

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**A QUANTIZATION OF COARSE SPACES  
AND UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS**

BRUNO M. BRAGA, JOSEPH EISNER AND DAVID SHERMAN

# A QUANTIZATION OF COARSE SPACES AND UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS

BRUNO M. BRAGA, JOSEPH EISNER AND DAVID SHERMAN

**We propose a quantization of coarse spaces and uniform Roe algebras. The objects are based on the quantum relations introduced by N. Weaver and require the choice of a represented von Neumann algebra. In the case of the diagonal inclusion  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , they reduce to the usual constructions. Quantum metric spaces furnish natural examples parallel to the classical setting, but we provide other examples that are not inspired by metric considerations, including the new class of support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras. We also work out the basic theory for maps between quantum coarse spaces and their consequences for quantum uniform Roe algebras.**

## 1. Introduction

Roe-type algebras, also known as closed translation invariant algebras [Roe 2003], are operator algebras built out of metric or more general coarse spaces. Originally introduced by J. Roe [1988a; 1988b] to obtain index theorems for elliptic operators on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, they have since found applications in many directions, from the Baum–Connes and Novikov conjectures [Yu 2000] to topological insulators [Ewert and Meyer 2019]. Based on a wave of recent work, we now know that these algebras are complete invariants for the large-scale, or *coarse*, geometry of the underlying spaces (see, e.g., [Baudier et al. 2022; Martínez and Vigolo 2025]). In this article we point out that the entire framework implicitly relies on the simplest von Neumann algebras, those of the form  $\ell_\infty(X)$ , which we generalize to arbitrary von Neumann algebras. This opens up a new realm of quantum coarse spaces and their associated quantum uniform Roe algebras. Here we follow standard usage of the adjective “quantum” (much repeated throughout the paper) as an interpretation of structures connected to Hilbert spaces as noncommutative versions of classical counterparts [Connes 1994; Weaver 2001]. The foundation for

---

B. M. Braga and D. Sherman were partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 2054860. Braga was partially supported by FAPERJ, grant E-26/200.167/2023, by CNPq, grant 303571/2022-5, and by Serrapilheira, grant R-2501-51476.

MSC2020: 46L65, 46L89, 51F30.

Keywords: uniform Roe algebras, quantum relations.

our approach is N. Weaver's quantization of relations on a set [2012], as well as G. Kuperberg and N. Weaver's quantum approach to metric spaces [2012].

Let us review a few concepts from coarse geometry. A *coarse space* consists of a set  $X$  together with a collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of relations which, by satisfying certain axioms, gives a notion of boundedness. The prototype is a metric space  $(X, d)$ , with  $\mathcal{E}$  comprising all subsets of the sets  $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ ; the appropriate well-behaved maps in this setting are those for which the expansion of distances is controlled at the large scale. Importantly, though, the axioms for coarse spaces allow for nonmetric examples. In fact coarse spaces are conceptually analogous to the much older framework of *uniform spaces* [Engelking 1977, Chapter 8], in which uniform continuity (controlled expansion of distances at the small scale) is generalized beyond the metric setting. Given a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{E})$ , one may construct its *uniform Roe algebra*  $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$  as follows. For any relation  $E$  on  $X$ , i.e.,  $E \subset X \times X$ , we say that an operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  with matrix form  $[a_{xy}]_{x, y \in X}$  is  *$E$ -controlled* if  $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : a_{xy} \neq 0\} \subset E$ . Then  $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$  is the unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  obtained as the norm closure of all operators controlled by a member of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Our initial motivation is to propose and investigate analogues of the preceding paragraph in which relations on a set are replaced with quantum relations. Classical uniform Roe algebras are already noncommutative and tied to Hilbert space, so how can they be quantized? The answer is that quantum relations rely on an underlying represented von Neumann algebra, and quantum relations on the diagonal abelian subalgebra  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  are in one-to-one correspondence with classical relations on  $X$ . Here we define a *quantum coarse space*, which features an appropriate collection of quantum relations, and we explain how to produce its *quantum uniform Roe algebra*. In case the underlying von Neumann algebra is  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , this recovers the classical theory, but there is no need for it to be commutative or atomic. And just as in the classical setting, one gets examples from the canonical quantum coarse structure of a quantum metric space [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012], but also as before there are nonmetric examples of interest. We develop here a new class of  $C^*$ -algebras, *support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras*, that arise as (nonmetric) quantum uniform Roe algebras. We also put in due diligence to show that many basic concepts and facts for maps between coarse spaces have satisfactory quantum analogues.

We now give an overview of the paper. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set, and fix a Hilbert space  $H$  and a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

In [Section 2](#) we review the basic theory of quantum relations developed by Weaver. A *quantum relation* on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a weak\*-closed subspace  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  which is also a bimodule over  $\mathcal{M}'$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{V}\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{V}$  (see [Definition 2.1](#)). Such an object can also be encoded by a family of pairs of projections of  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  satisfying certain axioms; in this form, for which no representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  is required, it is called an *intrinsic quantum relation* on  $\mathcal{M}$  (see [Definition 2.3](#)). In the case of a diagonal

inclusion  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , a third way of encoding the same information is as a subset  $E \subset X \times X$  ([Weaver 2012, Proposition 2.2] and Proposition 2.2), i.e., a relation. The quantum relation corresponding to  $E$  is nothing but the set of  $E$ -controlled operators.

In Section 3 we define a *quantum coarse structure* on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  as a family  $\mathcal{V}$  of quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfying some properties which mimic the standard axioms of coarse spaces (Definition 3.1) and, analogously, we define an *intrinsic quantum coarse structure* on  $\mathcal{M}$  as a family  $\mathcal{R}$  of intrinsic quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying some similar properties (Definition 3.8). The pairs  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  are then called a *quantum coarse space* and an *intrinsic quantum coarse space*, respectively. These notions are canonically equivalent to each other (Corollary 3.9) and coincide in a canonical way with classical coarse structures in the commutative counting measure case  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_\infty(X)$ . We also show that, just as in classical coarse geometry, a quantum coarse structure is (*quantum*) *metrizable* if and only if it is countably generated (Proposition 3.6). Immediate examples of quantum coarse spaces come from quantum graphs and, more generally, quantum metric spaces [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012].

Section 4 introduces *quantum uniform Roe algebras* (Definition 4.1), which are simply the unital  $C^*$ -algebras that arise as the closed union of all the quantum relations in a quantum coarse space. In the case  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , the reader will notice that this coincides with the usual uniform Roe algebra. Section 4.1 describes quantum versions of connectedness and triviality (for which we amusingly find three distinct levels; see Section 4.1.5 below), and Section 4.2 presents some basic examples. *Intrinsic* quantum relations often allow for a more intuitive approach to quantum large-scale geometry, but the construction of a quantum uniform Roe algebra requires that  $\mathcal{M}$  be represented. This does not muddy the waters too much, since the representation theory of von Neumann algebras is simple. Given an intrinsic quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , the associated quantum uniform Roe algebra is determined up to a “change of representation” equivalence relation (Section 4.1.2; see also Theorem 6.5(2)).

Section 5 presents a new class of examples that illustrate the flexibility of our definitions and invite further study. The prototype of a classical coarse structure arises from a metric; the uniform Roe algebra is the closure of the operators that do not change the support of a vector too much in terms of *displacement*. Analogously: if  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace  $\tau$ , we construct in Section 5.1 a  $C^*$ -algebra as the closure of the operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  that do not change the size of subspaces affiliated with  $\mathcal{M}$  too much in terms of *measure*, where the size of a subspace is measured by applying the trace to the associated projection in  $\mathcal{M}$ . The explicit condition on  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is the existence of  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that for all projections  $q \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)), \tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a^*q)) \leq \lambda \cdot \tau(q).$$

(Here  $s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(\cdot)$  denotes the *left  $\mathcal{M}$ -support*, the smallest projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  fixing the range of the operator.) We call this  $C^*$ -algebra a *support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra* and show in [Theorem 5.5](#) that it is a quantum uniform Roe algebra.

In [Section 5.2](#) we give a vector-based version of this construction. It is in some ways simpler and produces the same  $C^*$ -algebra when  $\mathcal{M}$  is abelian ([Theorem 5.10](#)), but in general a *vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra* need not be a quantum uniform Roe algebra at all ([Theorem 5.12](#)). In our companion paper [[Braga et al. 2024](#)] we study the wild jungle of (vector) support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras arising when  $\mathcal{M}$  is restricted to be abelian but the constraint functions  $f$  — meaning  $\tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) \leq f(\tau(q))$  — are not necessarily linear. [Section 5.3](#) makes the observation that all  $*$ -isomorphisms between support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras associated to  $\text{II}_1$ -factors without property  $\Gamma$  are spatially implemented ([Proposition 5.14](#)), and we explain how this could be a step toward rigidity-type results for quantum uniform Roe algebras that may be pursued elsewhere.

[Section 6](#) deals with morphisms, equivalences, and embeddings between quantum coarse spaces — here intrinsic quantum relations provide a more suitable framework. We proceed by imposing various conditions on unital weak $*$ -continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  (“quantum functions”) so that they interact appropriately with intrinsic quantum coarse structures. This leads to quantum versions for the following terms from coarse geometry: coarse function, close functions, coarse equivalence, bijective coarse equivalence, coarse subspace, coarse embedding, expanding, cobounded. Of course, we are especially interested in their consequences for quantum uniform Roe algebras. Looking back to the classical scenario once again, we know that if there is an injective coarse map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , then there is a canonical embedding  $C_u^*(X) \hookrightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ . If  $f$  is furthermore a coarse embedding, then the image of the embedding  $C_u^*(X) \hookrightarrow C_u^*(Y)$  is a hereditary subalgebra of  $C_u^*(Y)$ ; if  $f$  is a bijective coarse equivalence, the embedding  $C_u^*(X) \hookrightarrow C_u^*(Y)$  becomes an isomorphism. These results have quantum analogues, and we prove them in [Theorems 6.5\(2\)](#), [6.6](#), and [6.23](#).

[Section 6.3](#) recasts some of our definitions in terms of natural moduli for quantum functions between quantum metric spaces ([Propositions 6.25](#) and [6.27](#)). In [Section 6.4](#) we define the asymptotic dimension of a (not necessarily metrizable) quantum coarse space and show that, as in the classical setting, this notion is stable under quantum coarse embedding ([Theorem 6.31](#)).

This paper is mostly an attempt to lay the groundwork for quantum coarse geometry (although we believe support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras are natural and independently interesting operator algebras). We are hopeful that many compelling examples and phenomena are yet to be discovered.

## 2. Preliminaries: quantum relations

**2.1. Basic notation.** Given a Hilbert space  $H$ , we denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$  by  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and the ideal of compact operators on  $H$  by  $\mathcal{K}(H)$ . We denote the identity element by  $1$ , occasionally with a subscript to indicate the scope, e.g.,  $1_H$ . Given a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote the set of its projections by  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{A})$ .

A measure space  $(X, \mu)$  is called *finitely decomposable* if  $X$  has a partition into finite measure subsets, say  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ , so that  $A \subset X$  is measurable if and only if each  $A \cap X_\lambda$  is measurable, and in this case  $\mu(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu(A \cap X_\lambda)$ . We frequently identify  $f \in L_\infty(X, \mu)$  with the corresponding operator of multiplication by  $f$ , so that  $L_\infty(X, \mu) \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(X, \mu))$ . Given a measurable  $A \subset X$ ,  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$ , which is a projection in  $\mathcal{B}(L_2(X, \mu))$ . In case  $\mu$  is the counting measure on  $X$ , we denote the standard unit basis of  $\ell_2(X)$  by  $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$  and, given  $x, y \in X$ ,  $e_{xy}$  denotes the rank-1 partial isometry on  $\ell_2(X)$  which takes  $\delta_y$  to  $\delta_x$ ; so,  $\chi_{\{x\}} = e_{xx}$ .

For a represented von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , we define the  $\mathcal{M}$ -support of a vector  $\xi \in H$  as the smallest projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  fixing  $\xi$ , denoted by  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  its *left  $\mathcal{M}$ -support*, written  $s_{\ell}^{\mathcal{M}}(a)$ , is the smallest projection  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $qa = a$ . This generalizes the range projection ( $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ ) and is equal to  $\bigvee_{\xi \in H} s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)$ . Here are some elementary properties we will use in the sequel, where the sums are convergent in any sense:

$$(1) \quad s^{\mathcal{M}}(\sum \xi_j) \leq \bigvee s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j); \quad s_{\ell}^{\mathcal{M}}(\sum a_j) \leq \bigvee s_{\ell}^{\mathcal{M}}(a_j).$$

**2.2. Quantum relations.** We recall Weaver's *quantum relations*:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. A weak\*-closed  $\mathcal{M}'$ - $\mathcal{M}'$  bimodule  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is called a *quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$* . We denote the set of all quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  by  $\text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ .

The next proposition justifies why the objects introduced in [Definition 2.1](#) deserve to be called quantum relations: for a set  $X$ , quantum relations on the diagonal  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  correspond canonically to relations on  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.2** [[Weaver 2012](#), Proposition 2.2]. *Let  $X$  be a set and consider the von Neumann algebra  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ . If  $E$  is a relation on  $X$ , then*

$$\mathcal{V}_E = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X)) : (x, y) \notin E \text{ implies } \chi_{\{x\}} a \chi_{\{y\}} = 0\}$$

*is a quantum relation on  $\ell_\infty(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum relation on  $\ell_\infty(X)$ , then*

$$E_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : \exists a \in \mathcal{V} \text{ for which } \chi_{\{x\}} a \chi_{\{y\}} \neq 0\}$$

*is a relation on  $X$ . These constructions are inverse to each other.*

In other words,  $E$  is the collection of matrix entries where elements of  $\mathcal{V}_E$  are allowed to be nonzero. We say that operators in  $\mathcal{V}_E$  are *controlled by  $E$*  or have *support controlled by  $E$* .

Weaver also gave an “intrinsic” approach in which a quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  corresponds to a family of pairs of projections in  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . This description does not require that  $\mathcal{M}$  act on a Hilbert space (see [Weaver 2012, Definition 2.24]).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra and consider  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  endowed with the restriction of the weak operator topology. An open subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is called an *intrinsic quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$*  if the following hold.

(1)  $(0, 0) \notin \mathcal{R}$ .

(2) Given families of nonzero projections  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(q_j)_{j \in J}$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$\left( \bigvee_{i \in I} p_i, \bigvee_{j \in J} q_j \right) \in \mathcal{R} \iff \text{there exists } (i, j) \in I \times J \text{ with } (p_i, q_j) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

(3) For all projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $b \in 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ , we have

$$(p, [bq]) \in \mathcal{R} \iff ([b^*p], q) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Here square brackets denote range projection.

We denote the set of all intrinsic quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$ .

The correspondence between  $\text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$  is described in the following theorem. Informally, in an associated intrinsic quantum relation the pairs  $(p, q)$  describe corners where arrays for amplifications of operators in the quantum relation are sometimes nonzero.

**Theorem 2.4** [Weaver 2012, Theorem 2.32]. *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{P}^2 : \exists a \in \mathcal{V} \text{ with } p(a \otimes 1)q \neq 0\}$$

*is an intrinsic quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is an intrinsic quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : (p, q) \notin \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow p(a \otimes 1)q = 0\}$$

*is a quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . These constructions are inverse to each other.*

We make extensive use of the notation  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  of Theorem 2.4 in the paper.

