

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**REGULARITY FOR FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION
ON THE UNIT CIRCLE**

SERBAN T. BELINSCHI, HARI BERCOVICI AND CHING-WEI HO

Volume 322 No. 2

February 2023

REGULARITY FOR FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

SERBAN T. BELINSCHI, HARI BERCOVICI AND CHING-WEI HO

Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 are Borel probability measures on the unit circle, both different from unit point masses, and let μ denote their free multiplicative convolution. We show that μ has no continuous singular part (relative to arclength measure) and that its density can only be locally unbounded at a finite number of points, entirely determined by the point masses of μ_1 and μ_2 . Analogous results were proved earlier for the free additive convolution on \mathbb{R} and for the free multiplicative convolution of Borel probability measures on the positive half-line.

1. Introduction

It has been known for some time that free convolutions have a strong regularizing effect. The earliest instances of this phenomenon were observed in [Voiculescu 1993; Bercovici and Voiculescu 1998; Biane 1997]. For the additive case (see [Voiculescu 1986; Bercovici and Voiculescu 1993; Voiculescu et al. 1992] for definitions), it was shown in [Belinschi 2008; 2014] that, given Borel probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathbb{R} , neither of which is a point mass, the free convolution $\mu = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ has no singular continuous part relative to the Lebesgue measure, and its density is analytic wherever positive and finite. In addition, this density is locally bounded unless $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The atomic part of μ has finite support and was determined earlier [Bercovici and Voiculescu 1998]. Analogous results have been obtained in [Ji 2021] for the free multiplicative convolution of Borel probability measures on $[0, +\infty)$. Despite a strong similarity between these operations, the corresponding result for free multiplicative convolutions of Borel probability measures on the unit circle \mathbb{T} in the complex plane is still missing. Recent results on Denjoy–Wolff points [Belinschi et al. 2022, Corollary 3.3] allow us to rectify this omission in Theorem 3.2.

The necessary background on subordination is given in Section 2, and the main result is proved in Section 3. An application in Section 4 yields a strengthening of

MSC2020: primary 46L35; secondary 30D05.

Keywords: free probability, free multiplicative convolution, regularity, analytic subordination.

the results of [Bercovici and Wang 2008] concerning indecomposable measures relative to free convolution.

We wish to thank the referee for a thorough review of the paper.

2. Analytic subordination for free multiplicative convolution

We begin by recalling the analytical apparatus for the calculation of free multiplicative convolutions on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. An arbitrary Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{T} is uniquely determined by its *moments*

$$m_n(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^n d\mu(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and these moments are encoded in the *moment generating function*

$$\psi_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{tz}{1-tz} d\mu(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n(\mu)z^n.$$

The formal series ψ_μ actually converges for z in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and

$$\psi_\mu(\mathbb{D}) \subset \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > -\frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Observe that

$$(2-1) \quad 2\Re\psi_\mu(z) + 1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re\left(\frac{\bar{\zeta} + z}{\bar{\zeta} - z}\right) d\mu(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Re\left(\frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z}\right) d\mu(\bar{\zeta}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and the last term above is precisely a Poisson integral. It follows that μ can be recovered from ψ_μ by taking radial limits

$$2\pi d\mu(e^{-i\theta}) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} (2\Re\psi_\mu(re^{i\theta}) + 1) d\theta.$$

(See, for instance, [Akhiezer 1965, Chapter 5], [Belinschi and Bercovici 2005, Section 3], and [Garnett 1981, Chapter 1] for details.) In particular, if μ^s denotes the singular part of the measure μ , (2-1) shows that

$$(2-2) \quad \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \Re\psi_\mu(r\bar{\zeta}) = +\infty \quad \text{for } \mu^s\text{-almost all } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We note for further use the following consequence of (2-1):

Lemma 2.1. *If ψ_μ is a bounded function on \mathbb{D} , then μ is absolutely continuous relative to arclength measure and its density is bounded.*

Consider now two Borel probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and denote by $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ their free multiplicative convolution. This was first defined in [Voiculescu 1987] using the multiplication of *-free unitary operators, and its calculation — in case the two measures have a nonzero first moment — relied on the analytic inverses of the functions ψ_{μ_1} and ψ_{μ_2} in the complex plane (see [Voiculescu et al. 1992] for the technical details). Subsequently, Biane [1998]

discovered that ψ_μ is subordinate to ψ_{μ_j} , with $j = 1, 2$, in the sense of Littlewood. This result implies that — at least when μ_1 and μ_2 have nonzero first moments — one can describe the function ψ_μ as the unique solution of a system of implicit equations. This method for the calculation of ψ_μ does in fact extend to arbitrary μ_1 and μ_2 , as seen in [Belinschi and Bercovici 2007]. We state the result below because it is instrumental in the proof of Theorem 3.2. We need the additional notation

$$\eta_\mu(z) = \frac{\psi_\mu(z)}{1 + \psi_\mu(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\mu(z) = \frac{\eta_\mu(z)}{z}.$$

