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#### Abstract

We consider a Ricci de Turck flow of spaces with isolated conical singularities, which preserves the conical structure along the flow. We establish that a given initial regularity of Ricci curvature is preserved along the flow. Moreover under additional assumptions, positivity of scalar curvature is preserved under such a flow, mirroring the standard property of Ricci flow on compact manifolds. The analytic difficulty is the a priori low regularity of scalar curvature at the conical tip along the flow, so that the maximum principle does not apply. We view this work as a first step toward studying positivity of the curvature operator along the singular Ricci flow.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

Consider a compact smooth Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) without boundary. Its Ricci flow is a smooth family of metrics $(g(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} g(t)=-2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t)), \quad g(0)=g \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))$ denotes the Ricci curvature tensor of $g(t)$. Due to diffeomorphism invariance of the Ricci tensor, this evolution equation fails to be strongly parabolic. One overcomes this problem by adding an additional term to the equation which

[^0]breaks the diffeomorphism invariance. This leads to the equivalent and analytically more convenient Ricci de Turck flow
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} g(t)=-2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t))+\mathcal{L}_{W(t)} g(t), \quad g(0)=g \tag{1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $W(t)$ is the de Turck vector field defined in terms of the Christoffel symbols for the metrics $g(t)$ and a background metric $h$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)^{k}=g(t)^{i j}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(g(t))-\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(h)\right) . \tag{1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the applications, the background metric $h$ is usually taken as the initial metric $g$, or as its small Ricci flat perturbation. The de Turck vector field defines a oneparameter family of diffeomorphisms $(\phi(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and if $(g(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the Ricci de Turck flow (1-2), then the pullback $\left(\phi(t)^{*} g(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the Ricci flow (1-1).

On singular spaces, the de Turck vector field may point towards the singular stratum and thus lengths of the corresponding integral curves may not be bounded from below away from zero. Hence the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $(\phi(t))_{t \geq 0}$ may not exist for positive times. Therefore, in the singular setting (1-1) and (1-2) are generally not equivalent and we study the latter flow.

Our work establishes an interesting property of the flow, namely that a given initial regularity of the Ricci curvature is preserved along the flow.

Theorem 1.1. The singular Ricci de Turck flow preserves the initial regularity of the Ricci curvature. In particular, if the initial metric has bounded Ricci curvature, the flow remains of bounded Ricci curvature as well.

We are not able to deduce an analogous result for the scalar curvature. The reason is that the norm of the Ricci tensor appears as the reaction term in the evolution equation of the scalar curvature. Thus, unbounded Ricci curvature at the singularity pushes the scalar curvature to infinity after infinitesimal time. In contrast, the evolution equation on the Ricci curvature is tensorial which allows more flexibility. However, we are able to prove a different property of the scalar curvature along the Ricci flow which is well known in the smooth compact case.
Theorem 1.2. An admissible Riemannian manifold with isolated conical singularities and positive scalar curvature admits a singular Ricci de Turck flow preserving the singular structure and under the additional assumption of strong tangential stability, positivity of scalar curvature along the flow.

The present work is a continuation of a research program on singular Ricci flow, that preserves the initial singular structure, that has seen several recent advances. In the setting of surfaces with conical singularities, singular Ricci flow has been studied by Mazzeo, Rubinstein and Sesum [16] and Yin [23]. The Yamabe flow, which coincides with the Ricci flow in the two-dimensional setting, has been studied
in general dimension on spaces with edge singularities by Bahuaud and Vertman in [1] and [2]. In the setting of Kähler manifolds, Kähler-Ricci flow on spaces with edge singularities appears in the recent results on the Calabi-Yau conjecture on Fano manifolds; see Donaldson [6], Tian [20], see also Jeffres, Mazzeo and Rubinstein [9]. Kähler-Ricci flow in case of isolated conical singularities has been addressed by Chen and Wang [4], Wang [22], as well as Liu and Zhang [15].

Ricci flow on singular spaces of general dimension, without the Kähler condition, does not reduce to a scalar equation and has been studied in [21] by Vertman. Subsequently, Kröncke and Verman [13; 14] established stability and studied solitons and Perelman entropies of this flow in the special case of isolated conical singularities. The present work is a continuation of this research program.

Let us point out that Ricci flow preserving the initial singular structure, is not the only possible way to evolve a singular metric. In fact, Giesen and Topping [7; 8] construct a solution to the Ricci flow on surfaces with singularities, which becomes instantaneously complete. Alternatively, Simon [19] constructs Ricci flow in dimension two and three that smoothens out the singularity.

## 2. Geometric preliminaries on conical manifolds

We begin with a definition of spaces with isolated conical singularities. We point out that part of our analysis in fact applies to spaces with nonisolated conical singularities, the so-called edges.
Definition 2.1. Let $M$ be the open interior of a compact smooth manifold $\bar{M}$ with boundary $F:=\partial M$. Let $x$ be a boundary defining function and $\overline{\mathcal{C}(F)}$ a tubular neighborhood of the boundary with open interior $\mathcal{C}(F):=(0,1)_{x} \times F$. An incomplete Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ with an isolated conical singularity is a smooth metric on $M$ satisfying

$$
g \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}(F)=d x^{2}+x^{2} g_{F}+h=: \bar{g}+h
$$

where the higher order term $h$ has the following asymptotics at $x=0$. Let $\bar{g}=$ $d x^{2}+x^{2} g_{F}$ denote the exact conical part of the metric $g$ over $\mathcal{C}(F)$ and $\nabla_{\bar{g}}$ the corresponding Levi Civita connection. Then we require that for some $\gamma>0$ and all integers $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the pointwise norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{k} \nabla_{\bar{g}}^{k} h\right|_{\bar{g}}=O\left(x^{\gamma}\right), \quad x \rightarrow 0 \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. We emphasize here that we do not assume that the higher order term $h$ is smooth up to $x=0$ and do not restrict the order $\gamma>0$ to be an integer. In that sense the notion of conical singularities in the present discussion is more general than the classical notion of conical singularities where $h$ is usually assumed to be smooth up to $x=0$ with $\gamma=1$. This minor generalization is necessary, since the

Ricci de Turck flow, which will be introduced below, preserves a conical singularity only up to a higher order term $h$ as above.

We call $(M, g)$ a manifold with an isolated conical singularity, or a conical manifold for short. The definition extends directly to conical manifolds with finitely many isolated conical singularities. Since the analytic arguments are local in nature, we may assume without loss of generality that $M$ has a single conical singularity only.

Let $(z)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ be local coordinates on $F$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} F$. Then $(x, z)$ are local coordinates on the conical neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(F) \subset M$. A b-vector field is by definition a smooth vector field on $\bar{M}$ which is tangent to the boundary $\partial M=F$. The b-vector fields form a Lie algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{b}$. In the local coordinates $(x, z)$, the algebra $\mathcal{V}_{b}$ is locally, near $\partial M$, generated by

$$
\left\{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \partial_{z}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}}\right)\right\}
$$

with smooth coefficients on $\bar{M}$. The b-tangent bundle ${ }^{b} T M$ is defined by requiring that the b -vector fields form a spanning set of sections for it, i.e., $\mathcal{V}_{b}=C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M},{ }^{b} T M\right)$. The b-cotangent bundle ${ }^{b} T^{*} M$ is the dual bundle and locally, near $\partial M$, generated by the one-forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{d x}{x}, d z_{1}, \ldots, d z_{n}\right\} \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the differential form $\frac{d x}{x}$ is singular in the usual sense, but smooth as a section of ${ }^{b} T^{*} M$. Extend the radial function $x$ of the cone $\mathcal{C}(F)$ to a nowhere vanishing smooth function $x: \bar{M} \rightarrow[0,2]$. Then we define the incomplete b-tangent space ${ }^{i b} T M$ by asking that $C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M},{ }^{i b} T M\right):=x^{-1} C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M},{ }^{b} T M\right)$. The dual bundle, the incomplete b-cotangent bundle ${ }^{i b} T^{*} M$, is related to its complete counterpart ${ }^{b} T^{*} M$ by $C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M},{ }^{i b} T^{*} M\right)=x C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M},{ }^{b} T^{*} M\right)$, and is locally generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{d x, x d z_{1}, \ldots, x d z_{n}\right\} \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Weighted Hölder spaces on conical manifolds

In this section we review definitions from [21, Section 1.3] in the case of isolated conical singularities. Let $(M, g)$ be a manifold with isolated conical singularities.
Definition 3.1. Let $d_{M}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ denote the distance between two points $p, p^{\prime} \in M$ with respect to the conical metric $g$. In terms of the local coordinates $(x, z)$ over the singular neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(F)$, the distance can be estimated up to a constant uniformly from above and below by the distance function of the model cone

$$
d\left((x, z),\left(x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The Hölder space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T]), \alpha \in[0,1)$, is by definition the set of functions $u(p, t)$ that are continuous on $\bar{M} \times[0, T]$ with finite $\alpha$-th Hölder norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\alpha}:=\|u\|_{\infty}+\sup \left(\frac{\left|u(p, t)-u\left(p^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right|}{d_{M}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha / 2}}\right)<\infty \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with supremum taken over all $p, p^{\prime} \in M$ and $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, T]$ with $p \neq p^{\prime}$ and $t \neq t^{\prime} .{ }^{1}$
We now extend the notion of Hölder spaces to sections of the vector bundle $S:=\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left({ }^{(i b} T^{*} M\right)$ of symmetric 2-tensors. Note that the Riemannian metric $g$ induces a fiberwise inner product on $S$, which we also denote by $g$.
Definition 3.2. The Hölder space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$ is by definition the set of all sections $\omega$ of $S$ which are continuous on $\bar{M} \times[0, T]$, such that for any local orthonormal frame $\left\{s_{j}\right\}$ of $S$, the scalar functions $g\left(\omega, s_{j}\right)$ are in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {ie }}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T])$.

The $\alpha$-th Hölder norm of $\omega$ is defined using a partition of unity $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ subordinate to a cover of local trivializations of $S$, with a local orthonormal frame $\left\{s_{j k}\right\}$ over $\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{j}\right)$ for each $j \in J$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{\alpha}^{(\phi, s)}:=\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k}\left\|g\left(\phi_{j} \omega, s_{j k}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Different choices of $\left(\left\{\phi_{j}\right\},\left\{s_{j k}\right\}\right)$ lead to equivalent norms so that we may drop the upper index $(\phi, s)$ from notation. Next we come to weighted and higher order Hölder spaces of $S$-sections.
Definition 3.3. (1) The (hybrid) weighted Hölder space for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\gamma}:=x^{\gamma} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \cap x^{\gamma+\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{0}(M \times[0, T], S)
$$

with Hölder norm $\|\omega\|_{\alpha, \gamma}:=\left\|x^{-\gamma} \omega\right\|_{\alpha}+\left\|x^{-\gamma-\alpha} \omega\right\|_{\infty}$.
(2) The weighted higher order Hölder spaces are defined by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\gamma}:=\left\{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}, \gamma}^{\alpha} \mid\left\{\mathcal{V}_{b}^{j} \circ\left(x^{2} \partial_{t}\right)^{l}\right\} \omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}, \gamma}^{\alpha} \text { for all } j+2 l \leq k\right\}
$$

for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\gamma>-\alpha$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we also define

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\gamma}^{b}:=\left\{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha} \mid\left\{\mathcal{V}_{b}^{j} \circ\left(x^{2} \partial_{t}\right)^{l}\right\} \omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}, \gamma}^{\alpha} \text { for all } j+2 l \leq k\right\}
$$

The corresponding Hölder norms are defined using a finite cover of coordinate charts trivializing $S_{0}$ and a subordinate partition of unity $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$. By a slight abuse of notation, we identify $\mathcal{V}_{b}$ with its finite family of generators over each coordinate chart. Writing $\mathcal{D}:=\left\{\mathcal{V}_{b}^{j} \circ\left(x^{2} \partial_{t}\right)^{l} \mid j+2 l \leq k\right\}$ the Hölder norm on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\gamma}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{k+\alpha, \gamma}=\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{D}}\left\|X\left(\phi_{j} \omega\right)\right\|_{\alpha, \gamma}+\|\omega\|_{\alpha, \gamma} \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]For the Hölder norm on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\gamma}^{b}$ replace in (3-3) $\|\omega\|_{\alpha, \gamma}$ by $\|\omega\|_{\alpha}$.
The Hölder norms for different choices of coordinate charts, the subordinate partition of unity or vector fields $\mathcal{V}_{b}$ are equivalent. Analogously we also consider time-independent Hölder spaces, which are denoted in the same way with [0,T] deleted from notation above.

