THE NUMBER OF $\mathbb{F}_q$-POINTS ON DIAGONAL HYPERSURFACES WITH MONOMIAL DEFORMATION
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We consider the family of diagonal hypersurfaces with monomial deformation

$$D_{d,\lambda,h} : x_1^d + x_2^d + \cdots + x_n^d - d\lambda x_1^{h_1} x_2^{h_2} \cdots x_n^{h_n} = 0,$$

where $d = h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_n$ with $\gcd(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) = 1$. We first provide a formula for the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points on $D_{d,\lambda,h}$ in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums. This generalizes a result of Koblitz, which holds in the special case $d \mid q - 1$. We then express the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points on $D_{d,\lambda,h}$ in terms of a $p$-adic hypergeometric function previously defined by the author. The parameters in this hypergeometric function mirror exactly those described by Koblitz when drawing an analogy between his result and classical hypergeometric functions. This generalizes a result by Sulakshana and Barman, which holds in the case $\gcd(d, q - 1) = 1$. In the special case $h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_n = 1$ and $d = n$, i.e., the Dwork hypersurface, we also generalize a previous result of the author which holds when $q$ is prime.

1. Introduction

Counting the number of solutions to equations over finite fields using character sums dates back to the works of Gauss and Jacobi. A renewed interest in such problems followed subsequent important contributions from Hardy and Littlewood [1922] and Davenport and Hasse [1935]. In a seminal paper, Weil [1949] gives an exposition on the topic up to that point (as well as going on to make his famous conjectures on the zeta functions of algebraic varieties). Specifically, he develops a formula for the number of solutions over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the finite field with $q$ elements, and its extensions, of $a_0 x_0^{n_0} + a_1 x_1^{n_1} + \cdots + a_k x_k^{n_k} = 0$, in terms of what we now call Gauss sums and Jacobi sums. The techniques involved have since become standard practice and can be found in many well-known text books, e.g., [Berndt et al. 1998; Ireland and Rosen 1990]. Since then, many authors have used and adapted the techniques outlined in Weil’s paper to study other equations, e.g.,
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Of particular interest is the work of Koblitz [1983] where he examines the family of diagonal hypersurfaces with monomial deformation

\[(1-1)\quad D_{d,\lambda,h} : x_1^d + x_2^d + \cdots + x_n^d - d\lambda x_1^{h_1} x_2^{h_2} \cdots x_n^{h_n} = 0,\]

where \(h_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\), with \(\gcd(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) = 1\), and \(d = h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_n\). Koblitz’s main result [1983, Theorem 2] gives a formula for the number of \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-points on \(D_{d,\lambda,h}\) in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums, in the case \(d \mid q - 1\). Using the analogy between Gauss sums and the gamma function, he notes that the main term in his formula can be considered a finite field analogue of a classical hypergeometric function. The purpose of this paper is to study \(D_{d,\lambda,h}\) more generally, i.e., when the condition \(d \mid q - 1\) is removed. Firstly, we generalize Koblitz’s result and provide a formula for the number of \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-points on \(D_{d,\lambda,h}\) in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums without the condition \(d \mid q - 1\). We then express the number of \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-points on \(D_{d,\lambda,h}\) in terms of a \(p\)-adic hypergeometric function previously defined by the author. The parameters in this hypergeometric function mirror exactly those described by Koblitz when drawing an analogy between his result and classical hypergeometric functions. This generalizes a result of [Sulakshana and Barman 2022], which holds in the case \(\gcd(d, q - 1) = 1\). We also examine the special case when \(h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_n = 1\) and \(d = n\), i.e., the Dwork hypersurface, and generalize a previous result of the author, which holds when \(q\) is prime.

2. Statement of results

Let \(q = p^r\) be a prime power and let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) denote the finite field with \(q\) elements. Let \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\) denote the group of multiplicative characters of \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\). We extend the domain of \(\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\) to \(\mathbb{F}_q\) by defining \(\chi(0) := 0\) (including for the trivial character \(\varepsilon\)) and denote \(\hat{\chi}\) as the inverse of \(\chi\). Let \(T\) be a fixed generator of \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\). Let \(\theta\) be a fixed nontrivial additive character of \(\mathbb{F}_q\) and for \(\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\) we define the Gauss sum

\[g(\chi) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \theta(x)\]

For \(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\), we define the Jacobi sum

\[J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) := \sum_{t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = 0} \chi_1(t_1) \chi_2(t_2) \cdots \chi_k(t_k)\]

We consider the family of diagonal hypersurfaces with monomial deformation described in (1-1). Let \(t := \gcd(d, q - 1)\) throughout and define

\[(2-1)\quad W := \left\{ w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 0 \leq w_i < t, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \equiv 0 \pmod{t} \right\}.

Define an equivalence relation \(\sim_h\) on \(W\) by

\[(2-2)\quad w \sim_h w’ \text{ if } w - w’ \text{ is a multiple modulo } t \text{ of } h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n).

We denote the class containing \(w\) by \([w]\). If \(h = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)\) we write \(\sim_1\). We note, in this case, that each class contains a representative \(w\) where some \(w_i = 0\),
for \(1 \leq i \leq n\). We will write \([w]_q\) to indicate that we have chosen such a representative for a particular class.

Our first result provides a formula for the number of \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-points on \(D_{d, \lambda, h}\) in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums, without the condition \(d \mid q - 1\). We will use \(\mathbb{A}^n(F_q)\) and \(\mathbb{P}^n(F_q)\) to denote the affine and projective \(n\)-spaces, respectively, over \(\mathbb{F}_q\). We denote the subset of elements in these spaces where all coordinates are nonzero by \(\mathbb{A}^n(F_q^*)\) and \(\mathbb{P}^n(F_q^*)\).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h})\) be the number of points in \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)\) on \(D_{d, \lambda, h}\). Then

\[
N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h}) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} - \sum_{w^*} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{r}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{r}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{r}}) + \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{s, w} g(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{r} + h_1 s}) g(T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{r} + h_2 s}) \ldots g(T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{r} + h_n s}) T^{d s (d \lambda)},
\]

where the first sum is over all \(w^* = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W\) such that \(0 < w_i < t\) for all \(i\), and the second sum is over all \(s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}\) and all \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W\).

