Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STABLE VALUE OF DEPTH OF SYMBOLIC POWERS OF EDGE IDEALS OF GRAPHS

NGUYEN CONG MINH, TRAN NAM TRUNG AND THANH VU

Volume 329 No. 1 March 2024

STABLE VALUE OF DEPTH OF SYMBOLIC POWERS OF EDGE IDEALS OF GRAPHS

NGUYEN CONG MINH, TRAN NAM TRUNG AND THANH VU

Dedicated to Professor Ngo Viet Trung on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Let G be a simple graph on n vertices. We introduce the notion of bipartite connectivity of G, denoted by bc(G) and prove that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \operatorname{depth}(S/I(G)^{(s)}) \le \operatorname{bc}(G),$$

where I(G) denotes the edge ideal of G and $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a standard graded polynomial ring over a field \mathbf{k} . We further compute the depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of several classes of graphs, including odd cycles and whisker graphs of complete graphs to illustrate the cases where the above inequality becomes equality.

1. Introduction

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in a standard graded polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field k. While the depth function of powers of I is convergent by the result of Brodmann [1979], the depth function of symbolic powers of I is more exotic. Nguyen and N. V. Trung [2019] proved that for every positive eventually periodic function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ there exists an ideal I such that depth $S/I^{(s)} = f(s)$ for all $s \ge 1$, where $I^{(s)}$ denotes the s-th symbolic power of I. On the other hand, when I is a squarefree monomial ideal, by the result of Hoa et al. [2017] and Varbaro [2011],

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{depth} S/I^{(s)} = \min \{\operatorname{depth} S/I^{(s)} \mid s \ge 1\} = n - \ell_s(I),$$

where $\ell_s(I)$ is the symbolic analytic spread of I. Nonetheless, given a squarefree monomial ideal I, computing the stable value of depth of symbolic powers of I is a difficult problem even in the case of edge ideals of graphs.

Let us now recall the notion of the edge ideals of graphs. Let G be a simple graph with the vertex set $V(G) = \{1, ..., n\}$ and edge set E(G). The edge ideal of G, denoted by I(G), is the squarefree monomial ideal generated by $x_i x_j$ where $\{i, j\}$ is an edge of G. Trung [2016] showed that $\lim_{s\to\infty} \text{depth } S/I(G)^s$ equals the number

MSC2020: primary 13D02; secondary 05E40, 13F55.

Keywords: depth of symbolic powers, cycles, stable value of depth.

of bipartite connected components of G, and that depth $S/I(G)^s$ stabilizes when it reaches the limit depth. By the results in [Nguyen and Vu 2019; Hà et al. 2020], we may assume that G is a connected graph when considering the depth of (symbolic) powers of the edge ideal of G. In this case, the result of Trung [2016] can be written as

(1-1)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{depth} S/I(G)^s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the stabilization index of depth of powers of I(G), denoted by $\mathrm{dstab}(I(G))$, is the smallest exponent s such that depth $S/I(G)^s$ equals the limit depth of powers. Since we expect that the depth functions of symbolic powers of edge ideals are nonincreasing, this property should hold for symbolic powers of I(G) as well. Hien, Lam, and Trung [2024] characterized graphs for which $\lim_{s\to\infty} \mathrm{depth}\, S/I(G)^{(s)}=1$ and proved that the stabilization index of depth of symbolic powers in this case is also the smallest exponent s such that depth $S/I(G)^{(s)}=1$. For a general nonbipartite graph S, we do not know the value $\lim_{s\to\infty} \mathrm{depth}\, S/I(G)^{(s)}$.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of bipartite connectivity of G and show that this is tightly connected to the stable value of depth of symbolic powers of I(G). Let $\mathcal{B}(G)$ denote the set of maximal induced bipartite subgraphs H of G, i.e., for any $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$, the induced subgraph of G on $V(H) \cup \{v\}$ is not bipartite. Note that H might contain isolated vertices. Since H is maximal, it contains at least one edge. Then we define $\mathrm{bc}(G) = \min\{c(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}$ and call it the bipartite connectivity number of G, where c(H) is the number of connected components of H. With this notation, the result of Hien et al. [2024] can be stated as $\lim_{S \to \infty} \mathrm{depth} \, S/I(G)^{(s)} = 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{bc}(G) = 1$, i.e., there exists an induced connected bipartite subgraph H of G such that H dominates G. In this paper, we generalize this result and prove:

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a simple graph. Then

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{depth} S/I(G)^{(s)} \le \operatorname{bc}(G).$$

In contrast to (1-1), we show that the limit depth of symbolic powers of I(G) could be any positive number even when G is a connected graph.

Proposition 1.2. Let $n \ge 2$ be a positive number and $W_n = W(K_n)$ be the whisker graph on the complete graph on n vertices. Then, $bc(W_n) = n - 1$ and

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I(W_n)^{(s)} = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } s = 1, \\ n - 1 & \text{if } s \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

We also note that the inequality in Theorem 1.1 could be strict as given in the following example.

Example 1.3. Let W be the graph obtained by gluing two whiskers at the vertices of a 3-cycle. Then bc(W) = 3 while

depth
$$S/I(W)^{(s)} = \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 4 & \text{if } s = 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } s \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Nonetheless, if we cluster the isolated points in a maximal bipartite subgraph H of G by the bouquets in G then we obtain a finer invariant of G that gives the stable value of depth of symbolic powers. More precisely, assume that

$$H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\},\$$

where H_i are connected components of H with at least one edge and p_1, \ldots, p_t are isolated points in H. We say that p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_u} are clustered if there exists a $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ such that the induced subgraph of G on $\{v, p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_u}\}$ is a bouquet. Let $\text{bou}_G(H)$ be the smallest number b such that the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ can be clustered into b bouquets in G. We call $c'(H) = c + \text{bou}_G(H)$ the number of restricted connected components of H. We then define

$$bc'(G) = \min\{c'(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{B}(G)\},\$$

the restricted bipartite connectivity number of G. It is easy to see that for the graph W in Example 1.3, we have bc'(W) = 2. We conjecture that:

Conjecture 1.4. Let G be a simple graph. Then

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{depth} S/I(G)^{(s)} = \operatorname{bc}'(G).$$

We verify this conjecture for whisker graphs of complete graphs.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $W_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the graph obtained by gluing a_i leaves to the vertex i of a complete graph K_n . Assume that $a_i \ge 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\operatorname{bc}'(W_{\mathbf{a}}) = n - 1$ and

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{depth} S/I(W_a)^{(s)} = n - 1.$$

Finally, we compute the depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of odd cycles by extending our argument in [Minh et al. 2023]. This shows that the bound for the index of depth stability of symbolic powers of *I* given in [Hien et al. 2024] is sharp.

