

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

REDUCTION TYPES OF CM CURVES

MENTZELOS MELISTAS

REDUCTION TYPES OF CM CURVES

MENTZELOS MELISTAS

We study the reduction properties of low genus curves whose Jacobian has complex multiplication. In the elliptic curve case, we classify the possible Kodaira types of reduction that can occur. Moreover, we investigate the possible Namikawa–Ueno types that can occur for genus 2 curves whose Jacobian has complex multiplication which is defined over the base field. We also produce bounds on the torsion subgroup of abelian varieties with complex multiplication defined over local fields.

1. Introduction

Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer, let K be a CM field, i.e., K is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field, and assume that K has degree $2g$ over \mathbb{Q} . Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field. If A/L is an abelian variety, then we will denote by $\text{End}_L(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms of A/L which are defined over L . An abelian variety A/L with complex multiplication by K over the field L is an abelian variety A/L of dimension g together with an embedding $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_L^0(A) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{End}_L(A)$. Our definition implies that A/L is isotypic (see [2, Theorem 1.3.1.1]). We also require that K injects into $\text{End}_L^0(A)$ and not just in $\text{End}^0(A) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. If K injects into $\text{End}^0(A)$, then we will say that A/L has potential complex multiplication by the field K . If C/L is a curve, then we will say that C/L has complex multiplication over L (or is a CM curve over L) if the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ has complex multiplication over the field L .

The study of the reduction properties of abelian varieties with complex multiplication is a classical topic with a rich history. Serre and Tate in [33] proved, as a consequence of the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, that every abelian variety with complex multiplication defined over a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field has potentially good reduction. More generally, Oort in [27], proved the same result under the weaker assumption that the residue field is perfect. Lorenzini in [19], among other results, proved, in the case where the residue field is algebraically closed, that if C/L is a curve with potentially good reduction with

MSC2020: 11G05, 11G07, 14H25, 14K15, 14K22.

Keywords: complex multiplication, elliptic curve, genus 2 curve, Kodaira-type, reduction-type.

simple Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication over L , then the degree of the minimal extension over which $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ acquires good reduction has at most three prime divisors. In this paper, we study the possible configurations of the special fiber of the minimal proper regular model of elliptic curves with complex multiplication and of genus 2 curves with complex multiplication.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with valuation v , fraction field L of characteristic 0, and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Let E/L be an elliptic curve with j -invariant j_E that has complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field K and let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K . If $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then assume that $\text{End}_L(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, depending on p , $v(p)$, j_E , and K , the possible reduction types of E/L are as follows.*

p	$v(p)$	j_E	possible reduction types
$\neq 2$	any	$\neq 0, 1728$	I_0 or I_0^*
2	1	$\neq 0, 1728$	I_0, I_4^*, I_8^*, II , or II^*
$\neq 2$	any	1728	I_0, III, III^* , or I_0^*
$\neq 3$	any	0	I_0, II, II^*, IV, IV^* or I_0^*

Keeping the same notation as above, if we do not assume that the complex multiplication is defined over L , then, as [Theorem 1.2](#) below shows, in addition to the Kodaira types of [Theorem 1.1](#), a few more Kodaira types can also occur.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Let E/L be an elliptic curve with potential complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field K and denote by j_E the j -invariant of E/L . If $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then assume that $\text{End}_{LK}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, depending on p , $v(p)$, j_E , and K , the possible reduction types of E/L are as follows.*

p	$v(p)$	j_E	possible reduction types
$\neq 2$	any	$\neq 0, 1728$	I_0, III, III^* , or I_0^* .
$\neq 2$	any	1728	I_0, III, III^* , or I_0^*
2	1	1728	$I_0, II, III, III^*, I_2^*$ or I_3^*
$\neq 3$	any	0	I_0, II, II^*, IV, IV^* or I_0^*

In [Section 2](#) below, we present examples showing that all the reduction types of [Theorem 1.1](#) and of [Theorem 1.2](#) do indeed occur.

Curves of genus 2 with complex multiplication have received a lot of interest lately, especially due to their cryptographic applications. If an elliptic curve has complex multiplication, then it has potentially good reduction. However, it is not true that every curve of genus 2 whose Jacobian has complex multiplication has

potentially good reduction. For a primitive CM field, Goren and Lauter in [12] proved a bound on the primes of geometric bad reduction for curves of genus 2 whose Jacobian has complex multiplication.

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 and let C^{\min}/R be its minimal proper regular model. There exists a complete classification of the possibilities for the special fiber of C^{\min}/R (see [26]). In this classification, there are more than 120 possibilities, referred to as reduction types. Moreover, Liu in [16] has produced an algorithm that computes the special fiber of C^{\min}/R under the assumption that the extension of minimal degree over which C/L acquires stable reduction is tame, i.e., the degree of this extension is not divisible by p . We note that this tameness assumption is automatically satisfied if $p > 5$.

Throughout this article, if A/L is any variety over a field L and M/L is a field extension, then we will denote by A_M/M the base change of A/L to M . Among other results in Section 4, we prove the following theorem (for the reduction types we follow Liu’s notation in [16]).

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with simple Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication by a quartic CM field K over the field L . Let $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$, where $\mu(K)$ is the group of roots of unity in K , and let L^{unr} be the maximal unramified extension of L .*

(i) *Assume that C/L has potentially good reduction. Then the possible special fibers of the minimal proper regular model of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are as follows.*

μ'	possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$
2	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*]$
4	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*], [\text{VI}]$
6	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*], [\text{III}], [\text{IV}]$
8	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*], [\text{VI}], [\text{VII}], [\text{VII}^*]$
10	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*], [\text{IX} - 1], [\text{IX} - 2], [\text{IX} - 3],$ $[\text{IX} - 4], [\text{VIII} - 1], [\text{VIII} - 2], [\text{VIII} - 3], [\text{VIII} - 4]$
12	$[\text{I}_{0-0-0}], [\text{I}_{0-0-0}^*], [\text{III}], [\text{IV}], [\text{VI}]$

(ii) *Assume that C/L does not have potentially good reduction. Then the possible special fibers of the minimal proper regular model of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are given below, where d and r are defined as in [16, Section 4.3].*

μ'	possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$
2 or 10	$[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$
4	$[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[III - III - (d-2)/4]$, $[III - III^* - (d-4)/4]$, $[III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4]$, $[2I_0^* - (r-1)/2]$
6	$[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[IV - IV - (d-2)/3]$, $[IV - IV^* - (d-3)/3]$, $[IV^* - IV^* - (d-4)/3]$, $[II - II - (d-2)/6]$, $[II - II^* - (d-6)/6]$, $[II^* - II^* - (d-10)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II - (d-4)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II^* - (d-8)/6]$, $[2IV - (r-1)/3]$, $[2IV^* - (r-2)/3]$
8	$[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[III - III - (d-2)/4]$, $[III - III^* - (d-4)/4]$, $[III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4]$, $[2I_0^* - (r-1)/2]$, $[2III - (r-1)/4]$, $[2III^* - (r-3)/4]$
12	$[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[III - III - (d-2)/4]$, $[III - III^* - (d-4)/4]$, $[III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4]$, $[2I_0^* - (r-1)/2]$, $[IV - IV - (d-2)/3]$, $[IV - IV^* - (d-3)/3]$, $[IV^* - IV^* - (d-4)/3]$, $[II - II - (d-2)/6]$, $[II - II^* - (d-6)/6]$, $[II^* - II^* - (d-10)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II - (d-4)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II^* - (d-8)/6]$, $[2IV - (r-1)/3]$, $[2IV^* - (r-2)/3]$, $[2II - (r-1)/6]$, $[2II^* - (r-5)/6]$

When $\mu' = 8$ or 10 , assuming that C/L has potentially good reduction and the special fiber of the stable model is not isomorphic to either the curve C_0 or the curve C_1 of [Remark 4.3](#), we obtain, in [Section 4](#), a more precise list of possible reduction types (see [Theorem 4.4](#) below).

In [Section 5](#), we focus on component groups and torsion points of CM abelian varieties. Using ideas of Clark and Xarles from [\[3\]](#) we prove the next proposition.

