

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**CURVATURES OF REAL CONNECTIONS
ON HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS**

JUN WANG AND XIAOKUI YANG

CURVATURES OF REAL CONNECTIONS ON HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

JUN WANG AND XIAOKUI YANG

Let (M, g, J) be a Riemannian manifold with a compatible integrable complex structure $J \in \text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ be the space of real connections on $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ preserving both g and J . We investigate the relationship between the geometry of real connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ and that of Hermitian connections on $T^{1,0}M$. In particular, we study the geometry of the real Chern connection $\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}$ on (M, g, J) , and obtain Kähler–Einstein metrics by using real Chern–Einstein metrics.

1. Introduction	365
2. Background materials	371
3. Space $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ of connections preserving metrics and structures	374
4. Curvatures of connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$	377
5. Geometry of real Chern–Einstein metrics	379
6. Classification of surfaces with t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics	382
7. Construction of t -Gauduchon–Ricci metrics on Hopf manifolds	385
Appendix: Curvatures of Gauduchon connections	386
Acknowledgements	389
References	389

1. Introduction

Let (M, g, J) be a Riemannian manifold with a compatible integrable complex structure $J \in \text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$ and \mathcal{A}_g be the space of real connections on $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ compatible with g . Let h be the corresponding Hermitian metric of (g, J) and \mathcal{B}_h be the space of affine connections on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ compatible with h . For any $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_g$, we can extend it to $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ in the \mathbb{C} -linear way. The restriction of the complexified connection ∇ to the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is denoted by $\widehat{\nabla}$. It is obvious that $\widehat{\nabla} \in \mathcal{B}_h$. This gives a natural projection $\pi : \mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$ and it is easy to see that

$$(1-1) \quad \mathcal{A}_g \cong \mathcal{B}_h \times \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(T^{1,0}M, T^{0,1}M))).$$

MSC2020: 53C55.

Keywords: real Chern curvature, Chern–Einstein metrics, scalar curvature.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ be the space of real connections on $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ compatible with both g and J . One can see that there is an isomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$ and

$$(1-2) \quad \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \cong \mathcal{B}_h \cong \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M))).$$

In the field of complex geometry, several classical connections in \mathcal{B}_h are investigated extensively in the literature. For instance,

- (1) the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} : the unique connection compatible with the Hermitian metric h and also the holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}$;
- (2) the Strominger–Bismut connection ∇^{SB} [Strominger 1986; Bismut 1989];
- (3) $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$, the restriction of the complexified Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} to $T^{1,0}M$.

When (M, g, J) is Kähler, all these connections are the same.

It is well known that $\nabla^{\text{LC}} \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ if and only if $\nabla^{\text{LC}}J = 0$, i.e., (M, g, J) is Kähler. Although $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$ is an isomorphism, the relationship between the geometry of real connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ and that of Hermitian connections in \mathcal{B}_h is still mysterious. For instance, we set

$$(1-3) \quad \nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} := \rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$$

and it is called the *real Chern connection*. It is obvious that $\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} \neq \nabla^{\text{LC}}$ when (M, g, J) is not Kähler. For any $X, Y, Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, the curvature of $\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}$ is

$$R^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} \nabla_Y^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} Z - \nabla_Y^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} \nabla_X^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} Z, W).$$

The *real Chern–Ricci curvature* $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is defined by using the Riemannian metric g :

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}(X, e_i, e_i, Y),$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ is an orthonormal frame with respect to g .

Definition 1.1. $(M, g, J, \nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}})$ is called *real Chern–Einstein* if

$$(1-4) \quad \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) = \lambda g \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) = 0$, it is also called *real Chern–Ricci flat*. Moreover, $(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ has positive real Chern–Ricci curvature if $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) \in \Gamma(M, \text{Sym}^{\otimes 2} T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$ and it is positive definite. The negativity can be defined similarly.

There is a natural question concerning the relationship between real Chern–Einstein metrics and Kähler–Einstein metrics.

Question 1.2. Let (M, g, J) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If $(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Einstein, then is (M, g, J) necessarily Kähler–Einstein? More generally, if $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is positive (resp. negative), is $c_1(M) > 0$ (resp. $c_1(M) < 0$)?

We can also ask similar questions for other connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ or \mathcal{A}_g . One shall see that the answer to the above question is quite involved. Moreover, the existence of real Chern–Ricci flat metrics is significantly different from others.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g, J) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Suppose $(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Einstein with constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then (M, g, J) is Kähler–Einstein.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g, J) be a compact Hermitian manifold. If $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is positive (resp. negative), then M is projective and $c_1(M) > 0$ (resp. $c_1(M) < 0$).*

However, when $(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Ricci flat, i.e., $\lambda = 0$, (M, g, J) is not necessarily Kähler–Ricci flat. We construct explicit real Chern–Ricci flat metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. On the contrary, it is well known that, there is no Chern–Ricci flat metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ since $c_1^{\text{BC}}(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ is not zero.

Theorem 1.5. *There exist real Chern–Ricci flat metrics on the Hopf manifold $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.*

We also obtain a classification for Hermitian surfaces with real Chern–Ricci flat metrics.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (M, g, J) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Suppose $(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Ricci flat. Then (M, J) is one of the following:*

- (1) (M, J) is Kähler: $c_1(M, J) = 0$, i.e., (M, J) has a Kähler–Ricci flat metric.
- (2) (M, J) is not Kähler:
 - (a) $\kappa(M) = 0$ and $c_1^{\text{BC}}(M) = 0$. Moreover, (M, J) has a balanced metric and K_M is a holomorphic torsion: $K_M^{\otimes m} = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.
 - (b) $\kappa(M) = -\infty$ and $c_1^{\text{AC}}(M) = 0$.

Moreover, the only non-Kähler compact complex surface which can support a real Chern–Ricci metric is the Hopf surface.

Question 1.2 can also be proposed for arbitrary $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$. Indeed, for any $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$, there exists some $A \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)))$ such that

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} + A,$$

and we also set $\widehat{\nabla} = \rho(\nabla) \in \mathcal{B}_h$. One can deduce from (1-2) that ∇ is determined by some $\theta \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$. We write ∇^θ for $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$, and $\widehat{\nabla}^\theta$ for $\widehat{\nabla} \in \mathcal{B}_h$. The curvature tensors of ∇^θ and $\widehat{\nabla}^\theta$ are denoted by R^θ , \mathfrak{R}^θ respectively. In local holomorphic coordinates $\{z^i\}$ of M , $\widehat{\nabla}^\theta = \rho(\nabla^\theta) \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is given by

$$\widehat{\nabla}^\theta_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = (\Gamma_{ij}^k + \theta_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \widehat{\nabla}^\theta_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} = -h_{j\bar{q}} h^{k\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k},$$

where $\theta = \theta_{ij}^k dz^i \otimes dz^j \otimes (\partial/\partial z^k)$ and $\Gamma_{ij}^k = h^{k\bar{\ell}} (\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}} / \partial z^i)$ is the Christoffel symbol of the Chern connection. We also use conventions $R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta$ for the

components of R^θ and \mathfrak{R}^θ respectively. The curvature of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} is denoted by Θ . We set $\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\theta) = \sqrt{-1}(h^{k\bar{\ell}}\mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}^\theta) dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$ and similarly, we denote the first Chern–Ricci curvature of the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} by $\Theta^{(1)}$.

Theorem 1.7. *For any $\nabla^\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$, curvature tensors R^θ and \mathfrak{R}^θ are determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}^\theta &= \mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}^\theta \\ &= \Theta_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} - \left(h_{k\bar{p}} \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^p}{\partial z^i} + h_{p\bar{\ell}} \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^p}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right) + (\theta_{ik}^p \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q h_{p\bar{q}} - h^{m\bar{n}} \theta_{im}^p \bar{\theta}_{jn}^q h_{p\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{q}}), \\ R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta &= \mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{jk}^m}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^m}{\partial z^j} + \Gamma_{jk}^s \theta_{is}^\ell - \Gamma_{js}^\ell \theta_{ik}^s + \Gamma_{is}^\ell \theta_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{ik}^s \theta_{js}^\ell \right) h_{m\bar{\ell}} + (\theta_{ip}^m \theta_{jk}^p - \theta_{ik}^p \theta_{jp}^m) h_{m\bar{\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $[\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\theta)] = [\Theta^{(1)}] \in H_{\text{AC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\theta) = \Theta^{(1)} - \sqrt{-1}(\partial \bar{\theta}_1 - \bar{\partial} \theta_1)$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta_{ik}^k dz^i$.

