

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**CHARACTERIZING THE FOURIER TRANSFORM  
BY ITS PROPERTIES**

MATEUSZ KRUKOWSKI

Volume 329 No. 2

April 2024



## CHARACTERIZING THE FOURIER TRANSFORM BY ITS PROPERTIES

MATEUSZ KRUKOWSKI

**It is common knowledge that the Fourier transform enjoys the convolution property, i.e., it turns convolution in the time domain into multiplication in the frequency domain. It is probably less known that this property characterizes the Fourier transform amongst all linear and bounded operators  $T : L^1 \rightarrow C^b$ . Thus, a natural question arises: are there other features characterizing the Fourier transform besides convolution property? We provide an affirmative answer by investigating the time differentiation property and its discrete counterpart, used to characterize discrete-time Fourier transform. Next, we move on to locally compact abelian groups, where differentiation becomes meaningless, but the Fourier transform can be characterized via time shifts. The penultimate section of the paper returns to the convolution characterization, this time in the context of compact (not necessarily abelian) groups. We demonstrate that the proof existing in the literature can be greatly simplified with the aid of representation theory techniques. Lastly, we hint at the possibility of other transforms being characterized by their properties and demonstrate that the Hankel transform may be characterized by a Bessel-type differential property.**

### 1. Introduction

Fourier transform is one of the central topics in harmonic analysis. Its significance is boosted by numerous applications in fields such as the analysis of differential equations, nuclear magnetic resonance, infrared spectroscopy, signal/image processing or quantum mechanics. Amongst many attempts at explaining why the Fourier transform is so exceptional, there is a group of arguments which follow a similar pattern. They boil down to the reasoning that the Fourier transform is the only operator satisfying a specified set of properties. One may regard this approach as “axiomatic” in the sense that we do not start with a complete formula for the Fourier transform, but rather demand certain properties of an operator and derive the formula as a necessary conclusion.

---

*MSC2020:* 42A38, 43A25, 43A30.

*Keywords:* Fourier transform, convolution property, representation theory on compact groups, Hankel transform.

To give an example of such an approach, in his paper “A characterization of Fourier transforms” (see [7]) Jaming proved that the convolution property

$$\forall_{f,g \in L^1} \quad \mathcal{F}(f \star g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g)$$

essentially characterizes the Fourier transform on real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , the circle group  $S^1$ , the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . His article inspired Lavanya and Thangavelu to show that any continuous  $*$ -homomorphism of  $L^1(\mathbb{C}^d)$  (with twisted convolution as multiplication) into  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is essentially the Weyl transform and deduce a similar characterization for the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group (see [8] and [9]). Furthermore, Kumar and Sivananthan went on to demonstrate that the convolution property characterizes the Fourier transform on all compact groups (see [11]).

It is easy to recognize a common thread in the articles cited above—they all focus on the convolution property as the key ingredient in characterizing the Fourier transform. It is thus quite natural to ponder the question: are there any other properties that distinguish the Fourier transform? Our goal is to answer this question with a resounding “yes!”

The paper is comprised of the introduction, followed by four thematic sections and a bibliography. Section 2 provides a brief summary of the classical Fourier transform, with an emphasis on its time differentiation property. We extend this property to a larger class of integral transforms and introduce the Dirac delta property, which is a kind of “boundary condition”. Theorem 1 is the climactic point of the section, where we demonstrate that the time differentiation property (coupled with Dirac delta property) characterizes the classical Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We go on to prove that a similar technique (suitably adjusted to  $\mathbb{Z}$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) can be used to characterize the discrete-time Fourier transform.

Section 3 is preoccupied with the Fourier transform on an arbitrary locally compact abelian group. Needless to say, it makes little sense to talk of differentiation in this context so we study the time shift property instead. We demonstrate that this condition characterizes the Fourier transform with the help of (suitably reformulated) Dirac delta property (see Theorem 3).

Section 4 commences with a recap of representation theory on compact groups. We work towards describing a bijection between irreducible, unitary representations of  $G$  and irreducible, unitary  $*$ -representations of  $L^1(G)$ . This correspondence becomes the fundamental tool in demonstrating that the convolution property characterizes the Fourier transform on compact groups. Arguably, our proof simplifies earlier works of Kumar and Sivananthan (see [11]).