Proposition 1.4. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L which has characteristic p and cardinality q . Denote by e the absolute ramification index of L . Let A/L be an abelian variety with complex multiplication by a CM field K over L . Then*

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq \max\{|\mu(K)| \cdot p^{2g\gamma_p(e|\mu(K)|)}, \lfloor (1 + \sqrt{q})^2 \rfloor^g \cdot p^{2g\gamma_p(e)}\},$$

where $\gamma_p(m) = \lfloor \log_p \left(\frac{pm}{p-1} \right) \rfloor$.

This article is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#) we consider the elliptic curve case and prove [Theorems 1.1](#) and [1.2](#). [Section 3](#) mostly contains background material on reduction of abelian varieties used in the last two sections. After briefly recalling some basic background on reduction of genus 2 curves, we prove [Theorem 1.3](#) in [Section 4](#). Finally, in [Section 5](#) we study the possible geometric component groups of abelian varieties and prove [Proposition 1.4](#).

2. Kodaira types of CM elliptic curves

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and present a few examples. We first prove Theorem 1.1 as it will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Our starting point for our proofs is Lemma 2.1 below, whose proof can be found in [7, Lemma 2.4]. We note that when E/L is an elliptic curve defined over a number field, then Lemma 2.1 was originally due to Serre and Tate [33, page 507] (see also [30, Corollary 5.22]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed residue field k_L . Let E/L be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field $K \subset L$. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then assume that $\text{End}_L(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_K$, where \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers of K . Then there exists an elliptic curve E'/L such that E'/L has good reduction and the curves E/L and E'/L become isomorphic over an algebraic closure of L .*

We also record here the following useful lemma, which gives the reduction type of a quadratic twist of an elliptic curve with good reduction.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with valuation v , fraction field L of characteristic 0, and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Let E/L be an elliptic curve with good reduction and let E'/L be a quadratic twist of E/L .*

- (i) *If $p > 2$, then E'/L has either good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* .*
- (ii) *If $p = 2$ and $v(2) = 1$, then E'/L has either good reduction, or reduction of type I_8^* , I_4^* , II , or II^* .*

Proof. Part (i) is well known (see, e.g., [4, Proposition 1]). Part (ii) follows by combining explicit formulas for quadratic twists of elliptic curves (see [5, Proposition 5.7.1]) along with [28, Tableau IV]. Alternatively, one can use [21, Theorem 4.2] together with [41]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $p \neq 2$ and that $j_E \neq 0, 1728$. Lemma 2.1 tells us that there exists an elliptic curve E'/L with good reduction which becomes isomorphic to E/L over an algebraic closure of L . Since $j_E \neq 0, 1728$, we see that E'/L is a quadratic twist of E/L (see [38, Section X.5]). Therefore, since $p \neq 2$, using (i) of Lemma 2.2, we find that E'/L has good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* .

Assume that $p = 2$, $v(2) = 1$, and that $j_E \neq 0, 1728$. Proceeding in the same way as in the previous paragraph we see that there exists a quadratic twist E'/L of E/L which has good reduction. Since $v(2) = 1$, by (ii) of Lemma 2.2 we obtain that E'/L has good reduction or reduction of type I_8^* , I_4^* , II , or II^* .

Assume that $p \neq 2$ and that $j_E = 1728$. Using [38, Proposition III.1.4] and [38, Proposition X.5.4] we find that the elliptic curve E/L has a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + Ax$$

for some $A \in L^*$. The discriminant of this Weierstrass equation is $\Delta = -64A^3$. Since $p \neq 2$ by assumption, we have that $v(\Delta) = 3v(A)$, and hence 3 divides $v(\Delta)$. Let Δ_{\min} be the discriminant of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L . Since when a change of Weierstrass equation is performed the valuation of the discriminant changes by a multiple of 12, we find that $v(\Delta) - v(\Delta_{\min})$ is a multiple of 12. Since 3 divides $v(\Delta)$, we obtain that 3 divides $v(\Delta_{\min})$.

Case 1. $p \geq 5$. Using Tate's algorithm [39] (see also [37, page 365]) and using the fact that E/L has potentially good reduction, we find that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* , III, or III*.

Case 2. $p = 3$. Choose a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L and let c_4 , c_6 , and Δ_{\min} be the c_4 -invariant, the c_6 -invariant, and the discriminant of this equation, respectively. Since $j_E = 1728$, $j_E = c_4^3/\Delta_{\min}$, and $1728\Delta_{\min} = c_4^3 - c_6^2$ (see [38, page 42]), we find that $c_6 = 0$. Therefore, using [28, Tableau III] we find that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* , III, or III*.

Assume that $j_E = 0$. Using [38, Proposition III.1.4] and [38, Proposition X.5.4] we find that the curve E/L has a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + B$$

for some $B \in L^*$. The discriminant of this Weierstrass equation is $\Delta = -432B^2$. Since $p \neq 3$ by assumption, we have that $v(\Delta) = 4v(2) + 2v(B)$, and hence 2 divides $v(\Delta)$. Let Δ_{\min} be the discriminant of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L . Since when a change of Weierstrass equation is performed the valuation of the discriminant changes by a multiple of 12, we find that $v(\Delta) - v(\Delta_{\min})$ is a multiple of 12. Since 2 divides $v(\Delta)$, we obtain that 2 divides $v(\Delta_{\min})$.

Case 3. $p \geq 5$. Using Tate's algorithm [39], we obtain that E/L cannot have reduction type III or III*. Therefore, it can only have good reduction or reduction of type II, II*, IV, IV*, or I_0^* .

Case 4. $p = 2$. Choose a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L and let c_4 and Δ_{\min} be the c_4 -invariant and the discriminant of this equation, respectively. Since $j_E = 0$ and $j_E = c_4^3/\Delta_{\min}$, we find that $c_4 = 0$. Therefore, using [28, Tableau V] we find that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of type II, II*, IV, IV*, or I_0^* . This completes the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). \square

We now present examples showing that all the possible reduction types of [Theorem 1.1](#) do indeed occur.

Example 2.3. Consider the elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + y = x^3 + ax + (a - 3)x - 2,$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-11})$. This is the curve with LMFDB [18] label [2.0.11.1-9.1-CMa1](#), which has complex multiplication over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$, j -invariant equal to -32768 , and only one prime of additive reduction with Kodaira type I_0^* .

Example 2.4. Consider the curve $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + axy = x^3 + (-a - 1)x + 1,$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-7})$. Note that the prime (a) of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ lies above (2) . The curve $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ has LMFDB [18] label [2.0.7.1-16.1-CMa1](#), j -invariant equal to -3375 , and additive reduction of Kodaira type I_4^* at (a) .

Consider the curve $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + axy + ay = x^3 + (-a - 1)x^2 + (2a + 2)x - 2a + 3.$$

The curve $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ has LMFDB [18] label [2.0.7.1-64.1-CMa1](#), j -invariant equal to -3375 , and additive reduction of Kodaira type I_8^* at (a) .

Example 2.5. Consider the curve $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + (a + 1)x^2 + (a + 2)x + 1,$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-11})$. The curve $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ has LMFDB [18] label [2.0.11.1-4096.1-CMb1](#), j -invariant equal to -32768 , and additive reduction of Kodaira type II at (2) .

Consider the curve $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + (a + 1)x^2 + (a + 10)x + 12a - 1.$$

The curve $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ has LMFDB [18] label [2.0.11.1-256.1-CMb1](#), j -invariant equal to -32768 , and additive reduction of Kodaira type II^* at (2) .

Example 2.6. Consider the elliptic curves $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(i)$, $E_3/\mathbb{Q}(i)$ given by LMFDB [18] labels [2.0.4.1-2025.1-CMa1](#), [2.0.4.1-2025.1-CMb1](#), and [2.0.4.1-2025.1-CMc1](#), respectively. All these elliptic curves have complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (and j -invariant equal to 1728). Moreover, they have bad reduction at 3 of Kodaira type III, I_0^* , and III^* , respectively.