By using the isomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$, there exists a *unique* linear family in $\{\nabla^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ which connects the real Chern connection $\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}} = \rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}})$ and the real Strominger–Bismut connection $\nabla^{\text{SB}, \mathbb{R}} = \rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{SB}})$, and it is given by

$$(1-5) \quad \nabla^t = (1-t)\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}} + t\nabla^{\text{SB}, \mathbb{R}}.$$

This family was introduced by Gauduchon [1997], and we call it *Gauduchon connections*. These connections were systematically investigated in recent papers [Fu and Yau 2008; Fu et al. 2009; Andreas and Garcia-Fernandez 2012; 2014; Biswas and Mukherjee 2013; Fei and Yau 2015; Otal et al. 2017; Angella et al. 2022; Fu and Zhou 2022; Yau et al. 2023; Zhao and Zheng 2023] on the construction of invariant solutions to the Strominger systems on complex Lie groups and their quotients. A straightforward computation shows

$$(1-6) \quad \rho(\nabla^0) = \nabla^{\text{Ch}}, \quad \rho(\nabla^{1/2}) = \widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}, \quad \rho(\nabla^1) = \nabla^{\text{SB}}.$$

Hence, these classical connections are all in the Gauduchon family. The curvature relations for ∇^{LC} , ∇^{SB} and $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$ were extensively investigated and have been formulated in differential notions; e.g., [Yau 1974; Gray 1976; Gauduchon 1977a; 1977b; 1984; Tricerri and Vanhecke 1981; Apostolov and Drăghici 1999; Fu 2012; Liu and Yang 2012; 2017; Wang et al. 2020; Yang 2017; Angella et al. 2022; He et al. 2020; Yang and Zheng 2018a; 2018b]. We shall formulate them in a uniform way for readers' convenience. As usual, the curvature tensor of $\rho(\nabla^t) \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{R}(\omega, t)$ and some notions can be found in [Liu and Yang 2017].

Corollary 1.8. *The curvature tensor of the Gauduchon connection $\rho(\nabla^t) \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is*

$$\mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}(\omega, t) = \Theta_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}} + t(\Theta_{i\bar{\ell}k\bar{j}} + \Theta_{k\bar{j}i\bar{\ell}} - 2\Theta_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}) + t^2(T_{ik}^p \bar{T}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q h_{p\bar{q}} - h^{p\bar{q}} h_{m\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{n}} T_{ip}^m \bar{T}_{jq}^n),$$

where $T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k$ are the components of the torsion tensor of ∇^{Ch} . The Ricci curvatures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega, t) &= \Theta^{(1)} - t(\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega), \\ \mathfrak{Ric}^{(2)}(\omega, t) &= \Theta^{(1)} - (1-2t)\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\partial\bar{\partial}\omega - (1-t)(\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega) \\ &\quad + (1-t)^2\sqrt{-1}T\Box T - t^2\sqrt{-1}T\circ T, \\ (1-7) \quad \mathfrak{Ric}^{(3)}(\omega, t) &= \Theta^{(1)} - t\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\partial\bar{\partial}\omega - (1-t)\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega - t\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega \\ &\quad + (t-t^2)\sqrt{-1}T\Box T + t^2T((\partial^*\omega)^\#), \\ \mathfrak{Ric}^{(4)}(\omega, t) &= \Theta^{(1)} - t\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\partial\bar{\partial}\omega - (1-t)\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega - t\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega \\ &\quad + (t-t^2)\sqrt{-1}T\Box T + t^2\bar{T}((\partial^*\omega)^\#). \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvatures are related by

$$(1-8) \quad \begin{cases} s^{(1)}(\omega, t) = s_C - 2t\langle\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \omega\rangle, \\ s^{(2)}(\omega, t) = s_C - (1-2t)\langle\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \omega\rangle - t^2(2|\partial\omega|^2 + |\bar{\partial}^*\omega|^2), \end{cases}$$

where we use for scalar curvatures the notations

$$s^{(1)}(\omega, t) = h^{i\bar{j}}h^{k\bar{\ell}}\mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}(\omega, t), \quad s^{(2)}(\omega, t) = h^{i\bar{\ell}}h^{k\bar{j}}\mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}(\omega, t).$$

Let s be the Riemannian scalar curvature of the background Riemannian manifold (M, g) . One can deduce (for example from [Yang 2020, Corollary 3.7]) that

$$(1-9) \quad s = 2s_C - 2\sqrt{-1}\partial^*\bar{\partial}^*\omega - \frac{1}{2}|T|^2.$$

By using this formula and (1-7), one can get scalar curvature relations for connections ∇^{Ch} , $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$ and ∇^{SB} simultaneously.

Remark 1.9. We should point out that many parts of Corollary 1.8 are known in the literature. Indeed, J.-X. Fu and X.-C. Zhou [2022] established a variety of scalar curvature formulas for Gauduchon connections for general almost Hermitian manifolds, and (1-7), (1-8) are also obtained in their paper. For more discussions on scalar curvatures of almost Hermitian manifolds, we refer to [Apostolov and Drăghici 1999; Li 2010; Zhang 2012; Lejmi and Upmeyer 2020; Chen and Zhang 2023; Fu and Zhou 2022]. One can also formulate curvature relations in the conformal setting; e.g., [Chiose et al. 2019; Angella et al. 2017; Lejmi and Maalaoui 2018; Chen et al. 2021].

As applications of curvature relations discussed above and methods developed in [Liu and Yang 2017; 2018; He et al. 2020; Yang 2016; 2019a; 2019b; 2020], we obtain:

Theorem 1.10. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and ∇^t be the Gauduchon connection on M . If $s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \geq 0$ for some $t > 0$, then either*

- (1) $\kappa(M) = -\infty$; or
- (2) $\kappa(M) = 0$ and (M, ω) is conformally balanced and K_M is a holomorphic torsion: $K_M^{\otimes m} = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

By using Theorem 1.10, we can classify t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat surfaces. Recall that a Hermitian manifold (M, ω) is called t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat if

$$(1-10) \quad \mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega, t) = 0 \quad \text{for some } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

When $t = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1, it is also called *Chern–Ricci flat*, *Levi-Civita–Ricci flat* and *Strominger–Bismut–Ricci flat* respectively.

Theorem 1.11. *Let S be a compact complex surface. If it admits a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric ω for some $t > 0$, then S is a minimal surface lying in one of the following:*

- (1) an Enriques surface;
- (2) a bielliptic surface;
- (3) a K3-surface;
- (4) a torus;
- (5) a Hopf surface.

Note that (1)–(4) are all Kähler Calabi–Yau surfaces. We also construct an explicit family of t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics on diagonal Hopf surfaces.

Theorem 1.12. *Let ω_0 be the canonical metric on the standard Hopf manifold $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ ($n \geq 2$). Then the Hermitian metric*

$$(1-11) \quad \omega_t = \omega_0 + 4 \left(\frac{2(n-1)t}{n} - 1 \right) \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |z|^2, \quad t > 0$$

is t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat. That is, $\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega_t, t) = 0$ for each $t > 0$.

When $t = \frac{1}{2}$, the Hermitian metric

$$(1-12) \quad \omega_{\text{LC}} = \omega_0 - \frac{4}{n} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |z|^2$$

is exactly the *Levi-Civita–Ricci flat* metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ constructed in [Liu and Yang 2017, Theorem 6.2]; see also [Liu and Yang 2018, Theorem 7.3]. When $t = 1$,

the Hermitian metric

$$(1-13) \quad \omega_{\text{SB}} = \omega_0 - \frac{4(n-2)}{n} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log|z|^2$$

is a *Strominger–Bismut–Ricci flat* metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we recall basic materials for readers’ convenience and fix our notations. In [Section 3](#), the spaces of connections are discussed. The curvatures of real connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ are computed in [Section 4](#) and [Theorem 1.7](#) is obtained. In [Section 5](#), we establish [Theorem 1.3](#), [Theorem 1.4](#), [Theorem 1.5](#) and [Theorem 1.6](#). In [Section 6](#) we classify compact complex surfaces with *t*-Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics and prove [Theorem 1.10](#) and [Theorem 1.11](#). We construct *t*-Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics in [Section 7](#), and in the [Appendix](#), we include a detailed computation for [Corollary 1.8](#).

2. Background materials

In this section, we give some background materials for readers’ convenience.

