**DISTRIBUTION OF DISTANCES IN
POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC**

THANG PHAM AND LÊ ANH VINH

DISTRIBUTION OF DISTANCES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

THANG PHAM AND LÊ ANH VINH

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be an arbitrary finite field, and $\mathcal{E}$ be a point set in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Let $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of distances determined by pairs of points in $\mathcal{E}$. Using Kloosterman sums, Iosevich and Rudnev (2007) proved that if $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 4q^{(d+1)/2}$ then $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{F}_q$. In general, this result is sharp in odd-dimensional spaces over arbitrary finite fields. We use the point-plane incidence bound due to Rudnev to prove that if $\mathcal{E}$ has Cartesian product structure in vector spaces over prime fields, then we can break the exponent $(d+1)/2$ and still cover all distances. We also show that the number of pairs of points in $\mathcal{E}$ of any given distance is close to its expected value.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$), and $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ be the distance set determined by $\mathcal{E}$. The Erdős distinct distances problem is to find the best lower bound of the size of the distance set $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ in terms of the size of the point set $\mathcal{E}$.

In the plane case, Erdős [1946] conjectured that $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \gg |\mathcal{E}|/\sqrt{\log|\mathcal{E}|}$. This conjecture was proved up to logarithmic factor by Guth and Katz [2015] in 2010. More precisely, they showed that $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \gg |\mathcal{E}|/\log|\mathcal{E}|$. In higher dimension cases, Erdős [1946] also conjectured that $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \gg |\mathcal{E}|^{2/d}$. Interested readers are referred to [Solymosi and Vu 2008] for results on Erdős distinct distances problem in three and higher dimensions.

In this paper, we use the following notation: $X \ll Y$ means that there exists some absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $X \leq C_1 Y$, $X \sim Y$ means $Y \ll X \ll Y$, $X \gtrsim Y$ means $X \gg (\log Y)^{-C_2} Y$ for some absolute constant $C_2 > 0$, and $X \gtrsim_d Y$ means $X \geq C_3 (\log Y)^{-C_4} Y$ for some positive constants C_3, C_4 depending on d.

As a continuous analogue of the Erdős distinct distances problem, Falconer [1985] asked how large the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ needs to be to ensure that the Lebesgue measure of $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ is positive. He conjectured that for any subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of the Hausdorff dimension greater than $d/2$, $\mathcal{E}$ determines a distance set of a positive Lebesgue measure. This conjecture is still open in all dimensions.

We refer readers to [Du et al. 2018; Guth et al. 2020] for recent updates on this conjecture.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q, where q is an odd prime power. Given two points $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, we denote the distance between $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ by

$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| := (x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_d - y_d)^2.$$

Note that the distance function defined here is not a metric but it is invariant under translations and actions of the orthogonal group.

For a subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we denote the set of all distances determined by $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}) := \{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| : \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

The finite field analogue of the Erdős distinct distances problem was first studied by Bourgain, Katz, and Tao [Bourgain et al. 2004]. More precisely, they proved that in the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| \gg |\mathcal{E}|^{1/2+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon = \epsilon(\alpha) > 0$, for any subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^2$ of the cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| = p^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 2$,

Note that the condition $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ in Bourgain, Katz, and Tao's result is necessary, since if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then there exists $i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $i^2 = -1$. By taking $\mathcal{E} = \{(x, ix) : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$, we have $|\mathcal{E}| = p$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \{0\}$.

In the setting of arbitrary finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$, Iosevich and Rudnev [2007] showed that Bourgain, Katz, and Tao's result does not hold. For example, assuming that $q = p^2$, one can take $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{F}_p^2$, then $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{F}_p$ or $|\Delta(\mathcal{E})| = |\mathcal{E}|^{1/2}$. Thus, Iosevich and Rudnev reformulated the problem in the spirit of the Falconer distance conjecture over the Euclidean spaces. More precisely, they asked, for a subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, how large $|\mathcal{E}|$ needs to be to ensure that $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ covers the whole field or at least a positive proportion of all elements of the field?

Using Fourier analytic methods, Iosevich and Rudnev [2007] proved that $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{F}_q$ for any point set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with the cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 4q^{(d+1)/2}$. Hart, Iosevich, Koh, and Rudnev [Hart et al. 2011] showed that, in general, the exponent $(d+1)/2$ cannot be improved when d is odd, even if we only want to cover a positive proportion of all the distances. In even-dimensional cases, it has been conjectured that the exponent $(d+1)/2$ can be improved to $d/2$, which is in line with the Falconer distance conjecture in the Euclidean space.