The vector bundle $S$ decomposes into a direct sum of subbundles $S=S_{0} \oplus S_{1}$, where the subbundle $S_{0}=\operatorname{Sym}_{0}^{2}\left({ }^{i b} T^{*} M\right.$ ) is the space of trace-free (with respect to the fixed metric $g$ ) symmetric 2-tensors, and $S_{1}$ is the space of pure trace (with respect to the fixed metric $g$ ) symmetric 2-tensors. Definition 3.3 extends verbatim to subbundles $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$.
Remark 3.4. The spaces presented here are slightly different from the spaces originally introduced in [21]. There, in case of $S_{1}$-sections, higher order weighted Hölder spaces were defined in terms of $x^{\gamma} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}$ instead of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}, \gamma}^{\alpha}$. Here, we present a more unified definition, which will become much more convenient below. The arguments of [21] still carry over to yield regularity statements in these unified Hölder spaces.

Definition 3.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact conical manifold, $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the following spaces:
(1) If $(M, g)$ is not an orbifold, we set

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S):=\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{0}\right)_{\gamma_{0}} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{1}}^{b}
$$

(2) If $(M, g)$ is an orbifold, we set

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S):=\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{0}\right)_{\gamma_{0}}^{b} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{1}}^{b}
$$

Note that e.g., for the nonorbifold case, the different choice of spaces for the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ components, simply ensures that the $S_{1}$ component is not (!) included in $x^{\gamma} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{0}$ for some positive weight $\gamma$. In case of orbifold singularities, this restriction is imposed on both the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ components. We can now impose regularity assumptions on our initial data $(M, g)$. Definitions 3.2 and 3.3 extend naturally to associated bundles of ${ }^{i b} T M$. Also we write $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{k, \alpha}$.
Definition 3.6. Let $\alpha \in[0,1), k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\gamma>0$. A conical manifold $(M, g)$ is ( $\alpha, \gamma, k$ ) Hölder regular if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(i) The $(0,4)$ curvature tensor $\mathrm{Rm} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], \otimes^{4 i b} T^{*} M\right)_{-2}$.
(ii) The Ricci curvature tensor Ric $\in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$.

We continue under that assumption from now on.
Remark 3.7. The asymptotics of the Ricci curvature tensor, as a section of $S$, is generically $O\left(x^{-2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Hence $(\alpha, \gamma, k)$ Hölder regularity with $\gamma>0$ in particular implies that the exact conical part ( $(\mathcal{C}(F), \bar{g})$ must be Ricci-flat. This is
equivalent to ( $F^{n}, g_{F}$ ) being Einstein with Einstein constant $n-1$. Moreover, the weight $\gamma$ corresponds to the weight in (2-1).

## 4. Lichnerowicz Laplacian and tangential stability

Consider the right hand side $-2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t))+\mathcal{L}_{W(t)} g(t)$ of the Ricci de Turck flow equation (1-2). Write $W(t)=W(g(t), h)$ to indicate precisely what the de Turck vector field depends on. Choose $h=g$ as the background metric. Then, replacing $g(t)=g+s \omega$ for some symmetric 2-tensor $\omega$, the linearization of the right-hand side of (1-2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\left(-2 \operatorname{Ric}(g+s \omega)+\mathcal{L}_{W(g+s \omega, g)}(g+s \omega)\right)\right|_{s=0}=-\Delta_{L} \omega \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{L}$ is an elliptic operator, which is known as the Lichnerowicz Laplacian of $g$, acting on symmetric 2 -tensors by

$$
\Delta_{L} \omega_{i j}=\Delta \omega_{i j}-2 g^{p q} \operatorname{Rm}_{q i j}^{r} \omega_{r p}+g^{p q} R_{i p} \omega_{q j}+g^{p q} R_{j p} \omega_{i q}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the rough Laplacian, and $\mathrm{Rm}_{q i j}^{r}$ and $R_{i j}$ denote the components of the (1,3)-Riemann curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor, respectively. Near the conical singularity $\Delta_{L}$ can be written as follows. We choose local coordinates $(x, z)$ over the singular neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(F)=(0,1)_{x} \times F$. Consider a decomposition of compactly supported smooth sections $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}(F), S \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}(F))$

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}(F), S \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}(F)) & \rightarrow C_{0}^{\infty}\left((0,1), C^{\infty}(F) \times \Omega^{1}(F) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} F\right)\right),  \tag{4-2}\\
\omega & \mapsto\left(\omega\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right), \omega\left(\partial_{x}, \cdot\right), \omega(\cdot, \cdot)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega^{1}(F)$ denotes differential 1-forms on $F$. Under such a decomposition, the Lichnerowicz Laplace operator $\Delta_{L}$ associated to the singular Riemannian metric $g$ attains the following form over $\mathcal{C}(F)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{n}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\square_{L}}{x^{2}}+\mathcal{O} \tag{4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square_{L}$ is a differential operator on $C^{\infty}(F) \times \Omega^{1}(F) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} F\right)$, depending only on the exact conical part $\bar{g}$, and the higher order term is $\mathcal{O} \in x^{-2+\gamma} \mathcal{V}_{b}^{2}$ with Hölder regular coefficients.

Tangential stability. We can now impose a central analytic condition, under which existence of singular Ricci de Turck flow has been established in [21].

Definition 4.1. $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ is called (strictly) tangentially stable if the tangential operator $\square_{L}$ of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on its cone restricted to tracefree tensors is nonnegative (resp. strictly positive).

Tangential stability (in fact a smaller lower bound $\square_{L} \geq-((n-1) / 2)^{2}$ would suffice) has a straight forward implication: for $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}(F), S)$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{L} \omega=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{x}\left(\sqrt{\square_{L}}+\right.\right.\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{4-4}\\
&\left.\left.+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right)^{t} \\
& \circ\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{x}\left(\sqrt{\square_{L}+\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right) \omega
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, tangential stability in particular implies that $\Delta_{L}$, acting on compactly supported smooth sections, has a lower bound, i.e., there exists some $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L} \upharpoonright C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S) \geq C \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience of the reader we shall add here a complete characterization of tangential stability, obtained by Kröncke and Vertman [13]. Recall that by Remark 3.7, the assumption of $(\alpha, \gamma, k)$ Hölder regularity implies that $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ is Einstein with Einstein constant $(n-1)$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\left(F, g_{F}\right), n \geq 3$ be a compact Einstein manifold with constant ( $n-1$ ). We write $\Delta_{E}$ for its Einstein operator, and denote the Laplace Beltrami operator by $\Delta$. Then $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ is tangentially stable if and only if $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta) \backslash\{0\} \cap(n, 2(n+1))=\varnothing$. Similarly, $(M, g)$ is strictly tangentially stable if and only if $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right)>0$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta) \backslash\{0\} \cap[n, 2(n+1)]=\varnothing$.

There are plenty of examples, where (strict) tangential stability is satisfied. Any spherical space form is tangentially stable. [13] also provides a detailed list of strict tangentially stable Einstein manifolds that are symmetric spaces. Note that $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is tangentially stable but not strictly tangentially stable.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\left(F^{n}, g_{F}\right), n \geq 2$ be a closed Einstein manifold with constant $(n-1)$, which is a symmetric space of compact type. If it is a simple Lie group $G$, it is strictly tangentially stable if $G$ is one of the following spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spin}(p)(p \geq 6, p \neq 7), \quad \mathrm{E}_{6}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{7}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{8}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{4} \tag{4-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the cross section is a rank-1 symmetric space of compact type $G / K,(M, g)$ is strictly tangentially stable if $G$ is one of the following real Grassmannians

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 q+2 p+1)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 q+1) \times \mathrm{SO}(2 p)}(p \geq 2, q \geq 1), & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(8)}{\mathrm{SO}(5) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)} \\
\text { (4-7) } & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p)}{\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(p)} & (p \geq 4), \\
& \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+2)}{\mathrm{SO}(p+2) \times \mathrm{SO}(p)}(p \geq 4), \\
& \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p-q) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)}(p-2 \geq q \geq 3), &
\end{array}
$$

or one of the following spaces:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{SU}(2 p) / \mathrm{SO}(p)(n \geq 6), & \mathrm{E}_{6} /[\mathrm{Sp}(4) /\{ \pm I\}], & \mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{SU}(2) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(6), \\
\mathrm{E}_{7} /[\mathrm{SU}(8) /\{ \pm I\}], & \mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{SO}(12) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2), & \mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16),  \tag{4-8}\\
\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2), & \mathrm{F}_{4} / \operatorname{Sp}(3) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2) . &
\end{array}
$$

Self-adjoint extensions of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. We can now study the selfadjoint closed extensions of $\Delta_{L}$ acting on compactly supported sections $C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S)$. We write $L^{2}(M, S)$ for the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S)$ with respect to the $L^{2}$-norm $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ defined by $g$. The maximal closed extension of $\Delta_{L}$ in $L^{2}(M, S)$ is defined by the following domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \max }\right):=\left\{\omega \in L^{2}(M, S) \mid \Delta_{L} \omega \in L^{2}(M, S)\right\} \tag{4-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{L} \omega$ is defined distributionally. The minimal closed extension of $\Delta_{L}$ in $L^{2}(M, S)$ is obtained as the domain of the graph closure of $\Delta_{L}$ acting on $C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \min }\right):=\left\{\omega \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \max }\right) \mid \exists\left(\omega_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S):\right. \\
& \omega_{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \\
&\left.\omega, \Delta_{L} \omega_{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{L} \omega \text { in } L^{2}(M, S)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(\lambda, \omega_{\lambda}\right)$ be the set of eigenvalues and corresponding eigentensors of the tangential operator $\square_{L}$. Assuming tangential stability, we have $\lambda \geq 0$, and hence we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\lambda):=\sqrt{\lambda+\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standard arguments, see, e.g., [10], show that for each $\omega \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \max }\right)$ there exist constants $c_{\lambda}^{ \pm}, \nu(\lambda) \in[0,1)$, depending only on $\omega$, such that $\omega$ admits a partial asymptotic expansion as $x \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega=\sum_{\nu(\lambda)=0} & \left(c_{\lambda}^{+}(\omega) x^{-(n-1) / 2}+c_{\lambda}^{-}(\omega) x^{-(n-1) / 2} \log (x)\right) \cdot \omega_{\lambda}  \tag{4-11}\\
& +\sum_{v(\lambda) \in(0,1)}\left(c_{\lambda}^{+}(\omega) x^{\nu(\lambda)-(n-1) / 2}+c_{\lambda}^{-}(\omega) x^{-v(\lambda)-(n-1) / 2}\right) \cdot \omega_{\lambda}+\widetilde{\omega}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \min }\right)$. All self-adjoint extensions for $\Delta_{L}$ can be classified by algebraic conditions on the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion (4-11), see, e.g., Kirsten, Loya and Park [10, Proposition 3.3]. The Friedrichs self-adjoint extension of $\Delta_{L}$ on $C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S) \subset L^{2}(M, S)$ is given by the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L}\right):=\left\{\omega \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \max }\right) \mid c_{\lambda}^{-}(\omega)=0 \text { for } v(\lambda) \in[0,1)\right\} . \tag{4-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $n \geq 3$, then tangential stability implies that all $\nu(\lambda) \geq 1$. Hence the minimal and maximal domains coincide and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L}\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \max }\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\Delta_{L, \min }\right), \quad \text { for } n \geq 3 \tag{4-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We close the section with an observation by Friedrichs and Stone, see Riesz and Nagy [18, Theorem on page 330], compare the corresponding statement in our previous work [13, Proposition 2.2].

Proposition 4.4. Assume that $(M, g)$ is tangentially stable, so that by (4-5) the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_{L}$ with domain $C_{0}^{\infty}(M, S)$ is bounded from below by a constant $C \in \mathbb{R} .{ }^{2}$ Then the Friedrichs self-adjoint extension of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_{L}$ is bounded from below by $C$ as well.

## 5. Existence and regularity of singular Ricci de Turck flow

The main result of [21, Theorem 4.1], see also [13, Theorem 1.2], is existence and regularity of singular Ricci de Turck flow. Despite a slight difference of Hölder spaces used here and in [21], we still conclude from [21, Theorem 4.1] that the heat operator of the Friedrichs extension $\Delta_{L}$ maps

$$
e^{-t \Delta_{L}}: \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma_{0},-2+\gamma_{1}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) .
$$

Therefore existence and regularity obtained in [21, Theorem 4.1], as well as in [13, Theorem 1.2] for a different choice of a background metric, still hold in our spaces.

Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a conical manifold, which is tangentially stable and ( $\alpha, k+1, \gamma)$ Hölder regular. In case the conical singularity is not orbifold, we assume strict tangential stability. Let the background metric be either equal to $g$ or a conical Ricci flat metric, in which case $g_{0}$ is assumed to be a sufficiently small perturbation of $\widetilde{g}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M, S)$. Then there exists some $T>0$, such that the Ricci de Turck flow (1-2), starting at $g$ admits a solution $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$ for some $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1} \in(0, \gamma)$ sufficiently small.

