

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**A NEW RENORMALIZED VOLUME-TYPE INVARIANT**

WU JINYANG

# A NEW RENORMALIZED VOLUME-TYPE INVARIANT

WU JINYANG

**We define a new conformal invariant on complete noncompact hyperbolic surfaces that can be conformally compactified to bounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We study and compute this invariant up to doubly connected surfaces. Our results give a new geometric criterion for choosing canonical representations of bounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}$ .**

1. Introduction	43
2. A technical tool	46
3. Doubly connected domains	48
4. Rigidity of doubly connected domains	51
Appendix	53
Acknowledgements	56
References	56

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g_M)$  be a complete noncompact hyperbolic surface of finite topological type that can be conformally compactified to a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Consider all possible choices of  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $M$  can be conformally compactified into them and are conformally equivalent to each other. Here we study a new canonical representation through proper geometric considerations.

By the Riemann mapping theorem, all simply connected domains that are not  $\mathbb{C}$  are conformally equivalent to a round disk, which can be viewed as a canonical model. It is well known that any doubly connected region is conformally equivalent to a ring  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \beta < |z| < 1\}$ , where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ; for a reference, see [1]. When  $\beta = 0$ , the domain is simply a punctured disk. For multiply connected domains, see [1] for some classification results. These results lead to a complete characterization of the moduli space of such surfaces. For example, all  $n$ -connected ( $n > 2$ ) bounded domains with nondegenerate boundary components form a  $(3n-6)$ -dimensional space. In this article, we propose a new geometric point of view.

---

The author acknowledges support from the Erwin and Peggy Kleinfeld Graduate Fellowship fund.  
MSC2020: 53A05, 53C18.

*Keywords:* Liouville's equation, global coefficient, conformal geometry.

We set the for our construction. Let  $M$  be a complete noncompact hyperbolic surface and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a fixed conformal compactification of  $M$ . Then there exists a smooth function  $u$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $(M, e^{-2u}g_M)$  is isometric to  $(\Omega, g_E)$ . Let  $v = e^{-u}$ . Then  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy the equations

$$(1-1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = e^{2u} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = +\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1-2) \quad \begin{cases} v\Delta v = |\nabla v|^2 - 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The asymptotic behavior of  $v$  near  $C^{3,\alpha}$  boundary components of  $\partial\Omega$  is, according to [8; 13],

$$(1-3) \quad v(z) = d(z) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa(y)d(z)^2 + c_3(y)d(z)^3 + O(d^{3+\alpha}(z)),$$

where  $d(z)$  is the distance from  $z$  to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $y \in \partial\Omega$  is the point where  $d(z) = |z - y|$ , and  $\kappa(y)$  is the curvature at  $y$ . In (1-3),  $c_3(y)$  is the first global term, which depends on the global geometry of  $\Omega$ . We remark that when  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth,  $v$  is the special boundary defining function as in [7, Lemma 2.1].

We consider a scaling-free quantity following [13]. Assuming that the outermost boundary component  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\Omega$  is  $C^{3,\alpha}$ , we consider for  $\mathcal{C}$  the functional

$$(1-4) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) = - \int_{\mathcal{C}} dl \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} c_3(y) dl(y),$$

where the line integral is taken under the Euclidean metric and  $c_3$  is defined as in (1-3).

The functional  $\lambda$  was first studied by Shen and Wang [13] in a slightly different setting. In our notation, Shen and Wang have proven the following.

**Theorem 1.1** [13, Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3]. *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded  $C^{3,\alpha}$  domain, and  $v$  be a solution to (1-2) on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\lambda$  be given as in (1-4). Then*

- (a)  $\lambda(\Omega, v) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $\Omega$  is a round disk;
- (b) if  $\Omega$  is multiply connected, then

$$(1-5) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) > \frac{2\pi^2}{3}.$$

**Theorem 1.1** can be regarded as a rigidity and gap theorem and may also be interpreted as a type of positive mass theorem.