**Theorem 2.1** generalizes [Koblitz 1983, Theorem 2], which holds in the case \(d \mid q - 1\). Using an analogy between Gauss sums and the gamma function, Koblitz noted that the second summand in his formula, which corresponds to the second summand in **Theorem 2.1** above with \(t = d\), can be considered a finite field analogy of the classical hypergeometric function

\[
(2-3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{w_i}{d}\right) \cdot dF_{d-1}\left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cr \frac{1}{d} \frac{2}{d} \cdots \frac{d-1}{d} \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} \lambda^d h_1 \cdots h_n \cr \frac{b_1}{h_1} \cdots \frac{b_n}{h_n} \end{array}],
\]

where the top line parameters range over all \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) and, for each \(i\), all \(b_i = 0, \ldots, h_i - 1\). The main purpose of this paper is to express \(N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h})\) in terms of a \(p\)-adic hypergeometric function previously defined by the author, whereby the parameters in this \(p\)-adic hypergeometric function mirror exactly those described by Koblitz in (2-3) above.

Next, we rewrite **Theorem 2.1** in a way more amenable to manipulation when we pass to the \(p\)-adic setting.

**Corollary 2.2.**

\[
N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h}) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{s, w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-d s}) T^{d s (-d \lambda)}
\]
where the first sum is over all \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W \) such that at least one \( w_i = 0 \), and the second sum is over either all \( s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2} \} \) and all \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W \) or all \( s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q-2 \} \) and all \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W/\sim_h \). In the latter case, the sum is independent of the choice of equivalence class representatives.

We now define our \( p \)-adic hypergeometric function. Let \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) denote the ring of \( p \)-adic integers, \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) the field of \( p \)-adic numbers, \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \) the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), and \( \mathbb{C}_p \) the completion of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \). Let \( \mathbb{Z}_q \) be the ring of integers in the unique unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) with residue field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Recall that for each \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \), there is a unique Teichmüller representative \( \omega(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \) such that \( \omega(x) \) is a \((q-1)\)-st root of unity and \( \omega(x) \equiv x \mod p \). Therefore, we define the Teichmüller character to be the primitive character \( \omega: \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \) given by \( x \mapsto \omega(x) \), which we extend with \( \omega(0) := 0 \).

**Definition 2.3** [McCarthy 2013, Definition 5.1]. Let \( q = p^r \) for \( p \) an odd prime. Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \), \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Then define

\[
mG_m \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \end{array} \right] \left[ \lambda \right]_q := \frac{-1}{q-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} (-1)^{sm} \overline{\omega}^{s} (\lambda) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p (\langle (a_i - \frac{s}{q} - 1) p^k \rangle)}{\Gamma_p (\langle a_i p^k \rangle)} \frac{\Gamma_p (\langle (b_i + \frac{s}{q} - 1) p^k \rangle)}{\Gamma_p (\langle b_i p^k \rangle)} (-p)^{-\left( a_i p^k - \frac{sp^k}{q-1} \right)} - \left[ (b_i p^k) + \frac{sp^k}{q-1} \right].
\]

We note that the value of \( mG_m [\cdots] \) depends only on the fractional part of the \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) parameters, and is invariant if we change the order of the parameters. Our main result expresses \( N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h}) \) in terms of this function.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( q = p^r \) for \( p \) an odd prime. Then, for \( p \nmid dh_1 \cdots h_n \),

\[
N_q(D_{d, \lambda, h}) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} - \frac{(-1)^n}{q} \sum_{w \in W} C(w) + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim_h} C(w) dG_d \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \cdots, \frac{w_i}{th_i} + \frac{b_i}{h_i} \cdots \\ 1 & \frac{1}{d} & \frac{2}{d} & \cdots & \frac{d-1}{d} \end{array} \right] \left[ \lambda^d h_1^{h_1} \cdots h_n^{h_n} \right]^{-1}.
\]

where the top line parameters in \( dG_d \) are the list

\[
\left[ \frac{w_i}{th_i} + \frac{b_i}{h_i} \right]_{i = 1, \ldots, n; b_i = 0, 1, \ldots, h_i - 1}.
\]
and

\[(2-4) \quad C(w) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p(\left( \frac{w_i}{T} \right) p^a)(-p)^{\left( \frac{w_i}{T} \right) p^a}.\]

As we can see, the parameters of \(d G_d\) in Theorem 2.4 mirror exactly those in (2-3) (when \(d \mid q - 1\) and so \(t = d\)) up to inversion of the argument \(\lambda d h_1^{h_1} \cdots h_n^{h_n}\). This inversion is a feature of the definition of the function \(n G_m\). Because we are summing over \(W/\sim_h\), we can remove this inversion while also swapping the top and bottom line parameters, which gives a more natural representation, in the opinion of the author. This can be seen more clearly later, in Corollary 2.9, where we get an all integral bottom line parameters.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \(q = p^r\) for \(p\) an odd prime. Then, for \(p \nmid d h_1 \cdots h_n\),

\[
N_q(D_d,\lambda,h) = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1} - \frac{(-1)^n}{q} \sum_{w \in W \text{ some } w_i = 0} C(w) + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim_h} C(-w) \left. d G_d \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{d} & \frac{2}{d} & \cdots & \frac{d-1}{d} \\ \frac{w_{h_i}}{h_i} + b_{h_i} & \cdots & \frac{w_{h_i}}{h_i} + b_{h_i} & \cdots \end{array} \right| \lambda d h_1^{h_1} \cdots h_n^{h_n} \right] q.
\]

