Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE GYSIN BRAID FOR S^3-ACTIONS ON MANIFOLDS

JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO AND MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN

Volume 337 No. 2 August 2025

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 337, No. 2, 2025
https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2025.337.297

THE GYSIN BRAID FOR S^3-ACTIONS ON MANIFOLDS

JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO AND MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN

In a previous work, we constructed a Gysin sequence that relates the cohomology of a manifold M to that of the orbit space M/S^3, where the sphere S^3 acts smoothly on M. This sequence includes an exotic term that depends on M^{S^1}, the subset of points fixed by the action of the subgroup S^1.

The orbit space is a stratified pseudomanifold, which is a type of singular space where intersection cohomology can be applied. When the action is semifree, Saralegi-Aranguren has already constructed a Gysin sequence that relates the cohomology of M to the intersection cohomology of M/S^3.

However, what happens when the action is not semifree? This is the main focus of this work. The situation becomes more complex, and we do not find just a Gysin sequence. Instead, we construct a Gysin braid that relates the cohomology of M to the intersection cohomology of M/S^3. This braid also contains an exotic term that depends on the intersection cohomology of the fixed point subset M^{S^1}.

1. Intersection cohomology 301
2. Invariant differential forms 307
3. The integration operator $\oint$ 317
4. Gysin braid for a mobile action 327
5. Gysin sequence for a nonmobile action 335
Acknowledgements 336
References 336

Given a smooth free action of the sphere S^3 on a smooth manifold M, we have a sphere bundle and the Gysin sequence

$$(1) \qquad \cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}(M/S^3) \to H^*(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots,$$

which relates the cohomologies of the manifold M and that of its orbit space M/S^3 (see, for example, [2; 9]). In the case of a semifree action, we do not have a sphere bundle, but we have the Gysin sequence

$$(2) \qquad \cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}(M/S^3, M^{S^3}) \to H^*(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots.$$

MSC2020: primary 57S15; secondary 55N33.
Keywords: Gysin sequence, intersection cohomology, S^3-actions.

© 2025 MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

In the general case, an exotic term appears:

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \oplus (H^{*-3}(M^{S^1}))^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \to H(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots \tag{3}$$

(see [13]). In this context, $\Sigma \subset M$ denotes the subset of points in M whose isotropy group is infinite. The group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on M^{S^1} by $j \in S^3$.

When the action is free, the orbit space is a manifold. In the more general case, it is a pseudomanifold. Saralegi-Aranguren constructed in [15] the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \to H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots . \tag{4}$$

This sequence establishes a connection between the cohomology of the space M and the intersection cohomology of its orbit space M/S^3 in the case of a semifree action. This exact sequence holds when $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$. The Euler perversity $\bar{e}$ takes the value 4 on singular strata. In the special case where $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$, the exact sequence (4) simplifies to (2).

In this paper, we establish a connection between the cohomology of a manifold M and the intersection cohomology of its orbit space M/S^3, for any smooth action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$. Of particular interest is the case where the action has three-dimensional orbits, also known as a *mobile action*.

Given a mobile action, we obtain the following Gysin braid which relates the cohomologies $H^*(M)$ and $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$:



where:

- $\mathscr{S}_1$ (resp. $\mathscr{S}_3$) is the family of singular strata S of M with $\dim S^3_x = 1$ (resp. 3) for any $x \in S$. Here S^3_x denotes the isotropy subgroup.
- The Euler perversity $\bar{e}$ takes the value 4 (resp. 2) on the strata of $\mathscr{S}_1$ (resp. $\mathscr{S}_3$).
- The perversity $\bar{p}$ lies between $\bar{0}$ and the top perversity $\bar{t}$ on M.
- The number p_S denotes the integer part of $\bar{p}(S)/2$.
- The perversity $\overline{P}_S$ on the filtered space $\overline{S}^{S^1}$ is defined by $\overline{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2$ for any $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$ with $Q \subset \overline{S}$.
- The Gysin term $G^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ represents the cokernel of the map induced by the natural projection $\pi : M \to M/S^3$.
- The co-Gysin term $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ denotes the kernel of the map induced by integrating along the fibers of π.

(See Theorem C.) The braid consists of four long exact sequences, denoted by ①, ②, ③, and ④. All the triangles and diamonds in the braid are commutative. The leftmost and rightmost vertical sequences in the braid are semiexact and both have the same exactness defaults (see Remarks 4.3(c)). The cohomologies of the Gysin and co-Gysin terms are interconnected through the long exact sequences of Remarks 4.3(b).

Let's analyze the four Gysin sequences that make up the Gysin braid.

In the classical framework of a free action, there exist two methods for constructing the Gysin sequence (1). One approach involves employing the pullback induced by the natural projection $\pi : M \to M/S^3$, while the other entails integrating along the fibers of π. Remarkably, both methodologies yield identical outcomes, resulting in the Gysin sequence. Meanwhile, the Gysin sequence (3) is derived via the former method.

In the broader context of this paper's discussion on mobile actions, we utilize both techniques, and they yield distinct results. This fundamental difference is the primary reason why the Gysin braid appears instead of a Gysin sequence.

- The pullback associated to the projection π induces the long exact sequence ①:

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-1}(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots,$$

where the *Gysin term* $G^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is the cokernel of π^*. This is the first Gysin sequence associated to the action Φ.

We can determine the cohomology of the Gysin term through the sequence ②, which employs integration along the fibers of π. This method is used in [13], where we implicitly work with the perversity $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$. In this context, we obtain the Gysin sequence (3) since the sequence ② splits at the position of the connecting map. However, it's important to note that for other perversities, the sequence ② may not necessarily split as demonstrated by the example in Remarks 4.3(d).

• Employing the integration along the fibers of π, we get the long exact sequence ③:

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots,$$

where the *co-Gysin term* $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is the kernel of the integration operator along the fibers of π. This is the second Gysin sequence associated to the action Φ.

We compute the cohomology of the co-Gysin term using the sequence ④, which employs the pullback operator induced by π. It's important to note that unlike the previous case, sequence ③ does not necessarily split, even for the perversity $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$, as demonstrated in the example in Remarks 4.3(d).

• If the exotic term $\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^*_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^1)^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$ vanishes, then the Gysin braid simplifies to the sequence (4). In particular, this happens when the action Φ is semifree, as noted in Remarks 4.3(a).

• Another approach to constructing a Gysin sequence involves utilizing the Leray–de Rham spectral sequence. Recall that in the case of a differentiable action $\Phi : G \times M \to M$ of a connected compact Lie group G on a manifold M, there exists a spectral sequence $E_r^{i,j}$ converging to $H^{i+j}(M)$, where $E_2^{i,j} = H^i(M/G) \otimes H^j(G)$. When $G = S^3$ and the action is free, almost-free, or semifree, this spectral sequence degenerates into a Gysin sequence (cases (1), (2), and (4)). This is because the second term of the spectral sequence contains only two levels: $j = 0, 3$. However, the situation becomes significantly more complex when the action is not free, and computing the second term of this spectral sequence becomes challenging (see [16]).

In the case of a mobile action of S^3, and using singular cohomology, we have shown in [13] that the second term of the spectral sequence possesses three levels ($j = 0, 2, 3$) and that the spectral sequence degenerates into the Gysin sequence (3). In this paper, we prove that this phenomenon persists within the framework of intersection cohomology. The Leray–de Rham spectral sequence ${}_{\bar{p}}E_r^{i,j}$ depends on a perversity $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$ on M and satisfies the following properties:

⋆ It converges: ${}_{\bar{p}}E_r^{i,j} \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(M)$.

⋆ The second page is given by

$${}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{i,j} = \begin{cases} H^i_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \displaystyle\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{i-2p_S}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^1)^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} & \text{if } j = 2, \\ H^i_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) & \text{if } j = 3. \end{cases}$$

It is 0 otherwise.

⋆ The Gysin term appears in this spectral sequence through the sequence ②:

$$\cdots \to H^{i-1}(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to {}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{i-4,3} \xrightarrow{d_2} {}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{i-2,2} \to H^i(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \cdots.$$

⋆ This spectral sequence degenerates at the third page and produces the long exact sequence ①.

In other words, the information in the Leray–de Rham spectral sequence beyond page ${}_{\bar{p}}E_2$ is contained within the Gysin braid.

- The nonmobile actions are simpler, and we obtain

$$H^*(M) = H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \oplus H^{*-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{2}}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

(see Section 5).

We denote by S^3 the unit quaternionic group, i.e.,

$$S^3 = \{x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \mid x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}.$$

The distinguished copy of the unit complex numbers is $S^1 = \{x_0 + x_1 i \mid x_0^2 + x_1^2 = 1\}$. Notice that the normalizer $N(S^1)$ of S^1 in S^3 is $S^1 \sqcup j\ S^1 \cong O(2)$.

In the following, we consider a smooth action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$, where M is a second countable, Hausdorff, smooth manifold of dimension m without boundary. For the definitions and properties related to compact Lie group actions, we refer the reader to [2].

Section 1 is devoted to studying the intersection cohomology of the orbit space M/S^3. We demonstrate how to compute this cohomology using differential forms defined on an open subset of M. The complex of invariant intersection forms of M is a key tool for constructing the Gysin braid, which is discussed in Section 2. Sections 3 and 4 focus on constructing and analyzing the Gysin braid associated to the action. This braid arises from integrating along the orbits of the action discussed in Section 3.

1. Intersection cohomology

The intersection cohomology of the orbit space M/S^3 is originally defined using singular simplices. In this section, we demonstrate an alternative method for computing this cohomology by utilizing differential forms defined on the regular part of M.

1.1. ***Filtered spaces*** [6]**.** Let us consider the partition of M obtained by the equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \iff \dim S^3_x = \dim S^3_y.$$

This condition is equivalent to $(S^3_x)_0$ and $(S^3_y)_0$ being conjugate, where $(-)_0$ denotes the connected component containing the unity.

A *stratum* is a connected component of any element of this partition. The *orbit-type stratification* $\mathscr{S}$ of M is the family of these strata.

There are four possible isotropy subgroups of a point in M, up to conjugacy: a finite subgroup of S^3, S^1, the normalizer $N = N(S^1)$ of S^1 in S^3, and S^3 itself (see [2, Theorem 9.5, p .153]).

We define $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}_0 \sqcup \mathscr{S}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{S}_3$ where

$$\mathscr{S}_0 = \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S^3_x = 0,\ x \in S\} \quad \text{(mobile strata)},$$
$$\mathscr{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S^3_x = 1,\ x \in S\} \quad \text{(semimobile strata)},$$
$$\mathscr{S}_3 = \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S^3_x = 3,\ x \in S\} \quad \text{(fixed strata)}.$$

The action is considered *mobile* if $\mathscr{S}_0 \neq \varnothing$. If $\mathscr{S}_0 = \varnothing$ and $\mathscr{S}_1 \neq \varnothing$, we say that the action is *semimobile*. The remaining case is the *trivial* action. The set of *singular strata* is denoted by $\mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}}$. If the action is mobile, then $\mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}} = \mathscr{S}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{S}_3$. If the action is semimobile, then $\mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}} = \mathscr{S}_3$. The union of the singular strata of $\mathscr{S}$ is the *singular part* Σ of M. Its complement $M \setminus \Sigma$ is the *regular part* of M.

We define $F_3 = \bigsqcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}_3} S = M^{S^3}$ and $F_1 = \bigsqcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}_1} S$, which are S^3-invariant proper submanifolds[1] of M. Note that F_1 is the twisted product $S^3 \times_N (M^{S^1} \setminus M^{S^3})$, where S^3 acts on the left of the left factor (see [2, Theorem 5.9]). Furthermore, if $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$ we have

$$S = S^3 \times_N S^{S^1}.$$

The union of singular strata is $\Sigma = F_1 \sqcup F_3$ (resp. F_3) when the action is mobile (resp. semimobile).

Filtered spaces provide the essential framework for defining singular intersection cohomology, which is the dual of the intersection homology introduced in [8] (see, for example, [5]).

Proposition 1.1. *The strata of $\mathscr{S}$ are invariant proper submanifolds. For each integer i we define*

$$M_i = \bigsqcup \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S \leq i\}.$$

The filtration

$$\varnothing = M_{-1} \subseteq M_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_m = M$$

defines a filtered space.

For each integer i we define $(M/S^3)_i = \bigsqcup \{\pi(S) \mid S \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\dim \pi(S) \leq i\}$, where $\pi : M \to M/S^3$ denotes the canonical projection. The filtration

$$\varnothing = (M/S^3)_{-1} \subseteq (M/S^3)_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (M/S^3)_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (M/S^3)_n = M/S^3$$

defines a filtered structure in M/S^3.

[1] Proposition 1.1 shows that they are manifolds. In fact, these manifolds may have connected components with different dimensions.

Proof. Let S be a stratum of $\mathscr{S}$. Each point $x \in S$ possesses an open neighborhood S^3-equivariantly diffeomorphic to the twisted product $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$ where the isotropy subgroup $H = S^3_x$ acts orthogonally on $\mathbb{R}^a$. The point x becomes the class $\langle 1, 0\rangle$. Recall that the isotropy subgroup of a point $\langle g, u\rangle \in S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$ is gH_ug^{-1}. So, the trace of S in this neighborhood is $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^b$, where

$$\mathbb{R}^b = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid \dim H_u = \dim H\} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid H_0 \cdot u = u\}.$$

The stratum S is an invariant proper submanifold with $\dim S = 3 + b - \dim H$.