If  $M$  is multiply connected, each boundary component can be realized as the outermost boundary via a proper conformal transformation. Even with the fixed boundary component, (1-4) is not conformally invariant. We define the following

renormalized volume-type invariant, which is also conformally invariant for the pair  $(M, g_M)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(M, g_M)$  be a complete, noncompact hyperbolic surface of finite topological type that can be conformally compactified to a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be given as in (1-4). Define

$$(1-6) \quad \Lambda(M, g_M) = \inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \lambda(\Omega, v) \mid \begin{array}{l} (M, v^2 g_M) \text{ is isometric to } (\Omega, g_E) \text{ and the} \\ \text{outermost boundary component of } \Omega \text{ is } C^{3,\alpha} \end{array} \right\}.$$

By the Riemann mapping theorem, for any  $M$  we may find a compactification whose outermost boundary is smooth. Hence,  $\Lambda$  is well defined.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, g_M)$  be a complete noncompact hyperbolic doubly connected surface with conformal compactification  $(\Omega_0, g_E)$ , where  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is bounded. Let  $\Lambda$  be as in (1-6) and  $\lambda$  as in (1-4). Then*

$$(1-7) \quad \Lambda(M, g_M) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right],$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$  is the exponent of the modulus of continuity of  $\Omega_0$ , so that  $\Omega_0$  is biholomorphic to  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ .

Moreover, for any bounded  $\Omega$  biholomorphic to  $\Omega_0$  with a  $C^{3,\alpha}$  outermost boundary component, we have

$$(1-8) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right],$$

and equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is the image of  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  under a composition of translation and homotheties.

Our definition provides a new type of conformal invariant for two-dimensional hyperbolic conformally compact Einstein (CCE) manifolds. For higher dimensions, the renormalized volume is a preferred conformal invariant. However, it is topological in two dimensions for hyperbolic CCE manifolds. By [14, Corollary 3.5] and [10, Appendix A.1], the renormalized volume of a conformally compact hyperbolic surface  $(M, g_M)$  equals  $-2\pi \chi(M)$ . Definition 1.2 provides an alternative invariant to work on. Our construction focuses on the geometric meaning of the next term in corresponding expansion (1-3). We are hopeful that our invariant can serve as a substitute for the renormalized volume in two dimensions.

Theorem 1.3 extends Shen and Wang’s work [13], in which they compute  $\Lambda$  when  $M$  is simply connected, and separate this case with multiply connected domains. Our result further characterizes doubly connected  $M$ . Theorem 1.3 shows  $\Lambda$  can be attained for doubly connected domains, specifically as  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  up to a composition

of translation and homotheties. In particular, when  $\beta = 0$ , [Theorem 1.3](#) also gives the sharp case of [Theorem 1.1\(b\)](#).

An interesting byproduct of [Theorem 1.3](#) is a new geometric interpretation of the exponent of modulus of continuity  $\beta$ .

For CCE manifolds in dimension 4, there is also some interest in studying particular compactifications; refer to [\[5\]](#), [\[2\]](#),[\[3\]](#) and [\[4\]](#) for an incomplete list.

Our approach is partly inspired by [\[13\]](#), though there are key differences. Shen and Wang use conformal transformations and compare the boundary integral [\(1-4\)](#) of the underlying domain with that of the punctured disk. Subsequently, techniques involving the Schwarzian derivative are applied. In our work, we use more refined models. Specifically, we use Fourier series to derive inequalities and establish rigidity results. In particular, our method can recover their results.

Note that by [\[9\]](#), solutions to [\(1-1\)](#) on  $C^{3,\alpha}$  domains exist and are unique. Thus, the functional  $\lambda$  can be defined on bounded  $C^{3,\alpha}$  domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  without specifying the solution to [\(1-2\)](#) on  $\Omega$ . For punctured domains, we prove the following existence result and include the proof in the appendix.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth domain. There exists a solution to [\(1-1\)](#) on  $\Omega - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  and it is unique among all solutions  $u$  satisfying the growth condition*

$$(1-9) \quad u(x) \geq -\log\left(-r_l \cdot |x - p_l| \cdot \log \frac{|x - p_l|}{r_l}\right),$$

near  $p_l$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , for  $r_l > 0$  such that  $B_{r_l}(p_l) \supset \Omega$ .