Given two faithful representations  $\pi_j : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , Theorem 2.4 tells us that each  $\text{QRel}(\pi_j(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H_j))$  is in correspondence with  $\text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$  and thus with each other. This correspondence is discussed in [Weaver 2012, Theorem 2.7], and for our use in the sequel we make it entirely explicit here. Since any isomorphism between represented von Neumann algebras can be decomposed into an amplification, a spatial isomorphism, and a reduction [Takesaki 1979, Theorem IV.5.5],

it suffices to give the quantum relation corresponding to  $\mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$  under each of these three types of maps, which is as follows.

- *Amplification by a Hilbert space  $K$ :*

$$\mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K) \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K \subset \mathcal{B}(H) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K) \simeq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)).$$

Here we are using the normal spatial tensor product for  $\mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)$ , meaning the weak\* closure of the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{V} \odot \mathcal{B}(K)$  inside  $\mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{V}$  is not amplified to  $\mathcal{V} \otimes 1_K$  but replaced with the “larger”  $\mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)$ .

- *Spatial isomorphism via a unitary  $u$  from  $H$  to  $H'$ :  $u\mathcal{V}u^* \in \text{QRel}(u\mathcal{M}u^* \subset \mathcal{B}(H'))$ .*
- *Reduction by a projection  $p' \in \mathcal{M}'$  with full central support in  $\mathcal{M}'$ :  $(p'\mathcal{V})|_{p'H} \in \text{QRel}(p'\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(p'H))$ .<sup>1</sup>*

For the last, the central support condition implies that  $p'\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}$ .

The *diagonal* intrinsic quantum relation  $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined by  $(p, q) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \iff pq \neq 0$ . It is easy to check that when  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , we have  $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{M}'$ . We sometimes write just  $\Delta$  if the von Neumann algebra is implicit from the context.

**2.3. Operator reflexivity.** From  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}}$  in [Theorem 2.4](#), we know that there are sufficiently many projections in  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  to determine  $\mathcal{V}$ . Sometimes there are sufficiently many projections already in the  $1 \times 1$  level,  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ . We follow Weaver [\[2012, Section 2.5\]](#) in the definitions below.

**Definition 2.5.** For any subset  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , the *operator reflexive closure* of  $\mathcal{V}$  is defined by

$$\text{orc}(\mathcal{V}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \forall p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{B}(H)), p\mathcal{V}q = 0 \Rightarrow paq = 0\}.$$

This is always a  $w^*$ -closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is *operator reflexive* if  $\mathcal{V} = \text{orc}(\mathcal{V})$ .

It is easy to see that operator reflexive spaces are closed under intersection and operator adjoint, and that  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V})$  is the smallest operator reflexive space containing  $\mathcal{V}$ .

If  $\mathcal{V}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}'$ -bimodule, then  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V})$  is a quantum relation over  $\mathcal{M}$ , and one only needs projections in  $\mathcal{M}$  to define it (see [\[Weaver 2012, Propositions 2.15, 2.18\]](#)):

$$\text{orc}(\mathcal{V}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \forall p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}), p\mathcal{V}q = 0 \Rightarrow paq = 0\}.$$

In the classic case when  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_{\infty}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , quantum relations are always operator reflexive (this is indeed quite immediate from the characterization in [Proposition 2.2](#) above). Here is a simple nonexample, with supporting linear algebra details left to the reader: identifying  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  with  $\mathbb{M}_2$ , the subspace

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the central support of a projection in a von Neumann algebra is the smallest central projection dominating that projection.

$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$  is a quantum relation over  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  that is not operator reflexive. We thank N. Weaver for originally suggesting this example.

**Remark 2.6.** Here is the origin of the terminology. A unital operator algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is classically said to be “reflexive” if no operator outside  $\mathcal{A}$  preserves all the invariant subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact, this is equivalent to operator reflexivity of  $\mathcal{A}$  as defined above [Weaver 2012, Proposition 2.19]; the longer term is used in [Weaver 2012] because “reflexive” already has a meaning for a (quantum) relation, namely, that it contains the diagonal.

**Example 2.7** (see [Erdos 1986]). Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be any map from  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  to  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , and define

$$\mathcal{V}_\varphi = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) \leq \varphi(q), \forall q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})\}.$$

Note that

$$(2) \quad s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) \leq \varphi(q) \iff \varphi(q)aq = aq \iff \varphi(q)^\perp aq = 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}_\varphi$  is an operator reflexive quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ . That it is a weak\*-closed  $\mathcal{M}'$ -bimodule is perhaps easiest to see from the last condition in (2). For operator reflexivity, suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  has the property that  $p\mathcal{V}_\varphi q = 0$  implies  $paq = 0$  for all  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ . Since  $\varphi(q)^\perp \mathcal{V}_\varphi q = 0$  for all  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we have that  $\varphi(q)^\perp aq = 0$  as well, and then  $a \in \mathcal{V}_\varphi$ .

**Example 2.7** actually characterizes operator reflexive relations, as we see in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.8** (see [Erdos 1986]). *Keep the notation of Example 2.7. For any quantum relation  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  we have  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}}$ , where  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}} : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is defined by  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}(q) = \bigvee_{a \in \mathcal{V}} s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)$ . Thus the operator reflexive relations on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  are exactly those of the form  $\mathcal{V}_\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* From **Example 2.7** we know  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}}$  is an operator reflexive relation. It contains  $\mathcal{V}$ : if  $b \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(bq) \leq \bigvee_{a \in \mathcal{V}} s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}(q)$ . This shows  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}}$ .

For the opposite inclusion, suppose  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  are such that  $p\mathcal{V}q = 0$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $paq = 0$  and so  $ps_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) = 0$ . It follows that  $p\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}(q) = p \bigvee_{a \in \mathcal{V}} s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) = 0$ . If  $c \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}}$ , then  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}(q) \geq s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(cq)$ , so by the foregoing  $ps_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(cq) = 0$  and thus  $pcq = 0$ . This gives  $c \in \text{orc}(\mathcal{V})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** Operator reflexivity relies on the relation between  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})^2$  and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  consisting of the set  $\{(p, q), a) : paq = 0\}$ :  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V})$  is a “double-perp” or “double-commutant” type of closure. There is a dual notion of closure in  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})^2$ , or equivalently in the space of maps  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ : in the language above, the “closure” of  $\varphi : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}$ , which is  $\leq \varphi$ . This perspective is discussed more in [Eisner 2021, Section 4.3].

### 3. Quantum coarse spaces

The notion of quantum relations provides us with an appropriate framework to define quantum coarse structures on von Neumann algebras, which in turn will allow us to define quantum uniform Roe algebras in [Section 4](#). In this section, we introduce quantum and intrinsic quantum coarse spaces, notice that they are equivalent in a canonical way, and discuss their metrizable. We postpone the investigation of morphisms and equivalences in the category of quantum coarse spaces to [Section 6](#).

**3.1. Quantum coarse spaces.** Recall that a *coarse space* is a set  $X$  together with a *coarse structure*  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X^2)$  on  $X$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a family of subsets of  $X^2$  containing the diagonal  $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  and which is closed under subsets, inverses,<sup>2</sup> finite unions, and compositions<sup>3</sup> (see [\[Roe 2003\]](#) for a detailed monograph on coarse spaces). The elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  are nothing but relations on  $X$ , often called *entourages* in this context.

This can be generalized to the quantum world as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. A family  $\mathcal{V}$  of quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is called a *quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$*  if

- (1)  $\mathcal{M}' \in \mathcal{V}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_2 \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \subset \mathcal{V}_1$  imply  $\mathcal{V}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathcal{V}_1^* \in \mathcal{V}$  (here  $\mathcal{V}_1^*$  indicates the set of adjoints of elements of  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ),
- (4)  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\overline{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2}^{w*} \in \mathcal{V}$ , and
- (5)  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\overline{\text{span}}^{w*}(\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$  is called a *quantum coarse space*.

Items (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) of [Definition 3.1](#) are the quantum versions of a coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}$  containing  $\Delta_X$  and being closed under subsets, inverses, finite unions, and compositions, respectively. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_\infty(X)$  and  $H = \ell_2(X)$  for some set  $X$ , [Proposition 2.2](#) and [\[Weaver 2012, Proposition 2.5\]](#) provide a canonical equivalence between classical coarse structures  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$  and quantum coarse structures  $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{V}_E : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$  on  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ .

**Definition 3.2.** We will say that a quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}$ , or a quantum coarse space  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$ , is *operator reflexive* if  $\text{orc}(\mathcal{V}) \in \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$ .

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of quantum relations on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , then the *quantum coarse structure generated by  $\mathcal{U}$* , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{U}$ , is the intersection of all quantum coarse structures which contain  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  consists of the single quantum relation  $\mathcal{U}$ , we may simply write  $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{U}$  instead of  $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{U}$ .

<sup>2</sup>If  $E \subset X^2$ , then the *inverse* of  $E$  is  $E^{-1} = \{(x, y) : (y, x) \in E\}$ .

<sup>3</sup>If  $E, F \subset X^2$ , their *composition* is given by  $E \circ F = \{(x, y) : \exists z \in X, (x, z) \in E \text{ and } (z, y) \in F\}$ .

### 3.2. *Metrizability of quantum coarse spaces.*

**Definition 3.3** [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012, Definition 2.3]. Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. A family  $\mathbf{V} = (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of weak\*-closed operator systems<sup>4</sup> in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is called a *quantum metric on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$*  if

- (1)  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{M}'$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{V}_t \mathcal{V}_s \subset \mathcal{V}_{t+s}$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ , and
- (3)  $\mathcal{V}_t = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{V}_s$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathbf{V})$  is called a *quantum metric space*.

The definition of quantum metric implies that each  $\mathcal{V}_t$  is a quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ . One thinks of  $\mathcal{V}_t$  as “distance  $\leq t$ ”. The definition also implies that the quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{V}}$  generated by  $(\mathcal{M}, (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0})$  is nothing but

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{V}} = \{\mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)) : \exists t \geq 0 \text{ so that } \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}_t\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{V}}$  is countably generated by  $(\mathcal{M}, (\mathcal{V}_n)_{n=0}^{\infty})$  (we discuss this further in Proposition 3.6 below).

**Example 3.4.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  gives rise to a quantum metric on  $\ell_{\infty}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  by setting

$$\mathcal{V}_t = \{(a_{xy})_{x,y \in X} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X)) : d(x, y) > t \Rightarrow a_{xy} = 0\},$$

a “thickened diagonal” in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ .

**Example 3.5.** A quantum relation  $\mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$  is a *quantum graph* if  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^*$  (see [Weaver 2012, Definition 2.6]), that is, if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a weak\*-closed operator system which is a bimodule over  $\mathcal{M}'$ . Then the quantum coarse structure generated by  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{V}}$ , is called the *quantum graph coarse structure given by  $\mathcal{V}$* . It is nothing but the quantum metric in which

$$\mathcal{V}_t = \overline{\text{span}}^{w^*}(\underbrace{\mathcal{V} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{V}}_{[t] \text{ times}})$$

(here the 0-fold product is interpreted as the diagonal  $\mathcal{M}'$ ).

A classical graph  $G$  with vertex set  $X$  gives rise to a quantum graph on  $\ell_{\infty}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  by taking

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(a_{xy})_{x,y \in X} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X)) : x, y \text{ nonadjacent} \Rightarrow a_{xy} = 0\}.$$

In other words, the corresponding relation on  $X$  is “adjacency in  $G$ ”, and the quantum graph coarse structure is the quantum metric associated to the path metric as in Example 3.4.

<sup>4</sup>A closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is an *operator system* if it is self-adjoint and contains  $1_H$ .

In classical large-scale geometry, it is well known that a coarse space is metrizable if and only if its coarse structure is countably generated [Roe 2003, Theorem 2.55]. The next result shows that the same holds in the quantum world. We say that a quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}$  on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is *metrizable* if  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_V$  for some quantum metric  $V$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$  be a quantum coarse space. Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is metrizable if and only if it is countably generated.*

*Proof.* As noticed before Example 3.4, if  $\mathcal{V}$  is metrizable then  $\mathcal{V}$  is countably generated. Suppose then that  $\mathcal{V}$  is the quantum coarse structure generated by a sequence of quantum relations  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ ; replacing each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  by  $\overline{\mathcal{U}_n + \mathcal{U}_n^{*w}}$ , if necessary, we can assume that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an operator system. We define  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  inductively by setting  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{M}'$  and, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1} = \overline{\text{span}^{w*}(\mathcal{V}_n \mathcal{V}_n + \mathcal{U}_{n+1})}.$$

Since both  $\mathcal{V}_n$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  are closed under taking adjoints, so is  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ . It is also clear that  $\mathcal{V}$  is the quantum coarse structure generated by  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ , since the  $\mathcal{V}_n$  are in  $\mathcal{V}$ , and each  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ .

Finally, since  $\mathcal{V}_n \mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{V}_n \mathcal{V}_m \subset \mathcal{V}_{n+m}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Letting  $\mathcal{V}_t = \mathcal{V}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , we obtain that  $V = (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a quantum metric on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  which generates  $\mathcal{V}$ . □

**3.3. Intrinsic quantum coarse spaces.** Intrinsic quantum relations play a fundamental role in this paper, both in order to provide examples of quantum uniform Roe algebras (Section 5) and to define morphisms between quantum coarse spaces (Section 6). We next introduce the notion of intrinsic quantum coarse spaces, defined via the intrinsic versions of the axioms for quantum coarse spaces. Notice that if  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  are intrinsic quantum relations, then so are  $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}'$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \{(p, q) : (q, p) \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . As for the composition of intrinsic quantum relations, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R}'$  should be defined as  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}'}}$ .<sup>5</sup> We have the following description, inspired by [Weaver 2012, Proposition 1.5].

**Proposition 3.7.** *Consider intrinsic quantum relations  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the composition*

$$\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 = \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{P}^2 : \forall r \in \mathcal{P}, (p, r) \in \mathcal{R}_1 \text{ or } (r^\perp, q) \in \mathcal{R}_2\}$$

*is the intrinsic quantum relation corresponding to the quantum relations  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}_2}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2}$ .*

---

<sup>5</sup>We allow ourselves the following abuse of notation: given quantum relations  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$ , we let  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2} = \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\text{span}^{w*}(\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2)}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2} = \mathcal{R}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2}^{w*}}$ . This is indeed an abuse of notation since  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2$  and  $\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2$  need not be quantum relations.

*Proof.* To simplify notation, let  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}_1}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}_2}$ . By [Theorem 2.4](#) we have  $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_i}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . This will be used multiple times below.

Let  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2}$ . Then there must be  $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1$  and  $v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2$  so that  $p(v_1 v_2 \otimes 1)q \neq 0$ . For any  $r \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$0 \neq p(v_1 v_2 \otimes 1)q = p(v_1 \otimes 1)r(v_2 \otimes 1)q + p(v_1 \otimes 1)r^\perp(v_2 \otimes 1)q,$$

so that one of the summands is not zero. Thus either  $(p, r) \in \mathcal{R}_1$  or  $(r^\perp, q) \in \mathcal{R}_2$ .