Example 2.7. Consider the elliptic curves $E_1/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, $E_2/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, $E_3/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, $E_4/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ given by LMFDB [18] labels [2.0.3.1-256.1-CMa1](#), [2.0.3.1-784.1-CMa1](#), [2.0.3.1-784.3-CMb1](#), and [2.0.3.1-4096.1-CMb1](#), respectively. All these elliptic curves have complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ (and j -invariant equal to 0). Moreover, they have bad reduction at 2 of Kodaira type II, IV, IV^* , and I_0^* .

We can also find an elliptic curve $E_5/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ having bad reduction at 2 of Kodaira type II^* as follows. Start with an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} that has potential complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and bad reduction at 2 of Kodaira type II^* (e.g., the curve with LMFDB label [1728.m1](#)). Then consider the base change of E/\mathbb{Q} to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ which has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Since 2 is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, the base change will still have bad reduction at 2 of Kodaira type II^* .

Example 2.8. Consider the elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + y = x^3 - 30x + 63.$$

This is the curve with LMFDB [18] label [2.0.3.1-81.1-CMa2](#) and we have that $\text{End}_L(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-27})]$. Moreover, $E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ has only one prime of additive reduction with Kodaira type IV^* and j -invariant equal to -12288000 . We note that in this example $L = K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

We now proceed to the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $p \neq 2$ and that $j_E \neq 0, 1728$. Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K , let F be the compositum LK , and let E_F/F be the base change of E/L to F . Since $K \subset F$, the curve E_F/F has complex multiplication, and hence it follows from [Theorem 1.1](#) that E_F/F has good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* . Since the ramification index $e(F/L)$ is either 1 or 2, the extension F/L is tame because we assume that $p \neq 2$. Therefore, since E_F/F has either good reduction or reduction of type I_0^* , using [9, Theorem 3], we find that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of type III , III^* , or I_0^* .

Assume now that $j_E = 1728$ and that $v(2) = 1$. Proceeding in the same way as in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) we see that E/L has a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + Ax$$

for some $A \in L^*$. The discriminant and c_6 invariant of this Weierstrass equation are $\Delta = -64A^3$ and 0. Since $v(2) = 1$ by assumption, we have $v(\Delta) = 6 + 3v(A)$, and hence 3 divides $v(\Delta)$. Let Δ_{\min} be the discriminant of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L . Since when a change of Weierstrass equation is performed the valuation of the discriminant changes by a multiple of 12, we find that $v(\Delta) - v(\Delta_{\min})$ is a multiple of 12. Since 3 divides $v(\Delta)$, we obtain that 3 divides $v(\Delta_{\min})$. Therefore, since $v(2) = 1$, using [28, Tableau V] we find that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of type I_0 , II , III , III^* , I_2^* or I_3^* . Finally, the proof of other two cases is exactly the same as in [Theorem 1.1](#). \square

Example 2.9. Consider the elliptic curve E_1/\mathbb{Q} given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 1.$$

This is the curve with LMFDB [18] label 49.a4 and j -invariant equal to -3375 . The curve E_1/\mathbb{Q} has potential complex multiplication by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and it has bad reduction modulo 7 of Kodaira type III.

Consider now the elliptic curve E_2/\mathbb{Q} given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 1822x + 30393.$$

This is the curve with LMFDB [18] label 49.a1 and j -invariant equal to 16581375. The curve E_2/\mathbb{Q} has potential complex multiplication by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and it has bad reduction modulo 7 of Kodaira type III*.

Thus, both the additional reduction types of Theorem 1.2 do occur. We note that our examples are isogenous, so both reduction types can also occur in the same isogeny class.

Example 2.10. Consider the elliptic curves E_1/\mathbb{Q} , E_2/\mathbb{Q} , E_3/\mathbb{Q} , E_4/\mathbb{Q} , and E_5/\mathbb{Q} given by LMFDB [18] labels 32.a3, 32.a4, 64.a3, 64a4, 256.b2, respectively. All these elliptic curves have potential complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (and j -invariant equal to 1728). Moreover, they have reduction at 2 of type III, I_3^* , I_2^* , II, and III*, respectively.

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with valuation v , fraction field L of characteristic 0, and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic p . Let E/L be an elliptic curve with j -invariant j_E .*

- (i) *If $p = 2$ and $j_E = 1728$, then E/L cannot have reduction of type IV or IV*.*
- (ii) *If $p = 3$, $j_E = 0$, and $v(3)$ is even, then E/L cannot have reduction of type III or III*.*

Proof. Assume that $p = 2$ and that $j_E = 1728$. Proceeding in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we see that E/L has a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + Ax$$

for some $A \in L^*$. The discriminant of this Weierstrass equation is $\Delta = -64A^3$. We have that $v(\Delta) = 6v(2) + 3v(A)$, and hence 3 divides $v(\Delta)$. Let Δ_{\min} be the discriminant of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L . Since when a change of Weierstrass equation is performed the valuation of the discriminant changes by a multiple of 12, we find that $v(\Delta) - v(\Delta_{\min})$ is a multiple of 12. Since 3 divides $v(\Delta)$, we obtain that 3 divides $v(\Delta_{\min})$. Therefore, using [28, Tableau V] we find that E/L cannot have reduction of type IV or IV*.

Assume that $p = 3$, $j_E = 0$, and $v(3)$ is even. Proceeding in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we see that E/L has a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + B$$

for some $B \in L^*$. The discriminant of this Weierstrass equation is $\Delta = -432B^2$. Since $p = 3$ is even by assumption, we have that $v(\Delta) = 3v(3) + 2v(B)$, and hence 2 divides $v(\Delta)$ because $v(3)$ is even. Let Δ_{\min} be the discriminant of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/L . Since when a change of Weierstrass equation is performed the valuation of the discriminant changes by a multiple of 12, we find that $v(\Delta) - v(\Delta_{\min})$ is a multiple of 12. Since 2 divides $v(\Delta)$, we obtain that 2 divides $v(\Delta_{\min})$. Therefore, using [28, Tableau III] we find that E/L cannot have reduction of type III or III*. \square

3. Abelian varieties with complex multiplication

In this section we first prove a general lemma which is a consequence of the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion and is essentially due to Serre and Tate [33]. Then we recall a few basic facts concerning reduction of abelian varieties and reduction of genus 2 curves.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Let A/L be an abelian variety with complex multiplication by K over L and let $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$, where $\mu(K)$ are the roots of unity contained in K . Then there exists a finite extension M/L^{unr} , where L^{unr} is the maximal unramified extension of L , which has degree dividing μ' and such that the base change A_M/M has good reduction.*

Proof. This is a consequence of results of Serre and Tate in [33]. We include some of the details for completeness. Fix a separable closure \bar{L} of L . Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime and let $\rho_\ell : \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_\ell(A))$ be the ℓ -adic Galois representation of A/L . Let v be the valuation of L , let \bar{v} be the extension of v to \bar{L} , and let $I(\bar{v})$ be the inertia group of \bar{v} . Note that the extension of v to \bar{L} is unique because R is complete. Since A/L has complex multiplication by K over L , the image $\rho_\ell(I(\bar{v}))$ is contained in $\mu(K)$ (see [33, Theorem 6]). Let now M/L^{unr} be the minimal Galois extension over which $A_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ acquires good reduction. Such an extension exists by [33, Theorem 6 and Corollary 3]. Then, we have that $\ker(\rho_\ell|_{I(\bar{v})}) = \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/M)$ (by [33, Corollary 3]) and that $|\text{Gal}(M/L^{\text{unr}})| = |\rho_\ell(I(\bar{v}))|$ which divides μ' . \square

Example 3.2 (see also [25, Example 3.1]). Let p be an odd prime and s be an integer with $1 \leq s \leq p - 2$. Consider the smooth projective curve $C_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ birational to

$$y^p = x^s(1 - x).$$

The curve $C_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ has genus $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. The Jacobian $J_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ of $C_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ has complex multiplication by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ (see [14, page 202]). It turns out that $J_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ has good reduction away from p and potentially good reduction modulo p . When $C_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$ is tame (see [20, Example 5.1] for the definition) $J_{p,s}/\mathbb{Q}$

has purely additive reduction modulo p and achieves good reduction after a totally ramified extension of degree $2(p-1)$ (see [23, page 339] for the last statement).