Ideally, in Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, we would like to combine both sums into a single hypergeometric term. In general, it seems that this is not possible. However, it can be achieved in two special cases as we see in the next two results. The first is when \(\gcd(d, q - 1) = 1\) and the second is when all \(h_i = 1\), i.e., the Dwork hypersurface.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \(q = p^r\) for \(p\) an odd prime. If \(\gcd(d, q - 1) = 1\) then, for \(p \nmid d h_1 \cdots h_n\),

\[
N_q(D_d,\lambda,h) \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1} + (-1)^n d_{-1} G_{d-1} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{d} & \frac{2}{d} & \cdots & \frac{d-1}{d} \\ \frac{b_i}{h_i} & \cdots & \frac{b_i}{h_i} & \cdots \end{array} \right| \lambda d h_1^{h_1} \cdots h_n^{h_n} \right] q
\]

where the bottom line parameters in \(d_{-1} G_{d-1}\) are the list

\[
\left[ \frac{b_i}{h_i} \right] i = 1, \ldots, n; b_i = 0, 1, \ldots, h_i - 1
\]

with exactly one zero removed.

Corollary 2.6 is Theorem 1.2 of [Sulakashna and Barman 2022].

When \(h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_n = 1\) and \(d = n\) in (1-1), we recover the Dwork hypersurface, which we will denote \(D_\lambda\), i.e.,

\[
D_\lambda : x_1^n + x_2^n + \cdots + x_n^n - n \lambda x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 0.
\]

We now provide formulas for the number of \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-points on \(D_\lambda\), first in terms of Gauss and Jacobi sums, and then in terms of the \(p\)-adic hypergeometric function. For a
given \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W\), define \(n_k\) to be the number of \(k\)'s appearing in \(w\), i.e., \(n_k = |\{w_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, w_i = k\}|\). We then let \(S_w := \{k \mid 0 \leq k \leq t - 1, n_k = 0\}\) and \(S_w^c\) denote its complement in \(\{0, 1, \ldots, t - 1\}\). So the elements of \(S_w\) are the numbers from 0 to \(t - 1\), inclusive, which do not appear in \(w\). We define the following lists:

\[
(2-5) \quad A_w : \left[ \frac{t-k}{t} \mid k \in S_w \right] \cup \left[ \frac{b}{n} \mid 0 \leq b \leq n - 1, b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{t}} \right];
\]

\[
(2-6) \quad B_w : \left[ \frac{t-k}{t} \text{ repeated } n_k - 1 \text{ times} \mid k \in S_w^c \right].
\]

We note both lists contain \(n - |S_w^c|\) numbers.

**Corollary 2.7** (corollary to Theorem 2.1). Let \(N_q(D_\lambda)\) be the number of points in \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)\) on \(D_\lambda\). Let \(t = \gcd(n, q - 1)\). Then, for \(\lambda \neq 0\),

\[
N_q(D_\lambda) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{s, w} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k\frac{q-1}{t}+s})^{n_k-1} \frac{g(T^{k\frac{q-1}{t}-s}T^{\frac{n}{t}s}(-1)^q)}{g(T^{\frac{n}{t}s})T^{ns}(-n\lambda)} \right]
\]

where the sum is over either all \(s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}\) and all \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W\) or all \(s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q - 2\}\) and all \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W/\sim_1\). In the latter case, the sum is independent of the choice of equivalence class representatives.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \(q = p^r\) for \(p\) an odd prime. Let \(N_q(D_\lambda)\) be the number of points in \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)\) on \(D_\lambda\) for some \(\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\). Let \(t = \gcd(n, q - 1)\) and let \(C(w)\) be defined by (2-4). Then, for \(p \nmid n\),

\[
N_q(D_\lambda) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + (-1)^n \sum_{[w_0] \in W/\sim_1} C(w_0) G_1 \left[ \frac{A_{w_0}}{B_{w_0}} \mid \lambda^n \right].
\]

**Theorem 2.8** generalizes Theorem 2.2 in [McCarthy 2017] which holds for \(q = p\). Finally, if we let \(\gcd(n, q - 1) = 1\) in Theorem 2.8, or we let \(h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_n = 1\) in Corollary 2.6, it easy to see that we arrive at the following result.

**Corollary 2.9.** If \(\gcd(n, q - 1) = 1\) then, for \(p \nmid n\),

\[
N_q(D_\lambda) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + (-1)^n G_{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \frac{2}{n} \cdots \frac{n-1}{n} \mid 1 \ 1 \ \ldots \ 1 \mid \lambda^n \right]_q.
\]

**Corollary 2.9** generalizes Corollary 2.3 in [McCarthy 2017] which holds for \(q = p\).

3. **Preliminaries**

We start by recalling some properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums. See [Berndt et al. 1998; Ireland and Rosen 1990] for further details, noting that we have adjusted
results to take into account $\varepsilon(0) = 0$, where $\varepsilon$ is the trivial character. We first note that $G(\varepsilon) = -1$. For $\chi \in \widehat{F}_q^*$,

$$G(\chi)G(\bar{\chi}) = \begin{cases} \chi(-1)q & \text{if } \chi \neq \varepsilon, \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi = \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k \in \widehat{F}_q^*$ and $\alpha \in F_q$, we define the generalized Jacobi sum

$$J_0(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) := \sum_{t_i \in F_q, t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = \alpha} \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\cdots\chi_k(t_k).$$

When $\alpha = 1$ we recover the usual Jacobi sum as defined in Section 2.