2.1. Levi-Civita connection and its complexification. Let’s recall some elementary settings. Let $(M, g, \nabla^{\text{LC}})$ be a $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} . The tangent bundle of M is also denoted by $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$. The Riemannian curvature tensor of $(M, g, \nabla^{\text{LC}})$ is

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} \nabla_Y^{\text{LC}} Z - \nabla_Y^{\text{LC}} \nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{\text{LC}} Z, W)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$. Let $T_{\mathbb{C}}M = T_{\mathbb{R}}M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification. One can extend the metric g and the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} to $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ in the \mathbb{C} -linear way. Hence for any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X, Y, Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$,

$$R(aX, bY, cZ, dW) = abcd \cdot R(X, Y, Z, W).$$

Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold, i.e., $J : T_{\mathbb{R}}M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ with $J^2 = -1$, and for any $X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$. We define the Nijenhuis tensor $N_J : \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}M) \times \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$ as

$$N_J(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY].$$

The almost complex structure J is *integrable* if $N_J \equiv 0$ and then (M, g, J) is a Hermitian manifold. We also extend J to $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ in the \mathbb{C} -linear way: for any $X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, we still have $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$. By Newlander–Nirenberg’s theorem, there exist real coordinates $\{x^i, x^I\}$ such that $z^i = x^i + \sqrt{-1}x^I$ are local holomorphic coordinates on M . The Hermitian form $h : T_{\mathbb{C}}M \times T_{\mathbb{C}}M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(2-1) \quad h(X, Y) := g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M.$$

By the J -invariant property of g ,

$$(2-2) \quad h_{ij} := h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{i\bar{j}} := h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\right) = 0,$$

and

$$(2-3) \quad h_{i\bar{j}} := h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{ij} + \sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}}).$$

It is obvious that $(h_{i\bar{j}})$ is a positive Hermitian matrix. Let ω be the fundamental 2-form associated to the J -invariant metric g , $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$. In local complex coordinates, $\omega = \sqrt{-1}h_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$. We shall use the components of the complexified curvature tensor R , for instance,

$$(2-4) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} := R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\ell}}\right), \quad R_{ijkl} := R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell}}\right).$$

The components of complexified curvature tensor have the same properties as the components of the real curvature tensor. For instance, $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = -R_{\bar{j}ik\ell}$, $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = R_{k\bar{\ell}i\bar{j}}$, and in particular, the first Bianchi identity holds: $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} + R_{ik\bar{\ell}j} + R_{i\bar{\ell}jk} = 0$.

2.2. The induced Levi-Civita connection on $(T^{1,0}M, h)$. Since $T^{1,0}M$ is a sub-bundle of $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, there is an induced connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$ on $T^{1,0}M$ given by

$$\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}} = \pi \circ \nabla : \Gamma(M, T^{1,0}M) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes T^{1,0}M).$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$ is a metric connection on the Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle $(T^{1,0}M, h)$ and it is determined by the relations

$$(2-5) \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} := \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} := \widehat{\Gamma}_{jk}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p},$$

where

$$(2-6) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}h^{k\bar{\ell}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i} + \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^j} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}h^{k\bar{\ell}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\ell}} \right).$$

The curvature tensor $\mathfrak{R} \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes T^{*1,0}M \otimes T^{1,0}M)$ of $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}$ is given by

$$(2-7) \quad \mathfrak{R}(X, Y)s = \widehat{\nabla}_X^{\text{LC}} \widehat{\nabla}_Y^{\text{LC}} s - \widehat{\nabla}_Y^{\text{LC}} \widehat{\nabla}_X^{\text{LC}} s - \widehat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}^{\text{LC}} s$$

for any $X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ and $s \in T^{1,0}M$. This curvature tensor has components

$$(2-8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^{\ell} &= -\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial \bar{z}^j} - \frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{k}}^{\ell}}{\partial z^i} + \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{s}}^{\ell} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{k}}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{is}^{\ell} \right), \\ \mathfrak{R}_{ijk}^{\ell} &= -\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial z^j} - \frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{jk}^{\ell}}{\partial z^i} + \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{js}^{\ell} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{jk}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{is}^{\ell} \right), \\ \mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^{\ell} &= -\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial \bar{z}^j} - \frac{\partial \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{k}}^{\ell}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} + \widehat{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{s}}^{\ell} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{j\bar{k}}^s \widehat{\Gamma}_{is}^{\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the Hermitian metric h on $T^{1,0}M$, we use the convention

$$(2-9) \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\bullet\bullet k\bar{\ell}} := \sum_{s=1}^n \mathfrak{R}_{\bullet\bullet k}^s h_{s\bar{\ell}}.$$

Corollary 2.1. *We have the relations*

$$R_{ijk}^\ell = \mathfrak{R}_{ijk}^\ell, \quad R_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell = \mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell,$$

and

$$(2-10) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell = -\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\Gamma}_{ik}^\ell}{\partial \bar{z}^j} - \frac{\partial \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}k}^\ell}{\partial z^i} + \hat{\Gamma}_{ik}^s \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}s}^\ell - \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}k}^s \hat{\Gamma}_{si}^\ell - \hat{\Gamma}_{s\bar{i}}^\ell \cdot \bar{\Gamma}_{k\bar{j}}^s \right) = \mathfrak{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell + \hat{\Gamma}_{i\bar{s}}^\ell \cdot \bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}k}^s.$$

2.3. Curvature of the Chern connection on $(T^{1,0}M, h)$. On the Hermitian holomorphic tangent bundle $(T^{1,0}M, h)$, the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} is the unique connection which is compatible with the holomorphic structure and also the Hermitian metric. The curvature tensor of ∇^{Ch} is denoted by Θ and it has components

$$(2-11) \quad \Theta_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = -\frac{\partial^2 h_{k\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j} + h^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{p\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z^i}.$$

It is well known that the (first) Chern–Ricci curvature

$$(2-12) \quad \Theta^{(1)} := \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$$

represents the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ of M where

$$(2-13) \quad \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = -\frac{\partial^2 \log \det h_{k\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j}.$$

The second Chern–Ricci curvature $\Theta^{(2)} = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$ has components

$$(2-14) \quad \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{k\bar{\ell}i\bar{j}}.$$

The Chern scalar curvature s_C of the Chern curvature tensor Θ is defined by

$$(2-15) \quad s_C = h^{i\bar{j}} h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}.$$

Similarly, we can define

$$(2-16) \quad \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(3)} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{i\bar{\ell}k\bar{j}}, \quad \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(4)} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{k\bar{j}i\bar{\ell}} \quad \text{and} \quad s_C^{(2)} = h^{i\bar{j}} \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(3)} = h^{i\bar{j}} \Theta_{i\bar{j}}^{(4)}.$$

The following lemma is well known; see for instance [Yang 2020, Lemma 3.6].

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Then*

$$(2-17) \quad \langle \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \omega, \omega \rangle = |\bar{\partial}^* \omega|^2 - \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}^* \omega.$$

In particular, if ω is a Gauduchon metric, we have

$$(2-18) \quad \langle \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \omega \rangle = |\bar{\partial}^*\omega|^2.$$

According to [Liu and Yang 2017, Theorem 4.1], we have:

Theorem 2.3. *The Chern–Ricci curvatures are related by*

$$(2-19) \quad \begin{cases} \Theta^{(2)} = \Theta^{(1)} - \sqrt{-1}\Lambda(\partial\bar{\partial}\omega) - (\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega) + \sqrt{-1}T \square \bar{T}, \\ \Theta^{(3)} = \Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \\ \Theta^{(4)} = \Theta^{(1)} - \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \end{cases}$$

where $T \square \bar{T} := h^{p\bar{q}}h_{k\bar{\ell}}T_{ip}^k \cdot \bar{T}_{j\bar{q}}^{\ell} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$. The scalar curvatures are related by

$$(2-20) \quad \begin{cases} s_C^{(2)} = s_C - \langle \partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega, \omega \rangle, \\ s = 2s_C - 2\sqrt{-1}\partial^*\bar{\partial}^*\omega - \frac{1}{2}|T|^2, \end{cases}$$

where s is the scalar curvature of the background Riemannian metric.