We list some new directions. It is worthwhile to consider  $\Lambda(M, g_M)$  for general multiply connected domains. The resulting compactification will be a new canonical classification of bounded domains in  $\mathcal{C}$ , see [\[1\]](#) for some known classifications. We are looking for correct models for multiply connected cases. For conformally compact hyperbolic surfaces of nonzero genus and conformally compact hyperbolic manifolds in general, defining  $\Lambda(M, g_M)$  for such spaces would be interesting.

This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#) we prove a technical lemma. The proof of [Theorem 1.3](#) is split into two parts. In [Section 3](#), we prove the inequality in [\(1-8\)](#). In [Section 4](#), we study when equality in [\(1-8\)](#) holds.

## 2. A technical tool

We prove a technical lemma that provides an analytic result on annuli. The corresponding result for discs is easier. See [\[12\]](#) for more details.

**Theorem 2.1** (Kellogg–Warschawski Theorem [\[11\]](#), [Theorem 3.6](#)). *Let  $f$  map  $B_1$  conformally onto a domain bounded by a  $C^{k,\alpha}$  Jordan curve. Then  $f$  has an extension in  $C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1)$ .*

**Theorem 2.1** is a key ingredient in proving our lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f \in B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an orientation-preserving biholomorphic map such that  $f(B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta) = \Omega - K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of some bounded open  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a holomorphic  $g : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g^2 = 1/\partial_z f$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\beta < r < 1$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  be the bounded component of the complement of  $f(\partial B_r)$ , and let  $f_1 : \Omega_1 \rightarrow B_r$  be an orientation-preserving biholomorphic map, whose existence is guaranteed by the Riemann mapping theorem. By **Theorem 2.1**,  $f_1$  extends to a smooth diffeomorphism between  $\bar{\Omega}_1$  and  $\bar{B}_r$ . Consider  $h = f_1 \circ f$ , which is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism from  $\bar{B}_r - \bar{B}_\beta$  to  $\bar{B}_r - f_1(K)$ .

Since  $h$  restricts to a self-homeomorphism of  $\partial B_r$ ,

$$(2-1) \quad 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}((h\bar{h})(e^{it})) = 2\Re(i\partial_z h(e^{it}) \cdot e^{it} \cdot \bar{h}(e^{it})) = 2\Im\left(\frac{\partial_z h(e^{it}) \cdot e^{it}}{h(e^{it})}\right).$$

By (2-1), there is  $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(2-2) \quad \frac{\partial_z h(e^{it}) \cdot e^{it}}{h(e^{it})} = c(t) > 0,$$

where the inequality follows from  $h$  being orientation-preserving. Let  $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the closed path defined by  $\gamma(t) = e^{it}$ . Then

$$(2-3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\partial_z h(\gamma)}(0) &= \text{Ind}_{h(\gamma)/\gamma}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial_z h(\gamma) \cdot \gamma_t/\gamma - h(\gamma)/\gamma^2 \cdot \gamma_t}{h(\gamma)/\gamma} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial_z h(\gamma) \cdot \gamma_t}{h(\gamma)} - \frac{\gamma_t}{\gamma} dt \\ &= \text{Ind}_{h(\gamma)}(0) - \text{Ind}_\gamma(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Ind}$  is the index of a point with respect to a closed path and the first equality follows from (2-2).

By [12, Theorem 13.11], (2-3) shows that  $\log(\partial_z h)$  is well defined on  $B_r - \bar{B}_\beta$ . Since  $f_1$  is defined on  $\Omega_1$ , which is a simply connected domain, the same result from [12] implies that  $\log \partial_z f_1$  is well defined on  $\Omega_1$ . Hence

$$(2-4) \quad \partial_z f(z) = \frac{\partial_z h(z)}{\partial_z f_1(f(z))} = \exp(\log \partial_z h(z) - \log \partial_z f_1(f(z))),$$

for  $z \in B_r - \bar{B}_\beta$ . Invoking [12, Theorem 13.11] once again, (2-4) implies that  $\text{Ind}(\partial_z f(\gamma))(0) = 0$ ; therefore  $\log \partial_z f$  is well defined on  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ . In particular, there exists a holomorphic  $g : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g^2 = 1/\partial_z f$ .  $\square$

### 3. Doubly connected domains

In this section, we prove our main theorem. In the simply connected case, it has been shown in [13].