Theorem 1.6. Let $I(C_n)$ be the edge ideal of a cycle of length $n = 2k + 1 \ge 5$. Then

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I(C_n)^{(s)} = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{3} \right\rceil & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \max\left(1, \left\lceil \frac{n-s+1}{3} \right\rceil\right) & \text{if } s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\operatorname{sdstab}(I(C_n)) = n - 2$, where $\operatorname{sdstab}(I)$ is the index of depth stability of symbolic powers of I.

We structure the paper as follows. In Section 2, we set up the notation and provide some background. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and compute the depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of whisker graphs of complete graphs. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and properties concerning depth, graphs and their edge ideals, and the symbolic powers of squarefree monomial ideals. The interested readers are referred to [Bruns and Herzog 1993] for more details.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ a standard graded polynomial ring over a field k. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of S.

Depth. For a finitely generated graded S-module L, the depth of L is defined to be

$$depth(L) = \min\{i \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(L) \neq 0\},\$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(L)$ denotes the *i*-th local cohomology module of L with respect to \mathfrak{m} . We have the following estimates on depth along short exact sequences (see [Bruns and Herzog 1993, Proposition 1.2.9]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded S-modules. Then:

- (1) depth $M \ge \min\{\text{depth } L, \text{ depth } N\}$.
- (2) depth $L \ge \min\{\text{depth } M, \text{ depth } N+1\}.$

We make repeated use of the following two results in the sequence. The first one is [Rauf 2010, Corollary 1.3]. The second one is [Caviglia et al. 2019, Theorem 4.3].

Lemma 2.2. Let I be a monomial ideal and f a monomial such that $f \notin I$. Then

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I \leq \operatorname{depth} S/(I:f).$$

Lemma 2.3. Let I be a monomial ideal and f a monomial. Then

depth
$$S/I \in \{ depth(S/I : f), depth(S/(I, f)) \}$$
.

Finally, we also use the following simple result.

Lemma 2.4. Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$, $R_1 = k[x_1, ..., x_a]$, and $R_2 = k[x_{a+1}, ..., x_n]$ for some natural number a such that $1 \le a < n$. Let I and J be homogeneous ideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Then:

- (1) $\operatorname{depth}(S/(I+J)) = \operatorname{depth}(R_1/I) + \operatorname{depth}(R_2/J)$.
- (2) Let $P = I + (x_{a+1}, \dots, x_b)$. Then $depth(S/P) = depth(R_1/I) + (n-b)$.

Proof. (1) The proof is standard; see, e.g., [Nguyen and Vu 2019, Lemma 2.3].

(2) It follows from (1) and the fact that depth
$$(R_2/(x_{a+1},\ldots,x_b))=(n-b)$$
.

Depth of Stanley–Reisner rings. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V(\Delta) = [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For a face $F \in \Delta$, the link of F in Δ is the subsimplicial complex of Δ defined by

$$\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta}\,F=\{G\in\Delta\mid F\cup G\in\Delta,\,F\cap G=\varnothing\}.$$

For each subset F of [n], let $x_F = \prod_{i \in F} x_i$ be a squarefree monomial in S. We now recall the Stanley–Reisner correspondence.

Definition 2.5. For a squarefree monomial ideal I, the Stanley–Reisner complex of I is defined by

$$\Delta(I) = \{ F \subseteq [n] \mid x_F \notin I \}.$$

For a simplicial complex Δ , the Stanley–Reisner ideal of Δ is defined by

$$I_{\Delta} = (x_F \mid F \notin \Delta).$$

The Stanley–Reisner ring of Δ is $k[\Delta] = S/I_{\Delta}$.

Definition 2.6. The q-th reduced homology group of Δ with coefficients over k, denoted $\widetilde{H}_q(\Delta; k)$ is defined to be the q-th homology group of the augmented oriented chain complex of Δ over k.

From the Hochster's formula, we deduce that:

Lemma 2.7. Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then

$$depth(k[\Delta]) = min\{|F| + i \mid \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(lk_{\Delta} F; k) \neq 0, F \in \Delta\}.$$

Proof. By definition, depth($k[\Delta]$) = min{ $i \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(k[\Delta]) \neq 0$ }. By Hochster's formula [Bruns and Herzog 1993, Theorem 5.3.8], the conclusion follows.

We will also use the following nerve theorem from [Borsuk 1948]. First, we recall the definition of the nerve complex. Assume that the set of maximal facets of Δ is $A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_r\}$. The nerve complex of Δ , denoted by $N(\Delta)$ is the simplicial complex on the vertex set $[r] = \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $F \subseteq [r]$ is a face of $N(\Delta)$ if and only if

$$\bigcap_{j\in F}A_j\neq\varnothing.$$

Theorem 2.8. Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then for all integer i, we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(N(\Delta); \mathbf{k}) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta; \mathbf{k}).$$

Graphs and their edge ideals. Let G denote a finite simple graph over the vertex set $V(G) = [n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and the edge set E(G). The edge ideal of G is defined to be

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subseteq S.$$

For simplicity, we often write $i \in G$ (resp. $ij \in G$) instead of $i \in V(G)$ (resp. $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$). By abuse of notation, we also call x_i a vertex of G and $x_i x_j \in I(G)$ an edge of G.