**Proposition 3.1.** For $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k \in \widehat{F}_q^*$,

$$J_0(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) = \begin{cases} (q-1)^k - (q-1)J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) & \text{if } \chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k \text{ all trivial,} \\ -(q-1)J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) & \text{if } \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k \text{ trivial but at least one of } \chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k \text{ nontrivial,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k \text{ nontrivial.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.2.** For $\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k$ trivial but at least one of $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k$ nontrivial then

$$J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) = -\chi_k(-1)J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_{k-1}).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** For $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k$ all trivial,

$$J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) = [(q-1)^k + (-1)^{k+1}]q.$$

**Proposition 3.4.** For $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k$ not all trivial,

$$J(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{G(\chi_1)G(\chi_2)\cdots G(\chi_k)}{G(\chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k)} & \text{if } \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k \neq \varepsilon, \\ -\frac{G(\chi_1)G(\chi_2)\cdots G(\chi_k)}{q} & \text{if } \chi_1\chi_2\cdots\chi_k = \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

We now recall the $p$-adic gamma function. For further details, see [Koblitz 1980]. Let $p$ be an odd prime. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we define the $p$-adic gamma function as

$$\Gamma_p(n) := (-1)^n \prod_{0 < j < n} \frac{j}{p|j}$$

and extend it to all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by setting $\Gamma_p(0) := 1$ and $\Gamma_p(x) := \lim_{n \to x} \Gamma_p(n)$ for $x \neq 0$, where $n$ runs through any sequence of positive integers $p$-adically approaching $x$. This limit exists, is independent of how $n$ approaches $x$, and
determines a continuous function on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. The function satisfies the following product formula.

**Theorem 3.5** [Gross and Koblitz 1979, Theorem 3.1]. If $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $p \nmid h$ and $0 \leq x < 1$ with $(q - 1)x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

\[
\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{h-1} \Gamma_p\left((\frac{x+b}{h}p^a)\right) = \omega(h^{(q-1)x}) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=1}^{h-1} \Gamma_p\left((\frac{b}{h}p^a)\right).
\]

We note that in the original statement of Theorem 3.5 in [Gross and Koblitz 1979], $\omega$ is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. However, the result above still holds as $\omega|\mathbb{F}_p^*$ is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$.

The Gross–Koblitz formula allows us to relate Gauss sums and the $p$-adic gamma function. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{C}_p$ be the fixed root of $x^{p-1} + p = 0$ that satisfies $\pi \equiv \zeta_p - 1 \pmod{\zeta_p - 1)^2}$.

**Theorem 3.6** [Gross and Koblitz 1979, Theorem 1.7]. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

\[
g(\omega^j) = -\pi^{(p-1)\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{j p^a}{q-1}} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left((\frac{j p^a}{q-1})\right).
\]

We now recall some results of [Weil 1949; Koblitz 1983; Furtado Gomide 1949]. Note that the definitions and notation used for characters and for Gauss and Jacobi sums vary among those papers and differ from what’s defined in this paper. So, we have adjusted the statement of their results accordingly. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $D_d$ denote the diagonal hypersurface

\[D_d : x_1^d + x_2^d + \cdots + x_n^d = 0.\]

**Theorem 3.7** [Weil 1949]. Let $N_q^A(D_d)$ be the number of points in $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $D_d$. Let $t := \gcd(d, q - 1)$. Then

\[
N_q^A(D_d) = q^{n-1} - (q - 1) \sum_{w^*} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{r}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{r}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{r}}),
\]

where the sum is over all $w^* = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W$ such that $0 < w_i < t$.

Using similar methods to those in [Weil 1949; Koblitz 1983, Theorem 2] it is easy to see that

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $N_q^{A,*}(D_d)$ be the number of points in $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{F}_q^*)$ on $D_d$ Let $t := \gcd(d, q - 1)$. Then

\[
N_q^{A,*}(D_d) = \sum_{w \in W} J_0(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{r}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{r}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{r}}).
\]
The next result appears in [Koblitz 1983] in the homogenous case, and in general in [Furtado Gomide 1949]. We note that [Furtado Gomide 1949] contains a minor error. A term is omitted in the determination, but is easily fixed.

**Theorem 3.9** [Furtado Gomide 1949; Kobliitz 1983, Theorem 1]. Let \( N_q^A, * \) be the number of points in \( \mathbb{A}^n \left( \mathbb{F}_q^* \right) \) on

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i x_1^{m_{ij}} x_2^{m_{2j}} \ldots x_n^{m_{nj}} = 0
\]

for some \( a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \), \( m_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), such that for a given \( i \), \( m_{ji} \) are not all zero. Then

\[
N_q^A, * = \frac{1}{q} \left[ (q - 1)^n + (-1)^r (q - 1)^{n-r+1} \right] - (q - 1)^{n-r+1} \sum_{\alpha} T^{-\alpha_1} (a_1) T^{-\alpha_2} (a_2) \ldots T^{-\alpha_r} (a_r) J (T^{\alpha_1}, T^{\alpha_2}, \ldots, T^{\alpha_r}),
\]

where the sum is over all \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) \neq 0 \) satisfying \( 0 \leq \alpha_i < q - 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1} \), and \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{ji} \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1} \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \).

A key step in proving the main results of this paper is to adapt Theorem 3.9 to \( D_{d, \lambda, h} \).

**Corollary 3.10.** Let \( t := \gcd(d, q - 1) \). For \( \lambda \neq 0 \),

\[
N_q^A, * (D_{d, \lambda, h}) = \sum_{s, w} J (T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{r} + h_1 s}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{r} + h_2 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{r} + h_n s}) T^d (d \lambda)
\]

where the sum is over all \( s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{r} - 1\} \) and all \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W \).

Corollary 3.10 generalizes Corollary 1 in [Koblitz 1983], which holds in the case \( d \mid q - 1 \).