In the plane case, Bennett, Hart, Iosevich, Pakianathan, and Rudnev [Bennett et al. 2017] proved that if $\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geq q^{4/3}$, then $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$ covers a positive proportion of all distances. Murphy and Petridis [2019] showed that there are infinite subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ of size $q^{4/3}$ whose distance sets do not cover the whole field $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is not known whether there exist a small $c > 0$ and a set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $|\mathcal{E}| \geq cq^{3/2}$ such that $\Delta(\mathcal{E}) \neq \mathbb{F}_q$. We refer the interested reader to [Hart et al. 2011, Theorem 2.7] for a construction in odd-dimensional spaces.

Chapman et al. [2012] broke the exponent $(d+1)/2$ to $d^2/(2d-1)$ under the additional assumption that the set $\mathcal{E}$ has Cartesian product structure. However, in this case, they can cover only a positive proportion of all distances. In the setting of prime fields, it has been proved in [Pham et al. 2019] that for $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, we have $|\Delta(A^d)| \geq \frac{1}{c} \cdot \min\{|A|^{2-1/2^{d-2}}, p\}$ with $c = 2^{(2^{d-1}-1)/2^{d-2}}$. Therefore, $|\Delta(A^d)| \geq p/c$ under the condition $|A| \geq p^{2^{d-2}/(2^{d-1}-1)}$. However, this result again only gives us a positive proportion of all distances, and does not tell us the number of pairs of any given distance.

We will show that if $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^d$ has Cartesian product structure, we can break the exponent $(d+1)/2$ due to Iosevich and Rudnev [2007] and still cover all possible distances. Our main tool is the point-plane incidence bound due to Rudnev [2018].

Our first result is for odd-dimensional cases.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be a prime field, and A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For an integer $d \geq 3$, suppose the set $A^{2d+1} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{2d+1}$ satisfies*

$$|A^{2d+1}| \gtrsim_d p^{\frac{2d+2}{2} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^{d-2} - d - 1}{3 \cdot 2^{d-1} - 1}};$$

then:

- *The distance set covers all elements in $\mathbb{F}_p$, namely,*

$$\Delta(A^{2d+1}) = \underbrace{(A-A)^2 + \cdots + (A-A)^2}_{2d+1 \ terms} = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

- *The number of pairs $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in A^{2d+1} \times A^{2d+1}$ satisfying $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| = \lambda$ is $\sim p^{-1}|A|^{4d+2}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Corollary 1.2. *For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, suppose that $|A| \gtrsim p^{6/11}$; then we have*

$$\Delta(A^7) = (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2$$
$$= \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Our second result is for even-dimensional cases.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be a prime field, and A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For an integer $d \geq 3$, suppose the set $A^{2d} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{2d}$ satisfies*

$$|A^{2d}| \gtrsim_d p^{\frac{2d+1}{2} - \frac{2^d - 2d - 1}{2^{d+1} - 2}};$$

then:

- *The distance set covers all elements in $\mathbb{F}_p$, namely,*

$$\Delta(A^{2d}) = \underbrace{(A-A)^2 + \cdots + (A-A)^2}_{2d \ terms} = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

- *The number of pairs $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in A^{2d} \times A^{2d}$ satisfying $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| = \lambda$ is $\sim p^{-1}|A|^{4d}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Corollary 1.4. *For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, suppose that $|A| \gtrsim p^{4/7}$; then we have*

$$\Delta(A^6) = (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Remark. In the setting of arbitrary finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$, it is not possible to break the exponent $(d+1)/2$ and still cover all distances with the method in this paper and the distance energy in [Pham et al. 2017, Lemma 3.1]. More precisely, for $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, one can follow the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 to get the conditions $|A^{2d+1}| \gg q^{(2d+2)/2+1/(4d)}$ and $|A^{2d}| \gg q^{(2d+1)/2+1/(4d-2)}$ for odd and even dimensions, respectively.

Remark. The Cauchy–Davenport theorem states that for subsets X and Y of $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have $|X + Y| \geq \min\{p, |X| + |Y| - 1\}$. It is not hard to check that $\Delta(A^{2d}) = \Delta(A^d) + \Delta(A^d)$. The result of [Chapman et al. 2012] tells us that $|\Delta(A^d)| \geq p/2$ whenever $|A| \gg p^{d/(2d-1)}$. Therefore, one can apply the Cauchy–Davenport theorem to show that $|\Delta(A^{2d})| \geq p - 1$ under the condition $|A| \geq p^d/(2d-1)$. However, our set A^{2d} lies on the $2d$-dimensional space $\mathbb{F}_p^{2d}$; thus the exponent $d/(2d-1)$ is worse than the Iosevich–Rudnev's exponent $(2d+1)/(4d)$. The same happens for odd-dimensional spaces. Note that the bound $|\Delta(A^d)| \geq 1/c \cdot \min\{|A|^{2-1/2^{d-2}}, p\}$ with $c = 2^{(2^{d-1}-1)/2^{d-2}}$ in [Pham et al. 2019] is not suitable for this approach since the constant factor $1/c$ is too small.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be an arbitrary finite field, and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. The product set of $\mathcal{E}$, denoted by $\Pi(\mathcal{E})$, is defined as follows:

$$\Pi(\mathcal{E}) := \{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} : \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

Using Fourier analysis, Hart and Iosevich [2008] proved that if $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$, then $\Pi(\mathcal{E}) \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, under the same condition on the size of $\mathcal{E}$, we have that the number of pairs $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = \lambda$ is $\sim q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^2$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{E}$ has Cartesian product structure, i.e., $\mathcal{E} = A^d$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, then the condition $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$ is equivalent with $|A| \gg q^{1/2+1/(2d)}$.