3. Space $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ of connections preserving metrics and complex structures

3.1. Spaces of real connections and Hermitian connections. Let (M, g, J) be a Riemannian manifold with a compatible integrable complex structure J . We consider two spaces of affine connections on the real tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$:

$$\mathcal{A}_g = \{\nabla \mid \nabla \text{ is an affine connection on } T_{\mathbb{R}}M \text{ satisfying } \nabla g = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{g,J} = \{\nabla \mid \nabla \text{ is an affine connection on } T_{\mathbb{R}}M \text{ satisfying } \nabla g = 0 \text{ and } \nabla J = 0\}.$$

Clearly, $\iota : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$. In the following, we shall give a characterization of connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\nabla^0 \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$. Suppose $\nabla = \nabla^0 + A$ with $A \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)))$. Then $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} [A, J] = 0, \\ g(AX, Y) + g(X, AY) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}M). \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\nabla J = \nabla^0 J + [A, J] = [A, J]$$

and

$$g(\nabla X, Y) + g(X, \nabla Y) - d(g(X, Y)) = g(AX, Y) + g(X, AY)$$

since $\nabla^0 J = 0$ and $\nabla^0 g = 0$. □

Let

$$A_{\mathbb{C}} \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)))$$

be the complexification of $A \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)))$. According to the decomposition $T_{\mathbb{C}}M = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M$, $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a matrix representation $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, and $[A, J] = 0$ implies $A_{12} = A_{21} = 0$. Hence, we have $A_{\mathbb{C}} = A_{11} + A_{22}$ where $A_{11} \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$ and $A_{22} \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T^{0,1}M)))$. Let $A_{11} = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ and $A_{22} = \theta_3 + \theta_4$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &\in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M))), & \theta_2 &\in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{0,1}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M))), \\ \theta_3 &\in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{0,1}M))), & \theta_4 &\in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{0,1}(\text{End}(T^{0,1}M))). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is real and $\nabla h = 0$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(M, T^{1,0}M)$, we have

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{cases} h(\theta_1 X, \bar{Y}) + h(X, \theta_3 \bar{Y}) = 0, \\ h(\theta_2 X, \bar{Y}) + h(X, \theta_4 \bar{Y}) = 0, \\ \bar{\theta}_1 = \theta_4, \\ \bar{\theta}_2 = \theta_3. \end{cases}$$

Hence, A is determined by θ_1 . If we write $\theta_1 = \theta_{ij}^k dz^i \otimes dz^j \otimes (\partial/\partial z^k)$, then the complexification of $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ is given by

$$(3-2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} &= \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} + \theta_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \\ \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\bar{j}}} &= \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\bar{j}}} - h_{q\bar{j}} h^{p\bar{k}} \theta_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\bar{k}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and their conjugations. Therefore, we have:

Proposition 3.2. $\mathcal{A}_{g,J} \cong \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$.

On the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$, we consider the space

$$(3-3) \quad \mathcal{B}_h = \{\nabla \mid \nabla \text{ is an affine connection on } T^{1,0}M \text{ satisfying } \nabla h = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} is in \mathcal{B}_h . Moreover, for any $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$, the restriction $\widehat{\nabla} : \Gamma(M, T^{1,0}M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(T^{1,0}M))$ of its complexification $\nabla : \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(T_{\mathbb{C}}M))$ is in \mathcal{B}_h . Hence, there is a natural map

$$(3-4) \quad \rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h.$$

Corollary 3.3. $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$ is an isomorphism.

Indeed, for any $\nabla \in \mathcal{B}_h$, there exists some $B \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$ such that $\nabla = \nabla^{\text{Ch}} + B$. We have the decomposition $B = B_1 + B_2$ where

$$B_1 = \theta_{ij}^k dz^i \otimes dz^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$$

and

$$B_2 = \eta_{ij}^k d\bar{z}^i \otimes dz^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{0,1}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M))).$$

Since $\nabla h = 0$, we deduce

$$(3-5) \quad \theta_{ij}^k h_{k\bar{\ell}} + \bar{\eta}_{i\bar{\ell}}^p h_{j\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Hence, the connection $\nabla \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is given by

$$(3-6) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{Ch}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} + \theta_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = -h_{j\bar{q}} h^{k\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}.$$

That means $\nabla \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is determined by B_1 , i.e., $\mathcal{B}_h \cong \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$. By using similar interpretations, one can show:

Corollary 3.4. $\mathcal{A}_g \cong \mathcal{B}_h \times \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(T^{1,0}M, T^{0,1}M)))$.

3.2. Real correspondences for metric connections on $T^{1,0}M$. On a Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) , we have the following diagram for spaces of connections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{g,J} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A}_g \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{B}_h \end{array}$$

As we discussed in the previous section, $\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}, \nabla^{\text{Ch}}$ and ∇^{SB} are all in \mathcal{B}_h . We shall consider the preimage of them under the isomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}_{g,J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_h$. By definition, $\nabla^{\text{LC}} \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ if and only if $\nabla^{\text{LC}} J = 0$, that is if (M, g, J) is a Kähler manifold. It is a natural question to find the preimage when it is not Kähler. The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (M, g, J) be a Hermitian manifold. Then:*

- $\rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ is given by

$$(3-7) \quad g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Y, Z) - \frac{1}{2} d\omega(JX, Y, Z).$$

- $\rho^{-1}(\widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ is given by

$$(3-8) \quad g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{4} d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) - \frac{1}{4} d\omega(JX, Y, Z).$$

- $\rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{SB}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ is given by

$$(3-9) \quad g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} d\omega(JX, JY, JZ).$$

Moreover, there exists a unique linear family $\{\nabla^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ such that

$$\rho(\nabla^0) = \nabla^{\text{Ch}}, \quad \rho(\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \widehat{\nabla}^{\text{LC}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\nabla^1) = \nabla^{\text{SB}},$$

and it is given by

$$(3-10) \quad g(\nabla_X^t Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} t d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) + \frac{1}{2} (t-1) d\omega(JX, Y, Z).$$

4. Curvatures of connections in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$

Recall that the real Chern connection $\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} = \rho^{-1}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ is given in (3-7) and its complexification is determined by

$$(4-1) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = 0.$$

For any $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$, there exists some $A \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}}M)))$ such that

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}} + A$$

and its complexification $\nabla : \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \Omega^1(T_{\mathbb{C}}M))$ is given by

$$(4-2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} &= (\Gamma_{ij}^k + \theta_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, & \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} &= (\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k + \bar{\theta}_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k}, \\ \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} &= -h_{j\bar{q}} h^{k\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, & \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} &= -h_{q\bar{j}} h^{p\bar{k}} \theta_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, its restriction to $T^{1,0}M$, $\hat{\nabla} = \rho(\nabla) \in \mathcal{B}_h$ is

$$(4-3) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = (\Gamma_{ij}^k + \theta_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \hat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = -h_{j\bar{q}} h^{k\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{ip}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}.$$

To make this correspondence clearer for the readers, we write ∇^θ for $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ defined by (4-2), and $\hat{\nabla}^\theta$ for $\hat{\nabla} \in \mathcal{B}_h$ defined in (4-3). By using similar notations as in Section 2, the curvatures of ∇^θ and $\hat{\nabla}^\theta$ are denoted by $R^\theta, \mathfrak{R}^\theta$. More precisely,

$$(4-4) \quad R^\theta(X, Y, Z, W) = h(\nabla_X^\theta \nabla_Y^\theta Z - \nabla_Y^\theta \nabla_X^\theta Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^\theta Z, W)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ and

$$(4-5) \quad \mathfrak{R}^\theta(X, Y, Z, W) = h(\hat{\nabla}_X^\theta \hat{\nabla}_Y^\theta Z - \hat{\nabla}_Y^\theta \hat{\nabla}_X^\theta Z - \hat{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}^\theta Z, W)$$

for $X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, $Z \in T^{1,0}M$, $W \in T^{0,1}M$. We also use conventions $R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta$ for their components.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $\nabla^\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{g,J}$ with $\theta \in \Gamma(M, \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(T^{1,0}M)))$, the curvature tensors R^θ and \mathfrak{R}^θ are determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta &= \mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta \\ &= \Theta_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}} - \left(h_{k\bar{p}} \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^p}{\partial z^i} + h_{p\bar{\ell}} \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^p}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right) + (\theta_{ik}^p \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q h_{p\bar{q}} - h^{m\bar{n}} \theta_{im}^p \bar{\theta}_{jn}^q h_{p\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{q}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta &= \mathfrak{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}^\theta \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{jk}^m}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^m}{\partial z^j} + \Gamma_{jk}^s \theta_{is}^\ell - \Gamma_{js}^\ell \theta_{ik}^s + \Gamma_{is}^\ell \theta_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{ik}^s \theta_{js}^\ell \right) h_{m\bar{\ell}} + (\theta_{ip}^m \theta_{jk}^p - \theta_{ik}^p \theta_{jp}^m) h_{m\bar{\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\nabla^\theta J = 0$, we have