4. **Proofs**

**Proof of Corollary 3.10.** We take \( r = n + 1; a_i = 1 \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), and \( a_r = -d \lambda \); \( m_{ji} = d \) if \( i = j \) and zero otherwise, and, \( m_{jr} = h_j \), for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), in Theorem 3.9. This yields

\[
(4.1) \quad N_q^A, * (D_{d, \lambda, h}) = \frac{1}{q} \left[ (q - 1)^n + (-1)^{n+1} \right] - \sum_{\alpha} T^{-\alpha_{n+1}} J (T^{\alpha_1}, T^{\alpha_2}, \ldots, T^{\alpha_{n+1}})
\]

where the sum is over all \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}) \neq 0 \) satisfying \( 0 \leq \alpha_i < q - 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1} \), and \( d \alpha_j + h_j \alpha_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1} \) for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

The condition \( d \alpha_j + h_j \alpha_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1} \), for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), implies \( t = \gcd(d, q - 1) \) divides \( h_j \alpha_{n+1} \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). If \( l^e \) is a prime power dividing \( t \) but not \( \alpha_{n+1} \), then \( l \) divides \( h_j \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). This is a
contradiction, as $\gcd(h_1, \ldots, h_n) = 1$. Therefore, $l^e$ divides $\alpha_{n+1}$, which implies $t$ divides $\alpha_{n+1}$. So $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{t} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}$. Let
\[ s \equiv -\left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^{-1} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{t} \left(\mod \frac{q-1}{t}\right) \]
such that $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}$. Then $s$ runs around $\{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}$ as $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{t}$ does.

We now express the conditions on $\alpha$ in terms of $s$. Firstly,
\[ d \alpha_j \equiv -h_j \alpha_{n+1} \left(\mod q-1\right) \implies \frac{d}{t} \alpha_j \equiv -h_j \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{t} \left(\mod \frac{q-1}{t}\right) \implies \alpha_j \equiv h_j s \left(\mod \frac{q-1}{t}\right). \]

So $\alpha_j = h_j s + w_j \frac{q-1}{t}$ for $w_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$, for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Also,
\[ (4-2) \quad \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{t} \equiv -\left(\frac{d}{t}\right)s \left(\mod \frac{q-1}{t}\right) \implies \alpha_{n+1} \equiv -ds \left(\mod q-1\right). \]

Using the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j = d$, it is easy to see that
\[ (4-3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t \frac{1}{q-1} (\alpha_j - h_j s) = \frac{t}{q-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j - ds\right). \]

Combining (4-2) and (4-3) we get that
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j \equiv 0 \left(\mod t\right) \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i \equiv 0 \left(\mod q-1\right). \]

Substituting for $\alpha$, (4-1) becomes
\[ (4-4) \quad N_{q}^{A, \ast}(D_{d, \lambda}, h) = \frac{1}{q}\left[ (q-1)^{n} + (-1)^{n+1} \right] \]
\[ - \sum_{s,w} T^{ds} (-d\lambda) J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{t} + h_1 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{t} + h_n s}, T^{-ds}), \]

where the sum is over all $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\}$ and all $\lambda = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)$, such that $0 \leq w_i < t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \equiv 0 \left(\mod t\right)$, and such that not all of $s, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ are zero.

As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \frac{q-1}{t} + h_i s - ds \equiv 0 \left(\mod q-1\right)$, by Proposition 3.2 we have
\[ J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{t} + h_1 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{t} + h_n s}, T^{-ds}) = -J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{t} + h_1 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{t} + h_n s}) T^{-ds} (-1), \]

and by Proposition 3.3 we have
\[ J(T^{0}, T^{0}, \ldots, T^{0}) \equiv \frac{1}{q}\left[ (q-1)^{n} + (-1)^{n+1} \right], \]

completing the proof. \qed
Proof of Theorem 2.1. We follow Koblitz [1983, Theorem 2] and note that
\[(4-5) \quad N_q(D_{d,\lambda,h}) - N_q^*(D_{d,\lambda,h}) = N_q(D_{d,0,h}) - N_q^*(D_{d,0,h}).\]

We know
\[(4-6) \quad N_q(D_{d,0,h}) = \frac{N^A_q(D_d)}{q-1} = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} - \sum_{w^*} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I}})\]

by Weil’s result, Theorem 3.7 above;
\[N_q^*(D_{d,\lambda,h}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{s,w} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_1 s}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_2 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I} + h_n s}) T^{ds}(d\lambda)\]
when \(\lambda \neq 0\), by Corollary 3.10; and
\[N_q^*(D_{d,0,h}) = N_q^*(D_d) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{w} J_0(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I}})\]
by Theorem 3.8.

Using Propositions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4, we get that for \(\lambda \neq 0\),
\[(4-7) \quad (q-1)(N_q^*(D_{d,\lambda,h}) - N_q^*(D_{d,0,h}))
= \sum_{s,w \neq 0} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_1 s}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_2 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I} + h_n s}) T^{ds}(d\lambda)
+ q \sum_{w \neq 0} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I}}) + q J(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon) - (q-1)^n
= \sum_{s,w \neq 0} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_1 s}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_2 s}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I} + h_n s}) T^{ds}(d\lambda)
+ q \sum_{w \neq 0} J(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I}}, T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I}}, \ldots, T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I}}) + (-1)^{n+1}
= \sum_{s,w} g(T^{w_1 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_1 s}) g(T^{w_2 \frac{q-1}{I} + h_2 s}) \ldots g(T^{w_n \frac{q-1}{I} + h_n s}) T^{ds}(d\lambda).\]