In the setting of prime fields $\mathbb{F}_p$, if $d = 8$, Glibichuk and Konyagin [2007] proved that for $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, if $|A|\lceil|B|/2\rceil \geq p$, then we have $8A \cdot B = \mathbb{F}_p$. This result has been extended to arbitrary finite fields in [Glibichuk and Rudnev 2009].

In this paper, using the techniques in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we are able to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, suppose that $|A| \gtrsim p^{4/7}$; then:*

- *$6A \cdot A = A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A + A \cdot A = \mathbb{F}_p$.*

- *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, the number of pairs $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in A^6 \times A^6$ such that $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = \lambda$ is $\sim p^{-1}|A|^{12}$.*

Note that our exponent $4/7$ improves the exponent $7/12$ of Hart and Iosevich [2008] in the case $d = 6$. The following is the conjecture due to Iosevich.

Conjecture 1.6. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$ and suppose that $|A| \gg p^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then,*

$$A \cdot A + A \cdot A = \mathbb{F}_p, \quad (A-A)^2 + (A-A)^2 = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

In the spirit of sum-product problems, it has been proved in [Pham et al. 2019] that for $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, if $|A| \ll p^{1/2+1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1}-2)}$, $d \geq 2$, then we have

$$\max\{|\Delta(A^d)|, |\Pi(A^d)|\} \gg |A|^{2-\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^d-3}}.$$

Using our energies (Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 below), and the prime field analogue of Balog–Wooley decomposition energy due to Rudnev, Shkredov, and Stevens [Rudnev et al. 2020], we are able to give the energy variant of this result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and A a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \ll p^{1/2+1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1}-2)}$. There exist two disjoint subsets B and C of A such that $A = B \sqcup C$ and*

$$\max\{E_d((B-B)^2), E_d(C \cdot C)\} \ll d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}},$$

where $E_d((B-B)^2)$ is the number of $4d$-tuples $\{(a_i, b_i, c_i, e_i)\}_{i=1}^d$ with a_i, c_i, b_i, e_i in B such that $(a_1-b_1)^2 + \cdots + (a_d-b_d)^2 = (c_1-e_1)^2 + \cdots + (c_d-e_d)^2$, and $E_d(C \cdot C)$ be the number of $4d$-tuples $\{(a_i, b_i, c_i, e_i)\}_{i=1}^d$ with $a_i, c_i, b_i, e_i \in C$ such that $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_d b_d = c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_d e_d$.

2. Preliminaries

Let E and F be multisets in $\mathbb{F}_p^2$. We denote by $\bar{E}$ and $\bar{F}$ the sets of distinct elements in E and F, respectively. For any multiset X, we use the notation $|X|$ to denote the size of X. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $N(E, F, \lambda)$ be the number of pairs $((e_1, e_2), (f_1, f_2)) \in E \times F$ such that $e_1 f_1 + e_2 + f_2 = \lambda$. In the following lemma, we provide an upper bound and a lower bound of $N(E, F, \lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Note that, this lemma is essentially the weighted version of the point-line incidences of [Vinh 2011] in the plane $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ (see also [Hanson et al. 2016, Lemma 14]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let E, F be multisets in $\mathbb{F}_p^2$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have*

$$\left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|E||F|}{p} \right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{(e_1,e_2) \in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2 \sum_{(f_1,f_2) \in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2))^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $m_X((a, b))$ is the multiplicity of (a, b) in X with $X \in \{E, F\}$.

Proof. Let χ be a nontrivial additive character on $\mathbb{F}_p$. We have

$$N(E, F, \lambda)$$

$$= \sum_{(e_1,e_2) \in \bar{E},(f_1,f_2) \in \bar{F}} \frac{1}{p} m_E((e_1, e_2)) m_F((f_1, f_2)) \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(s \cdot (e_1 f_1 + e_2 + f_2 - \lambda)).$$

This gives us

$$N(E, F, \lambda) = \frac{|E||F|}{p} + L,$$

where

$$L = \sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E},(f_1,f_2)\in\bar{F}} m_E((e_1, e_2))m_F((f_1, f_2))\frac{1}{p}\sum_{s\neq 0}\chi(s\cdot(e_1f_1 + e_2 + f_2 - \lambda)).$$