Let us now explain in what sense the flow preserves the conical singularity. Given an admissible perturbation $g$ of the conical metric $g_{0}$, the pointwise trace of $g$ with respect to $g_{0}$, denoted as $\operatorname{tr}_{g_{0}} g$ is by definition of admissibility an element of the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}\left(M, S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}$, restricting at $x=0$ to a constant function $\left(\operatorname{tr}_{g_{0}} g\right)(0)=u_{0}>0$. Setting $\tilde{x}:=\sqrt{u_{0}} \cdot x$, the admissible perturbation $g=g_{0}+h$ attains the form

$$
g=d \tilde{x}^{2}+\tilde{x}^{2} g_{F}+\tilde{h}
$$

[^2]where $|\tilde{h}|_{g}=O\left(x^{\gamma}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Note that the leading part of the admissible perturbation $g$ near the conical singularity differs from the leading part of the admissible metric $g_{0}$ only by scaling.

We now wish to specify conditions on the weights $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}$. Let us write $\square_{L}^{\prime}$ for the tangential operator of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_{L}$ acting on trace-free tensors $S_{0}$. The tangential operator of $\Delta_{L}$ acting on the pure trace tensors $S_{1}$ is simply the Laplace Beltrami operator $\Delta_{F}$ of $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$. We set $u_{0}:=\min \left(\operatorname{Spec} \square_{L}^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}\right)>0$ and $u_{1}:=\min \left(\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{F} \backslash\{0\}\right)>0$. In the orbifold case we set $u:=\min \left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$. ${ }^{3}$ Define

$$
\mu_{0}:=\sqrt{u_{0}+\frac{n-1}{2}}-\frac{n-1}{2}, \quad \mu_{1}:=\sqrt{u_{1}+\frac{n-1}{2}}-\frac{n-1}{2} .
$$

Then the admissible choice of weights ( $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}$ ) (in the orbifold case set $\gamma=\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{1}$ ) and the Hölder exponent $\alpha$ is given by the following restrictions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{0} \in\left(0, \mu_{0}\right), \quad \gamma_{0} \leq 2 \gamma_{1}, \quad \gamma_{0}<\gamma \\
& \gamma_{1} \in\left(0, \mu_{1}\right), \quad \gamma_{1} \leq \gamma_{0}, \quad \gamma_{1}<\gamma  \tag{5-1}\\
& \alpha \in\left(0, \mu_{0}-\gamma_{0}\right) \cap\left(0, \mu_{1}-\gamma_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 5.2. Note that in case of $u_{0}, u_{1}>n$, i.e., $\square_{L}>n$, and assuming additionally $\gamma>1$, we may choose $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}>1$ satisfying (5-1). This stronger condition is studied in the Appendix, where a list of examples is provided.

We close the section by pointing out regularity of the de Turck vector field. As before we may define weighted higher order Hölder spaces of the incomplete $b$-cotangent bundle ${ }^{i b} T^{*} M$. By Theorem 5.1, see [21, Section 6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T],{ }^{i b} T^{*} M\right)_{-1+\bar{\gamma}}, \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\gamma}:=\min \left\{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right\}$, and $\bar{\gamma}=\gamma$ in the orbifold case. As explained in the previous Remark 5.2, assuming $\square_{L}>n$ we can choose $\bar{\gamma}>1$, so that existence time of the integral curves of $W(t)$ is positive, uniformly bounded away from zero. In this case we may pass from the Ricci de Turck flow back to Ricci flow, which is generally not clear in the singular setting.

## 6. Evolution of curvatures under Ricci de Turck flow

The results of this section are well-known in the setting of smooth compact manifolds where the Ricci flow is defined. Evolution equations are usually proven by studying evolution of curvatures under the Ricci flow, and then the corresponding equations follow for the Ricci de Turck flow by applying the corresponding diffeomorphisms. In the singular setting there might be no globally well-defined diffeomorphism to

[^3]go from the Ricci de Turck flow back to the Ricci flow, as the de Turck vector field may be pointing toward the singularity. Thus we need to establish the evolution of Ricci curvature under Ricci de Turck flow directly without passing back to the Ricci flow. The evolution of the scalar curvature is then a direct consequence.

Notation. Let $g(t), t \in[0, T]$ be a Ricci de Turck flow of Riemannian metrics on $M$. We denote by $\nabla, \Delta, \Delta_{L}$ and $\Gamma$ the covariant derivative, the Laplace Beltrami operator, the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and the Christoffel symbols, respectively, defined with respect to the metric $g(t)$. We let $|\cdot|$ be the norm with respect to $g(t)$. We denote the Riemann curvature tensor by Rm, the Ricci tensor by Ric and the scalar curvature by $R$, and we use the following conventions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rm}(X, Y) Z & :=\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{Y} Z\right)-\nabla_{Y}\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \\
\operatorname{Rm}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}} & =R_{i j k}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and below we use the Einstein summation convention and sum over repeated upper and lower indices. We lower the upper index to the fourth slot $R_{i j k l}:=g_{p l} R_{i j k}^{p}$. Then the Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature $R$ are given by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{j k} \equiv R_{j k}:=R_{i j k}^{i}=g^{i l} R_{i j k l}, \quad R:=g^{j k} R_{j k}
$$

Theorem 6.1. Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. Let $g(t), t \in[0, T]$ be a solution of the Ricci de Turck flow with initial metric $g$ and reference metric h, i.e.,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i j}(x, t)=\left(-2 R_{i j}+\nabla_{i} W_{j}+\nabla_{j} W_{i}\right)(x, t), & (x, t) \in M \times[0, T]  \tag{6-1}\\ g_{i j}(x, 0)=g_{i j}(x), & x \in M\end{cases}
$$

where $W(t)^{k}=g(t)^{i j}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(g(t))-\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(h)\right)$ is the de Turck vector field. Then the Ricci tensor Ric evolves by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}+\Delta_{L}\right) R_{j k}=\nabla_{m} R_{j k} W^{m}+R_{j m} \nabla_{k} W^{m}+R_{k m} \nabla_{j} W^{m} . \tag{6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By [5, Lemma 3.5] the evolution of the Ricci curvature is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} R_{j k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{p q}\left(\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} a_{k p}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} a_{j p}-\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} a_{j k}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} a_{p q}\right), \tag{6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the time-dependent $(0,2)$-tensor $a$ is the time-derivative of the metric, $a_{i j}:=$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i j}$. Using the Ricci de Turck flow equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i j}=-2 R_{i j}+\nabla_{i} W_{j}+\nabla_{j} W_{i}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} R_{j k}=- & g^{p q}\left(\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} R_{k p}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} R_{j p}-\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} R_{j k}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} R_{p q}\right)  \tag{6-4}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} g^{p q}\left(\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} W_{p}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{p} W_{k}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} W_{p}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{j}\right. \\
& \left.-\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} W_{k}-\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} W_{j}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{q}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{q} W_{p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The first line on the right-hand side is the time-derivative of the Ricci tensor when the metric evolves by Ricci flow. Since it is known [5, Lemma 6.9] that under Ricci flow the time-derivative of the Ricci tensor equals the Lichnerowicz Laplacian of the Ricci tensor, i.e., $\partial_{t} R_{j k}=-\Delta_{L} R_{j k}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L} R_{j k}=g^{p q}\left(\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} R_{k p}+\nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} R_{j p}-\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} R_{j k}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} R_{p q}\right) . \tag{6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the second and third line on the right-hand side, we compute several terms by commuting covariant derivatives. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{p} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{p} W_{k} & =\nabla_{p}\left(\nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} W_{k}-R_{j q k}^{m} W_{m}\right)  \tag{6-6}\\
& =\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} \nabla_{j} W_{k}-\nabla_{p} R_{j q k}^{m} W_{m}-R_{j q k}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by exchanging $j$ and $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{p} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{j}=\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} \nabla_{k} W_{j}-\nabla_{p} R_{k q j}^{m} W_{m}-R_{k q j}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m} . \tag{6-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,
(6-8) $\quad \nabla_{p} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} W_{q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\nabla_{j} \nabla_{p} \nabla_{k} W_{q}-R_{p j k}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m} \\
& =\nabla_{j}\left(\nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{q}-R_{p k q}^{m} W_{m}\right)-R_{p j k}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m} \\
& =\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{q}-\nabla_{j} R_{p k q}^{m} W_{m}-R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m}-R_{p j k}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By exchanging $j$ and $k$ and commuting covariant derivatives we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{p} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} W_{q}= \nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{p} W_{q}-\nabla_{k} R_{p j q}^{m} W_{m}-R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m}  \tag{6-9}\\
&-R_{p k j}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m} \\
&=\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{p} W_{q}-R_{k j p}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{k j q}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m}-\nabla_{k} R_{p j q}^{m} W_{m} \\
&-R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m}-R_{p k j}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}-R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now plugging (6-5), (6-6), (6-7), (6-8), (6-9) into (6-4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} R_{j k}=-\Delta_{L} R_{j k}-\frac{1}{2} g^{p q}\left(\nabla_{p}\right. & R_{j q k}^{m} W_{m}+R_{j q k}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m}+\nabla_{p} R_{k q j}^{m} W_{m}  \tag{6-10}\\
& +R_{k q j}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m}+\nabla_{j} R_{p k q}^{m} W_{m}+R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m} \\
& +R_{p j k}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}+R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m}+R_{k j p}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q} \\
& +R_{k j q}^{m} \nabla_{p} W_{m}+\nabla_{k} R_{p j q}^{m} W_{m}+R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m} \\
& \left.+R_{p k j}^{m} \nabla_{m} W_{q}+R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying and rearranging terms yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} R_{j k}=-\Delta_{L} R_{j k} & -\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} \nabla_{q} W_{m}\left(R_{j p k}^{m}+R_{k p j}^{m}+R_{k j p}^{m}\right)  \tag{6-11}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} \nabla_{m} W_{q}\left(R_{p j k}^{m}+R_{k j p}^{m}+R_{p k j}^{m}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} W_{m}\left(\nabla_{p} R_{j q k}^{m}+\nabla_{p} R_{k q j}^{m}+\nabla_{j} R_{p k q}^{m}+\nabla_{k} R_{p j q}^{m}\right) \\
& -g^{p q}\left(R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m}+R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The second line on the right-hand side is

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} \nabla_{q} W_{m}\left(R_{j p k}^{m}+R_{k p j}^{m}+R_{k j p}^{m}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} \nabla_{m} W_{q}\left(R_{p j k}^{m}+R_{k j p}^{m}+R_{p k j}^{m}\right)  \tag{6-12}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{p} W^{m}\left(R_{j p k m}+R_{k p j m}+R_{k j p m}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{m} W^{p}\left(R_{p j k m}+R_{k j p m}+R_{p k j m}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{p} W^{m}\left(R_{j p k m}+R_{k p j m}+R_{k j p m}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{p} W^{m}\left(R_{m j k p}+R_{k j m p}+R_{m k j p}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{p} W^{m}\left(R_{j p k m}+R_{k p j m}+R_{k j p m}-R_{k p j m}-R_{k j p m}-R_{j p k m}\right) \\
& =0,
\end{align*}
$$

where in the penultimate line we used the symmetries of the curvature tensor. The fourth line on the right-hand side is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g^{p q}\left(R_{p k q}^{m} \nabla_{j} W_{m}+R_{p j q}^{m} \nabla_{k} W_{m}\right)=R_{k m} \nabla_{j} W^{m}+R_{j m} \nabla_{k} W^{m} \tag{6-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the third line on the right-hand side, we first observe that by the symmetries of the curvature tensor and the second Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{p} R_{j q k m}=\nabla_{p} R_{k m j q}=-\nabla_{m} R_{p k j q}-\nabla_{k} R_{m p j q} \tag{6-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, exchanging $j$ and $k$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{p} R_{k q j m}=-\nabla_{m} R_{p j k q}-\nabla_{j} R_{m p k q} \tag{6-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (6-14) and (6-15) we obtain for the third line on the right-hand side

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} W_{m}\left(\nabla_{p} R_{j q k}^{m}+\nabla_{p} R_{k q j}^{m}+\nabla_{j} R_{p k q}^{m}+\nabla_{k} R_{p j q}^{m}\right)  \tag{6-16}\\
&=-\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} W^{m}\left(-\nabla_{m} R_{p k j q}-\nabla_{k} R_{m p j q}-\nabla_{m} R_{p j k q}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\nabla_{j} R_{m p k q}+\nabla_{j} R_{p k q m}+\nabla_{k} R_{p j q m}\right) \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} W^{m}\left(-\nabla_{m} R_{k j}+\nabla_{k} R_{m j}-\nabla_{m} R_{j k}+\nabla_{j} R_{m k}-\nabla_{j} R_{k m}-\nabla_{k} R_{j m}\right) \\
&= W^{m} \nabla_{m} R_{j k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (6-12), (6-13) and (6-16) into (6-11) we finally obtain the claimed evolution equation of the Ricci tensor

$$
\partial_{t} R_{j k}=-\Delta_{L} R_{j k}+\nabla_{m} R_{j k} W^{m}+R_{j m} \nabla_{k} W^{m}+R_{k m} \nabla_{j} W^{m}
$$