$$R_{ijk\bar{\ell}}^\theta = \mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}^\theta, \quad R_{ijk\bar{\ell}}^\theta = \mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}^\theta.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^\theta \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^j}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} &= \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^\theta \left(\Gamma_{jk}^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\ell} + \theta_{jk}^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\ell} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^\ell}{\partial z^i} + \Gamma_{jk}^s (\Gamma_{is}^\ell + \theta_{is}^\ell) + \frac{\partial \theta_{jk}^\ell}{\partial z^i} + \theta_{jk}^s (\Gamma_{is}^\ell + \theta_{is}^\ell) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{R}^\theta)_{ijk}^\ell = \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{jk}^\ell}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^\ell}{\partial z^j} + \Gamma_{jk}^s \theta_{is}^\ell - \Gamma_{js}^\ell \theta_{ik}^s + \Gamma_{is}^\ell \theta_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{ik}^s \theta_{js}^\ell \right) + (\theta_{jk}^s \theta_{is}^\ell - \theta_{ik}^s \theta_{js}^\ell).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} h \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^\theta \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} h \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) - h \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} h \left(-h_{k\bar{q}} h^{s\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{p}}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) - h \left(h_{k\bar{p}} h^{s\bar{q}} \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{q}}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s}, h_{p\bar{\ell}} h^{q\bar{i}} \theta_{i\bar{q}}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^t} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} (\bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^s h_{k\bar{s}}) - h^{q\bar{p}} \theta_{i\bar{q}}^s \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{p}}^t h_{s\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{i}} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^s h_{k\bar{s}} - \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{\ell}}^s \Gamma_{ik}^t h_{t\bar{s}} - h^{q\bar{p}} \theta_{i\bar{q}}^s \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{p}}^t h_{s\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^\theta \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) &= h \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j}^\theta \left(\Gamma_{ik}^s + \theta_{ik}^s \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) \\ &= h \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} (\Gamma_{ik}^s + \theta_{ik}^s) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) + h \left((\Gamma_{ik}^s + \theta_{ik}^s) (-h_{s\bar{p}} h^{t\bar{q}} \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{q}}^p) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell} \right) \\ &= h_{s\bar{\ell}} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^s}{\partial \bar{z}^j} + h_{s\bar{\ell}} \frac{\partial \theta_{ik}^s}{\partial \bar{z}^j} + (\Gamma_{ik}^s + \theta_{ik}^s) (-h_{s\bar{p}} \bar{\theta}_{j\bar{p}}^p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the curvature formulas in [Proposition 4.1](#). □

By using [Proposition 4.1](#), one has

Corollary 4.2. *The first Ricci curvature of $\widehat{\nabla}^\theta$ is*

$$\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\theta) = \Theta^{(1)} - \sqrt{-1} (\partial \bar{\theta}_1 - \bar{\partial} \theta_1),$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta_{ik}^k dz^i$.

There are two important linear families in $\mathcal{A}_{g,J}$. One is the Gauduchon family defined in (3-10) and in this case,

$$(4-6) \quad \theta_{ij}^k = t \cdot T_{ij}^k$$

and their curvatures are given in [Corollary 1.8](#). The other family is $\theta = t \cdot \eta \otimes \text{Id}_{T^{1,0}M}$ for some form $\eta = \eta_i dz^i \in \Gamma(M, \Omega_M^{1,0})$, and

$$(4-7) \quad \theta_{ij}^k = t \cdot \eta_i \delta_j^k.$$

Corollary 4.3. *The curvature formulas are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}(\theta) &= \Theta_{ijk\bar{\ell}} - t \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\eta}_j}{\partial z^i} + \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right) h_{k\bar{\ell}}, & \mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}(\theta) &= t \left(\frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right) h_{k\bar{\ell}}, \\ \mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\theta) &= \Theta^{(1)} - nt \sqrt{-1} (\partial \bar{\eta} - \bar{\partial} \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. When $d\eta = 0$, one has

$$\mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}(\theta) = \Theta_{ijk\bar{\ell}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{R}_{ijk\bar{\ell}}(\theta) = 0$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

5. Geometry of real Chern–Einstein metrics

In this section, we investigate real Chern–Einstein metrics and prove [Theorem 1.3](#), [Theorem 1.4](#), [Theorem 1.5](#) and [Theorem 1.6](#). Recall that the *real Chern–Ricci curvature* $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is defined by using the Riemannian metric g :

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}(X, e_i, e_i, Y),$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ is an orthonormal frame with respect to g .

Proposition 5.1. *The complexification of $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)$ is given by*

$$(5-1) \quad \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) = \Theta_{ij}^{(3)} dz^i \otimes d\bar{z}^j + \Theta_{ij}^{(4)} d\bar{z}^j \otimes dz^i$$

where $\Theta_{ij}^{(3)}$ and $\Theta_{ij}^{(4)}$ are defined in (2-16).

Proof. By using [Theorem 1.7](#) for $\theta = 0$, we have

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right) = h^{k\bar{\ell}} R_{ki\bar{\ell}} + h^{\bar{\ell}k} R_{\bar{\ell}ijk} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} R_{i\bar{\ell}kj} = h^{k\bar{\ell}} \Theta_{i\bar{\ell}kj} = \Theta_{ij}^{(3)},$$

where R stands for $R^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}$. Similarly, we have

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right) = \Theta_{ij}^{(4)}$$

and $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)(\partial/\partial z^i, \partial/\partial z^j) = \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch},\mathbb{R}}, g)(\partial/\partial \bar{z}^j, \partial/\partial \bar{z}^i) = 0$. \square

Definition 5.2. $(M, g, J, \nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}})$ is called *real Chern–Einstein* if

$$(5-2) \quad \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) = \lambda g \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) = 0$, it is also called *real Chern–Ricci flat*. Moreover, $(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g)$ has positive real Chern–Ricci curvature if $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) \in \Gamma(M, \text{Sym}^{\otimes 2} T_{\mathbb{R}} M)$ and it is positive definite. The negativity can be defined similarly.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M, g, J) be a Hermitian manifold. Then $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g)$ is positive if and only if*

$$(5-3) \quad \Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega$$

is a positive definite Hermitian $(1, 1)$ -form. In particular, $(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Einstein with constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$(5-4) \quad \Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega = \lambda \omega,$$

where $\Theta^{(1)}$ is the first Chern–Ricci curvature.

Proof. By (5-1), if $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) \in \Gamma(M, \text{Sym}^{\otimes 2} T_{\mathbb{R}} M)$, then $\Theta_{ij}^{(3)} = \Theta_{ij}^{(4)}$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) = \Theta_{ij}^{(3)} (dz^i \otimes d\bar{z}^j + d\bar{z}^j \otimes dz^i).$$

Hence, the real Chern–Ricci curvature is positive definite if and only if $\Theta_{ij}^{(3)} v^i \bar{v}^j > 0$ for every nonzero vector (v^i) . By Theorem 2.3 or Corollary 1.8 (when $t = 0$), we know

$$\Theta^{(3)} = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{ij}^{(3)} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j = \Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega$$

is a positive definite Hermitian $(1, 1)$ -form. In particular, $(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Einstein with constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if (5-4) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By applying ∂ to (5-4), we have $\lambda \partial \omega = 0$. Hence if $\lambda \neq 0$, $d\omega = 0$ and (M, g, J) is Kähler–Einstein. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) > 0$. By Theorem 5.3 we deduce the Hermitian $(1, 1)$ -form

$$\Omega_0 := \Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega > 0$$

and $\partial\Omega_0 = 0$. Since Ω_0 is also real, we obtain $d\Omega_0 = 0$. Hence, Ω_0 is a Kähler form and (M, J) is a Kähler manifold. Moreover, the $(1, 1)$ -form $\partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega$ is both d -closed and ∂ -exact. By the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma on the Kähler manifold (M, J) , there exists some $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega = -\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} f$. Hence $\Theta^{(1)} = \Omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} f$ and so $c_1(M, J) > 0$. The proof for $\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g) < 0$ is similar. □

When $\lambda = 0$, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.4. *Let (M, g, J) be a Hermitian manifold. Then $(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, g)$ is real Chern–Ricci flat if and only if*

$$\Theta^{(1)} - \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega = \Theta^{(1)} - \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \omega = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. On the standard Hopf manifold $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with canonical metric

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1} h_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j = \frac{4\delta_{i\bar{j}}}{|z|^2} \sqrt{-1} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j,$$

we know the metric

$$(5-5) \quad \omega = \omega_0 - \frac{4}{n} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2$$

is Levi-Civita–Ricci flat; see [Liu and Yang 2017, Theorem 6.2] or Theorem 1.12 with $t = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, one can show directly (see also [Liu and Yang 2017, Theorem 6.2]) that

$$\partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega = n\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2$$

where ∂^* is taken with respect to ω . By Corollary 5.4, we deduce that $(\nabla^{\text{Ch}, \mathbb{R}}, \omega)$ is real Chern–Ricci flat. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By Theorem 5.3, we have $\Theta^{(1)} = \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega$. Hence, the real $(1, 1)$ -form $\partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega$ is d -closed and ∂ -exact.

If (M, J) is Kähler, by the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma, there exists some $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Theta^{(1)} = \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} f$. In particular, $c_1(M) = 0$ on the Kähler manifold (M, J) . By the Calabi–Yau theorem, there exists a Kähler–Ricci flat metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is possibly different from ω .

