any finite set of nonarchimedean places, where π or π' are ramified. Suppose that both $-\frac{1}{2}(n + m)$ and $1 - \frac{1}{2}(n + m)$ are critical for $L(s, \pi \times \pi^\vee)$ and that $L(1 - \frac{1}{2}(n + m), \pi \times \pi^\vee)$ is nonzero. If n is even and m is odd, [Harder and Raghuram 2020, Theorem 7.40] shows that

$$\frac{L^S(-\frac{n+m}{2}, \pi \otimes \pi^\vee)}{L^S(1 - \frac{n+m}{2}, \pi \otimes \pi^\vee)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)\mathbb{Q}(\pi')} \Omega^{\epsilon'}(\pi_f).$$

In [Grobner and Lin 2020] this result has recently been given a generalization and refinement for cohomological cusp forms of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, again with F denoting any CM-field.

Here we take up the CM-case for general even n and odd m . We obtain the following application:

Application II. Suppose that $1 \leq m < n$ are integers, m odd and n even. We assume that Π is obtained by weak base change from a unitary tempered cuspidal automorphic representation π of some rational similitude group $GU(V)/\mathbb{Q}$. Its infinite component π_∞ is supposed to belong to the antiholomorphic discrete series and to be cohomological with respect to an algebraic coefficient module of $GU(V)(\mathbb{R})$ which is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Let Π' be a conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, satisfying the conditions of our Main Theorem and let S be any finite set of places of F , containing the archimedean ones, such that Π and Π' are unramified outside S .

Let $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ be two critical points of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ different from $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ are indeed critical for $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ and the ratio of partial

critical values satisfies

$$\frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \times \Pi')} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi')F^{\text{Gal}}} (2\pi i)^{[F^+:\mathbb{Q}](k-\ell)nm}.$$

See Corollary 4.4 for all details and a proof. Here we only remark that the appearance of base change is due to the fact that our proof uses the results of [Guerberoff 2016], which in turn proved a conjecture of Lin [2015]. As this already indicates, our Application II is hence a generalization of a consequence of Theorem 10.8.1 from [Lin 2015], but obtained by somewhat different techniques.

1. Notation

1A. Number fields and some particular Hecke characters. We let F be a CM-field, i.e., a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field F^+ . Consequently, the degree of F over the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} is even and we let $2d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Abusing notation we identify the set of archimedean places S_∞ of F and F^+ . More precisely, we fix a so-called CM-type of F first, i.e., we fix a choice of pairs of complex embeddings $(\iota_v, \bar{\iota}_v)$, and then we identify the so represented places $v = (\iota_v, \bar{\iota}_v)$ of F , with the places of F^+ through the first component ι_v . We will also fix a Galois closure F^{Gal} of F/\mathbb{Q} in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the normalized absolute value on the ring of adèles \mathbb{A}_F . Let

$$\varepsilon : (F^+)^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

be the quadratic Hecke character associated to F/F^+ via class field theory. As is well-known, (for what follows see, for instance, [Bellaïche and Chenevier 2009, §6.9.2]) it is possible to extend ε to a conjugate self-dual unitary Hecke character

$$\eta : F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times},$$

so that at $v \in S_\infty$ we have $\eta_v(z) = (z/|z|)^{2t}$ for $z \in F_v \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $t = \iota_v \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. As in [Bellaïche and Chenevier 2009, §6.9.2] we will abbreviate this by writing $\eta_v(z) = z^t \bar{z}^{-t}$, keeping in mind the possible sign ambiguities throughout. Furthermore, we may (and will) assume from now on that $t = 0$, i.e., $\eta_v(z) = z^{1/2} \bar{z}^{-1/2}$; see [Bellaïche and Chenevier 2009, Lemma 6.9.2].

Finally, by letting

$$\phi := \eta \|\cdot\|^{-1/2},$$

we may define a nonunitary, but algebraic Hecke character $\phi : F^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

1B. Algebraic groups and real Lie groups. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We will denote by $G_n := \text{GL}_n/F$ the linear algebraic, general linear group over F . Let $R_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ be Weil’s restriction of scalars. We will abbreviate $G_{n,\infty} := R_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(G_n)(\mathbb{R})$:

It is important to notice that the group

$$G_{n,\infty} = \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \mathrm{GL}_n(F_v) \cong \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

— although in principle carrying a complex structure — is thought of as a *real* Lie group, namely as the archimedean factor of $G_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Furthermore, we let $K_{n,\infty}$ be the product of the center $Z_{n,\infty}$ of $G_{n,\infty}$ and a fixed maximal compact subgroup, i.e.,

$$K_\infty \cong \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \mathbb{C}^*U(n) \cong \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \mathbb{R}_+U(n).$$

From this it is clear how to embed \mathbb{R}_+ as a subgroup of $Z_{n,\infty}$ (and hence of $K_{n,\infty}$ and of $G_{n,\infty}$): An $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is sent onto the d -tuple of diagonal matrices $(\mathrm{diag}(x, x, \dots, x))_{v \in S_\infty} \in G_{n,\infty}$. By $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\infty}$ we denote the real Lie algebra of $G_{n,\infty}$ and use the analogous notation for the Lie algebras of other Lie groups.

1C. Equivalence relations and Galois equivariance.

Definition 1.1 (i). Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a subfield and let $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$. We write

$$x \sim_L y,$$

if there is an $\ell \in L$ such that $x = \ell y$ or $\ell x = y$.

(ii) Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be subfields. Let $\underline{x} = \{x(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ and $\underline{y} = \{y(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ be two families of complex numbers. We write

$$\underline{x} \sim_L \underline{y}$$

and say that this relation is *equivariant under* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K)$, if either $y(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, or if $y(\sigma) \neq 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ and the following two conditions are verified:

- (1) $x(\sigma) \sim_{\sigma(L)} y(\sigma)$ for all σ .
- (2) $\sigma \left(\frac{x(\tau)}{y(\tau)} \right) = \frac{x(\sigma\tau)}{y(\sigma\tau)}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K)$ and all $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

Obviously, one may replace the first condition by requiring it only for all σ running through representatives of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})/\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K)$. In particular, if $K = \mathbb{Q}$, one only needs to verify it for the identity $id \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

The following lemma is well known; see, for example, [Grobner and Lin 2020, Lemma 1.29]:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a number field, containing F^{Gal} . Let $x = \{x(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ and $y = \{y(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ be as in Definition 1.1 and suppose that $y(\sigma) \neq 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. If the complex numbers $x(\sigma)$ and $y(\sigma)$ depend only on the restriction of σ to L , then the second condition of Definition 1.1 implies the first.*

Proof. Fix $\sigma_0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ fixing $\sigma_0(L)$, one has $\sigma\sigma_0|_L = \sigma_0|_L$. Hence $x(\sigma\sigma_0) = x(\sigma_0)$ and $y(\sigma\sigma_0) = y(\sigma_0)$ by our assumptions. Moreover, since $L \supset F^{\text{Gal}}$, we know $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F)$. By the second condition, we have

$$\sigma\left(\frac{x(\sigma_0)}{y(\sigma_0)}\right) = \frac{x(\sigma\sigma_0)}{y(\sigma\sigma_0)} = \frac{x(\sigma_0)}{y(\sigma_0)}.$$

Therefore, $x(\sigma_0)/y(\sigma_0) \in \sigma_0(L)$ for all σ_0 as claimed. □

1D. Cohomological automorphic representations. Let $1 \leq m < n$ be any integers. Throughout the paper, we will let Π be a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_n(\mathbb{A}_F) = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and let Π' be a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_m(\mathbb{A}_F) = \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, in the sense of [Borel and Jacquet 1979, §4.6]. However, for convenience, we will not distinguish between a cuspidal automorphic representation, its smooth automorphic LF-space completion or its (nonsmooth) Hilbert space completion in the L^2 -spectrum; see [Grobner 2022] or [Grobner and Žunar \geq 2020] for a detailed account. In this regard, we will now specify our standing assumptions on their archimedean components Π_∞ and Π'_∞ .