It remains to prove that each M_i and $(M/S^3)_i$ are closed subsets. It suffices to verify that the maps $\dim : M \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $i : M \to \mathbb{Z}$, defined by $\dim(x) = \dim S$ and $i(x) = \dim \pi(S) = \dim S/S^3 = \dim S + \dim S^3_x - 3$, with $S \in \mathscr{S}$ and $x \in S$, are lower semicontinuous. Since the problem is a local question, we can suppose that M is $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$. We prove that the functions dim and i are bigger than $\dim(x)$ and $i(x)$, respectively. Notice that the map $\langle g, u\rangle \mapsto -\dim S^3_{\langle g,u\rangle}$ is a lower semicontinuous map since $-\dim S^3_{\langle g,u\rangle} = -\dim H_u \geq -\dim H = -\dim S^3_x$. So, it remains to study the function dim.

Considering the G-equivariant covering $S^3 \times_{H_0} \mathbb{R}^a \to S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$, we can suppose that H is connected. Let $\mathbb{R}^a = \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^c$ be the H-equivariant orthogonal decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^a$. This gives $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a = \mathbb{R}^b \times (S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^c)$. Given a point $y = \langle g, u\rangle \in S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$ we consider $Q \in \mathscr{S}$ the stratum containing this point. In fact, $Q = \mathbb{R}^b \times (S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^d)$ where $\mathbb{R}^d = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid \dim H_v = \dim H_u\}$. We have finished since $\dim(y) = \dim Q = b + 3 + d - \dim H \geq b + 3 - \dim H = \dim S = \dim(x)$. □

The dimension m of the filtered space M is $\dim M$. The dimension n of the filtered space M/S^3 is $m - 3$ (resp. $m - 1$) when the action is mobile (resp. semimobile).

Brylinski, Goresky and MacPherson showed how to compute the intersection cohomology with differential forms (see [4]). To this effect, they use the Thom–Mather systems.

1.2. *Thom–Mather systems.* Since F_1 and F_3 are S^3-invariant proper submanifolds of M, we can consider $\tau_k : T_k \to F_k$ two S^3-invariant tubular neighborhoods of F_k in M, $k = 1, 3$. Associated to these tubular neighborhoods we have the following maps:

- The *radius map* $\rho_k : T_k \to [0, \infty)$ is defined fiberwise by $u \mapsto \|u\|$. This map is invariant and smooth.
- The *dilatation map* $\partial_k : [0, \infty) \times T_k \to T_k$ is defined fiberwise by $(t, u) \mapsto t \cdot u$. It is a smooth equivariant map.

Given $S \in \mathscr{S}$ contained in F_k for $k = 1, 3$, we can define $T_S = \tau_k^{-1}(S)$ and $\tau_S : T_S \to S$ as the restriction of τ_k. We can define the maps ρ_S and ∂_S analogously. The *soul* of T_S is defined as the open subset $D_S = \rho_S^{-1}([0, 2))$.

The family of tubular neighborhoods $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_1, T_3\}$ is called a *Thom–Mather system of* M when

$$\tau_3 = \tau_3 \circ \tau_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_3 = \rho_3 \circ \tau_1 \qquad \text{on } T_1 \cap T_3 = \tau_1^{-1}(T_3 \cap F_1). \tag{5}$$

We have proved in [13] that there exists an S^3-invariant Thom–Mather system of M.

We consider the induced maps $\widetilde{\tau}_k : T_k/S^3 \to F_k/S^3$, $\widetilde{\rho}_k : \widetilde{T}_k \to [0,\infty)$ and $\widetilde{\partial}_k : [0,\infty) \times T_k/S^3 \to T_k/S^3$. The family of tubular neighborhoods $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^3} = \{T_1/S^3, T_3/S^3\}$ is a *Thom–Mather system of* M/S^3.

We need a more precise description of the atlas of the bundle τ_3. The open tubular neighborhood T_3 can be chosen as a disjoint union $T_3 = \bigsqcup\{T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_3\}$ with $T_S \cap T_{S'} = \varnothing$ if $S \neq S'$. There is an S^3-equivariant atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi : \tau_S^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1}\}$ relative to an orthogonal action $\Phi_S : S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{b+1}$, having the origin as the only fixed point.

1.3. ***Intersection differential forms on M.*** The *perverse degree* of a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$, defined on the regular part $M \setminus \Sigma$ of M, relative to a singular stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}$ (see Section 1.1), is the number

$$\|\omega\|_S = \min\big\{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \mid \omega(v_0, \dots, v_\ell, -) = 0 \text{ where } v_0, \dots, v_\ell \text{ are vectors tangent to the fibers of } \tau_S : D_S \to S\big\}$$

if $\omega \neq 0$ on D_S. If $\omega = 0$ on D_S we define $\|\omega\|_S = -\infty$. The condition $\|\omega\|_S = \|d\omega\|_S = 0$ is equivalent to stating that the restriction of ω to D_S is a τ_S-basic form.

We shall need the following properties of the perverse degree:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\omega\|_S \le |\omega|, \text{ degree of } \omega, && \|d\omega\|_S \le \|\omega\|_S + 1, \\ &\|g^*\omega\|_S = \|\omega\|_S \text{ for each } g \in S^3, && \|\omega+\eta\|_S \le \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|\eta\|_S), \\ &\|\omega\wedge\eta\|_S \le \|\omega\|_S + \|\eta\|_S, && \|i_X\omega\|_S \le \|\omega\|_S \text{ for each vector field } X. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

These properties come directly from the definition of perverse degree. We have also used the fact that the Thom–Mather system is S^3-invariant.

A *perversity* is a map $\bar{p} : \mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty, \infty\}$. The *constant perversity* is $\bar{\ell}(S) = \ell$, with $\ell \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, for any singular stratum S. The *top perversity* is defined by $\bar{t}(S) = \operatorname{codim} S - 2$ for any singular stratum S.

The complex of $\bar{p}$- *intersection differential forms* of M, relative to the perversity $\bar{p}$, is defined by

$$\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \big\{\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \mid \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|d\omega\|_S) \le \bar{p}(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathscr{S}\big\}.$$

The complex $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ computes the cohomology $H^*(M)$ for Goresky–MacPherson perversities [4] or for perversities satisfying $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$ [1; 17]. The cohomology of this complex is $H^*(M, \Sigma)$ (resp. $H^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$) when $\bar{p} < \bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{p} > \bar{t}$).

We can compute the intersection cohomology of the orbit space M/S^3 by using differential forms defined on $M \setminus \Sigma$. To see how, first notice that the natural projection π establishes a bijection between the strata of M and those of M/S^3. Therefore, a perversity $\bar{p}$ on M/S^3 determines a perversity $\bar{p}$ on M as well, which we will still denote by $\bar{p}$, following the formula $\bar{p}(S) = \bar{p}(S/S^3)$. The reverse is also true. Throughout this work, we will consider all perversities on M. Let us set such a perversity as $\bar{p}$.

A *basic differential form* is a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ satisfying

$$\omega(v, -) = d\omega(v, -) = 0$$

for each vector v tangent to the fibers of $\pi : M \setminus \Sigma \to (M \setminus \Sigma)/S^3$.

The *complex of basic differential forms*, denoted by $\Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \setminus \Sigma)$, plays the role of the complex of differential forms on the orbit space $(M \setminus \Sigma)/S^3$, but its forms are defined on the manifold $M \setminus \Sigma$. The cohomology of the complex of *basic $\bar{p}$-intersection forms* $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) = \Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \setminus \Sigma) \cap \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is denoted by $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$. It has been proved in [18] that this cohomology is isomorphic to the $D\bar{p}$-intersection cohomology of the pseudomanifold M/S^3. Notice that this cohomology does not depend on the Thom–Mather system we have chosen.

Given two perversities $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$ on M the *step complex* $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}(M/S^3)$ is the quotient $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)/\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^3)$ and its cohomology is denoted by $H^*_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}(M/S^3)$ (see [11]).

1.4. ***Closure of a stratum.*** The exotic term of the Gysin braid we construct in this work uses a particular filtered space we describe now.

Consider a nonclosed stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. The closure of S is the union of S itself and a family of fixed strata $\{Q_\ell \in \mathscr{S}_3 \mid \ell \in J\}$, i.e., $\overline{S} = S \cup \bigsqcup_{\ell \in J} Q_\ell$. It is a filtered space whose regular stratum is S. Any perversity $\bar{p}$ on M induces a perversity on $\overline{S}$, still denoted by $\bar{p}$, which is defined by the numbers $\bar{p}(Q_\ell)$, $\ell \in J$. The Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_M$ of M induces the Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_{\overline{S}} = \{T_{Q_\ell} \cap \overline{S} \mid \ell \in J\}$.

In fact, we need to go a step further and consider the space $\overline{S}^{S^1}$ which is the union $S^{S^1} \cup \bigsqcup_{\ell \in J} Q_\ell$. It is a filtered space whose regular part is S^{S^1}. Any perversity $\bar{p}$ on M induces a perversity on $\overline{S}^{S^1}$, still denoted by $\bar{p}$, which is defined by the numbers $\bar{p}(Q_\ell)$, $\ell \in J$. The Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_M$ of M induces the Thom-Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_{\overline{S}^{S^1}} = \{T_{Q_\ell} \cap \overline{S}^{S^1} \mid \ell \in J\}$.

The complex of *intersection differential forms* of $\overline{S}^{S^1}$, relative to the perversity $\bar{p}$, can be defined as in the previous section. It computes the intersection cohomology $H^*_{\bar{p}}(\overline{S}^{S^1})$. Since $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\overline{S}^{S^1}$ by $g \cdot x = j(x)$, where g denotes the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ also acts on this cohomology. We shall use the notation

$$H^*_{\bar{p}}(\overline{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{\omega \in H^*_{\bar{p}}(\overline{S}^{S^1}) \mid g \cdot \omega = -\omega\}.$$

In fact, we are going to use a particular perversity on this space. Associated to any perversity $\bar{p}$ on M we have the perversity $\overline{P}_S$ on $\overline{S}^{S^1}$ defined by

$$\overline{P}_S(Q) = \begin{cases} \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2 & \text{if } Q = Q_\ell \text{ for some } \ell \in J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where p_S is the integer part of $\bar{p}(S)/2$.

1.5. *S^1-actions.* A similar study can be done for a smooth action $\Psi : S^1 \times M \to M$. In this case the orbit-type stratification is $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}_0 \sqcup \mathscr{S}_1$ where

$$\mathscr{S}_0 = \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S^1_x = 0,\ x \in S\} \quad \text{(mobile strata (regular strata))},$$
$$\mathscr{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathscr{S} \mid \dim S^1_x = 1,\ x \in S\} \quad \text{(fixed strata (singular strata))}.$$

We suppose that the action is mobile, meaning $\mathscr{S}_0 \neq \varnothing$ or nontrivial.

The family of singular strata is denoted by $\mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}}$ and its union is Σ. The manifold M and the orbit space M/S^1 are filtered spaces. Thom–Mather systems also exist. In this case $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ where each $\tau_S : T_S \to S$ is an S^1-fiber bundle. The elements of $\mathfrak{T}_M$ can be chosen to be disjoint.

We need a more precise description of the atlas of the bundle τ_S. There exists an S^1-equivariant atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi : \tau_S^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}\}$ relative to an orthogonal action $\Phi_S : S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} \to \mathbb{R}^{2b} + 2$, $b \geq 0$, having the origin as the only fixed point.

Proceeding as in Section 1.3, we define the complex $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$, which computes the cohomology of M. We also define the complex $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)$, whose cohomology $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)$ is isomorphic to the $D\bar{p}$-intersection cohomology of the pseudomanifold M/S^1. Notice that this cohomology does not depend on the chosen Thom–Mather system.

Given two perversities $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$ on M the *step complex* $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}(M/S^1)$ is the quotient $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)/\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^1)$ and its cohomology is denoted by $H^*_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}(M/S^1)$ (see [11]). This cohomology fits into the long exact sequence

$$(7)\quad \cdots \to H^\ell_{\bar{q}}(M/S^1) \to H^\ell_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \to H^\ell_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}(M/S^1) \to H^{\ell+1}_{\bar{q}}(M/S^1) \to \cdots.$$

1.6. *N-actions.* A smooth action $\Theta : N \times M \to M$ induces a circle action $\Psi : S^1 \times M \to M$. Since the stratification $\mathscr{S}_1$ of this last action is N-invariant, we can choose an N-invariant Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$, that is, the map $g : T_S \to T_{g(S)}$ is an S^1-morphism bundle for each $g \in N$.

Since $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$, the singular part of the S^1-action $\Sigma = \bigsqcup\{S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariant relative to the action $g \cdot x = j(x)$. Also, the union $S \cup j(S)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariant for any $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. Notice that we have two possibilities: either $j(S) = S$ or $j(S) \cap S = \varnothing$.

The induced family $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^1} = \{T_S/S^1 \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ is a Thom–Mather system on the orbit space M/S^1. The element $j \in S^3$ induces the map $j : M/S^1 \to M/S^1$

preserving $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^1}$. So, it induces the map $j^* : \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)$. Since $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$ then $j^* \circ j^*$ is the identity. So, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)$ by $g \cdot \omega = j^*\omega$. It also acts on $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)$ by $g \cdot [\omega] = [j^*\omega]$. We now define

$$\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{\omega \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \mid g \cdot \omega = -\omega\},$$
$$H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{[\omega] \in H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \mid [g \cdot \omega] = -[\omega]\}.$$

As $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is finite, the cohomology of $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is easily seen to be $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

2. Invariant differential forms

A key ingredient in this paper is the complex of S^3-invariant forms of $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. It is a simpler subcomplex computing the same cohomology.