Combining (4-5), (4-6) and (4-7), which trivially hold for \(\lambda = 0\) also, yields the result. \(\square\)
Proof of Corollary 2.2. Applying (3-1) and Proposition 3.4 to Theorem 2.1 we get that

$$N_q(D_{d,\lambda, h})$$

\[
= \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w^*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) - \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) \\
+ \frac{1}{q(q - 1)} \sum_{s, w \neq 0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda) \\
= \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w^*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) - \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{q(q - 1)} \sum_{s, w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda) \\
= \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) \\
+ \frac{1}{q(q - 1)} \sum_{s, w} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda),
\]

where the last sum is over all \(s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{r} - 1\}\) and all \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in W\), as required. To get the alternative summation limits, we note that

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{r} - 1} \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda)
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{r} - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim} g(T^{(w_i + jh_i) \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda)
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{r} - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i (s + j \frac{q-1}{r})}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda)
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds} (-d\lambda).
\]

This sum is independent of the choice of equivalence class representatives \([w]\), as changing representative can be countered by a simple change of variable in \(s\). □
Proof of Corollary 2.7. We start from Corollary 2.2 with \( h = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \) and \( d = n \), and rewrite using the notation described in Section 2, i.e.,

\[
N_q(D_\lambda) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{\frac{q-1}{T}})^{n_k} \\
+ \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{s, w} \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s})^{n_k} g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns} (-n\lambda),
\]

where \( t = \gcd(n, q-1) \), and the second sum is over all \( s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{t} - 1\} \) and all \( w \in W \). We proceed in the same fashion as the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [McCarthy 2017]. By (3-1) it is easy to see that

\[
\sum_{w \in W} \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{\frac{q-1}{T}-r})^{n_k} = \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{k \in S_w^c} \left[ g(T^{\frac{q-1}{T}-r})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right].
\]

We now focus on the second sum in (4-9). If \( T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}} = \varepsilon \) then \( k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s} \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \), which can only happen if \( s \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{q-1}{t}} \), in which case \( s = 0 \). So, if \( s \neq 0 \) then \( T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}} \neq \varepsilon \). Again using (3-1), we see that, for \( \lambda \neq 0 \),

\[
\sum_{w \in W} \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{t}} \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k} g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns} (-n\lambda)
\]

\[
= \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{t}} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right] g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns} (-n\lambda)
\]

\[
= \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{t}} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right] g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns} (-n\lambda)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{w \in W} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right] - \sum_{w \in W} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{q-1}{t}} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right] g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns} (-n\lambda)
\]

\[
+ (q-1) \sum_{w \in W} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c} g(T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}+s}})^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w^c \setminus \{0\}} T^{k^{\frac{q-1}{T}}(-1)q} \right].
\]
Accounting for (4-10) and (4-11) in (4-9) yields
\[ N_q(D_\lambda) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{1}{q(q - 1)} \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \left\{ \prod_{k \in S_w} g(T^{k \frac{q-1}{r} + s} n_k - 1) \frac{g(T^{k \frac{q-1}{r} + s} (-1) q)}{g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns}(-n\lambda)} \right\} g(T^{-ns}) T^{ns}(-n\lambda). \]

To get the alternative summation limit, proceed in the same manner as in (4-8). □

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** We start from Corollary 2.2, which we rewrite as
\[ (4-12) \quad N_q(D_{d,\lambda,h}) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) + \frac{1}{q(q - 1)} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim} R_{[w]}, \]

where
\[ R_{[w]} := \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r} + h_i s}) g(T^{-ds}) T^{ds}(-d\lambda). \]

We note \( R_{[w]} \) is independent of the choice of equivalence class representative.

We now let \( T = \omega \) and apply the Gross–Koblitz formula, Theorem 3.6, to both summands in (4-12). From the first summand we get that
\[ (4-13) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(T^{w_i \frac{q-1}{r}}) = (-1)^n(-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \frac{w_i}{q} \right\} p^a} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \prod_{a=0}^{n} \Gamma_p(\left\{ \frac{w_i + h_i s}{q-1} \right\} p^a) \]
\[ = (-1)^n C(w). \]

The second, \( R_{[w]} \), yields
\[ (4-14) \quad R_{[w]} = (-1)^n \prod_{s=0}^{q-2} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_p(\left\{ \frac{w_i + h_i s}{q-1} \right\} p^a) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_p(\left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a) \times (-p)^v \omega^{ds}(-d\lambda), \]

where

\[ v = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{w_i}{q} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1} \right\} p^a + \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a \]
\[ = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{w_i}{q} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1} \right\} p^a + \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{w_i}{q} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1} \right\} p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a \]
\[ = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{w_i}{q} \right\} p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \frac{-ds}{q-1} \right\} p^a \in \mathbb{Z} \]

as \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i = d \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \equiv 0 \pmod{t} \).
We will now use Theorem 3.5 to expand the terms involving the $p$-adic gamma function in (4-14). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$k \leq \frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1} < k + 1.$$ 

Then $0 \leq x := \frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1} - k < 1$ and $(q-1)x \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, by Theorem 3.5, with $h = h_i$ and $p \nmid h_i$,

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{s}{q-1} + \frac{b-k}{h_i}\right)p^a\right)$$

$$= \omega(h_i^{w_i n - h_i} + h_i) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right) \prod_{b=1}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right).$$

As $\{b | b = 0, 1, \ldots, h_i - 1\} \equiv \{b - k | b = 0, 1, \ldots, h_i - 1\} \pmod{h_i}$ we have

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{s}{q-1} + \frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right)$$

$$= \omega(h_i^{w_i n - h_i} + h_i) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right) \prod_{b=1}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right).$$

Similarly, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ chosen such that $0 \leq x := \frac{w_i}{t} - k < 1$, we apply Theorem 3.5 to get that

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right)$$

$$= \omega(h_i^{w_i n - h_i}) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t}\right)p^a\right) \prod_{b=1}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right).$$