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and perfect residue field k_L . Let A/L be an abelian variety of dimension g . We denote by \mathcal{A}/R the Néron model of A/L (see [1] for the definition as well as the basic properties of Néron models). The special fiber \mathcal{A}_{k_L}/k_L of \mathcal{A}/R is a smooth commutative group scheme. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{k_L}^0/k_L$ the connected component of the identity of \mathcal{A}_{k_L}/k_L . Since k_L is perfect, by a theorem of Chevalley (see [6, Theorem 1.1]) we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k_L}^0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

where T/k_L is a torus, U/k_L is a unipotent group, and B/k_L is an abelian variety. The number $\dim(U)$ (resp. $\dim(T)$, $\dim(B)$) is called the unipotent (resp. toric, abelian) rank of A/L . By construction, $g = \dim(U) + \dim(T) + \dim(B)$. We say that A/L has purely additive reduction if $g = \dim(U)$, or equivalently, if $\dim(T) = \dim(B) = 0$.

The following two theorems will be very useful in the next section.

Theorem 3.3 (see [27, Lemma 2.4]). *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L and perfect residue field. Let A/L be a simple abelian variety with complex multiplication by K over the field L . Then A/L has either purely additive or good reduction.*

Theorem 3.4 (see [19, Proposition 2.7]). *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L and algebraically closed residue field of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$. Assume that the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ has purely additive and potentially good reduction. Then $[M : L] \leq 10$.*

We now recall some background material on reduction of algebraic curves. The reader is referred to [17, Chapter 10] for more information on this topic. A projective, connected, and reduced curve C/\bar{k} over an algebraically closed field \bar{k} is called stable if it has arithmetic genus greater or equal to 2, its singular points are ordinary double points, and all of its irreducible components that are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 meet the other components in at least 3 points. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L and algebraically closed residue field, and let C/L be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Recall that a stable model of C/L is a proper and flat scheme \mathcal{C}/R whose generic fiber is isomorphic to the curve C/L and whose special fiber is a stable curve. We will say that C/L has stable reduction if it has a model whose special fiber is a stable curve over the residue field.

Theorem 3.5 (see [17, Theorem 10.4.44]). *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L and algebraically closed residue field. Let C/L be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then there exists a (unique) finite extension M/L such that the curve C_M/M has stable reduction that has the following minimality property; for every other finite extension N/L the base change C_N/N has stable reduction if and only if $M \subseteq N$.*

Using the same assumptions as in the preceding theorem, we denote the stable model of C_M/M by C^{st} which is unique by [17, Theorem 10.3.34]. Below we will refer to C^{st} as the stable model, but the reader should keep in mind that C^{st} is the stable model of C_M/M . If C/L has potentially good reduction, then C^{st} is smooth. On the other hand, if C/L does not have potentially good reduction but the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ of C/L has potentially good reduction, then the special fiber of C^{st} is a union of two elliptic curves meeting at a single point (see the paragraph before [15, Proposition 2]).

The following lemma will be very useful in the proofs of the next section because it will help us exclude certain reduction types of the special fiber of the minimal proper regular model of our curve.

Lemma 3.6. *Let R be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field L and algebraically closed residue field k . Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2. Assume that the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ has purely additive reduction. Then the special fiber of the minimal proper regular model of C/L contains only rational curves.*

Proof. This is well known to the experts. Nevertheless, we provide some details of the proof. Let C^{min}/R be the minimal proper regular model of C/L . By performing a sequence of blowups of closed points of C^{min}/R we can find a new regular model that has the properties (1) and (2) of [22, Section 6]. Moreover, the condition $r = 1$ of [22, Theorem 6.1] is automatically satisfied because $g = 2$ (see [29, Proposition 9.5.1]). Since blowing up at a closed point does not introduce curves of genus bigger than 0 and $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ has purely additive reduction by assumption, it follows from [22, Theorem 6.1, part (a)] that the special fiber of the minimal proper regular model of C/L can only contain rational curves. \square

4. Genus 2 CM curves

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.3](#). For the convenience of the reader, we have repeated the statements to be proved and we have split the proof of the theorem into parts.

Note. Recall that a quartic CM field K is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real quadratic number field. Since the degree of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$

over \mathbb{Q} is $\phi(m)$, we find that if K is a quartic CM field which contains a primitive root of unity of order m , then $m = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10$ or 12 . Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ are all quartic CM fields and that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ are the only quartic CM fields that have 8, 10, and 12 roots of unity, respectively. From the above discussion, it follows that the number of roots of unity for a quartic CM field is 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, or 12.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication over the field L . Assume that C/L has potentially good reduction. Then C/L cannot have reduction type [II], [V], or $[V^*]$.*

Proof. If C/L has reduction type [II], then we see from [26, page 155] that the special fiber of the minimal regular model of C/L contains a curve of genus 1. Therefore, Lemma 3.6 implies that the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ cannot have purely additive reduction. However, this contradicts Theorem 3.3.

Assume now that C/L has reduction type [V] or $[V^*]$. We will find a contradiction. The idea is that after a cubic base extension, C/L will acquire reduction of type [II], so we get a contradiction by the previous paragraph. If C/L has reduction of [V] or $[V^*]$, then, since $p > 5$, from [16, Table 1] we find that C/L acquires good reduction after a cyclic extension M/L of degree 6. Let now N/L be the cubic field subextension of M/L . The base change C_N/N acquires good reduction after an extension of degree 2. Therefore, using [16, Table 1], we find that C_N/N has either reduction of type [II] or $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$. Let r be the r -invariant defined by [16, Théorème 1] corresponding to C/L and let r' be the r -invariant defined by [16, Théorème 1] corresponding to C_N/N . We will not introduce Liu's notation because we will only use it very briefly here, but the interested reader is referred to [16] or [31, Section 5.1] for more information. It follows from [16, Table 1] that $r \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$, because $n = 6$ for C/L . Let v_L and v_N be the associated (normalized) valuations for L and N respectively. By looking at the expression for r' and keeping in mind that $v_N|_L = 3v_L$, we find that $r' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore, using [16, Table 1], we find that C_N/N has reduction type [II], which is a contradiction. \square

We now prove part (i) of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 4.2. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication by a quartic CM field K over the field L . Let $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$, where $\mu(K)$ are the roots of unity in K , and let L^{unr} be the maximal unramified extension of L . Assume that C/L has potentially good reduction. Then:*

- (i) If $\mu' = 2$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$ or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.
- (ii) If $\mu' = 4$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[VI]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.
- (iii) If $\mu' = 6$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[III]$, $[IV]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.
- (iv) If $\mu' = 8$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[VI]$, $[VII]$, $[VII^*]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.
- (v) If $\mu' = 10$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[IX - 1]$, $[IX - 2]$, $[IX - 3]$, $[IX - 4]$, $[VIII - 1]$, $[VIII - 2]$, $[VIII - 3]$, $[VIII - 4]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.
- (vi) If $\mu' = 12$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[III]$, $[IV]$, $[VI]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.

Proof. Let M/L^{unr} be the extension of minimal degree over which $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ acquires stable reduction, which is provided by [Theorem 3.5](#). By [\[8, Theorem 2.4\]](#) and [Lemma 3.1](#) we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$. The idea of the proof is to use this divisibility combined with Liu’s algorithm [\[16\]](#) and [Lemma 4.1](#) to compute the possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$.

Since we assume that C/L has potentially good reduction, it follows from [\[15, Proposition 3\]](#) that the stable model C^{st} has good reduction. Moreover, since $p > 5$, the extension M/L^{unr} is tame (see [\[16, Proposition 4.1.2\]](#)). Therefore, by [\[16, Table 1\]](#) we find that the possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are as follows.

degree of M/L^{unr}	possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$
1	$[I_{0-0-0}]$
2	$[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[II]$
3	$[III]$
4	$[VI]$
5	$[IX - 1]$, $[IX - 2]$, $[IX - 3]$, $[IX - 4]$
6	$[IV]$, $[V]$, $[V^*]$
8	$[VII]$, $[VII^*]$
10	$[VIII - 1]$, $[VIII - 2]$, $[VIII - 3]$, $[VIII - 4]$

- (i) If $\mu' = 2$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ is either 1 or 2. So, the curve $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has either reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}]$, $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, or $[II]$. However, [Lemma 4.1](#) excludes the case of type $[II]$.
- (ii) If $\mu' = 4$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] \leq 2$, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (i). On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 4$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction of type $[VI]$.