It is easily seen that $\eta_\mu(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\eta_\mu(0) = 0$, $\eta'_\mu(0) = m_1(\mu)$, and h_μ extends to an analytic function from \mathbb{D} to $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. If the function h_μ takes values in \mathbb{T} , then it is constant and this happens precisely when μ is a point mass. The following statement combines [Belinschi and Bercovici 2007, Theorem 3.2] and [Belinschi et al. 2022, Corollary 3.3]:

Theorem 2.2. *Consider Borel probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathbb{T} and their free multiplicative convolution $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$. There exist unique continuous functions $\omega_1, \omega_2 : \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$ that are analytic on \mathbb{D} and, in addition:*

- (1) $\omega_1(0) = \omega_2(0) = 0$.
- (2) $z\eta_\mu(z) = z\eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(z)) = z\eta_{\mu_2}(\omega_2(z)) = \omega_1(z)\omega_2(z)$, $\omega_1(z) = zh_2(\omega_2(z))$, and $\omega_2(z) = zh_1(\omega_1(z))$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$. In particular, η_μ extends continuously to \mathbb{T} . When either $\omega_1(z)$ or $\omega_2(z)$ belongs to \mathbb{T} , the values $\eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z))$ are understood as radial limits, that is,

$$\eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z)) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \eta_{\mu_j}(r\omega_j(z)).$$

- (3) If $m_1(\mu_1) = m_1(\mu_2) = 0$, the functions $\eta_\mu, \psi_\mu, \omega_1$, and ω_2 are identically zero.

3. Boundedness and the lack of a singular continuous part

We are ready now to identify the singular behavior of a free multiplicative convolution on \mathbb{T} . Of course, part (1) was proved in [Belinschi 2003].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 are Borel probability measures on \mathbb{T} , neither of which is a unit point mass, set $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$.*

- (1) *If $\mu(\{\alpha\}) > 0$, then there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha$ and*

$$\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) = 1 + \mu(\{\alpha\}).$$

- (2) *If ψ_μ is unbounded near $1/\alpha$, then there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha$ and*

$$\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1.$$

Proof. We only prove (2). As already mentioned, if $m_1(\mu_1) = m_1(\mu_2) = 0$, then μ is the Haar measure on \mathbb{T} , which has no singular part and a density identically equal

to $1/2\pi$. Indeed, by Theorem 2.2 (3), ψ_μ is identically zero; in particular, bounded. For the remainder of the proof, we assume that at least one of $m_1(\mu_1), m_1(\mu_2)$ is nonzero, and thus the functions $\psi_\mu, \omega_1, \omega_2$ of Theorem 2.2 are not constant. Suppose now that $\beta = 1/\alpha$ is such that $\eta_\mu(\beta) = 1$ or, equivalently,

$$\psi_\mu(\beta) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \psi_\mu(r\beta) = \infty.$$

Setting $\alpha_1 = \omega_1(\beta)$ and $\alpha_2 = \omega_2(\beta)$, Theorem 2.2 (2) yields the equality $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \beta$. Since $|\alpha_j| \leq 1$, it follows that, in fact, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{T}$ for $j = 1, 2$. The subordination in Theorem 2.2 (2) also yields

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \beta} \eta_{\mu_j}(\omega_j(z)) = \eta_\mu(\beta) = 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \eta_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) = 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

by Lindelöf’s Theorem (see [Collingwood and Lohwater 1966, Theorem 2.3]).

An application of the dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} (1 - r)\psi_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) = \mu\left(\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha_j}\right\}\right) \in [0, 1), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

In terms of the functions η_{μ_j} , this amounts to

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \frac{\eta_{\mu_j}(r\alpha_j) - 1}{r - 1} = \frac{1}{\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\})}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where the right-hand side is understood as ∞ if $\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\}) = 0$. Using Julia–Carathéodory derivatives (see, for instance, [Garnett 1981, Chapter I, Exercise 7]) this relation can be rewritten as $\eta'_\mu(\omega_1(\alpha)) = 1/(\mu_j(\{1/\alpha_j\}))$. Properties of this derivative imply now that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 &= \liminf_{w \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(w)| - 1}{|w| - 1} - 1 \\ &= \liminf_{w \rightarrow \alpha_1} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(w)| - |w|}{|w| - 1} \\ &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(z))| - |\omega_1(z)|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1} \quad (\text{substituting } w = \omega_1(z)) \\ &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\omega_1(z)| \frac{|\omega_2(z)| - |z|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1}}{|z| \frac{|\omega_2(z)| - |z|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1}} \quad (\text{using Theorem 2.2}) \\ &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{|\omega_2(z)| - |z|}{|\omega_1(z)| - 1} \\ &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Switching the roles of μ_1 and μ_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\})} - 1 &\leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_1(z)|}{1 - |\omega_2(z)|} \\ &= \left[\limsup_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|} \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \left[\liminf_{z \rightarrow \beta} \frac{1 - |\omega_2(z)|}{1 - |\omega_1(z)|} \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows now that the inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\})} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\})} - 1 \right) \leq 1$$

is equivalent to $\mu_1(\{1/\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{1/\alpha_2\}) \geq 1$, thus concluding the proof. \square