A path P_n of length n-1 is the graph on [n] whose edges are $\{i, i+1\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$. A cycle C_n of length $n\geq 3$ is the graph on [n] whose edges are $\{i,i+1\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ and $\{1,n\}$.

A clique in G is a complete subgraph of G of size at least 2.

A graph H on [n] is called bipartite if there exists a partition $[n] = X \cup Y$, $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ such that $E(H) \subseteq X \times Y$. When $E(H) = X \times Y$, H is called a complete bipartite graph, denoted by $K_{X,Y}$. A bouquet is a complete bipartite graph with |X| = 1.

For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, let the neighborhood of x be the subset

$$N_G(x) = \{ y \in V(G) \mid \{x, y\} \in E(G) \}$$

and set $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$. The degree of a vertex x, denoted by $\deg_G(x)$ is the number of neighbors of x. A leaf is a vertex of degree 1. The unique edge attached to a leaf is called a leaf edge. Denote $d_G(x)$ the number of nonleaf edges incident to x.

Projective dimension of edge ideals of weakly chordal graphs. A graph G is called weakly chordal if G and its complement do not contain an induced cycle of length at least 5. The projective dimension of edge ideals of weakly chordal graphs can be computed via the notion of strongly disjoint families of complete bipartite subgraphs, introduced in [Kimura 2016]. For a graph G, we consider all families of (noninduced) subgraphs B_1, \ldots, B_g of G such that:

- (1) Each B_i is a complete bipartite graph for $1 \le i \le g$.
- (2) The graphs B_1, \ldots, B_g have pairwise disjoint vertex sets.
- (3) There exist an induced matching e_1, \ldots, e_g of G for each $e_i \in E(B_i)$ for $1 \le i \le g$.

Such a family is termed a strongly disjoint family of complete bipartite subgraphs. We define

$$d(G) = \max\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} |V(B_i)| - g\right),$$

where the maximum is taken over all the strongly disjoint families of complete bipartite subgraphs B_1, \ldots, B_g of G. We have the following result of Nguyen and Vu [Nguyen and Vu 2016, Theorem 7.7].

Theorem 2.9. Let G be a weakly chordal graph with at least one edge. Then

$$pd(S/I(G)) = d(G).$$

We now use it to compute the depth of the edge ideals of whisker graphs of complete graphs.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $W_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the graph obtained by gluing a_i leaves to the vertex i of a complete graph K_n . Assume that

$$a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_k > 0 = a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_n$$
.

Then

$$depth(S/I(W_a)) = 1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k.$$

Proof. From the definition of W_a , it is clear that W_a is a chordal graph. For simplicity of notation, we assume that

$$V(G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{y_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, a_i\},\$$

$$E(G) = \{\{x_i, x_j\} \mid i \neq j \in [n]\} \cup \{\{x_i, y_{i,j}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, k, \ j = 1, \dots, a_i\}.$$

For any edges e_1, e_2 of W_a , we have $N_{W_a}[e_1] \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence, the induced matching number of W_a is 1. Now, let B be a complete bipartite subgraph of W_a with bipartition $V(B) = U_1 \cup U_2$. Let

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$
 and $Y = \{y_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, a_i\}.$

If $V(B) \cap Y = \emptyset$ then $|V(B)| \le n$. Now, assume that $y_{i,j} \in U_1$ for some i, j. Then $x_i \in U_2$ and $y_{k,l} \notin V(B)$ for any $k \ne i$ since B is a complete bipartite graph. Hence, $|V(B)| \le n + a_i$. Therefore, for any complete bipartite subgraph B of W_a , we have

$$|V(B)| \le n + \max\{a_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\} = n + a_1.$$

Furthermore, let $U_1 = \{x_1\}$, $U_2 = \{x_2, \dots, x_n, y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,a_1}\}$ and $B = K_{U_1,U_2}$ then B is a complete bipartite subgraph of W_a with $|V(B)| = n + a_1$. By Theorem 2.9, we deduce that

$$pd(S/I(W_a)) = n + a_1 - 1.$$

The conclusion follows from the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula.

Symbolic powers of edge ideals. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal in S with the irreducible decomposition

$$I=\mathfrak{p}_1\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{p}_m.$$

The *s*-th symbolic power of *I* is defined by

$$I^{(s)} = \mathfrak{p}_1^s \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_m^s.$$

By the proof of [Kimura et al. 2018, Theorem 5.2], we have:

Lemma 2.11. Assume that e is a leaf edge of G. Then for all $s \ge 2$ we have $I(G)^{(s)}$: $e = I(G)^{(s-1)}$. In particular, depth $S/I(G)^{(s)}$ is a nonincreasing function.

We also have the following simple result that will be used later.

Lemma 2.12. Assume that $n \ge 2$ be an integer. Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices. Then $I(K_n)^{(n)}: (x_1 \cdots x_n) = I(K_n)$.

Proof. For each i = 1, ..., n, let $\mathfrak{p}_i = (x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n)$. Then, we have $I(K_n) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$. Since $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$, we deduce that $\mathfrak{p}_i^n : (x_1 \cdots x_n) = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Hence,

$$I(K_n)^{(n)}: (x_1 \cdots x_n) = (\mathfrak{p}_1^n \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n^n): (x_1 \cdots x_n)$$
$$= (\mathfrak{p}_1^n: (x_1 \cdots x_n)) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathfrak{p}_n^n: (x_1 \cdots x_n))$$
$$= \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n = I(K_n).$$

The conclusion follows.

3. Stable value of depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals

In this section, we prove that the stable value of depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals is at most the bipartite connectivity number of G. We assume that $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and G is a simple graph on $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For an exponent $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we set $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ and $|\mathbf{a}| = a_1 + \cdots + a_n$.