Let  $u$  be a solution to (1-1) on  $\Omega$ . Let  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  be a biholomorphic function, and  $u_\beta$  be the solution to (1-1) for  $\Omega_\beta = B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ , then  $(\Omega, (f^{-1})^* e^{2u_\beta} g_E)$  is complete with Gaussian curvature  $-1$ , hence

$$(3-1) \quad u = (u_\beta - \ln|f_z|) \circ f^{-1}$$

is a solution to (1-1) in  $\Omega$ . For  $v = e^{-u}$  and  $v_\beta = e^{-u_\beta}$ , this relation becomes

$$(3-2) \quad v = (v_\beta \cdot |f_z|) \circ (f^{-1}).$$

**Remark 3.1.** We quote the following explicit solution from [13]. The solution to (1-2) on  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  is explicitly written as

$$(3-3) \quad v_\beta(r) = -r \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \beta \right) \cdot \sin \frac{\ln r}{1/\pi \cdot \ln \beta}.$$

At  $\partial B_1$ , it has expansion

$$(3-4) \quad v_\beta = d - \frac{1}{2}d^2 - \frac{1}{6} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right] d^3 + O(d^4),$$

where  $d(x) = 1 - |x|$ , and the first global term of  $v_\beta$  near  $\partial B_1$  is

$$(3-5) \quad c_{\beta,3} = -\frac{1}{6} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right].$$

The following lemma is proved in [13]; we include it here for completeness.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with  $C^{3,\alpha}$  outermost boundary component  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  be a biholomorphic map. Let  $c_3, c_{\beta,3}$  be the first global terms of the solutions  $v, v_\beta$  to (1-2) on  $\Omega, B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  near  $\mathcal{C}, \partial B_1$  respectively. If  $f$  takes  $\partial B_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ , then*

$$(3-6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 \, dl = \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|} + 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|}.$$

*Proof.* By [13], the  $c_3$  term in the expansion of  $v$  can be expressed, in a way independent of the distance function  $d(x)$ , as

$$(3-7) \quad -6c_3 = \partial_N \Delta v,$$

where  $N$  is the outward pointing normal and  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian under metric  $(\Omega, g_E)$ .

Let  $w$  and  $z$  be the standard euclidean coordinates on  $\Omega$  and  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-8) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 \, dl &= \int_{f(\partial B_1)} \partial_N \Delta_w v \, dl = \int_{\partial B_1} f^* (\partial_N \Delta_w v \cdot dl) \\
 &= \int_{\partial B_1} ((f^{-1})_* \partial_N) (\Delta_w v \circ f) f^* \, dl \\
 &= \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\Delta_{|f_z|^2 g_E} (v_\beta \cdot |f_z|)] |f_z| \, dl \\
 &= \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{1}{|f_z|^2} \Delta_{g_E} (v_\beta \cdot |f_z|) \right] \, dl.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can compute the terms involving  $v_\beta$  on  $\partial B_1$  explicitly:

$$(3-9) \quad \partial_r v_\beta = -1, \quad \Delta_z v_\beta = -2, \quad \partial_r \Delta_z v_\beta = -6c_{\beta,3} = \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 \, dl &= \int_{\partial B_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \right) \Delta v_\beta + \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Delta v_\beta - 2 \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} v_\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} + \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} v_\beta \Delta \frac{1}{|f_z|} + \int_{\partial B_1} v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Delta \frac{1}{|f_z|} \\
 &= -6c_{\beta,3} \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{1}{|f_z|} + 2 \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - \int_{\partial B_1} \Delta \frac{1}{|f_z|} \\
 &= \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|} + 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the middle equality, we used the fact that  $f$  extends to a  $C^{3,\alpha}$  diffeomorphism from  $\bar{B}_1 - B_\beta$  onto its image. The regularity of the extension is an easy application of [Theorem 2.1](#), which indicates the existence of a  $C^{3,\alpha}$  diffeomorphism  $f_1$  from the closure of the region bounded by the  $C^{3,\alpha}$  curve  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\bar{B}_1$ . The composition  $f_1 \circ f$  is then a map from  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  to  $B_1 - K$  for some compact  $K \subset B_1$  that sends  $\partial B_1$  to  $\partial B_1$ . An application of the Schwarz reflection principle [[12](#), Theorem 11.14] extends  $f_1 \circ f$  to a  $C^{3,\alpha}$  function on  $\bar{B}_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ . Composing  $(f_1)^{-1}$  with this extension of  $f_1 \circ f$  gives us the desired  $C^{3,\alpha}$  extension of  $f$ .  $\square$