1D1. The representation Π_∞ . Unless otherwise stated, throughout the paper we always assume that Π_∞ is *cohomological*, i.e., there exists an irreducible finite-dimensional algebraic representation \mathcal{E}_μ of $G_{n,\infty}$ on a complex vector space, with respect to which Π_∞ has nontrivial $(\mathfrak{g}_{n,\infty}, K_{n,\infty})$ -cohomology; see [Borel and Wallach 1980]. As Π_∞ is assumed to be unitary, \mathcal{E}_μ must be conjugate self-dual and hence breaks as $\mathcal{E}_\mu = E_\mu \otimes E_{\mu^\vee}$, where $E_\mu = \otimes_{v \in S_\infty} E_{\mu_v}$ and we view again each irreducible $\text{GL}_n(F_v) = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -factor E_{μ_v} as being given by its highest weight μ_v . In terms of the standard choice of a maximal split torus in GL_n , positivity on the attached set of roots and standard coordinates, this highest weight is an n -tuple of integers $\mu_v = (\mu_{v,1}, \dots, \mu_{v,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\mu_{v,1} \geq \dots \geq \mu_{v,n}$. Let

$$\rho_n = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{n-3}{2}, -\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$$

be the half-sum of positive roots of GL_n with respect to the same conventions. As a consequence of classical results of Delorme and Enright (see [Enright 1979, Theorems 6.1 and 7.1]) we see that the condition that Π_∞ is cohomological with respect to \mathcal{E}_μ is equivalent to the much more explicit condition that

$$\Pi_v \cong \text{Ind}_{B_n(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})} [z_1^{\ell_{v,1}} \bar{z}_1^{-\ell_{v,1}} \otimes \dots \otimes z_n^{\ell_{v,n}} \bar{z}_n^{-\ell_{v,n}}]$$

with

$$(1.3) \quad \ell_{v,i} = -\mu_{v,n-i+1} + \rho_{n,i}$$

at each $v \in S_\infty$. Here, B_n is the standard Borel subgroup of G_n (determined by our

choice of positivity on the set of roots) and induction is normalized to preserve unitarity.

We will call a set of n real numbers $\{l_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ an *infinity type at $v \in S_\infty$* , if

$$l_{v,1} > l_{v,2} > \dots > l_{v,n},$$

i.e., if its members form a strictly decreasing string. As is obvious from (1.3), $\{\ell_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ from above is such a set, called the infinity type of Π at $v \in S_\infty$. Recalling the well-known classification of irreducible unitary cohomological representations of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ from [Enright 1979] (see also [Grobner and Raghuram 2014a, §5.5], for a presentation tailor-made for our purposes here), the following lemma is obvious:

Lemma 1.4. *There is a bijection, defined by (1.3), between the equivalence classes of irreducible unitary cohomological tempered representations of $G_n(F_v) \cong G_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the infinity types $\{l_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, for which $l_{v,i} \in \frac{1}{2}(n + 1) + \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

As a last ingredient we will call a highest weight μ as above *sufficiently regular*, if $\mu_{v,i} - \mu_{v,i+1} \geq 2$ for all $v \in S_\infty$ and $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

1D2. *The representation Π'_∞ .* Similar to our assumptions on Π we suppose that the twisted representation $\Pi'_\infty \|\det\|^{(n-m-1)/2}$ is cohomological, or, equivalently, that

$$(1.5) \quad \Pi'^{\text{alg}} := \begin{cases} \Pi' & \text{if } n - 1 \equiv m \pmod{2}, \\ \Pi' \otimes \eta & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is cohomological. In terms of infinity types, this means that for each $v \in S_\infty$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, there are $a_{v,i} \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_{v,i} > a_{v,i+1}$, such that

$$\Pi'_v \cong \text{Ind}_{B_m(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})} [z_1^{a_{v,1}} \bar{z}_1^{-a_{v,1}} \otimes \dots \otimes z_m^{a_{v,m}} \bar{z}_m^{-a_{v,m}}].$$

1D3. *An auxiliary representation Σ in piano-position.* We extend the infinity type $\{a_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ of Π'_v , at each place $v \in S_\infty$, to an infinity type of length $n - 1$, simply by choosing any distinct $b_{j,v} \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - m - 1$, such that

$$(1.6) \quad \{a_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \cap \{b_{j,v}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n-m-1} = \emptyset.$$

Denote this new infinity type by $\{\ell'_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$. As by construction $\ell'_{v,i} \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, this is the infinity type of a unique cohomological irreducible unitary tempered representation of $G_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 1.4.

Turning back to global representations, let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}$ be unitary Hecke characters with $\chi_{j,v}(z) = z^{b_{j,v}} \bar{z}^{-b_{j,v}}$ for all $v \in S_\infty$, i.e., such that $\chi_j \|\cdot\|^{n/2}$ (or χ_j^{alg} , if we specify $m = 1$ in (1.5)) is algebraic. We note that such characters exist, as follows from [Weil 1956]. By its very construction the isobaric automorphic sum

$$\Sigma := \Pi' \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-m-1}$$

has our infinity type $\{\ell'_{v,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ from above and is therefore cohomological.

Let \mathcal{E}_μ be the unique irreducible algebraic coefficients module of $G_{n-1,\infty}$ with respect to which Σ_∞ has nontrivial $(\mathfrak{g}_{n-1,\infty}, K_{n-1,\infty})$ -cohomology. By the same reasoning as above, $\mathcal{E}_{\mu'} = E_{\mu'} \otimes E_{\mu'}^\vee$ and writing $\mu'_v = (\mu'_{v,1}, \dots, \mu'_{v,n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ at $v \in S_\infty$ one has $\mu'_{v,1} \geq \dots \geq \mu'_{v,n-1}$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \ell'_{v,i} = -\mu'_{v,n-i} + \rho_{n-1,i}.$$

Henceforth we will assume that Π_∞ and Σ_∞ satisfy the *piano-condition*, by which we mean that

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_{v,1} \geq -\mu'_{v,n-1} \geq \mu_{v,2} \geq -\mu'_{v,n-2} \geq \dots \geq -\mu'_{v,1} \geq \mu_{v,n}.$$

Equivalently, $\text{Hom}_{G_{n-1,\infty}}(\mathcal{E}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu'}, \mathbb{C})$ is nonzero (and hence one-dimensional); see [Goodman and Wallach 2009, Theorem 8.1.1].