When (M, J) is not Kähler, by (1-8), we have

$$s^{(1)}\left(\omega, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \text{tr}_\omega \Theta^{(1)} - \langle \partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega, \omega \rangle = 0.$$

By using Theorem 6.1 with $t = \frac{1}{2}$, we deduce that either

- (1) $\kappa(M) = 0$ and $c_1^{\text{BC}}(M) = 0$, and furthermore, (M, J) has a balanced metric and K_M is a holomorphic torsion: $K_M^{\otimes m} = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, or
- (2) $\kappa(M) = -\infty$ and $c_1^{\text{AC}}(M) = 0$.

When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 2$, by using Theorem 6.4 with $t = \frac{1}{2}$, we know the Hopf surface is the only non-Kähler surface which can support real Chern–Ricci flat metrics. \square

Remark 5.5. On a Kähler Calabi–Yau manifold M , there exist non-Kähler metrics which are real Chern–Ricci flat. Indeed, let ω_{CY} be a Calabi–Yau Kähler metric on M . Then for any nonconstant smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, by Yau’s theorem [1978], there exists a Kähler metric ω_0 such that

$$\omega_0^n = e^{-f} \omega_{\text{CY}}^n.$$

Let $\omega_f = e^f \omega_0$. We have

$$\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f = \bar{\partial}_0^* \omega_0 + (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial f = (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial f.$$

Hence $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f = \partial \bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f = -\sqrt{-1}(n-1) \partial \bar{\partial} f$. Moreover, we have

$$\omega_f^n = e^{(n-1)f} \omega_{CY}^n,$$

which implies $\Theta^{(1)}(\omega_f) = \Theta^{(1)}(\omega_{CY}) - (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f = \partial \bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f$. By [Corollary 5.4](#), ω_f is a real Chern–Ricci-flat metric, and it is a non-Kähler metric.

6. Classification of compact complex surfaces with t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics

In this section, we classify compact complex surfaces with t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics. One of the key ingredients is understanding the geometry of scalar curvatures of Gauduchon connections. The following theorem generalizes results in [[Liu and Yang 2017; 2018; Yang 2019b; He et al. 2020](#)] to the Gauduchon family.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a compact complex manifold. Suppose ω is a Hermitian metric and ∇^t is the Gauduchon connection of M . If $s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \geq 0$ for some $t > 0$, then either*

- (1) $\kappa(M) = -\infty$, or
- (2) $\kappa(M) = 0$ and (M, ω) is conformally balanced and K_M is a holomorphic torsion: $K_M^{\otimes m} = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

For $t < 0$, we have a similar result:

Theorem 6.2. *Let M be a compact complex manifold. Suppose ω is a Hermitian metric and ∇^t is the Gauduchon connection of M . If $s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \leq 0$ for some $t < 0$ and $s^{(1)}(\omega, t)$ is strictly negative at some point, then K_M^{-1} is not pseudoeffective.*

To prove [Theorem 6.1](#) and [Theorem 6.2](#), we first calculate the total scalar curvature of the Gauduchon metric in the conformal class of ω . It is well known that there exists a smooth function f on M such that $\omega_f = e^f \omega$ is Gauduchon: $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega_f^{n-1} = 0$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let s_f be the Chern scalar curvature of $\omega_f = e^f \omega$. Then we have*

$$(6-1) \quad \int_M s_f \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} = \int_M f^{n-1} \cdot s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \cdot \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + t \int_M (|\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f|^2 + |\partial_f^* \omega_f|^2) \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!}.$$

Proof. From the relation $\Theta^{(1)}(\omega_f) = \Theta^{(1)}(\omega) - \sqrt{-1} n \partial \bar{\partial} f$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M s_f \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} &= \int_M \Theta^{(1)}(\omega_f) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \int_M (\Theta^{(1)}(\omega) - n\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_M \Theta^{(1)}(\omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

By using [Corollary 1.8](#) and $\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega, t) = \Theta^{(1)}(\omega) - t(\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega + \partial\partial^*\omega)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M s_f \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} &= \int_M \Theta^{(1)}(\omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_M (\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega, t) + t\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega + t\partial\partial^*\omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_M e^{(n-1)f} \cdot s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \cdot \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + t \int_M (\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega + \partial\partial^*\omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the formula

$$\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f = \bar{\partial}^* \omega + (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial f,$$

see [\[Yang 2020, Lemma 3.4\]](#), we get

$$\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \omega - (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} f, \quad \partial\partial_f^* \omega_f = \partial\partial^* \omega - (n-1)\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} f.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \omega + \partial\partial^* \omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} &= \int_M (\bar{\partial}_f \bar{\partial}_f^* \omega + \partial_f \partial_f^* \omega) \wedge \frac{\omega_f^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_M (|\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f|_f^2 + |\partial_f^* \omega_f|_f^2) \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As an application of [Lemma 6.3](#), we can prove [Theorems 6.1](#) and [6.2](#).

Proof of [Theorem 6.1](#). If $s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \geq 0$ for some $t > 0$, by [Lemma 6.3](#), we have

$$\int_M s_f \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} \geq t \int_M (|\bar{\partial}_f^* \omega_f|_f^2 + |\partial_f^* \omega_f|_f^2) \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} \geq 0.$$

If $\int_M s_f (\omega_f^n/n!) > 0$, by [\[Yang 2019b, Corollary 3.3\]](#), we have $\kappa(M) = -\infty$. If $\int_M s_f (\omega_f^n/n!) = 0$, then we must have $\bar{\partial}^* \omega_f = 0$, i.e., ω_f is a balanced metric. Hence ω is conformal balanced. In this case, by [\[Yang 2019b, Theorem 1.4\]](#), it follows that either $\kappa(M) = -\infty$ or $\kappa(M) = 0$ with K_M a holomorphic torsion. \square

Proof of [Theorem 6.2](#). By using [Lemma 6.3](#) again, we deduce

$$\int_M s_f \frac{\omega_f^n}{n!} \leq \int_M e^{(n-1)f} \cdot s^{(1)}(\omega, t) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < 0.$$

By [\[Yang 2019b, Theorem 1.3\]](#), K_M^{-1} is not pseudoeffective. \square

Now we are ready to establish the classification.

Theorem 6.4. *Let S be a compact complex surface. If it admits a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric ω for some $t > 0$, then S is a minimal surface lying in one of the following:*

- (1) *an Enriques surface;*
- (2) *a bielliptic surface;*
- (3) *a K3-surface;*
- (4) *a 2-torus;*
- (5) *a Hopf surface.*

We shall prove [Theorem 6.4](#) following ideas in [[He et al. 2020](#)]. By [Theorem 6.1](#), we have:

Corollary 6.5. *Suppose $t > 0$. If a complex surface S can admit a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric, then either*

- (1) $\kappa(S) = -\infty$, or
- (2) $\kappa(S) = 0$. In this case, ω is conformal Kähler and K_S is a holomorphic torsion: $K_S^{\otimes m} = 0$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In both cases, we have $c_1^2(S) = 0$.

We need two more lemmas with proofs similar to those in [[He et al. 2020](#)].

Lemma 6.6 [[He et al. 2020](#), Theorem 4.3]. *Let S be a complex surface with $\kappa(S) = -\infty$. If S admits a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric, then S must be non-Kähler.*

Lemma 6.7 [[He et al. 2020](#), Theorem 5.1]. *Let S be a non-Kähler complex surface with $\kappa(S) = -\infty$. If $c_1^2(S) = 0$, then S must be minimal.*

As an application of [Corollary 6.5](#), [Lemma 6.6](#) and [Lemma 6.7](#), one has:

Corollary 6.8. *If a compact complex surface S admits a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric ω , then S must be minimal.*

Proof of [Theorem 6.4](#). Suppose S supports a t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric, then by [Corollary 6.5](#), $\kappa(S) \leq 0$ and by [Corollary 6.8](#), S is also minimal.

(A) $\kappa(S) = 0$. By Kodaira–Enriques’s classification, S is exactly one of the following:

- (1) an Enriques surface;
- (2) a bielliptic surface;
- (3) a K3 surface;
- (4) a torus.

They are all Kähler Calabi–Yau.

(B) $\kappa(S) = -\infty$. By using Kodaira–Enriques’s classification again, S can only be one of the following:

- (1) a minimal rational surface;
- (2) a ruled surface with $g > 0$;
- (3) a surface of class VII₀.

By Lemma 6.6, S is non-Kähler and so S can only be a surface of class VII₀:

- (1) a class VII₀ surface with type $b_2 > 0$;
- (2) an Inoue surface;
- (3) a Hopf surface.

By using similar strategies as in the proof of [He et al. 2020, Theorem 5.1], one can show S can only be a Hopf surface. \square

Remark 6.9. An explicit t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metric on a diagonal Hopf surface is constructed in Theorem 7.1.