We first introduce some notation. Let H be a connected bipartite graph with the partition $V(H) = X \cup Y$. The bipartite completion of H, denoted by \widetilde{H} is the complete bipartite graph $K_{X,Y}$. Now, assume that $H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ where H_1, \ldots, H_c are connected components of H with at least one edge, and p_1, \ldots, p_t are isolated points of H. Then the bipartite completion of H is defined by $\widetilde{H} = \widetilde{H}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{H}_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$. We have:

Lemma 3.1. Let H be a bipartite graph. Let $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{d}(H) = (d_H(1), \dots, d_H(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $s = \frac{|\mathbf{a}|}{2}$. Then $\sqrt{I(H)^{s+1} : x^{\mathbf{a}}} = I(\widetilde{H}).$

where \widetilde{H} is the bipartite completion of H.

Proof. Since variables corresponding to isolated points do not appear in I(H), we may assume that H does not have isolated points. Assume that $H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c$ where H_i are connected components of H with at least one edge. Let $a_i = d(H_i)$. Note that x^{a_i} is equal to the product of nonleaf edges of H_i , hence $|a_i|$ is even for all i. Let $s_i = \frac{|a_i|}{2}$. Now assume that $f \in \sqrt{I(H)^{s+1}} : x^a$ with $f = f_1 \cdots f_c$ and supp $f_i \subseteq V(H_i)$. Then we have $f^m x^a \in I(H)^{s+1}$ for some m > 0. Thus, we must have $f_i^m x^{a_i} \in I(H_i)^{s_i+1}$ for some i. Hence, we may assume that H is connected. The conclusion then follows from [Trung 2016, Lemma 3.1] and [Minh et al. 2022, Lemma 2.19].

Now, assume that H is a maximal induced bipartite subgraph of G, that is, for any $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ the induced subgraph of G on $V(H) \cup \{v\}$ is not bipartite. In particular, H contains at least one edge. Let $H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ where H_i are connected components of H with at least one edge and p_1, \ldots, p_t are isolated points of H. Then c(H) = c + t is the number of connected components of H. We have

Lemma 3.2. Let H be a maximal induced bipartite subgraph of G. Then

$$depth(S/(I(G)^{(s)}) \le c(H)$$

for all $s \ge |E(H)| + 1$, where c(H) is the number of connected components of H.

Proof. Assume that $H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ where H_1, \ldots, H_c are connected components of H with at least one edge and p_1, \ldots, p_t are isolated points of H. Let $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}(H)$ and $x^a = x^b \cdot \prod (e \mid e \text{ is a leaf edge of } H)$. Then x^a is the product of edges of H. Let $s = \frac{|a|}{2} = |E(H)|$. By [Minh et al. 2022, Corollary 2.7], $x^a \notin I(G)^{(s+1)}$. We claim that

(3-1)
$$\sqrt{I(G)^{(s+1)} : x^a} = I(\widetilde{H}) + (x_j \mid j \in V(G) \setminus V(H)).$$

By Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient if we prove that $x_j \in \sqrt{I(G)^{(s+1)}} : x^a$ for all $j \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$. Since the induced subgraph of G on $\{j\} \cup H$ is not bipartite, there must exist a connected component, say H_1 of H such that the induced subgraph of G on $V(H_1) \cup \{j\}$ has an odd cycle. Let G_1 be the induced subgraph of G on $H_1 \cup \{j\}$. Let $j, 1, \ldots, 2k$ be an induced odd cycle in G_1 . Then $x_j x_1 \cdots x_{2k} \in I(G_1)^{(k+1)}$. Furthermore, $x_1 \cdots x_{2k} = \prod_{j=1}^k e_j$ is a product of k edges of H_1 . By the definition of a, we have x^{a_1} equals the products of all edges of H_1 . In other words, we have $x^{a_1} = x_1 \cdots x_{2k} \cdot h$ with $h \in I(H_1)^{|E(H_1)|-k}$. Hence, $x_j x^{a_1} \in I(G_1)^{(s_1+1)}$ where $s_1 = |E(H_1)|$. Equation (3-1) follows.

By Lemma 2.2 and equation (3-1), we deduce that

depth
$$S/I(G)^{(s+1)} \le \operatorname{depth} S/(I(G)^{(s+1)} : x^a) \le \operatorname{depth} S/\sqrt{I(G)^{(s+1)} : x^a} = c(H)$$
.

For any $t \ge s+1$, let $x^c = x^a \cdot e^{t-s-1}$ where e is an arbitrary edge of H. Then we have $x^c \notin I(G)^{(t)}$ and $\sqrt{I(G)^{(t)}} : x^c \supseteq \sqrt{I(G)^{(s+1)}} : x^a$. Hence, depth $S/I(G)^{(t)} \le c(H)$ for all t > s+1. The conclusion follows.

Definition 3.3. Let G be a simple graph. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(G)$ the set of all maximal induced bipartite subgraphs of G. The bipartite connectivity number of G is defined by

$$bc(G) = \min\{c(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}.$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The conclusion follows immediately from the definition and Lemma 3.2.

We now prove Proposition 1.2 giving an example of connected graphs for which the above inequality is equality and that the limit depth of symbolic powers of I(G) could be any positive number.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We may assume that

$$V(W_n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$$
 and $E(W_n) = \{\{x_i, x_j\}, \{x_i, y_i\} \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le n\}.$

Let H be a maximal bipartite subgraph of W_n . Then $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in H$ and H contains at most two vertices in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. By the maximality of H, we deduce that H must be the induced subgraph of W_n on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \cup \{x_i, x_j\}$ for some $i \neq j$. Hence, c(H) = n - 1. Thus, $bc(W_n) = n - 1$.

By Lemma 2.11, depth $S/I(W_n)^{(s)}$ is nonincreasing. Furthermore, we have

$$I(W_n)^{(2)}: (x_1x_2) = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, x_1x_2, y_1y_2, x_3, \dots, x_n).$$

Hence, depth $S/I(W_n)^{(2)} \le n-1$.

It remains to prove that depth $S/I(W_n)^{(s)} \ge n-1$ for all $s \ge 2$. We prove by induction on n and s the following statement. Let $I_k = I(K_n) + (x_1 y_1, \ldots, x_k y_k)$ and $S_k = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_k]$. Then depth $S_k/I_k^{(s)} \ge k-1$ for all $2 \le k \le n$ and all $s \ge 1$.