According to our previous definition, if $\mu'_{v,i} - \mu'_{v,i+1} \geq 2$ for all $v \in S_\infty$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, we call μ' *sufficiently regular*.

1E. Critical points of L -functions. For a moment let $N, M \geq 1$ be any integers and let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \text{GL}_M(\mathbb{A}_F)$ for which a completed standard L -function $L(s, \pi) = \prod_v L(s, \pi_v)$ is defined satisfying a global functional equation $L(s, \pi) = \varepsilon(s, \pi) \cdot L(1-s, \pi^\vee)$; see [Borel 1979, §IV]. The following definition is modeled after [Deligne 1979, Proposition-Définition 2.3]:

Definition 1.9. A complex number $s_0 \in \frac{1}{2}(N-M) + \mathbb{Z}$ is called *critical* for $L(s, \pi)$ if both $L(s, \pi_\infty)$ and $L(1-s, \pi_\infty^\vee)$ are holomorphic at $s = s_0$. We write $\text{Crit}(\pi)$ for the set of critical points of $L(s, \pi)$.

We proceed with the following simple observation.

Observation 1.10. Recalling that $\Gamma(s)$ does not vanish, the set of holomorphic points of $L(s, \pi_\infty)$ coincides with the intersection of the sets of holomorphic points of the archimedean L -functions attached to the characters in the Langlands datum of π_∞ ; see [Knapp 1994, §4].

As a consequence we obtain the following lemma, which relates the critical points of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ to the critical points of the isobaric summands of Σ .

Lemma 1.11. *The following hold:*

- (i) *If $n \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$, then $\text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma) \subseteq \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Pi')$.*
- (ii) *If n is even and m is odd, $\text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma) = \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Pi') \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \text{Crit}(\Pi \otimes \chi_j)$.*
- (iii) *If m is odd, then $s_0 = 1 \in \text{Crit}(\Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-m-1$. In any case, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} \in \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma)$.*

Proof. After our Observation 1.10 only (iii) needs a short argument. Writing down $L(s, \Pi'_\infty \chi_{j,\infty}^{-1})$ and $L(1-s, \Pi'_\infty \chi_{j,\infty})$, see [Knapp 1994, §4], we see that the behavior of holomorphy of these two L -factors is the same as of

$$\prod_{v \in S_\infty} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(s + |a_{i,v} - b_{v,j}|) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(1-s + |-a_{i,v} + b_{v,j}|).$$

By (1.6), $a_{i,v} - b_{v,j} \neq 0$ for all $v \in S_\infty$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - m - 1$. Hence, $|a_{i,v} - b_{v,j}| = |-a_{i,v} + b_{v,j}| \geq 1$, and so all the above Γ -factors are holomorphic at $s_0 = 1$. Hence, $s_0 = 1 \in \text{Crit}(\Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$, if m is odd. The last assertion finally follows from the piano-hypothesis (1.8) and [Grobner 2018, §1.6.1.(4)] or [Raghuram 2016, Theorem 2.21]. \square

1F. Whittaker periods, σ -twists and fields of rationality. Let Σ be as above. Unirarity of all isobaric summands implies that

$$\Sigma \cong \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_F)}^{\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} [\Pi' \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{n-1-m}]$$

is fully induced from the standard parabolic F -subgroup $P \subseteq \text{GL}_{n-1}$ with Levi component $L_P \cong \text{GL}_m \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \text{GL}_1$. Hence, a *Whittaker period* $p(\Sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ has been constructed in [Grobner and Lin 2020, Proposition 1.12 and Corollary 1.22]. It recovers the original construction of Raghuram and Shahidi for cuspidal representations. Hence, $p(\Pi)$, $p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}})$ and $p(\chi_j^{\text{alg}})$ are also all defined. We recall that in [Grobner and Lin 2020] the period $p(\chi)$ is normalized to $p(\chi) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\chi)} 1$ for all algebraic Hecke characters, which we also assume here.

We remark that it is intrinsic to the construction of these Whittaker periods, that they are uniquely defined only up to multiplication by nonzero numbers in the respective *field of rationality*, i.e., if ν is any of the above representations, then $p(\nu)$ may be replaced by $q \cdot p(\nu)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}(\nu)^\times := \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{S}(\nu)}$ where $\mathfrak{S}(\nu) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \mid v_f \cong^\sigma v_f\}$ and ${}^\sigma v_f := v_f \otimes_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$. For cohomological automorphic representations ν as above, the rationality fields $\mathbb{Q}(\nu)$ are number fields and ${}^\sigma v_f$ is the finite component of a uniquely determined, cohomological automorphic representation, denoted ${}^\sigma \nu$, justifying the notation $\mathbb{Q}(\nu)$.

As a last ingredient, for each critical point $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$, an *archimedean period* $p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ has been defined in [Grobner 2018, 1.6.1.(6)] as the weighted sum of archimedean zeta-integrals. We do not repeat its precise definition here and rather refer to [Grobner 2018], because $p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ will not show up in the final results of this paper, but plays the role of an auxiliary quantity on the way there. Here we only point out that the normalization $p(\chi) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\chi)} 1$ for all algebraic Hecke characters, together with two conditions of compatibility in the construction of $p(\Sigma)$ (see [Grobner and Lin 2020, §1.5.3] for all details and further discussion), pin down $p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ uniquely.

2. Revisiting four results on period-relations

2A. Our starting point: the main result of [Grobner 2018]. We now recall the following algebraicity result for the critical points of the L -function attached to a pair (Π, Σ) :

Proposition 2.1 [Grobner 2018, Theorem 1.8]. *Let Π and Σ be cohomological automorphic representations as in Section 1D. In particular, Π is a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\Sigma = \Pi' \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \chi_{n-m-1}$ is the isobaric sum of a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation Π' of $G_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and unitary Hecke characters χ_j , such that Π_∞ and Σ_∞ satisfy the piano-condition. Then, for every critical point $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$,*

$$(2.2) \quad L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Sigma\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)} p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty) p(\Pi) p(\Sigma),$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

In this result, we interpreted the left- and the right-hand sides in relation (2.2) as families $\underline{x} = \{x(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ and $\underline{y} = \{y(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})}$ in the obvious way and we will continue to do so henceforth in analogous situations. In the next three subsections we collect three additional results from the theory of special values — one of them achieved in [Blasius 1986], whereas the other two have only been quite recently established in [Grobner and Lin 2020]. These three results shall then be used in order to rewrite (2.2) in a much more refined way, being the key-step in the proof of our Main Theorem.

2B. Step I: The archimedean period as a power of $2\pi i$. Under certain conditions, J. Lin and Grobner [2020, Corollary 4.30] have computed the archimedean period-factor $p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ from (2.2) as a power of $(2\pi i)$.