Section 5 is meant to stimulate further research regarding other integral transforms. We prove that the Fourier transform is not the only operator which is characterized by some differentiation property. After a brief summary of the Hankel transform,

Theorem 5 demonstrates that the Bessel's differentiation property (together with a suitable "boundary condition") characterizes the Hankel transform.

## 2. Fourier transform on reals and integers

It is common knowledge that the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx$$

satisfies the equality

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}(f')(y) = 2\pi iy \mathcal{F}(f)(y)$$

for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and sufficiently "good" function  $f$ . The property is so elemental that it permeates to the realm of quantum mechanics, where it states that the Fourier transform of the momentum operator

$$\hat{p} := -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

is (up to a constant) the position operator

$$\hat{x}\psi(x, t) := x\psi(x, t).$$

Our aim in the current section is to argue that the time differentiation property (1) is so fundamental that it characterizes the Fourier transform (given an appropriate "boundary condition").

To begin with, let:

- $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  be the Banach space of complex-valued, continuous and bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  be the vector space of complex-valued, compactly supported and  $C^1$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be the Banach space of complex-valued, integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We focus on integral transforms  $T : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^b(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.,

$$T(f)(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(x) dx,$$

where  $K \in C^b(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  is differentiable with respect to the first variable. Amongst all these operators we distinguish those that satisfy the time differentiation property, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad T(f')(y) = 2\pi iy T(f)(y)$$

for every  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The condition is plainly molded in the image of (1), although we cannot expect it to characterize the Fourier transform alone. This is

because the zero map is a perfect example of an integral transform satisfying (2), which is not the Fourier transform. Thus, we need some sort of “boundary condition” accompanying the time differentiation property.

In order to introduce such a condition, we say that  $(\delta_n) \subset C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a Dirac delta sequence if every  $\delta_n$

- has compact support contained in  $[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]$ ,
- is nonnegative and symmetric, i.e.,  $\delta_n(x) = \delta_n(-x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- satisfies the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_n(x) dx = 1.$$

An example of a Dirac delta sequence is

$$\delta_n(x) := \begin{cases} C n e^{-\frac{1}{1-(nx)^2}} & \text{if } x \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$C := \left( \int_{-1}^1 e^{-\frac{1}{1-x^2}} dx \right)^{-1}.$$

The choice of constant  $C$  is such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_n(x) dx = 1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We are ready to introduce the “boundary condition” accompanying the time differentiation property. We say that  $T : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^b(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the Dirac delta property if there exists a Dirac delta sequence  $(\delta_n) \subset C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\delta_n)(y) = 1.$$

Intuitively, the property means that  $T$  maps (certain) @functions “compressed in time” to functions “spread in frequency”. It is not surprising that the Fourier transform has the Dirac delta property (which is a good indicator that we have not strayed from the set path). Indeed, for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\delta_n)(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_n(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx = \int_{(-\infty, 0)} \delta_n(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx + \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx \\ &= \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(-x) e^{2\pi ixy} dx + \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(x) \cos(2\pi xy) dx, \end{aligned}$$

due to the fact that every  $\delta_n$  is symmetric. Since

$$1 \geq \cos(2\pi xy) \geq \cos\left(2\pi \cdot \frac{y}{n}\right)$$

for  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{n})$  and  $n$  large enough then

$$1 = 2 \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(x) dx \geq \mathcal{F}(\delta_n)(y) \geq 2 \cos\left(2\pi \cdot \frac{y}{n}\right) \int_{(0, \infty)} \delta_n(x) dx = \cos\left(2\pi \cdot \frac{y}{n}\right).$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we conclude that  $\mathcal{F}(\delta_n)(y) \rightarrow 1$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $T : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is an integral transform with kernel  $K \in C^b(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ , which is differentiable with respect to the first variable. If  $T$  satisfies*

- *the time differentiation property (2), and*
- *the Dirac delta property (3)*

*then  $T$  is the Fourier transform.*

*Proof.* For every  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have (due to integration by parts)

$$(4) \quad T(f')(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f'(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(x, y) f(x) dx.$$

Using the time differentiation property we write

$$2\pi iy \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(x, y) f(x) dx$$

or, equivalently

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(x, y) + 2\pi iy K(x, y) \right) f(x) dx$$

for every  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus of variations, we conclude that

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(x, y) + 2\pi iy K(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( e^{2\pi iyx} K(x, y) \right)$$

for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that

$$K(x, y) = g(y) e^{-2\pi iyx}$$

where  $g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ .

At this stage we know that

$$T(f)(y) = g(y) \mathcal{F}(f)(y) \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We fix  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and pick any Dirac delta sequence  $(\delta_n)$  for the operator  $T$ . We have

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\delta_n)(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(y) \mathcal{F}(\delta_n)(y) = g(y),$$

which proves that  $T = \mathcal{F}$  on  $C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ . However, since  $C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the two linear and bounded transforms ( $T$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ ) must coincide on the whole space  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

Motivated by the theorem above, we try to adapt the proof to characterize the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e., the discrete-time Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} : \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(S^1)$  given by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(y) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) e^{-2\pi i n y}.$$

$S^1$  usually stands for the unit circle group, but in the context of the discrete-time Fourier transform, it is convenient (or customary) to understand it as an interval  $[0, 1]$  with addition mod 1.

Functions (sequences) in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  are not differentiable per se, but we may imitate differentiability with forward and backward difference operators:

$$\Delta^+ f(n) := f(n+1) - f(n), \quad \Delta^- f(n) := f(n) - f(n-1).$$

This allows for the following characterization:

**Theorem 2.** *If a linear and bounded operator  $T : \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(S^1)$  satisfies*

- *the time difference property, i.e., for every  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $y \in S^1$  we have*

$$(5) \quad T(\Delta^+ f)(y) = (e^{2\pi i y} - 1)T(f)(y),$$

- *$T(\mathbb{1}_0)(y) = 1$  for every  $y \in S^1$  ( $\mathbb{1}_0$  stands for an indicator function/sequence of the singleton  $\{0\}$ ),*

*then it is the discrete-time Fourier transform.*

*Proof.* Since both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $S^1$  are  $\sigma$ -finite spaces, we use  $L^1 - L^\infty$  duality to establish the existence of a kernel  $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{Z} \times S^1)$  such that

$$T(f)(y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K(n, y) f(n)$$

for every  $y \in S^1$  (see Theorem 1.3 in [2]). Next, for every  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $y \in S^1$  we use summation by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\Delta^+ f)(y) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N K(n, y)(f(n+1) - f(n)) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( K(N, y) f(N+1) - K(-N, y) f(-N) \right) \\
 &\quad - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} f(n+1)(K(n+1, y) - K(n, y)) \\
 &= - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n+1) \Delta^+ K(n, y) = - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \Delta^- K(n, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (5) we have

$$(e^{2\pi iy} - 1)T(f)(y) = - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \Delta^- K(n, y)$$

for every  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $y \in S^1$ . A simple rearrangement of terms yields

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{2\pi iy} K(n, y) - K(n-1, y)) f(n) = 0,$$

which implies

$$(6) \quad e^{2\pi iy} K(n, y) = K(n-1, y)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y \in S^1$ . Finally, since

$$K(0, y) = T(\mathbb{1}_0)(y) = 1$$

we may iterate (6) to obtain  $K(n, y) = e^{-2\pi iny}$ . □

### 3. Shifts on locally compact abelian groups

Previous section focused on characterizing the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In the current section we establish a “broader” characterization which applies to all locally compact abelian groups, of which  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are just particular examples. Naturally, we need to shift our perspective and focus on other properties of the Fourier transform, since differential or difference operators are meaningless for LCA groups in general. Thus, we bring our attention to the time shift property, which says that a time shift of signal  $f$  by  $x$  corresponds to frequency spectrum being modified by a linear phase shift  $e^{-2\pi ixy}$ . More formally:

$$\mathcal{F}(L_x f)(y) = e^{-2\pi ixy} \mathcal{F}(f)(y),$$

where  $L_x : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is given by  $L_x f(t) := f(t-x)$ . Our goal is to prove that a generalized version of this property characterizes the Fourier transform on any LCA group.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group with dual group  $\widehat{G}$ . We denote the set of all open, nonempty neighborhoods of the neutral element of  $G$  by  $N_0$ . Next, we say that a family  $(\delta_U)_{U \in N_0}$  is called a Dirac delta family, if every  $\delta_U$