Note that $I_k = I(G_k)$ where $G_k = K_n \cup \{\{x_i, y_i\} \mid i = 1, ..., k\}$. By Lemma 2.10, depth $S_k/I_k = k$.

Since \mathfrak{m}_k , the maximal homogeneous ideal of S_k , is not an associated prime of I_k , depth $S_k/I_k^{(s)} \ge 1$ for all k. Thus, we may assume that $s \ge 2$ and $n \ge k \ge 3$. By Lemma 2.3,

depth
$$S_k/I_k^{(s)} \in \{ depth(S_k/(I_k^{(s)}, x_k y_k)), depth(S_k/I_k^{(s)} : x_k y_k) \}.$$

By Lemma 2.11, $I_k^{(s)}$: $x_k y_k = I_k^{(s-1)}$. Thus, by induction, it suffices to prove that

$$\operatorname{depth} S_k/(I_k^{(s)}, x_k y_k) \ge k - 1.$$

We have $J = (I_k^{(s)}, x_k y_k) = (J, x_k) \cap (J, y_k)$. The conclusion follows from induction on k and Lemma 2.1.

The inequality in Theorem 1.1 might be strict. We will now define a finer invariant of G which we conjecture to be equal to the stable value of depth of symbolic powers of I(G). Let $H = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_c \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ be a maximal induced bipartite subgraph of G where H_1, \ldots, H_c are connected components of H with at least one edge and p_1, \ldots, p_t are isolated points. We say that $\{p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_u}\}$ are clustered if there exists $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ such that the induced subgraph of G on $\{v, p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_u}\}$ is a bouquet. Let $bou_G(H)$ be the smallest number b

such that the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ can be clustered into b bouquets in G. We call $c'(H) = c + \text{bou}_G(H)$ the number of restricted connected components of H.

Definition 3.4. Let G be a simple graph. The restricted bipartite connectivity number of G is defined by

$$bc'(G) = \min\{c'(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{B}(G)\}.$$

We need a preparation lemma to prove Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be such that $a_i \ge 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $W_{\mathbf{a}}$ be a graph whose vertex set and edge set are

$$V(W_a) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,a_1}, \dots, y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,a_n}\},$$

$$E(W_a) = \{\{x_i, x_i\}, \{x_i, y_{i,\ell}\} \mid \text{ for all } i, j, \ell \text{ such that } 1 \le i \ne j \le n, 1 \le \ell \le a_i\}.$$

Then

$$I(W_{\mathbf{a}})^{(n)}: (x_1 \cdots x_n)$$

$$= I(W_{\mathbf{a}}) + (y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,a_1})(y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{2,a_2}) \cdots (y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,a_n}).$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we set

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$
 and $Y = \{y_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, a_i\}.$

We also denote $I = I(W_a)$ and

$$J = I(W_a) + (y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,a_1})(y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{2,a_2}) \cdots (y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,a_n}).$$

For each $C \subseteq V(W_a)$, let $m_C = \prod_{x \in C} x$ be a monomial in

$$S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{1,a_1}, \ldots, y_{n,1}, \ldots, y_{n,a_n}].$$

Since W_a is a chordal graph, by [Sullivant 2008, Theorem 3.10], we have

(3-2)
$$I^{(n)} = \left(m_{C_1} \cdots m_{C_t} \mid C_1, \dots, C_t \text{ are cliques of } W_a \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^t (|C_i| - 1) = n \right).$$

The cliques C_1, \ldots, C_t are not necessarily distinct. In W_a , $C \subseteq V(W_a)$ is a clique if and only if either $C = \{x_i, y_{i,j}\}$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and $j = 1, \ldots, a_i$ or $C \subseteq X$. In particular, $(x_1 \cdots x_n)e \in I^{(n)}$ for all edges e of W_a and $(x_1y_{1,j_1})\cdots(x_ny_{n,j_n}) \in I^{(n)}$ for all j_1, \ldots, j_n such that $1 \le j_\ell \le a_\ell$. Hence,

$$(3-3) J \subseteq I(W_a)^{(n)} : (x_1 \cdots x_n).$$

We now prove by induction on n the reverse containment

$$(3-4) I(W_a)^{(n)}: (x_1 \cdots x_n) \subseteq J.$$

The base case n=2 is clear. Thus, assume that $n \ge 3$. Let C_1, \ldots, C_t be cliques of W_a such that $\sum_{i=1}^t (|C_i|-1) = n$. Let $M = m_{C_1} \cdots m_{C_t}$ and $f = x_1 \cdots x_n$. It suffices to prove that $M/\gcd(M, f) \in J$. Since $|C_i| \le n$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$, we must have t > 2. We have two cases.

Case 1. $C_i \cap Y = \emptyset$ for all i = 1, ..., t. In this case, we have $M \in I(K_n)^{(n)}$. By Lemma 2.12, we deduce that $M/\gcd(M, f) \in I(K_n) \subseteq I(W_a)$.

Case 2. $C_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$. Since Y is the set of leaves, we deduce that $|C_i| = 2$. For simplicity, we assume that $C_1 = \{x_1, y_{1,1}\}$. If there exists a clique C_i for some i = 2, ..., t such that $C_1 \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$, then we must have $x_1 \in C_1 \cap C_i$. In particular, we deduce that $x_1y_{1,1} \mid M/\gcd(M, f)$. Hence, $M/\gcd(M, f) \in J$. Thus, we may assume that $C_i \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ for all i = 2, ..., t. In other words, $C_i \subseteq X' \cup Y'$ where

$$X' = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$$
 and $Y' = \{y_{i,j} \mid i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, a_i\}.$

Furthermore, we have $\sum_{i=2}^{t}(|C_i|-1)=n-1$. By equation (3-2), we deduce that $M'=m_{C_2}\cdots m_{C_t}\in I(W_{a'})^{(n-1)}$, where $a'=(a_2,\ldots,a_n)$ and $W_{a'}$ is the whisker graph obtained by gluing a_i leaves to the vertex i of the complete graph on $\{2,\ldots,n\}$. Since $y_{1,1}$ does not divide f, we deduce that $y_{1,1}(M'/\gcd(M',f'))\mid M/\gcd(M,f)$, where $f'=x_2\cdots x_n$. By induction on n, the conclusion follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We may assume that $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n \ge 1$. We keep the notations as in Lemma 3.5.