The next result is an improvement of [Theorem 1.1\(b\)](#).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a doubly connected domain whose outermost boundary component  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $C^{3,\alpha}$ . If  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ , then*

$$(3-10) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right].$$

*Proof.* Let  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  be an orientation-preserving biholomorphic map that takes  $\partial B_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . By [Lemma 3.2](#), we have

$$(3-11) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 \, dl = \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|} + 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|}.$$

By [Lemma 2.2](#), there is a holomorphic  $g : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g^2 = 1/f_z$ .

Consider the Laurent expansion of  $g$ ,

$$(3-12) \quad g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

which leads to

$$(3-13) \quad \int_{\partial B_r} |g^2| = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r} |g^2| = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r} g \cdot \bar{g} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 r^{2k}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3-14) \quad \begin{aligned} r^2 \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} g^2 - r \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} |g^2| &= \left( r^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 r^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 2k(2k-2) r^{2k} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we conclude from [\(3-11\)](#) and [\(3-14\)](#) that

$$(3-15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 \, dl &= \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|} + 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \\ &\geq \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Hölder's inequality and [\(3-5\)](#),

$$(3-16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(\Omega, v) &\geq \frac{1}{6} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right] \cdot \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{1}{|f_z|} \, dl \cdot \int_{\partial B_1} |f_z| \, dl \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right] \left( \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{1}{|f_z|^{1/2}} \cdot |f_z|^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

The first part of [Theorem 1.1\(a\)](#) can be recovered by a modification of the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#). First, for a simply connected domain  $\Omega$  and biholomorphic

$f : B_1 \rightarrow \Omega$ , [Lemma 3.2](#) holds after we change  $c_{\beta,3}$  to the first global term  $c_{B_1,3}$  of the solution  $v_{B_1}$  to (1-2) on  $B_1$  near  $\partial B_1$ . Next,  $v_{B_1}$  takes the form

$$(3-17) \quad v_{B_1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - r), \quad c_{B_1,3} = 0.$$

Then

$$(3-18) \quad \int_C -6c_3 dl = 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - 2\pi \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|}.$$

which is nonnegative by (3-14). Thus, we conclude that for any simply connected domain  $\Omega$ ,

$$(3-19) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) \geq 0.$$

The other part of [Theorem 1.1\(a\)](#) will be recovered in the following section.

#### 4. Rigidity of doubly connected domains

In this section, we prove a rigidity theorem for doubly connected domains.

Let  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  be an orientation-preserving biholomorphic map. Consider

$$(4-1) \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi e^t} \cdot \int_{\partial B_{e^t}(0)} \frac{1}{|f_z|}, \quad B(t) = A_{tt}(t) - 2A_t(t),$$

for  $t \in (\ln \beta, 0)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $r = e^t$ , then*

$$(4-2) \quad B(t) = r^2 \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} - r \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|}$$

for  $t \in (\ln \beta, 0)$ .

*Proof.* For convenience, take

$$(4-3) \quad \phi(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \frac{1}{|f_z|}$$

so that  $\phi(r) = A(t)$ . For fixed  $r \in (\beta, 1)$ , we have

$$(4-4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A = e^t \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi \right) (r) = \frac{r}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|} dl,$$

and

$$(4-5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A &= e^t \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi \right) (r) + e^{2t} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \phi \right) (r) \\ &= \frac{r}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{|f_z|} dl + \frac{r^2}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{|f_z|} dl. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim follows. □

By [Lemma 3.2](#) and (4-2), we see that

$$(4-6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 2\pi \cdot B(t) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} -6c_3 - \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{-6c_{\beta,3}}{|f_z|},$$

which in a rough sense, measures the difference between  $\lambda(\Omega, v)$  and  $\lambda(B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta, v_\beta)$ . [Proposition 4.2](#) gives a description of the corresponding holomorphic functions  $f$  in the case when  $B(0)$  vanishes.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  be defined by (4-1). Then*

$$(4-7) \quad B(t) \geq 0,$$

for all  $t \in (\ln \beta, 0)$ . If for some  $t_0 \in (\ln \beta, 0)$  we have  $B(t_0) = 0$ , or if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} B(t) = 0$ , then

$$(4-8) \quad B(t) = 0,$$

for all  $t \in (\ln \beta, 0)$  and

$$(4-9) \quad f(z) = C_1 + C_2 z \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) = C_1 + \frac{C_2}{z + C_3}.$$

*Proof.* The nonnegativeness of  $B$  follows from (3-14) and (4-2).