In order to recall this result also for the archimedean period $p(0, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ attached to the central critical point $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ (see Lemma 1.11), consider two cyclic extensions L and L' of F , of degree n (resp. $n - 1$), which are still CM-fields. For an algebraic Hecke character χ of \mathbb{A}_L^\times (resp. χ' of $\mathbb{A}_{L'}^\times$), let $\Pi(\chi)$ (resp. $\Pi(\chi')$) be the automorphic induction from χ to $G_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (resp. χ' to $G_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$); see Chapter 3 of [Arthur and Clozel 1989] and their Theorem 6.2 (as completed in [Henniart 2012, Theorem 3]). See also [Clozel 2017].) We denote

$$(2.3) \quad \Pi_\chi := \begin{cases} \Pi(\chi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Pi(\chi) \otimes \eta & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{\chi'} := \begin{cases} \Pi(\chi') & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \Pi(\chi') \otimes \eta & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is argued in [Grobner and Lin 2020, §4.5] that, given Π and Σ as in Section 1D, one may always choose conjugate self-dual algebraic Hecke characters χ and χ' such that Π_χ and $\Pi_{\chi'}$ are cuspidal automorphic representations for which $\Pi_{\chi, \infty} \cong \Pi_\infty$ and $\Pi_{\chi', \infty} \cong \Sigma_\infty$. Whenever we use the symbols Π_χ and $\Pi_{\chi'}$ it is from now on

silently assumed that such a choice has been made. Our definition now allows us to state the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4 [Grobner and Lin 2020, Corollary 4.30]. *Let Π and Σ be cohomological automorphic representations as in Section 1D and let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ be a critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$. Only if $k = 0$, i.e., if $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the central critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$, we additionally assume that μ and μ' are both sufficiently regular and that there exists a choice of χ, χ' such that*

$$L^S\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_\chi \times \Pi_{\chi'}\right) \neq 0.$$

With these assumptions,

$$(2.5) \quad p(k, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)F^{\text{Gal}}} (2\pi i)^{d(n-1)} \left((k - \frac{1}{2})_{n+1}\right),$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$.

Remark 2.6. The reader who is interested in the nature of our nonvanishing hypothesis for the central critical value $L^S\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_\chi \times \Pi_{\chi'}\right)$ of the Rankin–Selberg L -function attached to a suitable choice of twisted automorphically induced representations Π_χ and $\Pi_{\chi'}$, may find the following remark illuminating: Let $K \subset LL'$ be a subfield and let $N_{\mathbb{A}_{LL'}/\mathbb{A}_K}$ be the adelic extension of the norm attached to the field extensions LL'/K . Then, it follows from the very construction of Π_χ and $\Pi_{\chi'}$ (see [Arthur and Clozel 1989, Chapter 3]), that we have an equality of partial L -values

$$L^S\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_\chi \times \Pi_{\chi'}\right) = L^S\left(\frac{1}{2}, (\chi \circ N_{\mathbb{A}_{LL'}/\mathbb{A}_L})(\chi' \circ N_{\mathbb{A}_{LL'}/\mathbb{A}_{L'}})(\eta \circ N_{\mathbb{A}_{LL'}/\mathbb{A}_F})\right).$$

Our nonvanishing assumption — made only in the case when we want to consider the archimedean period $p(0, \Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ at the central critical value — hence reduces to a nonvanishing assumption for a Hecke L -function on LL' , i.e., may be reduced from considering Rankin–Selberg L -functions of type $n \times (n - 1)$ over F to standard L -functions of GL_1/LL' . In turn, the nonvanishing of the latter L -functions is studied in many sources in the literature: The results of Rohrlich [1989], Ginzburg, Jiang and Rallis [2004], Eischen [2017] and most recently Jiang and Zhang [2020] provide evidence that our nonvanishing assumption is indeed satisfied in all cases that we consider. For a formal argument and analysis of this latter assertion we refer to [Grobner and Lin 2020, §4.5.1].

2C. Step II: Breaking the period of Σ . As the next ingredient for rewriting (2.2), we will decompose the Whittaker period $p(\Sigma)$ in terms of the isobaric summands of Σ . The following is a special case of another result of the Grobner’s recent work with J. Lin:

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\Sigma = \Pi' \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \chi_{n-m-1}$ be a cohomological isobaric automorphic representation as in Section 1D. Assume in addition that all summands*

Π' and χ_j are conjugate self-dual. Then

$$(2.8) \quad p(\Sigma) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)F^{\text{Gal}}} p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} L^S(1, \chi_i \chi_j^{-1}),$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$.

Proof. We recall that by our conventions (see Section 1F) $\prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} p(\chi_j^{\text{alg}}) \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, whence the assertion follows from [Grobner and Lin 2020, Corollary 2.13]. \square

2D. Step III: Relating $L^S(1, \chi_i \chi_j^{-1})$ to CM-periods. The last necessary ingredient for rewriting (2.2) has been established by Blasius [1986]. He described the critical L -values $L^S(1, \chi_i \chi_j^{-1})$ showing up in the formula (2.8) in terms of CM-periods $p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}})$.

2D1. Review of CM-periods. The reader familiar with Blasius’s construction may skip this small subsection and proceed directly to Proposition 2.9.

As a first observation, for any pair (i, j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq n - m - 1$, the Hecke character $\xi := \chi_i \chi_j^{-1}$ is critical in the sense of [Deligne 1979]. That means that ξ is algebraic and has nontrivial archimedean components ξ_v for all $v \in S_\infty$. Clearly, the latter assertion follows from our definition of $\{b_{v,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n-m-1}$ being an infinity type for all $v \in S_\infty$, i.e., a set of strictly decreasing real numbers. Hence, one may define another CM-type Ψ_ξ of F by the rule

$$\Psi_\xi := \{v \in S_\infty \mid b_{v,i} < b_{v,j}\} \cup \overline{\{v \in S_\infty \mid b_{v,i} > b_{v,j}\}}.$$

Let now Ψ_F be any CM-type of F . Attached to (ξ, Ψ_F) one may define a CM-Shimura-datum as in [Harris 1993, Section 1.1] and a number field $E(\xi, \Psi_F)$, which contains $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ and the reflex field of the CM-Shimura-datum defined by Ψ_F . In particular, if $\Psi_F = \Psi_\xi$, one may associate a nonzero complex number $p(\xi, \Psi_\xi)$ to this datum, as explained in the appendix of [Harris and Kudla 1991]. This number $p(\xi, \Psi_\xi)$ is well-defined modulo $E(\xi, \Psi_\xi)^\times$ and called the *CM-period attached to ξ* . Let us abbreviate

$$E^{\text{cm}}(\xi) := \prod_{\Psi_F} E(\xi, \Psi_F)$$

and, resuming the notation $\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}$,

$$E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}).$$

This field is a number field by construction, which contains the finite compositum of the number fields $F^{\text{Gal}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} \mathbb{Q}(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1})$, as defined in Section 1F, but may be bigger than that.

The following result is proved in [Blasius 1986]. We also refer to Proposition 1.8.1 of [Harris 1993] (and the attached erratum [Harris 1997, p. 82]) or [Grobner and Lin 2020, Theorem 4.7] for a slightly more tailor-made presentation.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}$ be conjugate self-dual Hecke characters, such that $\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}$ is algebraic and critical for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n - m - 1$. Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} L^S(1, \chi_i \chi_j^{-1}) \\ \sim_{E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1})} (2\pi i)^{d \frac{1}{2}(n-m-1)(n-m-2)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}}),$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$.