For ease of reading, we divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. bc' $(W_a) = n - 1$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.2, we deduce that a maximal induced bipartite subgraph H of W_a is an induced subgraph of W_a on $Y \cup \{x_i, x_j\}$ for some $i \neq j$. For such H, we have $c(H) = |a| - (a_i + a_j) + 1$ but c'(H) = n - 1 as $\{y_{\ell,1}, \ldots, y_{\ell,a_\ell}\}$ can be clustered into a bouquet in G for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, bc $(W_a) = a_3 + \cdots + a_n + 1$ and bc' $(W_a) = n - 1$.

Step 2. depth $S/I(W_a)^{(s)} \ge n-1$ for all $s \ge 1$ and all a such that $a_i \ge 1$ for i = 1, ..., n.

First, assume that s=1. By Lemma 2.10, depth $S/I(W_a)=a_2+\cdots+a_n+1$. When $a_1=\cdots=a_n=1$, the conclusion follows from Proposition 1.2. Thus, we may assume that $s\geq 2$ and $a_1\geq 2$. By induction, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.11, it suffices to prove that

depth
$$S/(I(W_a)^{(s)}, x_1 y_{1,a_1}) \ge n - 1.$$

Let $J = I(W_a)^{(s)}$. Then $(J, x_1 y_{1,a_1}) = (J, x_1) \cap (J, y_{1,a_1})$. Let $a' = (a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $W_{a'}$ the whisker graph obtained by gluing a_i leaves to the vertex i of the complete graph on $\{2, \ldots, n\}$. We have

$$(J, x_1) = (I(W_{a'})^{(s)}, x_1)$$
 and $(J, x_1, y_{1,a_1}) = (I(W_{a'})^{(s)}, x_1, y_{1,a_1}).$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\operatorname{depth} S/(J, x_1) = a_1 + \operatorname{depth} R/I(W_{a'})^{(s)},$$

$$\operatorname{depth} S/(J, x_1, y_{1,a_1}) = a_1 - 1 + \operatorname{depth} R/I(W_{a'})^{(s)},$$

where $R = k[x_2, ..., x_n, y_{2,1}, ..., y_{2,a_2}, ..., y_{n,1}, ..., y_{n,a_n}]$. By induction, both terms are at least n-1. Finally, we have

$$(J, y_{1,a_1}) = (I(W_{a''})^{(s)}, y_{1,a_1}),$$

where $\mathbf{a}^{''} = (a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Hence,

depth
$$S/(J, y_{1,a_1}) = \operatorname{depth} T/I(W_{a''})^{(s)},$$

where $T = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{1,a_1-1}, \ldots, y_{n,1}, \ldots, y_{n,a_n}]$. Thus, the conclusion of Step 2 follows from induction and Lemma 2.1.

Step 3. depth $S/I(W_a)^{(s)} \le n-1$ for all $s \ge n$.

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.11, it suffices to prove that

depth
$$S/I(W_a)^{(n)}: (x_1 \cdots x_n) \le n-1$$
.

Let $J = I(W_a)^{(n)} : (x_1 \cdots x_n)$. By Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$J = I(W_a) + (y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,a_1})(y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{2,a_2}) \cdots (y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,a_n}).$$

Therefore, the Stanley–Reisner complex $\Delta(J)$ of J has exactly n facets

$$F_i = \{x_i\} \cup \{y_{j,\ell} \mid j \neq i, \ \ell = 1, \dots, a_i\}.$$

Hence, $F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_n = \emptyset$ and for any j, we have

$$F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_{j-1} \cap F_{j+1} \cap \cdots \cap F_n = \{y_{j,1}, \dots, y_{j,a_i}\}.$$

Therefore, the nerve complex of $\Delta(J)$ is isomorphic to the n-2-sphere. By Theorem 2.8, $\widetilde{H}_{n-2}(\Delta(J); \mathbf{k}) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.7, the conclusion follows.

Remark 3.6. (1) The notion of maximal bipartite subgraphs of a graph has been studied by many researchers as early as in [Erdős 1965; Malle 1982]. They are interested in finding the maximum number of edges of a maximal bipartite subgraph of G.

(2) In general, the problem of finding a maximum induced bipartite subgraph of a graph is NP-complete [Lewis and Yannakakis 1980]. Nonetheless, we do not know if the problem of computing the bipartite connectivity number or restricted bipartite connectivity number is NP-complete.

Remark 3.7. (1) The Cohen–Macaulay property, or depth of the edge ideal of a graph might depend on the characteristic of the base field. For example, consider the following ideal in [Villarreal 2015, Exercise 5.3.31]:

$$I = (x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_1x_7, x_1x_{10}, x_1x_{11}, x_2x_4, x_2x_5, x_2x_8, x_2x_{10}, x_2x_{11}, x_3x_5, x_3x_6, x_3x_8, x_3x_{11}, x_4x_6, x_4x_9, x_4x_{11}, x_5x_7, x_5x_9, x_5x_{11}, x_6x_8, x_6x_9, x_7x_9, x_7x_{10}, x_8x_{10}).$$

Then

depth
$$S/I = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if char k} = 2, \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But depth $S/I^{(s)} = 1$ for all $s \ge 2$, regardless of the characteristic of the base field k.

(2) By the result of Trung [2016], the stable value of depth of powers of edge ideals of graphs does not depend on the characteristic of the base field k. If Conjecture 1.4 holds, the stable value of depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals also does not depend on the characteristic of the base field k. This is in contrast to the asymptotic behavior of the regularity of (symbolic) powers of edge ideals as [Minh and Vu 2022, Corollary 5.3] shows that the linearity constant of the regularity function of (symbolic) powers of edge ideals of graphs might depend on the characteristic of the base field k.

4. Depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of cycles

In this section, we compute the depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of cycles. The purpose of this is twofold. First, together with Proposition 1.2, this gives the first classes of nonbipartite graphs where one computes explicitly the depth of symbolic powers of their edge ideals. Second, this shows that the stabilization index of depth of symbolic powers of G is tightly connected to the stabilization index of depth of powers of maximal induced bipartite subgraphs of G.

We fix the following notation. Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ and C_n be a cycle of length n. For each i = 1, ..., n - 1, we denote $e_i = x_i x_{i+1}$. Let

$$\varphi(n,t) = \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

We recall the following results (Lemmas 3.4, 3.10, 3.11, and Theorem 1.1) from [Minh et al. 2023].

Lemma 4.1. Let H be any subgraph of P_n . Then, for any positive integer t with t < n, we have that

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/(I(P_n)^t + I(H))) \ge \varphi(n, t).$$

Lemma 4.2. Let H be a nonempty subgraph of C_n . Then for $t \ge 2$, we have that

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/(I(C_n)^t + I(H))) \ge \varphi(n, t).$$

Lemma 4.3. Assume that $I = I(C_n)$ and $t \le n - 2$. Then

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/(I^t:(e_2\cdots e_t))) \leq \varphi(n,t).$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $I(C_n)$ be the edge ideal of a cycle of length $n \ge 5$. Then

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/I(C_n)^t) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{3} \right\rceil & \text{if } t = 1, \\ \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil & \text{if } 2 \leq t < \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } t \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } t \geq \frac{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Now, assume that n=2k+1 where $k \ge 2$ is a positive integer. For a positive integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we write s=a(k+1)+b for some $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le b \le k$. Let $f=x_1\cdots x_n$. By [Gu et al. 2020, Theorem 3.4], we have

(4-1)
$$I(C_n)^{(s)} = \sum_{j=0}^{a} I(C_n)^{s-j(k+1)} f^j.$$

We now establish some preparation results.

Lemma 4.5. Assume that $I = I(C_n)$, $e_i = x_i x_{i+1}$ for all i = 1, ..., n-1. Then for all $s \le n-2$, we have

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I^{(s)} \leq \operatorname{depth} S/(I^{(s)} : e_2 \cdots e_{s-1}) \leq \varphi(n, s).$$

Proof. Let $f = x_1 \cdots x_n$. By (4-1), we have that $I^{(s)} = I^s$ when $s \le k$. Now, assume that $k+1 \le s \le n-2 = 2k-1$. By (4-1), we have that

$$I^{(s)} = I^s + fI^{s-k-1}$$
.

Since $f/\gcd(f, e_2 \cdots e_{s-1}) \in I \subseteq I^s : (e_2 \cdots e_{s-1})$, we deduce that

$$I^{(s)}: (e_2 \cdots e_{s-1}) = I^s: (e_2 \cdots e_{s-1}).$$

The conclusion follows from Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.6. Let $f = x_1 \cdots x_n$. Then for all integer s such that $k + 1 \le s \le n - 2$,

$$I^{(s)}: f = I^{s-k-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_t$ be the associated primes of I. Then

$$I^{(s)} = \mathfrak{p}_1^s \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_t^s.$$

Since \mathfrak{p}_i is generated by k+1 variables for all $i=1,\ldots,t$, we have $\mathfrak{p}_i^s: f=\mathfrak{p}_i^{s-k-1}$. Hence, $I^{(s)}: f=I^{(s-k-1)}=I^{s-k-1}$ since $s\leq 2k-1$.

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By (4-1) and Theorem 4.4, it remains to consider the cases where $k+1 \le s \le 2k-1$. Let $f=x_1\cdots x_n$. By Lemmas 2.3, 4.5, 4.6, and Theorem 4.4, it suffices to prove that

(4-2)
$$\operatorname{depth}(S/(I^{(s)} + f)) \ge \varphi(n, s).$$

Write $f = e_1 f_1$ where $f_1 = x_3 \cdots x_n$. We have $I^{(s)} + f = (I^{(s)}, e_1) \cap (I^{(s)}, f_1)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, we can write $f_i = e_{2i+1} f_{i+1}$. By repeated use of Lemma 2.1 and the fact that for any subgraph H of C_n we have

$$I^{(s)} + I(H) + f_i = (I^{(s)} + I(H) + (e_{2i+1})) \cap (I^{(s)} + I(H) + (f_{i+1})).$$

It suffices to prove the following two claims.

Claim 1. For any nonempty subgraph H of C_n , we have

depth
$$S/(I^{(s)} + I(H)) \ge \varphi(n, s)$$
.

Claim 2. For any (possibly empty) subgraph H of C_n , we have

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/(I^{(s)} + I(H) + (x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n))) \ge \varphi(n, s).$$

Proof of Claim 1. Since $k + 1 \le s \le 2k - 1$, by (4-1), we have that

$$I^{(s)} = I^s + fI^{s-k-1}$$
.

For any nonempty subgraph H of C_n , we have $f \in I(H)$. Therefore, we have $I^{(s)} + I(H) = I^s + I(H)$. The conclusion follows from Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $J = I^{(s)} + I(H) + (x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n)$ and $e = x_{n-2}x_{n-1}$. Note that J + (e) can be expressed as $I^{(s)} + I(H_1)$ for some subgraph H_1 of C_n and $J : e = I(P_{n-1})^{s-1} + I(H') + (x_n)$ where H' is a subgraph of P_{n-1} . The claim follows from Lemma 2.3, Claim 1, and Lemma 4.1. The conclusion follows.

Remark 4.7. For cycles C_{2k} of even length, by the result of Simis, Vasconcelos, and Villarreal [1994], $I(C_{2k})^{(s)} = I(C_{2k})^s$ for all $s \ge 1$. The depth of powers of the edge ideal of C_{2k} has been computed in [Minh et al. 2023, Theorem 1.1].

Acknowledgements

Trung is partially supported by project NCXS02.01/22-23 of the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology. We are grateful to the referee for thoughtful suggestions and comments to improve the readability of our manuscript.