For the rest of this section we assume that the action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ is a mobile action. In particular, the action of S^3 on $M \setminus \Sigma$ is *almost-free*, that is, the isotropy subgroup of any point of $M \setminus \Sigma$ is finite.

2.1. ***The Lie algebra*** $\mathfrak{su}(2)$**.** Recall that identifying S^3 with the matrix group SU(2), its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. We shall consider $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, relative to a bi-invariant metric κ of S^3, where

- u_1 generates the Lie algebra of the subgroup S^1,
- $[u_1, u_2] = u_3$, $[u_2, u_3] = u_1$, $[u_3, u_1] = u_2$ and
- $\mathrm{Ad}(j)u_1 = -u_1$, $\mathrm{Ad}(j)u_2 = u_2$, $\mathrm{Ad}(j)u_3 = -u_3$.

Consider the action $\Psi : S^3 \times S^3 \to S^3$, defined by $\Psi(g, k) = k \cdot g^{-1}$. Associated with this action and u_i, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have the fundamental vector fields Y_i on S^3. They are left invariant vector fields satisfying $j_*Y_1 = -Y_1$, $j_*Y_2 = Y_2$ and $j_*Y_3 = -Y_3$.

We write $\gamma_i \in \Omega^1(S^3)$ for the dual form of Y_i relative to the metric κ: $\gamma_i = i_{Y_i}\kappa$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. They are left invariant differential forms satisfying $j^*\gamma_1 = -\gamma_1$, $j^*\gamma_2 = \gamma_2$ and $j^*\gamma_3 = -\gamma_3$. The differentials satisfy $d\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3$, $d\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3$ and $d\gamma_3 = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2$.

2.2. ***Fundamental vector fields and characteristic forms.*** Associated with the action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ and the vector $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have the *fundamental vector field* X_u on M. For the sake of simplicity, we shall write $X_i = X_{u_i}$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$. This vector field is defined on M but we are going to work with its restriction to $M \setminus \Sigma$. Since the action is mobile, these vector fields are nonvanishing on $M \setminus \Sigma$. Moreover, the family $\{X_1(x), X_2(x), X_2(x)\}$ is a basis of the tangent space of the orbit $S^3(x)$ for any $x \in M \setminus \Sigma$. We have $j_*X_1 = j_*X_{u_1} = X_{\mathrm{Ad}(j)u_1} = -X_{u_1} = -X_1$ and $j_*X_2 = X_2$, $j_*X_3 = -X_3$ in the same way.

An *adapted metric* on $M \setminus \Sigma$ is an S^3-invariant Riemannian metric μ on $M \setminus \Sigma$ satisfying

$$\mu(X_{v_1}(x), X_{v_2}(x)) = \kappa(v_1, v_2) \quad \text{for all } x \in M \setminus \Sigma \text{ and } v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2). \tag{8}$$

Such a metric always exists since the fundamental vector fields are nonvanishing.

We denote by $\chi_u = i_{X_u}\mu \in \Omega^1(M \setminus \Sigma)$ the *characteristic form* associated to $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Notice that, for each $g \in S^3$, we have

$$g^*\chi_u = \chi_{\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\cdot u}. \tag{9}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we write $\chi_i = \chi_{u_i}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since $L_{X_u}\chi_v = \chi_{[u,v]}$, for each $u, v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{X_1}\chi_1 = L_{X_2}\chi_2 = L_{X_3}\chi_3 = 0, \quad && L_{X_1}\chi_2 = -L_{X_2}\chi_1 = -\chi_3, \\ &L_{X_1}\chi_3 = -L_{X_3}\chi_1 = \chi_2, && L_{X_2}\chi_3 = -L_{X_3}\chi_2 = -\chi_1. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $\chi_k(X_\ell) = \mu(X_\ell, X_k) = \delta_{\ell k}$, each differential form $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ possesses a unique writing,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \omega_0 + \chi_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \chi_3 \wedge \omega_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{12} \\ + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{13} + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{23} + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{123}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the coefficients $\omega_\bullet \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ are *horizontal forms*, that is, they satisfy $i_{X_\ell}\omega_\bullet = 0$ for each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$. This is the *canonical decomposition* of ω.

The canonical decomposition of the differential of a characteristic form is

$$d\chi_1 = e_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3, \quad d\chi_2 = e_2 - \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3, \quad d\chi_3 = e_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \tag{12}$$

for some horizontal forms $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Omega^2(M \setminus \Sigma)$, called the *Euler forms*. Notice that

$$j^*e_1 = -e_1, \quad j^*e_2 = e_2, \quad j^*e_3 = -e_3. \tag{13}$$

2.3. ***Invariant differential forms.*** A differential form ω of $M \setminus \Sigma$ is an *invariant form* when $g^*\omega = \omega$ for each $g \in S^3$ or, equivalently, $L_{X_\ell}\omega = 0$ for each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$. In fact, invariant differential forms are characterized by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_0 \text{ and } \omega_{123} \text{ are basic forms}, && L_{X_\ell}\omega_\ell = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3, \\ &L_{X_1}\omega_{23} = L_{X_2}\omega_{13} = L_{X_3}\omega_{12} = 0, && L_{X_1}\omega_2 = -L_{X_2}\omega_1 = -\omega_3, \\ &L_{X_1}\omega_3 = -L_{X_3}\omega_1 = \omega_2, && L_{X_2}\omega_3 = -L_{X_3}\omega_2 = -\omega_1, \\ &L_{X_1}\omega_{13} = L_{X_2}\omega_{23} = \omega_{12}, && L_{X_1}\omega_{12} = -L_{X_3}\omega_{23} = -\omega_{13}, \\ &L_{X_2}\omega_{12} = L_{X_3}\omega_{13} = -\omega_{23} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(see (10)).

The complex of invariant forms is denoted by $\underline{\Omega}^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$. The complex of invariant intersection differential forms is $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \Omega^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma) \cap \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. Some cohomological computations are simplified by replacing the complex $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ by its subcomplex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$, since proceeding as in [9, Theorem I, p. 151], we have:

Proposition 2.1. *The inclusion $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for any perversity $\bar{p}$.*

Notice that $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) = \{\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \mid i_{X_\ell}\omega = 0 \text{ for each } \ell = 1, 2, 3\}$.

2.4. ***Perverse degree of characteristic forms.*** Notice that, for any singular stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}^{\text{sing}}$, we have

$$\|\omega\|_S = \max\big\{\|\omega_0\|_S, \|\chi_\ell \wedge \omega_i\|_S, \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \omega_{\ell k}\|_S, \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{123}\| \mid 1 \le \ell < k \le 3\big\} \tag{15}$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ (see (6)). When $S \in \mathscr{S}_3$ is a fixed stratum, the orbits of the action are tangent to the fibers of $\tau_S : D_S \to S$. So, we have

$$\|\chi_\ell \wedge \alpha\|_S - 1 = \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \alpha\|_S - 2 = \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S - 3 = \|\alpha\|_S, \tag{16}$$

for each $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ and each $1 \le \ell < k \le 3$.

In order to control the perverse degree of characteristic forms relative to mobile strata we need to enhance adapted metrics with richer properties.

Definition 2.2. An adapted metric μ on $M \smallsetminus \Sigma$ is an *adjusted metric* if

$$\mu(X_v(x), w) = 0 \tag{17}$$

whenever

- $x \in D_s \smallsetminus \Sigma$ for some $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$,
- w is a vector tangent to the fibers of $\tau_s : D_s \smallsetminus \Sigma \to S$ at x, and
- $v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ belongs to the κ-orthogonal of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_y$, Lie algebra of S^3_y with $y = \tau_s(x)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Every mobile action admits an adjusted metric.*

Proof. A convex combination of adapted metrics is an adapted metric. So, by using partitions of unity, we can reduce the problem to the following two cases:

• $M = T_S$ for some $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. In this case, $\Sigma = S$. We set μ' an adapted metric on $T_S \smallsetminus S$.

We put $\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) the subbundle of $T_S \smallsetminus S$ tangent to the fibers of τ_S (resp. the orbits of the action). The bundle $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{K}$ is of constant rank equal to one. In fact,

$$\mathcal{G}_x \cap \mathcal{K}_x = \{X_v(x) \mid v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y\}$$

for each $x \in T_S \smallsetminus \Sigma$ with $y = \tau_S(x)$. Let us consider the S^3-invariant decomposition

$$T(T_S \smallsetminus \Sigma) = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{K})^{\perp_{\mu'}},$$

where $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{K})^{\perp_{\mu'}} \cap \mathcal{G}$. Since $\mu' = \kappa$ on $\mathcal{G}$ (see (8)), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \{X_v(x) \mid v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^{\perp}\}$$

for each $x \in T_S \smallsetminus \Sigma$ with $y = \tau_S(x)$. We denote by μ'_1, μ'_2, and μ'_3 the restrictions of μ to each term of the above decomposition. The Riemannian metric μ defined by

$$\mu = \mu'_1 + \mu'_2 + \mu'_3$$

is an adapted metric. It also satisfies (17) since $w \in \mathcal{K}_x$ and $X_v(x) \in \mathcal{D}_x$.

• $M = T_Q$ for some $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$. The open subset $T_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma$ is S^3-equivariantly diffeomorphic to $(D_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma) \times (0, \infty)$. The action of S^3 on $D_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma$ has no fixed points. The previous step gives an adjusted metric μ on $D_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma$. As the tubular neighborhood T_S of any stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$ is the product $T_{S \cap (D_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma)} \times (0, \infty)$, the metric $\mu + dr^2$ is an adjusted metric on $T_Q \smallsetminus \Sigma$. □

For a such metric we can compute the terms appearing in (15).

Proposition 2.4. *Let us suppose that $M \smallsetminus \Sigma$ is endowed with an adjusted metric. Given a stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$ and a horizontal form $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ we have*

$$\|\chi_\ell \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\alpha\|_S + 1, \tag{18}$$

for each $1 \leq \ell < k \leq 3$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose $M = T_S$ and $\Sigma = S$. We proceed in two steps.

Step $\leq$. Following (6) it suffices to prove that $\|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k\|_S \leq 1$ and $\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3\|_S \leq 1$. We deal with the first inequality, the second one can be approached in the same way. If $\|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k\|_S = 2$ then there exists $x \in T_S \smallsetminus S$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{K}_x$ with $\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k(v, w) \neq 0$. Denote by $\lfloor u_\ell, u_k \rfloor$ the vector subspace generated by u_ℓ and u_k. Since $\dim \lfloor u_\ell, u_k \rfloor = 2 = \dim \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^{\perp}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{su}(2) = 3$, there exist $v_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^{\perp}$ and $v_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ with $\chi_{v_1} \wedge \chi_{v_2}(v, w) \neq 0$. This is impossible since $\chi_{v_1}(v) = \chi_{v_1}(w) = 0$ (see (17)).

Step $\geq$. Since the result is clear for $\alpha = 0$, let us suppose $\|\alpha\|_S = a > 0$. So, there exist $x \in T_S \smallsetminus \Sigma$ and $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}\} \subset \mathcal{K}_x$, with $\alpha(w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, -) \neq 0$. Here, $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the subbundle of $T(T_S \smallsetminus S)$ tangent to the fibers of τ_S as defined in the proof of Proposition 2.3. Since the perverse degree is S^3-invariant, we can suppose that $S^3_{y=\tau_S(x)} \supset S^1$ (see (6)). This gives $\mathfrak{su}(2)_y = \lfloor u_1 \rfloor$ and therefore $X_1(x) \in \mathcal{K}_x$.

The adjoint map associated to the group S^3 is the covering $S^3 \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$. Since there exists a rotation sending u_1 to u_ℓ, there exists $g \in S^3$ with $\mathrm{Ad}(g)(u_1) = u_\ell$. Since the perverse degree is S^3-invariant, it suffices to prove $\|\chi_1 \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a + 1$,

$\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a+1$ and $\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \chi_v \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a+1$, where $u, v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ (see (6) and (9)). Without loss of generality we can suppose that $u, v \in \lfloor u_1 \rfloor^{\perp}$. The inequality comes from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \alpha(w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, -) \\ &= (\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \chi_v \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), X_u(x), X_v(x), w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, -) \\ &= (\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), X_u(x), w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, -) \\ &= (\chi_1 \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, -), \end{aligned}$$

since $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}, X_1(x)\} \subset \mathcal{K}_x$.

If $a = 0$, we just have $\alpha(-) \neq 0$ and the same argument applies by just omitting the vectors $w_0, \ldots, w_{a-1}$. □

2.5. ***Circle actions.*** In [10] a Gysin sequence is obtained for any nontrivial smooth circle action by doing a similar study and using more restrictive perversities. For the convenience of the reader, in this section we obtain that Gysin sequence for general perversities in a shorter way, using the techniques and presentation to be applied later for the case of mobile S^3-actions.

Fix a nontrivial smooth action $\Psi : S^1 \times M \to M$. Here, we just have one fundamental vector field X and one characteristic form χ relative to an adapted metric (the notion of adjusted metric does not apply here). This form is S^1-invariant and satisfies $\|\chi\|_S = 1$ on $\mathscr{S}_1$ (the family of fixed strata). Its differential $e = d\chi$, the *Euler form*, belongs to $\Omega^2_{\bar{e}}(M/S^1)$ where the *Euler perversity* $\bar{e}$ is defined by $\bar{e}(S) = 2$ on $\mathscr{S}_1$. We also use the *characteristic perversity* $\overline{\chi}$ defined by $\overline{\chi}(S) = 1$ on $\mathscr{S}_1$.