- is continuous and compactly supported, i.e.,  $\delta_U \in C_c(G)$ ,
- is nonnegative and symmetric, i.e.,  $\delta_U(x) = \delta_U(-x)$  for every  $x \in G$ ,
- satisfies the equality  $\int_G \delta_U(x) dx = 1$ .

We say that  $T : L^1(G) \rightarrow C^b(\widehat{G})$  satisfies Dirac delta property if there exists a Dirac delta family  $(\delta_U)$  such that for every  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{U \rightarrow 0} T(\delta_U)(\chi) = 1,$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists U \in N_0 \forall \substack{V \subset U \\ V \in N_0} |T(\delta_V)(\chi) - 1| < \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 3.** *If a linear and bounded operator  $T : L^1(G) \rightarrow C^b(\widehat{G})$  satisfies*

- *the time shift property, i.e., for every  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $x \in G$ ,  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  we have*

$$(8) \quad T(L_x f)(\chi) = \overline{\chi(x)} T(f)(\chi),$$

- *the Dirac delta property,*

*then  $T$  is the Fourier transform.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\delta_U)$  be a Dirac delta family for  $T$ . For every  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  and  $U \in N_0$  we use Lemma 11.45 in [1, p. 427] (or Proposition 7 in [4, p. 123], to get

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\delta_U \star f)(\chi) &= T\left(\int_G f(x) L_x \delta_U dx\right)(\chi) \\ &= \int_G f(x) T(L_x \delta_U)(\chi) dx \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} T(\delta_U)(\chi) \int_G f(x) \overline{\chi(x)} dx = T(\delta_U)(\chi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\|\delta_U \star f - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  by Proposition 2.42 in [6, p. 53], so the continuity of  $T$  implies

$$\|T(\delta_U \star f) - T(f)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$T(\delta_U \star f)(\chi) \rightarrow T(f)(\chi)$$

for every  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ . Finally,

$$T(f)(\chi) = \lim_{U \rightarrow 0} T(\delta_U \star f)(\chi) \stackrel{(9)}{=} \lim_{U \rightarrow 0} T(\delta_U)(\chi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\chi) \stackrel{(7)}{=} \mathcal{F}(f)(\chi). \quad \square$$

Let us remark that Theorems 1 and 2 are independent from Theorem 3. This is because Theorems 1 and 2 use time differentiation and difference properties, respectively, whereas Theorem 3 focuses on time shift property.

#### 4. Fourier transform on compact groups

We have already established novel characterizations of the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and all locally compact abelian groups in general. The current section takes a step back and reviews the existing literature concerning the Fourier transform characterization on compact groups. With that goal in mind, let us briefly summarize the historical background.

In 2010, Jaming proved that the convolution property characterizes the Fourier transform on four canonical groups:  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S^1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (see [7]). Within next four years, Lavanya and Thangavelu proved that the Heisenberg group admits a similar characterization (see [8] and [9]). Their approach was adapted by Kumar and Sivanathan, who investigated the convolution property of the Fourier transform on arbitrary compact group (see [11]). However, studying the proof of their main result, it feels like Kumar and Sivanathan take an unnecessary long detour in order to reach the final destination. It is even difficult to lay down a summary of their proof, which goes through the space of all coefficient functions and establishes invariance of carefully crafted Hilbert subspaces in order to construct unitary operators relating the operator in question to the Fourier transform. An interested Reader is encouraged (at their own risk) to consult [11] for meticulous details.

Our goal is to show that there exists a more direct path than the one chosen by Kumar and Sivanathan. The proof we lay down is rooted in representation theory and circumvents the technicalities of the earlier approach by using the correspondence between representations of a compact group and its  $L^1$ -space. With the clarity of our exposition in mind, we take the liberty of recalling the basic concepts of representation theory.