Now say  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ . Let  $K$  be the closure of all  $\xi \in H \otimes \ell_2$  so that  $p(v \otimes 1)\xi = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . As  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a bimodule over  $\mathcal{M}'$ , it follows that  $K$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{M}' \otimes 1$ . So the projection onto  $K$ , say  $r$ , must belong to  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . By the definition of  $K$ , we must have that  $p(v \otimes 1)r = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Therefore,  $(p, r) \notin \mathcal{R}_1$ ; by assumption we must have that  $(r^\perp, q) \in \mathcal{R}_2$ . Hence there is  $v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2$  so that  $r^\perp(v_2 \otimes 1)q \neq 0$ . By the definition of  $K$  and  $r$ , there must be some  $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1$  for which

$$p(v_1 \otimes 1)r^\perp(v_2 \otimes 1)q \neq 0.$$

Since  $p(v_1 \otimes 1)r = 0$ , we conclude that  $p(v_1 v_2 \otimes 1)q \neq 0$ ; so  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2}$ .  $\square$

We can now define *intrinsic quantum coarse spaces*:

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{R}$  be a family of intrinsic quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The family  $\mathcal{R}$  is called an *intrinsic quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$*  if

- (1)  $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} = \{(p, q) : pq \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{R}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{R}_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_2 \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset \mathcal{R}_1$  imply  $\mathcal{R}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathcal{R}_1 \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $\mathcal{R}_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ ,
- (4)  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ , and
- (5)  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  is called an *intrinsic quantum coarse space*.

[Theorem 2.4](#) provides a canonical bijection between  $\text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$  and  $\text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$ , and the discussion above shows that this bijection preserves the natural operations on each of them. We sum this up in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra. The bijective assignment*

$$\mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)) \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}} \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$$

*defined in [Theorem 2.4](#) satisfies*

- (1)  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}'} = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ ,
- (2) if  $\mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}^*} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}$ ,
- (3) if  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1} \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ , and
- (4) if  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_1} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ .

In light of [Corollary 3.9](#), quantum coarse structures on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  and intrinsic quantum coarse structures on  $\mathcal{M}$  naturally correspond, and we denote by  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$  the assignments implementing the two directions of this correspondence. We naturally say that an intrinsic quantum coarse space  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  is operator reflexive if  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is operator reflexive.

Again, we may abridge notation in the commutative case: if  $\mathcal{M} = L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  for a finitely decomposable measure space  $(X, \mu)$ , we say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a *quantum coarse structure on  $X$*  (resp.  $(X, \mathcal{V})$  is a *quantum coarse space*) if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum coarse structure on  $L_{\infty}(X, \mu) \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(X, \mu))$  (resp.  $(L_{\infty}(X, \mu) \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(X, \mu)), \mathcal{V})$  is a quantum coarse space). We treat intrinsic coarse structures/spaces on commutative algebras similarly.

### 4. Quantum uniform Roe algebras

The axioms for a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  imply that the set of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  controlled by at least one of the relations in  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unital  $*$ -algebra, so its norm closure is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, called the *uniform Roe algebra of  $(X, \mathcal{E})$*  and denoted by  $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$ . Because of [Proposition 2.2](#), this is just the closed union of the members of the corresponding quantum coarse structure on  $\ell_{\infty}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ . We take it as a general definition.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$  be a quantum coarse space.

- (1) We call  $C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}] = \bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}$  the *algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$* .
- (2) We call  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}}^{\|\cdot\|}$  the *quantum uniform Roe algebra of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$* .

We have that  $C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}]$  is a  $*$ -algebra and  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Classical uniform Roe algebras are thought of as encoding large-scale geometry, and much of the motivation comes from metric spaces. We see analogous potential in the quantum metric spaces, as introduced in [\[Kuperberg and Weaver 2012\]](#) and discussed above. [Definition 4.1](#) is general enough, however, to include much more than metric inspiration. In [Section 5](#) we present an entirely new class of examples.

#### 4.1. Basic properties.

**4.1.1. The effect of choosing a different representation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .** Given two faithful representations  $\pi_j : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_j)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , the correspondence between  $\text{QRel}(\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H_1))$  and  $\text{QRel}(\pi_2(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H_2))$  is described after [Theorem 2.4](#). Each quantum relation is transformed in the same way as the underlying Hilbert space in passing from one representation to the other by an amplification, spatial isomorphism, and reduction. As noted in [\[Weaver 2012, Theorem 2.7\]](#), this correspondence preserves diagonals (i.e., takes  $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})'$  to  $\pi_2(\mathcal{M})'$ ), inclusions, adjoints,

and the operations  $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2}^{w^*}$  and  $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) \mapsto \overline{\text{span}}^{w^*}(\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2)$ . It follows that the possible quantum coarse structures and (algebraic) quantum uniform Roe algebras on  $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H_1)$  and  $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H_2)$  also transform under change of representation in the ways given after [Theorem 2.4](#): from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)$ ,  $u\mathcal{V}u^*$ , or  $(p'\mathcal{V}p')|_{p'H}$ . The only fact that may not be obvious is that amplification by a Hilbert space  $K$  commutes with the quantum uniform Roe algebra construction, as the sets involve closures in different orders:

$$C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K) = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}^{\|\cdot\|} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)} = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)}^{\|\cdot\|} = C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)).$$

The outside equalities are definitions. An element of the second set has the form  $\sum_{i,j} (a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij})$ , where the sum is  $w^*$ -convergent and each  $a_{ij}$  is the norm limit of some  $\{v_{ij}^k\}_k \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}$ . Passing to subsequences if necessary, we may assume  $\|v_{ij}^k - a_{ij}\| \leq 2^{-i-j-k}$ , and then  $\sum (a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij})$  is the norm limit of  $\sum (v_{ij}^k \otimes e_{ij})$ , so an element of the third set. The converse implication amounts to the observation that when a matrix of operators converges in norm, so do each of the entries.

Thus, to understand the quantum coarse structures and quantum uniform Roe algebras that can be associated to  $\mathcal{M}$ , it suffices to work with a specific representation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**4.1.2. Starting only with an intrinsic coarse space.** Given an intrinsic quantum coarse space  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ , a representation  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  gives rise to the quantum coarse space  $(\pi(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}})$  and quantum uniform Roe algebra  $C_u^*(\pi(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}})$ . Since many representations are feasible,  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  alone only determines an equivalence class

$$[\pi(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{B}(H) \supset C_u^*(\pi(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}})].$$

Here two such double inclusions are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a change of representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  as in [Section 4.1.1](#). Inspecting the proof of the classical structure theorem for  $*$ -isomorphisms of represented von Neumann algebras [[Takesaki 1979](#), Theorem IV.5.5 and Corollary IV.5.6], this means that the double inclusions become spatially isomorphic after both are amplified by a single Hilbert space whose dimension is infinite and not less than the dimension of either of the two original Hilbert spaces. [Theorem 6.5\(2\)](#) treats such ‘‘algebraically/intrinsically identical quantum coarse spaces’’ from a slightly different perspective.

**4.1.3. Connectedness.** A (classical) coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  is *connected* if  $\{(x, y)\} \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . In the quantum world, this can be described as follows:

**Definition 4.2.** A quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is *connected* if  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . This is equivalent to triviality of  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})'$  (which is always a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}' \subset C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ ).

Notice that  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  is connected in the classical sense if and only if  $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{E}})$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ , so the definition above coincides with the classical one for  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ . For (classical) coarse structures coming from metric spaces, connectedness means that all distances are finite. There are analogues of “all distances finite” for the quantum coarse structures of quantum metric spaces, one of which says that the union of all the intrinsic quantum relations is exactly the *linkable pairs of projections* in  $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  (see [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012, Definition 2.11 and Propositions 2.12 and 2.13] or Definition 6.24 below).

Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  is disconnected, and let  $p \in C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})'$  be a nontrivial projection. Then every  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  can be decomposed as  $p\mathcal{V} \oplus (1 - p)\mathcal{V}$ , and each  $p\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum relation over  $p\mathcal{M}p \subset \mathcal{B}(pH)$ . Writing  $p\mathcal{V}$  for the collection of  $p\mathcal{V}$ , in a straightforward way we have

$$\mathcal{V} = p\mathcal{V} \oplus (1 - p)\mathcal{V},$$

where the summands are quantum coarse structures on, respectively,  $p\mathcal{M}p \subset \mathcal{B}(pH)$  and  $(1 - p)\mathcal{M}(1 - p) \subset \mathcal{B}((1 - p)H)$ . Thus connectedness is the nonexistence of a direct sum decomposition for  $\mathcal{V}$ .

For a classical coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{E}}$  for some coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}$  on a set  $X$ ), the commutant  $C_u^*(\ell_\infty, \mathcal{V})'$  is a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\ell_\infty(X)$ , so it has minimal projections. The minimal projections correspond to connected classical coarse structures occurring as summands of  $\mathcal{V}$ , naturally called the *connected components* of  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  arises from an extended metric space, this is the decomposition into components where the metric is finite; if the metric arises from a graph as in Example 3.5, this is the decomposition into connected components of the graph.

A classical coarse structure with finitely many connected components can be recovered from them. If there are infinitely many components, this recovery is not generally possible: the collection  $\{p\mathcal{V}\}_p$ , where  $p$  runs over the minimal projections  $p \in C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})'$ , does not generally determine  $\mathcal{V}$ . For general quantum coarse structures the term “connected component” should probably be avoided, as minimal projections in  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})'$  may not commute or even exist at all.

**4.1.4.** *The minimal quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ .* Since we require quantum coarse structures on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  to contain the quantum relation  $\mathcal{M}'$ , and  $w^*$ -closed sub- $\mathcal{M}'$ -bimodules of  $\mathcal{M}'$  are precisely the direct summands, we have the following minimality result.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , the collection of direct summands of  $\mathcal{M}'$  is the minimal coarse structure, contained in every other one. Thus  $\mathcal{M}'$  is the minimal (algebraic) quantum uniform Roe algebra for  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , contained in every other one.*

**4.1.5. Triviality.** We now discuss natural notions of “triviality” for quantum coarse structures. Here is a pentachotomy for a quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

- (1) Some  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ; equivalently,  $\mathcal{V} = \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{V}$  is metrizable (generated by  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ ).
- (2) No  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , but the algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . This case is nonmetrizable and nonclassical.
- (3) The algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra is not  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , but its norm closure (the quantum uniform Roe algebra) is. This can happen classically.
- (4) The quantum uniform Roe algebra is not  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , but its  $w^*$ -closure is.
- (5) The quantum uniform Roe algebra is not  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , i.e., the quantum coarse structure is disconnected.

Next we justify the nontrivial claims above and give examples to show that all five cases occur.

Quantum coarse structures in (2) are necessarily nonmetrizable: by the Baire category theorem, if  $H$  is infinite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is not a countable union of proper closed subspaces. An example is the collection of finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , which is a quantum coarse structure for  $\mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . This phenomenon cannot happen for classical algebraic uniform Roe algebras: if an operator  $a = (a_{xy})_{x,y}$  on  $\ell_2(X)$  has only nonzero coordinates, i.e.,  $a_{xy} \neq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $a$  belongs to the algebraic uniform Roe algebra of a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{E})$ , then  $X \times X \in \mathcal{E}$ ; so  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X)) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and we are in case (1).

**Example 4.4.** We give a classical coarse structure in case (3). Recall that a relation  $E$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to be *locally finite* if  $\{m : (m, n) \in E\}$  and  $\{m : (n, m) \in E\}$  are finite for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of all locally finite relations is easily seen to be a classical coarse structure. We have  $C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}] \neq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ , because an operator with all nonzero entries is not controlled by a locally finite relation.

On the other hand, take any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . The  $k$ -th column of  $a$  has finite  $\ell_2$  norm, so the tail beyond some point has  $\ell_2$  norm  $< \varepsilon/2^{k+1}$ ; change all these entries to zero. Then do the same thing for the rows of  $a$ . This produces an operator  $b \in C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}]$  with the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of  $a - b$  (which is the  $\ell_2$  norm of its entries) less than  $\varepsilon$ . The Hilbert–Schmidt norm dominates the operator norm, so  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ .

We make no ruling on the meaning of “large-scale triviality”. There are at least three choices:

- some  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  — case (1) in the pentachotomy;
- the algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  — cases (1) and (2);
- the quantum uniform Roe algebra is  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  — cases (1), (2), and (3).

Depending on how much detail the reader’s quantum telescope allows, he or she may decide which of these quantizes the coarse geometer’s slogan: the structure “looks like a quantum point from far away”.

**4.2. Examples.**

**4.2.1.**  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \ell_\infty(X)$ . As mentioned at the beginning of this section, quantum uniform Roe algebras for  $\ell_\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$  are nothing but classical uniform Roe algebras for  $X$ .

**4.2.2.**  $\mathcal{M} \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Considering  $\mathcal{M}$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{M}' = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ . By finite dimensionality, the quantum relations of  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  are just the linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Therefore, since quantum coarse structures contain  $\mathcal{M}'$  and are closed under subspaces, adjoints, sums, and products of quantum relations, every quantum coarse structure on  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the collection of subspaces of some unital  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For such a quantum coarse structure, the quantum uniform Roe algebra is  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**4.2.3.**  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{B}(H)$  (infinite dimensional). Some of the analysis above applies: with  $\mathcal{M}$  acting on  $H$ , quantum relations are  $w^*$ -closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Any unital  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is the algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra for the quantum coarse structure consisting of the finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Thus, any unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  can be obtained as a quantum uniform Roe algebra for  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  by choosing the quantum coarse structure consisting of finite-dimensional subspaces of a norm-dense  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

There may be other quantum coarse structures generating  $\mathcal{A}$  (or  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ). We point out, though, that including certain combinations of  $w^*$ -closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$  may make the generated coarse structure too large, in the sense that it controls operators outside  $\mathcal{A}$ . Here is an example. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the unital  $*$ -algebra  $\ell_\infty(\{\text{odds}\}) + c(\{\text{evens}\})$ , thought of as diagonal operators on  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ —here  $c$  denotes convergent sequences (the unitization of  $c_0$ ). Let  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \ell_\infty(\{\text{odds}\})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 = \overline{\text{span}}^{w^*} \{e_{2j-1,2j-1} + (e_{2j,2j}/j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are  $w^*$ -closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$ , but  $\overline{\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2}^{w^*}$  is  $\ell_\infty(\{\text{odds}\}) + \ell_\infty(\{\text{evens}\})$ , the full diagonal.

**4.2.4. Finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ .**

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite-dimensional von Neumann algebra, both containing  $1_H$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra for some quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $\mathcal{M}'$ . When this is the case,  $\mathcal{A}$  is automatically norm-closed, i.e., a  $C^*$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Since the quantum uniform Roe algebra of a quantum coarse space  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  always contains  $\mathcal{M}'$ , only the reverse implication requires proof. As  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite dimensional, suppose  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^\ell M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $n = \sum_{k=1}^\ell n_k$ . Denote by  $z_1, \dots, z_\ell$

the minimal central projections of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since we assume that  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $z_1, \dots, z_\ell \in \mathcal{A}$ .

First consider a multiplicity-free representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e., a minimal faithful representation, with commutant equal to the center of  $\mathcal{M}$ ; that is,

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of all subspaces of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of the form  $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} V_{ij}$ , where each  $V_{ij}$  is a subspace of  $z_i \mathcal{A} z_j \subset \mathcal{A}$ . These are  $\mathcal{M}'$ -bimodules whose union is  $\mathcal{A}$ , and it is straightforward to check that they satisfy the axioms for a quantum coarse structure (weak\*-closedness follows automatically from finite dimensionality). So  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) = C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}] = \mathcal{A}$ .

An arbitrary representation  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  can be obtained from the multiplicity-free representation above by amplification, spatial isomorphism, and reduction. Each of these operations preserves the relation that  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ , because all quantum relations, including the diagonal quantum relation  $\mathcal{M}'$  and all the other quantum relations whose union is  $\mathcal{A}$ , are transformed in the same way. To recall from the discussion after [Theorem 2.4](#): all are tensored with some  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ , all are conjugated by a unitary with domain  $H$ , or all are reduced by a projection  $p' \in \mathcal{M}'$ . Since these transformations also preserve closedness, this finishes the proof.  $\square$

[Proposition 4.5](#) characterizes the quantum uniform Roe algebras for a finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  as those  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  that contain  $\mathcal{M}'$ . This characterization is also true for  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , as seen above in [Section 4.2.3](#). The reader may wonder if it is generally true. Our next example shows that this fails already in the classical case.

**4.2.5.** *A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  that contains the diagonal  $\ell_\infty$  but is not a quantum uniform Roe algebra for  $\ell_\infty \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ .* Notice that, a fortiori,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra for  $\ell_\infty \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  either. Since this is the same as saying that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a uniform Roe algebra in the classical sense, we use nonquantum terminology below.

An operator  $x = (x_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is called a *ghost* if its entries  $x_{ij}$  go to zero as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $p_n$  be the projection on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with all entries  $1/n$  (i.e.,  $p_n$  is the projection onto the constant vector  $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ), we have that  $p = \bigoplus_n p_n \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is a noncompact ghost projection. Let  $E_{\text{diag}}$  be the conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  onto its diagonal  $\ell_\infty$ , that is,  $E_{\text{diag}}((x_{ij})_{i,j}) = (\bar{x}_{ij})_{i,j}$  for all  $(x_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ , where  $\bar{x}_{ii} = x_{ii}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\bar{x}_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . It is straightforward to check that  $x - E_{\text{diag}}(x)$  is a ghost for all  $x$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = C^*(p, \ell_\infty)$ .

Suppose towards a contradiction that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniform Roe algebra, say  $\mathcal{A} = C_u^*(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E})$  for some coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then there is an entourage  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and

$q \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  controlled by  $E$  with  $\|q - p\| < 0.1$ . Notice that in each of the blocks corresponding to the summands of  $p$  (except the  $1 \times 1$  block),  $q$  must have a nonzero off-diagonal entry. (Otherwise, compare the actions of  $p$  and  $q$  on the unit vector with all entries corresponding to that block equal to  $1/\sqrt{n}$ .)

Choosing a nonzero off-diagonal entry for  $q$  in each block, let  $x$  be the operator whose entries are 1 in these places and 0 elsewhere. Then  $x$  is also controlled by  $E$ , so  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . But  $x - E_{\text{diag}}(x) = x$  is not a ghost.

### 5. A new class of examples: support expansion C\*-algebras

The main inspiration for coarse geometry comes from metric spaces. The standard example of a coarse space is a metric space  $(X, d)$ , where the entourages are subsets of some  $\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq r\}$  for  $r \geq 0$ . In the associated uniform Roe algebra, the operators supported on an entourage are those for which there is an  $r \geq 0$  such that “no point moves more than  $r$ ”. These operators could be said to have a finite scale in the sense of *displacement*.

The definitions of coarse geometry, classical or quantum, are broad enough to allow other notions of scale. In this section we present a very general class of quantum coarse spaces in which the scale pertains not to change of location as determined by a metric, but to *expansion of size* as determined by a *measure*. The reader may see [Braga et al. 2024] for a detailed study of the commutative (but not necessarily atomic) case.

Let us describe the prototype for the new class, which is a well-known classical coarse space with classical uniform Roe algebra. A coarse structure  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to be *uniformly locally finite* if for any one of its entourages  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  both  $\#\{y \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$  and  $\#\{y \in X : (y, x) \in E\}$  are less than  $\lambda$ . In a metric setting this says that for any  $r$ , the cardinalities of  $r$ -balls are uniformly bounded (so-called “bounded geometry”). It is easy to see that the collection of all entourages satisfying the above condition comprises the largest uniformly locally finite coarse structure on  $\mathbb{N}$ . This well-known example is not metrizable, and the associated algebraic uniform Roe algebra consists of all operators  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  for which there is a  $\lambda$  such that all rows and columns of the matrix for  $a$  have no more than  $\lambda$  nonzero elements. In other words, if  $(e_j)_j$  is the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2$ , the supports of  $ae_j$  and  $a^*e_j$  have no more than  $\lambda$  elements for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking linear combinations of basis elements, it follows that  $a$  satisfies the condition

$$(3) \quad \#\text{supp}(a\xi), \#\text{supp}(a^*\xi) \leq \lambda \cdot \#\text{supp}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \ell_2.$$

The associated uniform Roe algebra, studied in [Manuilov 2019], is the closure of these operators.

We may identify subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with their characteristic functions, which are the projections in  $\ell_\infty$ . The support of  $\xi \in \ell_2$ , which is a set, corresponds to  $s^{\ell_\infty}(\xi)$ . Counting the support of  $\xi$  is then the same thing as applying the standard  $\ell_\infty$  trace to  $s^{\ell_\infty}(\xi)$ . We can interpret (3) as saying that  $a$  and  $a^*$  do not expand the support projection of a vector too much.

Many things about (3) allow for variation. For instance, instead of the counting measure, we could use other measures on  $\mathbb{N}$ , corresponding to other (possibly unbounded) traces on  $\ell_\infty$ . Similarly, we could use  $L_2(X, \mu)$  and  $L_\infty(X, \mu)$  in place of  $\ell_2$  and  $\ell_\infty$ . We could even use an abstract  $H$  with an arbitrary von Neumann subalgebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , equipped with a satisfactory notion of “size” on its projection lattice. Precisely:

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace  $\tau$ . Given  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we say that  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is  $\lambda$ -vector constrained if it satisfies

$$(4) \quad \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)), \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a^*\xi)) \leq \lambda \cdot \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in H.$$

We say  $a$  is vector constrained if it is  $\lambda$ -constrained for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace  $\tau$ . The subset of all vector constrained operators forms a unital  $*$ -algebra.

*Proof.* It is immediate that this set contains the identity and is closed under adjoint. We need to show that if  $a_j$  is  $\lambda_j$ -constrained for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $a_1 + a_2$  and  $a_1a_2$  are constrained. These are easy computations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}((a_1 + a_2)\xi)) &\leq \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_1\xi) \vee s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_2\xi)) \\ &\leq \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_1\xi)) + \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_2\xi)) \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)); \\ \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_1a_2\xi)) &\leq \lambda_1 \cdot \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a_2\xi)) \leq \lambda_1\lambda_2\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

We applied (1), from Section 2.1, at the first step of the first computation. The inequality  $\heartsuit$  is a standard deduction of Kaplansky’s law  $p \vee q - p \sim q - p \wedge q$ , so that  $\tau(p \vee q) = \tau(p) + \tau(q) - \tau(p \wedge q) \leq \tau(p) + \tau(q)$ . We use this freely in the sequel.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace  $\tau$ . The closure of all vector constrained operators on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is called a vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra.

Motivated by  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_\infty$  as discussed at the beginning of this section, one might expect that vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras are quantum uniform Roe algebras. In many cases of interest this is indeed the case, but the general answer turns out to be no! The issue, roughly, is that quantum uniform Roe algebras are about

projections, and it is not always true that projections in a represented von Neumann algebra “come from” vectors. We explain this more in [Section 5.2](#).

For now we recast expansion in terms of projections, and we show that this does lead to a rich class of quantum uniform Roe algebras.

**5.1. Support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , and let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For  $\lambda \geq 0$ , consider, on  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , the condition

$$(5) \quad \tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)), \tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a^*q)) \leq \lambda \cdot \tau(q) \quad \text{for all } q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}).$$

The condition above is a strengthening of (4) (see the first paragraph of the proof of [Theorem 5.10](#)). One can use the same argument as in [Proposition 5.2](#) to see that the set of operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfying (5) for some  $\lambda$  is a unital  $*$ -algebra; this is subsumed in [Theorem 5.5](#) below, where we identify this set as an algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra.

**Definition 5.4.** Given  $\lambda \geq 0$ , a function  $\varphi : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\lambda$ -constrained if

$$\tau(\varphi(q)) \leq \lambda \tau(q) \quad \text{for all } q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}).$$

We say  $\varphi$  is *constrained* if it is  $\lambda$ -constrained for some  $\lambda$ .

The set of constrained functions is closed under composition and join: if  $\varphi_j$  is  $\lambda_j$ -constrained for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\varphi_2(\varphi_1(q))) &\leq \lambda_2 \tau(\varphi_1(q)) \leq \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \tau(q); \\ \tau(\varphi_1(q) \vee \varphi_2(q)) &\leq \tau(\varphi_1(q)) + \tau(\varphi_2(q)) \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \tau(q). \end{aligned}$$

For any pair of constrained functions  $\varphi, \psi : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we define

$$\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi} = \mathcal{V}_\varphi \cap (\mathcal{V}_\psi)^*,$$

where, as defined in [Example 2.7](#),

$$\mathcal{V}_\varphi = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) \leq \varphi(q), \forall q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})\}.$$

As noted in [Example 2.7](#),  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi}$  is an operator reflexive quantum relation on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . The main statement of the next theorem is that the quantum coarse structure generated by the  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi}$  is simply the collection of their quantum subrelations.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The collection*

$$\mathcal{V}_\tau = \{ \mathcal{V} \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)) : \text{there exist constrained } \varphi, \psi : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi} \}$$

*is an operator reflexive quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, the algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra  $C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau]$  is exactly the set of  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfying (5) for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us check that  $\mathcal{V}_\tau$  has the five properties needed to be a quantum coarse structure (see [Definition 3.1](#)). From its form,  $\mathcal{V}_\tau$  is closed under subquantum relation and adjoint.

*Diagonal:* The identity  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is a 1-constrained function on  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{V}_{\text{id}, \text{id}}$  because for  $a \in \mathcal{M}'$  and  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  we have

$$s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) = s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(qaq) \leq q.$$

*Sum:* Suppose  $a_j \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_j, \psi_j}$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $a_1 + a_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2, \psi_1 \vee \psi_2}$ : for any  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}((a_1 + a_2)q) \leq s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a_1q) \vee s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a_2q) \leq \varphi_1(q) \vee \varphi_2(q),$$

and a similar computation holds for  $s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}((a_1 + a_2)^*q)$ . We noted before the theorem that  $\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$  and  $\psi_1 \vee \psi_2$  are constrained.

*Product:* Again suppose  $a_j \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_j, \psi_j}$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $a_1a_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2, \psi_2 \circ \psi_1}$ : for any  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$\varphi_1(\varphi_2(q))a_1a_2q = \varphi_1(\varphi_2(q))a_1\varphi_2(q)a_2q = a_1\varphi_2(q)a_2q = a_1a_2q,$$

and a similar computation holds for  $\psi_2(\psi_1(q))(a_1a_2)^*q$ . We noted before the theorem that  $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$  and  $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$  are constrained.

Since the  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi}$  are operator reflexive,  $\mathcal{V}_\tau$  is an operator reflexive quantum coarse structure.

Finally we check that the algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra, the union of the  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi}$ , is exactly the set of operators  $T$  satisfying (5) for some  $\lambda$ . For this, it suffices to show that  $\tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) \leq \lambda\tau(q)$  for all  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  if and only if  $a$  belongs to some  $\mathcal{V}_\varphi$  with  $\varphi$  being  $\lambda$ -constrained. The forward implication follows by letting  $\varphi(q) = s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)$ . For the reverse implication, take any  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  and note that  $\tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) \leq \tau(\varphi(q)) \leq \lambda\tau(q)$ .  $\square$

The next definition should be compared with [Definition 5.3](#) (the ‘‘vector’’ version).

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , and let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We say that the uniform Roe algebra  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$  is a *support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra*.

From the definition of a quantum coarse structure,  $\mathcal{M}'$  lies inside any algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra. We next show that support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras also contain  $\mathcal{M}$  yet cannot be all of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  unless  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite dimensional.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_\tau$  be the quantum coarse structure as in [Theorem 5.5](#).*

- (1) *The algebraic uniform Roe algebra  $C_u^*[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau]$  contains  $\mathcal{M}$ ; thus  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$  contains  $C^*(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}')$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is infinite dimensional,  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$  is not all of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We make use of the *right*  $\mathcal{M}$ -support  $s_r^{\mathcal{M}}$  of an operator, which is defined analogously to  $s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}$ :  $s_r^{\mathcal{M}}(a)$  is the smallest projection  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $aq = a$ . Note that the left and right  $\mathcal{M}$ -supports of any element of  $\mathcal{M}$  are Murray–von Neumann equivalent via the partial isometry in the polar decomposition. Thus any  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  is 1-constrained:

$$\tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) = \tau(s_r^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) \leq 1 \cdot \tau(q) \quad \text{for all } q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}),$$

and analogously for  $a^*$ .

(2) It follows from [Section 4.1.1](#) that we may assume  $\mathcal{M}$  is in “standard form”, which we recall for the reader’s convenience. On the subspace of  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  for which  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\tau(x^*x)}$  is finite, this quantity defines a norm. The completion of this normed space is denoted by  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  as densely defined left multiplication operators.

First we explain how to pick sequences  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of nonzero pairwise orthogonal finite-trace projections in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\lim_k \tau(p_k)/\tau(q_k) = 0$ . If  $\tau$  is a finite trace, then  $\lim_k \tau(q_k) = 0$  for any sequence  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and we may take  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to be a subsequence of any such  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  whose traces decrease quickly enough. If  $\tau$  is infinite, semifiniteness of  $\tau$  allows us to find an infinite family of pairwise orthogonal projections  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  each of which has finite trace at least 1. We can then form the sequence  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  by letting each  $q_k$  be an appropriate sum of disjoint subsets of  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Fix  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  as above. If  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , we let  $\hat{p}$  be  $p$  viewed as an element in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Let  $b \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  be the infinite-rank partial isometry that sends each  $\hat{p}_k$  to  $\sqrt{\tau(p_k)/\tau(q_k)} \hat{q}_k$  and is zero off the span of  $\{\hat{p}_k\}$ . We show that for any  $a$  satisfying (5),  $\|b - a\| \geq 1$  by examining the action on unit vectors  $\hat{p}_k/\sqrt{\tau(p_k)}$ . This implies that  $b \notin C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$ .

Let  $s_k = s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a \cdot p_k)$ , where  $a \cdot p_k \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  means left multiplication by  $p_k$  followed by  $a$ . We have  $(1 - s_k)a(\hat{p}_k) = (1 - s_k)(a \cdot p_k)(\hat{p}_k) = 0$  and, from the assumption on  $a$ ,  $\tau(s_k) \leq \lambda \tau(p_k)$ . In the calculation below,  $(*)$  is left multiplication by  $(1 - s_k)$  and  $(**)$  (read right-to-left) is right multiplication by  $q_k$ , both contractive:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (b-a) \left( \frac{\hat{p}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(p_k)}} \right) \right\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{\hat{q}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(q_k)}} - a \left( \frac{\hat{p}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(p_k)}} \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\geq} \left\| (1-s_k) \left( \frac{\hat{q}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(q_k)}} \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\geq \left\| \frac{\hat{q}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(q_k)}} \right\|_2 - \left\| \frac{\widehat{s_k q_k}}{\sqrt{\tau(q_k)}} \right\|_2 \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{\geq} 1 - \left\| \frac{\hat{s}_k}{\sqrt{\tau(q_k)}} \right\|_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\tau(s_k)}{\tau(q_k)}} \geq 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \tau(p_k)}{\tau(q_k)}} \rightarrow 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 5.8.** In the construction of support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras just described, the expansion we tolerate in operators  $a$  and projection maps  $\varphi$  is constrained by multiplication by some constant, that is, a linear function. The definitions also make sense for other functions. Given an increasing  $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we may widen [Definition 5.4](#) and say that a projection map  $\varphi : \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is  $f$ -constrained if  $\tau(\varphi(q)) \leq f(\tau(q))$  for all  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ .

To obtain the generalization of [Theorem 5.5](#), we need to start with a nonempty collection of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  that is closed under sums and compositions. This entails that the operators belonging to some  $\mathcal{V}_{\varphi, \psi}$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are each constrained by some member of  $\mathcal{F}$ , will comprise a  $*$ -algebra.

In the present paper the underlying  $\mathcal{F}$  is always the collection of functions  $\{f_\lambda(x) = \lambda x\}_{\lambda \geq 0}$ , but other choices do in fact produce distinct quantum uniform Roe algebras. One may, for instance, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the collection of functions obtained from  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  under arbitrary repeated sums and compositions. The companion paper [\[Braga et al. 2024\]](#) studies many aspects of this construction for abelian  $\mathcal{M}$  and in particular analyzes the wild poset of different quantum uniform Roe algebras arising from various  $\mathcal{F}$  when  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  endowed with the Lebesgue integral as trace.

**5.2. Vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let us recall the two conditions in [Definitions 5.3](#) and [5.6](#) on operators  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , in the presence of a tracial von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ :

- $$(4) \quad \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)), \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a^*\xi)) \leq \lambda \cdot \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in H,$$
- $$(5) \quad \tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)), \tau(s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(a^*q)) \leq \lambda \cdot \tau(q) \quad \text{for all } q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}).$$

We defined the associated *vector* support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra as the closure of those  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  that satisfy (4) for some  $\lambda$ , while the support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra (which is a quantum uniform Roe algebra by [Theorem 5.5](#)) is the closure of those elements that satisfy (5) for some  $\lambda$ . In this subsection we prove that conditions (4) and (5) are equivalent when  $\mathcal{M}$  is *abelian*. In general they are inequivalent, and we also show that there are vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras with respect to certain  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  which are not quantum uniform Roe algebras in any way, meaning that there is no quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  giving rise to this  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Example 5.9.** We present a simple example showing that an operator may satisfy (4) for some  $\lambda$  but not satisfy (5) for the same  $\lambda$ . For that, let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_2$  act standardly as left multiplication operators on  $\text{HS}_2$ , the Hilbert space of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. For any  $\xi \in \text{HS}_2$ ,  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)$  is left multiplication by the matrix projecting onto the range of  $\xi$ , and its nonnormalized trace is equal to the dimension of this range. Let  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\text{HS}_2)$  take  $\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$  to  $\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} s & u \\ t & v \end{pmatrix}$ . For every  $\xi \in \text{HS}_2$ ,  $\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)) = \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi))$  because the transpose map preserves rank (“row rank

equals column rank"). Note also that  $a$  is self-adjoint:

$$\langle \xi^t, \eta \rangle = \tau(\eta^* \xi^t) = \tau(\xi \eta^{t*}) = \tau(\eta^{t*} \xi) = \langle \xi, \eta^t \rangle.$$

Thus  $a$  satisfies (4) for  $\lambda = 1$ .

Let  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  be (left multiplication by)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $aq(\xi)$  is a vector of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $s_\ell^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix (we are looking for the least projection such that left multiplication fixes all matrices of this form). Thus  $a$  does not satisfy (5) for  $\lambda = 1$ .

This example is not so bad, because  $a$  does satisfy (5) for  $\lambda = 2$ . Needing to double  $\lambda$  is the worst that can happen for this  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ , so the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra still agrees with the support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra. In Section 5.2.2 we give an infinite-dimensional version of this example, supplemented by additional analysis, showing that some vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras are not quantum uniform Roe algebras at all.

**5.2.1.** *The two notions of expansion agree when  $\mathcal{M}$  is abelian.*

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be an abelian von Neumann algebra, and let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then conditions (4) and (5) are equivalent for  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , so that the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra equals the support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$ .*

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  be an abelian von Neumann algebra and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in H$ . Then there are  $c_1 = 1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\bigvee_{j=1}^n s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j) = s^{\mathcal{M}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \xi_j\right).$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$  there is nothing to show. Take  $n > 1$ , and assume we have proved the lemma for  $n - 1$ . Given  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ , apply the lemma to  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$  to find  $c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}$  with  $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j) = s^{\mathcal{M}}(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \xi_j)$ . For each  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  let

$$p_c = \left[ \bigvee_{j=1}^n s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j) \right] - s^{\mathcal{M}}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \xi_j\right) + c \xi_n\right].$$

We show that the  $p_c$  are pairwise orthogonal. For simplicity rename  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \xi_j$  as  $\eta_1$  and  $\xi_n$  as  $\eta_2$ . We have

$$p_c = (s^{\mathcal{M}}(\eta_1) \vee s^{\mathcal{M}}(\eta_2)) - s^{\mathcal{M}}(\eta_1 + c \eta_2),$$

so that  $p_c(\eta_1 + c \eta_2) = 0$ . For  $c \neq c'$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_1) + c (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_2) &= (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_1 + c \eta_2) = 0 \\ &= (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_1 + c' \eta_2) = (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_1) + c' (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

As  $c \neq c'$ , we must have

$$(p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_1) = (p_c p_{c'}) (\eta_2) = 0.$$

It follows that  $p_c p_{c'}$  is perpendicular to  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(\eta_1) \vee s^{\mathcal{M}}(\eta_2)$ , of which it is a subprojection. We conclude that  $p_c p_{c'} = 0$ .

Thus  $\{p_c : dc \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is an uncountable family of pairwise orthogonal projections. For each  $j$  all but countably many  $p_c$  annihilate  $\xi_j$ , so there must be a  $p_c$  that annihilates all  $\xi_j$  and then is perpendicular to the projection  $\bigvee_{j=1}^n s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j)$ . But  $p_c$  lies beneath  $\bigvee_{j=1}^n s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_j)$  and so must be zero. (To the conversant reader, we just used that cyclic projections are  $\sigma$ -finite, and the join of countably many  $\sigma$ -finite projections is  $\sigma$ -finite.) The proof is completed by letting  $c_n$  be any  $c$  for which  $p_c = 0$ .  $\square$

The lemma is not true for general  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ : let  $H$  be a Hilbert space containing linearly independent vectors  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ , and take  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.10.* First assume that  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfies (5). Then for any  $\xi \in H$ ,

$$\lambda \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)) \geq \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(as^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi))) \geq \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)).$$

The first inequality is the assumption (5). For the second,  $(as^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi))(\xi) = a\xi$ , so  $a\xi$  is in the range of  $as^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)$  and is therefore fixed by  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(as^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi))$ . The latter is a projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  and must therefore dominate  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)$ , which is the smallest  $\mathcal{M}$ -projection fixing  $a\xi$ . This shows that (4) holds (and commutativity of  $\mathcal{M}$  is not needed).

Next assume that  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfies (4). For any projection  $q$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$s^{\mathcal{M}}(aq) = \bigvee_{\xi \in qH} s^{\mathcal{M}}(aq\xi) = \bigvee_{\xi \in qH} s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi) = \lim_{\text{finite } F \subset qH} \bigvee_{\xi \in F} s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi).$$

For the last equality, we used that an infinite join is the weak limit of the increasing net of finite joins (the indices  $F$  are finite subsets of  $qH$ , ordered by reverse inclusion). By normality of  $\tau$  we have

$$\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) = \sup_{\text{finite } F \subset qH} \tau\left(\bigvee_{\xi \in F} s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)\right).$$

Using Lemma 5.11 we can replace  $\bigvee_{\xi \in F} s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)$  by the  $\mathcal{M}$ -support of a linear combination of the  $a\xi$ , which is a single vector in  $qH$ :

$$\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(aq)) = \sup_{\xi \in qH} \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)).$$

The desired conclusion follows by applying (4) to the term in the last supremum: for any  $\xi \in qH$  we have

$$\tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(a\xi)) \leq \lambda \tau(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)) \leq \lambda \tau(q). \quad \square$$

**5.2.2.** *A vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra that is not a quantum uniform Roe algebra.* In the proof of [Theorem 5.10](#), we saw that (5) implies (4), and [Example 5.9](#) shows that the converse can fail. An infinite-dimensional version of this example,  $c$  in the proof below, satisfies (5) for no  $\lambda$ , even though it satisfies (4) for  $\lambda = 1$ . This demonstrates that the sets of constrained operators and vector constrained operators can differ.

Establishing that a vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra is not a quantum uniform Roe algebra is more complicated, because closures are involved, and the proof requires extra steps in order to handle approximations. Note that we are not merely distinguishing the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra from the support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra; we are showing that it is not any quantum uniform Roe algebra at all. The condition (5) plays no role here.

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  be in standard form, that is, acting by left multiplication on the Hilbert space HS of Hilbert–Schmidt operators on  $\ell_2$ . The associated vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra is not a quantum uniform Roe algebra on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\text{HS})$ .*

*Proof.* We first repeat some observations from [Example 5.9](#), but in the infinite-dimensional setting. Any element of  $\mathcal{M}$  is left multiplication by some  $\ell_2$ -operator  $b$ , denoted by  $L(b)$ ; similarly elements of  $\mathcal{M}'$  are right multiplications  $R(b)$ . Given a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $c$  on  $\ell_2$ , we write  $\hat{c}$  for the associated vector in HS. Then  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{c}) \in \mathcal{M}$  is left multiplication by the projection onto the range of  $c$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\text{HS})$  be the transpose map  $\hat{c} \mapsto \hat{c}^t$ , which is a self-adjoint isometry on HS. Again  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(a(\hat{c})) = s^{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{c}^t)$  has the same (possibly infinite) trace as  $s^{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{c})$ , because the transpose operation preserves rank. Thus  $a$  satisfies (4) for  $\lambda = 1$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra, which is the norm closure of the vector constrained operators. Suppose towards a contradiction that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quantum uniform Roe algebra, obtained as the norm closure of some algebraic quantum uniform Roe algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Since  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $\|a - a_1\| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the union of weak\*-closed  $\mathcal{M}'$ – $\mathcal{M}'$  bimodules, so any element of  $\overline{\text{span}}^{w^*} \mathcal{M}' a_1 \mathcal{M}'$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . The strategy of the proof is to build an element  $a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and show that it is not a limit of vector constrained operators.

Let  $I_1 = \{1\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{2, 3\}$ ,  $I_3 = \{4, 5, 6\}$ , etc. Define  $a_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\text{HS})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \text{SOT-} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i \in I_n} R(e_{1i}) a_1 R(e_{ni}) \\ &= R(e_{11}) a_1 R(e_{11}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [R(e_{12}) a_1 R(e_{22}) + R(e_{13}) a_1 R(e_{23})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [R(e_{14}) a_1 R(e_{34}) + R(e_{15}) a_1 R(e_{35}) + R(e_{16}) a_1 R(e_{36})] + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Each term of the form  $R(e_{1i})a_1R(e_{ni})$  has range inside the  $i$ -th column of HS. Each sum  $\sum_{i \in I_n} R(e_{1i})a_1R(e_{ni})$  is the sum of  $n$  operators with norm  $\leq \|a_1\|$  and pairwise orthogonal ranges and thus has norm  $\leq \sqrt{n}\|a_1\|$ . This entails that  $(1/\sqrt{n}) \sum_{i \in I_n} R(e_{1i})a_1R(e_{ni})$  is an operator of norm  $\leq \|a_1\|$  that annihilates vectors supported off the  $n$ -th column of HS and has range inside the columns of HS indexed by  $I_n$ . For different  $n$  these have orthogonal left and right supports; it follows that the partial sums converge strongly to an operator  $a_2$  of norm  $\leq \|a_1\|$ . By the remark in the previous paragraph, we have  $a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

For convenience define

$$a_3 = \text{SOT-} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i \in I_n} R(e_{1i})aR(e_{ni}),$$

so that

$$\|a_2 - a_3\| = \left\| \text{SOT-} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i \in I_n} R(e_{1i})(a_1 - a)R(e_{ni}) \right\| \leq \|a_1 - a\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

by the same argument as above. The action of  $a_3$  is nice:

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{t_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{t_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

To finish the proof we demonstrate that any  $d \in \mathcal{B}(\text{HS})$  with  $\|d - a_2\| < 0.1$  cannot satisfy (4) for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Suppose there are such  $d$  and  $\lambda$ . Pick  $n > 2\lambda$ . By (4) at the vector  $\hat{e}_{1n}$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(s^{\mathcal{M}}(d(\hat{e}_{1n}))) \leq \lambda \text{Tr}(s^{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{e}_{1n})) = \lambda \text{Tr}(e_{11}) = \lambda.$$

Let  $q$  be the projection in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  such that  $L(q) = s^{\mathcal{M}}(d(\hat{e}_{1n}))$ , so that  $q$  has rank  $\leq \lambda$ . As  $L(q^\perp)(d(\hat{e}_{1n})) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(q^\perp)(a_3(\hat{e}_{1n}))\|_{\text{HS}} &= \|L(q^\perp)((d - a_3)(\hat{e}_{1n}))\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|(d - a_3)(\hat{e}_{1n})\|_{\text{HS}} \\ &\leq \|d - a_3\| \leq \|d - a_2\| + \|a_2 - a_3\| < 0.1 + 0.5 = 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $a_3(\hat{e}_{1n})$  is  $1/\sqrt{n}$  times a projection  $r$  of rank  $n$ . Since  $n > 2\lambda$ , Kaplansky's law for projections gives

$$\begin{aligned} r - r \wedge q^\perp &\sim r \vee q^\perp - q^\perp \leq q \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(r) - \text{Tr}(r \wedge q^\perp) \leq \text{Tr}(q) \\ &\Rightarrow n - \text{Tr}(r \wedge q^\perp) \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}n, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $r \wedge q^\perp$  has rank at least  $\frac{1}{2}n$ . Finally

$$\|L(q^\perp)(a_3(\hat{e}_{1n}))\|_{\text{HS}} = \left\| \left( \frac{\widehat{q^\perp r}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \left\| L(r \wedge q^\perp) \left( \frac{\widehat{q^\perp r}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \left\| \frac{\widehat{r \wedge q^\perp}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

which violates the previous inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 5.13.** By [Section 4.1.1](#), the quantum uniform Roe algebras for the left multiplication representation  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\text{HS}) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2 \otimes \ell_2)$  are given by amplifying quantum uniform Roe algebras for  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ , and, by [Section 4.2.3](#), the latter can be any  $C^*$ -algebra. So the point of [Theorem 5.12](#) is that the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra is *not* of the form “ $(C^*\text{-algebra}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ ”, where the two factors are acting by left and right multiplication on HS.

The mechanism of the proof makes sense from this perspective too: if the vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebra were of this form inside  $\mathcal{B}(\text{HS})$ , it would be closed under strong limits and composition with right multiplications from  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ , which is shown false.

Even though vector support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras do not always arise from a quantum coarse structure, we believe they are natural objects and interesting for study in their own right.

**5.3. Rigidity and spatial implementation of  $*$ -isomorphisms.** A “rigidity” theorem for uniform Roe algebras says that an equivalence at the operator algebra level ( $*$ -isomorphism or something weaker) implies coarse equivalence of metric/coarse spaces. See, for instance, [\[Baudier et al. 2024\]](#). In this subsection we notice that a key step in proving such results is true in a specific quantum situation (but not generally).

It is a basic fact of  $C^*$ -theory that any  $*$ -isomorphism between represented  $C^*$ -algebras containing the compact operators must be *spatial*, i.e., of the form  $\text{Ad}(u)$  for some unitary  $u$  [\[Arveson 1976, Theorem 1.3.4 and Corollaries to Theorem 1.4.4\]](#). Classical uniform Roe algebras of connected coarse spaces (see [Section 4.1.4](#)) always contain the compact operators, and building on this, it was shown in [\[Braga and Farah 2021, Lemma 3.1\]](#) that all  $*$ -isomorphisms between classical uniform Roe algebras are spatial (see also [\[Špakula and Willett 2013, Lemma 3.1\]](#)). Spatiality is useful for proving rigidity-type results because the implementing unitary provides a foothold for building maps between the underlying sets.

But isomorphisms between quantum uniform Roe algebras do *not* need to be spatially implemented, even when they arise from quantum coarse structures over the same represented von Neumann algebra. For instance, the algebras

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

are quantum uniform Roe algebras for  $\mathcal{M} = M_4(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^4)$  (Section 4.2.2). They are  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  and each other, but they are not spatially isomorphic.

We may still conclude spatiality for  $*$ -isomorphisms between quantum uniform Roe algebras containing the compacts (unlike the above algebras). The next result, which is about support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras, gives a case of this. Recall that a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $\mathcal{M}$  with trace  $\tau$  is said to have *property  $\Gamma$*  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$  there is a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\tau(u) = 0$  and  $\|x_i u - u x_i\|_2 < \varepsilon$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proposition 5.14.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau') \subset \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{N}, \tau'))$  be  $\text{II}_1$ -factors that do not have property  $\Gamma$ . Every  $*$ -isomorphism between the support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$  and  $C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V}_{\tau'})$  is spatially implemented.*

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{M}$  does not have property  $\Gamma$ , [Connes 1976, Theorem 2.1] says that  $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathcal{M})) \subset C^*(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}')$ . But  $C^*(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}')$  is contained in  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_\tau)$  by Theorem 5.7(1). Similarly  $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathcal{N})) \subset C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V}_{\tau'})$ , so by the preceding remarks all isomorphisms between these algebras are spatial.  $\square$

Developing rigidity for quantum uniform Roe algebras would be an interesting direction for future research.

## 6. Morphisms in the quantum category

The current section discusses morphisms between quantum coarse spaces. We consider individual morphisms, various equivalences, and a notion of subspace, and we prove additional results in case the quantum coarse space is metrizable. In Section 6.4 we quantize the concept of asymptotic dimension and show that it is stable under quantum coarse embeddings (Theorem 6.31).

The next terminology was introduced in [Kornell 2011] (see also [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023]):

**Definition 6.1.** A unital weak $*$ -continuous  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  between von Neumann algebras is called a *quantum function*.

Quantum functions are the quantum versions of ordinary functions  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Indeed, given sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , any map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  canonically induces a quantum function  $\varphi_f : \ell_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$  by letting  $\varphi_f(g) = g \circ f$  for all  $g \in \ell_\infty$ . Moreover, any quantum function  $\varphi : \ell_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$  induces a map  $f_\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  by letting  $f_\varphi(x) = y$  if  $\chi_{\{x\}} \leq \varphi(\chi_{\{y\}})$ . These two constructions are clearly inverse to each other.

Quantum functions behave well with respect to pullbacks of intrinsic quantum relations. Precisely, given intrinsic quantum coarse spaces  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q})$ , every such  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  induces a canonical map  $\varphi^* : \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{M})$  by letting

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \{(p, q) \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))^2 : ((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q)) \in \mathcal{Q}\} = (\varphi \otimes 1)^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$$

for all  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  (see [Weaver 2012, Proposition 2.25] for proof that  $\varphi^*$  is well defined).

The following is the quantum version of coarse maps.<sup>6</sup>

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q})$  be intrinsic quantum coarse spaces. We call a quantum function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  *quantum coarse* if  $\varphi^*[\mathcal{Q}] \subset \mathcal{R}$ . If  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(K), \mathcal{U})$  are quantum coarse spaces, we define quantum coarse maps considering the intrinsic quantum coarse spaces  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

We start by showing that quantum coarseness is equivalent to coarseness in the classical setting:

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  be coarse spaces and consider  $\ell_{\infty}(X)$  and  $\ell_{\infty}(Y)$  endowed with the quantum coarse structures induced by  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , respectively. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is coarse if and only if  $\varphi_f : \ell_{\infty}(Y) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}(X)$  is quantum coarse.*

*Proof.* Let  $E \subset X^2$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_E = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_E}$ , i.e.,  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}_E$  if and only if there exists  $(x, y) \in E$  so that  $p(e_{xy} \otimes 1)q \neq 0$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_f^*(\mathcal{R}_E) &= \{(r, s) : \exists(x, y) \in E, [(\varphi_f \otimes 1)(r)](e_{xy} \otimes 1)[(\varphi_f \otimes 1)(s)] \neq 0\} \\ &= \{(r, s) : \exists(x, y) \in E, r(e_{f(x)f(y)} \otimes 1)s \neq 0\} \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{f \times f(E)} \end{aligned}$$

(the second equality above holds since  $\varphi_f$  is weak\* continuous, and  $r, s$  are weak\* limits of linear combinations of simple tensors). The result then follows from [Proposition 2.2](#) and [Theorem 2.4](#).  $\square$

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an injective coarse map between coarse spaces  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$ , then the isometric embedding  $u_f : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$  given by  $u_f(\delta_x) = \delta_{f(x)}$ , for all  $x \in X$ , induces an embedding  $\text{Ad}(u_f) : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$  (this is completely straightforward; see [\[Braga et al. 2020, Theorem 1.2\]](#) for details). Moreover, if  $f$  is a bijective coarse equivalence, this embedding is an isomorphism (see [\[Braga and Farah 2021, Theorem 8.1\]](#) for details). For this reason, we are interested in understanding the quantum versions of “injective coarse maps” and “bijective coarse equivalences”. As  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is injective if and only if  $\varphi_f : \ell_{\infty}(Y) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}(X)$  is surjective, in the quantum world the notion of an injective coarse map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is replaced by a surjective quantum coarse function  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . Similarly, a bijective coarse equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$  becomes an isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  with both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  being quantum coarse.

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{M}}), \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{N}}), \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces. An isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called a *quantum coarse isomorphism* if both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are quantum coarse functions.

<sup>6</sup>Recall, if  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  are coarse spaces, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *coarse* if  $(f \times f)[\mathcal{E}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

A quantum coarse isomorphism is just a change of representation for a single intrinsic quantum coarse space, so the next theorem follows from Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a quantum coarse isomorphism between the quantum coarse spaces  $(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{M}}), \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{N}}), \mathcal{U})$ .*

(1) *If  $\varphi$  is spatially implemented, then it induces a spatial isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}).$$

(2) *In any case there is a spatially implemented isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K) &= \mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K, \mathcal{V} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{N} \otimes 1_K, \mathcal{U} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K)) = \mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K) \end{aligned}$$

for any Hilbert space  $K$  with  $\dim K \geq \max\{\aleph_0, \dim H_{\mathcal{M}}, \dim H_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ .

For a simple example of the difference between the two parts of Theorem 6.5, let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{C}$ , which carries a unique intrinsic quantum coarse structure. The identity  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum coarse isomorphism. If we represent  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  as multiples of the identity, their quantum uniform Roe algebras are  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , respectively, which are not (spatially) isomorphic until after amplification.

We now prove the quantum version of the discrete result about embeddability.

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a spatially implemented surjective quantum function. If  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse, then  $\mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  spatially embeds into  $\mathbf{C}_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . As  $\varphi$  is a quantum function, there is a central projection  $r \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\ker(\varphi) = (1-r)\mathcal{M}$ . Hence, as  $\varphi$  is spatially implemented, there is a surjective isometry  $u : H_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \text{Im}(r)$  such that  $\varphi(a) = u^*au$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\psi : \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{M}})$  be the spatial embedding given by  $\psi(b) = ubu^*$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

**Claim 6.7.** Let  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\psi(b) \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi^*(Q)}$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{V}_Q$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $b \in \mathcal{V}_Q$  and projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  with  $(p, q) \notin \varphi^*(Q)$ . So,  $((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q)) \notin Q$  and we have

$$[(\varphi \otimes 1)(p)](b \otimes 1)[(\varphi \otimes 1)(q)] = 0.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is conjugation by  $u$ , we claim that

$$(u^* \otimes 1)p(u \otimes 1)(b \otimes 1)(u^* \otimes 1)q(u \otimes 1) = 0.$$

Indeed,  $p$  and  $q$  are weak\*-limits of linear combinations of simple tensors, and the equation above is clearly true if  $p$  and  $q$  are simple tensors, or linear combinations

of simple tensors. By the weak\*-continuity of  $\varphi$ , it follows for our  $p$  and  $q$  as well. We then have  $p(\psi(b) \otimes 1)q = 0$ . The arbitrariness of  $p$  and  $q$  implies that  $\psi(b) \in \mathcal{V}_{\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q})}$ .  $\square$

The previous claim shows that  $\psi$  takes  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  into  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q})}$ . As  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse,  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ , so  $\psi$  takes  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  into  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Hence,  $\psi \upharpoonright C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  is an embedding of  $C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  into  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ .  $\square$

Although a quantum coarse isomorphism  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  induces an isomorphism between quantum uniform Roe algebras, this is not the quantum version of *coarse equivalence*, since coarse equivalences do not need to be bijective.<sup>7</sup> In order to deal with a “nonbijective quantum coarse isomorphism”, we must first introduce the notion of *closeness* in the quantum setting. Recall, if  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  is a coarse space and  $X$  is a set, then maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are *close* if there is  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $(f(x), g(x)) \in F$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 6.8.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be von Neumann algebras and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an intrinsic quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Quantum functions  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  are called *quantum close* if there is  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  so that  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  with  $(\varphi \otimes 1)(p)(\psi \otimes 1)(q) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  be a coarse space, and consider  $\ell_\infty(Y)$  endowed with the quantum coarse structure induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are close if and only if  $\varphi_f, \psi_g : \ell_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$  are quantum close.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi_f$  and  $\varphi_g$  are quantum close, and let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $\mathcal{R}_F$  witnesses that those maps are quantum close. Then, for each  $x \in X$ , we have

$$[(\varphi_f \otimes 1)(e_{f(x)f(x)} \otimes 1)][(\varphi_g \otimes 1)(e_{g(x)g(x)} \otimes 1)] = e_{xx} \otimes 1,$$

which implies that  $((e_{f(x)f(x)} \otimes 1), (e_{g(x)g(x)} \otimes 1)) \in \mathcal{R}_F$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{R}_F$ , this implies that  $(f(x), g(x)) \in F$ .

Suppose now that  $f$  and  $g$  are close, and say  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is so that  $(f(x), g(x)) \in F$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_F$  be the intrinsic quantum relation on  $\ell_\infty(Y)$  given by  $F$ . If  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\ell_\infty(Y) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  are such that  $[(\varphi_f \otimes 1)(p)][(\varphi_g \otimes 1)(q)] \neq 0$ , pick  $x \in X$  so that  $(\varphi_f \otimes 1)(p)(e_{xx} \otimes 1)(\varphi_g \otimes 1)(q) \neq 0$ . Then, as  $\varphi_f$  and  $\varphi_g$  are weak\*-continuous, we must have that  $p(e_{f(x)g(x)} \otimes 1)q \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{R}_F$ .  $\square$

We now introduce the quantum version of coarse equivalence:

**Definition 6.10.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces and  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a quantum function. We say that  $\varphi$  is a *quantum coarse equivalence* if  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse and there is a quantum coarse map  $\psi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  so that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  and

<sup>7</sup>We point out here that while coarse equivalences do not imply the existence of isomorphisms of uniform Roe algebras, they do imply the existence of isomorphisms between *Roe algebras*.

$\varphi \circ \psi$  are quantum close to the identities of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively. In this case  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  are called *quantum coarsely equivalent* and  $\psi$  is a *quantum coarse inverse* of  $\varphi$ .

Clearly, if  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum coarse isomorphism, then  $\varphi$  is a quantum coarse equivalence. We return to quantum equivalences in Subsections 6.1 and 6.4.

**6.1. Quantum coarse subspaces.** Besides equivalences between quantum coarse spaces, we want to be able to talk about embeddings. For that, we must first deal with quantum *subspaces*. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra and  $r \in \mathcal{M}$  is a central projection, then  $\mathcal{N} = r\mathcal{M}$  can be seen as a von Neumann algebra in  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ , where  $K = \text{Im}(r)$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , we let

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{r\mathcal{V}r : \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$
<sup>8</sup>

Considering  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  as a family of quantum relations on  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is a quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{N}$  and we call  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}})$  a *quantum coarse subspace of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$*  (see [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012, Definition 2.35]). We denote the intrinsic quantum coarse structure  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  by  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

We now show that quantum coarseness is “independent of subspaces”. In the classical case, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between coarse spaces is coarse if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is coarse for (any) coarse space  $Z$  with  $Y \subset Z$ . The next two propositions show that quantum coarse maps satisfy the same property.

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  be a quantum coarse space and let  $r$  be a central projection in  $\mathcal{M}$ . The map  $\pi : a \in \mathcal{M} \mapsto ra \in r\mathcal{M}$  is quantum coarse.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N} = r\mathcal{M}$ . Say  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . So there is  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  so that, thinking of  $r\mathcal{V}r$  as being in  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*(\mathcal{Q}) &= \{(p, q) : ((\pi \otimes 1)(p), (\pi \otimes 1)(q)) \in \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}\} \\ &= \{(p, q) : \exists v \in \mathcal{V}, [(\pi \otimes 1)(p)(v \otimes 1)] [(\pi \otimes 1)(q)] \neq 0\} \\ &= \{(p, q) : \exists v \in \mathcal{V}, (p)(rvr \otimes 1)(q) \neq 0\} \\ &= \{(p, q) : \exists v \in r\mathcal{V}r, (p)(v \otimes 1)(q) \neq 0\} \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\pi^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$ , that is,  $\pi$  is quantum coarse. □

If  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum function between von Neumann algebras, then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a weak\*-closed ideal. Hence, there is a central projection  $r \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\ker(\varphi) = (1 - r)\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a quantum coarse structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we consider  $\mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi)$  as a quantum coarse space endowed with the quantum coarse structure given by the canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \cong r\mathcal{M}$ .

<sup>8</sup>As the elements in  $\mathcal{V}$  are bimodules over  $\mathcal{M}'$ , we have that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces. Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a quantum function and  $\psi : \mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be the map induced by  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse if and only if  $\psi$  is quantum coarse.*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be a central projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  so that  $(1-r)\mathcal{M} = \ker(\varphi)$ , so we identify  $\mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi)$  with  $r\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow r\mathcal{M}$  be the map given by  $\pi(a) = ra$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ ; so  $\pi$  is quantum coarse (Proposition 6.11) and  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ . In particular, if  $\psi$  is quantum coarse, so is  $\varphi$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{Q} \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{N})$ , then  $\psi^*(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \varphi^*(\mathcal{Q})$ . Therefore, if  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse, so is  $\psi$ .  $\square$

In the discrete setting, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a coarse embedding if  $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$  is a coarse equivalence. We then make the following definition:

**Definition 6.13.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces. A quantum function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called a *quantum coarse embedding* of  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  into  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  if the induced map  $\psi : \mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum coarse equivalence.

**6.2. Expanding maps and coboundedness.** Notoriously, there are two equivalent ways of defining equivalence between coarse spaces:

- (1) A coarse map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a coarse equivalence if there is a coarse map  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  so that  $g \circ f$  and  $f \circ g$  are close to  $\text{Id}_X$  and  $\text{Id}_Y$ , respectively.
- (2) A coarse map is a coarse equivalence if it is *expanding* and *cobounded*.<sup>9</sup>

The first one, being clearly a symmetric property, is much more natural and therefore it was the one quantized in the previous section.

As the existence of quantum functions between von Neumann algebras is not immediate, the reader should not expect that quantum coarse equivalences should be equivalent to the existence of a single quantum coarse function  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  which is also “quantum cobounded” and “quantum expanding” (see Remark 6.22). However, as we see in this section, there are still natural generalizations of expansion and coboundedness which are indeed implied by coarse equivalences (see Theorem 6.21). Moreover, as we see in Theorem 6.23, those concepts are related to embeddings of quantum uniform Roe algebras into *hereditary* subalgebras.

**Definition 6.14.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q})$  be intrinsic quantum coarse spaces. We call a quantum function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  *quantum expanding* if  $(\varphi^*)^{-1}[\mathcal{R}] \subset \mathcal{Q}$ . If  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  are quantum coarse spaces, we define quantum expanding maps by considering the intrinsic quantum relations  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

As usual, we start by noticing that this quantization of expanding functions indeed coincides with the usual notion in the classical setting.

<sup>9</sup>A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between coarse spaces  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  is *expanding* if  $(f \times f)^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{E}$  and *cobounded* if there is  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  so that for all  $y \in Y$  there is  $x \in X$  with  $(y, f(x)) \in F$ .

**Proposition 6.15.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  be coarse spaces and consider  $\ell_\infty(X)$  and  $\ell_\infty(Y)$  endowed with the quantum coarse structures induced by  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , respectively. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is expanding if and only if  $\varphi_f : \ell_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$  is quantum expanding.*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{f \times f} : \mathcal{P}(X^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y^2)$  be the map given by  $\overline{f \times f}(E) = (f \times f)[E]$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{P}(X^2)$ , and notice that  $f$  is expanding if and only if  $\overline{f \times f}^{-1}[\mathcal{E}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Given  $F \subset Y^2$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_F = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_F}$  and notice that, as  $\varphi_f$  is weak\*-continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \in (\varphi_f^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_F) &\iff \text{there exists } E \in \mathcal{P}(X^2) \text{ with } \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_E \text{ and } \varphi_f^*(\mathcal{R}_E) = \mathcal{R}_F \\ &\iff \text{there exists } E \in \mathcal{P}(X^2) \text{ with } \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_E \text{ and} \\ &\quad \{(r, s) : \exists(x, y) \in E, r(e_{f(x)f(y)} \otimes 1)s \neq 0\} \\ &\quad = \{(r, s) : \exists(z, w) \in F, r(e_{zw} \otimes 1)s \neq 0\} \\ &\iff \text{there exists } E \in \mathcal{P}(X^2) \text{ with } \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_E \text{ and } \overline{f \times f}(E) = F \\ &\iff \mathcal{Q} \in \{\mathcal{R}_E : E \in \overline{f \times f}^{-1}[\{F\}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(\varphi_f^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_F) = \{\mathcal{R}_E : E \in \overline{f \times f}^{-1}[\{F\}]\}$  for all  $F \subset Y^2$  and the result follows.  $\square$

If  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are coarse spaces and  $Y \subset Z$ , then a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is expanding if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is expanding. The goal of the next two propositions is to show that the same holds for quantum expansion.

**Proposition 6.16.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  be a quantum coarse space and let  $r$  be a central projection in  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . The map  $\pi : a \in \mathcal{M} \mapsto ra \in r\mathcal{M}$  is quantum expanding.*

*Proof.* Say  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  and pick  $\mathcal{Q} \in \text{IQRel}(r\mathcal{M})$  so that  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{R}$ . By [Theorem 2.4](#), there are quantum relations  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  so that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and, thinking of  $r\mathcal{U}r$  as a quantum relation on  $r\mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{U}r}$ . Unfolding definitions, it is straightforward to check that, considering  $r\mathcal{V}r$  as a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(rH)$ , we have  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}$ . So  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{M}}$ , and  $\pi$  is quantum expanding.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.17.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces. Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a quantum function and  $\psi : \mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  the map induced by  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding if and only if  $\psi$  is quantum expanding.*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be a central projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  so that  $(1-r)\mathcal{M} = \ker(\varphi)$ , and identify  $\mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi)$  with  $r\mathcal{M}$ . For simplicity, let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{M}}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow r\mathcal{M}$  be the map given by  $\pi(a) = ra$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ , so  $\pi$  is expanding ([Proposition 6.16](#)) and  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ .

As  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ , if  $\psi$  is quantum expanding, so is  $\varphi$ . Suppose now that  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding. Let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}'$  and pick  $\mathcal{Q} \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{N})$  with  $\psi^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{R}$ . By [Theorem 2.4](#), there is  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  so that, thinking of  $r\mathcal{V}r$  as a quantum relation on  $r\mathcal{M}$ ,

we have  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}' = r\mathcal{V}r$  be considered as a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{M}})$ . Then, as  $\psi^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{R}_{r\mathcal{V}r}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}) &= \{(p, q) : [(\psi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1)](p), [(\psi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1)](q) \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ &= \{(p, q) : ((r \otimes 1)(p), (r \otimes 1)(q)) \in \psi^*(\mathcal{Q})\} \\ &= \{(p, q) : \exists a \in \mathcal{V}, p(rar \otimes 1)q \neq 0\} \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}'}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding and  $\mathcal{V}' \in \mathcal{V}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . So,  $\psi$  is quantum expanding.  $\square$

**Definition 6.18.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  be an intrinsic quantum coarse space and  $\mathcal{N}$  be a von Neumann algebra. A quantum map  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called *quantum cobounded* if and only if there is  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  so that for all nonzero  $p \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  there is  $q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  such that  $(p, (r \otimes 1)q) \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $r$  is the central projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  so that  $\ker(\varphi) = (1 - r)\mathcal{M}$ .

The proof of the next proposition is straightforward, so we omit it.

**Proposition 6.19.** *Let  $X$  be a set,  $(Y, \mathcal{F})$  be a coarse space and consider  $\ell_\infty(Y)$  endowed with the quantum coarse structure induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is cobounded if and only if  $\varphi_f : \ell_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(X)$  is quantum cobounded.*  $\square$

**Proposition 6.20.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces, and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be quantum functions.*

- (1) *If  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is quantum close to the identity  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\varphi$  is quantum cobounded.*
- (2) *If  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is quantum close to the identity  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  and  $\psi$  is quantum coarse, then  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

(1) Fix  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  witnessing that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is quantum close to the identity  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $r \in \mathcal{M}$  be the central projection so that  $\ker(\varphi) = (1 - r)\mathcal{M}$  and fix a nonzero projection  $p \in \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ . Let

$$q_0 = 1_{H_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \ell_2}} - \bigvee \{q' \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{N} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)) : p[(\psi \otimes 1)(q')] = 0\};$$

so  $q_0 \in \mathcal{N} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  and  $p \leq (\psi \otimes 1)(q_0)$ . Similarly, let

$$p_0 = 1_{H_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \ell_2}} - \bigvee \{p' \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)) : q_0[(\varphi \otimes 1)(p')] = 0\}.$$

So  $p_0 \in \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  and  $q_0 \leq (\varphi \otimes 1)(p_0) = [(\varphi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1)](p_0)$ . Therefore, we have that  $(\psi \otimes 1)(q_0) \leq [(\psi \otimes 1)(\varphi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1)](p_0)$  and, as  $p \leq (\psi \otimes 1)(q_0)$ , we conclude that

$$p[(\psi \otimes 1)(\varphi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1)]p_0 \neq 0.$$

By our choice of  $\mathcal{R}$ , it must follow that  $(p, (r \otimes 1)p_0) \in \mathcal{R}$ . So  $\varphi$  is cobounded.

(2) Fix  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  witnessing that  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is quantum close to the identity  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  and pick  $\mathcal{Q}' \in (\varphi^*)^{-1}[\{\mathcal{R}\}]$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q} \circ \psi^*(\mathcal{R}) \circ \mathcal{Q}$ . For that, pick  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{Q}'$  and let  $r, s \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$  be so that  $(p, r) \notin \mathcal{Q}$  and  $(s, q) \notin \mathcal{Q}$ . Let us show that  $(r^\perp, s^\perp) \in \psi^*(\mathcal{R})$ . Indeed, by our choice of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the assumptions on  $r$  and  $s$  imply that

$$p(\varphi \otimes 1)(\psi \otimes 1)(r) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q(\varphi \otimes 1)(\psi \otimes 1)s = 0.$$

Therefore, as  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{Q}'$ , we must have that

$$((\varphi \otimes 1)(\psi \otimes 1)(r^\perp), (\varphi \otimes 1)(\psi \otimes 1)(s^\perp)) \in \mathcal{Q}'.$$

As  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}') = \mathcal{R}$ , this implies that  $((\psi \otimes 1)(r^\perp), (\psi \otimes 1)(s^\perp)) \in \mathcal{R}$ ; which in turn implies that  $(r^\perp, s^\perp) \in \psi^*(\mathcal{R})$ . The arbitrariness of  $r$  and  $s$  shows that  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{Q} \circ \psi^*(\mathcal{R}) \circ \mathcal{Q}$ . Thus, as  $\psi$  is quantum coarse, and as quantum coarse structures are closed under subrelations, this implies that  $\mathcal{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}$ . So  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding.  $\square$

We can now conclude that quantum coarse equivalence implies coboundedness and expansion, as promised.

**Theorem 6.21.** *Every quantum coarse embedding is quantum expanding and every quantum coarse equivalence is quantum expanding and quantum cobounded.*

*Proof.* If  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum coarse equivalence, then the result follows straightforwardly from [Proposition 6.20](#). If  $\varphi$  is a quantum coarse embedding, then, by definition,  $\psi : \mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a quantum coarse equivalence and therefore, by [Proposition 6.20](#), it is quantum expanding. By [Proposition 6.17](#),  $\varphi$  is also quantum expanding.  $\square$

**Remark 6.22** (on the backwards direction of [Theorem 6.21](#)). In the beginning of this subsection, we mentioned that the reader should have no hope that a quantum coarse map  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  which is also quantum expanding and quantum cobounded should be a quantum coarse equivalence. Indeed, this can be easily seen since the inclusion  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is a quantum function and, endowing  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  with their maximal intrinsic quantum coarse structures, i.e.,  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{IQRel}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} = \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ , it is clear that the inclusion  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  becomes quantum coarse, quantum expanding, and quantum cobounded. However, there is no quantum function  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

We can however say that every *surjective* quantum coarse and quantum expanding map is a quantum embedding, and that every *bijective* quantum coarse and quantum expanding map is a quantum equivalence. Indeed, say  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a surjective quantum coarse and quantum expanding map. Then the induced map  $\psi : \mathcal{M}/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is an isomorphism which, by [Propositions 6.12](#) and [6.17](#), is also quantum coarse and quantum expanding. Therefore,  $\psi^{-1}$  must be quantum coarse, so  $\psi$  is a quantum coarse isomorphism, that is,  $\varphi$  is a quantum coarse embedding.

**Theorem 6.23.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a surjective quantum function which is quantum coarse and quantum expanding.*

- (1) *If  $\varphi$  is spatially implemented, then  $C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  embeds into a hereditary subalgebra of  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ .*
- (2) *There is a Hilbert space  $K$  with  $\dim(K) \leq \{\aleph_0, \dim(H_{\mathcal{N}})\}$  so that  $C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  embeds into a hereditary subalgebra of  $C_u^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K, \mathcal{V} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K))$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $r \in \mathcal{M}$  be a central projection with  $\ker(\varphi) = (1 - r)\mathcal{M}$ . By Remark 6.22, the induced map  $\psi : r\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a spatially implemented quantum coarse isomorphism. Hence, by Theorem 6.5(1),  $C_u^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  is spatially isomorphic to  $C_u^*(r\mathcal{M}, r\mathcal{V}r) \simeq rC_u^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})r$ .

(2) This follows analogously, using Theorem 6.5(2) and reading the dimension estimate out of the proof of [Takesaki 1979, Theorem IV.5.5 and Corollary IV.5.6].  $\square$

**6.3. The metric case and quantum moduli.** For metric spaces  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \partial)$ , coarse maps are often defined in terms of the modulus of uniform continuity. Recall, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , then its *modulus of uniform continuity* is given by

$$\omega_f(t) = \sup\{\partial(f(x), f(y)) : d(x, y) \leq t\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

One easily sees that  $f$  is coarse if and only if  $\omega_f(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Equivalently, if

$$\tilde{\omega}_f(t) = \inf\{d(x, y) : \partial(f(x), f(y)) \geq t\},$$

then  $f$  is coarse if and only if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}(t) = \infty$  (see [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Lemma 3.1]). We now see that, for quantum coarse metric spaces, our definition of quantum coarseness has an analogous characterization in terms of a modulus.

We start recalling the definition of distance between projections introduced in [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012, Definition 2.6]:

**Definition 6.24.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, V = (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0})$  be a quantum metric space and let  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ . The  $V$ -distance between  $p$  and  $q$  is defined by

$$d_V(p, q) = \inf\{t \in [0, \infty) : \exists a \in \mathcal{V}_t, p(a \otimes 1)q \neq 0\}$$

(here we use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is  $\infty$ ).

Our notion of quantum coarseness can be expressed as follows for the metric case (see Remark 6.26):

**Proposition 6.25.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, V)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, U)$  be quantum metric spaces and consider  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  as quantum coarse spaces endowed with  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_V$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_U$ , respectively. The following are equivalent for a quantum function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ :*

(1) *The map  $\varphi$  is quantum coarse.*

(2) *There is  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) = \infty$  such that*

$$\omega(d_V(p, q)) \leq d_U((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q))$$

*for all  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ .*

(3) *We have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}_\varphi(t) = \infty$ , where*

$$\tilde{\omega}_\varphi(t) = \inf\{d_U((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q)) : d_V(p, q) \geq t\}$$

*and  $p$  and  $q$  range over  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V = (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $U = (\mathcal{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and for each  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_t}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_t = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}_t}$ .

The equivalence (2)  $\iff$  (3) is completely straightforward. So we only show that (1)  $\iff$  (3). For that, notice first that  $\varphi$  is coarse if and only if for all  $t > 0$  there is  $t' > 0$  so that  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}_t) \subset \mathcal{R}_{t'}$ . Then, if  $t, t' \geq 0$ , notice that, for  $p$  and  $q$  ranging over  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\varphi(t') \geq t &\iff [d_V(p, q) \geq t' \Rightarrow d_U((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q)) \geq t] \\ &\iff \left[ ((\varphi \otimes 1)(p), (\varphi \otimes 1)(q)) \in \bigcup_{s < t} \mathcal{Q}_s \Rightarrow (p, q) \in \bigcup_{s < t'} \mathcal{R}_s \right] \\ &\iff \left[ (p, q) \in \bigcup_{s < t} \varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}_s) \Rightarrow (p, q) \in \bigcup_{s < t'} \mathcal{R}_s \right] \\ &\iff \bigcup_{s < t} \varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}_s) \subset \bigcup_{s < t'} \mathcal{R}_s. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\tilde{\omega}_\varphi$  is increasing, we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 6.26.** We point out that  $\tilde{\omega}_\varphi$  was introduced in [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Definition 3.2] with the small difference that there the projections  $p$  and  $q$  are only allowed to range over projections in  $\mathcal{M}$ . However, those two definitions coincide for operator reflexive quantum metric spaces. Indeed, this can be seen, for instance, from the proof of Proposition 6.25 and the fact that if  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}' \in \text{QRel}(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H))$  are such that  $(p \otimes 1, q \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{V}$  implies  $(p \otimes 1, q \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}'}$  for all  $p, q \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}'}$ .

We now turn to quantum expanding functions. As introduced in [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Definition 2.3], given  $p \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we define the *diameter* of  $p$  as

$$\text{diam}_V(p) = \sup\{d_V(r, s) : \exists a \in \mathcal{B}(H), r(pap \otimes 1)s \neq 0\}.$$

Although we do not have an equivalent definition for quantum expanding maps in terms of a modulus, we can relate them with the following modulus introduced in [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Definition 3.2].

**Proposition 6.27.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{U})$  be quantum metric spaces and consider  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  as quantum coarse spaces endowed with  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{U}}$ , respectively. If  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is quantum expanding, then  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varphi(t)} < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where*

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\varphi}(t) = \sup\{\text{diam}_{\mathbf{U}}(\varphi(p)) : p \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ and } \text{diam}_{\mathbf{V}}(p) \leq t\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{V} = (\mathcal{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\mathbf{U} = (\mathcal{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . For each  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}_t}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_t = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}_t}$ . Fix  $t > 0$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}^t = \bigcup_{\mathcal{Q} \in (\varphi^*)^{-1}[\{\mathcal{R}_t\}]} \mathcal{Q},$$

so, as a union of intrinsic quantum relations is an intrinsic quantum relation, we have  $\mathcal{Q}^t \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{N})$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}^t) = \mathcal{R}_t$ , so, as  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding, we have  $\mathcal{Q}^t \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Pick  $t' > 0$  so that  $\mathcal{Q}^t \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t'}$ .

Let us show that  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varphi}(t) \leq t'$ . For each  $p \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , let

$$\mathcal{R}(p) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{B}(H)} \{(r, s) \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)) : r(pap \otimes 1)s \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}(p) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{B}(H)} \{(r, s) \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{N} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)) : r(\varphi(p)a\varphi(p) \otimes 1)s \neq 0\}.$$

So  $\mathcal{R}(p)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  are intrinsic quantum relations on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively, and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_{\varphi}(t) \leq t' &\iff \text{diam}_{\mathbf{V}}(p) \leq t \Rightarrow \text{diam}_{\mathbf{U}}(\varphi(p)) \leq t' \\ &\iff \mathcal{R}(p) \subset \mathcal{R}_t \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(p) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t'}, \end{aligned}$$

where the projections  $p$  above range over  $\text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{R}(p) \subset \mathcal{R}_t$ . By [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Lemma 2.6],  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}(p)) \subset \mathcal{R}(p)$ ; so  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}(p)) \subset \mathcal{R}_t$ . Therefore,  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}(p) \cup \mathcal{Q}^t) = \mathcal{R}_t$  which, by the definition of  $\mathcal{Q}^t$ , implies that  $\mathcal{Q}(p) \subset \mathcal{Q}^t$ . By our choice of  $t'$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}(p) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t'}$ .  $\square$

While the condition in Proposition 6.27 characterizes classical expansion, we do not know if it characterizes quantum expansion.

**Remark 6.28.** Quantum coarse embeddings between quantum *metric* spaces were introduced differently in [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023]: according to [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Definition 3.4], a quantum function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a *quantum coarse embedding* if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}_{\varphi}(t) = \infty$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varphi}(t) < \infty$ .

**6.4. Quantum asymptotic dimension.** Asymptotic dimension was introduced by M. Gromov [1993, Section 1.E], and it has since become one of the main concepts in coarse geometry. In this section, we quantize this notion and show that, just as in the classical setting, quantum asymptotic dimension is preserved under quantum coarse embeddings. In the case of quantum metric spaces, the development below coincides with [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Theorem 4.6].

**Definition 6.29.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  be an intrinsic quantum coarse space.

- (1) Given  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  covers  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $1_{H_{\mathcal{M}}} = \bigvee_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p$ .
- (2) Given  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -disjoint if  $(p \otimes 1, q \otimes 1) \notin \mathcal{R}$  for all  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $p \neq q$ .
- (3) Given  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $p \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$ , we say that the diameter of  $p$  is at most  $\mathcal{R}$ , and we write  $\text{diam}(p) \leq \mathcal{R}$ , if  $(r, s) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $r, s \in \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  such that there is  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\mathcal{M}})$  with  $r(pap \otimes 1)s \neq 0$ .<sup>10</sup>
- (4) We say  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is uniformly bounded if there is  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  so that  $\text{diam}(p) \leq \mathcal{R}$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

If  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  is a quantum coarse space, all the definitions above are made with respect to  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

**Definition 6.30.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  be a quantum coarse space and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We say that  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  has asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  if for all  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  there are  $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  so that

- (1)  $(\mathcal{P}_i)_{i=0}^n$  covers  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
- (2) each  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -separated, and
- (3) each  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is uniformly bounded.

We say that  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  has asymptotic dimension at most  $n$ , and write  $\text{asydim}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}) = n$ , if  $n$  is the smallest element in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying the above. If no such  $n$  exists, we say that  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  has infinite asymptotic dimension.

It is clear that the definition above coincides with the usual definition of asymptotic dimension of a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  for  $\mathcal{M} = \ell_{\infty}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

**Theorem 6.31.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  be quantum coarse spaces. If there is a quantum coarse and quantum expanding map  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\text{asydim}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}) \leq \text{asydim}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ . In particular, if  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})$  are quantum coarsely equivalent, then  $\text{asydim}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U}) = \text{asydim}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a quantum coarse and quantum expanding map. First notice that if  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q})$ -disjoint, then  $\varphi[\mathcal{P}]$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -disjoint. Now let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the union of all  $\mathcal{Q}' \in \text{IQRel}(\mathcal{N})$  so that  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q}') \subset \mathcal{R}$ . As  $\varphi$  is quantum expanding, we have that  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Proceeding analogously as in the proof of Proposition 6.27, we have that if  $\text{diam}(p) \leq \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\text{diam}(\varphi(p)) \leq \mathcal{Q}$ .

By the above, if  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n \subset \text{Pr}(\mathcal{M})$  forms a  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{Q})$ -disjoint, uniformly bounded cover of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $(\varphi[\mathcal{P}_i])_{i=0}^n$  is a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -disjoint, uniformly bounded cover of  $\mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

<sup>10</sup>This is a clear adaptation of the quantity  $\text{diam}_{\mathcal{V}}(p)$  introduced in [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023, Definition 2.3] (and used in Section 6.3) for the metrizable case.

We finish this paper with a corollary suggested by the anonymous referee.

**Corollary 6.32.** *Consider  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  endowed with its maximal quantum coarse structure. Then this space has quantum asymptotic dimension zero.*

*Proof.* As noticed in [Remark 6.22](#), considering  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with its maximal (and unique) quantum coarse structure, the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  is quantum coarse and quantum expanding. So by [Theorem 6.31](#),  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$  has asymptotic dimension bounded by that of  $\mathbb{C}$ . But the asymptotic dimension of the latter is zero by simply letting  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{1_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Nik Weaver for useful comments on a previous version of this paper. Braga would also like to thank Ben Hayes for enlightening conversations about von Neumann algebras. We also thank the anonymous referees for useful suggestions that helped us improve the presentation of our work.

### Note added in proof

Thanks to Lyudmila Turowska and Aristides Katavolos for directing us toward the long prehistory of intrinsic quantum relations, which is primarily focused on reflexivity. We would like to give the original attributions for a few key points here. The idea to associate a set of pairs of projections to a weak\*-closed subspace goes back to [\[Loginov and Shulman 1973\]](#). The abstract properties of such a set were considered in [\[Shulman 1990\]](#), using the name *bilattices*, and notably developed in [\[Shulman and Turowska 2004\]](#). The paper [\[Magajna 1991\]](#) was the first to observe that for bimodules over a von Neumann algebra, one loses no information by using pairs of projections from the commutant (a fact stated after [Definition 2.5](#) and used in the important [Theorem 2.4](#)).

### References

- [Arveson 1976] W. Arveson, *An invitation to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Grad. Texts in Math. **39**, Springer, 1976. [MR](#)
- [Baudier et al. 2022] F. P. Baudier, B. M. Braga, I. Farah, A. Khukhro, A. Vignati, and R. Willett, “Uniform Roe algebras of uniformly locally finite metric spaces are rigid”, *Invent. Math.* **230**:3 (2022), 1071–1100. [MR](#)
- [Baudier et al. 2024] F. P. Baudier, B. M. Braga, I. Farah, A. Vignati, and R. Willett, “Embeddings of von Neumann algebras into uniform Roe algebras and quasi-local algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **286**:1 (2024), art. id. 110186. [MR](#)
- [Braga and Farah 2021] B. M. Braga and I. Farah, “On the rigidity of uniform Roe algebras over uniformly locally finite coarse spaces”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374**:2 (2021), 1007–1040. [MR](#)
- [Braga et al. 2020] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati, “Embeddings of uniform Roe algebras”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **377**:3 (2020), 1853–1882. [MR](#)

- [Braga et al. 2024] B. M. Braga, J. Eisner, and D. Sherman, “Support expansion  $C^*$ -algebras”, *J. Operator Theory* **92**:2 (2024), 463–504. [MR](#)
- [Chávez-Domínguez and Swift 2023] J. A. Chávez-Domínguez and A. T. Swift, “Asymptotic dimension and coarse embeddings in the quantum setting”, *J. Topol. Anal.* **15**:2 (2023), 545–565. [MR](#)
- [Connes 1976] A. Connes, “Classification of injective factors: cases  $II_1$ ,  $II_\infty$ ,  $III_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**:1 (1976), 73–115. [MR](#)
- [Connes 1994] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994. [MR](#)
- [Eisner 2021] J. Eisner, *Support expansion operator algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Virginia, 2021, available at <https://doi.org/10.18130/726d-vg47>.
- [Engelking 1977] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Monografie Mat. **60**, PWN–Polish Scientific, Warsaw, 1977. [MR](#)
- [Erdos 1986] J. A. Erdos, “Reflexivity for subspace maps and linear spaces of operators”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **52**:3 (1986), 582–600. [MR](#)
- [Ewert and Meyer 2019] E. E. Ewert and R. Meyer, “Coarse geometry and topological phases”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **366**:3 (2019), 1069–1098. [MR](#)
- [Gromov 1993] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups* (Sussex, England, 1991), Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993. [MR](#)
- [Kornell 2011] A. Kornell, “Quantum functions”, preprint, 2011. [arXiv 1101.1694](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.1694)
- [Kuperberg and Weaver 2012] G. Kuperberg and N. Weaver, “A von Neumann algebra approach to quantum metrics”, pp. 1–80 Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1010**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012. [MR](#)
- [Loginov and Shulman 1973] A. I. Loginov and V. S. Shulman, “The hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of  $W^*$ -algebras”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **212**:4 (1973), 810–812. In Russian. [MR](#)
- [Magajna 1991] B. Magajna, “On the relative reflexivity of finitely generated modules of operators”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **327**:1 (1991), 221–249. [MR](#)
- [Manuilov 2019] V. Manuilov, “On the  $C^*$ -algebra of matrix-finite bounded operators”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **478**:1 (2019), 294–303. [MR](#)
- [Martínez and Vigolo 2025] D. Martínez and F. Vigolo, “ $C^*$ -rigidity of bounded geometry metric spaces”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **141** (2025), 333–348. [MR](#)
- [Roe 1988a] J. Roe, “An index theorem on open manifolds, I”, *J. Differential Geom.* **27**:1 (1988), 87–113. [MR](#)
- [Roe 1988b] J. Roe, “An index theorem on open manifolds, II”, *J. Differential Geom.* **27**:1 (1988), 115–136. [MR](#)
- [Roe 2003] J. Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, Univ. Lecture Series **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. [MR](#)
- [Shulman 1990] V. Shulman, “A review of “Nest Algebras by K. R. Davidson, Longman Sci. and Techn. Pitman Research Notes Math., 1988””, *Algebra i Analiz* **2**:3 (1990), 236–255. In Russian.
- [Shulman and Turowska 2004] V. Shulman and L. Turowska, “Operator synthesis, I: Synthetic sets, bilattices and tensor algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **209**:2 (2004), 293–331. [MR](#)
- [Špakula and Willett 2013] J. Špakula and R. Willett, “On rigidity of Roe algebras”, *Adv. Math.* **249** (2013), 289–310. [MR](#)
- [Takesaki 1979] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras, I*, Springer, 1979. [MR](#)

- [Weaver 2001] N. Weaver, *Mathematical quantization*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001. [MR](#)
- [Weaver 2012] N. Weaver, “Quantum relations”, pp. 81–140 Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1010**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012. [MR](#)
- [Yu 2000] G. Yu, “The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space”, *Invent. Math.* **139**:1 (2000), 201–240. [MR](#)

Received January 13, 2025. Revised June 20, 2025.

BRUNO M. BRAGA  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
RIO DE JANEIRO  
BRAZIL  
[demendoncabraga@gmail.com](mailto:demendoncabraga@gmail.com)

JOSEPH EISNER  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA  
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA  
UNITED STATES  
[josepheisner54@gmail.com](mailto:josepheisner54@gmail.com)

DAVID SHERMAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA  
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA  
UNITED STATES  
[dsherman@virginia.edu](mailto:dsherman@virginia.edu)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Wien  
Vienna, Austria  
[matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at](mailto:matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Atsushi Ichino  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto University  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[atsushi.ichino@gmail.com](mailto:atsushi.ichino@gmail.com)

Robert Lipshitz  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403  
[lipshitz@uoregon.edu](mailto:lipshitz@uoregon.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
School of Sciences  
Chongqing University of Technology  
Chongqing 400054, China  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Sucharit Sarkar  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[sucharit@math.ucla.edu](mailto:sucharit@math.ucla.edu)

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu](mailto:shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu)

Ruixiang Zhang  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
[ruixiang@berkeley.edu](mailto:ruixiang@berkeley.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$677/year for the electronic version, and \$917/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



**mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 338    No. 1    September 2025

---

Free circle actions on $(n-1)$ -connected $(2n+1)$ -manifolds	1
YI JIANG and YANG SU	
Matrix Li–Yau–Hamilton estimates for nonlinear heat equations under geometric flows	19
SHA YAO, HAO-YUE LIU and XIN-AN REN	
A recipe for exotic 2-links in closed 4-manifolds whose components are topological unknots	35
VALENTINA BAIS, YOUNES BENYAHIA, OLIVIERO MALECH and RAFAEL TORRES	
Classification of Möbius homogeneous superconformal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^5$	63
CHANGPING WANG and ZHENXIAO XIE	
Scaling limits of branching Loewner evolutions and the Dyson superprocess	87
VIVIAN OLSIEWSKI HEALEY and GOVIND MENON	
Tamagawa numbers of quasisplit groups over function fields	139
RALF KÖHL, M. M. RADHIKA and ANKIT RAI	
A quantization of coarse spaces and uniform Roe algebras	163
BRUNO M. BRAGA, JOSEPH EISNER and DAVID SHERMAN	