If we view L as a sum in $(e_1, e_2) \in \bar{E}$, then we can apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to derive the following:

$$L^2 \leq \sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2$$

$$\sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\mathbb{F}_p^2} \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{s,s'\neq 0} \sum_{(f_1,f_2),(f_1',f_2')\in\bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2))m_F((f_1', f_2'))$$

$$\times \chi(s\cdot(e_1f_1 + e_2 + f_2 - \lambda))\chi(s'\cdot(-e_1f_1' - e_2 - f_2' + \lambda))$$

$$= \sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2 \frac{1}{p^2}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(e_1,e_2)\in\mathbb{F}_p^2,\ (f_1,f_2)\in\bar{F} \\ (f_1',f_2')\in\bar{F},\ s,s'\neq 0}} m_F((f_1, f_2))m_F((f_1', f_2'))\chi(e_1(sf_1 - s'f_1'))\chi(e_2(s - s'))$$

$$\times \chi(s(f_2 - \lambda) - s'(f_2' - \lambda))$$

$$= \sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{s\neq 0,\ (f_1,f_2)\in\bar{F} \\ (f_1',f_2')\in\bar{F},\ f_1=f_1'}} m_F((f_1, f_2))m_F((f_1', f_2'))\chi(s\cdot(f_2 - f_2')) = I + II,$$

where I is the sum over all pairs $((f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_2'))$ with $f_2 = f_2'$, and II is the sum over all pairs $((f_1, f_2), (f_1', f_2'))$ with $f_2 \neq f_2'$.

It is not hard to check that if $f_2 \neq f_2'$, then

$$\sum_{s\neq 0}\chi(s\cdot(f_2 - f_2')) = -1,$$

so $II < 0$. Note that $|II| \leq I$ since $L^2 \geq 0$.

On the other hand, if $f_2 = f_2'$, then

$$\sum_{s\neq 0}\chi(s\cdot(f_2 - f_2')) = p - 1.$$

In other words,

$$I \le p \sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E}} m_E((e_1,e_2))^2 \sum_{(f_1,f_2)\in\bar{F}} m_F((f_1,f_2))^2,$$

which implies that

$$|L| \le \sqrt{I+II} \le p^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{(e_1,e_2)\in\bar{E}} m_E((e_1,e_2))^2 \sum_{(f_1,f_2)\in\bar{F}} m_F((f_1,f_2))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, let $E_d((A-A)^2)$ be the number of $4d$-tuples $\{(a_i,b_i,c_i,e_i)\}_{i=1}^d$ with $a_i, c_i, b_i, e_i \in A$ such that

$$(a_1-b_1)^2 + \cdots + (a_d-b_d)^2 = (c_1-e_1)^2 + \cdots + (c_d-e_d)^2.$$

Similarly, let $E_d(A \cdot A)$ be the number of $4d$-tuples $\{(a_i,b_i,c_i,e_i)\}_{i=1}^d$ with $a_i, c_i, b_i, e_i \in A$ such that

$$a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_db_d = c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_de_d.$$

In our next lemmas, we give recursive formulas for $E_d((A-A)^2)$ and $E_d(A \cdot A)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, we have*

$$E_d((A-A)^2) \le Cd^2(\log|A|)^2\left(\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + |A|^{2d+1}\sqrt{E_{d-1}((A-A)^2)} \right),$$

for some positive constant C.

The proof of this lemma will be given in the next section. The following result is a direct consequence, which tells us an upper bound of $E_d((A-A)^2)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For $d \ge 2$, suppose that $|A| \gg (d\log|A|)p^{1/2}$; then we have*

$$E_d((A-A)^2) \ll d^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + d^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on d that

$$E_d((A-A)^2) \le 2C^2d^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + 2C^2d^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}},$$

whenever $|A| \ge \sqrt{2}C(d\log|A|)p^{1/2}$, where the constant C comes from Lemma 2.2.

The base case $d=2$ follows directly from Lemma 2.2 by using the trivial upper bound $|A|^3$ of $E_1((A-A)^2)$.

Suppose the statement holds for any $d - 1 \geq 2$; we now prove that it also holds for d. Indeed, by induction hypothesis, we have

(1) $E_{d-1}((A - A)^2)$

$$\leq 2C^2(d-1)^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4(d-1)}}{p} + 2C^2(d-1)^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-6+\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}}$$

$$\leq 2C^2d^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4(d-1)}}{p} + 2C^2d^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-6+\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

(2) $$E_d((A - A)^2) \leq Cd^2(\log|A|)^2\left(\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + |A|^{2d+1}\sqrt{E_{d-1}((A - A)^2)}\right).$$

Putting (1) and (2) together, we obtain

$$E_d((A - A)^2) \leq Cd^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + \sqrt{2}C^2d^2(\log|A|)^2|A|^{2d+1}$$

$$\times\left(d\log|A|\frac{|A|^{2(d-1)}}{p^{1/2}} + d^2(\log|A|)^2|A|^{2d-3+\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}\right).$$