Let  $G$  be a compact group. A map  $\pi : G \rightarrow U(H_\pi)$  is called a unitary representation of  $G$  if:

- $H_\pi$  is a nonzero Hilbert space and  $U(H_\pi)$  is a space of unitary operators on  $H_\pi$ .
- $\pi$  is a homomorphism, i.e.,  $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y)$  and  $\pi(x^{-1}) = \pi(x)^{-1} = \pi(x)^*$  for every  $x, y \in G$ .
- $\pi$  is continuous in the strong operator topology, i.e., the map  $x \mapsto \pi(x)u$  is continuous for any  $u \in H_\pi$ .

An instructive example of a representation is the left regular representation  $\pi_L : G \rightarrow U(L^2(G))$  defined by

$$\pi_L(x)(f)(y) := L_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y).$$

Two representations  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are said to be unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary operator  $Q : H_{\pi_1} \rightarrow H_{\pi_2}$  such that

$$\pi_2(x) = Q\pi_1(x)Q^{-1}$$

for every  $x \in G$ . For instance, the right regular representation  $\pi_R : G \rightarrow U(L^2(G))$  defined by

$$\pi_R(x)(f)(y) := R_x f(y) = f(yx)$$

is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_L$ . Indeed, the unitary operator  $Q : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G)$  given by  $Q(f)(y) := f(y^{-1})$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} Q\pi_L(x)Q^{-1}f(y) &= Q\pi_L(x)f(y^{-1}) \\ &= Qf(x^{-1}y^{-1}) \\ &= Qf((yx)^{-1}) = f(yx) = R_x f(y) = \pi_R(x)(f)(y) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y \in G$ . Given a representation  $\pi$ , the set of all unitarily equivalent representations is called the unitary equivalence class and formally denoted by  $[\pi]$ . However, such a symbol is rather cumbersome and we usually abuse the notation by writing  $\pi$  instead of  $[\pi]$ .

A closed subspace  $S$  of  $H_\pi$  is called invariant if  $\pi(x)S \subset S$  for every  $x \in G$ . Obviously, the trivial space  $\{0\}$  as well as the whole space  $H_\pi$  are invariant. If it happens that these are the only invariant subspaces of  $\pi$ , we say that the representation is irreducible. The set of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  is denoted by  $\widehat{G}$ .

For our purposes we cannot restrict ourselves only to representations of compact groups, but rather extend the theory to  $L^1$ -spaces. In general, a map  $\rho : A \rightarrow B(H_\rho)$  is called a  $*$ -representation of a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  if

- $H_\pi$  is a nonzero Hilbert space and  $B(H_\pi)$  is a space of linear and bounded operators on  $H_\pi$ ,
- $\rho(a + b) = \rho(a) + \rho(b)$ ,
- $\rho(\lambda a) = \lambda\rho(a)$ ,
- $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$ ,
- $\rho(a^*) = \rho(a)^*$

for every  $a, b \in A$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We do not need to assume that a  $*$ -representation is continuous, since this is always the case due to Proposition 1.3.7 in [5, p. 9]. We say that a  $*$ -representation  $\rho$  is nondegenerate (see Proposition 9.2 and Definition 9.3 in [12, p. 36]) if

- for every  $u \in H_\rho$  there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\rho(a)u \neq 0$ , or equivalently
- the vector space  $\rho(A)H_\rho$  is dense in  $H_\rho$ .

At this point we are ready to describe the correspondence between representations on compact groups and  $L^1$ -spaces. For every unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  we define  $\Pi : L^1(G) \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  with the formula

$$(10) \quad \forall_{u,v \in H_\pi} \quad \langle \Pi(f) u \mid v \rangle := \int_G f(x) \langle \pi(x) u \mid v \rangle dx,$$

where  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in the Hilbert space  $H_\pi$ . By Theorem 3.9 in [6, p. 73], (or Proposition 6.2.1 in [3, p. 131]) the map  $\Pi$  is a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation of  $L^1(G)$ . Furthermore, by Theorem 3.11 in [6, p. 74] (or Proposition 6.2.3 in [3, p. 133]) every  $*$ -representation is of the form (10), i.e., the map  $\pi \mapsto \Pi$  is a bijection between unitary representations of  $G$  and nondegenerate  $*$ -representation of  $L^1(G)$ . Since a unitary representation  $\pi$  is irreducible if and only if  $\Pi$  is irreducible (see Theorem 3.12(b) in [6, p. 75]) then the map  $\pi \mapsto \Pi$  determines a bijection between  $\widehat{G}$  and the set of irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $L^1(G)$ , denoted by  $\widehat{L^1(G)}$  (see Remark 6.2.4 in [3, p. 134]).