References

[Borsuk 1948] K. Borsuk, "On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes", Fund. Math. 35 (1948), 217–234. MR Zbl

- [Brodmann 1979] M. Brodmann, "The asymptotic nature of the analytic spread", Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 86:1 (1979), 35–39. MR Zbl
- [Bruns and Herzog 1993] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR Zbl
- [Caviglia et al. 2019] G. Caviglia, H. T. Hà, J. Herzog, M. Kummini, N. Terai, and N. V. Trung, "Depth and regularity modulo a principal ideal", J. Algebr. Comb. 49:1 (2019), 1–20. Zbl
- [Erdős 1965] P. Erdős, "On some extremal problems in graph theory", Israel J. Math. 3 (1965), 113–116. MR Zbl
- [Gu et al. 2020] Y. Gu, H. T. Hà, J. L. O'Rourke, and J. W. Skelton, "Symbolic powers of edge ideals of graphs", Comm. Algebra 48:9 (2020), 3743-3760. MR Zbl
- [Hà et al. 2020] H. T. Hà, H. D. Nguyen, N. V. Trung, and T. N. Trung, "Symbolic powers of sums of ideals", Math. Z. 294:3-4 (2020), 1499-1520. MR Zbl
- [Hien et al. 2024] H. T. T. Hien, H. M. Lam, and N. V. Trung, "Decreasing behavior of the depth functions of edge ideals", J. Algebraic Combin. 59:1 (2024), 37-53. MR Zbl
- [Hoa et al. 2017] L. T. Hoa, K. Kimura, N. Terai, and T. N. Trung, "Stability of depths of symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals", J. Algebra 473 (2017), 307-323. MR Zbl
- [Kimura 2016] K. Kimura, "Nonvanishing of Betti numbers of edge ideals and complete bipartite subgraphs", Comm. Algebra 44:2 (2016), 710-730. MR Zbl
- [Kimura et al. 2018] K. Kimura, N. Terai, and S. Yassemi, "The projective dimension of the edge ideal of a very well-covered graph", Nagoya Math. J. 230 (2018), 160-179. MR Zbl
- [Lewis and Yannakakis 1980] J. M. Lewis and M. Yannakakis, "The node-deletion problem for hereditary properties is NP-complete", J. Comput. System Sci. 20:2 (1980), 219–230. MR Zbl
- [Malle 1982] G. Malle, "On maximum bipartite subgraphs", J. Graph Theory 6:2 (1982), 105–113. MR Zbl
- [Minh and Vu 2022] N. C. Minh and T. Vu, "Integral closure of powers of edge ideals and their regularity", J. Algebra 609 (2022), 120-144. MR Zbl
- [Minh et al. 2022] N. C. Minh, L. D. Nam, T. D. Phong, P. T. Thuy, and T. Vu, "Comparison between regularity of small symbolic powers and ordinary powers of an edge ideal", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 190 (2022), art. id. 105621. MR Zbl
- [Minh et al. 2023] N. C. Minh, T. N. Trung, and T. Vu, "Depth of powers of edge ideals of cycles and trees", preprint, 2023. To appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math. Zbl arXiv 2308.00874
- [Nguyen and Trung 2019] H. D. Nguyen and N. V. Trung, "Depth functions of symbolic powers of homogeneous ideals", Invent. Math. 218:3 (2019), 779-827. MR Zbl
- [Nguyen and Vu 2016] H. D. Nguyen and T. Vu, "Linearity defect of edge ideals and Fröberg's theorem", J. Algebraic Combin. 44:1 (2016), 165–199. MR Zbl
- [Nguyen and Vu 2019] H. D. Nguyen and T. Vu, "Powers of sums and their homological invariants", J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223:7 (2019), 3081–3111. MR Zbl
- [Rauf 2010] A. Rauf, "Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules", Comm. Algebra 38:2 (2010), 773–784. MR Zbl
- [Simis et al. 1994] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos, and R. H. Villarreal, "On the ideal theory of graphs", J. Algebra 167:2 (1994), 389–416. MR Zbl
- [Sullivant 2008] S. Sullivant, "Combinatorial symbolic powers", J. Algebra 319:1 (2008), 115–142. MR Zbl
- [Trung 2016] T. N. Trung, "Stability of depths of powers of edge ideals", J. Algebra 452 (2016), 157-187. MR Zbl

[Varbaro 2011] M. Varbaro, "Symbolic powers and matroids", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139:7 (2011), 2357–2366. MR Zbl

[Villarreal 2015] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial algebras*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015. MR Zbl

Received September 4, 2023. Revised April 14, 2024.

NGUYEN CONG MINH
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
HANOI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
HANOI
VIETNAM

minh.nguyencong@hust.edu.vn

TRAN NAM TRUNG
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (VAST)
HANOI
VIETNAM

tntrung@math.ac.vn

THANH VU
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (VAST)
HANOI
VIETNAM
vuqthanh@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu Ruixiang Zhang Department of Mathematics University of California Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$645/year for the electronic version, and \$875/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/
© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 329 No. 1 March 2024

Sp(1)-symmetric hyperkähler quantisation	1
JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN, ALESSANDRO MALUSÀ and GABRIELE REMBADO	
Combinatorics of the tautological lamination	39
Danny Calegari	
Limit theorems and wrapping transforms in bi-free probability theory TAKAHIRO HASEBE and HAO-WEI HUANG	63
Tame quasiconformal motions and monodromy	105
YUNPING JIANG, SUDEB MITRA and ZHE WANG	
A characterization and solvability of quasihomogeneous singularities GUORUI MA, STEPHEN ST. YAU, QIWEI ZHU and HUAIQING ZUO	121
	147
Collapsed limits of compact Heisenberg manifolds with sub-Riemannian metrics KENSHIRO TASHIRO	165
On the coefficient inequalities for some classes of holomorphic mappings in complex Banach spaces QINGHUA XU, XIAOHUA YANG and TAISHUN LIU	183