Since $\sqrt{2}C(d\log|A|)p^{1/2} \leq |A|$, we have

$$\sqrt{2}C^2d\log|A|\frac{|A|^{2(d-1)}}{p^{1/2}} \leq C\frac{|A|^{2d-1}}{p}.$$

This implies that

$$E_d((A - A)^2) \leq 2Cd^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + 2C^2d^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}. \qquad \square$$

Similarly, for the case of product sets, we have:

Lemma 2.4. *For $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$,*

$$E_d(A \cdot A) \leq Cd^2(\log|A|)^2\left(\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + |A|^{2d+1}\sqrt{E_{d-1}(A \cdot A)}\right),$$

for some positive constant C.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is almost identical to that of Lemma 2.2, so we omit it. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For $d \geq 2$, suppose that $|A| \gg (d\log|A|)p^{1/2}$; then we have*

$$E_d(A \cdot A) \ll d^2(\log|A|)^2\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + d^4(\log|A|)^4|A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}.$$

Proof. The proof of Corollary 2.5 is identical to that of Corollary 2.3 with Lemma 2.4 in the place of Lemma 2.2; thus we omit it. $\qquad \square$

2.1. *Proof of Lemma 2.2.* In the proof of Lemma 2.2, we will use a point-plane incidence bound due to Rudnev [2018] and an argument in [Shkredov 2018, Theorem 32].

Let us first recall that if $\mathcal{R}$ is a set of points in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of planes in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$, then the number of incidences between $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S})$, is the cardinality of the set $\{(r, s) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S} : r \in s\}$. The following is a version of Rudnev's point-plane incidence bound, which can be found in [de Zeeuw 2016].

Theorem 2.6 [Rudnev 2018; de Zeeuw 2016]. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a set of points in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of planes in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$, with $|\mathcal{R}| \le |\mathcal{S}|$. Suppose that there is no line that contains k points of $\mathcal{R}$ and is contained in k planes of $\mathcal{S}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \ll \frac{|\mathcal{R}||\mathcal{S}|}{p} + |\mathcal{R}|^{1/2}|\mathcal{S}| + k|\mathcal{S}|.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We first have

$$E_d((A - A)^2) = \sum_{t_1, t_2} r_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_1) r_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_2) f(t_1, t_2),$$

where $r_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t)$ is the number of $2(d-1)$ tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1})$ in A^{2d-2} such that $(a_1 - b_1)^2 + \cdots + (a_{d-1} - b_{d-1})^2 = t$, and $f(t_1, t_2)$ is the sum $\sum_s r_{(A-A)^2+t_1}(s) r_{(A-A)^2+t_2}(s)$. We now split the sum $E_d((A - A)^2)$ into intervals:

$$E_d((A - A)^2) \ll \sum_{i=1}^{L_1} \sum_{j=1}^{L_2} \sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) r^{(i)}_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_1) r^{(j)}_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_2),$$

where $L_1 \le \log(|A|^{2d-2})$, $L_2 \le \log(|A|^{2d-2})$, and $r^{(i)}_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_1)$ is the restriction of the function $r_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(x)$ on the set $P_i := \{t : 2^i \le r_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t) < 2^{i+1}\}$.

Using the pigeon-hole principle two times, there exist sets P_{i_0} and P_{j_0} for some i_0 and j_0 such that

$$E_d((A - A)^2) \le (2d - 2)^2 (\log|A|)^2 \sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) r^{(i_0)}_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_1) r^{(j_0)}_{(d-1)(A-A)^2}(t_2)$$

$$\ll d^2 (\log|A|)^2 2^{i_0} 2^{j_0} \sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) P_{i_0}(t_1) P_{j_0}(t_2).$$

One can check that the sum $\sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) P_{i_0}(t_1) P_{j_0}(t_2)$ is equal to the number of incidences between the point set $\mathcal{R}$ of points $(-2a, e, t_1 + a^2 - e^2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$ with $a \in A$, $e \in A$, $t_1 \in P_{i_0}$, and the plane set $\mathcal{S}$ of planes in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$ defined by

$$bX + 2cY + Z = t_2 - b^2 + c^2,$$

where $b \in A$, $c \in A$ and $t_2 \in P_{j_0}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $|P_{i_0}| \le |P_{j_0}|$.