Corollary 6.2. Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. Let $g(t), t \in[0, T]$ be a solution of the Ricci de Turck flow with initial metric $g$ and reference metric h, i.e.,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i j}(x, t)=\left(-2 R_{i j}+\nabla_{i} W_{j}+\nabla_{j} W_{i}\right)(x, t), & (x, t) \in M \times[0, T]  \tag{6-17}\\ g_{i j}(x, 0)=g_{i j}(x), & x \in M,\end{cases}
$$

where $W(t)^{k}=g(t)^{i j}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(g(t))-\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(h)\right)$ is the de Turck vector field. Then the scalar curvature $R$ evolves by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}+\Delta\right) R=\langle W, \nabla R\rangle+2|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} \tag{6-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The scalar curvature is given by $R=g^{j k} R_{j k}$. Since

$$
\partial_{t} g^{j k}=-g^{j p} g^{k q} \partial_{t} g_{p q}=-g^{j p} g^{k q}\left(-2 R_{p q}+\nabla_{p} W_{q}+\nabla_{q} W_{p}\right)
$$

and by the evolution equation for the Ricci tensor (6-2) we thus obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} R= & -g^{j p} g^{k q}\left(-2 R_{p q}+\nabla_{p} W_{q}+\nabla_{q} W_{p}\right) R_{j k} \\
& \quad+g^{j k}\left(-\Delta_{L} R_{j k}+\nabla_{m} R_{j k} W^{m}+R_{j m} \nabla_{k} W^{m}+R_{k m} \nabla_{j} W^{m}\right) \\
= & 2 \mid \mathrm{Ric}^{2}-2 g^{j p} g^{k q} \nabla_{p} W_{q} R_{j k}-g^{j k} \Delta_{L} R_{j k}+\nabla_{m} R W^{m}+2 g^{j k} R_{j m} \nabla_{k} V^{m} \\
= & -\Delta R+\nabla_{m} R W^{m}+2|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we used $g^{j k} \Delta_{L} R_{j k}=\Delta R$.
Remark 6.3. Note that in the proof we didn't use the special form of $W$, we just used that $W$ is a (time-dependent) one-form.

Remark 6.4. Note also that, although the computations above are local, it is not possible to locally go from the Ricci de Turck flow back to the Ricci flow. If the de Turck vector field points towards the singularity, such that there exists a sequence of points $p_{n} \in M$ where the integral curve of the de Turck vector field starting at $p_{n}$ has maximal existence time $t_{\max }\left(p_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, the local diffeomorphisms generated by the de Turck vector field are not defined near $p_{n}$ for times $t_{\max }\left(p_{n}\right)<t \leq T$. As the times $t_{\text {max }}\left(p_{n}\right)$ become arbitrarily small, it is also not possible to replace $T$ by a smaller time $0<S<T$ and obtain the evolution equations on $[0, S]$.

## 7. Regularity of Ricci curvature along the Ricci de Turck flow

Our aim in this section is to improve the a priori low regularity of the Ricci curvature along the singular Ricci de Turck flow, as noted in [21, Theorem 8.1]. Consider an $(\alpha, k+1, \gamma)$-Hölder regular conical manifold ( $M, g$ ), satisfying tangential stability, with singular Ricci de Turck flow $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T]$, $S$ ), i.e., decomposing $g(t)=(1+u) g+\omega$ into trace and trace-free parts with respect to the initial metric $g$,
we have

$$
(\omega, u) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{0}\right)_{\gamma_{0}} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{1}}^{b}
$$

Recall the following transformation rule for the Ricci curvature tensor under conformal transformations (setting $1+u=e^{2 \phi}$ and noting that $\operatorname{dim} M=n+1$ )
$(7-1) \operatorname{Ric}((1+u) g)=\operatorname{Ric}(g)-(n-1)(\nabla \partial \phi-\partial \phi \cdot \partial \phi)+\left(\Delta_{L} \phi-(n-1)\|\nabla \phi\|^{2}\right) g$.
From here we conclude that $\operatorname{Ric}((1+u) g)-\operatorname{Ric}(g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-2+\gamma_{1}}$. Now consider $\operatorname{Ric}((1+u) g+\omega)-\operatorname{Ric}((1+u) g)$, which is an intricate combination of $a$ and $\omega$, involving their second order $x^{-2} \mathcal{V}^{2}$ derivatives. Hence that difference lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {ie }}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-2+\bar{\gamma}}$ with $\bar{\gamma}:=\min \left\{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right\}$. We conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}(g) & \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+1, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-2+\gamma}, \\
\operatorname{Ric}(g(t)) & \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-2+\bar{\gamma}}, \quad t>0 \tag{7-2}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, e.g., if $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1} \leq 2 \leq \gamma$, then $\bar{\gamma} \leq 2 \leq \gamma$. In that case, the initial Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ is bounded as a section of $S$, while for positive times $\operatorname{Ric}(g(t))$ is singular as a section of $S$. In this section we improve this low regularity result.

Expansion of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. Below we fix the following notation: A superscript " $\sim$ " indicates that the quantity is taken with respect to the initial metric $g(0)$. For example, $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta_{L}}$ refer to the covariant derivative and the Lichnerowicz Laplacian with respect to $g(0)$. Otherwise the quantities are defined with respect to the flow $g(t)$.

Theorem 7.1. Consider an $(\alpha, k+1, \gamma)$-Hölder regular conical manifold $(M, g)$, satisfying tangential stability, with singular Ricci de Turck flow $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times$ $[0, T], S)$, i.e., decomposing $g(t)=a g+\omega$ into trace and trace-free parts with respect to the initial metric $g$. Then there exist

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\bar{\gamma}} \\
& B \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-1+\bar{\gamma}} \\
& C \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-2+\bar{\gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that for any (time-dependent) symmetric 2-tensor c

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L} c=a^{-1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2} c+\left(A \cdot\left(x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}\right)^{2}+B \cdot x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}+C\right) c \tag{7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the rough Laplacian $\Delta=-g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j}$ first. We start by writing out $\Delta$ in local coordinates and later on turn to the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_{L}$. We
compute for any symmetric 2 -tensor $c$

$$
\begin{align*}
&(\Delta c)_{k l}=-g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} c_{k l}  \tag{7-4}\\
&=-g^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}-\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{m} c_{m l}-\Gamma_{j k}^{m} \partial_{i} c_{m l}-\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j l}^{m} c_{k m}-\Gamma_{j l}^{m} \partial_{i} c_{k m}\right. \\
& \quad-\Gamma_{i j}^{m} \partial_{m} c_{k l}+\Gamma_{i j}^{m} \Gamma_{m k}^{p} c_{p l}+\Gamma_{i j}^{m} \Gamma_{m l}^{p} c_{k p}-\Gamma_{i k}^{m} \partial_{j} c_{m l}+\Gamma_{i k}^{m} \Gamma_{j m}^{p} c_{p l} \\
&\left.+\Gamma_{i k}^{m} \Gamma_{j l}^{p} c_{m p}-\Gamma_{i l}^{m} \partial_{j} c_{k m}+\Gamma_{j k}^{m} \Gamma_{i l}^{p} c_{m p}+\Gamma_{i l}^{m} \Gamma_{j m}^{p} c_{k p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Our goal is to obtain an expansion for each term on the right-hand side of (7-4). The metric $g(t)$ has the form $g_{i j}=a \tilde{g}_{i j}+\omega_{i j}$, with inverse (see [21, page 28])

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{i j}=a^{-1} \tilde{g}^{i j}-a^{-2} \tilde{g}^{i l} \tilde{g}^{j p} \omega_{p l}+a^{-2} g^{j l} \tilde{g}^{i r} \tilde{g}^{p q} \omega_{l p} \omega_{r q} \tag{7-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the first term on the right-hand side of (7-4) is given by

$$
-g^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}=-a^{-1} \tilde{g}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}-\left(-a^{-2} \tilde{g}^{i s} \tilde{g}^{j p} \omega_{p s}+a^{-2} g^{j s} \tilde{g}^{i r} \tilde{g}^{p q} \omega_{s p} \omega_{r q}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}
$$

Now we study the asymptotics of the last expression at the conical singularity. This is analogous to the discussion in [21, pages 28-29]. Consider the local coordinates $\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ of $\mathcal{C}(F)$ with $z_{0}=x$ and $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ being local coordinates of $F$. An upper index $i=0$ does not contribute any singular factor of $x$ due to the structure of the inverse $g^{-1}$. A lower index $i=0$ indicates a differentiation by $\partial_{x} \in x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}$ Hence an index $i=0$ (as a combination of a lower and upper index) contributes $x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}$ up to a term of type $A$.

Similarly, an upper index $i>0$ contributes a singular factor $x^{-1}$ due to the structure of the inverse $g^{-1}$. A lower index $i>0$ indicates a differentiation by $\partial_{z_{j}} \in \mathcal{V}_{b}$ Hence an index $i>0$ (as a combination of a lower and upper index) contributes $x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}$ up to a term of type $A$. Hence in total we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}=-a^{-1} \tilde{g}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} c_{k l}+A \cdot\left(x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}\right)^{2} c_{k l}, \tag{7-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {ie }}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{\bar{\gamma}}$. In order to study the remaining terms in (7-4), involving Christoffel symbols, we note that the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ with respect to the metric $g(t)$ are related to the Christoffel symbols $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k}$ of the initial metric $\tilde{g}$ as follows

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma_{i j}^{k}=\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k}+\frac{1}{2} a^{-1} \tilde{g}^{k m}\left(\partial_{i} a \tilde{g}_{j m}+\partial_{j} a \tilde{g}_{i m}-\partial_{m} a \tilde{g}_{i j}+\partial_{i} \omega_{j m}+\partial_{j} \omega_{i m}-\partial_{m} \omega_{i j}\right)  \tag{7-7}\\
+\frac{1}{2}\left(-a^{-2} \tilde{g}^{k l} \tilde{g}^{m p} \omega_{p l}+a^{-2} g^{m l} \tilde{g}^{k r} \tilde{g}^{p q} \omega_{l p} \omega_{r q}\right) \\
\times\left(a\left(\partial_{i} \tilde{g}_{j m}+\partial_{j} \tilde{g}_{i m}-\partial_{m} \tilde{g}_{i j}\right)+\partial_{i} a \tilde{g}_{j m}+\partial_{j} a \tilde{g}_{i m}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\partial_{m} a \tilde{g}_{i j}+\partial_{i} \omega_{j m}+\partial_{j} \omega_{i m}-\partial_{m} \omega_{i j}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This allows us to conclude by counting upper and lower indices as above that for any $\left\{Y_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell}$ with $Y_{0}:=\partial_{x}$ and $Y_{i}:=x^{-1} \partial_{z_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta c)\left(Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right)=a^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta} c)\left(Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right)+\left(A \cdot\left(x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}\right)^{2}+B \cdot x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}+C\right) c\left(Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right) \tag{7-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some terms $A, B, C$ as in the statement of the theorem. Computing further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=a^{-1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}++\left(A \cdot\left(x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}\right)^{2}+B^{\prime} \cdot x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}+C^{\prime}\right) \tag{7-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}=B-a^{-1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\nabla} a^{-1 / 2}\right), C^{\prime}=C-a^{-1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Note that the terms $B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ still have the same Hölder regularity as $B, C$. Now we turn to the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. Recall that it is given by

$$
\Delta_{L} c_{k l}=\Delta c_{k l}-2 g^{p q} R_{q k l}^{r} c_{r p}+g^{p q} R_{k p} c_{q l}+g^{p q} R_{l p} c_{k q}
$$

To deal with the extra terms involving the Riemann curvature tensor and the Ricci curvature on the right-hand side, we note the following formula for the components of the curvature tensor in local coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k}^{l}=\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{l}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}^{l}+\Gamma_{j k}^{p} \Gamma_{i p}^{l}-\Gamma_{i k}^{p} \Gamma_{j p}^{l} \tag{7-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also leads to a formula for the components of the Ricci tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}=R_{i j k}^{i}=\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}^{i}+\Gamma_{j k}^{p} \Gamma_{i p}^{i}-\Gamma_{i k}^{p} \Gamma_{j p}^{i} \tag{7-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

These extra terms can be treated using (7-7), which leads to the claimed expansion for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian.
Corollary 7.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 7.1 we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}\right) \text { Ric }=: X(\text { Ric }) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k-1, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-4+2 \bar{\gamma}} \tag{7-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the evolution (6-2) of Ricci curvature along the Ricci de Turck flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}+\Delta_{L}\right) \operatorname{Ric}_{j k}=\nabla_{m} \operatorname{Ric}_{j k} W^{m}+\operatorname{Ric}_{j m} \nabla_{k} W^{m}+\operatorname{Ric}_{k m} \nabla_{j} W^{m} \tag{7-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5-2) and (7-2) we conclude

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+\Delta_{L}\right) \operatorname{Ric} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k-1, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-4+2 \bar{\gamma}}
$$

The statement now follows from Theorem 7.1 and (7-2).
Mapping properties of the heat parametrix for $L:=\boldsymbol{a}^{\mathbf{1 / 2}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} \boldsymbol{a}^{-\mathbf{1 / 2}}$. We first point out the following relation between $L=a^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}$ and the Lichnerowicz Laplace operator $\widetilde{\Delta_{L}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =a^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}  \tag{7-14}\\
& =\widetilde{\Delta}+a^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}\right)+a^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\nabla} a^{-1 / 2}\right) \widetilde{\nabla} \\
& =\widetilde{\Delta}_{L}+(B \cdot \widetilde{\nabla}+C) \\
& =: \widetilde{\Delta}_{L}+P
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ and $C$ are terms with same regularity as in Theorem 7.1. The heat operator for $\widetilde{\Delta_{L}}$ has been studied in [21, Theorem 3.1], which asserts that for $n \geq 3$, any
$\gamma \in\left(0,2+\min \left\{\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\}\right)$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small, the following is a bounded mapping (we simplify notation by writing $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{k, \alpha}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t \widetilde{\Delta_{L}}}: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \tag{7-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by (7-14), L and $\widetilde{\Delta_{L}}$ differ only by lower order terms $P$, the heat operator construction in [21] carries over to get a heat parametrix for $L$ as well. Thus, exactly as in (7-15), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:=e^{-t L}: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \tag{7-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following mapping properties are needed later in this section, and follow by similar arguments as in [21, Theorem 3.1] and (7-15).