3. Our main theorem

3A. Special values for $\text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_m$, $1 \leq m < n$. We are now ready to prove our first new result. To this end, recall that $1 \leq m < n$ has been any pair of integers and that Π and Π' have been cohomological unitary cuspidal automorphic representations of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively, the latter assumed to be conjugate self-dual as in Section 2. Our Main Theorem will relate special values of the partial Rankin–Selberg L -function $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ (all of them indeed critical, if n and m are of different parity), to quantities only depending on Π , Π' and a suitable choice of auxiliary characters χ_j (as in Section 1D3).

Rendering this more precise, recall the Whittaker periods $p(\Pi)$, $p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}})$ attached to Π and Π'^{alg} and the CM-periods $p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}})$ attached to a choice of auxiliary characters χ_j from Sections 1F and 2D. Recall that when n is even and m is odd, then $\Pi'^{\text{alg}} = \Pi'$ and $\chi_j^{\text{alg}} = \chi_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - m - 1$. Our main theorem may be written as:

Theorem 3.1. *We let F be any CM-field and let $1 \leq m < n$ be any integers. Let Π be a cohomological unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and let Π' be a conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Choose any conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}$, such that the isobaric automorphic sum*

$$\Sigma = \Pi' \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-m-1}$$

is cohomological and assume that $(\Pi_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ satisfies the piano-hypothesis, (1.8). Let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k \in \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma)$ be any critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$.

In the special case when $k = 0$ only, i.e., if $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the central critical point, we additionally assume that the coefficient modules of Π_∞ and Σ_∞ are both sufficiently regular (see Sections 1D1 and 1D3) and that there exists a choice

of Hecke characters χ, χ' such that $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_\chi \times \Pi_{\chi'}) \neq 0$ (see Section 2B) and $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \chi_j) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - m - 1$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi'\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1})} (2\pi i)^{d((n-1)((k-1/2)n-1)+1/2(n-m-1)(n-m-2))} p(\Pi) p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \frac{L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)},$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$. If n is even and m is odd, then all L -values $L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')$, $L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$ and $L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)$ are critical.

Proof. Putting our Steps I–III, i.e., equations (2.2), (2.5) and (2.8) together with (2.10), and observing the nonvanishing of $L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$ (see [Shahidi 1981, Theorem 5.1]), we obtain

$$L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Sigma\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1})} (2\pi i)^{d((n-1)((k-1/2)n-1)+1/2(n-m-1)(n-m-2))} p(\Pi) p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1}),$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$. As

$$L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Sigma\right) = L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi'\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j\right),$$

this yields

$$(3.3) \quad L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi'\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1})} (2\pi i)^{d((n-1)((k-1/2)n-1)+1/2(n-m-1)(n-m-2))} p(\Pi) p(\Pi'^{\text{alg}}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-m-1} p(\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}, \Psi_{\chi_i \chi_j^{-1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \frac{L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)}$$

as a relation, which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$, implying the first assertion. Hence, assume now that n is even and m is odd. Criticality of $L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')$, $L^S(1, \Pi' \otimes \chi_j^{-1})$ and $L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)$ is then implied by Lemma 1.11. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Our Theorem 3.1 has an obvious corollary/consequence for critical values $L^S(k, \Pi \times \Pi')$ of Rankin–Selberg L -functions of type $n \times n$ — i.e., when both factors are of the same rank n — but when Π' is a (noncuspidal) isobaric sum. Let $\Pi' := (\Pi_1 \boxplus \Pi_2) \|\det\|^{1/2}$, where Π_1 and Π_2 are conjugate self-dual cuspidal

automorphic representations of $GL_{m_1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $GL_{m_2}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ respectively, such that $m_1 + m_2 = n$. If we assume that both summands Π_i may be completed using conjugate self-dual algebraic Hecke characters to cohomological isobaric sums Σ_i on $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, which satisfy the piano-hypothesis with respect to Π_∞ , then any $\frac{1}{2} + k \in \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma_1) \cap \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma_2)$ gives rise to a critical integer $k \in \text{Crit}(\Pi \times \Pi')$. Hence, writing

$$L^S(k, \Pi \times \Pi') = L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times (\Pi_1 \boxplus \Pi_2)\right) = L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi_1\right) \cdot L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi_2\right),$$

we may apply Theorem 3.1 to both of the latter factors and derive a rationality result for the critical values $L^S(k, \Pi \times \Pi')$. We leave the obvious details to the reader. Finally, it is now also clear how one can obtain an analogous result if the representation Π' is the twisted isobaric sum of $r \geq 3$ conjugate self-conjugate cuspidal automorphic representations.

4. Main applications

In this section we provide a couple of applications of our Main Theorem, exemplifying the strength of period-relations such as the ones established in Theorem 3.1.

4A. Quotients of twisted standard L -functions at a joint special value. Application I concerns the twisted standard L -function and is a broad generalization of the main result of [Waldspurger 1985].

Waldspurger [1985] has shown a rationality result for the quotient

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \alpha\right) / L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta\right)$$

of the standard L -functions attached to the twisted cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi \otimes \alpha$ and $\pi \otimes \beta$ of GL_2 over any number field at their joint critical value $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. More precisely, here α and β are assumed to be quadratic Hecke characters having the same archimedean component $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty$, π denotes a cohomological unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of GL_2 and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta\right)$ is assumed to be nonzero. Under these assumptions, Waldspurger established a relation of the form

$$\frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \alpha\right)}{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \beta\right)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} \frac{p(\alpha)}{p(\beta)},$$

the two period-invariants $p(\alpha)$ and $p(\beta)$ only depending on α and β , respectively, and the archimedean component of the cuspidal representations π . See [Waldspurger 1985, p. 174].

Here we generalize Waldspurger's result to the case of quotients of standard L -functions of GL_n/F where $n \geq 2$ is arbitrary, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ is a more general special value while F is any CM-field. Our result reads as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be any CM-field and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. We assume that Π is a cohomological unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and let α and β be conjugate self-dual Hecke characters of \mathbb{A}_F^\times having the same archimedean components $\alpha_v(z) = \beta_v(z) = z^{a_v} \bar{z}^{-a_v}$, $v \in S_\infty$. If $a_v \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu_{v,1} \geq a_v \geq \mu_{n,v}$ for all $v \in S_\infty$, there is a choice of conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2}$, such that the isobaric automorphic sum $\Sigma = \alpha \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-2}$ is cohomological and such that $(\Pi_\infty, \Sigma_{\alpha,\infty})$ satisfies the piano-hypothesis (1.8). Fix any such choice and let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k \in \mathrm{Crit}(\Pi \times \Sigma_\alpha)$ be any critical point of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma_\alpha)$.*

In the special case when $k = 0$ only, i.e., if $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the central critical point, we additionally assume that the coefficient modules of Π_∞ and $\Sigma_{\alpha,\infty}$ are both sufficiently regular (see Sections 1D1 and 1D3) and that there exists a choice of Hecke characters χ, χ' such that $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_\chi \times \Pi_{\chi'}) \neq 0$ (see Section 2B), and that $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \beta)$ and $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \chi_j) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$.