(iii) If $\mu' = 6$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 6. Therefore, $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1, 2, 3,$ or 6. Using the table above we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[II]$, $[III]$, $[IV]$, $[V]$, or $[V^*]$. However, using [Lemma 4.1](#), we find that the types $[II]$, $[V]$, and $[V^*]$ cannot occur.

(iv) If $\mu' = 8$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 8. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (ii). On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 8$, then we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction of type $[VII]$ or $[VII^*]$.

(v) If $\mu' = 10$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 10. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1$ or 2, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$ or $[I_{0-0-0}]$. On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 5$ or 10, then we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction of type $[IX - 1]$, $[IX - 2]$, $[IX - 3]$, $[IX - 4]$, $[VIII - 1]$, $[VIII - 2]$, $[VIII - 3]$, $[VIII - 4]$.

(vi) If $\mu' = 12$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 12. Since $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] \leq 10$ by [Theorem 3.4](#), we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1, 2, 3, 4,$ or 6. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 6, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (iii). On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 4$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[VI]$. □

Remark 4.3. The following two curves, C_0/k and C_1/k , will play a special role in [Theorem 4.4](#) below. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C_0/k be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve given by the following affine equation

$$C_0 : y^2 = x^5 - 1.$$

Moreover, let C_1/k be the smooth projective geometrically connected curve given by the affine equation

$$C_1 : y^2 = x^5 - x.$$

We now show that under some extra assumptions on the special fiber of the stable model of C/L , we can achieve more precise results.

Theorem 4.4. *Keep the same assumptions and notation as in [Theorem 4.2](#) and assume in addition that the special fiber C_s of C^{st} is not isomorphic to either the curve C_0/k or the curve C_1/k of [Remark 4.3](#). Then:*

- (i) *If $\mu' = 8$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$, $[VI]$, or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.*
- (ii) *If $\mu' = 10$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0-0-0}^*]$ or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.*

Proof. Let M/L^{unr} be the extension of minimal degree over which $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ acquires stable reduction, which is provided by [Theorem 3.5](#). Since C/L has potentially good reduction, it follows from [\[15, Corollaire 4.1\]](#) that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4 or 6, except if $C_s \cong C_0, C_1$, where C_s is the stable reduction of C/L .

(i) Assume that $\mu' = 8$. [Lemma 3.1](#) implies that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 8. Since $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ also divides 4 or 6, we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1, 2, \text{ or } 4$. Therefore, proceeding in a similar way as in the proof of (ii) of [Theorem 4.2](#), we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0^*-0-0}^*]$, [VI], or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$.

(ii) Assume that $\mu' = 10$. [Lemma 3.1](#) implies that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 10. Since $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ also divides 4 or 6, we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1 \text{ or } 2$. Therefore, proceeding in a similar way as in the proof of (i) of [Theorem 4.2](#), we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_{0^*-0-0}^*]$ or good reduction $[I_{0-0-0}]$. \square

We now consider the possible types that can occur when C/L does not have potentially good reduction.

Remark 4.5. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with valuation v_L , fraction field L of characteristic 0, and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with simple Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication by a quartic CM field K over the field L . Assume also that C/L does not have potentially good reduction. Let M/L be the extension of minimal degree over which C/L acquires stable reduction, which exists by [Theorem 3.5](#). Recall that we denote by C^{st} the stable model of C_M/M . It follows that the special fiber of the stable model C^{st} is a union of two elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 intersecting at a point, see the paragraph before [[15](#), Proposition 2] and note that the Jacobian of C/L has potentially good reduction. Let

$$d_L := v_L \frac{1}{12} (J_{10} J_2^{-5}),$$

where J_{10} and J_2 are the (Igusa) J_{10} - and J_2 -invariants associated to C/L , see [[16](#), Section 2.2] for the relevant definitions. The number $d := [M : L] d_L$ is called the degree of singularity of the point of intersection $E_1 \cap E_2$ in C^{st} . We note that this d is the same d that appears in the reduction type of the second part of [Theorem 1.3](#) (as well as in its restatement below).

Before we proceed to the proof of the second part of [Theorem 1.3](#), we need to prove a lemma that will significantly simplify our proof. In the lemma and in the theorem below the number r corresponds to the r -invariant defined in [[16](#), Théorème 3].

Lemma 4.6. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with (normalized) valuation v_L , with fraction field L of characteristic 0, and algebraically closed residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication over the field L .*

(i) *The curve C/L cannot have any of the following reduction types:*

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 [I_0 - I_0 - d], & [I_0 - I_0^* - (d-1)/2], & [2I_0 - r], \\
 [I_0 - IV - (d-1)/3], & [I_0 - IV^* - (d-2)/3], & [I_0 - III - (d-1)/4], \\
 [I_0 - III^* - (d-3)/4], & [I_0^* - III^* - (d-5)/4], & [I_0^* - III - (d-3)/4], \\
 [2IV - (r-1)/3], & [2IV^* - (r-2)/3], & [I_0 - II - (d-1)/6], \\
 [I_0 - II^* - (d-5)/6], & [I_0^* - IV^* - (d-7)/6], & [I_0^* - IV - (d-5)/6], \\
 [II^* - IV - (d-7)/6], & [II - IV - (d-3)/6], & [II - IV^* - (d-5)/6], \\
 [II^* - IV^* - (d-9)/6], & &
 \end{array}$$

(ii) If C/L acquires semistable reduction after an extension of degree 6 or 12, then $2 \nmid v_L(J_2)$ except if C/L has reduction type $[II - II - (d-2)/6]$, $[II - II^* - (d-6)/6]$, $[II^* - II^* - (d-10)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II - (d-4)/6]$, or $[I_0^* - II^* - (d-8)/6]$.

Proof. (i) If C/L has reduction of type $[I_0 - I_0 - d]$, $[I_0 - I_0^* - (d-1)/2]$, $[2I_0 - r]$, $[I_0 - IV - (d-1)/3]$, or $[I_0 - IV^* - (d-2)/3]$, then we see from [26] that the special fiber of the minimal regular model of C/L contains a smooth curve of genus 1. Therefore, Lemma 3.6 implies that the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ cannot have purely additive reduction. However, this contradicts Theorem 3.3.

Assume that C/L has reduction of type $[I_0 - III - (d-1)/4]$, $[I_0 - III^* - (d-3)/4]$, $[I_0^* - III^* - (d-5)/4]$, or $[I_0^* - III - (d-3)/4]$, and we will find a contradiction. We know from [16, Table 3.1] that C/L acquires stable reduction after a cyclic extension M/L of degree 4. Let now N/L be a quadratic field extension contained in M/L . The base change C_N/N acquires stable reduction after an extension of degree 2. Let d be the integer defined in Remark 4.5 computed with respect to the field L . Looking at [16, Table 3.1], we find that $d \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Let v_L and v_N are the corresponding valuations for L and N respectively. Since $v_N|_L = 2v_L$ and $[M : N] = \frac{1}{2}[M : L]$, we see that the value of d remains the same when computed over N . Therefore, using [16, Table 3.1], we see that C_N/N has reduction $[I_0 - I_0^* - (d-1)/2]$, because $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. This contradicts the previous paragraph because $\text{Jac}(C_N)/N$ has complex multiplication over N .