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.2. *Consider the Borel probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathbb{T} and their free multiplicative convolution $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$. Suppose that neither μ_1 nor μ_2 is a point mass. Then:*

- (1) *The singular continuous part of μ relative to the arclength measure is zero.*
- (2) *If we have*

$$(3-1) \quad \max\{\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}\} \leq 1,$$
then μ is absolutely continuous relative to the arclength measure.
- (3) *If (3-1) is strict, then the density of μ relative to the arclength measure is bounded.*

Remark 3.3. It is remarkable that, for all free convolutions (see [Belinschi 2014; Ji 2021]), only the atomic parts of μ_1, μ_2 have an impact on the local boundedness of the density of their convolution.

Proof. The set $\{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1\}$ is obviously finite. By Lemma 3.1 (2), the set $S = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \eta_\mu(\{1/\alpha\}) = 1\}$ is finite as well. Since (2-2) implies that the support of the singular summand of μ is contained in S , it follows that this summand is a finite sum of point masses. This proves (1). Suppose now that (3-1) holds. Then Lemma 3.1 (1) shows that μ is absolutely continuous. Finally, suppose that (3-1) is strict. Then Lemma 3.1 (2) implies that η_μ does not take the value 1 at any point on \mathbb{T} . Since η_μ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, it must be bounded away from 1. Thus $\psi_\mu = \eta_\mu / (1 - \eta_\mu)$ is a bounded function. Then (3) follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Remark 3.4. Suppose that $\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) = 1$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. It was shown in [Belinschi 2003] that, setting $\beta_j = 1/\alpha_j$ and $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2$, we have $\omega_j(\beta) = \beta_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, but, of course, $\mu(\{1/\beta\}) = 0$. (This can also be proved using the results of [Belinschi et al. 2022] and the “chain rule” for Julia–Carathéodory derivatives.) In all computable examples, the density of μ is unbounded near $1/\beta$. We suspect that this is true in full generality.

4. An application

The following statement extends the main result of [Bercovici and Wang 2008] for probability measures on the circle. Nearly identical proofs yield the corresponding extensions for free additive convolutions and for free multiplicative convolutions on the positive half-line. For these two convolutions, it is not necessary to assume that one of the convolved measures has more than two points in its support. The condition $\eta_\mu(\alpha) = 1$ in the statement amounts to the requirement that either γ is an atom of μ , or the density of μ is unbounded near γ (or both).

Theorem 4.1. *Consider Borel probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathbb{T} , different from point masses, and set $\mu = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$. Suppose that $J \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an open arc such that each endpoint α of J satisfies $\eta_\mu(\alpha) = 1$. If either μ_1 or μ_2 has more than two points in its support, then $\mu(J) > 0$.*

Proof. Let α and β be the two endpoints of J , and let ω_j denote the subordination function of η_μ relative to η_{μ_j} . By Lemma 3.1, the points $\alpha_j = \omega_j(\alpha)$ and $\beta_j = \omega_j(\beta)$ satisfy

$$\mu_1(\{\alpha_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\alpha_2\}) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1(\{\beta_1\}) + \mu_2(\{\beta_2\}) \geq 1.$$

The hypothesis implies that either $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ or $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$. Indeed, otherwise, it would follow that the support of μ_j is $\{\alpha_j, \beta_j\}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Switching, if necessary, the roles of μ_1 and μ_2 , we may assume that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, so $\omega_1(\alpha) = \omega_1(\beta)$.

Suppose now that $\mu(J) = 0$. Then $|\eta_\mu(\zeta)| = 1$ for every $\zeta \in J$. The equation $\eta_\mu(\zeta) = \eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(\zeta))$ and the Schwarz lemma (which applies because $\eta_\mu(0) = 0$), imply that

$$|\eta_\mu(z)| \leq |\omega_1(z)|$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Letting z approach a point $\zeta \in J$, we see that $|\omega_1(\zeta)| = 1$. Now, ω_1 is not constant, and therefore $\omega_1(\zeta)$ moves counterclockwise as $\zeta \in J$ does so. By the Schwarz reflection principle, ω_1 is analytic and, thanks to the Julia–Carathéodory Theorem, it is locally injective on J . The equation $\omega_1(\alpha) = \omega_1(\beta)$ allows us to conclude that $\omega_1(J) \supseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\omega_1(\alpha)\}$. Moreover, the fact that $|\eta_{\mu_1}(\omega_1(\zeta))| = 1$ for $\zeta \in J$ shows that the support of μ_1 is contained in $\mathbb{T} \setminus \omega_1(J) \subseteq \{\omega_1(\alpha)\}$, contrary to the hypothesis. This contradiction yields the desired conclusion that $\mu(J) \neq 0$. \square