We have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \alpha)}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \beta)} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{p(\alpha, \Psi_{\alpha\chi_i^{-1}})}{p(\beta, \Psi_{\beta\chi_i^{-1}})}$$

where the relation “ \sim ” is equivariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\mathrm{Gal}})$ and over the number field

$$\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\beta)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\mathrm{cm}}(\alpha, \beta, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})E^{\mathrm{cm}}(\alpha)E^{\mathrm{cm}}(\beta) \prod_i^{n-2} E^{\mathrm{cm}}(\chi_i^{-1}).$$

If n is even, then all the $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + k$ are indeed critical for $L(s, \Pi \otimes \alpha)$ and $L(s, \Pi \otimes \beta)$.

Proof. The discussion in Section 1D3, together with our assumption that $a_v \in \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu_{v,1} \geq a_v \geq \mu_{n,v}$ for all $v \in S_\infty$, implies immediately that there is a choice of conjugate self-dual Hecke characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2}$, such that the isobaric automorphic sum

$$\Sigma = \alpha \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-2}$$

is cohomological and such that $(\Pi_\infty, \Sigma_{\alpha,\infty})$ satisfies the piano-hypothesis. Hence, putting $m = 1$ and $\Pi' = \alpha$, the pair (Π, α) of cuspidal representations on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfies all the conditions of our Theorem 3.1 (with $\Sigma = \Sigma_\alpha$). As is again immediate, our assumption $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty$ implies that the isobaric sum

$$\Sigma_\beta := \beta \boxplus \chi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \chi_{n-2}$$

has the same archimedean component as Σ_α . Another short moment of thought convinces us that consequently Σ_α and Σ_β may be interchanged in the statement of Theorem 4.1 without changing any assertions. Otherwise put, the pair (Π, β)

automatically satisfies all the conditions of our Theorem 3.1, letting $\Sigma = \Sigma_\beta$. Hence, simply by inserting into the formula provided by Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\frac{L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \alpha\right)}{L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \beta\right)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\beta)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})} \frac{p(\alpha^{\text{alg}})}{p(\beta^{\text{alg}})} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{L^S(1, \alpha \chi_i^{-1})}{L^S(1, \beta \chi_i^{-1})}$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$. As the Whittaker periods $p(\alpha^{\text{alg}})$ and $p(\beta^{\text{alg}})$ are both chosen to be 1 (see Section 1F) and applying Blasius’s result (see Proposition 2.9) once more to $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} L^S(1, \alpha \chi_i^{-1})$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} L^S(1, \beta \chi_i^{-1})$, we get

$$\frac{L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \alpha\right)}{L^S\left(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \beta\right)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma_\beta)\mathbb{Q}(\phi)E^{\text{cm}}(\alpha, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})E^{\text{cm}}(\beta, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{p(\alpha \chi_i^{-1}, \Psi_{\alpha \chi_i^{-1}})}{p(\beta \chi_i^{-1}, \Psi_{\beta \chi_i^{-1}})}.$$

Obviously, we may harmlessly replace $E^{\text{cm}}(\alpha \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})E^{\text{cm}}(\beta, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})$ by $E^{\text{cm}}(\alpha, \beta, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-2})$ in the latter relation. For each $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ one has

$$p(\alpha \chi_i^{-1}, \Psi_{\alpha \chi_i^{-1}}) \sim_{E^{\text{cm}}(\alpha)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_i^{-1})} p(\alpha, \Psi_{\alpha \chi_i^{-1}}) p(\chi_i^{-1}, \Psi_{\alpha \chi_i^{-1}})$$

and likewise for β taking the role of α ; see [Grobner and Lin 2020, Proposition 4.4]. As $\alpha_\infty = \beta_\infty$ by assumption, $\Psi_{\alpha \chi_i^{-1}} = \Psi_{\beta \chi_i^{-1}}$ by definition; see Section 2D. This implies the first assertion of the theorem. The second assertion follows applying Lemma 1.11. □

Remark 4.3 (further interpretations). Grobner and Raghuram [2014b] achieved a rationality result for the critical values of the twisted standard L -function $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$ using unspecified archimedean periods. Here, Π denotes a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$, admitting a Shalika model and χ is a Hecke character of finite order. These assumptions necessarily imply that n is even as in the refined second assertion of our Theorem 4.1 above. In this regard, Theorem 4.1 provides a generalization as well as a certain refinement of a consequence of the main result of [Grobner and Raghuram 2014b] over general CM-fields, instead of totally real fields F^+ .

4B. Quotients of a fixed Rankin–Selberg L -function at different critical values. Application II concerns quotients of a given Rankin–Selberg L -function $L^S(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ of general type $n \times m$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, at different critical values $s = \frac{1}{2} + k$ and $s = \frac{1}{2} + \ell$.

Our result may be viewed as a generalization of

- (i) the main result of [Harder and Raghuram 2020] which was for Rankin–Selberg L -functions of general type $n \times m$, with nm even, but over totally real fields F^+ ;

- (ii) Theorem 5.5 of [Grobner and Lin 2020] which was for general CM-fields F , but for Rankin–Selberg L -functions of type $n \times (n - 1)$ only.

It should be pointed out though, that our result below is a rather mild generalization of a consequence of the main result of [Lin 2015]. Lin [2015, Theorem 10.8.1] has achieved a very general, fine rationality-result for Rankin–Selberg L -functions of type $n \times m$ under a list of additional local assumptions (and conjectures, but those were later proved in [Guerberoff 2016] and [Grobner and Lin 2020]). We hence do not claim much originality from our side, but rather include the following corollary of Theorem 3.1 for the sake of giving a new approach and an example of the use of our period-relations.

In order to explain our result, recall weak base change BC from an arbitrary rational unitary similitude group $GU(V)/\mathbb{Q}$ attached to a nondegenerate Hermitian space V of $\dim_{F^+} V = n$, as established in [Shin 2014]. Strictly speaking, the construction of BC in [Shin 2014] entails the claim that $F = \mathcal{K}F^+$ for some imaginary quadratic field \mathcal{K} , which we shall henceforth assume. The same assumption has been made in [Guerberoff 2016, §5], which we shall use in the proof of our Corollary 4.4. Then, for every cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $GU(V)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ a base change $BC(\pi) = \chi_{\pi} \otimes \Pi$ has been constructed in [Shin 2014]. Here, χ_{π} is a Hecke character of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\times}$, while Π is a conjugate self-dual isobaric automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. By results of Delorme and Enright (see [Enright 1979]), Π_{∞} is cohomological as well. See also [Labesse 2011, §5.1] and [Clozel 1991, §3.4].