As X is tangent to the fibers of $\tau_S : D_S \to S$ for any $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$, we have that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^*_{\mathrm{bas}}(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$, $\|\alpha + \chi \wedge \beta\|_S = \max\{\|\alpha\|_S, \|\chi \wedge \beta\|_S\}$ holds. Using this fact, for any perversity $\bar{p}$, the complex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (19)\quad \big\{\alpha + \chi \wedge \beta \mid \alpha \in \Omega^*_{\mathrm{bas}}(M \smallsetminus \Sigma),\ \beta \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^1) \\ \text{with } \|\alpha\| \leq \bar{p}(S) \text{ and } \|d\alpha + e \wedge \beta\|_S \leq \bar{p}(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathscr{S}_1\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

The *integration operator* is the differential operator $\mathcal{f} : \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^1)$ defined by $\mathcal{f}\omega = i_X\omega$, that is, $\mathcal{f}(\alpha + \chi \wedge \beta) = \beta$. Considering the kernel and the image of $\mathcal{f}$, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \to \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to I^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to 0,$$

which induces the following Gysin sequence (see [10]).

Proposition 2.5. *For each perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ we have the long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \to H^*(M) \to H^{*-1}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^1) \to H^{*+1}_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \to \cdots.$$

Proof. For each $\beta \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^1)$ we have $\chi \wedge \beta \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. Since $\mathcal{f}(\chi \wedge \beta) = \beta$, it suffices to prove that the inclusion $I : \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^1) \hookrightarrow I^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} I^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \{\beta \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^1) \\ \mid \text{there exists } \alpha \in \Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \smallsetminus \Sigma) \text{ with } \|\alpha\| \le \bar{p}(S), \\ \text{and } \|d\alpha + e \wedge \beta\|_S \le \bar{p}(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed in three steps.

Step 1: $\mathscr{S}_1 = \varnothing$. The action is almost-free. In this case $\Sigma = \varnothing$ and therefore

$$\Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \smallsetminus \Sigma) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \subset I^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M) \subset \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^1) = \Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \smallsetminus \Sigma).$$

In other words, the map I itself is an isomorphism.

Step 2: $M = T_S$ *for some* $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. Recall that $\tau_S : T_S \to S$ is an S^1-invariant smooth bundle whose fiber is $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ for some $b \ge 0$. In fact, the group S^1 acts trivially on S and orthogonally on the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of S^1 on the unit sphere S^{2b+1} is almost-free.

Consider a good covering $\mathcal{U}$ of S and $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ a subordinated partition of unity. The family $\{\tau_S^{-1}(U) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of T_S having $\{f_U \circ \tau_S \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ a subordinated partition of unity. These maps are S^1-invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of τ_S. This last property implies that $\|f_U \circ \tau_S\|_S = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_S)\|_S = 0$. So, the covering $\mathcal{U}$ possesses a subordinated partition of unity living in $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{0}}(M)$.

By applying Bredon's trick [3, p. 289], we can reduce the problem to the case where $M = \mathbb{R}^{\dim S} \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$, with τ_S being the projection onto the first factor. The action of the group S^1 is trivial on the first factor.

Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case $M = \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} = \mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1} = (S^{2b+1} \times [0, \infty))/(S^{2b+1} \times \{0\})$, where S^1 acts on the factor S^{2b+1}. Here, the stratum S is the apex of the cone. We have $\overline{\chi}(S) = 1$ and $\bar{e}(S) = 2$. The number $p \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $\bar{p}(S) = p$. We need to prove that the inclusion

$$I : \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}/S^1) \hookrightarrow I^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}) = \{i_Z\omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1})\} \tag{20}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice first that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{*<p-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \Omega^{*<p-2}_{\text{bas}}(S^{2b+1} \times (0, \infty)), \\ \Omega^{p-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \left\{\beta \in \Omega^{p-2}_{\text{bas}}(S^{2b+1} \times (0, \infty)) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1} \times (0, 2)\right\}, \\ \Omega^{*>p-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \Omega^{*>p-2}_{\text{bas}}(S^{2b+1} \times (0, \infty), S^{2b+1} \times (0, 2)), \\ I^{*<p-1}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{\mathrm{c}}S^{2b+1}) &= \left\{i_X\omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1<p}(S^{2b+1} \times (0, \infty))\right\} \\ &=_{(1)} \Omega^{*<p-1}_{\text{bas}}(S^{2b+1} \times (0, \infty)), \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{\bar{p}}^{p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) = \{i_X\omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty)),\ d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)\},$$
$$I_{\bar{p}}^{*>p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) = \{i_X\omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1>p}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty), S^{2b+1}\times(0,2))\}$$
$$=_{(2)} \Omega_{\text{bas}}^{*>p-1}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty), S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)),$$

where $=_{(1)}$ is given by the previous step and $=_{(2)}$ comes from the fact that $\beta \equiv 0$ on $S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)$ implies $\omega = \chi\wedge\beta \equiv 0$ on $S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)$. Since

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1)\cap d^{-1}(0) = I_{\bar{p}}^{p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})\cap d^{-1}(0),$$

it suffices to study the degrees $* \geq p-1$.

$*=p-1$: Since $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1)=0$, we need to prove $H^{p-1}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}))=0$, that is,

$$\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty)) \text{ with } d\omega\equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1}\times(0,2) \text{ and } di_X\omega = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \text{there exists } \eta \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty)) \text{ with } i_X\omega = di_X\eta.$$

If $p=0$ then we can consider $\eta=0$ since ω is constant. Let us suppose $p\geq 1$. Consider $\eta' = \int_1^- \omega$. It is an element of $\underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty))$ since the action of S^1 on the $(0,\infty)$-factor is trivial. A straightforward calculation gives $\omega = \text{pr}^*\,\omega(1) + d\eta' + \int_1^- d\omega$. Here, $\omega(1)$ is the restriction of ω to $S^{2b+1}\times\{1\}$ and $\text{pr}: S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty)\to S^{2b+1}\times\{1\}$ is the map defined by $\text{pr}(x,t)=(x,1)$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$i_X\omega = i_X\,\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1) + i_X d\eta' + i_X\int_1^- d\omega$$
$$= i_X\,\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1) - di_X\eta' - \int_1^- di_X\omega = i_X\,\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1) - di_X\eta'.$$

By hypothesis the differential form $\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1)$ is a cycle of $\underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty))$. Condition $\bar{p}\leq\bar{t}$ implies $p\leq \text{codim } S-2 = 2b$. Since $p\geq 1$, $\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1)$ is exact and so there exists $\eta''\in\underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty))$ with $\text{pr}^*\,\omega(1) = d\eta''$. We end the proof taking $\eta = -\eta' - \eta''$.

$*\geq p$: Since $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*\geq p}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1)=0$, we need to prove $H^{*\geq p}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}))=0$, that is,

$$\omega\in\underline{\Omega}^{*+1\geq p+1}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty), S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)) \text{ with } di_X\omega=0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \text{there exists } \eta\in\underline{\Omega}^{*\geq p}(S^{2b+1}\times(0,\infty)) \text{ with } d\eta\equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1}\times(0,2)$$
$$\text{and } i_X\omega = di_X\eta.$$

The proof of the previous case applies verbatim with $\omega(1)=0$.

Final step: Consider the invariant open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \mid S\in\mathcal{S}_1\}\sqcup\{M\setminus\Sigma\}$ of M. We fix a smooth map $\lambda:[0,\infty)\to[0,1]$ satisfying $\lambda=1$ on $[0,2]$ and

$\lambda = 0$ on $[3, \infty)$. The map $f_S : T_S \to [0, \infty)$ is defined by $f_S(x) = \lambda(\rho_S(x))$ (see Section 1.2). It is an S^1-invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of $\tau_S : D_S \to S$, which gives $\|f_S\|_S = \|df_S\|_S = 0$. So, the family $\{f_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\} \sqcup \{1 - \sum f_S\}$ is a partition of unity, subordinated to $\mathcal{V}$, living in $\underline{\Omega}^*_0(M)$. Now, it suffices to apply Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] and the previous cases. □

Remark 2.6. In fact, we have proved that the operator

$$f : (\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^1) \oplus \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^1), D) \to (\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M), d),$$

defined by $f(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \chi \wedge \beta$, where $D(\alpha, \beta) = (d\alpha + e \wedge \beta, -d\beta)$, is a quasi-isomorphism.

2.6. ***Twisted product.*** The model of the tubular neighborhood of a semimobile stratum is given by twisted products. We present this notion. First of all, we consider a smooth action $\Theta : N \times E \to E$ of the normalizer N on a manifold E. It induces the action $\Phi : S^3 \times (S^3 \times_N E) \to (S^3 \times_N E)$ defined by $g \cdot \langle k, x \rangle = \langle g \cdot k, x \rangle$.

This action is a mobile action and the strata are of the form $S^3 \times_N S$ with $S \in \mathscr{S}$, stratification induced by Θ. A perversity $\bar{p}$ on E determines a perversity on the twisted product, still denoted by $\bar{p}$, defined by $\bar{p}(S^3 \times_N S) = \bar{p}(S)$.

Given an N-invariant Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_E = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ on E we can consider the Thom–Mather systems

- $\mathfrak{T}_{S^3 \times E} = \{S^3 \times T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ on the product, and
- $\mathfrak{T}_{S^3 \times_N E} = \{S^3 \times_N T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\}$ on the twisted product.

Relative to these Thom–Mather systems we have

$$\|\Pi^* \omega\|_{S^3 \times S} = \|\omega\|_{S^3 \times_N S}, \tag{21}$$

where $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$, $\omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times_N (E \smallsetminus \Sigma))$ and $\Pi : S^3 \times E \to S^3 \times_N E$ is the canonical projection. This map is an N-bundle and satisfies $\pi(g, x) = \pi(g \cdot h^{-1}, h \cdot x)$ where $(g, x) \in S^3 \times E$ and $h \in N$.

The goal of this section is to write the S^3-invariant intersection forms of the twisted product $S^3 \times_N E$ in terms of the intersection forms of E.

First we establish some notation:

(a) $Y_u \in \mathfrak{X}(S^3)$ is the fundamental vector field associated to $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ relative to the right action: $S^3 \times S^3 \to S^3$; $(g, k) \mapsto k \cdot g^{-1}$. It is a left invariant vector field. For the sake of simplicity we shall write $Y_{u_\ell} = Y_\ell$ for $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

(b) $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is the fundamental vector field of the action $\Psi : S^1 \times E \to E$, induced by Θ. It satisfies $j_* Z = -Z$. Let ϵ be an N-invariant metric on E. The *characteristic form* $\zeta = \iota_Z \epsilon$ satisfies $j^* \zeta = -\zeta$ and the associated *Euler form* $e = d\zeta$ satisfies $j^* e = e$.

(c) Let $\gamma_u \in \Omega^1(S^3)$ be the dual form of Y_u, that is, $\gamma_u = i_{Y_u}\kappa$, $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Notice that $\kappa(u, v) = \gamma_u(Y_v)$. These forms are invariant by the left action of S^3. For the sake of simplicity we shall write $\gamma_{u_k} = \gamma_k$ for $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. They satisfy $L_{Y_1}\gamma_1 = 0$, $L_{Y_1}\gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$, $L_{Y_1}\gamma_3 = \gamma_2$, $d\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3$, $d\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3$ and $d\gamma_3 - \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2$ (see (10)).

(d) The group N acts on the complex of differential forms $\Omega^*(S^3)$ by the left. So, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = N/S^1$ acts on the complex of S^1-left invariant forms of S^3, which is $\bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$. This action is given by

$$g \cdot \gamma_\ell = (-1)^\ell \gamma_\ell, \tag{22}$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition 2.7. *The canonical projection $\Pi : S^3 \times E \to S^3 \times_N E$ induces the identification*

$$\underline{\Omega}^*_{\tilde{p}}(S^3 \times_N E) = \left\{\omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*_{\tilde{p}}(E) \mid i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega,\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega\right\}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Since the map Π is S^3-invariant, Π^* induces a monomorphism between

$$\underline{\Omega}^*(S^3 \times E) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times E) \mid g^*\omega = \omega \text{ for all } g \in S^3\} = \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E)$$

and

$$\underline{\Omega}^*(S^3 \times_N E) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times_N E) \mid g^*\omega = \omega \text{ for all } g \in S^3\}.$$

So, we can identify $\underline{\Omega}^*(S^3_N \times E)$ with

$$\left\{\omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E) \mid i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega,\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega,\ g^*\omega = \omega\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E) \mid i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega,\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega\right\}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

A similar identification is obtained for $E \smallsetminus \Sigma_E$ instead of E. Using (21) we conclude (23). □

Corollary 2.8. *We have the identification*

$$\underline{\Omega}^*_{\tilde{p}}(S^3 \times_N E) = \underline{\Omega}^*_{\tilde{p}}(E)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}_{\tilde{p}}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \{\xi \in \Omega^{*-1}_{\tilde{p}}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi\},$$

where the differential becomes $D'(\alpha, \beta, \xi) = (d\alpha, d\beta - i_Z\alpha, -d\xi)$. The third term of this direct sum is acyclic.