Let

$$\ell^\infty - \oplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} B(H_\pi) := \left\{ (A_\pi) \in \prod_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} B(H_\pi) : \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|A_\pi u\|_\pi < \infty \right\}$$

be a Banach  $*$ -algebra with the norm

$$\|(A_\pi)\|_\infty := \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|A_\pi u\|_\pi$$

and involution  $(A_\pi)^* = (A_\pi^*)$ . The Fourier transform on compact group  $G$  is the map  $\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow \ell^\infty - \oplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} B(H_\pi)$  given by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(f)(\pi) u \mid v \rangle := \int_G f(x) \langle \pi(x)^* u \mid v \rangle dx$$

for every  $u, v \in H_\pi$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T : L^1(G) \rightarrow \ell^\infty - \oplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} B(H_\pi)$  be a linear and bounded operator, which is*

- $*$ -preserving, i.e.,  $T(f)^* = T(f^*)$  for every  $f \in L^1(G)$ , and
- pointwise irreducible, i.e., for every  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  the map  $f \mapsto T(f)(\pi)$  is irreducible.

*If  $T$  satisfies*

- the convolution property, i.e., for every  $f, g \in L^1(G)$  and  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$  we have

$$(11) \quad T(f \star g)(\sigma) = T(f)(\sigma) T(g)(\sigma),$$

- the time shift property, i.e., for every  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  we have

$$(12) \quad T(L_x f)(\pi) = T(f)(\pi) \pi(x)^*,$$

*then  $T$  is the Fourier transform.*

*Proof.* We choose  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  and consider a linear map  $T_\pi : L^1(G) \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  given by  $T_\pi(f) := T(f)(\pi)$ . Since  $T$  is  $*$ -preserving, pointwise irreducible and it satisfies the convolution property then  $T_\pi$  is an irreducible  $*$ -representation of  $L^1(G)$ . Consequently, there exists an irreducible unitary representation  $\sigma : G \rightarrow U(H_\pi)$  such that

$$\langle T_\pi(f) u \mid v \rangle = \int_G f(x) \langle \sigma(x)^* u \mid v \rangle dx$$

for every  $u, v \in H_\pi$ .

Next, for every  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $u, v \in H_\pi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(y) \langle \sigma(y)^* \pi(x)^* u \mid v \rangle dy &= \langle T_\pi(f) \pi(x)^* u \mid v \rangle \stackrel{(12)}{=} \langle T_\pi(L_x f) u \mid v \rangle \\ &= \int_G L_x f(y) \langle \sigma(y)^* u \mid v \rangle dy \\ &= \int_G f(x^{-1}y) \langle \sigma(y)^* u \mid v \rangle dy \\ &\stackrel{y \mapsto xy}{=} \int_G f(y) \langle \sigma(xy)^* u \mid v \rangle dy \\ &= \int_G f(y) \langle \sigma(y)^* \sigma(x)^* u \mid v \rangle dy. \end{aligned}$$

A simple rearrangement yields

$$\int_G f(y) \langle \sigma(y)^* (\sigma(x)^* - \pi(x)^*) u \mid v \rangle = 0$$

for every  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $u, v \in H_\pi$ . Putting

$$f(y) := \overline{\langle \sigma(y)^* (\sigma(x)^* - \pi(x)^*) u \mid v \rangle}$$

we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\langle \sigma(y)^* (\sigma(x)^* - \pi(x)^*) u \mid v \rangle = 0$$

for every  $x, y \in G$  and  $u, v \in H_\pi$ . Finally, we take  $y$  to be the identity element in  $G$  and  $v = (\sigma(x)^* - \pi(x)^*) u$  to obtain  $(\sigma(x)^* - \pi(x)^*) u = 0$  for every  $x \in G$  and  $u \in H_\pi$ . This means that  $\sigma = \pi$ .  $\square$