Corollary 4.4. *Let $F = \mathcal{K}F^+$ be a CM-field and suppose that $1 \leq m < n$ are integers, n even and m odd. We let $\Pi = BC(\pi)|_{GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which we assume to be obtained by weak base change from a unitary tempered cuspidal automorphic representation π of some rational similitude group $GU(V)/\mathbb{Q}$. Its infinite component π_{∞} is supposed to belong to the antiholomorphic discrete series and to be cohomological with respect to an algebraic coefficient module of $GU(V)(\mathbb{R})$ which is defined over \mathbb{Q} .*

Let Π' be a conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

Let $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ be two critical points of $L(s, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ different from $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ are indeed critical for $L(s, \Pi \times \Pi')$ and the ratio of critical values satisfies

$$\frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \times \Pi')} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi')F^{\text{Gal}}} (2\pi i)^{d(k-\ell)nm},$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, the quotient of critical values satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \times \Pi')} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)E^{\text{cm}}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1})} (2\pi i)^{d(k-\ell)(n-1)n} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)},$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$. Moreover, obviously, both sides of this relation are invariant under all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\Pi') \cap \mathfrak{S}(\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\})$, where $\mathfrak{S}(\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\})$ denotes the group of all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\{\sigma\chi_1, \dots, \sigma\chi_{n-m-1}\} = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.2, relation (4.5) holds over every field L , which contains F^{Gal} and the subfield of \mathbb{C} , fixed by $\mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\Pi') \cap \mathfrak{S}(\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\})$. In particular, (4.5) holds over the compositum of number fields

$$\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi')\mathbb{Q}(\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\})F^{\text{Gal}}.$$

Now observe that, by Lemma 1.11, $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ are both critical for all $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n - m - 1$. Since $\frac{1}{2} + k$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \ell$ are also assumed to be different from the central critical value, our additional assumption on Π being obtained by base change from π hence allows us to use Guerberoff's theorem [2016, Theorem 4.5.1] on noncentral critical values of standard L -functions. (The careful reader may want to use §4.2 in [Grobner et al. 2018] in combination with [Kaletha et al. 2014, Theorem 1.7.1], which confirms Guerberoff's Hypothesis 4.5.1 for our representation π .) Hence, simply by inserting into Guerberoff's formula, we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \otimes \chi_j)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^{\text{aux}}(\pi, \{\chi_j\})} (2\pi i)^{d(n-m-1)n(\ell-k)},$$

which is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$. Here, $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{aux}}(\pi, \{\chi_j\})$ denotes any number field over which π_f and all characters $\chi_{j,f}$ are defined (such a field exists, e.g., by [Grobner and Sebastian 2017, Theorem A.2.4]). Collecting the powers of $(2\pi i)$ we hence obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + k, \Pi \times \Pi')}{L^S(\frac{1}{2} + \ell, \Pi \times \Pi')} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi')\mathbb{Q}(\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-m-1}\})\mathbb{Q}^{\text{aux}}(\pi, \{\chi_j\})F^{\text{Gal}}} (2\pi i)^{d(k-\ell)nm}.$$

As we have seen, relation (4.6) is equivariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F^{\text{Gal}})$ and both sides are invariant under every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\Pi')$. Hence, applying Lemma 1.2 once more, we see that this relation actually holds over any field containing F^{Gal} and the field of rationality of $\mathfrak{S}(\Pi) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\Pi')$. In particular, (4.6) holds over the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)\mathbb{Q}(\Pi')F^{\text{Gal}}$, which shows the claim. \square

Remark 4.7 (further interpretations). Januszewski [2019] recently achieved a conditional rationality-result for Rankin–Selberg L -functions of type $n \times (n - 1)$ with precise powers of $(2\pi i)$ as archimedean contributions, recovering the result of Harder and Raghuram [2020] in the case of $n \times (n - 1)$ as a consequence (under the given hypotheses). Hence, our Corollary 4.4 may also be seen as an unconditional generalization of a consequence of the main result of [Januszewski 2019] for more general pairs $n \times m$ and over CM-fields $F = \mathcal{K}F^+$.

Most recently, Raghuram has presented a different approach to our corollary in the special case of $m = 1$ (i.e., the standard L -function) through automorphic induction; see Theorem 1 in [Raghuram 2020]

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Jie Lin for numerous inspiring suggestions and remarks. We would also like to thank A. Raghuram for his helpful comments, which improved the exposition of the results of this paper. We are indebted to the anonymous referee for her/his careful reading and various very useful suggestions.

References

- [Arthur and Clozel 1989] J. Arthur and L. Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **120**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Bellaïche and Chenevier 2009] J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astérisque **324**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Blasius 1986] D. Blasius, “On the critical values of Hecke L -series”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **124**:1 (1986), 23–63. MR Zbl
- [Borel 1979] A. Borel, “Automorphic L -functions”, pp. 27–61 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, II* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. MR Zbl
- [Borel and Jacquet 1979] A. Borel and H. Jacquet, “Automorphic forms and automorphic representations”, pp. 189–207 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, I* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. MR Zbl
- [Borel and Wallach 1980] A. Borel and N. R. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **94**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1980. MR Zbl
- [Clozel 1991] L. Clozel, “Représentations galoisiennes associées aux représentations automorphes autoduales de $GL(n)$ ”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **73** (1991), 97–145. MR Zbl
- [Clozel 2017] L. Clozel, “Solvable descent for cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL(n)$ ”, video lecture, 2017, Available at <https://youtu.be/s5FSJdTkXcs>.
- [Deligne 1979] P. Deligne, “Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d’intégrales”, pp. 313–346 in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, II* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. MR Zbl
- [Eischen 2017] E. E. Eischen, “Applications of nonarchimedean developments to archimedean nonvanishing results for twisted L -functions”, 2017. To appear in *Math. Res. Lett.* arXiv

- [Enright 1979] T. J. Enright, “Relative Lie algebra cohomology and unitary representations of complex Lie groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **46**:3 (1979), 513–525. MR Zbl
- [Ginzburg et al. 2004] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, and S. Rallis, “On the nonvanishing of the central value of the Rankin–Selberg L -functions”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17**:3 (2004), 679–722. MR Zbl
- [Goodman and Wallach 2009] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, Grad. Texts in Math. **255**, Springer, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Grobner 2018] H. Grobner, “Rationality for isobaric automorphic representations: the CM-case”, *Monatsh. Math.* **187**:1 (2018), 79–94. MR Zbl
- [Grobner 2022] H. Grobner, “Smooth automorphic forms and smooth automorphic representations”, 2022. To appear in *Series on Number Theory and its Applications*, WorldScientific.
- [Grobner and Harris 2016] H. Grobner and M. Harris, “Whittaker periods, motivic periods, and special values of tensor product L -functions”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **15**:4 (2016), 711–769. MR Zbl
- [Grobner and Lin 2020] H. Grobner and J. Lin, “Special values of L -functions and the refined Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture”, 2020. To appear in *Amer. J. Math.* arXiv
- [Grobner and Raghuram 2014a] H. Grobner and A. Raghuram, “On some arithmetic properties of automorphic forms of GL_m over a division algebra”, *Int. J. Number Theory* **10**:4 (2014), 963–1013. MR Zbl
- [Grobner and Raghuram 2014b] H. Grobner and A. Raghuram, “On the arithmetic of Shalika models and the critical values of L -functions for GL_{2n} ”, *Amer. J. Math.* **136**:3 (2014), 675–728. MR Zbl
- [Grobner and Sebastian 2017] H. Grobner and R. Sebastian, “Period relations for cusp forms of GSp_4 and some more general aspects of rationality”, preprint, 2017, Available at <https://tinyurl.com/grobseba>.
- [Grobner and Žunar \geq 2020] H. Grobner and S. Žunar, “On the notion of smooth automorphic forms and smooth automorphic representations”, in preparation.
- [Grobner et al. 2018] H. Grobner, M. Harris, and J. Lin, “Deligne’s conjecture for automorphic motives over CM-fields, I: Factorization”, preprint, 2018. arXiv
- [Guerberoff 2016] L. Guerberoff, “Period relations for automorphic forms on unitary groups and critical values of L -functions”, *Doc. Math.* **21** (2016), 1397–1458. MR Zbl
- [Harder 1983] G. Harder, “General aspects in the theory of modular symbols”, pp. 73–88 in *Seminar on number theory* (Paris, 1981/1982), edited by M.-J. Bertin, Progr. Math. **38**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Harder and Raghuram 2020] G. Harder and A. Raghuram, *Eisenstein cohomology for GL_N and the special values of Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **203**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2020. MR Zbl
- [Harris 1993] M. Harris, “ L -functions of 2×2 unitary groups and factorization of periods of Hilbert modular forms”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**:3 (1993), 637–719. MR Zbl
- [Harris 1997] M. Harris, “ L -functions and periods of polarized regular motives”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **483** (1997), 75–161. MR Zbl
- [Harris and Kudla 1991] M. Harris and S. S. Kudla, “The central critical value of a triple product L -function”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **133**:3 (1991), 605–672. MR Zbl
- [Henniart 2012] G. Henniart, “Induction automorphe globale pour les corps de nombres”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **140**:1 (2012), 1–17. MR Zbl
- [Hida 1994] H. Hida, “On the critical values of L -functions of $GL(2)$ and $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ ”, *Duke Math. J.* **74**:2 (1994), 431–529. MR Zbl