Lemma 7.3. Consider smooth functions $\rho \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ smooth up to the boundary, $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ smooth with compact support away from the conical singularity. Let $\nu \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M, T M)$ be a smooth 1 -form and $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M,{ }^{i b} T^{*} M \otimes{ }^{i b} T^{*} M\right)$ a smooth (0, 2)-tensor, both with compact support away from the conical singularity. Let $H$ be the heat parametrix of $L=a^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}:=\eta H \rho: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S), \\
& \widetilde{E}_{0}:=v \otimes H \rho: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T],{ }^{i b} T^{*} M \otimes S\right), \\
& \widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_{0}:=\omega \otimes H \rho: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T],{ }^{i b} T^{*} M^{\otimes} \otimes S\right), \\
& \text { with }\left\|E_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|\widetilde{E}_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { and }\left\|\widetilde{E}_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } T \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next result is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 7.3.
Corollary 7.4. In the notation of Lemma 7.3 we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad F_{0}:=\nu \otimes \nabla H \rho: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-3+\gamma}^{k+1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T],{ }^{i b} T^{*} M^{\otimes^{2}} \otimes S\right), \\
& \quad G_{0}:=g^{i j} v_{i} \nabla_{j} H \rho: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k+1, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S), \\
& \text { with }\left\|F_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|G_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } T \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.3 we have

$$
\nabla(v \otimes H \rho): \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-3+\gamma}^{k+1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T],{ }^{i b} T^{*} M^{\otimes^{2}} \otimes S\right)
$$

with $\|\nabla(\nu \otimes H \rho)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand

$$
\nabla(v \otimes H \rho)=\nabla v \otimes H \rho+v \otimes \nabla H \rho
$$

Hence the mapping properties of $F_{0}$ follow by applying Lemma 7.3 to $\nabla v \otimes H \rho$. The mapping properties of $G_{0}$ follow from the ones of $F_{0}$, as $G_{0}$ is simply $F_{0}$ composed with a contraction.

Heat operator parametrix for $\boldsymbol{a}^{\mathbf{1 / 2}} \tilde{\Delta} \boldsymbol{a}^{\mathbf{1 / 2}}$. Our goal in this section is the existence of inverses $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ for the parabolic operator $P:=\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L$, where $a$ is the pure trace part of $g(t)$ with respect to the initial metric $g$ and is positive uniformly bounded away from zero for short time. These parametrices are constructed out of the heat parametrix $H$ for $L=a^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}$, using (7-16), Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.4. Our main result in this subsection is as follows.
Theorem 7.5. Let $n \geq 3$. Consider any $\gamma \in\left(0,2+\min \left\{\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\}\right)$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small, such that (7-15) holds. Then there exists $T_{0}>0$ sufficiently small and a bounded linear map

$$
\mathcal{Q}: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)
$$

such that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$, then $u=\mathcal{Q} f$ solves the initial value problem

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u=f, \quad u(\cdot, 0)=0
$$

The proof of that theorem will occupy the rest of this subsection. Before we proceed, let us note an immediate consequence.
Theorem 7.6. Let $n \geq 3$. Consider any $\gamma \in\left(0,2+\min \left\{\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\}\right)$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small, such that (7-15) holds. Then there exists $T_{0}>0$ sufficiently small and a bounded linear map

$$
\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M, S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)
$$

such that if $u_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M, S)$, then $u=\mathcal{R} u_{0}$ solves the initial value problem

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u=0, \quad u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0}
$$

Proof. We have $L u_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M, S)$ and thus $a^{-1} L u_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$. We set $\mathcal{R} u_{0}:=u_{0}+\mathcal{Q}\left(a^{-1} L u_{0}\right)$, which yields the desired solution to the initial value problem above.

Construction of a boundary parametrix. We now begin the proof of Theorem 7.5. The proof idea is to construct boundary and interior parametrices, and glue them together to an approximate solution to $\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right)$. Provided the error is sufficiently "nice", we can obtain a solution $\mathcal{Q}$ by a Neumann series argument. We follow the analytic path outlined in the work by Vertman jointly with Bahuaud [2].

We start by introducing the notion of a reference covering which is a special case of a covering considered in [2]. Let $U=[0,1)_{x} \times(-1,1)_{z}^{n}$ be a model halfcube. For each $p \in \partial M$, there is a coordinate chart $\Phi_{p}: U \rightarrow W_{p}$ onto an open neighborhood $W_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}(F) \subset \bar{M}$, centered around $p_{i}$. Due to compactness of $\partial M$, there are finitely many such charts $\left\{W_{i}, \Phi_{p_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ covering $\mathcal{C}(F)$. Together with


Figure 1. The cutoff functions $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i}$.
the open set $W_{0}=\bar{M} \backslash\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times F\right)$ we obtain an open cover of $\bar{M}$ that we call a reference covering.

Let $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth cutoff function with $\sigma(s)=1$ for $s \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma(s)=0$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Denote by $(x, z) \in \Phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(W_{i}\right)$ the local coordinates on the coordinate chart $W_{i}$, centered around $p_{i} \in \partial M$. Then we define

$$
\varphi_{i}\left(\Phi_{p_{i}}(x, z)\right):=\sigma(x) \sigma(\|z\|), \quad \psi_{i}\left(\Phi_{p_{i}}(x, z)\right):=\sigma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{\|z\|}{2}\right)
$$

Notice that $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i} \in C^{\infty}(\tilde{M})$ are both identically 1 near $(0,0)$, and $\psi_{i} \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{i}$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M)$, since they are constant near the cone points. These functions are illustrated in the radial direction in Figure 1.

Next, for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ to be specified later, and any $p=z_{0} \in W_{i} \cap \partial M$ we set

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{i, p}(x, z):=\varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, z-z_{0}\right), \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{i, p}(x, z):=\psi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, z-z_{0}\right) .
$$

This defines smooth cutoff functions $\widetilde{\varphi}_{i, p}, \widetilde{\psi}_{i, p} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ which again lie in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)$, with the Hölder norms bounded by $\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)}$ and $\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)}$, respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{\varphi}_{i, p}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} \leq \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)}, \quad\left\|\tilde{\psi}_{i, p}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} \leq \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} . \tag{7-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{i}$ be the lattice of points in the coordinate chart $W_{i}$ of the form $\left\{(0, w) \mid w \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$. By construction, every point on $\partial M$ lies in the support of at most a fixed number (independent of $\varepsilon$ ) of functions $\left\{\widetilde{\psi}_{i, p} \mid i=1, \ldots, N, p \in L_{i}\right\}$. Furthermore, let $F_{\varepsilon}=F_{\varepsilon}(x)$ be a cutoff function with supp $F_{\varepsilon} \subset\{x \geq \varepsilon / 4\} \subset M$, which is identically 1 on the set $\{x \geq \varepsilon\}$. Let $G_{\varepsilon}(x)=F_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$. For any $p \in L_{i}$ we normalize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i, p}:=\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}_{i, p}}{G_{\varepsilon}+\sum_{k} \sum_{q \in L_{k}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k, q}}, \quad \psi_{i, p}:=\frac{\widetilde{\psi}_{i, p}}{F_{\varepsilon}+\sum_{k} \sum_{q \in L_{k}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k, q}} . \tag{7-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7-17), these functions again lie in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M)$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{i, p}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} & \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\varphi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\alpha}  \tag{7-19}\\
\left\|\psi_{i, p}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)} & \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}(M)}
\end{align*} \leq \text { const } \cdot \varepsilon^{-\alpha} .
$$

Finally note that due to normalization, for any $0<\varepsilon<1$, the functions

$$
\Phi:=\sum_{i} \sum_{p \in L_{i}} \varphi_{i, p}, \quad \Psi:=\sum_{i} \sum_{p \in L_{i}} \psi_{i, p}
$$

are smooth cutoff functions in $C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ which are identically 1 in a neighborhood of the cone singularity. We can now introduce an approximate boundary parametrix. Consider any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$ and denote by $H_{p}$ the heat kernel of $a(p, 0)^{-1} L,{ }^{4}$ for any $p \in \partial M$ (note this is simply a rescaling of $L$ by a positive constant). Then we define our boundary parametrix by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{b} f:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p \in L_{j}} \psi_{j, p} H_{p}\left[\varphi_{j, p} f\right] \tag{7-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.7. The solution $u_{p}:=\psi_{j, p} H_{p}\left[\varphi_{j, p} f\right]$ satisfies

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u_{p}=\varphi_{j, p} f+E_{j, p}^{0} f+E_{j, p}^{1} f
$$

where the operators $E_{j, p}^{0}$ and $E_{j, p}^{1}$ are as follows:
(i) $E_{j, p}^{0}$ is a bounded linear map on $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$, and there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $j, p$, such that for $T / \varepsilon^{2}<1$

$$
\left\|E_{j, p}^{0} f\right\| \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\left\|\varphi_{j, p} f\right\|
$$

(ii) $E_{j, p}^{1}$ is a bounded linear map on $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$, with operator norm satisfying $\left\|E_{j, p}^{1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Proof. We simplify notation by omitting the subscripts on $\varphi, \psi$ and $E^{0}, E^{1}$. Then we compute in view of (7-14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u_{p}= & \left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right)\left(\psi H_{p}[\varphi f]\right) \\
= & \psi \partial_{t} H_{p}[\varphi f]+a^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\Delta} \psi \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]+2 \tilde{g}^{i j} \widetilde{\nabla}_{i} \psi\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{j} H_{p}[\varphi f]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+B \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]\right)+\psi L H_{p}[\varphi f]\right) \\
= & \psi\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1}(p, 0) L\right) H_{p}[\varphi f]+\psi\left(a^{-1}-a^{-1}(p, 0)\right) L H_{p}[\varphi f] \\
& \quad+a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta} \psi \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]+a^{-1} 2 \tilde{g}^{i j} \widetilde{\nabla}_{i} \psi\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{j} H_{p}[\varphi f]+B \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]\right) \\
= & \psi \varphi f+E^{0} f+E^{1} f,
\end{aligned}
$$