Proof. Proposition 2.7 shows that an element of $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\tilde{p}}(S^3 \times_N E)$ is of the form

$$\alpha - \gamma_1 \wedge i_Z\alpha + \gamma_2 \wedge L_Z\xi + \gamma_3 \wedge \xi + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge i_Z L_Z \xi + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z\xi$$
$$+ \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \beta - \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z\beta,$$

with $\alpha \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(E)$, $\beta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}_{\bar{p}}(E)$, $\xi \in \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar{p}}(E)$, $g\cdot\alpha = \alpha$, $g\cdot\xi = -\xi$, $g\cdot\beta = -\beta$, and $L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi$. For the calculation of D we compute the differential of the previous expression:

$$d\alpha - \gamma_1 \wedge i_Z d\alpha - \gamma_2 \wedge L_Z d\xi - \gamma_3 \wedge d\xi - \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge (i_Z L_Z d\xi + \xi) + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge (L_Z \xi - i_Z d\xi) + \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge (d\beta - i_Z \alpha) + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z d\beta.$$

We verify the acyclicity property. Let $\xi \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ be a cycle. The differential form $\eta = i_Z L_Z \xi \in \Omega^*(E \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ satisfies:

- $g\cdot\eta = j^*\eta = j^* i_Z L_Z \xi = -i_Z j^* L_Z \xi = i_Z L_Z j^* \xi = -i_Z L_Z \xi = -\eta$.
- $L_Z L_Z \eta = L_Z L_Z i_Z L_Z \xi = i_Z L_Z L_Z L_Z \xi = -i_Z L_Z \xi = -\eta$.
- $d\eta = d i_Z L_Z \xi = L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi$, since $d\xi = 0$.
- If Q is a singular stratum of E_S we have $\|\eta\|_Q = \|i_Z L_Z \xi\|_Q \overset{(6)}{\leq} \|\xi\|_Q \leq \bar{p}(Q)$.

So, the complex $\{\xi \in \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar{p}}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi\}$ is acyclic. □

The following calculations will be used in the next section. We use the N-action presented in Section 1.6.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\Theta : N \times M \to M$ be a smooth action. We have*

$$H^*_{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-\bar{e})}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-2q}(\Sigma)^{-(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2},$$

where

- *$\bar{p}$ is a constant perversity p on M/S^1 satisfying $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$,*
- *q denotes the integer part of $p/2$, and*
- *Σ is the singular part of the induced S^1-action,*

Proof. Consider an N-invariant Thom–Mather system (see Section 1.6). Using Mayer–Vietoris, we can suppose $M = T_S \cap T_{j(S)}$, for some $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. We write $\tau = \tau_S \cup \tau_{j(S)}$. Since $\Sigma = S \cup j(S)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariant, we can consider the operator

$$J : \Omega^{*-2q}(\Sigma)^{-(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2} \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-\bar{e})}(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

defined by $J(\alpha) = \langle \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge e^q \rangle$, where e is the Euler form of the induced S^1-action relative to an N-invariant Riemannian metric on M. This metric always exists since the group N is compact. It is a well-defined differential operator since

- $\|\tau^*\alpha \wedge e^q\|_S \leq \|\tau^*\alpha\|_S + \|e^q\|_S \leq 0 + \|e^q\|_S \leq 2q \leq \bar{p}(S)$, and similarly $\|\tau^*\alpha \wedge e^q\|_S \leq \bar{p}(j(S))$,
- $d(\tau^* d\alpha \wedge e^q) = \tau^* d\alpha \wedge e^q$ and the operator is a differential operator,
- $i_X(\tau^*\alpha \wedge e^q) = i_X d(\tau^*\alpha \wedge e^q) = 0$, which gives $\tau^*\alpha \wedge e^q \in \Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M \smallsetminus S)$, and
- $j^* e = -e$.

This last property comes from $j_*X = -X$, where X is the fundamental vector field of the circle action Ψ.

We claim that the operator J is a quasi-isomorphism. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 we can reduce the question to the case where the stratum S (resp. $j(S)$) is the apex $\mathtt{v}$ (resp. $\mathtt{w}$) of the cone $T_S = \mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1}$ (resp. $T_{j(S)} = \mathring{c}_{\mathtt{w}} S^{2b+1}$) where the circle S^1 acts orthogonally and almost freely on the sphere S^{2b+1}. We also have $H^*_{\mathrm{bas}}(S^{2b+1}) = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^b)$. Recall that $\bar{e}(S) = 2$ and $\bar{p}(S) = p$. We distinguish two cases:

$*\leq p-2$ *or* $*>p$: We have the equality $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1)$ and the analogous one for the apex $\mathtt{w}$. Therefore, and for degree reasons, we get

$$\Omega^*_{\bar{p}/(p-\bar{e})}((T_S \cup T_{j(S)})/S^1) = 0$$
$$= \Omega^{*-2q}(\{\mathtt{v}, \mathtt{w}\})^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2} = \Omega^{*-2q}(S \cup j(S))^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

$*=p-1$ *or* p: We first assume $*=2q$. On one hand, we have

$$H^{*-2q}(S \cup j(S))^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2} = \begin{cases} H^0(S)^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0 \text{ (if } q \text{ even) or } \mathbb{R} \text{ (if } q \text{ odd)} & \text{if } j(S) = S, \\ H^0(S \cup j(S))^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2} = \langle (1, (-1)^{q+1}) \rangle = \mathbb{R} & \text{if } j(S) \cap S = \varnothing. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the typical conical calculations of the intersection cohomology (see, for example, [17, Proposition 3.1.1]) and the sequence (7) give

$$H^*_{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-\bar{e})}(\mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1) = H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b),$$

which is generated by e^q, since $0 \leq p = \bar{p}(S) \leq \bar{t}(S) = 2b$. The same formula is obtained for $\mathtt{w}$. We have

$$H^*_{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-\bar{e})}((\mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1} \cup \mathring{c}_{\mathtt{v}} S^{2b+1})/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \begin{cases} H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0 \text{ (if } q \text{ even) or } \mathbb{R} \text{ (if } q \text{ odd)} & \text{if } j(S) = S, \\ H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b \cup \mathbb{C}P^b)^{-(-1)^q\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathbb{R} & \text{if } j(S) \cap S = \varnothing, \end{cases}$$

where, in the case where $S \cap j(S) = \varnothing$, a generator of the cohomology is the class $([e]^q, (-1)^{q+1}[e]^q)$.

Finally, if $*$ is odd, both cohomology groups to be checked equal vanish because they involve classes in odd degrees of points or $\mathbb{C}P^b$.

We get that J is a quasi-isomorphism. □

3. The integration operator $\fint$

The main tool we use in this work is the integration operator

$$\fint : \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \Omega^{*-3}_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}(M/S^3),$$

defined by $\not\int\omega = (-1)^{\deg\omega} i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega$, where $\overline{\chi}$ is the *characteristic perversity* defined by

$$\overline{\chi}(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \in \mathscr{S}_1, \\ 3 & \text{if } S \in \mathscr{S}_3. \end{cases}$$

The operator $\not\int$ is a well-defined differential operator since

- $L_A i_B = i_B L_A + i_{[A,B]}$ when A, B are vector fields of $M \setminus \Sigma$ and
- for each $S \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}(S) &\geq \|\omega\|_S \geq \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega\|_S \\ &= \|i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega\|_S + \overline{\chi}(S) = \left\| \not\int \omega \right\|_S + \overline{\chi}(S), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is by (11), (15) and the first equality is by (16), (18), and we have considered an adjusted metric μ on $M \setminus \Sigma$.

The goal of this section is the computation of the cohomology of the complexes $I^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ and $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. For the first one, we need to introduce the *Euler perversity* $\bar{e}$, defined by $\bar{e} = \overline{\chi} + \overline{1}$.

For the sake of simplicity we shall write

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \not\int &= K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \{\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \mid i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega = 0\}, \\ \operatorname{Im} \not\int &= I^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \{i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3}_{\bar{p}}(M)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ be a perversity on M. The natural inclusion $I : \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \hookrightarrow I^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. The inclusion makes sense if we prove that $\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega^{*-3}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$. This comes from

$$L_{X_\ell}(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for each } \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\} \qquad \text{(see (10))},$$

and for each $S \in \mathscr{S}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{S}_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S &= \|\alpha\|_S + \overline{\chi}(S) \leq \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \overline{\chi}(S) \leq \bar{p}(S), \\ \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha)\|_S &\leq \max\big(\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge d\alpha\|_S, \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3) \wedge \alpha\|_S\big) \\ &\leq \max(\bar{p}(S), \|\alpha\|_S + \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3)\|_S) \\ &\leq \max(\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3\|_S + 1) \\ &\leq \max(\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \bar{e}(S)) = \bar{p}(S), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and last lines are by (16) and (18), the second line is by (11) and (15), and the fourth line is by (10) (see (6)).

In order to prove that I is a quasi-isomorphism, we proceed in several steps. We use the S^3-invariant Thom–Mather system of Section 1.2.

Step 1: $\mathscr{S}_1 = \mathscr{S}_3 = \varnothing$. The action is almost-free. In this case $\Sigma = \varnothing$ and therefore $\Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \subset I^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M) \subset \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^3) = \Omega^*_{\text{bas}}(M)$. In other words, the map I itself is an isomorphism.

Step 2: $M = T_S$ *for some* $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. We have seen that $S = S^3 \times_N S^{S^1}$. The restriction $\tau_S : E_S = \tau_S^{-1}(S^{S^1}) \to S^{S^1}$ is an N-invariant bundle. Notice that E_S is a filtered space whose singular strata are the connected components of S^{S^1}. The fiber of this bundle is $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$, for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$. The group S^1 acts trivially on S^{S^1} and orthogonally on $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of S^1 on the unit sphere S^{2b+1} is almost-free.

We identify T_S with the twisted product $S^3 \times_N E_S$ and we use the calculations of Section 2.6. We have

$$\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(E_S/N) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \tag{24}$$

for any perversity $\bar{p}$. The integration $\fint$ becomes the map

$$\fint : \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(S^3 \times_N E_S) \to \Omega^{*-3}_{\bar{p}-\overline{\chi}}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \tag{25}$$

defined by $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \mapsto -i_Z\beta$ (see Corollary 2.8). The map I becomes the inclusion

$$I : \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) = \Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to I^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \{i_Z\beta \mid \beta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1}_{\bar{p}}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}\} \tag{26}$$

since for each $\lambda \in \Omega^{*-3}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ we have $\zeta \wedge \lambda \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}_{\bar{p}}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $i_Z(\zeta \wedge \lambda) = \lambda$.

Consider now a good covering $\mathcal{U}$ of S^{S^1} and $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ a subordinated partition of unity. The family $\{\tau_S^{-1}(U) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of E_S and $\{\tau_S \circ f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a subordinated partition of unity. These maps are N-invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of τ_S. This last property implies that $\|f_U \circ \tau_S\|_S = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_S)\|_S = 0$. So, the covering $\mathcal{U}$ possesses a subordinated partition of unity living in $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{0}}(E_S)$.

Using Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] one reduces the problem to the case $E_S = \mathbb{R}^{\dim S^{S^1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$, where τ_S becomes the projection on the first factor. The action of the group S^1 is trivial on the first factor.

Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case $E_S = \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} = \mathring{c}S^{2b+1} = (S^{2b+1} \times [0, \infty))/(S^{2b+1} \times \{0\})$ as filtered space. Denoting by P the apex of the cone, we have $\overline{\chi}(P) = 1$, $\bar{e}(P) = 2$ and $\bar{p}(P) = \bar{p}(S) = p$. We need to prove that the inclusion

$$I : \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \hookrightarrow I^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) = \{i_Z\beta \mid \beta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}\}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This comes directly from (20) with $j_*Z = -Z$ (see Section 2.6(b)).

Step 3: $\mathscr{S}_3 = \varnothing$. Consider the invariant open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\} \sqcup \{M \setminus F_1\}$ of M. We fix a smooth map $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ satisfying $\lambda = 1$ on $[0, 2]$ and $\lambda = 0$ on $[3, \infty)$. The map $f_S : T_S \to [0, \infty)$ is defined by $f_S(x) = \lambda(\rho_S(x))$ (see Section 1.2). It is an S^3-invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of $\tau_S : D_S \to S$, which gives $\|f_S\|_S = \|df_S\|_S = 0$. So, the family $\{f_S \mid S \in \mathscr{S}_1\} \sqcup \left\{1 - \sum f_S\right\}$ is a partition of unity, subordinated to $\mathcal{V}$, living in $\underline{\Omega}^*_0(M)$. Now, it suffices to apply Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] and the previous cases.

Step 4: $M = T_Q$ *for some* $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$. Recall that $\tau_Q : T_Q \to Q$ is an S^3-invariant smooth bundle whose fiber is $\mathbb{R}^{f+1}$ for some $f \geq 3$. In fact, the group S^3 acts trivially on Q and orthogonally on the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{f+1}$ having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of S^3 on the sphere S^f is a mobile action.

Consider now a good covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q and $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ a subordinated partition of unity. The family $\{\tau_Q^{-1}(U) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open covering of T_Q having $\{f_U \circ \tau_Q \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ a subordinated partition of unity. These maps are S^3-invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of τ_Q. This last property implies that $\|f_U \circ \tau_Q\|_Q = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_Q)\|_Q = 0$ (see (5)).