Let  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  be a biholomorphic orientation-preserving function. By [Lemma 2.2](#), there is a holomorphic  $g : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g^2 = 1/f_z$ . Consider the Laurent expansion of  $g$ :

$$(4-10) \quad g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

By (3-14) and (4-2), we have

$$(4-11) \quad B(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 2k(2k-2)r^{2k}.$$

In particular, for any  $k \neq 0, 1$ ,

$$(4-12) \quad |b_k|^2 \leq r^{-2k} B(t),$$

for all  $t \in (\ln \beta, 0)$ ,  $r = e^t$ . By the assumption of [Proposition 4.2](#), either  $B(t) = 0$  for some  $t$  or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} B(t) = 0$ , it follows that,

$$(4-13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |b_k|^2 = 0.$$

for  $k \neq 0, 1$ .

Then  $f_z$  is of the form

$$(4-14) \quad f_z = \frac{1}{(b_0 + b_1 z)^2}.$$

Suggesting that

$$(4-15) \quad f = \begin{cases} C + b_0^{-2} z & \text{if } b_1 = 0 \\ C - b_1^{-2} \cdot (b_0/b_1 + z)^{-1} & \text{if } b_1 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ .

It is easy to check that when (4-15) holds,  $B(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (\ln r, 0)$ . □

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a doubly connected domain with  $C^{3,\alpha}$  outermost boundary. If  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$ , and*

$$(4-16) \quad \lambda(\Omega, v) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{\ln \beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right],$$

then  $\Omega$  can be obtained from  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  by a composition of translation and homotheties.

*Proof.* By the proof of Theorem 3.3 and (4-2), the equality (4-16) holds when  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} B(t) = 0$  and Hölder's inequality in (3-16) is an equality. By Proposition 4.2,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} B(t) = 0$  forces the biholomorphic map  $f : B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta \rightarrow \Omega$  to be a Möbius transformation; hence  $f_z$  is of the form

$$(4-17) \quad f_z = \frac{1}{(b_0 + b_1 z)^2}.$$

The Hölder's inequality in (3-16) becomes an equality when  $|f_z|$  is a constant on  $\partial B_1$ , forcing either  $b_0 = 0$  or  $b_1 = 0$  in (4-17). We conclude that either  $f = C_1 + C_2 z$  or  $f = C_1 + C_2/z$  for  $C_2 \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\Omega$  can be obtained from  $B_1 - \bar{B}_\beta$  by a composition of translation and homotheties. □

The second part of Theorem 1.1(a) can be proved as an easy corollary of Proposition 4.2. Indeed, by Theorem 3.3 and the standard comparison theorem,  $\lambda(\Omega, v) = 0$  implies that  $\Omega$  is a simply connected domain. Let  $f : B_1 \rightarrow \Omega$  be a biholomorphic map and define  $A(t), B(t)$  accordingly. Then Proposition 4.2 implies that  $f$  is a Möbius transformation, and therefore  $f(B_1) = \Omega$  must be a disk.

### Appendix

By [13], a solution to (1-1) on  $B_r(p) - \{p\}$  is given by

$$(A-1) \quad u_{B_r(p)-\{p\}}(x) = -\log\left(-\frac{|x-p|}{r} \cdot \log\left(\frac{|x-p|}{r}\right)\right) - 2 \ln r.$$

A solution to  $B_1(p) - \bar{B}_r(p)$  is given by

$$(A-2) \quad u_{B_1(p) - \bar{B}_r(p)}(x) = -\log\left(\frac{|x-p|}{\pi} \cdot \log(1/r) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi \log(1/|x-p|)}{\log(1/r)}\right)\right).$$

Then the growth condition (1-9) is equivalent to  $u \geq u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}}$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \Omega$  be a collection of points. Then there exists  $w \in C^\infty(M)$  such that*

$$(A-3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta w = e^{2w} & \text{in } \Omega - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}, \\ w = \infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}, \end{cases}$$

and (1-9) is satisfied at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ .

*Proof.* We follow the same idea as in [9]. Let  $u_\Omega$  be the solution to (1-1) on  $\Omega$ . Let  $A_m$  be an exhaustion of  $\Omega$  by compact subsets with smooth boundary. Take  $\Omega_m = A_m - \bigcup_{l=1}^n B_{1/m}(p_l)$ . Let  $w_m$  be the solution to (1-1) on  $\Omega_m$ . By the Maximum principle,  $w_m$  is a decreasing sequence. Additionally,  $w_m$  is bounded below by  $u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}}$  for some  $r$  sufficiently large, with  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Fix  $m$ . By the interior  $L^p$  estimate, [6, Theorem 9.11], for  $k > m$ , we have

$$(A-4) \quad \|w_k\|_{2,p;\Omega_m} \leq C(p, \Omega_m, \Omega_{m+1}) (\|w_k\|_{p;\Omega_{m+1}} + \|e^{w_k}\|_{p;\Omega_{m+1}})$$

where  $\|w_k\|_{p;\Omega_{m+1}}, \|e^{w_k}\|_{p;\Omega_{m+1}}$  is bounded independent of  $k$ , since

$$(A-5) \quad \max(u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}}, u_\Omega) \leq w_k \leq w_{m+1}$$

for all  $l$ . This shows that  $w_k$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega_m)$ . Hence is bounded in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_m)$ .

By the interior Schauder estimate, [6, Corollary 6.3], we have

$$(A-6) \quad |w_k|_{2,\alpha;\Omega_m} \leq C(\alpha, \Omega_m, \Omega_{m+1}) (|w_k|_{0;\Omega_{m+1}} + |e^{2w_k}|_{0,\alpha;\Omega_{m+1}}),$$

Hence,  $w_k$  is bounded in  $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_m)$  independently of  $k$ . Taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $w_m$  converges in  $C^2(\Omega_m)$  to some  $w$  such that

$$(A-7) \quad \Delta w = e^{2w}$$

in  $\Omega_m$  and  $\max(u_\Omega, u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}}) \leq w \leq w_{m+1}$ .

Pushing  $m$  to  $\infty$ ,  $w_m$  converges to a smooth function  $w$  satisfying  $\Delta w = e^{2w}$  and

$$(A-8) \quad \max(u_\Omega, u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}}) < w.$$

Since  $u_\Omega = \infty$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $u_{B_{r_l}(p_l) - \{p_l\}} = \infty$  on  $p_l$  for all  $l$ , we see that  $w$  is the desired function.  $\square$

Following [9], we shall establish the uniqueness of solution  $u$  to (1-1) on  $\Omega - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  satisfying (1-9) in two steps. First we study the asymptotic behavior of a solution near  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . Then we apply maximum principle to the difference of two solutions.

We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$(A-9) \quad B_2(p_l) \subset \Omega - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$$

for all  $l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $u$  be a solution to (1-1) on  $\Omega - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . If  $u$  satisfies (1-9) at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(A-10) \quad |u - \log(-|x - p_l| \cdot \log(|x - p_l|))| < C$$

in a neighborhood of  $p_l$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Fix any  $l$ , and assume, without loss of generality, that  $p_l = 0$ . By the maximum principle,

$$(A-11) \quad u_{B_r - \{0\}} \leq u \leq u_{B_1 - \bar{B}_r}$$

on  $B_1 - \bar{B}_r$ , for any  $0 < r < 1$ . For  $x \in B_1 - \bar{B}_r$ , let  $|x|$  be the distance to  $p_l$ . Take  $r = |x|^k$ ; then

$$(A-12) \quad u_{B_1 - \bar{B}_r}(x) = -\log\left(\frac{-k|x|}{\pi} \cdot \log(|x|) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)\right).$$