[^4]where the operators $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$ are explicitly given by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{0} f:=\psi\left(a^{-1}-a^{-1}(p, 0)\right) L H_{p}[\varphi f] \\
& E^{1} f:=a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta} \psi \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]+2 a^{-1} \tilde{g}^{i j} \widetilde{\nabla}_{i} \psi\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{j} H_{p}[\varphi f]+B \cdot H_{p}[\varphi f]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Since $\psi \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, any derivative of $\psi$ vanishes on a neighborhood of the conical singularity. Hence the claimed mapping properties of $E^{1}$ follow from Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.4. What is left is establishing the mapping properties of $E^{0}$. Writing out definitions of the various Hölder norms, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E^{0} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \leq C\left\|\psi\left(a^{-1}-a^{-1}(p, 0)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{i} e}^{k, \alpha}} \cdot\left\|L H_{p}[\varphi f]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \tag{7-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $p=\left(0, z_{0}\right)$. For any $(x, z)$ in the support of $\psi$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a^{-1}(x, z, t)-a^{-1}\left(0, z_{0}, 0\right)\right| & \leq|x|^{\gamma}\left\|x^{-\gamma}\left(x \partial_{x}\right) a^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}+t^{\alpha / 2}\left\|a^{-1}\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq|x|^{\gamma}\left\|x^{-\gamma}\left(x \partial_{x}\right) a\right\|_{\infty}+t^{\alpha / 2}\|a\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq C\left(d_{M}(p, q)^{\gamma}+t^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|a\|_{C_{\mathrm{ie}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|a\|_{C_{\mathrm{ie}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $a(0, \cdot, t)$ is constant in $z$, and $\partial_{x} a^{-1}=-\partial_{x} a \cdot a^{-2}$. Recall the notation $\mathcal{D}$ of Definition 3.3. Then for any partial differential operator $X \in \mathcal{D}$ of order at least 1 , we compute similar to above for any $(x, z) \in \operatorname{supp} \psi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|X\left(a^{-1}(x, z, t)-a^{-1}\left(0, z_{0}, 0\right)\right)\right| & =\left|X a^{-1}(x, z, t)\right| \leq|x|^{\gamma}\left\|x^{-\gamma} X a^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\gamma}\|a\|_{C_{\mathrm{ie}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)\|a\|_{C_{\mathrm{ie}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous estimates hold for Hölder differences. Noting (7-19), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi\left(a^{-1}-a^{-1}(p, 0)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}} \leq C \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+1, \alpha}\left(M \times[0, T], S_{1}\right)_{\gamma}^{b}} . \tag{7-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate $L H_{p}[\varphi f]$. We first note that it vanishes identically at $t=0$. This is obtained from the following mapping properties of the heat operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{p} \circ \varphi: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S), \\
& H_{p} \circ \varphi: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \rightarrow t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2,0}(M \times[0, T], S),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first mapping property is due to (7-15) and the second is obtained by similar arguments, converting the lesser target regularity into a time weight. Hence
$L H_{p}[\varphi f] \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, 0}$ vanishes identically at $t=0$ and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L H_{p}[\varphi f]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, 0}} & =\left\|L H_{p}[\varphi f]-L H_{p}[\varphi f](t=0)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, 0}}  \tag{7-23}\\
& \leq C T^{\alpha / 2}\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \tag{7-24}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogous estimates hold for Hölder differences, if we take supremums over supp $\psi$. Hence overall we arrive at the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L H_{p}[\varphi f]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}}(\operatorname{supp} \psi \times[0, T], S)=C\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \tag{7-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging the estimates (7-22) and (7-25) into (7-21), we conclude for $T / \varepsilon^{2}<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|E^{0} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} & \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right) \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\left(1+\left(T / \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|[\varphi f]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Proposition 7.8. Let $\alpha \in(0, \min \{\gamma, 1\})$. For every $\delta>0$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and $T_{0}>0$ sufficiently small, such that the heat parametrix defined in (7-20)

$$
Q_{b}: \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right),
$$

is a bounded linear map, solving

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right)\left(Q_{b} f\right)=\Phi f+E^{0} f+E^{1} f
$$

where $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$ are bounded linear maps on $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right]\right.$, S) with operator norms $\left\|E^{0}\right\|<\delta$ and $\left\|E^{1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T_{0} \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Proof. By Lemma 7.7 we compute for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right)\left(Q_{b} f\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p \in L_{j}}\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) \psi_{j, p} H_{p}\left[\varphi_{j, p} f\right]=\Phi f+E^{0} f+E^{1} f
$$

where we have defined for $i=0,1$

$$
E^{i} f:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p \in L_{j}} E_{j, p}^{i} f
$$

The operators $E_{j, p}^{i}$, and hence also both $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$, are bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$ by Lemma 7.7. It remains to estimate their operator norms as $T_{0} \rightarrow 0$. The fact that $\left\|E^{1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T_{0} \rightarrow 0^{+}$is a direct consequence of the
second statement in Lemma 7.7. For the estimate of $\left\|E^{0}\right\|$ we argue as follows. We choose $\varepsilon>0$ and $T_{0}>0$ with $T_{0}<\varepsilon^{2}$. Then by Lemma 7.7 and (7-19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|E_{j, p}^{0} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} & \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T_{0}^{\alpha / 2}\right)\left\|\varphi_{j, p} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}+T_{0}^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}} \\
& =C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma-\alpha}+\varepsilon^{-\alpha} T_{0}^{\alpha / 2}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\alpha+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now set $\delta^{\prime}:=\delta /\left(\sum_{j}\left|L_{j}\right| N\right)>0$ for a given $\delta>0$. Then fix $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small $C \varepsilon^{\gamma-\alpha}<\delta^{\prime} / 2$ (note that $\gamma-\alpha>0$ ). For the fixed $\varepsilon>0$ choose $T_{0}>0$ sufficiently small, such that $C \varepsilon^{-\alpha} T_{0}^{\alpha / 2}<\delta^{\prime} / 2$. These choices yield $\left\|E^{0}\right\|<\delta$, and the proof is finished.

Construction of an interior parametrix. Next we construct an approximate interior parametrix. This construction is analogous to the one in [2], but for the convenience of the reader we repeat it here.

Recall that the radial function of the cone $x: \mathcal{C}(F) \rightarrow(0,1)$ is extended smoothly to a nowhere vanishing function $x \in C^{\infty}(M)$. We assume that $x \geq 1$ outside of the singular neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(F)$. For $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, $Y_{\varepsilon}:=\{x \geq \varepsilon / 2\} \subset M$ is a manifold with smooth boundary $\{\varepsilon / 2\} \times F$. Let $\bar{Y}$ denote the double of $Y_{\varepsilon}$. Since the Riemannian metric on $\bar{Y}$ need not be smooth, we smoothen the metric in a small collar neighborhood of $\{\varepsilon / 2\} \times F$, such that the metrics on $\bar{Y}$ and $M$ coincide over $Y_{2 \varepsilon}$.

Since $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ is by construction identically 1 in $\{x<\varepsilon\}$, the function $1-\Phi$ defines a smooth cutoff function on the closed double $\bar{Y}$, which is again denoted by $1-\Phi$. Let $\bar{P}$ denote the extension of $P=\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L$ to a uniformly parabolic operator on $\bar{Y}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha} \upharpoonright Y_{\varepsilon} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}\left(Y_{\varepsilon} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$. Let $\widetilde{Q}_{i}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k, \alpha}\left(Y_{\varepsilon} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k+2, \alpha}\left(Y_{\varepsilon} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$ be the solution operator of the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$
\bar{P} u=(1-\Phi) f, \quad u(\cdot, 0)=0
$$

Also let $\chi$ be any smooth cutoff function which is identically 1 on $\operatorname{supp}(1-\Phi)$. Then we define the interior parametrix for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$ by

$$
Q_{i} f=\chi \widetilde{Q}_{i}[(1-\Phi) f]
$$

Construction of the parametrix. From the approximate boundary and interior parametrices we obtain an approximate parametrix by

$$
Q f:=Q_{b} f+Q_{i} f
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$. From there we get a parametrix as follows.

Proof of Theorem 7.5. By Proposition 7.8 we conclude

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) Q f=\Phi f+E^{0} f+E^{1} f+(1-\Phi) f+E^{2} f=: f+E f
$$

where $E^{2} f:=\left[\chi, a^{-1} L\right] \widetilde{Q}_{i}[(1-\Phi) f]$. Now similarly as in Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.4 we find that $\left\|E^{2}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T_{0} \rightarrow 0$. Hence we can choose $T_{0}$ sufficiently small, such that the error term $E f:=E^{0} f+E^{1} f+E^{2} f$ has operator norm less than 1 . Then $I+E$, as an operator on $\mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$, is invertible, and we set

$$
\mathcal{Q}:=Q(I+E)^{-1}
$$

Extension of parametrices to the full time interval. In this subsection we extend the existence results of Theorems 7.5 and 7.6 from the shorter time interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ to the full time interval $[0, T]$. By Theorem 7.5, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{-4+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$ there exists a $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ and a solution $u=\mathcal{Q} f \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}\left(M \times\left[0, T_{0}\right], S\right)$ to the parabolic initial value problem

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u=f, \quad u(t=0)=0
$$

If $T_{0}<T$, we consider the homogeneous Cauchy problem

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) v_{1}=0, \quad v_{1}(t=0)=u\left(t=T_{0}\right)
$$

where the initial data $u\left(t=T_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M, S)$. By Theorem 7.6, the solution to this problem, $v_{1}=\mathcal{R} v_{1}(t=0)$, exists on the time interval [0, $T_{0}$ ] independent of the initial value $u\left(t=T_{0}\right)$. We may use Theorem 7.5 to solve

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) u_{1}=f\left(p, t+T_{0}\right), u_{1}(0)=0
$$

on the interval $\left[T_{0}, 2 T_{0}\right]$, and then the function

$$
\widetilde{u}(p, t)= \begin{cases}u(p, t), & \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq T_{0} \\ u_{1}\left(p, t-T_{0}\right)+v_{1}\left(p, t-T_{0}\right), & \text { for } T_{0}<t \leq 2 T_{0}\end{cases}
$$

extends $u$ past $T_{0}$. This process continues until $n T_{0}>T$, and produces a solution $u$ in $\mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$. Thus Theorem 7.5 and hence also Theorem 7.6 hold on the full time interval $[0, T]$.

Remark 7.9. To see that the solution produced in this way indeed has the claimed regularity (in particular including Hölder regularity in time), we observe that instead of piecing together solutions on the time intervals $\left[0, T_{0}\right],\left[T_{0}, 2 T_{0}\right]$ etc. we could have instead chosen overlapping intervals $\left[0, T_{0}\right],\left[T_{0}-\varepsilon, 2 T_{0}-\varepsilon\right]$ etc. with a small $\varepsilon>0$. Then these solutions agree on the overlaps by a uniqueness argument analogous to the proof of Theorem 7.10, based on the fact that the Friedrichs self adjoint extension $L$ is bounded from below.

Regularity of Ricci curvature along the flow. We continue under the previously fixed notation where the superscript " $\sim$ " indicates that the quantity is taken with respect to the initial metric. The following result proves our main Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 7.10. Let $(M, g)$ be a tangentially stable conical manifold of dimension at least 4 , with $(\alpha, \gamma, k+1)$ Hölder regular geometry. Let $g(t), t \in[0, T]$ be the solution of the Ricci de Turck flow with initial metric $g$ and reference metric as in Theorem 5.1. Then, assuming $\gamma \in\left(0,2+\min \left\{\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\}\right)$, if $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}} \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times$ $[0, T], S)$, then Ric $\in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$.
Proof. By Corollary 7.2 we know

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) \text { Ric }=: X(\mathrm{Ric}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{k-1, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)_{-4+2 \bar{\gamma}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-4+2 \bar{\gamma}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)
$$

Consider the parametrix $\mathcal{Q}$ of Theorem 7.5 and the parametrix $\mathcal{R}$ of Theorem 7.6. We set $\gamma^{\prime}:=\min \{\gamma, 2 \bar{\gamma}\}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{Q}(X(\operatorname{Ric}))+\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}) \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma^{\prime}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S) \tag{7-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a solution of the parabolic initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}+a^{-1} L\right) \operatorname{Ric}^{\prime}=X(\operatorname{Ric}), \quad \operatorname{Ric}(t=0)=\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}} \tag{7-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $u:=$ Ric $^{\prime}-$ Ric. For $n \geq 3$ (recall that $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$ ) we can integrate by parts without boundary terms and conclude (recall $L=a^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2}$ )

$$
\partial_{t}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(M, g_{0}\right)}^{2}=-\left(a^{-1 / 2} \widetilde{\Delta} a^{-1 / 2} u, u\right)_{L^{2}\left(M, g_{0}\right)}=-\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}\left(a^{-1 / 2} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(M, g_{0}\right)}^{2} \leq 0
$$

Since $u(t=0) \equiv 0$, we find that $u \equiv 0$. Hence we still conclude, despite having no maximum principle at hand

$$
\operatorname{Ric} \equiv \operatorname{Ric}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma^{\prime}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)
$$

We iterate the argument, improving the weight as long as $\gamma^{\prime}<\gamma$. This proves the theorem.