Assume that C/L has reduction of type $[2IV - (r-1)/3]$ or $[2IV^* - (r-2)/3]$, and we will find a contradiction. Let v_L be the (normalized) valuation of L and let J_2 be the J_2 -invariant associated to C/L (see [16, Section 2.2]). Since C/L has reduction of type $[2IV - (r-1)/3]$ or $[2IV^* - (r-2)/3]$, we know that $2 \nmid v_L(J_2)$ by [16, Table 3.2]. Moreover, from [16, Table 3.1] we find that C/L acquires good reduction after a cyclic extension M/L of degree 6. Let now N/L be a cubic extension contained in M/L and denote by v_N the corresponding valuation. Since $v_N|_L = 3v_L$, we see that $2 \nmid v_N(J_2)$. Therefore, C_N/N must have reduction of type $[2I_0 - r]$ by [16, Table 3.2]. This is a contradiction by Lemma 4.6, because $\text{Jac}(C_N)/N$ has complex multiplication over N .

Assume that C/L has reduction of type $[I_0 - II - (d-1)/6]$, $[I_0 - II^* - (d-5)/6]$, $[I_0^* - IV^* - (d-7)/6]$, $[I_0^* - IV - (d-5)/6]$, $[II^* - IV - (d-7)/6]$, $[II - IV - (d-3)/6]$,

$[\text{II} - \text{IV}^* - (d - 5)/6]$, or $[\text{II}^* - \text{IV}^* - (d - 9)/6]$, and we will find a contradiction. We know from [16, Table 3.1] that C/L acquires good reduction after a cyclic extension M/L of degree 6. Let d be the integer defined in Remark 4.5 with respect to L . Looking at [16, Table 3.1], we find that $d \equiv 1, 3,$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. Let now N/L be a cubic extension contained in M/L and denote by v_N the corresponding valuation. Since $v_N|_L = 3v_L$ and $[M : N] = \frac{1}{3}[M : L]$, we see that the value of d remains the same when computed over N . Therefore, using [16, Table 3.1], we see that C_N/N has reduction $[\text{I}_0 - \text{I}_0^* - (d - 1)/2]$, because $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Finally, using Lemma 4.6 we find a contradiction because $\text{Jac}(C_N)/N$ has complex multiplication over N .

(ii) We now assume that $2 \mid v_L(J_2)$ and that C/L does not have reduction type $[\text{II} - \text{II} - (d - 2)/6]$, $[\text{II} - \text{II}^* - (d - 6)/6]$, $[\text{II}^* - \text{II}^* - (d - 10)/6]$, $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{II} - (d - 4)/6]$, or $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{II}^* - (d - 8)/6]$. We proceed by contradiction. Let M/L be the extension of minimal degree over which C/L acquires stable reduction. Note that the special fiber of the stable model of C_M/M is a union of two elliptic curves meeting at one point (see the paragraph right before [15, Proposition 2]). By assumption $[M : L] = 6$ or 12 . Let now N/L be the extension of degree 3 contained in M/L and denote by v_N the corresponding valuation. Then C_N/N acquires good reduction after an extension of degree $\frac{1}{3}[M : L]$ and $2 \mid v_N(J_2)$. Let d be the integer defined in Remark 4.5 with respect to L . It follows from [16, Table 3.1] that either $d \equiv 1, 3,$ or $5 \pmod{6}$ or $d \equiv 1, 3,$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. The curve C_N/N has stable reduction after an extension of degree 2 or 4 while d is odd. Let v_N be the valuation of N . As $v_N|_L = \left(\frac{1}{3}[M : L]\right)v_L$ and $[M : N] = 3$, we see that the value of d remains the same when computed over N . Therefore, using [16, Table 3.1], we see that C_N/N has reduction type $[\text{I}_0 - \text{I}_0^* - (d - 1)/2]$, $[\text{I}_0 - \text{III} - (d - 1)/4]$, $[\text{I}_0 - \text{III}^* - (d - 3)/4]$, $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{III} - (d - 3)/4]$, or $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{III}^* - (d - 5)/4]$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 4.6 because $\text{Jac}(C_N)$ has complex multiplication over the field N . \square

We now prove the second part of Theorem 1.3. For the convenience of the reader we have repeated the statement to be proved.

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 5$. Let C/L be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve of genus 2 with Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)/L$ that has complex multiplication by a quartic CM field K over the field L . Let $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$, where $\mu(K)$ is the group of roots of unity in K . Assume that C/L does not have potentially good reduction. Then:*

- (i) *If $\mu' = 2$ or 10 , then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{I}_0^* - (d - 2)/2]$.*
- (ii) *If $\mu' = 4$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{I}_0^* - (d - 2)/2]$, $[\text{III} - \text{III} - (d - 2)/4]$, $[\text{III} - \text{III}^* - (d - 4)/4]$, $[\text{III}^* - \text{III}^* - (d - 6)/4]$, or $[2\text{I}_0^* - (r - 1)/2]$.*

(iii) If $\mu' = 6$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[IV - IV - (d-2)/3]$, $[IV - IV^* - (d-3)/3]$, $[IV^* - IV^* - (d-4)/3]$, $[II - II - (d-2)/6]$, $[II - II^* - (d-6)/6]$, $[II^* - II^* - (d-10)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II - (d-4)/6]$, $[I_0^* - II^* - (d-8)/6]$, $[2IV - (r-1)/3]$, or $[2IV^* - (r-2)/3]$.

(iv) If $\mu' = 8$, then $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[III - III - (d-2)/4]$, $[III - III^* - (d-4)/4]$, $[III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4]$, $[2I_0^* - (r-1)/2]$, $[2III - (r-1)/4]$, $[2III^* - (r-3)/4]$.

(v) If $\mu' = 12$, then the possible reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} [I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2], & [III - III - (d-2)/4], & [III - III^* - (d-4)/4], \\ [III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4], & [2I_0^* - (r-1)/2], & [IV - IV - (d-2)/3], \\ [IV - IV^* - (d-3)/3], & [IV^* - IV^* - (d-4)/3], & [II - II - (d-2)/6], \\ [II - II^* - (d-6)/6], & [II^* - II^* - (d-10)/6], & [I_0^* - II - (d-4)/6], \\ [I_0^* - II^* - (d-8)/6], & [2IV - (r-1)/3], & [2IV^* - (r-2)/3], \\ [2II - (r-1)/6], & [2II^* - (r-5)/6]. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let M/L^{unr} be the extension of minimal degree over which $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ acquires stable reduction, which is provided by [Theorem 3.5](#). By [\[8, Theorem \(2.4\)\]](#) and [Lemma 3.1](#) we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides $\mu' = |\mu(K)|$. Moreover, since $p > 5$, the extension M/L^{unr} is tame (see [\[16, Proposition 4.1.2\]](#)). It follows from [\[15, Proposition 3\]](#) that C_M/M has stable reduction. Moreover, since the stable model of C_M/M is assumed to be singular, $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 8 or 12 by [\[15, Corollaire 4.1\]](#) and the special fiber of the stable model of C_M/M is a union of two elliptic curves meeting at one point (see the paragraph right before [\[15, Proposition 2\]](#)). We will combine the above observations with Liu's algorithm [\[16\]](#) along with [Lemma 4.6](#) to compute the special fiber of the minimal proper regular model.

(i) If $\mu' = 2$ or 10, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 2 or 10. Since $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ also divides 8 or 12, we find that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1$ or 2. Therefore, we find, using [\[16, Tables 3.1 and 3.2\]](#), that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has either reduction of type $[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[I_0 - I_0 - d]$, $[I_0 - I_0^* - (d-1)/2]$, or $[2I_0 - r]$. However, the last three types are excluded by (i) of [Lemma 4.6](#).

(ii) If $\mu' = 4$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1$ or 2, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (i). Therefore, we assume from now on that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 4$. Using [\[16, Tables 3.1 and 3.2\]](#), we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[I_0^* - I_0^* - (d-2)/2]$, $[III - III - (d-2)/4]$, $[III - III^* - (d-4)/4]$, $[III^* - III^* - (d-6)/4]$, $[2I_0^* - (r-1)/2]$, $[I_0 - III - (d-1)/4]$, $[I_0 - III^* - (d-3)/4]$, $[I_0^* - III^* - (d-5)/4]$, $[I_0^* - III - (d-3)/4]$. However, the last four types are excluded by (i) of [Lemma 4.6](#).