Combining (4-15) and (4-16) we have, for $p \nmid h_i$,

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{h_i s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right)$$

$$= \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t} + \frac{s}{q-1} + \frac{b}{h_i}\right)p^a\right) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{w_i}{t}\right)p^a\right) \bar{s}(h_i^{h_i}).$$

A final application of Theorem 3.5, this time with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \leq x := k - \frac{ds}{q-1} < 1$ and $p \nmid d$, we get, after some simplification, that

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{-ds}{q-1}\right)p^a\right) = \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{b=0}^{d-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{-b}{d} - \frac{s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right) \bar{s}(d^{d-1}).$$
Accounting for (4.17) and (4.18) in (4.14) and making the change of variable 
$s \to (q - 1) - s$ we get that

\[
(4.19) \quad R_{[w]} = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{i=1}^{h_i-1} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p\left((\frac{w_i}{i} + \frac{b}{h_i} - \frac{s}{q-1}) p^a\right)}{\Gamma_p\left((\frac{w_i}{i} + \frac{b}{h_i}) p^a\right)} \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} (-1)^{sd} \\
\quad \times \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{b=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p\left((\frac{-b}{d} + \frac{s}{q-1}) p^a\right)}{\Gamma_p\left((\frac{-b}{d}) p^a\right)} \right] (p)^y \omega^s \left( \frac{\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} h_i} \right)^{-1},
\]

where

\[
y = \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{i} p^a \right) - \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{i} - \frac{h_i s}{q-1} p^a \right) - \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{ds}{q-1} p^a \right),
\]

and we have used the fact that $\omega(-1) = -1$. Let

\[
z := - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left( \left( \frac{w_i}{i} + \frac{b}{h_i} p^a \right) - \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right) + \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{b=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{-b}{d} p^a \right) + \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right) \right].
\]

Using the fact that $\left\lfloor m \right\rfloor = \sum_{b=0}^{m-1} \left\lfloor x + \frac{b}{m} \right\rfloor$ we get that

\[
y - z = \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{i} p^a \right) - \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{i} - \frac{s}{q-1} p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} \right) - \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{b=0}^{r-1} \frac{sp^a}{q-1} + \frac{b}{d} \right] \\
\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left( \left( \frac{w_i}{i} + \frac{b}{h_i} p^a \right) - \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right) + \sum_{a=0}^{n} \sum_{b=0}^{r-1} \left( \left( \frac{-b}{d} p^a \right) + \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right). 
\]

As $\gcd(p, d) = 1$, $\{ b \mid b = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1 \} \equiv \{ bp^a \mid b = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1 \}$ (mod $d$) and so

\[
\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{-b}{d} p^a \right) + \frac{sp^a}{q-1} = \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{bp^a}{q-1} \right) = \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{b}{d} \right) + \frac{sp^a}{q-1} = \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{b}{d} \right) + \frac{sp^a}{q-1}.
\]

Similarly, as $\gcd(p, h_i) = 1$,

\[
\sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{h_i} + \frac{b}{h_i} p^a \right) - \frac{sp^a}{q-1} = \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{h_i} p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} = \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right) - \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right] \\
\quad = \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left( \frac{w_i}{h_i} p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} - \left( \frac{w_i}{h_i} p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} \right) - \frac{sp^a}{q-1} \right].
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&= \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left[ \left( \frac{w_i}{th_i} - \frac{s}{q-1} \right) p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} \right] - \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left[ \left( \frac{w_i}{th_i} \right) p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} \right] \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \left[ \left( \frac{w_i}{th_i} - \frac{s}{q-1} \right) p^a + \frac{b}{h_i} \right] - \left[ \left( \frac{w_i}{t} \right) p^a \right].
\end{align*}\]

\[
\text{So }
y - z = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{w_i}{t} \right) p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{w_i}{t} \right) p^a \right] = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{w_i}{t} \right) p^a.
\]

Thus
\[
(4-20) \quad \frac{1}{q-1} R_{[w]} = (-1)^n C(w)
\]
\[
\times \frac{-1}{q-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} (-1)^s d \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{b=0}^{h_i-1} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p \left( \left( \frac{w_i}{th_i} + \frac{b}{h_i} - \frac{s}{q-1} \right) p^a \right) \right] \\
\times \left[ \prod_{b=0}^{d-1} \prod_{a=0}^{d-1} \Gamma_p \left( \left( \frac{b}{d} + \frac{s}{q-1} \right) p^a \right) \right] \left( -p \right)^{\zeta} \omega \left( \lambda^d \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_i \right)^{-1}.
\]

Substituting for (4-13) and (4-20) in (4-12), we get the required result. \qed

\textbf{Proof of Corollary 2.5.} In Theorem 2.4, we make the change of variables \( w \rightarrow -w \pmod{t} \), which is a bijection on \( W/\sim \), and \( s \rightarrow (q-1) - s \) in the expansion of \( dG_d \) by definition. \qed

\textbf{Proof of Corollary 2.6.} If \( t = \gcd(d, q-1) = 1 \) then \( w = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \) is the only element in \( W \) and \( C(0) = 1 \). So, by Corollary 2.5

\[ N_q(D_d, x, h) = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} \left( 1 + dG_d \left[ \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \frac{1}{d} & \frac{2}{d} & \cdots & \frac{d-1}{d} \\
& \frac{b_i}{h_i} & \frac{b_i}{h_i} & \cdots & \frac{b_i}{h_i} \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
\end{array} \right] \right). \]