## 8. Positivity of scalar curvature along the Ricci de Turck flow

We can now prove our second main result on positivity of scalar curvature along the singular Ricci de Turck flow. At this final step, we will need a stronger tangential stability hypothesis. We impose, see Remark 5.2, the following additional
Assumption 8.1. We assume strong tangential stability: $u_{0}, u_{1}>n$, i.e., $\square_{L}>n$, so that we may choose $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1} \geq 1$ satisfying (5-1). This stronger condition is studied in the Appendix, where a list of examples is provided. Amongst the symmetric spaces of compact type, only

$$
E_{8}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{7} /[\mathrm{SU}(8) /\{ \pm I\}], \quad \mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16), \quad \mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)
$$

satisfy the strong tangential stability condition. ${ }^{5}$
The following theorem proves our second main result, Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 8.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a strong tangentially stable conical manifold of dimension at least 4 , with $(\alpha, \gamma, k+1)$ Hölder regular geometry, where we assume $\gamma>3$. Let $g(t), t \in[0, T]$ be the solution of the Ricci de Turck flow with initial metric $g$ and reference metric as in Theorem 5.1. Assume that $R_{g} \geq 0$. Then $R_{g(t)} \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Furthermore, if $R_{g}$ is positive at some point in the interior $M$, then $R_{g(t)}$ is positive in the interior $M$ for all $t \in(0, T]$.
Proof. By Theorem 7.10, Ric $\in \mathcal{H}_{-2+\gamma^{\prime}}^{k, \alpha}(M \times[0, T], S)$, where $\gamma^{\prime}$ is any weight smaller than $\left\{\gamma, 2+\mu_{0}, 2+\mu_{1}\right\}$. Due to Assumption 8.1 of strong tangential stability, we find in the evolution equation (6-18) for the scalar curvature along the flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} R+\Delta R=\langle W, \nabla R\rangle+2|\mathrm{Ric}|^{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T]) \tag{8-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to express the Laplace Beltrami operator $\Delta$ in terms of $a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta}$, where $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denotes the Laplace Beltrami operator with respect to $\tilde{g} \equiv g(0)$. Consider first the Laplace Beltrami operator for $\hat{g}=a \tilde{g}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\hat{g}} & =-\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} \hat{g}} \partial_{i}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{det} \hat{g}} \cdot \hat{g}^{i j} \partial_{j}\right) \\
& =a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta}-\left(\frac{m}{2}-1\right) \cdot \partial_{i} a \cdot a^{-2} \cdot \tilde{g}^{i j} \partial_{j} \\
& =a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta}-\left\{x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} a, a\right\} x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} a, a\right\}$ refers to a linear combination of monomials consisting of the terms in the brackets. If we take into account higher order terms with $g=a \tilde{g} \oplus \omega$, we obtain in the same notation and higher regularity of $R$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta R-a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta} R  \tag{8-2}\\
& =\left\{x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} a, a\right\} x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} R+\left\{x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} \omega, x^{-1} \omega, \omega\right\} x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b} R+\{a, \omega\} x^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{b}^{2} R \\
& \\
& \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T])
\end{align*}
$$

The relation above is similar to Theorem 7.1, where we note that the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on the pure trace component $S_{1}$ coincides with the Laplace Beltrami operator. Combining (8-1) and (8-2), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} R+a^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta} R=P \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T]) \tag{8-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [2, Propositions 4.1 and 4.6] there exists a solution $R^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T])$ of (8-3), for a given initial value $R(0) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M)$, such that $\Delta R^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ie}}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T])$

[^5]again. Exactly as in Theorem 7.10, we find $R=R^{\prime}$. Hence the maximum principle obtained in [2, Theorem 3.1] applies to $R$ and, denoting by $R_{\min }(t)$ the minimum of the scalar curvature at time $t$, which is attained at $p_{\min }(t) \in \bar{M}$, we conclude
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} R_{\min }(t) & \geq\left\langle W\left(p_{\min }(t)\right), \nabla R_{\min }(t)\right\rangle+2\left|\operatorname{Ric}\left(p_{\min }(t)\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\langle W\left(p_{\min }(t)\right), \nabla R_{\min }(t)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Now, if $p_{\min }(t)$ lies in the open interior of $M$, then $\nabla R_{\min }(t)=0$. If $p_{\min }(t)$ lies at the conical singularity, we argue as follows: note that $\langle W, \nabla R\rangle \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {ie }}^{\alpha}(M \times[0, T])_{\gamma^{\prime}-3}$. Since by strong tangential stability, we can choose $\gamma^{\prime}>3$, we find that $\langle W, \nabla R\rangle$ is vanishing at the conical singularity and hence

$$
\partial_{t} R_{\min }(t) \geq\left\langle W\left(p_{\min }(t)\right), \nabla R_{\min }(t)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

This implies that $R \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Now the last statement follows from the strong maximum principle [11, Theorem A.5].

## Appendix: Characterizing the spectral conditions

In this appendix, we aim to characterize strong tangential stability in terms of eigenvalues of geometric operators on the cross-section of a cone. Note that this condition is only used in the last part of our argument, proving Theorem 1.1. It is not used in our first main result Theorem 1.2 on higher regularity of Ricci curvature.

Note that the operator $\square_{L}$ can be entirely be described as an operator on the cross section $\left(F^{n}, g_{F}\right)$ of the cone. Therefore in this section, all scalar products and geometric operators are taken with respect to $\left(F^{n}, g_{F}\right)$. The operator $\Delta_{E}$ denotes the Einstein operator on symmetric two-tensors over $F$. It is given by

$$
\Delta_{E} \omega_{i j}=\Delta \omega_{i j}-2 g^{p q} \operatorname{Rm}_{q i j}^{r} \omega_{r p}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the rough Laplacian. We write $\Delta$ for the Laplace Beltrami operator on $F$. Moreover, $T T$ denotes the space of symmetric two-tensors which are trace-free and divergence-free at each point.
Theorem A.1. Let $\left(F^{n}, g_{F}\right), n \geq 3$ be a compact Einstein manifold with constant $n-1$. Then $\left(F, g_{F}\right)$ is strongly tangentially stable if and only if we have the conditions

$$
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right)>n, \quad \operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta_{\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{div})}\right)>n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1},
$$

and if for all positive eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on functions satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(\lambda-3 n+2)(\lambda+4-n) n(\lambda+n+2) \\
& \quad-8 n(n-1)(\lambda-n)(\lambda+n+2)-8 \lambda n(n+1)(\lambda-3 n+2)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first recall from the discussion before Remark 5.2 that strong tangential stability is equivalent to the two estimates

$$
u_{0}=\min \left(\operatorname{Spec} \square_{L}^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}\right)>n, \quad u_{1}=\min \left(\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{F} \backslash\{0\}\right)>n
$$

However, the condition $u_{1}>n$ holds for any Einstein metric except the sphere, where we have equality [17]. For the rest of the proof, it thus suffices to consider the bundle $S_{0} \upharpoonright F$. We use the same methodology as in [13] which builds up on a decomposition of symmetric 2-tensors established in [12]. We use the notation in [12, Section 2] and the calculations in Section 3 of the same paper where we remove all terms containing radial derivatives in order to obtain expressions for the tangential operator. More precisely, we write:

- $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$, a basis of $L^{2}(T T), \Delta_{E} h_{i}=\kappa_{i} h_{i}, V_{1, i}:=\left\langle r^{2} h_{i}\right\rangle$.
- $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$, a basis of coclosed sections of $L^{2}\left(T^{*} F\right), \Delta \omega_{i}=\mu_{i} \omega_{i}, V_{3, i}:=\left\langle r^{2} \delta^{*} \omega_{i}\right\rangle \oplus$ $\left\langle d r \odot r \omega_{i}\right\rangle$.
- $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$, a basis of $L^{2}(F), \Delta v_{i}=\lambda_{i} v_{i}, V_{4, i}:=\left\langle r^{2}\left(n \nabla^{2} v_{i}+\Delta v_{i} g\right)\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle d r \odot r \nabla v_{i}\right\rangle \oplus$ $\left\langle v_{i}\left(r^{2} g-n d r \otimes d r\right)\right\rangle$.
Here, $\Delta$ in $\Delta \omega_{i}$ denotes the connection Laplacian, while $\Delta$ in $\Delta v_{i}$ denotes the Laplace Beltrami operator. The spaces $V_{1, i}, V_{3, i}, V_{4, i}$, with $L^{2}(0,1)$ coefficients, span all trace-free sections $L^{2}\left(S_{0} \upharpoonright F\right)$ over $F$, and are invariant under the action of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. In [12, Section 2], there is also a notion for the spaces $V_{2, i}:=\left\langle v_{i}\left(r^{2} g+n d r \otimes d r\right)\right\rangle$. But these spaces span the full trace sections $L^{2}\left(S_{1} \upharpoonright F\right)$, whose discussion is not relevant here. At first, if $\tilde{h}=r^{2} h_{i} \in V_{1, i}$,

$$
\left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}\right)_{L^{2}}=\kappa_{i}\|\tilde{h}\|_{L^{2}}
$$

such that $\square_{L}>n$ on $V_{1, i}$ for all $i$ if and only if $\kappa_{i}>n$ for all eigenvalues of the Einstein operator on $T T$-tensors are positive (nonnegative).

Let now $\tilde{h} \in V_{3, i}$ so that it is of the form $\tilde{h}=\tilde{h}_{1}+\tilde{h}_{2}=\varphi r^{2} \delta^{*} \omega_{i}+\psi d r \odot r \omega_{i}$. In this case, we have the scalar products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{1}\right)_{L^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right)^{2}, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{2}, \tilde{h}_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}=\psi^{2}\left[2 \mu_{i}+(2 n+6)\right], \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}=-2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) \psi \varphi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $r^{2} \delta^{*} \omega_{i}$ and $d r \odot r \omega_{i}$ as a basis, $\square_{L}$ respects the subspace and acts as $2 \times 2$-matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right)^{2} & -2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) \\
-2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) & 2 \mu_{i}+(2 n+6)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Because

$$
\left\|\tilde{h}_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) \cdot|\varphi|^{2}, \quad\left\|\tilde{h}_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=2|\psi|^{2}
$$

the operator $\square_{L}-n \cdot \mathrm{id}>0$ is represented by

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right)^{2}-\frac{n}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) & -2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) \\
-2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) & 2 \mu_{i}+6
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrix $A$ is positive definite if and only if the matrix

$$
B:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(2 n-1)\right) & -2\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right) \\
-2 & 2 \mu_{i}+6
\end{array}\right)
$$

is positive definite because $A$ is obtained from $B$ by multiplying the first column by $\mu_{i}-(n-1)$. By computing principal minors, this holds if

$$
\operatorname{det}(B)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}-(2 n-1)\right)\left(2 \mu_{i}+6\right)-4\left(\mu_{i}-(n-1)\right)>0 .
$$

This in turn holds if

$$
\mu_{i}>n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}
$$

Therefore, $\square_{L}>n$ on the spaces $V_{3, i}$ if and only if $\Delta>n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$ on coclosed sections $L^{2}\left(T^{*} F\right)$. It remains to consider the case $\tilde{h} \in V_{4, i}$, so that it is of the form

$$
\tilde{h}=\tilde{h}_{1}+\tilde{h}_{2}+\tilde{h}_{3}=\varphi r^{2}\left(n \nabla^{2} v_{i}+\Delta v_{i} g\right)+\psi d r \odot r \nabla v_{i}+\mathcal{X} v_{i}\left(r^{2} g-n d r \otimes d r\right)
$$

This case is the most delicate one. We have the scalar products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{1}\right)=n(n-1) \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-n\right)\left(\lambda_{i}-2(n-1)\right) \varphi^{2}, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{2}, \tilde{h}_{2}\right)=\left[2 \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-(n-1)\right)+(2 n+6) \lambda_{i}\right] \psi^{2}, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{3}, \tilde{h}_{3}\right)=\left[n\left\{(n+1) \lambda_{i}-2(n+1)\right\}+2 n^{2}(n+3)\right] \mathcal{X}^{2}, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{2}\right)=-4(n-1) \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-n\right) \psi \varphi, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{2}, \tilde{h}_{3}\right)=4(n+1) \lambda_{i} \psi \mathcal{X}, \\
& \left(\square_{L} \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{3}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{h}_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =n(n-1) \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-n\right) \varphi^{2} \\
\left\|\tilde{h}_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =2 \varphi^{2} \lambda_{i} \\
\left\|\tilde{h}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =(n+1) n
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $\left(\square_{L}-n \cdot \mathrm{id}\right)$. It acts as a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq n \leq 3}$, whose coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11}=n(n-1) \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-n\right)\left[\lambda_{i}-2(n-1)-n\right], \\
& a_{22}=2 \lambda_{i}\left[\lambda_{i}-(n-1)+3\right], \\
& a_{33}=n\left\{(n+1) \lambda_{i}-2(n-1)-n(n+1)+2 n(n+3)\right\}, \\
& a_{12}=a_{21}=-4(n-1) \lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-n\right), \\
& a_{23}=a_{32}=4(n+1) \lambda_{i}, \\
& a_{13}=a_{31}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove positivity of this matrix, we consider its principal minors $A_{33}$ (which is the lower right entry), $A_{23}$ (the lower right $2 \times 2$-matrix) and $A$ (the whole matrix). At first,

$$
A_{33}=n\left\{(n+1) \lambda_{i}+n^{2}+3 n+2\right\}>0 .
$$

Observe that in the case $\lambda_{i}=0, \tilde{h}_{1} \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{h}_{2} \equiv 0$, so that $V_{4 i}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\tilde{h}_{3}\right\}$ and hence, $\left(\square_{L}-n \cdot \mathrm{id}\right)$ acts as $A_{33}>0$. Therefore, we may from now on assume that $\lambda_{i}>0$, which means that actually $\lambda_{i} \geq n$ (due to eigenvalue estimates for Einstein manifolds, see, e.g., [17]) with $\lambda_{i}=n$ only for $\mathbb{S}^{n}$. By considering the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2\left(\lambda_{i}+4-n\right) & 4(n+1) \lambda_{i} \\
4(n+1) & n\left\{(n+1) \lambda_{i}+n^{2}+3 n+2\right\}
\end{array}\right)
$$