References

- [Akhiezer 1965] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Hafner, New York, 1965. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi 2003] S. T. Belinschi, “The atoms of the free multiplicative convolution of two probability distributions”, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **46**:4 (2003), 377–386. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi 2008] S. T. Belinschi, “The Lebesgue decomposition of the free additive convolution of two probability distributions”, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **142**:1–2 (2008), 125–150. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi 2014] S. T. Belinschi, “ L^∞ -boundedness of density for free additive convolutions”, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **59**:2 (2014), 173–184. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi and Bercovici 2005] S. T. Belinschi and H. Bercovici, “Partially defined semigroups relative to multiplicative free convolution”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**:2 (2005), 65–101. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi and Bercovici 2007] S. T. Belinschi and H. Bercovici, “A new approach to subordination results in free probability”, *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), 357–365. MR Zbl
- [Belinschi et al. 2022] S. T. Belinschi, H. Bercovici, and C. W. Ho, “On the convergence of Denjoy–Wolff points”, preprint, 2022. arXiv 2203.16728
- [Bercovici and Voiculescu 1993] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu, “Free convolution of measures with unbounded support”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42**:3 (1993), 733–773. MR Zbl
- [Bercovici and Voiculescu 1998] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu, “Regularity questions for free convolution”, pp. 37–47 in *Nonselfadjoint operator algebras, operator theory, and related topics*, edited by H. Bercovici and C. Foias, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **104**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Bercovici and Wang 2008] H. Bercovici and J.-C. Wang, “On freely indecomposable measures”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57**:6 (2008), 2601–2610. MR Zbl
- [Biane 1997] P. Biane, “On the free convolution with a semi-circular distribution”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46**:3 (1997), 705–718. MR Zbl
- [Biane 1998] P. Biane, “Processes with free increments”, *Math. Z.* **227**:1 (1998), 143–174. MR Zbl
- [Collingwood and Lohwater 1966] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **56**, Cambridge University Press, 1966. MR Zbl
- [Garnett 1981] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **96**, Academic, New York, 1981. MR Zbl
- [Ji 2021] H. C. Ji, “Regularity properties of free multiplicative convolution on the positive line”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021**:6 (2021), 4522–4563. MR Zbl
- [Voiculescu 1986] D. Voiculescu, “Addition of certain noncommuting random variables”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **66**:3 (1986), 323–346. MR Zbl
- [Voiculescu 1987] D. Voiculescu, “Multiplication of certain noncommuting random variables”, *J. Operator Theory* **18**:2 (1987), 223–235. MR Zbl
- [Voiculescu 1993] D. Voiculescu, “The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory, I”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **155**:1 (1993), 71–92. MR Zbl
- [Voiculescu et al. 1992] D. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, CRM Monograph Series **1**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. MR

Received May 26, 2022. Revised January 27, 2023.

SERBAN T. BELINSCHI
CNRS-INSTITUTE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE
TOULOUSE
FRANCE
serban.belinschi@math.univ-toulouse.fr

HARI BERCOVICI
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
INDIANA UNIVERSITY
BLOOMINGTON, IN
UNITED STATES
bercovic@indiana.edu

CHING-WEI HO
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ACADEMIA SINICA
TAIPEI
TAIWAN
chwho@gate.sinica.edu.tw

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$605/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 322 No. 2 February 2023

Elements of higher homotopy groups undetectable by polyhedral approximation	221
JOHN K. ACETI and JEREMY BRAZAS	
Regularity for free multiplicative convolution on the unit circle	243
SERBAN T. BELINSCHI, HARI BERCOVICI and CHING-WEI HO	
Invariant theory for the free left-regular band and a q -analogue	251
SARAH BRAUNER, PATRICIA COMMINS and VICTOR REINER	
Irredundant bases for finite groups of Lie type	281
NICK GILL and MARTIN W. LIEBECK	
Local exterior square and Asai L -functions for $GL(n)$ in odd characteristic	301
YEONGSEONG JO	
On weak convergence of quasi-infinitely divisible laws	341
ALEXEY KHARTOV	
C^* -irreducibility of commensurated subgroups	369
KANG LI and EDUARDO SCARPARO	
Local Maass forms and Eichler–Selberg relations for negative-weight vector-valued mock modular forms	381
JOSHUA MALES and ANDREAS MONO	
Representations of orientifold Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras and the Enomoto–Kashiwara algebra	407
TOMASZ PRZEŹDZIECKI	