(iii) If $\mu' = 6$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 6. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 1$ or 2, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (i). If

$[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 3$, then, using [16, Table 3.1], we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[\text{IV} - \text{IV} - (d-2)/3]$, $[\text{IV} - \text{IV}^* - (d-3)/3]$, $[\text{IV}^* - \text{IV}^* - (d-4)/3]$, $[\text{I}_0 - \text{IV} - (d-1)/3]$, or $[\text{I}_0 - \text{IV}^* - (d-2)/3]$. However, the last two types are excluded by Lemma 4.6. On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 6$, then (ii) of Lemma 4.6 below shows that either $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ has reduction type $[\text{II} - \text{II} - (d-2)/6]$, $[\text{II} - \text{II}^* - (d-6)/6]$, $[\text{II}^* - \text{II}^* - (d-10)/6]$, $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{II} - (d-4)/6]$, $[\text{I}_0^* - \text{II}^* - (d-8)/6]$, or we have that $2 \nmid v_L(J_2)$. Assume from now on that $2 \nmid v_L(J_2)$. Using [16, Table 3.2], we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[2\text{IV} - (r-1)/3]$ or $[2\text{IV}^* - (r-2)/3]$.

(iv) If $\mu' = 8$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 8. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (ii). On the other hand, if $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 8$, then, using [16, Tables 3.1 and 3.2], we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[2\text{III} - (r-1)/4]$ or $[2\text{III}^* - (r-3)/4]$.

(v) If $\mu' = 12$, then we see that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 12. If $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides 4 or 6, then we find that the reduction types of $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ are the types that appear in (ii) or in (iii). Assume now that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}] = 12$. Part (ii) of Lemma 4.6 shows that $2 \nmid v_L(J_2)$. Therefore, using [16, Table 3.2], we find that $C_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ can only have reduction of type $[2\text{II} - (r-1)/6]$ or $[2\text{II}^* - (r-5)/6]$. \square

Note. In Theorem 1.3, if a reduction type appears in the tables, then the reduction types corresponding to quadratic twists of the original curve also appear in the tables, as we explain here. Keep the same assumptions and notation as in Theorem 1.3. Let $L_d = L(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic extension of L and let $\chi : \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ with $\chi(\sigma) = (\sqrt{d})^\sigma / \sqrt{d}$ be the associated quadratic character. Denote by j the hyperelliptic involution of C/L . Consider the cocycle $\xi \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L), \text{Aut}_{\bar{L}}(C))$ given by $\xi(\sigma) = [j]$ if $\chi(\sigma) = -1$, and $\xi(\sigma) = [id]$ otherwise. Let C^ξ/L be the twist of C/L corresponding to the cocycle ξ . We claim that $\text{Jac}(C^\xi)/L$ also has complex multiplication by K over the field L . To justify this, note that the embedding $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_L^0(\text{Jac}(C))$ induces an embedding $\iota_\xi : K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_L^0(\text{Jac}(C^\xi))$ (the proof of [34, Lemma 2.2] carries over in our case). Sadek in [31] has computed the reduction type of C^ξ/L based on the reduction type of C/L .

We do not know whether all the types allowed by Theorem 1.3 actually occur. We present some examples of reduction types of CM curves below.

Example 4.8 (see [13, Example 3.6.2] and [40, Table 1]). Consider the hyperelliptic curve C/\mathbb{Q} given by

$$y^2 = -8x^6 - 64x^5 + 1120x^4 + 4760x^3 - 48400x^2 + 22627x - 91839.$$

The Jacobian of this curve does not have complex multiplication over \mathbb{Q} but it acquires complex multiplication after a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Using SAGE [32] we see that the curve C/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction modulo 2, 5, 11, and 13, it has reduction type $[\mathbb{I}_0^* - \mathbb{I}_0^* - 0]$ modulo 11, and it has reduction type [VI] modulo 13. Therefore, C/\mathbb{Q} has potentially good reduction modulo 13, and the potential stable reduction of C/\mathbb{Q} modulo 11 is the union of two elliptic curves intersecting transversely at a point. Let $N > 1$ be a positive integer not divisible by 2, 5, 11, or 13, and let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\text{Jac}(C))[N])$. Then $\text{Jac}(C)_L/L$ has good reduction, by [33, Corollary 3], and it has all of its endomorphisms defined over L , by [36, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore, C_L/L has good reduction modulo primes of L above 13 and it has reduction of type $[\mathbb{I}_0 - \mathbb{I}_0 - 2]$ modulo primes of L above 11.

Example 4.9 (see [13, Example 3.2] and [40, Table 1]). Consider the hyperelliptic curve C/\mathbb{Q} given by

$$y^2 = x^5 + 1.$$

The curve C/\mathbb{Q} has good reduction outside of 2, 5 and it has reduction type [VII] modulo 5. Moreover, the base extension $\text{Jac}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ of the Jacobian of C/\mathbb{Q} to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$.

5. Geometric component groups and torsion of CM abelian varieties

In this section, we focus on component groups and torsion points of CM abelian varieties. We first prove [Proposition 5.1](#) below which provides a bound for the component group of CM abelian varieties and a list of the possible component groups of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. We then prove [Proposition 1.4](#) using [Proposition 5.1](#).

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and perfect residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$, and let A/K be an abelian variety. We denote by \mathcal{A}/R the Néron model of A/K (see [1] for the definition as well as the basic properties of Néron models). The special fiber \mathcal{A}_{k_L}/k_L of \mathcal{A}/R is a smooth commutative group scheme. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{k_L}^0/k_L$ the connected component of the identity of \mathcal{A}_{k_L}/k_L . The finite étale group scheme defined by $\Phi := \mathcal{A}_{k_L}/\mathcal{A}_{k_L}^0$ is called the component group of \mathcal{A}/R .

Proposition 5.1. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic p . Let L^{unr} be the maximal unramified extension of L and denote the residue field of L^{unr} by \overline{k}_L .*

(i) *Assume that $p \neq 2$ and that $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(i), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Let E/L be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by K over L such that $j_E \neq 0, 1728$. Then E/L has geometric component group $\Phi(\overline{k}_L)$ isomorphic (as an abelian group) to (0) or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

(ii) Let A/L be an abelian variety with complex multiplication by a CM field K over L . Then the geometric component group $\Phi(\overline{k}_L)$ of $A_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ is killed by $|\mu(K)|$, where $\mu(K)$ is the group of roots of unity in K .

Proof. (i) [Theorem 1.1](#) implies that E/L can only have good reduction or reduction of Kodaira type I_0^* . Therefore, part (i) follows from a simple application of Tate’s algorithm (see [\[37, page 365\]](#)).

(ii) Let M/L^{unr} be the extension of minimal degree over which $A_{L^{\text{unr}}}/L^{\text{unr}}$ acquires semistable reduction. It follows from [Lemma 3.1](#) that the degree $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides $|\mu(K)|$. On the other hand, work of McCallum [\[24\]](#) and Edixhoven, Liu, and Lorenzini [\[10, Theorem 1\]](#) tells us that $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ kills $\Phi(\overline{k}_L)$. Therefore, since $[M : L^{\text{unr}}]$ divides $|\mu(K)|$, we find that $\Phi(\overline{k}_L)$ is killed by $|\mu(K)|$. \square

Note. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Lorenzini in [\[20, Corollary 3.25\]](#) has provided a list of the possible prime-to- p parts of the geometric component group of abelian varieties defined over L that have purely additive and potentially good reduction. When $p > 5$ using [Theorem 1.3](#), together with [\[16, Section 8\]](#), we find that the cases where the group is $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ cannot occur among Jacobian surfaces with complex multiplication defined over the base field. By [Theorem 3.3](#) Jacobian surfaces with complex multiplication have either purely additive or good reduction.