Our proof of Theorem 4 takes slightly more than half of a page. For comparison, Kumar and Sivanathan's original proof of nearly identical result (Theorem 3.1 in [11]) runs for almost two pages. To be fair, Kumar and Sivanathan do not assume pointwise irreducibility and prove that  $T(f) = \mathcal{F}(f)$  only on the set

$$E := \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \exists f \in L^1(G) T(f)(\pi) \neq 0\}.$$

This, however, is far from the crux of the proof and we feel that our assumption makes for a much “cleaner” thesis in Theorem 4:  $T$  is the Fourier transform (without invoking any set  $E$ ).

### 5. Beyond the Fourier transform

This last section is meant to stimulate further research regarding integral transforms and their characterizations. As the title suggests, our goal is to prove that the Fourier transform is not the only well-known operator characterized by a differential property. We hope that our next result will become a catalyst for future study of Fourier-like integral transform and their characterizations.

To begin with, let  $J_\alpha$  be the Bessel’s function of the first kind solving the Bessel’s differential equation (of order  $\alpha$ ):

$$(13) \quad r^2 f''(r) + r f'(r) + (r^2 - \alpha^2) f(r) = 0$$

for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . One form of expressing  $J_\alpha$  (see Chapter 9.4 in [13, p. 230] or Chapter VI in [14, p. 176]) is

$$\forall r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad J_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\alpha t - r \sin(t)) dt - \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-r \sinh(t) - \alpha t} dt,$$

which implies that  $J_\alpha$  is a bounded function. This feature distinguishes  $J_\alpha$  from  $Y_\alpha$ , which is another solution of (13) called the Bessel’s function of the second kind.  $Y_\alpha$  is unbounded at the origin  $r = 0$ .

Next, we focus on the Hankel transform  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha : C_c(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  of order  $\alpha$ :

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{H}_\alpha(f)(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} J_\alpha(yx) f(x) x dx,$$

whose elementary properties (see Chapter 2 in [10]) include continuity and vanishing at infinity, i.e.,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_\alpha(f)(y) = 0.$$

We are now ready to prove the final result of the paper:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $T : C_c(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be an integral transform given by the formula*

$$(15) \quad T(f)(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) f(x) x dx,$$

where  $K \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a bounded function. If

- $T$  satisfies the Bessel’s differential property (of order  $\alpha > 0$ )

$$(16) \quad \forall f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \quad T\left(f'' + \frac{1}{x} f' - \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2} f\right)(y) = -y^2 T(f)(y),$$

and

- there exists a function  $f_* \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $y_* \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(17) \quad T(f_*)(y_*) = \mathcal{H}_\alpha(f_*)(y_*) \neq 0,$$

then  $T$  is the Hankel transform  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* In order to facilitate the computations throughout the proof, let us begin with a pair of auxiliary integrations by parts. For every  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  we have

$$(18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x K(yx) f''(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) f'(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} yx K'(yx) f'(x) dx,$$

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} yx K'(yx) f'(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y K'(yx) f(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^2 x K''(yx) f(x) dx.$$

Due to Bessel's differential property, for every  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} -y^2 T(f)(y) &\stackrel{(16)}{=} T\left(f'' + \frac{1}{x} f' - \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2} f\right)(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) \left(f''(x) + \frac{1}{x} f'(x) - \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2} f(x)\right) x dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x K(yx) f''(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) f'(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) \frac{\alpha^2}{x} f(x) dx \\ &\stackrel{(18)}{=} - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} yx K'(yx) f'(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} K(yx) \frac{\alpha^2}{x} f(x) dx \\ &\stackrel{(19)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(y^2 x K''(yx) + y K'(yx) - \frac{\alpha^2}{x} K(yx)\right) f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left((yx)^2 K''(yx) + yx K'(yx) + ((yx)^2 - \alpha^2) K(yx)\right) \frac{f(x)}{x} dx.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus of variations we have

$$r^2 K''(r) + r K'(r) + (r^2 - \alpha^2) K(r) = 0$$

for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . This implies that  $K$  is a linear combination of Bessel's functions  $J_\alpha$  and  $Y_\alpha$  of first and second kind, respectively, so

$$K(r) = C_1 J_\alpha(r) + C_2 Y_\alpha(r)$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $Y_\alpha$  is unbounded near the origin (and  $J_\alpha$  is bounded), it must be the case that  $C_2 = 0$ , as the kernel  $K$  is (by assumption) bounded. This means that  $T = C_1 \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ .

Finally, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha(f_*)(y_*) \stackrel{(17)}{=} T(f_*)(y_*) = C_1 \mathcal{H}_\alpha(f_*)(y_*),$$

which leads to the conclusion that  $C_1 = 1$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

I would love to express my deep gratitude towards the reviewer, who has painstakingly scrutinized my work pointing out various inconsistencies in the text and whose comments and suggestions have palpably enhanced the readability of the article. I feel honored to have had my paper reviewed by such a supportive and proficient person.

### References

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, *Infinite dimensional analysis: a Hitchhiker's guide*, 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin, 2006. MR Zbl
- [2] W. Arendt and A. V. Bukhvalov, "Integral representations of resolvents and semigroups", *Forum Math.* **6**:1 (1994), 111–135. MR Zbl
- [3] A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoff, *Principles of harmonic analysis*, Springer, New York, 2009. MR Zbl
- [4] N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures*, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics **95**, Pergamon Press, Berlin, 1967. MR Zbl
- [5] J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland Mathematical Library **15**, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1977. MR Zbl
- [6] G. B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. MR Zbl
- [7] P. Jaming, "A characterization of Fourier transforms", *Colloq. Math.* **118**:2 (2010), 569–580. MR Zbl
- [8] R. Lakshmi Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, "A characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group", *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **3**:1 (2012), 109–120. MR Zbl
- [9] R. Lakshmi Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, "Revisiting the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group", *Publ. Mat.* **58**:1 (2014), 47–63. MR Zbl
- [10] S. S. Platonov, "Bessel harmonic analysis and the approximation of functions on a half-line", *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **71**:5 (2007), 1001–1048. MR Zbl
- [11] N. Shravan Kumar and S. Sivananthan, "Characterisation of the Fourier transform on compact groups", *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93**:3 (2016), 467–472. MR Zbl
- [12] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras, I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **124**, Springer, Berlin, 2002. MR Zbl
- [13] N. M. Temme, *Special functions: an introduction to the classical functions of mathematical physics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1996. MR Zbl
- [14] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1944. MR Zbl

Received February 20, 2024. Revised June 22, 2024.

MATEUSZ KRUKOWSKI  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
ŁÓDŹ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
ŁÓDŹ  
POLAND  
mateusz.krukowski@p.lodz.pl

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Wien  
Vienna, Austria  
[matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at](mailto:matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Atsushi Ichino  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan  
[atsushi.ichino@gmail.com](mailto:atsushi.ichino@gmail.com)

Robert Lipshitz  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403  
[lipshitz@uoregon.edu](mailto:lipshitz@uoregon.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu](mailto:shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

Ruixiang Zhang  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
[ruixiang@berkeley.edu](mailto:ruixiang@berkeley.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$645/year for the electronic version, and \$875/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 329    No. 2    April 2024

---

Concentration inequalities for Paley–Wiener spaces SYED HUSAIN and FRIEDRICH LITTMANN	201
Characterizing the Fourier transform by its properties MATEUSZ KRUKOWSKI	217
Reduction types of CM curves MENTZELOS MELISTAS	233
The local character expansion as branching rules: nilpotent cones and the case of $SL(2)$ MONICA NEVINS	259
Extremely closed subgroups and a variant on Glauber’s $Z^*$ -theorem HUNG P. TONG-VIET	303
Vishik equivalence and similarity of quasilinear $p$ -forms and totally singular quadratic forms KRISTÝNA ZEMKOVÁ	327
$RLL$ -realization of two-parameter quantum affine algebra in type $D_n^{(1)}$ RUSHU ZHUANG, NAIHONG HU and XIAO XU	357