7. Explicit construction of t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat metrics on Hopf manifolds

Let $M = \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be the standard n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) Hopf manifold. It is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\})/G$ where G is a cyclic group generated by the transformation $z \mapsto \frac{1}{2}z$. It has an induced complex structure from $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$. On M , there is a natural induced metric ω_0 given by

$$(7-1) \quad \omega_0 = \sqrt{-1} h_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j = \sqrt{-1} \frac{4\delta_{i\bar{j}}}{|z|^2} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j.$$

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 7.1. *The Hermitian metric*

$$(7-2) \quad \Omega_t = \omega_0 + 4 \left(\frac{2(n-1)t}{n} - 1 \right) \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2, \quad t > 0$$

is t -Gauduchon–Ricci flat: $\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\Omega_t, t) = 0$.

Proof. We shall use similar constructions as in [Liu and Yang 2017, Section 6]. More precisely, we consider the perturbed Hermitian metric

$$(7-3) \quad \omega_\lambda = \omega_0 + 4\lambda \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2 \quad \text{with } \lambda > -1.$$

It is shown in [Liu and Yang 2017, Theorem 6.2] that

$$(7-4) \quad \Theta^{(1)}(\omega_\lambda) = n \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2$$

and

$$(7-5) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\partial\bar{\partial}^* \omega_\lambda + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \omega_\lambda) = \frac{n-1}{1+\lambda} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial} \log|z|^2.$$

By using [Corollary 1.8](#), we deduce

$$\mathfrak{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega_\lambda, t) = \Theta^{(1)} - t(\partial\bar{\partial}^*\omega_\lambda + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\omega_\lambda) = \left(n - \frac{2(n-1)t}{1+\lambda}\right)\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log|z|^2.$$

Therefore, $\text{Ric}^{(1)}(\omega_\lambda, t) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = (2(n-1)t/n) - 1$. □

Remark 7.2. Note that when $t = 0$, $\Omega_0 = \omega_0 - 4\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log|z|^2$ is not a Hermitian metric since the corresponding matrix is not positive definite. It is also well known that there is no Chern–Ricci flat metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, although there are Levi-Civita–Ricci flat metrics ($t = \frac{1}{2}$) and Strominger–Bismut–Ricci flat metrics ($t = 1$). On the Hopf surface $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, the canonical metric $\Omega_1 = \omega_0$ is Strominger–Bismut–Ricci flat.

Appendix: Curvatures of Gauduchon connections

In this section, we give a detailed proof of [Lemma 3.5](#) (which is definitely well known to experts) and [Corollary 1.8](#).

Let $\{\nabla^{\lambda,\mu}\}_{\lambda,\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ be a family of affine connections on $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ defined by

$$(A-1) \quad g(\nabla_X^{\lambda,\mu}Y, Z) := g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}}Y, Z) + \lambda d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) + \mu d\omega(JX, Y, Z),$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$. Let $\{z^i\}$ be the local holomorphic coordinates on M . We consider the complexification of $\nabla^{\lambda,\mu}$ by setting

$$(A-2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\lambda,\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} &= \Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} + \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k}, \\ \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\lambda,\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} &= \Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} + \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.1. *We have the relations*

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) = \Gamma_{ij}^k - (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})T_{ij}^k, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = 0,$$

and

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})h^{k\bar{m}}h_{j\bar{n}}\bar{T}_{im}^n, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = (-\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})h^{m\bar{k}}h_{n\bar{i}}T_{jm}^n,$$

where $\Gamma_{ij}^k = h^{k\bar{\ell}}(\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}/\partial z^i)$ and $T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k$.

Proof. It follows from standard computations, and for readers’ convenience we include a straightforward proof here. At first, we have

$$(A-3) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \frac{1}{2}h^{k\bar{\ell}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i} + \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$$

and

$$(A-4) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \frac{1}{2}h^{k\bar{\ell}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\ell}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} + \frac{1}{2}h^{k\bar{q}} \left(\frac{\partial h_{k\bar{i}}}{\partial z^j} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial z^k} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^q}.$$

Note also that $d\omega(\partial/\partial z^i, \partial/\partial z^j, \partial/\partial \bar{z}^\ell) = \sqrt{-1}(\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}/\partial z^i - \partial h_{i\bar{\ell}}/\partial z^j)$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + \lambda d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + \mu d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i} + \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^j}\right) + \lambda \sqrt{-1} d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + \sqrt{-1} \mu d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i} - (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})\left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) = \Gamma_{ij}^k - (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})T_{ij}^k.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) \\ &= h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) + \lambda d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) + \mu d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the metric is J -invariant. Therefore, $\Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = 0$.

For the second part, $d\omega(\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i, \partial/\partial z^j, \partial/\partial \bar{z}^\ell) = \sqrt{-1}(\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}/\partial \bar{z}^i - \partial h_{j\bar{i}}/\partial \bar{z}^\ell)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + \lambda d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + \mu d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) - \lambda \sqrt{-1} d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) - \sqrt{-1} \mu d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial h_{j\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) + (\lambda + \mu)\left(\frac{\partial h_{\ell\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right) = (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})\left(\frac{\partial h_{\ell\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}^i} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\ell}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})h^{k\bar{m}}h_{j\bar{n}}\bar{T}_{im}^n.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) \\ &= h\left(\nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\text{LC}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) + \lambda d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) + \mu d\omega\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial h_{k\bar{i}}}{\partial z^j} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial z^k}\right) + \sqrt{-1}(\lambda - \mu) d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right) \\ &= (-\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})\left(\frac{\partial h_{k\bar{i}}}{\partial z^j} - \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{i}}}{\partial z^k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = (-\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})h^{m\bar{k}}h_{n\bar{i}}T_{jm}^n. \quad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. By Lemma A.1 and (3-2), we deduced that $\nabla^{\lambda, \mu} \in \mathcal{A}_{g, J}$ if and only if $\Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = 0$:

$$(A-5) \quad \nabla^{\lambda, \mu} \in \mathcal{A}_{g, J} \iff (-\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})d\omega = 0.$$

On the other hand, the restricted connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\lambda, \mu} = \pi(\nabla^{\lambda, \mu})$ on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$ is determined by

$$(A-6) \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\lambda, \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k},$$

where

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(\lambda, \mu) = \Gamma_{ij}^k - (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})T_{ij}^k, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})h^{k\bar{m}}h_{j\bar{n}}\bar{T}_{im}^n.$$

Recall that the Chern connection ∇^{Ch} of $T^{1,0}M$ is characterized by

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^{\text{Ch}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^{\text{Ch}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$(A-7) \quad \pi(\nabla^{\lambda, \mu}) = \nabla^{\text{Ch}} \iff (\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2})d\omega = 0.$$

By using (A-5) and (A-7), we deduce

$$(A-8) \quad \rho(\nabla^{\lambda, \mu}) = \nabla^{\text{Ch}} \iff d\omega = 0 \text{ or } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

Thus, we obtain (3-7). Similarly, one can show (3-8) and (3-9). The uniqueness of the family (3-10) follows from the linear property. \square

A.1. Curvature formulas of Gauduchon connections. Recall that there is a linear family of connections defined by

$$g(\nabla_X^t Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{LC}} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2}t d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) + \frac{1}{2}(t-1) d\omega(JX, Y, Z).$$

By using Lemma A.1, the Gauduchon connection is determined by

$$(A-9) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial z^i}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k},$$

where the coefficients Γ_{ij}^k and $\Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}$ are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(t) = \Gamma_{ij}^k - tT_{ij}^k \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}}(t) = t \cdot h^{k\bar{m}}h_{j\bar{n}}\bar{T}_{im}^n.$$

Theorem A.2. *The curvature tensor of Gauduchon connection ∇^t is given by*

$$R_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}(t) = \Theta_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}} + t(\Theta_{i\bar{\ell}k\bar{j}} + \Theta_{k\bar{j}i\bar{\ell}} - 2\Theta_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}}) + t^2(T_{ik}^p \bar{T}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q h_{p\bar{q}} - h^{p\bar{q}} h_{m\bar{\ell}} h_{k\bar{n}} T_{ip}^m \bar{T}_{j\bar{q}}^n).$$

Proof. In the setting of [Proposition 4.1](#), $\theta_{ij}^k = -tT_{ij}^k$. Hence this last equation follows from [Proposition 4.1](#) and the relation $\partial T_{ik}^\ell / \partial \bar{z}^j = -\Theta_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell + \Theta_{k\bar{j}i}^\ell$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.8. It follows from [Theorem 2.3](#) and [Theorem A.2](#). \square

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Professor K.-F. Liu and Professor S.-T. Yau for their support, encouragement and stimulating discussions over years. Yang would also like to thank Teng Fei and Valentino Tosatti for helpful suggestions.