from which one recovers $A_{23}$ by multiplying the first column by $\lambda_{i}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{23}}{\lambda_{i}} & =2\left(\lambda_{i}+4-\epsilon\right) n \cdot\left[(n+1) \lambda_{i}+n^{2}+3 n+2\right]-16(n+1)^{2} \lambda_{i} \\
& =2 n(n+1) \lambda_{i}^{2}-4(n+1)(n+4) \lambda_{i}-2 n(n-4)\left(n^{2}+3 n+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is positive if

$$
\lambda_{i}>\frac{n+4}{n}+\sqrt{\frac{n+4}{n}+(n-4)(n+2)} .
$$

Here, the right hand side is smaller than $n$ if $n \geq 4$ such that this condition holds anyway. Before we compute the full determinant of $A$, we remark that in the case $\lambda_{i}=n$, the tensor $\tilde{h}_{1}$ is vanishing so that in this case, the matrix $A$ describing $\square_{L}$ on $V_{4, i}$ reduces to the matrix $A_{23}$ which just has been considered. Therefore, there is nothing more to prove in this case and we may assume $\lambda=\lambda_{i}>n$ from now on. To compute the full determinant of $A$, we first consider the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n[\lambda-2(n-1)-n] & -2(n-1)(\lambda-n) & 0 \\
-4 & \lambda-(n-1)+3 & 4 \lambda \\
0 & 2(n+1) & n\{\lambda+2(n+1)-n\}
\end{array}\right)
$$

| type | G | $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Theta$ | STS |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{p}$ | $\mathrm{SU}(p+1), p \geq 2$ | $p^{2}-1$ | $\frac{2 p(p+2)}{(p+1)^{2}}$ | $\frac{2 p(p+2)}{(p+1)^{2}}$ | no |
|  | $\operatorname{Spin}(5)$ | 10 | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ | 21 | $\frac{21}{10}$ | $\frac{12}{5}$ | no |
|  | $\operatorname{Spin}(2 p+1), n \geq 4$ | $2 p(p+1)$ | $\frac{4 p}{2 p-1}$ | $\frac{4 p}{2 p-1}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ | $\operatorname{Sp}(p), p \geq 3$ | $p(2 p+1)$ | $\frac{2 p+1}{p+1}$ | $\frac{4 p-1}{2(p+1)}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{D}_{p}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(2 p), p \geq 3$ | $p(2 p+1)$ | $\frac{2 p-1}{p-1}$ | $\frac{2 p-1}{p-1}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 156 | $\frac{26}{9}$ | $\frac{17}{6}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 266 | $\frac{19}{6}$ | 3 | no |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 496 | 4 | $\frac{47}{15}$ | yes |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | 52 | $\frac{8}{3}$ | $\frac{8}{3}$ | no |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 14 | 2 | 2 | no |

Table 1. Conditions of Theorem A. 1 for simple Lie groups.
from which we recover $A$ by multiplying the three columns by $(n-1) \lambda(\lambda-n)$ and $2 \lambda,(n+1)$, respectively. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[(n-1) \lambda(\lambda-n) 2 \cdot \lambda \cdot(n+1)]^{-1} \operatorname{det} A} \\
& \quad=n(\lambda-3 n+2)(\lambda+4-n) n(\lambda+n+2) \\
& \quad-8 n(n-1)(\lambda-n)(\lambda+n+2)-8 \lambda n(n+1)(\lambda-3 n+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof.
Theorem A.2. Amongst the symmetric spaces of compact type, only

$$
E_{8}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{7} /[\mathrm{SU}(8) /\{ \pm I\}], \quad \mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16), \quad \mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)
$$

are strongly tangentially stable.
Proof. We merge and analyze Tables 2 and 3 in [12] and Tables 1 and 2 in [3]. In Tables $1-3, \Lambda$ denotes the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator divided by the Einstein constant $n-1$ and $\Theta$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_{L}$ on symmetric 2-tensors with $\int_{F} \operatorname{tr} h d V=0$ divided by the same constant $n-1$.

To check that the above mentioned spaces satisfy the condition of Theorem A.1, we check on one hand that the estimate for $\lambda$ in this theorem holds for $(n-1) \cdot \Lambda$. On the other hand, we have the relations $\Delta_{L}=\Delta_{E}+2(n-1) \mathrm{id}, \delta^{*} \circ \Delta_{H}=\Delta_{L} \circ \delta^{*}$

| type | $G / K$ | $\operatorname{dim}(G / K)$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Theta$ | STS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A I | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SU}(p) / \mathrm{SO}(p), 5 \geq p \geq 3 \\ & \mathrm{SU}(p) / \mathrm{SO}(p), p \geq 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-1)(p+2)}{2} \\ & \frac{(p-1)(p+2)}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2(p-1)(p+2)}{p^{2}} \\ & \frac{2(p-1)(p+2)}{p^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | no <br> no |
| A II | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SU}(4) / \mathrm{Sp}(2)=S^{5} \\ & \mathrm{SU}(2 p) / \mathrm{Sp}(p), p \geq 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 2 p^{2}-p-1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{5}{4} \\ \frac{(2 p+1)(p-1)}{p^{2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | no <br> no |
| A III | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{U}(p+1)}{\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(1)}=\mathbb{C P}^{p} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{U}(p+q)}{\mathrm{U}(q) \times \mathrm{U}(p)}, q \geq p \geq 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 p \\ 2 p q \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | no <br> no |
| B I | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(5)}{\mathrm{SO}(3) \times S O(2)} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+3)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+1) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)}, p \geq 2 \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(7)}{\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+3)}{\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(2 p)}, p \geq 3 \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 q+2 p+1)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 q+1) \times \mathrm{SO}(2 p)}, p, q \geq 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 4 p+2 \\ 12 \\ 6 p \\ 2 n(2 m+1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2 \\ \frac{12}{5} \\ \frac{4 p+6}{2 p+1} \\ \frac{4 m+4 n+2}{2 m+2 n-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{8}{2 p+1} \\ \frac{8}{5} \\ \frac{8}{2 q+1} \\ \frac{8}{2 p+2 q-1} \end{gathered}$ | no <br> no <br> no <br> no <br> no |
| B II | $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+1)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p)}=\mathbb{S}^{2 p}, p \geq 1$ | $2 p$ | $\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}$ | $\frac{4 p+2}{2 p-1}$ | no |
| C I | $\operatorname{Sp}(p) / \mathrm{U}(p), p \geq 3$ | $p(p+1)$ | 2 | $\frac{2 p}{p+1}$ | no |
| C II | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{Sp}(2)}{\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)}=\mathbb{S}^{4} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{Sp}(p+1)}{\operatorname{Sp}(p) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)}=\mathbb{H P}^{p}, p \geq 2 \\ & \frac{\operatorname{Sp}(p+q)}{\operatorname{Sp}(q) \times \operatorname{Sp}(p)}, q \geq p \geq 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 4 p \\ 4 p q \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{2(p+1)}{p+2} \\ \frac{2(p+q)}{p+q+1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{10}{3} \\ \frac{2(p+1)}{p+2} \\ \frac{2(p+q)}{p+q+1} \end{gathered}$ | no <br> no <br> no |
| D I | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(8)}{\mathrm{SO}(5) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+2)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)}, p \geq 3 \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p)}{\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(p)}, p \geq 4 \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+2)}{\mathrm{SO}(p+2) \times \mathrm{SO}(p)}, p \geq 4 \\ & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p-q) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)}, \\ & p-2 \geq q \geq 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 4 p \\ p^{2} \\ p(p+2) \\ (2 p-q) q \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{5}{2} \\ 2 \\ \frac{2 p}{p-1} \\ \frac{2 p+2}{p} \\ \frac{2 p}{p-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{5}{2} \\ 2 \\ \frac{2 p}{p-1} \\ \frac{2 p+2}{p} \\ \frac{2 p}{p-1} \end{gathered}$ | no <br> no <br> no <br> no <br> no |
| D II | $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+2)}{\mathrm{SO}(2 p+1)}=S^{2 p+1}, p \geq 3$ | $2 p+1$ | $\frac{2 p+1}{2 p}$ | $\frac{2(p+1)}{p}$ | no |
| D III | $\mathrm{SO}(2 p) / \mathrm{U}(p), p \geq 5$ | $p(p-1)$ | 2 | 2 | no |
| E I | $\mathrm{E}_{6} /[\mathrm{Sp}(4) /\{ \pm I\}]$ | 42 | $\frac{28}{9}$ | 3 | no |
| E II | $\mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{SU}(2) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(6)$ | 40 | 3 | 3 | no |
| E III | $\mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{SO}(10) \cdot \mathrm{SO}(2)$ | 32 | 2 | 2 | no |

Table 2. Continued in Table 3.

| type | $G / K$ | $\operatorname{dim}(G / K)$ | $\Lambda$ | $\Theta$ | STS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E IV | $\mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 26 | $\frac{13}{9}$ | $\frac{13}{9}$ | no |
| E V | $\mathrm{E}_{7} /[\mathrm{SU}(8) /\{ \pm I\}]$ | 70 | $\frac{10}{3}$ | $\frac{28}{9}$ | yes |
| E VI | $\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{SO}(12) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$ | 64 | $\frac{28}{9}$ | $\frac{28}{9}$ | no |
| E VII | $\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{E}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(2)$ | 54 | 2 | 2 | no |
| E VIII | $\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16)$ | 128 | $\frac{62}{15}$ | $\frac{16}{5}$ | yes |
| E IX | $\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$ | 112 | $\frac{16}{5}$ | $\frac{16}{5}$ | yes |
| FI | $\mathrm{F}_{4} / S p(3) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$ | 28 | $\frac{26}{9}$ | $\frac{26}{9}$ | no |
| F II | $\mathrm{F}_{4} / \operatorname{Spin}(9)$ | 16 | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ | no |
| G | $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{SO}(4)$ | 8 | $\frac{7}{3}$ | $\frac{7}{3}$ | no |

Table 3. Conditions of Theorem A. 1 for symmetric spaces of nongroup type.
and $\Delta_{H}=\Delta+(n-1)$ id. Therefore, the condition $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right)>n$ holds, if $(n-1) \Theta-2(n-1)>n$ and the condition $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta_{\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\text { div })}\right)>n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$ holds if $(n-1) \Theta>2 n-1+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$.

To show that all the other examples do not satisfy $\square_{L}>n$, we proceed as follows: We check that $(n-1) \Lambda$ satisfies

$$
\Lambda \leq \frac{n+4}{n}+\sqrt{\frac{n+4}{n}+(n-4)(n+2)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(\Lambda-3 n+2)(\Lambda+4-n) n(\Lambda+n+2) \\
& \quad-8 n(n-1)(\Lambda-n)(\Lambda+n+2)-8 \Lambda n(n+1)(\Lambda-3 n+2) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds for example, if $\Lambda \leq 3$. Because $\Delta_{L}\left(f \cdot g_{F}\right)=\Delta f \cdot g_{F}$ and $\Delta_{L} \circ \nabla^{2}=\nabla^{2} \circ \Delta$, we clearly have $\Theta \leq \Lambda$. Because of the decomposition

$$
C^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} F\right)\right)=C^{\infty}(F) \cdot g_{F} \oplus \nabla^{2}\left(C^{\infty}(F)\right) \oplus \delta^{*}\left(\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{div})\right) \oplus T T
$$

(see [12]) $\Theta$ is attained on

$$
\delta^{*}\left(\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{div})\right) \oplus T T
$$

if $\Theta<\Lambda$. In this case, we check if $\Theta$ satisfies $(n-1) \Theta-2(n-1) \leq n$ and $(n-1) \Theta<2 n-1+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$ (both conditions are satisfied if $\Theta \leq 3$ ). Due to the
relations above, this implies that either $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right)>n$ or $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta_{\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\text { div })}\right)>$ $n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$ fails so that $\square_{L}>n$ fails.

Therefore, the condition $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\Delta_{E}\right|_{T T}\right)>n$ holds, if $(n-1) \Theta-2(n-1)>n$ and the condition $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta_{\Omega^{1}(F) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\text { div })}\right)>n+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$ holds if $(n-1) \Theta>$ $2 n-1+\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}$.

To finish the proof, one just has to go through all the values of $\Theta$ and $\Lambda$ in Table 1. Strong tangential stability is abbreviated by STS. As was already said, for the spaces mentioned in the statement of the theorem, one manually checks the estimates above to verify that the conditions of Theorem A. 1 are satisfied. In all the other cases, one finds $\Theta \leq 3$ except in the case $\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{SO}(12) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$ where one manually checks the condition on $\Lambda$ mentioned above. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

In the case of irreducible rank-1 symmetric spaces of compact type, an analogous argumentation yields Tables 2 and 3.
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