The first bottom line parameter in \( dG_d \) is \( \frac{0}{h_i} = 0 \). We will “cancel” the zero from both top and bottom to get the required \( d-1G_{d-1} \). From Definition 2.3 we see that the contribution to the summand of the top and bottom line zero is

\[ \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} \frac{\Gamma_p \left( (0 - \frac{s}{q-1}) p^k \right)}{\Gamma_p \left( (0p^k) \right)} \frac{\Gamma_p \left( (0 + \frac{s}{q-1}) p^k \right)}{\Gamma_p \left( (0p^k) \right)} (-p)^{\left( [0p^k] - [wp^k] \right)} \left( [0p^k] + [wp^k] \right) \]

which, by Theorem 3.6 and (3-1), equals

\[
g(\tilde{\omega}^{-s}) g(\omega^s) = \begin{cases} \\
\tilde{\omega}^{-s} (-1)^q & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } s = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
We also note that when \( s = 0 \) the summand in Definition 2.3 equals 1. Therefore,

\[
dG_d\left[ \begin{array}{c} 0, a_2, \ldots, a_n \\ 0, b_2, \ldots, b_n \end{array} \right] = 1 + q \cdot d^{-1} G_{d-1}\left[ \begin{array}{c} a_2, \ldots, a_n \\ b_2, \ldots, b_n \end{array} \right]_q
\]
as required. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.8.** We start from Corollary 2.7 and proceed in the same fashion as the second half of the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [McCarthy 2017]. We let \( T = \bar{\omega} \) and apply the Gross–Koblitz formula, Theorem 3.6, to get

\[
N_q(D_\lambda) = q^{n-1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{[w] \in W/\sim_1} R[w],
\]

where

\[
R[w] = \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} (-1)^{n+1}(-p)^v \bar{\omega}^{ns}(-n\lambda) \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{-ns}{q-1})p^a)) \prod_{k \in S_w} \bar{\omega}(-1)^{k\frac{m-1}{r}+s} q \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{k}{t} - \frac{s}{q-1})p^a))^{n_k-1}
\]

with

\[
v = \sum_{k \in S_w^c} \frac{n_k}{t} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} p^a - \sum_{k \in S_w} (n_k - 1) \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[ \frac{k}{t} + \frac{s}{q-1} \right] p^a + \sum_{k \in S_w} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[ -\frac{k}{t} - \frac{s}{q-1} \right] p^a - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[ \frac{-ns}{q-1} \right] p^a.
\]

As \( p \nmid n \) we derive from (4-18) that

\[
\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{-ns}{q-1})p^a)) \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{k}{t} - \frac{s}{q-1})p^a)) \prod_{b=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{b}{n} - \frac{s}{q-1})p^a)) = \prod_{a=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_p((\frac{b}{n})p^a))^{-\bar{\omega}^s(n-n)}.
\]
So, after some manipulation,

\[(4-22) \quad R_w = (-1)^{q+1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-2} (-p)^v \bar{\omega}^{n_s} (-\lambda) \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{t-k}{l} - \frac{s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{t-k}{l} p^a\right)} \right] \times \left[ \prod_{b \neq 0 (\text{mod} \frac{q}{2})} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{b}{n} - \frac{s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{b}{n} p^a\right)} \right] \times \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{t-k}{l} + \frac{s}{q-1}\right)p^a\right)^{n_k-1}}{\Gamma_p\left(-\frac{t-k}{l} p^a\right)^{n_k-1}} \right] F[w], \right. \\

where

\[F[w] := \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{-k}{l}\right)p^a\right) \right]^{-1} \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)p^a\right)^{n_k-1} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in S_w} \bar{\omega}(1)\left(\frac{-k}{l}\right)^{q-1} + s \right].\]

Applying the Gross–Koblitz formula, Theorem 3.6, in reverse and (3-1) we get that

\[
\prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{-k}{l}\right)p^a\right) \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)p^a\right) \\
= \left. \prod_{k \in S_w} g(\bar{\omega}^{-k\frac{q-1}{l}}) g(\bar{\omega}^{k\frac{q-1}{l}}) (-p)^{-\sum_{a=0}^{r-1}\left(\left(\frac{-k}{l}\right)p^a\right) + \left(\frac{k}{l}\right)p^a} \right) \\
= (-1)^{r[S_w \setminus \{0\}]} \prod_{k \in S_w} \bar{\omega}(1)\left(\frac{-k}{l}\right)^{q-1}. \]

Thus

\[(4-23) \quad F[w] = (-1)^{r[S_w \setminus \{0\}]} q^{S_w \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\omega}(1)^{s[S_w \setminus \{0\}]} \prod_{k \in S_w} \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_p\left(\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)p^a\right)^{n_k}. \]

If we let

\[-z = \sum_{k \in S_w} (n_k - 1) \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[\left(-\frac{t-k}{l}\right)p^a + \frac{sp^a}{q-1}\right] \\
+ \sum_{k \in S_w} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[\left(\frac{t-k}{l}\right)p^a - \frac{sp^a}{q-1}\right] + \sum_{b \neq 0 (\text{mod} \frac{q}{l})}^{n-1} \left[\frac{b}{n} p^a - \frac{sp^a}{q-1}\right], \]

then, after a lengthy but straightforward calculation, we find that

\[(4-24) \quad v - z = -r[S_w \setminus \{0\}] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \left[w_i p^a\right]. \]

Accounting for (4-23) and (4-24) in (4-22), and then (4-21), yields the result. \(\square\)
5. Concluding remarks

When $d \mid q - 1$ it is possible express the results of Koblitz, and those in this paper, in terms of hypergeometric functions over finite fields, as defined in [Greene 1987], or using a normalized version defined in [McCarthy 2012]. For example, see [Goodson 2017a; McCarthy 2017; Nakagawa 2021] for related results. To extend these results beyond $q \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ it is necessary to move to the $p$-adic setting as we have done in this paper. Other results where the $p$-adic hypergeometric function, $mG_m$, is used to count points on certain hypersurfaces, which are special cases of the results in this paper, can be found in [Barman et al. 2016; Goodson 2017b].
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