We now proceed to the proof of [Proposition 1.4](#). For every positive integer m we let $\gamma_p(m) = \lfloor \log_p \left(\frac{pm}{p-1} \right) \rfloor$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field L of characteristic 0 and finite residue field k_L of characteristic $p > 0$. Denote by e the absolute ramification index of L . Let A/L be an abelian variety with complex multiplication by a CM field K over L and assume that A/L does not have good reduction. Then*

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq |\mu(K)| \cdot p^{2g\gamma_p(e|\mu(K)|)}.$$

Proof. By [Theorem 3.3](#) we find that A/K has purely additive reduction. Then the proof of [\[3, part \(iii\) of Main Theorem\]](#) carries over verbatim in our case. The only extra input is that instead of using [\[3, Corollary 3.8\]](#) in the proof of [\[3, part \(iii\) of Main Theorem\]](#) is that we can use the more precise bound provided by [Proposition 5.1](#). \square

Combining the previous proposition with [\[3, Main Theorem\]](#) below we prove [Proposition 1.4](#), which improves slightly [\[3, Main Theorem\]](#) in the case where the abelian variety has complex multiplication.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. If A/L does not have good reduction, then we have by Proposition 5.2,

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq |\mu(K)| \cdot p^{2g\gamma_p(e|\mu(K)|)}.$$

On the other hand, if A/K has good reduction then, since the toric and unipotent ranks are zero, i.e., $\mu = \alpha = 0$ in their notation, while the abelian rank is g , i.e., $\beta = g$ in their notation, [3, part (ii) of Main Theorem] implies that

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq \lfloor (1 + \sqrt{q})^2 \rfloor^g \cdot p^{2g\gamma_p(e)}. \quad \square$$

Note. In the literature there exist global bounds on the torsion of CM abelian varieties over number fields (see [11] and [35]), which rely on the main theorem of complex multiplication and class field theory. On the other hand, our result is local, i.e., it only depends on local invariants, it is much more elementary, and it is of most interest when A/L has bad reduction while $|\mu(K)|$ and e are small.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Pete L. Clark for suggesting the study of the properties of bad reduction of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. I would like to thank Dino J. Lorenzini for some very helpful email correspondence and some insightful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. I would also like to thank a referee for many valuable comments and suggestions that improved the manuscript. The author was supported by Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) grant 21-00420M and by Charles University Research Center program no. UNCE/SCI/022.

References

- [1] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas **21**, Springer, Berlin, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] C.-L. Chai, B. Conrad, and F. Oort, *Complex multiplication and lifting problems*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **195**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] P. L. Clark and X. Xarles, “Local bounds for torsion points on abelian varieties”, *Canad. J. Math.* **60**:3 (2008), 532–555. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] S. Comalada, “Twists and reduction of an elliptic curve”, *J. Number Theory* **49**:1 (1994), 45–62. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] I. Connell, “Elliptic curve handbook”, preprint, 1999, available at <https://webs.ucm.es/BUCM/mat/doc8354.pdf>.
- [6] B. Conrad, “A modern proof of Chevalley’s theorem on algebraic groups”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **17**:1 (2002), 1–18. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] B. Conrad, “Gross–Zagier revisited”, pp. 67–163 in *Heegner points and Rankin L-series*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **49**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, “The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 75–109. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [9] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser, “A remark on Tate’s algorithm and Kodaira types”, *Acta Arith.* **160**:1 (2013), 95–100. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [10] B. Edixhoven, Q. Liu, and D. Lorenzini, “The p -part of the group of components of a Néron model”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **5**:4 (1996), 801–813. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [11] E. Gaudron and G. Rémond, “Torsion des variétés abéliennes CM”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**:7 (2018), 2741–2747. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [12] E. Z. Goren and K. E. Lauter, “Class invariants for quartic CM fields”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **57**:2 (2007), 457–480. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] E. Z. Goren and K. E. Lauter, “Genus 2 curves with complex multiplication”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2012**:5 (2012), 1068–1142. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] B. H. Gross and D. E. Rohrlich, “Some results on the Mordell–Weil group of the Jacobian of the Fermat curve”, *Invent. Math.* **44**:3 (1978), 201–224. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] Q. Liu, “Courbes stables de genre 2 et leur schéma de modules”, *Math. Ann.* **295**:2 (1993), 201–222. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [16] Q. Liu, “Modèles minimaux des courbes de genre deux”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **453** (1994), 137–164. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **6**, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] The LMFDB collaboration, “The L-functions and modular forms database”, electronic reference, 2024, available at <https://www.lmfdb.org>.
- [19] D. J. Lorenzini, “Dual graphs of degenerating curves”, *Math. Ann.* **287**:1 (1990), 135–150. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] D. J. Lorenzini, “On the group of components of a Néron model”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **445** (1993), 109–160. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] D. Lorenzini, “Wild quotient singularities of surfaces”, *Math. Z.* **275**:1-2 (2013), 211–232. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] D. Lorenzini, “Néron models”, *Eur. J. Math.* **3**:2 (2017), 171–198. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] H. Maeda, “Die stabile Reduktion der Fermatkurve über einem Zahlkörper”, *Manuscripta Math.* **56**:3 (1986), 333–342. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] W. G. McCallum, “The component group of a Néron model”, preprint, 1986, available at <https://www.math.arizona.edu/~wmc/Research/ComponentGroup.pdf>.
- [25] M. Melistas, “Purely additive reduction of abelian varieties with torsion”, *J. Number Theory* **239** (2022), 21–39. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [26] Y. Namikawa and K. Ueno, “The complete classification of fibres in pencils of curves of genus two”, *Manuscripta Math.* **9** (1973), 143–186. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [27] F. Oort, “Good and stable reduction of abelian varieties”, *Manuscripta Math.* **11** (1974), 171–197. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [28] I. Papadopoulos, “Sur la classification de Néron des courbes elliptiques en caractéristique résiduelle 2 et 3”, *J. Number Theory* **44**:2 (1993), 119–152. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [29] M. Raynaud, “Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **38** (1970), 27–76. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [30] K. Rubin, “Elliptic curves with complex multiplication and the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer”, preprint, Arizona Winter School, 1999, available at <https://swc-math.github.io/aws/1999/99RubinCM.pdf>.

- [31] M. Sadek, “Minimal regular models of quadratic twists of genus two curves”, *Acta Arith.* **183**:4 (2018), 317–337. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [32] The Sage Developers, *SageMath (version 9.0)*, 2020, available at <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [33] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, “Good reduction of abelian varieties”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **88** (1968), 492–517. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [34] A. Shnidman, “Quadratic twists of abelian varieties with real multiplication”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021**:5 (2021), 3267–3298. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [35] A. Silverberg, “Torsion points on abelian varieties of CM-type”, *Compositio Math.* **68**:3 (1988), 241–249. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [36] A. Silverberg, “Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **77**:3 (1992), 253–262. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [37] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **151**, Springer, New York, 1994. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [38] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [39] J. Tate, “Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil”, pp. 33–52 in *Modular functions of one variable, IV* (Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Math. **476**, Springer, Berlin, 1975. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [40] P. van Wamelen, “Examples of genus two CM curves defined over the rationals”, *Math. Comp.* **68**:225 (1999), 307–320. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [41] H. Wang, “On the Kodaira types of elliptic curves with potentially good supersingular reduction”, preprint, 2024. [arXiv 2406.01985](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.01985)

Received January 1, 2023. Revised January 19, 2024.

MENTZELOS MELISTAS
DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
CHARLES UNIVERSITY
PRAHA
CZECH REPUBLIC
and

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE
ENSCHEDE
NETHERLANDS
mentzmel@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$645/year for the electronic version, and \$875/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 329 No. 2 April 2024

Concentration inequalities for Paley–Wiener spaces	201
SYED HUSAIN and FRIEDRICH LITTMANN	
Characterizing the Fourier transform by its properties	217
MATEUSZ KRUKOWSKI	
Reduction types of CM curves	233
MENTZELOS MELISTAS	
The local character expansion as branching rules: nilpotent cones and the case of $SL(2)$	259
MONICA NEVINS	
Extremely closed subgroups and a variant on Glauberman’s Z^* -theorem	303
HUNG P. TONG-VIET	
Vishik equivalence and similarity of quasilinear p -forms and totally singular quadratic forms	327
KRISTÝNA ZEMKOVÁ	
RLL -realization of two-parameter quantum affine algebra in type $D_n^{(1)}$	357
RUSHU ZHUANG, NAIHONG HU and XIAO XU	