- [Januszewski 2016] F. Januszewski, “On period relations for automorphic L -functions, II”, preprint, 2016. arXiv
- [Januszewski 2019] F. Januszewski, “On period relations for automorphic L -functions, I”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**:9 (2019), 6547–6580. MR Zbl
- [Jiang and Zhang 2020] D. Jiang and L. Zhang, “On the non-vanishing of the central value of certain L -functions: unitary groups”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **22**:6 (2020), 1759–1783. MR Zbl
- [Kaletha et al. 2014] T. Kaletha, A. Minguez, S. W. Shin, and P.-J. White, “Endoscopic classification of representations: inner forms of unitary groups”, preprint, 2014. arXiv
- [Knapp 1994] A. W. Knap, “Local Langlands correspondence: the archimedean case”, pp. 393–410 in *Motives, II* (Seattle, 1991), edited by U. Jannsen et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **55**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. MR Zbl
- [Kurchanov 1978] P. F. Kurchanov, “Cohomology of discrete groups and Dirichlet series connected with Jacquet–Langlands cusp forms”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **42**:3 (1978), 588–601. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **12**:3 (1978), 543–555. MR Zbl
- [Kurchanov 1979] P. F. Kurchanov, “Dirichlet series of Jacquet–Langlands cusp forms over fields of CM-type”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **43**:1 (1979), 67–86. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **14**:1 (1980), 61–78. MR Zbl
- [Labesse 2011] J.-P. Labesse, “Changement de base CM et séries discrètes”, pp. 429–470 in *On the stabilization of the trace formula*, edited by L. Clozel et al., Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl. **1**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011. MR
- [Lin 2015] J. Lin, *Special values of automorphic L -functions for $GL_n \times GL_{n'}$ over CM fields, factorization and functoriality of arithmetic automorphic periods*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris 7, 2015, Available at <https://tinyurl.com/jielinphd>.
- [Raghuram 2016] A. Raghuram, “Critical values of Rankin–Selberg L -functions for $GL_n \times GL_{n-1}$ and the symmetric cube L -functions for GL_2 ”, *Forum Math.* **28**:3 (2016), 457–489. MR Zbl
- [Raghuram 2020] A. Raghuram, “Special values of L -functions for $GL(n)$ over a CM field”, preprint, 2020, Available at <https://tinyurl.com/raghucm>. To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not.*
- [Raghuram and Shahidi 2008] A. Raghuram and F. Shahidi, “On certain period relations for cusp forms on GL_n ”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2008** (2008), art. id. rnn077. MR Zbl
- [Rohrlich 1989] D. E. Rohrlich, “Nonvanishing of L -functions for $GL(2)$ ”, *Invent. Math.* **97**:2 (1989), 381–403. MR Zbl
- [Sachdeva 2020] G. Sachdeva, “Critical values of L -functions for $GL_3 \times GL_1$ and symmetric square L -functions for GL_2 over a CM field”, *J. Number Theory* **211** (2020), 43–74. MR Zbl
- [Shahidi 1981] F. Shahidi, “On certain L -functions”, *Amer. J. Math.* **103**:2 (1981), 297–355. MR Zbl
- [Shin 2014] S. W. Shin, “On the cohomological base change for unitary similitude groups”, 2014. Appendix to W. Goldring, “Galois representations associated to holomorphic limits of discrete series”, *Compos. Math.* **150**:2 (2014), 191–228. Zbl
- [Waldspurger 1985] J.-L. Waldspurger, “Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie”, *Compos. Math.* **54**:2 (1985), 173–242. MR Zbl
- [Weil 1956] A. Weil, “On a certain type of characters of the idèle-class group of an algebraic number-field”, pp. 1–7 in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Number Theory* (Tokyo/Nikko, Japan, 1955), Sci. Council Japan, Tokyo, 1956. MR Zbl

HARALD GROBNER
FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK
UNIVERSITÄT WIEN
WIEN
AUSTRIA
harald.grobner@univie.ac.at

GUNJA SACHDEVA
IISER TIRUPATI
TIRUPATI
INDIA
gunja@math.tifr.res.in

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$520/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 308 No. 2 October 2020

Existence of steady multiple vortex patches to the vortex-wave system	257
DAOMIN CAO and GUODONG WANG	
Relations of rationality for special values of Rankin–Selberg L -functions of $GL_n \times GL_m$ over CM-fields	281
HARALD GROBNER and GUNJA SACHDEVA	
A bound for the conductor of an open subgroup of GL_2 associated to an elliptic curve	307
NATHAN JONES	
Topology of complexity one quotients	333
Yael KARSHON and SUSAN TOLMAN	
Flag Bott manifolds and the toric closure of a generic orbit associated to a generalized Bott manifold	347
SHINTARÔ KUROKI, EUNJEONG LEE, JONGBAEK SONG and DONG YOUP SUH	
Projective cases for the restriction of the oscillator representation to dual pairs of type I	393
SABINE J. LANG	
A remark on a trace Paley–Wiener theorem	407
GORAN MUIĆ	
Spectrum of the Laplacian and the Jacobi operator on rotational CMC hypersurfaces of spheres	419
OSCAR M. PERDOMO	
Mean curvature flow in a Riemannian manifold endowed with a Killing vector field	435
LIANGJUN WENG	
Green correspondence and relative projectivity for pairs of adjoint functors between triangulated categories	473
ALEXANDER ZIMMERMANN	



0030-8730(202010)308:2;1-K