To apply Theorem 2.6, we need to bound the maximal number of collinear points in $\mathcal{R}$. The projection of $\mathcal{R}$ into the plane of the first two coordinates is the set $-2A \times A$; thus if a line is not vertical, then it contains at most $|A|$ points from $\mathcal{R}$. If a line is vertical, then it contains at most $|P_{i_0}|$ points from $\mathcal{R}$, but that line is not contained in any plane in $\mathcal{S}$. In other words, we can apply Theorem 2.6 with $k = |A|$, and obtain

$$\sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) P_{i_0}(t_1) P_{j_0}(t_2) \ll \frac{|A|^4 |P_{i_0}||P_{j_0}|}{p} + |A|^3 |P_{i_0}|^{1/2} |P_{j_0}| + |A|^3 |P_{j_0}|$$

$$\ll \frac{|A|^4 |P_{i_0}||P_{j_0}|}{p} + |A|^3 |P_{i_0}|^{1/2} |P_{j_0}|.$$

We now fall into the following cases:

Case 1: If the first term dominates, we have

$$\sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) P_{i_0}(t_1) P_{j_0}(t_2) \ll \frac{|A|^4 |P_{i_0}||P_{j_0}|}{p}.$$

Case 2: If the second term dominates, we have

$$\sum_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) P_{i_0}(t_1) P_{j_0}(t_2) \ll |A|^3 |P_{i_0}|^{1/2} |P_{j_0}|.$$

Therefore,

$$E_d((A-A)^2) \ll d^2 (\log|A|)^2 2^{i_0} 2^{j_0} \left(\frac{|A|^4 |P_{i_0}||P_{j_0}|}{p} + |A|^3 |P_{i_0}|^{1/2} |P_{j_0}| \right)$$

$$\ll d^2 (\log|A|)^2 \left(\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + |A|^{2d+1} \sqrt{E_{d-1}((A-A)^2)} \right),$$

where we have used the facts that

- $2^{i_0} |P_{i_0}|^{1/2} \ll \sqrt{E_{d-1}((A-A)^2)}$.
- $2^{j_0} |P_{j_0}| \ll |A|^{2d-2}$.
- $2^{i_0} |P_{i_0}| \ll |A|^{2d-2}$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.   □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let λ be an arbitrary element in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let E be the multiset of points $(2x, x^2 + (y_1 - z_1)^2 + \cdots + (y_d - z_d)^2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$ with $x, y_i, z_i \in A$, and F be the multiset of points $(-t, t^2 + (u_1 - v_1)^2 + \cdots + (u_d - v_d)^2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$ with $t, u_i, v_i \in A$. We have $|E| = |F| = |A|^{2d+1}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$(3) \quad \left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|E||F|}{p} \right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{(e_1, e_2) \in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2 \sum_{(f_1, f_2) \in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We observe that $N(E, F, \lambda)$ equals the number of pairs $(x, y) \in A^{2d+1} \times A^{2d+1}$ such that $\|x - y\| = \lambda$.

From the setting of E and F, it is not hard to see that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(e_1, e_2) \in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2))^2 &= |A| E_d((A - A)^2), \\ \sum_{(f_1, f_2) \in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2))^2 &= |A| E_d((A - A)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Putting (3) and (4) together, we have

$$(5) \quad \left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|A|^{4d+2}}{p} \right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}} |A| E_d((A - A)^2).$$

On the other hand, Corollary 2.3 gives us

$$(6) \quad E_d((A - A)^2) \ll d^2 (\log|A|)^2 \frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d - 2 + \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}.$$

Substituting (6) into (5), we obtain $N(E, F, \lambda) \sim |A|^{4d+2} p^{-1}$ whenever

$$|A^{2d+1}| \gtrsim_d p^{\frac{2d+2}{2} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^{d-2} - d - 1}{3 \cdot 2^{d-1} - 1}}.$$

Since λ is arbitrary in $\mathbb{F}_p$, the theorem follows. $\qquad\square$

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar to that of Theorem 1.1, but we need a higher-dimensional version of Lemma 2.1.

Let E and F be multisets in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $N(E, F, \lambda)$ be the number of pairs $((e_1, e_2, e_3), (f_1, f_2, f_3)) \in E \times F$ such that $e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 + f_3 = \lambda$. One can follow step by step the proof of Lemma 2.1 to obtain the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E, F be multisets in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have*

$$\left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|E||F|}{p} \right|$$
$$\leq p \left(\sum_{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2, e_3))^2 \sum_{(f_1, f_2, f_3) \in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2, f_3))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let λ be an arbitrary element in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let E be the multiset of points $(2x_1, 2x_2, x_1^2+x_2^2+(y_1-z_1)^2+\cdots+(y_{d-1}-z_{d-1})^2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$ with $x_i, y_i, z_i \in A$, and F the multiset of points $(-t_1, -t_2, t_1^2+t_2^2+(u_1-v_1)^2+\cdots+(u_{d-1}-v_{d-1})^2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$ with $t_i, u_i, v_i \in A$. We have $|E| = |A|^{2d}$ and $|F| = |A|^{2d}$.