Pushing  $k$  to  $\infty$ , we see that

$$(A-13) \quad u \leq -\log(-|x| \cdot \log(|x|))$$

near  $p_l$ . Now, the difference between the upper bound and the lower bound of  $u$  equals

$$(A-14) \quad -\log(-|x| \log |x|) + \log(-r|x| \log(|x|/r)) = \log \frac{r \log(|x|/r)}{\log|x|},$$

which converges to 0 as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ , proving the claim. □

*Proof of Proposition 1.4.* The existence of a solution follows from Theorem A.1. We follow the idea in [9]. Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be two solutions satisfying (1-9) at  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . By adding a constant if necessary, we may assume that  $u_1, u_2 \geq 2 \log 2$ ; then, for these two functions after modification, there exists some constant  $C$  such that

$$(A-15) \quad \Delta u_i = C e^{2u_i}$$

on  $\Omega$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

For any  $1/2 < s < 1$ , by [Proposition A.2](#),

$$(A-16) \quad \frac{su_1}{u_2} = \frac{su_1/(-\log(-|x - p_l| \cdot \log(|x - p_l|)))}{u_2/(-\log(-|x - p_l| \cdot \log(|x - p_l|)))} \rightarrow s < 1$$

near  $p_l$  for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By [\[8\]](#), near other nondegenerate boundary components,  $su_1/u_2$  also converges to  $s < 1$ .

We claim that  $su_1 \leq u_2$ . If not, let  $x_0$  be the point where  $su_1 - u_2$  attains its maximum. Then  $(su_1 - u_2)(x_0) > 0$ , and

$$(A-17) \quad 0 \geq \Delta(su_1 - u_2)(x_0) = sC e^{2u_1} - C e^{2u_2} \geq e^{2su_1} - e^{2u_2} > 0,$$

where the second inequality comes from [\[9, Lemma 4.3\]](#). This leads to a contradiction.

Pushing  $s$  to 1, we get  $u_1 \leq u_2$ . By switching  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we get the inequality from other direction, thereby proving the claim.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Biao Ma for introducing him to the work of Shen and Wang [\[13\]](#). The author also thanks Hao Fang, Lihe Wang and Biao Ma for helpful discussions, and Hao Fang for guidance in writing this paper.

### References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis: an introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] S.-Y. A. Chang and Y. Ge, “Compactness of conformally compact Einstein manifolds in dimension 4”, *Adv. Math.* **340** (2018), 588–652. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] S.-Y. A. Chang, Y. Ge, and J. Qing, “Compactness of conformally compact Einstein 4-manifolds, II”, *Adv. Math.* **373** (2020), art. id. 107325, 33. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] S.-Y. A. Chang, Y. Ge, X. Jin, and J. Qing, “On compactness conformally compact Einstein manifolds and uniqueness of Graham–Lee metrics, III”, preprint, 2021. [Zbl](#) [arXiv 2107.03075](#)
- [5] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, “ $Q$ -curvature and Poincaré metrics”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9**:2-3 (2002), 139–151. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd ed., *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* **224**, Springer, 1983. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] C. R. Graham, “Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics”, pp. 31–42 in *The Proceedings of the 19th Winter School “Geometry and Physics”* (Srní, 1999), 2000. = *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* (2) Suppl. **63**. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] Q. Han and W. Shen, “Boundary behaviors for Liouville’s equation in planar singular domains”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **274**:6 (2018), 1790–1824. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna, “On a problem of Bieberbach and Rademacher”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **21**:5 (1993), 327–335. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [10] S. J. Patterson and P. A. Perry, “The divisor of Selberg’s zeta function for Kleinian groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **106**:2 (2001), 321–390. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [11] C. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **299**, Springer, 1992.
- [12] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] W. Shen and Y. Wang, “Rigidity and gap theorems for Liouville’s equation”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **281**:10 (2021), art. id. 109228, 29 pp. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] P. Yang, S. Chang, and J. Qing, “On the renormalized volumes for conformally compact Einstein manifolds”, *Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl.* **17** (2006), 129–142. In Russian; translated in *J. Math. Sci.* **149**:6 (2008), 1755–1769. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received October 2, 2023.

WU JINYANG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF IOWA  
IOWA CITY, IA  
UNITED STATES  
[jwu124@uiowa.edu](mailto:jwu124@uiowa.edu)