Using Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] one reduces the problem to the case $M = \mathbb{R}^{\dim Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{f+1}$. The action of the group S^3 is trivial on the first factor. Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case $M = \mathbb{R}^{f+1} = \mathring{c}S^f = (S^f \times [0, \infty))/(S^f \times \{0\})$. Here, the stratum Q corresponds to the apex v of the cone. We have $\overline{\chi}(\mathrm{v}) = 3$ and $\bar{e}(v) = 4$. The number $p \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $\bar{p}(Q) = p$. Take $\bar{p}(\mathrm{v}) = p$. We need to prove that the inclusion

$$I : \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \hookrightarrow I^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f) = \{i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f)\}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice that S^3 just acts on the sphere S^f. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{*<p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \Omega^{*<p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}((S^f/S^3) \times (0, \infty)),\\
\Omega^{p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \left\{\beta \in \Omega^{p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}((S^f/S^3) \times (0, \infty)) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0, 2)\right\},\\
\Omega^{*>p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \Omega^{*>p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}((S^f/S^3) \times (0, \infty), (S^f/S^3) \times (0, 2)),\\
I^{*<p-3}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3<p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty))\right\},\\
I^{p-3}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) \mid d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0, 2)\right\},\\
I^{*>p-3}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3>p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty), S^f \times (0, 2))\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Omega^{p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \cap d^{-1}(0) = \Omega^{p-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}((S^f/S^3) \times (0, \infty)) \cap d^{-1}(0)$, Step 3 gives that $I^* : H^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \to H^*(I^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f))$ is an isomorphism for $* < p - 3$.

$* = p-3$: Since $H^{p-3}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) = 0$ (see [17, Proposition 3.1.1]), we must prove $H^{p-3}(I^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f)) = 0$, that is,

$$\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^p_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty)) \text{ with } d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0,2) \text{ and } di_X\omega = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \text{ there exists } \eta \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-1}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty)) \text{ with } i_X\omega = di_X\eta.$$

If $p = 0$ then we can consider $\eta = 0$ since ω is constant. Let us suppose $p \geq 1$. Consider $\eta' = \int_1^- \omega$. It is an element of $\underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^f \times (0,\infty))$ since the action of S^3 on the $(0,\infty)$-factor is trivial. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\omega = \mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1) + d\eta' + \int_1^- d\omega. \tag{27}$$

Here, $\omega(1)$ is the restriction of ω to $S^f \times \{1\}$ and $\mathrm{pr} : S^f \times (0,\infty) \to S^f \times \{1\}$ is the map defined by $\mathrm{pr}(x,t) = (x,1)$. Applying that both ω and η' are S^3-invariant and $L_X = i_X d + di_X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\omega &= i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\,\mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1) + i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}d\eta' + i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\int_1^- d\omega \\ &= i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\,\mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1) - di_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\eta' - \int_1^- di_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\omega \\ &= i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\,\mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1) - di_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis the differential form $\mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1)$ is a cycle of $\underline{\Omega}^p_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty))$. Condition $\bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ implies $p \leq \mathrm{codim}\ Q - 2 = f - 1$. This gives the existence of $\eta'' \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^f \times (0,\infty))$ with $\mathrm{pr}^*\,\omega(1) = d\eta''$. We finish the proof taking $\eta = -\eta' - \eta''$.

$* \geq p-2$: Since $H^{*\geq p-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) = 0$ (see [17]), we need to prove

$$H^{*\geq p-2}(I^*_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f)) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3\geq p+1}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty)) \text{ with } di_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\omega = 0 \text{ and } \omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0,2)$$
$$\Longrightarrow \text{ there exists } \eta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+2\geq p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty)) \text{ with } i_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\omega = di_{X_3}i_{X_2}i_{X_1}\eta$$
$$\text{and } d\eta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0,2).$$

Same proof as before with $\omega(1) = 0$.

Final step: Consider the invariant open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{T_Q \mid Q \in \mathscr{S}_3\} \sqcup \{M \smallsetminus F_3\}$ of M. We fix a smooth map $\lambda : [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ satisfying $\lambda = 1$ on $[0,2]$ and $\lambda = 0$ on $[3,\infty)$. The map $f_Q : T_Q \to [0,\infty)$ is defined by $f_Q(x) = \lambda(\rho_Q(x))$ (see Section 1.2). It is an S^3-invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of $\tau_Q : D_Q \to Q$, which gives $\|f_Q\|_S = \|df_Q\|_S = 0$ for each singular stratum S (see (5)). So, the family $\{f_Q \mid Q \in \mathscr{S}_3\} \sqcup \left\{1 - \sum f_Q\right\}$ is a partition of unity,

subordinated to $\mathcal{V}$, living in $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{0}}(M)$. Now, it suffices to apply Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] and the previous cases. □

Let us study the complex $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. Since the complex $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ is included in $\ker \mathcal{f}$ we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \hookrightarrow K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \frac{K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)}{\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)} \to 0.$$

This allows us to compute the cohomology of $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ in terms of the intersection cohomology $H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ and the cohomology of $C^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)/\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$. In fact, we are going to prove that this cohomology is residual relative to the strata of $\mathcal{S}_1$. The calculation of $H^*(C^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M))$ is carried out in several steps through the restrictions

$$M \rightsquigarrow T_Q \sqcup T_S \rightsquigarrow Q \sqcup T_S \rightsquigarrow Q \sqcup S^{S^1} = \overline{S}^{S^1}, \tag{28}$$

where S ranges over the strata of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$ with $\overline{Q} \subset S$. We proceed in several steps.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action with $\mathcal{S}_3 = \varnothing$. For any perversity $\bar{p}$ on M with $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$ we have*

$$H^*(C^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2}(S^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}, \tag{29}$$

where the number p_S denotes the integer part of $\bar{p}(S)/2$.

Proof. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1: $\mathcal{S}_1 = \varnothing$. We do not have any singular stratum. We need to prove that the left-hand side of (29) is 0. Let $\langle\omega\rangle$ be a cycle of $C^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. The canonical decomposition of ω is

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \chi_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \chi_3 \wedge \omega_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{12} + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{13} + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{23}$$

(see (11)). The differential form $\eta = -\chi_1 \wedge \omega_{23} + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{13} - \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{12}$ belongs to $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ since $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ (see (10) and (14)), and $i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \eta = 0$.

The differential form $\omega' = \omega - d\eta$ satisfies

$$\omega'_{12} = \omega'_{13} = \omega'_{23} = \omega'_{123} = 0.$$

Since $d\omega' = d\omega \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ then $\omega'_1 = \omega'_2 = \omega'_3 = 0$ and therefore $\omega' = \omega'_0 \in \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$. So, $[\langle\omega\rangle] = [\langle\omega'\rangle] = [0] = 0$.

Step 2: $M = T_S$ *for some* $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$. In this case $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S\}$ and S is a closed stratum. Define E_S as in Step 2 of the proof of Proposition 3.1. Using (25) and Corollary 2.8

we get

$$K^*_{\bar p}(M) = \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar p}(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \{\beta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}_{\bar p}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid i_Z\beta = 0\} \oplus \{\xi \in \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar p}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi\}$$
$$\overset{(19)}{=} \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar p}(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \{\xi \in \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar p}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi\},$$

where the third complex is acyclic (see Corollary 2.8). So, using that $\Omega^*_{\bar p}(M/S^3) \overset{(24)}{=} \Omega^*_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, we have that the cohomology of $C^*_{\bar p}(M)$ is that of the complex

$$\frac{\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar p}(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}}{\Omega^*_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}},$$

relative to the differential $D''\langle(\alpha,\beta)\rangle = \langle(d\alpha, d\beta - i_Z\alpha)\rangle$. Following Remark 2.6, this complex is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\frac{\Omega^*_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar p-\bar e}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}}{\Omega^*_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} = \Omega^{*-1}_{\bar p-\bar e}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}_{\bar p}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

endowed with the differential $D''(\lambda,\beta) = (-d\lambda, d\beta - \lambda)$. It is immediately checked that the map $(\lambda,\beta) \mapsto \langle\beta\rangle$ is a quasi-isomorphism between this complex and $\Omega^{*-2}_{\bar p/(\bar p-\bar e)}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$. The induced action $\Theta : N \times E_S \to E_S$ and the induced perversity $\bar p$ on E_S satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2.9, with $\Sigma = S^{S^1}$ and $q = p_S$. So, we get $H^{*-2}_{\bar p/(\bar p-\bar e)}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-2p_S-2}(S^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Final step: Just use Mayer–Vietoris as in Step 3 of the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

The first step of (28) comes from the following result concerning the Thom–Mather system (see Section 1.2):

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar p$ on M with $\bar 0 \le \bar p \le \bar t$, the restriction of forms induces the quasi-isomorphism $C^*_{\bar p}(M) \to C^*_{\bar p}(T_1 \cup T_3)$.*

Proof. Consider the invariant open covering

$$\left\{U = T_1 \cup T_3,\ V = M \smallsetminus \left(\rho_1^{-1}\left(\left[0, \tfrac{3}{4}\right]\right) \cup \rho_3^{-1}\left(\left[0, \tfrac{3}{4}\right]\right)\right)\right\}$$

of M. We have the Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to C^*_{\bar p}(M) \to C^*_{\bar p}(U) \oplus C^*_{\bar p}(V) \to C^*_{\bar p}(U \cap V) \to 0.$$

Following Lemma 3.2 we know that the complexes $C^*_{\bar p}(V)$ and $C^*_{\bar p}(U \cap V)$ are acyclic. So, the restriction $C^*_{\bar p}(M) \to C^*_{\bar p}(U)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. □

The term $Q \sqcup T_S$ appearing in (28) is not a manifold, but it is possible to define the complex $C^*_{\bar{p}}(-)$ on it using the following notion.

Definition 3.4. Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. We consider a perversity $\bar{p}$ on M. For each open subset $U \subset M$ we define

$$\Xi^*_{\bar{p}}(U) = \{\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(U \smallsetminus \Sigma_3) \mid \omega \text{ and } d\omega \text{ satisfy (30)}\},$$

where

$$\omega(v_0, \ldots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)}, -) = 0 \tag{30}$$

where $v_0, \ldots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)}$ are vectors tangent to the fibers of $\tau_Q : (D_Q \cap U) \to Q$, for each $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$. We analogously define $\Xi^*_{\bar{p}}(U/S^3)$ if $U \subset M$ is an S^3-invariant open subset.

We define

$$\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S) = \frac{\widehat{K}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S) = K^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S) \cap \Xi^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S)}{\Xi^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S/S^3)}.$$

We clearly have $\Xi^*_{\bar{p}}(U) = \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(U)$ and $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(U) = C^*_{\bar{p}}(U)$ if $U \supset T_3$ or if $\mathscr{S}_3 = \varnothing$. In particular, we have

$$C^*_{\bar{p}}(T_1 \cup T_3) = \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_1 \cup T_3). \tag{31}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{p}$ on M with $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$, the restriction of forms induces the quasi-isomorphism $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_3 \cup T_1) \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_1)$.*

Proof. Using Mayer–Vietoris, it suffices to prove that the restriction $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_3) \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_3 \cap T_1)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Proceeding as in Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can suppose that $T_3 = \mathring{c}S^f$, where S^3 acts orthogonally without fixed points on the sphere S^f, and trivially on the radius of the cone. The fixed points subset F_3 is, now, the apex v of the cone. Moreover, in this new setting, $T_3 \cap T_1$ becomes $T_{\Sigma_1} \times (0, \infty) \subseteq \mathring{c}S^f$, being Σ_1 the union of nonmobile strata of the action in S^f and T_{Σ_1} a tubular neighborhood of Σ_1 in S^f. We then have

$$\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_3) = \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_3 \cap T_1) = \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_{\Sigma_1} \times (0, \infty)).$$

For these complexes, (30) becomes $\omega(v_0, \ldots, v_p, -) = 0$ if $v_0, \ldots, v_p$ are vectors tangent to the first factor of $(S^f \smallsetminus \Sigma_1) \times (0, 2)$ or $(T_{\Sigma_1} \smallsetminus \Sigma_1) \times (0, 2)$, respectively, being $p = \bar{p}(\mathrm{v})$. In order to prove that the restriction $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_{\Sigma_1} \times (0, \infty))$ is a quasi-isomorphism we notice that

$$\widehat{C}^{*<p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) = C^{*<p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)),$$

$$\widehat{C}^{p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) = \left\{\langle\beta\rangle \in C^{*<p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times (0, 2)\right\},$$

$$\widehat{C}^{*>p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty)) = C^{*>p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0, \infty), S^f \times (0, 2)), \quad \text{whose cohomology is 0.}$$

Consider the operator $\mathrm{pr}: C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f) \to C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty))$ induced by the canonical projection. Define the *truncated complex* $\sigma_p C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f) = C^{<p}_{\bar{p}}(S^f) \oplus (C^p_{\bar{p}}(S^f) \cap d^{-1}(0))$. By the previous display, we have that

$$\mathrm{pr}(\sigma_p C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f)) \subseteq \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty)).$$

Moreover, following (27) we get $\langle\omega\rangle = \mathrm{pr}\langle\omega(1)\rangle + d\langle\int_-^1 \omega\rangle + \langle\int_-^1 d\omega\rangle$, and thus $\mathrm{pr}: \sigma_p C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f)) \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f \times (0,\infty))$ is a quasi-isomorphism. In a similar way, we prove that the operator

$$\mathrm{pr}: \sigma_p C^*_{\bar{p}}(T_{\Sigma_1}) \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_{\Sigma_1} \times (0,\infty)), \tag{32}$$

induced by the canonical projection, is a quasi-isomorphism. So, the remaining task becomes to prove that the restriction $\sigma_p C^*_{\bar{p}}(S^f) \to \sigma_p \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_{\Sigma_1})$ induces a quasi-isomorphism, which is granted by Lemma 3.2. □

By the definition of the complex $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(-)$ we have $\widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_1) = \bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\Phi: S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$ on M and any stratum $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$ we have*

$$H^*(\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}(T_S)) = H^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

where the number p_S denotes the integer part of $\bar{p}(S)/2$.

Proof. Consider the family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q \in \mathscr{S}_3 \mid Q \subset \bar{S}\}$. Recall that $\bar{S}^{S^1}$ (see Section 1.4) is a filtered space. The regular part of $\bar{S}^{S^1}$ is S^{S^1} and its singular strata are the elements $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. So,

$$\Omega^*_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1}) = \big\{\alpha \in \Omega^*(S^{S^1}) \mid \alpha \text{ and } d\alpha \text{ satisfy (30) for } \bar{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2, \text{ where } Q \in \mathcal{Q}\big\}.$$

Let us define the operator

$$J_S: \Omega^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \widehat{C}^*_{\bar{p}}(T_S)$$

by

$$J_S(\alpha) = \langle \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}\rangle, \tag{33}$$

where $e_S \in \Omega^2_{\bar{2}}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the Euler form of the S^1-action on E_S relative to an N-invariant metric on T_S (see Section 2.6).

Step 1: *The operator J_S is well defined.* Since $\tau_S: T_S \to S^{S^1}$ is an S^3-equivariant map, we have $\tau_S^*\alpha \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2p_S-2}(E_S)^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and therefore $\tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$. For the perverse degree, we have

$$\|\tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}\|_S \le \|e_S^{p_S}\|_S \le 2p_S \le \bar{p}(S),$$

and similarly for the differential $d(\tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}) = \tau_S^* d\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}$. We conclude that $\tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Applying Corollary 2.8 we get that $\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S = S^3 \times_N E_S)$ with $\mathcal{f}\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge e_S^{p_S} \wedge \tau_S^*\alpha = 0$.

It remains to prove that the differential form $\eta = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}$ and its differential $d\eta = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^* d\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}$ satisfy (30) for $\bar{p}(Q)$, where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Consider a family $v_0, \ldots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)}$ of vectors tangent to the fibers of the map $\tau_Q : (D_Q \cap (T_S \smallsetminus S)) \to Q$. Up to a reordering we get that $\eta(v_0, \ldots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)})$ is a multiple of $\alpha(\tau_{S*}(v_0), \ldots, \tau_{S*}(v_{\bar{p}(Q)-2p_S-2}))$. Conditions (5) imply that the vectors $\tau_{S*}(v_\bullet)$ are tangent to the fibers of $\tau_Q : D_Q \cap S \to Q$. Since α satisfies (30) for $\bar{P}_S(Q)$, we get $\alpha(\tau_{S*}(v_0), \ldots, \tau_{S*}(v_{\bar{p}(Q)-2p_S-2=\bar{P}_S(Q)})) = 0$. So, η satisfies (30) for $\bar{p}(Q)$. The same argument applies to $d\eta$.

We conclude that $\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^*\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \ker \mathcal{f}$. The operator J_S is well defined.

Step 2: *The operator J_S is a quasi-isomorphism when $M = T_Q$, for any $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$ satisfying $Q \subset \bar{S}$.* Proceeding as in Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can suppose that $M = T_Q = \mathring{c}S^f$ where S^3 acts orthogonally without fixed points on the sphere S^f and trivially on the radius of the cone. Notice that the action of S^3 on the sphere S^f is a mobile action. The stratum Q is the apex v of the cone. We have $S = \Sigma_0 \times (0, \infty) \subseteq \mathring{c}S^f$, being $\Sigma_0 \subseteq S^f$ the union of some semimobile strata of the S^3-action in S^f.

We also have $T_S = T_{\Sigma_0} \times (0, \infty)$. Since $\bar{S} = \mathring{c}(\Sigma_0)$ and $\bar{S}^{S^1} = \mathring{c}({\Sigma_0}^{S^1})$, J_S becomes

$$J_S : \Omega_{\bar{P}_S}^{*-2p_S-2}(\mathring{c}({\Sigma_0}^{S^1}))^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_0} \times (0, \infty)). \tag{34}$$

Let's prove that (34) is a quasi-isomorphism. On one hand, we know from [17, Proposition 3.1.1] that the operator $\mathrm{pr} : \sigma_q \Omega_{\bar{P}_S}^*({\Sigma_0}^{S^1}) \to \Omega_{\bar{P}_S}^*(\mathring{c}({\Sigma_0}^{S^1}))$, induced by the canonical projection, is a quasi-isomorphism. Here,

$$q = \bar{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(\mathrm{v}) - 2p_S - 2 = p - 2p_S - 2.$$

On the other hand, using (32) we conclude that it suffices to prove that

$$J_S : \sigma_q \Omega_{\bar{P}_S}^{*-2p_S-2}({\Sigma_0}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \sigma_p C_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_0})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, which is granted by Lemma 3.2.

Final step: Consider the invariant open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \cap T_3, T_S \smallsetminus \rho_3^{-1}([0, 2])\}$ of T_S. We fix a smooth map $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ satisfying $\lambda = 1$ on $[0, 3]$ and $\lambda = 0$ on $[4, \infty)$. The map $f : T_S \to [0, \infty)$ is defined by $f(x) = \lambda(\rho_3(x))$. It is an S^3-invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of $\tau_3 : D_3 \to \Sigma_3$, which gives $\|f\|_S = \|df\|_S = \|f\|_Q = \|df\|_Q = 0$ for each $Q \in \mathscr{S}_3$ with $Q \subset \bar{S}$. So, the family $\{f, 1 - f\}$ is a partition of unity, subordinated to $\mathcal{V}$, living in $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{0}}^*(T_S)$. Now, it suffices to apply Bredon's trick [3, p. 289] and the previous cases. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ on M we have*

$$H^*(C^*_{\bar{p}}(M)) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^1)^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider Lemma 3.3, (31), and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. □

4. Gysin braid for a mobile action

We construct two Gysin sequences associated with a mobile action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$. These sequences establish a relationship between the cohomology of the manifold M and the intersection cohomology of the orbit space M/S^3. The existence of two distinct approaches to the cohomology of M by the intersection cohomology of M/S^3 gives rise to two separate sequences: one from the left, and one from the right.

The first approach, the one from the left, uses the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \frac{\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)}{\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)} \to 0, \tag{35}$$

where $\bar{p}$ is a perversity on M. The quotient $G^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)/\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ is the *Gysin term*.

Theorem A. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ on M we have the long exact sequence, known as a* ***Gysin sequence***,

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-1}(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots. \tag{36}$$

The cohomology of the Gysin term $G^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is determined by the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to H^{*-1}(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to H^{*-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \\ \to \bigoplus_{S \in \mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^1)^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to H^*(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Proof. The exact sequence (36) comes from (35) and from the fact that the complex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ computes the cohomology of M since $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ (see Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1). We now consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to C^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to G^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \xrightarrow{\overline{\int}} I^{*-3}_{\bar{p}}(M) \to 0, \tag{38}$$

where $\overline{\int}\langle\omega\rangle = \int\omega$. The exact sequence (37) comes from the fact that the cohomology of $I^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is $H^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$ (see Proposition 3.1) and from Proposition 3.7. □

The second approach, the one from the right, uses the short exact sequence

$$0 \to K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to I^{*-3}_{\bar{p}}(M) \to 0. \tag{39}$$

By symmetry, we say that the complex $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is the *co-Gysin term* of the action.

Theorem B. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ on M we have the long exact sequence, known as a* ***Gysin sequence***,

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots . \tag{40}$$

The cohomology of the co-Gysin term $K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is determined by the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \bigoplus_{S \in \mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-3-2p_S}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to H^*(K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \cdots . \tag{41}$$

Proof. The sequence (40) is derived from (39) and the following two facts:

- The complex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ computes the cohomology of M, since $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ (see Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1).
- The cohomology of the complex $I_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$ (see Proposition 3.1).

The exact sequence (41) is derived from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to C^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to 0 \tag{42}$$

and Proposition 3.7. □

The previous long exact sequences (36), (37), (40) and (41) can be arranged in an exact braid diagram.

Definition 4.1. Let us consider six chain complexes A^*, B^*, C^*, D^*, E^* and F^*. A *braid* is a diagram of chain maps of the form



It is a *commutative braid* when all the triangles and diamonds are commutative. If the long sequences ①, ②, ③ and ④ are exact we say that the braid is an *exact braid.*

An exact and commutative braid possesses the two following properties.

(B1) The long sequence

$$\cdots \to E^* \xrightarrow{(③,④)} B^* \oplus D^* \xrightarrow{①-②} F^* \xrightarrow{③②} E^{*+1} \to \cdots$$

is exact (see for example [7, pp. 39–41]).

(B2) The top and bottom sequences of the braid are semiexact sequences and both have the same exactness defaults: $\ker ③/\operatorname{Im} ① = \ker ④/\operatorname{Im} ②, \ldots$ (see, for example, [12, p. 148]).

Theorem C. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \le \bar{p} \le \bar{t}$ on M we have the following exact commutative braid, called the* ***Gysin braid***:



Proof. In [19], a braid is constructed from a triple. We follow this method to construct a braid associated with these three complexes: $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \subset K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \subset$

$\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$. Recall that the cohomology of $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ is $H^*(M)$ (see Section 1.3). To recognize the relative terms, we can do the following:

- The quotient $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)/\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ is the Gysin term $G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)$.
- Using (39) and Proposition 3.1 we can determine the cohomology of the complex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)/K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$, which is given by $H^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$.
- The cohomology of $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)/\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$ is $\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$, as stated in Proposition 3.7. □

In some cases, the sequence (37) splits and the sequence (36) is closer to the classical Gysin sequence. In particular, we find the Gysin sequence (3) of [13].

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. When $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ the long exact sequence* (37) *splits on the connecting homomorphism and we have the long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to H^{*-1}(M) \to H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \oplus H^{*-3}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \to H^*(M/S^3) \to H^*(M) \to \cdots. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Since $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ then $p_S = 0$ and $\bar{P}_S = \overline{-2}$ for each $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-3}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} &= \bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-3}_{\overline{-2}}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \\ &= \bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-3}(\bar{S}^{S^1}, \bar{S}^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \\ &= H^{*-3}(M^{S^1}, M^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-3}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where the second equality is by [5, Proposition 13.5] and the fourth equality is true since $H^{*-3}(M^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$.

Consider a cycle $\omega \in I^*_{\bar{0}}(M)$. Let's compute the connecting homomorphism $\delta[\omega]$ of the sequence (37). We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1 that

$$\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+3}_{\bar{p}}(M)$$

with $\mathcal{f}(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega) = \omega$. Using (12) we get

$$d(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega = (e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) \wedge \omega - d((e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega).$$

Since $\omega \in \Omega^*_{\bar{0}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^3)$ then ω vanishes on $D_1 \sqcup D_3$, and thus so does any multiple of ω. So,

$$(e_1^2+e_2^2+e_3^2)\wedge\omega \in \Omega^*_{\bar{0}-\overline{\chi}}(M/S^3) \quad \text{and} \quad (e_3\wedge\chi_3+e_2\wedge\chi_2+e_1\wedge\chi_1)\wedge\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{0}}(M).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle \chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega\rangle &= \langle d(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) \wedge \omega - d((e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega\right\rangle \\ &= -\langle d(e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega)\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

yielding $\delta[\omega] = 0$. Now, the sequence (43) comes from (36) (see [5, Proposition 13.4)]), (38) and (44). □

Remarks 4.3. (a) Consider the exotic term $\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^*_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$. When the exotic term vanishes the Gysin Braid becomes the long exact sequence (4). This happens when $\mathscr{S}_1 = \varnothing$. In particular, when the action Φ is almost-free (i.e., $\mathscr{S}_1 = \mathscr{S}_3 = \varnothing$) or semifree.

We have seen in the proof of Corollary 4.2 that the exotic term can be simplified when $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$. In this case we have

$$\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^*_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

The isotropy subgroup of a point of $M^{S^1} \smallsetminus M^{S^3}$ is conjugated to S^1 or N. Let us suppose that the first situation does not appear, that is, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts trivially on M^{S^1}. This implies that $H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$.

Equality (44) is still true when both $\bar{p}(S) \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$ for all $S \in \mathscr{S}_1$ and $\bar{p}(S) \leq 4p + 1$ for all $S \in \mathscr{S}_3$.

We have

$$H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1})/H^*(M/S^3),$$

since $H^*(M^{S^1}) = H^*(M^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1}/\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M/S^3) \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Notice that

$$\bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^*_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} = \bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^*(S^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

when $\mathscr{S}_3 = \varnothing$.

(b) Property B1 (see page 328) yields the two long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to H^*(K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) &\to H^*(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \\ &\to H^{*-3}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) \oplus H^{*+1}_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \to H^{*+1}(K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to H^{*-1}(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) &\to H^*(K^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \\ &\to H^*(M) \oplus \bigoplus_{S\in\mathscr{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2}_{\bar{P}_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} \to H^*(G^{\cdot}_{\bar{p}}(M)) \to \cdots \end{aligned}$$

relating the cohomologies of the Gysin and co-Gysin terms.

(c) Consider the case $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$. Since the bottom map ② of the Gysin braid vanishes (see Corollary 4.2) a diagram chasing gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Im} ①_{\text{top}} \hookrightarrow \ker ③_{\text{top}} \xrightarrow{②^{-1} \circ ①} \ker ④_{\text{bottom}} \to 0,$$

(see B2).

(d) The splitting property of Corollary 2.8 may not hold if $\bar{p} \neq \bar{0}$, as the following example shows.

Let us consider the join $M = S^a * S^2 * S^3 = S^{a+7}$ with $a \geq 1$ (see [3, p. 468]). The action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ is defined in each factor as follows:

- S^3 acts trivially on S^a.
- S^3 acts on the left of the homogeneous space $S^2 = S^3/S^1$.
- S^3 acts on the left of S^3 by multiplication on S^3.

We put $\{b_1, b_2\}$ the two points of S^2 whose isotropy subgroup is S^1.

We have

$$\mathscr{S}_3 = \{Q = S^a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{S}_1 = \{S = (S^a * S^2) \setminus S^a\}.$$

Notice that

$$M^{S^1} = \bar{S}^{S^1} = (S^a * S^2)^{S^1} = S^a * (S^2)^{S^1} = S^a * \{b_1, b_2\},$$

the action of $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ interchanges both points.

The orbit space M/S^3 is the filtered space $S^a * (S^2 * S^3)/S^3 = S^a * \Sigma S^2 = S^{a+4}$ endowed with the filtration $S^a \subset S^a * \{P\} \subset S^a * \Sigma S^2 = S^{a+4}$ where P is one of the two apices of the suspension ΣS^2.

The perversity $\bar{p}$ is given by two numbers $(p_1, p_3) = (\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(Q))$. Since $\dim M = a + 7$, $\dim Q = a$ and $\dim S = a + 3$, the condition $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ becomes $(0, 0) \leq (p_1, p_3) \leq (2, 5)$. In particular, the perversities $\bar{0} = (0, 0)$ and $\bar{e} = (2, 4)$ satisfy this condition. If $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{e}$) then $\bar{P}_S = \overline{-2}$ (resp. $\bar{0}$).

A straightforward calculation using [5, Proposition 13.5] gives

$$\begin{aligned}
H^i(M) &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = 0, a+7, \\
H^i_{-\bar{e}}(M/S^3) = H^i(S^{a+4}, S^a * \{P\}) &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = a+4, \\
H^i_{\bar{0}}(M/S^3) = H^i(S^{a+4}) &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = 0, a+4, \\
H^i_{\bar{e}}(M/S^3) = H^i\big(S^{a+4} \setminus (S^a * \{P\})\big) &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = 0, \\
H^i_{\bar{0}}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^i(S^{a+1} \setminus S^a)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = 0, \\
H^i_{\overline{-2}}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^i(S^{a+1}, S^a)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } i = a+1,
\end{aligned}$$

and 0 for the other values of i.

One easily checks that the long exact sequence (37) does not split on the connecting homomorphism when $\bar{p} = \bar{e}$. Also, this example shows that the long exact sequence (41) does not split on the connecting homomorphism, even in the case $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$.

(e) Let's recall other exact braids in the literature. For the classical case of a semifree action of S^3 both the Smith–Gysin and the Gysin sequence are part of an exact braid, along with the long exact sequences of the pairs (M, M^{S^3}) and $(M/S^3, M^{S^3}/S^3)$, for singular cohomology (see [2, p. 161]). This braid has recently been generalized in [14] to the case of any S^3-action by using Verona forms (as in [13]), which corresponds to the case $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ of the present paper. When intersection cohomology is considered, we have seen that the Gysin sequence itself leads to an exact braid. It is reasonable to suspect that a similar phenomenon will happen with the Smith–Gysin sequence. The interplay between the braids is still to be explored.

We end the section by studying the behavior of the Gysin and co-Gysin terms when the perversity changes.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a mobile action. For any perversities $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{q} \leq \bar{t}$ on M we have the exact commutative braids*



and



Proof. As in the proof of Theorem C, we shall apply the technique of [19] to construct two braids associated with the following pairs of triples: $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \subset \Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^3) \subset \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{q}}(M)$ and $K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \subset K^*_{\bar{q}}(M) \subset \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{q}}(M)$. It is important to recall that the cohomology of $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ or $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{q}}(M)$ is $H^*(M)$ (see Section 1.3). To identify the relative terms, we consider the schema

$\dfrac{\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^3)}{\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)}$	$\dfrac{\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}{\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^3)}$	$\dfrac{\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}{\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3)}$	$\dfrac{K^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}{K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)}$	$\dfrac{\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}{K^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}$	$\dfrac{\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{q}}(M)}{K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)}$
$\Omega^*_{\bar{q}/\bar{p}}(M/S^3)$	$G^*_{\bar{q}}(M)$	$G^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$	$\Omega^{*-4}_{(\bar{q}-\bar{e})/(\bar{p}-\bar{e})}(M/S^3)$	$\Omega^*_{\bar{q}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$	$\Omega^*_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}(M/S^3)$

The first two columns are actually equalities. In the other columns, we have complexes with the same cohomology. To prove this fact for the third column, we use the equality $\Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M) \cap \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) = \Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M/S^3)$ and the following fact, proven in [1; 17], which we shall refer to as the $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ property: the inclusion $\Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega^*_{\bar{q}}(M)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

For column 4, we use (39) and Proposition 3.1. Column 5 comes from the equality $K^*_{\bar{q}}(M) \cap \underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M) = K^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ and the $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ property. The last column comes from

the short exact sequence $0 \to K^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to \Omega^*_{\bar{p}}(M) \to I^{*-3}_{\bar{p}}(M) \to 0$, the $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ property and, finally, Proposition 3.1. □

5. Gysin sequence for a nonmobile action

In this section, we consider a nonmobile nontrivial action $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$. The family of regular strata (resp. singular strata) is $\mathscr{S}_1 \neq \varnothing$ (resp. $\mathscr{S}_3$). A perversity is a map $\bar{p} : \mathscr{S}_3 \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, that is, a family of numbers $\{\bar{p}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathscr{S}_3\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. We consider on M/S^3 and M^{S^1} the induced filtered space structure. Notice that the family of singular strata is still $\mathscr{S}_3$.

Theorem D. *Let $\Phi : S^3 \times M \to M$ be a nonmobile nontrivial action. For any perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ on M we have*

$$H^*(M) = H^*_{\bar{p}}(M/S^3) \oplus H^{*-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{2}}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}. \tag{45}$$

Proof. Since the action of S^3 on $M \smallsetminus \Sigma$ has no fixed points, the assignment $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ establishes an S^3-equivariant diffeomorphism between the twisted product $S^3 \times_N (M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ and $M \smallsetminus \Sigma$. Here, $\Sigma = M^{S^3}$ is the union of singular strata. Following Corollary 2.8 we have

$$\underline{\Omega}^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma) = \Omega^*(M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}(M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

since $Z = 0$. A differential form $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*(M \smallsetminus \Sigma)$ is $\alpha + \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \beta$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega^*(M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}(M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$. It remains to compute the perverse degree $\|\omega\|_Q$.

We consider an S^3-invariant Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_Q \mid Q \in \mathscr{S}_3\}$. It induces the N-invariant Thom–Mather system $\mathfrak{T}_{M^{S^1}} = \{T_Q \cap M^{S^1} \mid Q \in \mathscr{S}_3\}$ on M^{S^1} (see Section 1.6). Notice that $T_Q \smallsetminus Q = S^3 \times_N ((T_Q \cap M^{S^1}) \smallsetminus Q)$. The map $\tau_Q : T_Q \smallsetminus Q \to Q$ becomes $\langle g, x \rangle \mapsto \tau_Q(x)$. So, the fiber of τ_Q over a point $y \in Q$ is $S^3 \times_N \big((\tau_Q^{-1}(y) \cap M^{S^1}) \smallsetminus Q\big)$. This gives $\|\omega\|_Q = \max\{\|\alpha\|_Q, 2 + \|\beta\|_Q\}$ and therefore

$$H^*(M) = H^*(\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)) = H^*_{\bar{p}}(M^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H^{*-2}_{\bar{p}-\bar{2}}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

since the complex $\underline{\Omega}^*_{\bar{p}}(M)$ computes the cohomology of M for the perversity $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ (see Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1). Finally, we get (45) from

$$(M^{S^1} \smallsetminus \Sigma)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = (M \smallsetminus \Sigma)/S^3. \qquad \square$$

Remark 5.1. Considering the perversity $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ we get

$$H^*(M) = H^*(M/S^3) \oplus H^{*-2}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

(see (44) and [5, Proposition 13.4)]).

Acknowledgements

The authors want to express their deep gratitude to the referee for so many remarks, corrections and suggestions, which helped to improve the quality of the paper.

The authors were partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, grant PID2022-139631NB-I00. The authors acknowledge that the research cooperation was funded by the program Excellence Initiative Research University at the Jagiellonian University in Krakow within the framework of the research group Reeb–Reinhardt 2022.

References

[1] J.-P. Brasselet, G. Hector, and M. Saralegi, "Théorème de de Rham pour les variétés stratifiées", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **9**:3 (1991), 211–243. MR Zbl

[2] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **46**, Academic Press, New York, 1972. MR Zbl

[3] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **139**, Springer, 1997. MR Zbl

[4] J.-L. Brylinski, "Equivariant intersection cohomology", pp. 5–32 in *Kazhdan–Lusztig theory and related topics* (Chicago, IL, 1989), edited by V. Deodhar, Contemp. Math. **139**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992. MR

[5] D. Chataur, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and D. Tanré, "Blown-up intersection cohomology", pp. 45–102 in *An alpine bouquet of algebraic topology*, edited by C. Ausoni et al., Contemp. Math. **708**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018. MR Zbl

[6] D. Chataur, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and D. Tanré, *Intersection cohomology, simplicial blow-up and rational homotopy*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1214**, 2018. MR Zbl

[7] S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, *Foundations of relative homological algebra*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **55**, 1965. MR Zbl

[8] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, "Intersection homology theory", *Topology* **19**:2 (1980), 135–162. MR Zbl

[9] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone, *Connections*, *curvature*, *and cohomology*, *II*: *Lie groups*, *principal bundles*, *and characteristic classes*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **47**-II, Academic Press, New York, 1973. MR Zbl

[10] G. Hector and M. Saralegi, "Intersection cohomology of S^1-actions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338**:1 (1993), 263–288. MR Zbl

[11] H. C. King, "Intersection homology and homology manifolds", *Topology* **21**:2 (1982), 229–234. MR Zbl

[12] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of algebraic topology*, Addison-Wesley, CA, 1984. MR Zbl

[13] J. I. Royo Prieto and M. Saralegi Aranguren, "The Gysin sequence for $\mathbb{S}^3$-actions on manifolds", *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **83**:3 (2013), 275–289. MR Zbl

[14] J. I. Royo Prieto, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and R. Wolak, "Smith–Gysin sequence", pp. 239–248 in *Differential geometric structures and applications*, edited by V. Rovenski et al., Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **440**, Springer, 2024. MR Zbl

[15] M. Saralegi, "A Gysin sequence for semifree actions of $\mathbb{S}^3$", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118**:4 (1993), 1335–1345. MR Zbl

[16] M. Saralegi-Aranguren, "Cohomologie d'intersection des actions toriques simples", *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **7**:3 (1996), 389–417. MR Zbl

[17] M. Saralegi-Aranguren, "de Rham intersection cohomology for general perversities", *Illinois J. Math.* **49**:3 (2005), 737–758. MR Zbl

[18] M. Saralegi-Aranguren and R. Volak, "Basic intersection cohomology of conical fibrations", *Mat. Zametki* **77**:2 (2005), 235–257. In Russian; translated in *Math. Notes* **77**:2 (2005), 235–257. MR Zbl

[19] C. T. C. Wall, "On the exactness of interlocking sequences", *Enseign. Math.* (2) **12** (1966), 95–100. MR Zbl

Received October 22, 2024. Revised April 6, 2025.

JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF THE BASQUE COUNTRY UPV/EHU
LEIOA
SPAIN
joseignacio.royo@ehu.eus

MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN
LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LENS
UNIVERSITÉ D'ARTOIS
LENS
FRANCE
martin.saraleguiaranguren@univ-artois.fr

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
School of Sciences
Chongqing University of Technology
Chongqing 400054, China
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sucharit Sarkar
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
sucharit@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US $677/year for the electronic version, and $917/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 337 No. 2 August 2025

A characterization of quasihomogeneous bivariate polynomials 201
DAVID BRADLEY-WILLIAMS, PABLO CUBIDES KOVACSICS and IMMANUEL HALUPCZOK

Quartic del Pezzo surfaces without quadratic points 215
BRENDAN CREUTZ and BIANCA VIRAY

Reproducing kernel functions and boundary limits in model spaces 225
YONGJIANG DUAN, YUFEI LI and JAVAD MASHREGHI

A note on infinite partitions of free products of Boolean algebras 245
MARIO JARDÓN SANTOS

On Matlis reflexive modules 257
HENNING KRAUSE

The ramification tree and almost Dedekind domains of prescribed SP-rank 273
BALINT RAGO and DARIO SPIRITO

The Gysin braid for S^3-actions on manifolds 297
JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO and MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN

A conjecture on multiplicities for strongly tempered spherical varieties 339
CHEN WAN and LEI ZHANG

Curvatures of real connections on Hermitian manifolds 365
JUN WANG and XIAOKUI YANG