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$(7) \qquad \left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|E||F|}{p} \right|$$

$$\leq p \left(\sum_{(e_1,e_2,e_3)\in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_{2,3}))^2 \sum_{(f_1,f_2,f_3)\in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2, f_3))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We observe that $N(E, F, \lambda)$ is equal to the number of pairs $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in A^{2d} \times A^{2d}$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| = \lambda$.

From the setting of E and F, it is not hard to see that

$$(8) \qquad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(e_1,e_2,e_3)\in \bar{E}} m_E((e_1, e_2, e_3))^2 &= |A|^2 E_{d-1}((A-A)^2), \\ \sum_{(f_1,f_2,f_3)\in \bar{F}} m_F((f_1, f_2, f_3))^2 &= |A|^2 E_{d-1}((A-A)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Putting (7) and (8) together, we have

$$(9) \qquad \left| N(E, F, \lambda) - \frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} \right| \leq p|A|^2 E_{d-1}((A-A)^2).$$

On the other hand, Corollary 2.3 gives us

$$(10) \qquad E_{d-1}((A-A)^2) \ll d^2 (\log|A|)^2 \frac{|A|^{4d-4}}{p} + d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-6+\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}}.$$

Substituting (10) into (9), we obtain $N(E, F, \lambda) \sim |A|^{4d} p^{-1}$ whenever

$$|A^{2d}| \gtrsim_d p^{\frac{2d+1}{2} - \frac{2^d-2d-1}{2^{d+1}-2}}.$$

Since λ is arbitrary in $\mathbb{F}_p$, the theorem follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is similar to that of Theorem 1.3 with Corollary 2.5 in the place of Corollary 2.3. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Let us first recall the prime field analogue of Balog–Wooley decomposition energy due to Rudnev, Shkredov and Stevens [Rudnev et al. 2020].

Theorem 5.1 [Rudnev et al. 2020]. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \leq p^{5/8}$. There exist two disjoint subsets B and C of A such that $A = B \sqcup C$ and*

$$\max\{E^+(B), E^\times(C)\} \lesssim |A|^{14/5},$$

where

$$E^+(B) = |\{(a,b,c,d) \in B^4 : a+b=c+d\}|, \quad E^\times(C) = |\{(a,b,c,d) \in C^4 : ab=cd\}|.$$

We refer the interested reader to [Balog and Wooley 2017] for the original result over $\mathbb{R}$. The most up to date bound for this result over $\mathbb{R}$ is due to Shakan [2019].

The following is another corollary of Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$, and B be a subset of A. For an integer $d \geq 2$, suppose that $|A| \ll p^{1/2+1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1}-2)}$ and $E^+(B) \lesssim |A|^{14/5}$; then we have*

$$E_d((B-B)^2) \ll d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on d that

$$E_d((B-B)^2) \leq 4C^2 d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}},$$

whenever $|A| \leq (Cp)^{1/2+1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1}-2)}$, where the constant C comes from Lemma 2.2.

The base case $d = 2$ follows from Lemma 2.2 and the facts that $E_1((B-B)^2) \ll E^+(B)$ and $|B| \leq |A|$.

Suppose the corollary holds for $d-1 \geq 2$. We now show that it also holds for general d. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$E_d((B-B)^2) \leq Cd^2 (\log|A|)^2 \left(\frac{|B|^{4d}}{p} + |B|^{2d+1} \sqrt{E_{d-1}((B-B)^2)} \right).$$

On the other hand, by the inductions hypothesis, we have

$$E_{d-1}((B-B)^2) \leq 4C^2(d-1)^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-6+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-4}}}$$

$$\leq 4C^2 d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-6+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-4}}}.$$

Thus, using the fact that $|B| \leq |A|$, we obtain

$$E_d((B-B)^2) \leq d^2 (\log|A|)^2 \left(C\frac{|A|^{4d}}{p} + 2C^2 d^2 (\log|A|)^2 |A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}} \right)$$

$$\leq 4C^2 d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d-2+\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}},$$

whenever $|A| \leq (Cp)^{1/2+1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1}-2)}$. $\qquad\square$

Using the same argument, we also have another corollary of Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 5.3. *Let A be a set in $\mathbb{F}_p$, and C be a subset of A. For an integer $d \geq 2$, suppose that $|A| \ll p^{1/2 + 1/(5 \cdot 2^{d-1} - 2)}$ and $E^\times(C) \lesssim |A|^{14/5}$; then we have*

$$E_d(C \cdot C) \ll d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d - 2 + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. It follows from Theorem 5.1 that there exist two disjoint subsets B and C of A such that $A = B \sqcup C$ and $\max\{E^+(B), E^\times(C)\} \lesssim |A|^{14/5}$. One now can apply Corollaries 5.2 and 5.3 to derive

$$\max\{E_d((B - B)^2), E_d(C \cdot C)\} \ll d^4 (\log|A|)^4 |A|^{4d - 2 + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^{d-3}}}. \qquad \square$$