Wang is supported by the Youth Program of NSFC (Grant No. 12201105). Yang is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China 2022YFA1005400 and NSFC grants (No. 12325103, 12171262 and 12141101).

References

- [Andreas and Garcia-Fernandez 2012] B. Andreas and M. Garcia-Fernandez, “Solutions of the Strominger system via stable bundles on Calabi–Yau threefolds”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **315**:1 (2012), 153–168. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Andreas and Garcia-Fernandez 2014] B. Andreas and M. Garcia-Fernandez, “Note on solutions of the Strominger system from unitary representations of cocompact lattices of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **332**:3 (2014), 1381–1383. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Angella et al. 2017] D. Angella, S. Calamai, and C. Spotti, “On the Chern–Yamabe problem”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **24**:3 (2017), 645–677. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Angella et al. 2022] D. Angella, A. Otal, L. Ugarte, and R. Villacampa, “On Gauduchon connections with Kähler-like curvature”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **30**:5 (2022), 961–1006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Apostolov and Drăghici 1999] V. Apostolov and T. Drăghici, “Hermitian conformal classes and almost Kähler structures on 4-manifolds”, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **11**:2 (1999), 179–195. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bismut 1989] J.-M. Bismut, “A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds”, *Math. Ann.* **284**:4 (1989), 681–699. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Biswas and Mukherjee 2013] I. Biswas and A. Mukherjee, “Solutions of Strominger system from unitary representations of cocompact lattices of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322**:2 (2013), 373–384. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen and Zhang 2023] H. Chen and W. Zhang, “Kodaira dimensions of almost complex manifolds, I”, *Amer. J. Math.* **145**:2 (2023), 477–514. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen et al. 2021] H. Chen, L. Chen, and X. Nie, “Chern–Ricci curvatures, holomorphic sectional curvature and Hermitian metrics”, *Sci. China Math.* **64**:4 (2021), 763–780. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chiose et al. 2019] I. Chiose, R. Răşdeaconu, and I. Şuvaina, “Balanced metrics on uniruled manifolds”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **27**:2 (2019), 329–355. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fei and Yau 2015] T. Fei and S.-T. Yau, “Invariant solutions to the Strominger system on complex Lie groups and their quotients”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **338**:3 (2015), 1183–1195. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fu 2012] J. Fu, “Specific non-Kähler Hermitian metrics on compact complex manifolds”, pp. 79–90 in *Recent developments in geometry and analysis*, edited by Y. Dong et al., Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **23**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Fu and Yau 2008] J.-X. Fu and S.-T. Yau, “The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge–Ampère equation”, *J. Differential Geom.* **78**:3 (2008), 369–428. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fu and Zhou 2022] J. Fu and X. Zhou, “Scalar curvatures in almost Hermitian geometry and some applications”, *Sci. China Math.* **65**:12 (2022), 2583–2600. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fu et al. 2009] J.-X. Fu, L.-S. Tseng, and S.-T. Yau, “Local heterotic torsional models”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **289**:3 (2009), 1151–1169. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gauduchon 1977a] P. Gauduchon, “Fibrés hermitiens à endomorphisme de Ricci non négatif”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **105**:2 (1977), 113–140. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gauduchon 1977b] P. Gauduchon, “Le théorème de l’excentricité nulle”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B* **285**:5 (1977), A387–A390. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gauduchon 1984] P. Gauduchon, “La 1-forme de torsion d’une variété hermitienne compacte”, *Math. Ann.* **267**:4 (1984), 495–518. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gauduchon 1997] P. Gauduchon, “Hermitian connections and Dirac operators”, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) **11**:2 (1997), 257–288. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gray 1976] A. Gray, “Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds”, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **28**:4 (1976), 601–612. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [He et al. 2020] J. He, K. Liu, and X. Yang, “Levi-Civita Ricci-flat metrics on compact complex manifolds”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **30**:1 (2020), 646–666. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lejmi and Maalaoui 2018] M. Lejmi and A. Maalaoui, “On the Chern–Yamabe flow”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **28**:3 (2018), 2692–2706. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lejmi and Upmeyer 2020] M. Lejmi and M. Upmeyer, “Integrability theorems and conformally constant Chern scalar curvature metrics in almost Hermitian geometry”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **28**:7 (2020), 1603–1645. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li 2010] T.-J. Li, “Symplectic Calabi–Yau surfaces”, pp. 231–356 in *Handbook of geometric analysis, No. 3*, edited by L. Ji et al., Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **14**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu and Yang 2012] K.-F. Liu and X.-K. Yang, “Geometry of Hermitian manifolds”, *Internat. J. Math.* **23**:6 (2012), art. id. 1250055. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu and Yang 2017] K. Liu and X. Yang, “Ricci curvatures on Hermitian manifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369**:7 (2017), 5157–5196. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu and Yang 2018] K. F. Liu and X. K. Yang, “Minimal complex surfaces with Levi-Civita Ricci-flat metrics”, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **34**:8 (2018), 1195–1207. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Otal et al. 2017] A. Otal, L. Ugarte, and R. Villacampa, “Invariant solutions to the Strominger system and the heterotic equations of motion”, *Nuclear Phys. B* **920** (2017), 442–474. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Strominger 1986] A. Strominger, “Superstrings with torsion”, *Nuclear Phys. B* **274**:2 (1986), 253–284. [MR](#)
- [Tricerri and Vanhecke 1981] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, “Curvature tensors on almost Hermitian manifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **267**:2 (1981), 365–397. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wang et al. 2020] Q. Wang, B. Yang, and F. Zheng, “On Bismut flat manifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373**:8 (2020), 5747–5772. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang 2016] X. Yang, “Hermitian manifolds with semi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **23**:3 (2016), 939–952. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang 2017] X. Yang, “Big vector bundles and complex manifolds with semi-positive tangent bundles”, *Math. Ann.* **367**:1–2 (2017), 251–282. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Yang 2019a] X. Yang, “A partial converse to the Andreotti–Grauert theorem”, *Compos. Math.* **155**:1 (2019), 89–99. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang 2019b] X. Yang, “Scalar curvature on compact complex manifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**:3 (2019), 2073–2087. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang 2020] X. Yang, “Scalar curvature, Kodaira dimension and \hat{A} -genus”, *Math. Z.* **295**:1–2 (2020), 365–380. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang and Zheng 2018a] B. Yang and F. Zheng, “On curvature tensors of Hermitian manifolds”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **26**:5 (2018), 1195–1222. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yang and Zheng 2018b] B. Yang and F. Y. Zheng, “On compact Hermitian manifolds with flat Gauduchon connections”, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **34**:8 (2018), 1259–1268. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau 1974] S.-T. Yau, “On the curvature of compact Hermitian manifolds”, *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 213–239. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau 1978] S.-T. Yau, “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation, I”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**:3 (1978), 339–411. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau et al. 2023] S.-T. Yau, Q. Zhao, and F. Zheng, “On Strominger Kähler-like manifolds with degenerate torsion”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376**:5 (2023), 3063–3085. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhang 2012] X. Zhang, “Hermitian harmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds”, pp. 485–493 in *Recent developments in geometry and analysis*, edited by Y. Dong et al., Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **23**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zhao and Zheng 2023] Q. Zhao and F. Zheng, “Strominger connection and pluriclosed metrics”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **796** (2023), 245–267. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received December 26, 2023. Revised March 22, 2025.

JUN WANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
BEIJING
CHINA

jwang1st@mail.ustc.edu.cn

XIAOKUI YANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
BEIJING
CHINA

xkyang@tsinghua.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
School of Sciences
Chongqing University of Technology
Chongqing 400054, China
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sucharit Sarkar
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
sucharit@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$677/year for the electronic version, and \$917/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 337 No. 2 August 2025

A characterization of quasihomogeneous bivariate polynomials	201
DAVID BRADLEY-WILLIAMS, PABLO CUBIDES KOVACSICS and IMMANUEL HALUPCZOK	
Quartic del Pezzo surfaces without quadratic points	215
BRENDAN CREUTZ and BIANCA VIRAY	
Reproducing kernel functions and boundary limits in model spaces	225
YONGJIANG DUAN, YUFEI LI and JAVAD MASHREGHI	
A note on infinite partitions of free products of Boolean algebras	245
MARIO JARDÓN SANTOS	
On Matlis reflexive modules	257
HENNING KRAUSE	
The ramification tree and almost Dedekind domains of prescribed SP-rank	273
BALINT RAGO and DARIO SPIRITO	
The Gysin braid for S^3-actions on manifolds	297
JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO and MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN	
A conjecture on multiplicities for strongly tempered spherical varieties	339
CHEN WAN and LEI ZHANG	
Curvatures of real connections on Hermitian manifolds	365
JUN WANG and XIAOKUI YANG	