Acknowledgments:

References

[Balog and Wooley 2017] A. Balog and T. D. Wooley, "A low-energy decomposition theorem", *Q. J. Math.* **68**:1 (2017), 207–226. MR

[Bennett et al. 2017] M. Bennett, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, J. Pakianathan, and M. Rudnev, "Group actions and geometric combinatorics in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$", *Forum Math.* **29**:1 (2017), 91–110. MR

[Bourgain et al. 2004] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, and T. Tao, "A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14**:1 (2004), 27–57. MR

[Chapman et al. 2012] J. Chapman, M. B. Erdoğan, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and D. Koh, "Pinned distance sets, k-simplices, Wolff's exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates", *Math. Z.* **271**:1-2 (2012), 63–93. MR

[Du et al. 2018] X. Du, L. Guth, Y. Ou, H. Wang, B. Wilson, and R. Zhang, "Weighted restriction estimates and application to Falconer distance set problem", 2018. arXiv

[Erdös 1946] P. Erdös, "On sets of distances of n points", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **53** (1946), 248–250. MR

[Falconer 1985] K. J. Falconer, "On the Hausdorff dimensions of distance sets", *Mathematika* **32**:2 (1985), 206–212. MR

[Glibichuk and Konyagin 2007] A. A. Glibichuk and S. V. Konyagin, "Additive properties of product sets in fields of prime order", pp. 279–286 in *Additive combinatorics*, edited by M. B. N. Andrew Granville and J. Solymos, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. MR

[Glibichuk and Rudnev 2009] A. Glibichuk and M. Rudnev, "On additive properties of product sets in an arbitrary finite field", *J. Anal. Math.* **108** (2009), 159–170. MR

[Guth and Katz 2015] L. Guth and N. H. Katz, "On the Erdős distinct distances problem in the plane", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **181**:1 (2015), 155–190. MR

[Guth et al. 2020] L. Guth, A. Iosevich, Y. Ou, and H. Wang, "On Falconer's distance set problem in the plane", *Invent. Math.* **219**:3 (2020), 779–830. MR

[Hanson et al. 2016] B. Hanson, B. Lund, and O. Roche-Newton, "On distinct perpendicular bisectors and pinned distances in finite fields", *Finite Fields Appl.* **37** (2016), 240–264. MR

[Hart and Iosevich 2008] D. Hart and A. Iosevich, "Sums and products in finite fields: an integral geometric viewpoint", pp. 129–135 in *Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets*, edited by G. Ólafsson et al., Contemp. Math. **464**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. MR

[Hart et al. 2011] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and M. Rudnev, "Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdős–Falconer distance conjecture", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**:6 (2011), 3255–3275. MR

[Iosevich and Rudnev 2007] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev, "Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**:12 (2007), 6127–6142. MR

[Murphy and Petridis 2019] B. Murphy and G. Petridis, "An example related to the Erdős–Falconer question over arbitrary finite fields", *Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc.* **63** (2019), 38–39. MR

[Pham et al. 2017] D. H. Pham, T. Pham, and L. A. Vinh, "An improvement on the number of simplices in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$", *Discrete Appl. Math.* **221** (2017), 95–105. MR

[Pham et al. 2019] T. Pham, L. A. Vinh, and F. de Zeeuw, "Three-variable expanding polynomials and higher-dimensional distinct distances", *Combinatorica* **39**:2 (2019), 411–426. MR

[Rudnev 2018] M. Rudnev, "On the number of incidences between points and planes in three dimensions", *Combinatorica* **38**:1 (2018), 219–254. MR

[Rudnev et al. 2020] M. Rudnev, I. Shkredov, and S. Stevens, "On the energy variant of the sum-product conjecture", *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **36**:1 (2020), 207–232. MR

[Shakan 2019] G. Shakan, "On higher energy decompositions and the sum-product phenomenon", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **167**:3 (2019), 599–617. MR

[Shkredov 2018] I. D. Shkredov, "On asymptotic formulae in some sum-product questions", *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **79** (2018), 231–281. MR

[Solymosi and Vu 2008] J. Solymosi and V. H. Vu, "Near optimal bounds for the Erdős distinct distances problem in high dimensions", *Combinatorica* **28**:1 (2008), 113–125. MR

[Vinh 2011] L. A. Vinh, "The Szemerédi–Trotter type theorem and the sum-product estimate in finite fields", *European J. Combin.* **32**:8 (2011), 1177–1181. MR

[de Zeeuw 2016] F. de Zeeuw, "A short proof of Rudnev's point-plane incidence bound", 2016. arXiv

THANG PHAM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ETH, ZURICH
ZURICH
SWITZERLAND
phamanhthang.vnu@gmail.com

LÊ ANH VINH
VIETNAM INSTITUTE OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES
HA NOI
VIETNAM
vinhle@vnies.edu.vn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS