

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

STARTING THE STUDY OF OUTER LENGTH BILLIARDS

LUCA BARACCO, OLGA BERNARDI AND CORENTIN FIEROBE

STARTING THE STUDY OF OUTER LENGTH BILLIARDS

LUCA BARACCO, OLGA BERNARDI AND CORENTIN FIEROBE

We focus on outer length billiard dynamics, acting on the exterior of a strictly convex planar domain. We first show that ellipses are totally integrable. We then provide an explicit representation of first order terms for the formal Taylor expansion of the corresponding Mather's β -function. Finally, we provide explicit Lazutkin coordinates up to order 4.

1. Introduction

The aim of the present paper is starting an accurate study of outer length billiards, first described by P. Albers and S. Tabachnikov in 2024, see [2, Section 3.4]. These billiards are the counterpart of Birkhoff ones since the generating function is the outer length instead of the inner length. They are also called “fourth billiards”. In fact, two billiards systems — Birkhoff and outer area billiards — have been extensively studied; we refer respectively to [22] and [21] for exhaustive surveys. Another type of billiards, namely symplectic billiards, whose generating function is the inner area, were introduced in 2018 by P. Albers and S. Tabachnikov [1] and their study started to become more intensive only recently. We refer to [4], [6] and [23] for integrability results and to [5] and [12] for area spectral rigidity results for symplectic billiards. Regarding outer length billiards, to the best of our knowledge, they were not studied yet. However, the seminal idea on the base of the definition of this dynamical system (detecting, in particular, circumscribed polygons to a strictly convex domain with minimal perimeter) can already be found in some former papers in convex planar geometry; see [11, Theorem 1] and [10, Section 2], for example.

We first give all the details to introduce this dynamical system, acting on the exterior of a strictly convex planar domain. We then prove, using elementary planar geometry, that ellipses are totally integrable, that is the phase space is fully foliated by continuous invariant curves which are not null-homotopic.

MSC2020: primary 37E40; secondary 37C83.

Keywords: monotone twist maps, mathematical billiards, Mather beta function, geometric approximations.

We successively focus on the main topic of the paper, which is providing an explicit representation of first order terms for the formal Taylor expansion of Mather's β -function (or minimal average function) for outer length billiards. In particular, we write these coefficients (up to order 5) by means of the ordinary curvature and length of the boundary of the billiard table. As already noticed, for such a dynamical system, Mather's β -function is related to the minimal perimeter of polygons circumscribed to a strictly convex domain. These perimeters are special cases (i.e., for periodic trajectories of winding number = 1) of the corresponding marked length spectrum for outer length billiards.

Finally, by using the computations we made to obtain minimal average function's coefficients, we provide explicit Lazutkin coordinates up to order 4 and discuss straightforward facts regarding the existence/nonexistence of caustics for outer length billiards.

2. Twist maps and Mather's β -function

Let $\mathbb{T} \times (a, b)$ be the annulus, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0, 1]/\sim$ identifying $0 \sim 1$ and (eventually) $a = -\infty$ and/or $b = +\infty$. Given a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \times (a, b)$, we denote by

$$\phi : \mathbb{R} \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (a, b), \quad (x_0, y_0) \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$$

a lift of Φ to the universal cover. Then ϕ is a diffeomorphism and $\phi(x + 1, y) = \phi(x, y) + (1, 0)$. In the case where a (resp. b) is finite, we assume that ϕ extends continuously to $\mathbb{R} \times \{a\}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} \times \{b\}$) by a rotation of fixed angle:

$$(2-1) \quad \phi(x_0, a) = (x_0 + \rho_a, a) \quad (\text{resp. } \phi(x_0, b) = (x_0 + \rho_b, b)).$$

Once fixed the lift, the numbers ρ_a, ρ_b are unique. The choice of ρ_a (resp. ρ_b) if $a = -\infty$ (resp. $b = +\infty$) depends on the dynamics at infinity. For example, in the case of outer length billiards, where $b = +\infty$, it is natural to set $\rho_b = \frac{1}{2}$. We refer to point 1 of Section 3 for details.

We recall for convenience the definition of a monotone twist map (see [18, page 2], for instance).

Definition 1. A monotone twist map $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (a, b)$, $(x_0, y_0) \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$ is a diffeomorphism satisfying

1. $\phi(x_0 + 1, y_0) = \phi(x_0, y_0) + (1, 0)$.
2. ϕ preserves orientations and the boundaries of $\mathbb{R} \times (a, b)$.
3. ϕ extends to the boundaries by rotation, as in (2-1).

4. ϕ satisfies a monotone twist condition, that is

$$(2-2) \quad \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_0} > 0.$$

5. ϕ is exact symplectic; this means that there exists a generating function $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ for ϕ such that

$$(2-3) \quad y_1 dx_1 - y_0 dx_0 = dH(x_0, x_1).$$

Clearly, $H(x_0+1, x_1+1) = H(x_0, x_1)$ and, due to the twist condition, the domain of H is the strip $\{(x_0, x_1) : \rho_a + x_0 < x_1 < x_0 + \rho_b\}$. Moreover, equality (2-3) reads

$$(2-4) \quad \begin{cases} y_1 = H_2(x_0, x_1), \\ y_0 = -H_1(x_0, x_1), \end{cases}$$

and the twist condition (2-2) becomes $H_{12} < 0$. As a consequence, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orbit of ϕ if and only if $H_2(x_{i-1}, x_i) = y_i = -H_1(x_i, x_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Formally, this means that the corresponding bi-infinite sequence $x := \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a so-called critical configuration of the action functional $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H(x_i, x_{i+1})$. In such a setting, minimal orbits play a fundamental role. We recall that a critical configuration x of ϕ is minimal if every finite segment of x minimizes the action functional with fixed end points (we refer to [18, page 7] for details). Clearly, all these facts remain true if we consider a monotone twist map on $\{(x_0, x_1) : u_a(x_0) < x_1 < u_b(x_0)\}$, where $u_a, u_b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two continuous 1-periodic functions such that $u_a < u_b$.

For a twist map ϕ generated by H , we finally introduce the rotation number and the Mather β -function (or minimal average action).

Definition 2. The rotation number of an orbit $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of ϕ is

$$\rho := \lim_{i \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{x_i}{i}$$

if such a limit exists.

An important class of monotone twist maps are planar billiard maps. In this setting, the rotation number of a periodic trajectory is the rational number

$$\frac{m}{n} = \frac{\text{winding number}}{\text{number of reflections}} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right];$$

see [18, page 40] for details.

In view of the celebrated Aubry–Mather theory (see [3], for example), a monotone twist map possesses minimal orbits for every rotation number ρ inside the so-called twist interval (ρ_a, ρ_b) . As a consequence, we can associate to each ρ the average action of any minimal orbit having that rotation number.

Definition 3. The Mather β -function of ϕ is $\beta : (\rho_a, \rho_b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\beta(\rho) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} H(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

where $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is any minimal configuration of ϕ with rotation number ρ .

In the framework of Birkhoff billiards, A. Sorrentino in [19] gave an explicit representation of the coefficients of the (formal) Taylor expansion at zero of the corresponding Mather’s β -function. More recently, J. Zhang in [24] got (locally) an explicit formula for this function via a Birkhoff normal form. Moreover, M. Bialy in [9] obtained an explicit formula for Mather’s β -function for ellipses by using a nonstandard generating function, involving the support function. Regarding symplectic and outer billiards, the first two authors and A. Nardi in [7] computed explicitly the higher order terms of such an expansion, by using tools from affine differential geometry. As anticipated, one of the target of the present paper is writing explicitly these coefficients (up to order 5) in the case of forth billiards.

3. The dynamical system

Let Ω be a strictly convex planar domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Assume that the perimeter of $\partial\Omega$ is $\ell = |\partial\Omega|$. Fixing the positive counterclockwise orientation, let $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ be the smooth arc-length parametrization of $\partial\Omega$. For every $s \in \mathbb{T}$, we denote by $s^* \in \mathbb{T}$ the (unique, by strict convexity) arc-length parameter such that $T_{\gamma(s)}\partial\Omega = T_{\gamma(s^*)}\partial\Omega$. We refer to

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(s, r) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} : s < r < s^*\}$$

as the (open, positive) phase space and we define the outer length billiard map as follows [2, Section 3.4].

Since Ω is strictly convex, to every point $P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{cl}(\Omega)$ can be uniquely associated a pair $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ with $s_0 < s_1$ and such that the lines $P\gamma(s_0)$ and $P\gamma(s_1)$ are the (negative and positive) tangents to $\partial\Omega$. Consider the circle in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at $\gamma(s_1)$ and to the line $P\gamma(s_0)$. Then the image P' of P is defined as the intersection point between the lines $P\gamma(s_1)$ and the other common tangent line of the circle and $\partial\Omega$ (hence passing through P' and $\gamma(s_2)$):

$$T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}, \quad (s_0, s_1) \mapsto (s_1, s_2).$$

(We refer to Figure 1.) Setting $\varepsilon_0 = s_1 - s_0$ and

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \{(s, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} : 0 < \varepsilon < s^* - s\},$$




Figure 1. The outer-length billiard map around the domain Ω associates the point P to the point P' .

the outer length billiard map can be equivalently defined as

$$T : \hat{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (s_0, \varepsilon_0) \mapsto (s_1, \varepsilon_1).$$

Here are some properties of the outer length billiard map.

1. T is continuous and can be continuously extended so that $T(s, s) = (s, s)$ and $T(s, s^*) = (s^*, s)$.
2. The function

$$H : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad H(s_0, s_1) := |P\gamma(s_0)| + |P\gamma(s_1)|,$$

generates T , that is

$$(3-1) \quad T(s_0, s_1) = (s_1, s_2) \iff H_2(s_0, s_1) + H_1(s_1, s_2) = 0.$$

See [2, Lemma 3.1] for the proof. In view of (3-1), we can equivalently refer to

$$\bar{H} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{H}(s_0, s_1) := |P\gamma(s_0)| + |P\gamma(s_1)| - s_1 + s_0,$$

as a generating function, which is exactly the Lazutkin parameter of $\partial\Omega$, interpreted as convex caustic for a Birkhoff billiard.

3. T is a twist map preserving the area form $-H_{12}(s_0, s_1) ds_0 \wedge ds_1$.
4. By introducing new variables

$$y_0 = -H_1(s_0, s_1), \quad y_1 = H_2(s_0, s_1),$$

(s, y) are coordinates on \mathcal{P} and the outer length billiard map results a (negative) twist map, since

$$\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial s_0} = H_{12}(s_0, s_1) = -\frac{k(s_0)k(s_1)H(s_0, s_1)}{2 \sin^2(\varphi/2)} < 0,$$

where φ is the angle between the tangent lines $P\gamma(s_0)$ and $P\gamma(s_1)$ (see also [2, page 11]). In these coordinates, the preserved area form is the standard one: $ds \wedge dy$.

5. The marked length spectrum for the outer length billiard is the map $\mathcal{ML}_o(\Omega) : \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that associates to any m/n in lowest terms the minimal perimeter of the periodic trajectories having rotation number m/n . We refer to [18, Sections 3.1 and 3.2] for a general treatment of the marked spectrum. Clearly, periodic outer length billiard minimal trajectories (with winding number = 1) correspond to convex polygons realizing the minimal (circumscribed) perimeter, so that

$$(3-2) \quad \beta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{ML}_o(\Omega)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

3.1. Circles and ellipses. As expected, the outer length billiard on the circle (of center O) is totally integrable: the phase space is completely foliated by concentric invariant circles. By using as coordinates $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, where α_0 and α_1 are respectively the angles of $O\gamma(s_0)$ and $O\gamma(s_1)$ with respect to the positive horizontal direction, the generating function in the case of disk of unit radius is

$$H(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 2 \tan \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{2}.$$

Equivalently, in terms of $(\alpha_0, y_0) = (\alpha_0, -H_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)) = (\alpha_0, 1 + \tan^2 \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{2})$, we have

$$H(\alpha_0, y_0) = 2\sqrt{y_0 - 1}$$

and total integrability follows.

An unexpected fact — at least from the authors’ point of view, since the billiard dynamics is not invariant by affine transformations — is that also the outer length billiard on the ellipse is totally integrable, as stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{E} and Γ be two confocal nested ellipses, $\mathcal{E} \subset \Gamma$. Then Γ is a caustic for the outer-length billiard dynamics outside \mathcal{E} .*

The proof of Proposition 4 relies on a lemma from elementary plane geometry:

Lemma 5 [20, Lemma 2.4]. *Let $P_0, P_1 \in \Gamma$ two distinct points such that the line P_0P_1 is tangent to \mathcal{E} at a point Q . Let R be the intersection point of the tangent lines to Γ at P_0 and P_1 . Then the lines P_0P_1 and RQ are orthogonal. (See Figure 2.)*

Proof of Proposition 4. Let a point P_0 on Γ . Consider the positive tangent line to \mathcal{E} at a point Q and passing through P_0 . Let $P_1 \in \Gamma$ be the intersection point of the latter tangent line P_0Q with Γ , see Figure 3. We need to show that P_1 is the image of P_0 under the outer-length billiard reflection outside \mathcal{E} . Consider the point P , such that PP_0 and PP_1 are the two tangent lines to \mathcal{E} passing through P , see Figure 3. Since \mathcal{E} and Γ are confocal, \mathcal{E} is a caustic for the classical billiard in Γ . In particular, the tangent line $T_{P_0}\Gamma$ is a bisector of the angle $\widehat{P_1P_0P}$. With




Figure 2. The line RQ is orthogonal to the line P_0P_1 .




Figure 3. The point $P_0 \in \Gamma$ is reflected to the point $P_1 \in \Gamma$ by the outer-length billiard dynamics around \mathcal{E} .

the same argument the tangent line $T_{P_1}\Gamma$ is a bisector of the angle $\widehat{P_0P_1P}$. Hence $T_{P_0}\Gamma$ and $T_{P_1}\Gamma$ intersects at a point R which is the center of the inscribed circle \mathcal{D} to the triangle P_0PP_1 . By Lemma 5, the lines RQ and P_0P_1 are orthogonal. In particular \mathcal{D} is tangent to the ellipse \mathcal{E} . This implies that P_1 is obtained from P_0 by the outer-length billiard law of reflection. \square

It would be interesting to investigate if these are the only cases. This fundamental problem (possibly to be studied by an integral inequality à la Bialy [8]) may present nontrivial difficulties, due to the infinite total area of the phase space.

4. Asymptotic expansions

S. Marvizi and R. Melrose's theory, first stated and proved for Birkhoff billiards [15, Theorem 3.2], can be applied to the general case of (strongly) billiard-like maps, see [13, Section 2.1]. As an outcome, the following expansion at $\rho = 0$ of the corresponding minimal average function holds:

$$\beta(\rho) \sim \beta_1 \rho + \beta_3 \rho^3 + \beta_5 \rho^5 + \dots$$

in terms of odd powers of ρ . It is well-known (see, e.g., [15, Section 7] again) that for usual billiards the sequence $\{\beta_k\}$ can be interpreted as a spectrum of a differential operator, see also Remark 2.11 in [1]. The question is wide open for other types of billiards, included outer length billiards.

In this section, we gather all the technical results in order to prove the next theorem, providing the coefficient β_5 for the outer length billiard map. This result is a refinement of [17, Theorem 1(iii)]. In fact, in a genuine framework of convex planar geometry, D.E. Vitale and R.A. McClure computed β_3 by using as coordinate the support function and as parameter the angle with respect to a fixed direction.

Theorem 6. *Let Ω be a strictly convex planar domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega$ has everywhere positive curvature. Denote by $k(s)$ the (ordinary) curvature of $\partial\Omega$ with arc-length parameter s . Let ℓ be the length of the boundary and*

$$L := \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(s) ds.$$

The formal Taylor expansion at $\rho = 0$ of Mather's β -function for the outer length billiard map has coefficients

$$\beta_{2k} = 0 \text{ for all } k, \quad \beta_1 = \ell, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{L^3}{12},$$

$$\beta_5 = L^4 \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{k^{4/3}(s)}{120} + \frac{k^{-8/3}(s)k^2(s)}{2160} \right) ds.$$

As expected, a straightforward consequence of the previous result is that, as for other billiards, also for outer length ones, the two coefficients β_1 and β_3 allow one to recognize a circle among all strictly convex planar domains.

Corollary 7. *The coefficients β_1 and β_3 recognize a circle. In particular,*

$$3\beta_3 + \pi^2\beta_1 \leq 0$$

with equality if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a circle.

Proof. We apply Hölder's inequality with $p = 3/2$ and $q = 3$ to obtain

$$(4-1) \quad L = \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(s) ds \leq \left(\int_0^\ell (k^{2/3}(s))^{3/2} ds \right)^{2/3} \left(\int_0^\ell 1^3 ds \right)^{1/3} = (2\pi)^{2/3} \ell^{1/3},$$

since $\int_0^\ell k(s) ds = 2\pi$. Using the expressions of β_1 and β_3 found in Theorem 6, we can write

$$3\beta_3 + \pi^2 \beta_1 = \frac{1}{4}L^3 - \pi^2 \ell \leq \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^2 \ell - \pi^2 \ell = 0.$$

In the case of equality, namely if $3\beta_3 + \pi^2 \beta_1 = 0$, then $L = (2\pi)^{2/3} \ell^{1/3}$, and the case of equality is reached in (4-1). In that case, k is constant. Hence Ω is a disk. \square

Remark 8. Let \mathcal{P}_n^c be the set of all convex polygons with at most n vertices which are circumscribed to Ω . We define

$$\delta(\Omega; \mathcal{P}_n^c) := \inf\{\ell(P_n) : P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c\},$$

where $\ell(P_n)$ is the perimeter length of P_n . Clearly, essentially in view of equality (3-2), Theorem 6 gives also the formal expansion of $\delta(\Omega; \mathcal{P}_n^c)$ at $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since we use the arc-length parametrization of $\partial\Omega$, it is useful to recall that

$$(4-2) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma'' = kJ\gamma', & \gamma''' = -k^2\gamma' + k'J\gamma', \\ \gamma^{(4)} = -3kk'\gamma' + (-k^3 + k'')J\gamma', \\ \gamma^{(5)} = (k^4 - 4kk'' - 3k'^2)\gamma' + (-6k^2k' + k''')J\gamma', \\ \gamma^{(6)} = (10k^3k' - 10k'k'' - 5kk''')\gamma' + (k^5 - 10k^2k'' - 15kk'^2 + k^{(4)})J\gamma', \end{cases}$$

where J is the counterclockwise rotation of angle $\pi/2$.

Proposition 9. For $0 \leq r \leq s \leq \ell$, let $\delta := s - r$. Then

$$(4-3) \quad H(r, s) = \delta + \frac{k^2(r)}{12} \delta^3 + \frac{k(r)k'(r)}{12} \delta^4 + \frac{2k^4(r) + 4k'^2(r) + 7k(r)k''(r)}{240} \delta^5 + O(\delta^6),$$

uniformly as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We start by writing separately the Taylor expansions of numerator and denominator of the generating function

$$(4-4) \quad H(r, s) = \frac{(\gamma(s) - \gamma(r)) \wedge (\gamma'(s) - \gamma'(r))}{\gamma'(r) \wedge \gamma'(s)}.$$

From now on, we omit the dependence on r of γ , k and their derivatives. We have

$$\gamma(s) - \gamma(r) = \gamma'\delta + \frac{\gamma''}{2}\delta^2 + \frac{\gamma'''}{6}\delta^3 + \frac{\gamma^{(4)}}{24}\delta^4 + \frac{\gamma^{(5)}}{5!}\delta^5 + O(\delta^6)$$

and likewise for $\gamma'(s) - \gamma'(r)$; thus the Taylor expansion of the numerator of (4-4)

is

$$k\delta^2 + \frac{k'}{2}\delta^3 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{2k'' - k^3}{2}\delta^4 + \frac{k''' - 3k^2k'}{24}\delta^5 + \frac{2k^5 - 48kk'^2 - 29k^2k'' + 6k^{(4)}}{720}\delta^6 + O(\delta^7),$$

where we have used (4-2). Similarly, the Taylor expansion of the denominator is

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma'(r) \wedge \gamma'(s) \\ &= \gamma' \wedge \left(\gamma' + \gamma''\delta + \frac{\gamma'''}{2}\delta^2 + \frac{\gamma^{(4)}}{6}\delta^3 + \frac{\gamma^{(5)}}{24}\delta^4 + \frac{\gamma^{(6)}}{5!}\delta^5 + O(\delta^6) \right) \\ &= k\delta + \frac{k'}{2}\delta^2 + \frac{-k^3+k''}{6}\delta^3 + \frac{-6k^2k'+k'''}{24}\delta^4 + \frac{k^5-10k^2k''-15kk'^2+k^{(4)}}{5!}\delta^5 + O(\delta^6) \\ &= k\delta \left(1 - \frac{k'}{2k}\delta + \frac{2k^4+3k'^2-2kk''}{12k^2}\delta^2 - \frac{3k'^3-2k'(k^4+2kk'')+k^2k'''}{24k^3}\delta^3 + D\delta^4 + O(\delta^5) \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D = \frac{45k'^4 - 90kk'^2k'' + 30k^2k'k''' + 2k^2(7k^6 + 10k^3k'' + 10k'^2 - 3kk^{(4)})}{720k^4}.$$

Using the above expansions for numerator and denominator, we obtain (4-3). \square

Proposition 10. *The outer length billiard map $T : (s_0, \varepsilon_0) \mapsto (s_1, \varepsilon_1)$ has the expansion*

$$(4-5) \quad \begin{cases} s_1 = s_0 + \varepsilon_0, \\ \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0 + A(s_0)\varepsilon_0^2 + B(s_0)\varepsilon_0^3 + C(s_0)\varepsilon_0^4 + O(\varepsilon_0^5), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(4-6) \quad \begin{aligned} A(s) &= -\frac{2k'(s)}{3k(s)}, & B(s) &= \frac{10k'^2(s)}{9k^2(s)} - \frac{2k''(s)}{3k(s)}, \\ C(s) &= \frac{-24k^4(s)k'(s) - 1160k'^3(s) + 1200k(s)k'(s)k''(s) - 216k^2(s)k'''(s)}{540k^3(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start by writing separately the Taylor expansions of numerator and denominator of the radius \mathcal{R} of the circle in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at $\gamma(s_1)$ and to the line $P\gamma(s_0)$; see Figure 1.

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{(\gamma(s_1) - \gamma(s_0)) \wedge \gamma'(s_1)}{1 + \gamma'(s_1) \cdot \gamma'(s_0)} = \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)}{1 + \gamma'(s_2) \cdot \gamma'(s_1)}.$$

From now on, we indicate, by subscripting 1, the dependence on s_1 of γ , k and their derivatives. Recall that $\varepsilon_1 = s_2 - s_1$. The Taylor expansion of the numerator is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\gamma'_1 \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\gamma''_1}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{\gamma'''_1}{6} \varepsilon_1^3 + \frac{\gamma^{(4)}_1}{24} \varepsilon_1^4 + \frac{\gamma^{(5)}_1}{5!} \varepsilon_1^5 + O(\varepsilon_1^6) \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\gamma'_1 + \gamma''_1 \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\gamma'''_1}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{\gamma^{(4)}_1}{6} \varepsilon_1^3 + \frac{\gamma^{(5)}_1}{24} \varepsilon_1^4 + O(\varepsilon_1^5) \right) \\ & = \frac{k_1}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{k'_1}{3} \varepsilon_1^3 + \left(\frac{-k_1^3 + 3k''_1}{24} \right) \varepsilon_1^4 + \left(\frac{-9k_1^2 k'_1 + 4k'''_1}{120} \right) \varepsilon_1^5 + O(\varepsilon_1^6), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4-2).

Similarly, the Taylor expansion of the denominator is

$$1 + \left(\gamma_1' + \gamma_1'' \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\gamma_1'''}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{\gamma_1^{(4)}}{6} \varepsilon_1^3 + \frac{\gamma_1^{(5)}}{24} \varepsilon_1^4 + O(\varepsilon_1^5) \right) \cdot \gamma_1' \\ = 2 \left(1 - \frac{k_1^2}{4} \varepsilon_1^2 - \frac{k_1 k_1'}{4} \varepsilon_1^3 + O(\varepsilon_1^4) \right) = 2 \left(1 + \frac{k_1^2}{4} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{k_1 k_1'}{4} \varepsilon_1^3 + O(\varepsilon_1^4) \right)^{-1}.$$

Using the above expansions for numerator and denominator, we obtain

$$(4-7) \quad 2\mathcal{R} = \frac{k_1}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{k_1'}{3} \varepsilon_1^3 + \frac{2k_1^3 + 3k_1''}{24} \varepsilon_1^4 + \frac{16k_1^2 k_1' + 4k_1'''}{120} \varepsilon_1^5 + O(\varepsilon_1^6)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4-8) \quad 2\mathcal{R} = \frac{k_1}{2} \varepsilon_0^2 - \frac{k_1'}{3} \varepsilon_0^3 + A_4 \varepsilon_0^4 + A_5 \varepsilon_0^5 + O(\varepsilon_0^6),$$

with

$$A_4 = \frac{2k_1^3 + 3k_1''}{24}, \quad A_5 := \frac{16k_1^2 k_1' + 4k_1'''}{120}$$

Substituting the powers of the expansion

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0 + \alpha(s_1) \varepsilon_0^2 + \beta(s_1) \varepsilon_0^3 + \gamma(s_1) \varepsilon_0^4 + O(\varepsilon_0^5)$$

in (4-7), we obtain (omitting the dependence on s_0 in α , β and γ)

$$2\mathcal{R} = \frac{k_1}{2} \varepsilon_0^2 + \left(k_1 \alpha + \frac{k_1'}{3} \right) \varepsilon_0^3 + \left(\frac{k_1}{2} (\alpha^2 + 2\beta) + k_1' \alpha + A_4 \right) \varepsilon_0^4 \\ + \left(k_1 (\alpha \beta + \gamma) + k_1' (\alpha^2 + \beta) + 4\alpha A_4 + A_5 \right) \varepsilon_0^5 + O(\varepsilon_0^6).$$

Equating this to (4-8), we obtain

$$\alpha(s) = -\frac{2k'(s)}{3k(s)}, \quad \beta(s) = \frac{4k'^2(s)}{9k^2(s)}, \\ \gamma(s) = \frac{-320k'^3(s) + 3k'(s)(-8k^4(s) + 60k(s)k''(s)) - 36k^2(s)k'''(s)}{540k^3(s)}.$$

Finally, from

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0 + \alpha(s_0) \varepsilon_0^2 + (\alpha'(s_0) + \beta(s_0)) \varepsilon_0^3 + \left(\frac{\alpha''(s_0)}{2} + \beta'(s_0) + \gamma(s_0) \right) \varepsilon_0^4 + O(\varepsilon_0^5),$$

we obtain the formulas (4-6). □

Proposition 11. *Let $q \geq 3$. The q -periodic orbits of rotation number $1/q$ for the outer length billiard map have the expansion*

$$(4-9) \quad \begin{cases} s_k = s_0^q + a_0(k/q) + \frac{a_1(k/q)}{q} + \frac{a_2(k/q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^3}\right) \\ \varepsilon_k = \frac{b_1(k/q)}{q} + \frac{b_2(k/q)}{q^2} + \frac{b_3(k/q)}{q^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^4}\right) \end{cases}$$

where $s_0^q \in \mathbb{R}$ converges to 0 with q , $a_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a map such that $a_0(x+1) = a_0(x) + \ell$ for any x and $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are 1-periodic maps which can be expressed as

$$(4-10) \quad \begin{cases} a_0^{-1}(s) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^s k^{2/3}(r) dr := x(s), & L := \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(r) dr, \\ a_1(x) = 0, \\ a_2(x) = k^{-\frac{2}{3}}(a_0(x)) \\ \quad \times \left(\int_0^x L^3 \left(\frac{1}{810} (9k''k^{-\frac{7}{3}} - 12(k')^2k^{-\frac{10}{3}}) + \frac{k^{\frac{2}{3}}}{15} \right) (a_0(t)) dt + cx \right), \\ b_1(x) = a_0'(x) = Lk^{-2/3}(a_0(x)), \\ b_2(x) = \frac{a_0''(x)}{2} = -\frac{L^2k'(a_0(x))k^{-7/3}(a_0(x))}{3}, \\ b_3(x) = a_2' + \frac{a_0'''}{6}. \end{cases}$$

The constant c in the expression of a_2 is such that

$$L^3 \left(\frac{1}{810} (9k''k^{-\frac{7}{3}} - 12(k')^2k^{-\frac{10}{3}}) + \frac{k^{\frac{2}{3}}}{15} \right) + c$$

has zero mean.

Proof. Since the points in the orbits are equidistributed as $q \rightarrow +\infty$, for any q we can choose the first point of the orbit s_0^q such as $s_0^q \rightarrow 0$ for $q \rightarrow +\infty$. For simplicity, we omit the dependence of a_i and b_j on k/q .

Combining the expansions in (4-5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_k &= A(s_k)\varepsilon_k^2 + B(s_k)\varepsilon_k^3 + C(s_k)\varepsilon_k^4 + O(\varepsilon_k^5) \\ &= \frac{A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^2}{q^2} + \frac{B(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^3 + A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1^2 + 2A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1b_2}{q^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{F(a_i, b_j)}{q^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^5}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(a_i, b_j) := A(s_0^q + a_0)b_2^2 + 2A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1b_3 + 2A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1b_2 + A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_2b_1^2 + A''(s_0^q + a_0)a_1^2b_1^2/2 + 3B(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^2b_2 + B'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1^3 + C(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^4.$$

Moreover, directly from the second expansion in (4-9), we have

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_k = \frac{b'_1}{q^2} + \frac{b'_2 + b''_1/2}{q^4} + \frac{b'_3 + b''_2/2 + b'''_1/6}{q^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^5}\right).$$

Equating these two expansions, we obtain that a_i and b_j solve

$$(4-11) \quad \begin{cases} A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^2 = b'_1, \\ B(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^3 + A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1^2 + 2A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1b_2 = b'_2 + b''_1/2, \\ F(a_i, b_j) = b'_3 + b''_2/2 + b'''_1/6. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, directly from the first expansion in (4-5), we conclude that

$$s_{k+1} - s_k = \frac{a'_0}{q} + \frac{a'_1 + a''_0/2}{q^2} + \frac{a'_2 + a''_1/2 + a'''_0/6}{q^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^4}\right),$$

which, compared with the second expansion in (4-5), gives the system

$$(4-12) \quad a'_0 = b_1, \quad a'_1 + a''_0/2 = b_2, \quad a'_2 + a''_1/2 + a'''_0/6 = b_3.$$

Expressions of a_0 and b_1 . To compute a_0 and b_1 , we solve the system

$$(4-13) \quad b_1 = a'_0, \quad b'_1 = A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^2.$$

Replacing b_1 by a'_0 in the second equation, we get

$$(4-14) \quad a''_0 = (a'_0)^2 A(s_0^q + a_0).$$

If we let $A_1(s) = -\frac{2}{3} \log k(s)$ be a primitive of A , it follows from (4-14) that

$$(a'_0 e^{-A_1(s_0^q + a_0)})' = 0.$$

Hence $a'_0 e^{-A_1(s_0^q + a_0)}$ is constant. Consider now $A_2(s) = \int_0^s k^{2/3}(r) dr$, which is a primitive of $\exp(-A_1)$. We just proved that $A_2(s_0^q + a_0)$ has constant derivative, hence it must be of the form $A_2(s_0^q + a_0(x)) = ux + v$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, by definition, $A_2(s_0^q + a_0(0)) = A_2(s_0^q) = v$, we have $v = A_2(s_0^q)$. The expression of u is

$$u = A_2(s_0^q + a_0(1)) - A_2(s_0^q) = A_2(s_0^q + \ell) - A_2(s_0^q) = \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(r) dr.$$

Finally, b_1 follows from $b_1 = a'_0$.

Expressions of a_1 and b_2 . To compute a_1 and b_2 , we solve the system

$$(4-15) \quad \begin{cases} b_2 = a'_1 + a''_0/2, \\ b'_2 + b''_1/2 = B(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^3 + A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1^2 + 2A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1b_2. \end{cases}$$

The terms containing a_1 nor b_2 can be computed using the expression of a_0 and b_1 we just obtained. Let us replace in the second equation of (4-15) b_2 by the expression given by the first equation: we obtain an equation for which we split the terms containing a_1 from the others. Namely,

$$(4-16) \quad \begin{aligned} a''_1 - 2A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1a'_1 - A'(s_0^q + a_0)a_1b_1^2 \\ = A(s_0^q + a_0)b_1a''_0 + B(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}b''_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_0^{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing a_0 and b_1 by the expressions we just found, the left-hand side of (4-16) can be expressed as

$$a''_1 + \frac{4}{3}Lk^{-5/3}k'a'_1 + \frac{2}{3}L^2(k^{-7/3}k'' - k^{-10/3}k'^2)a_1 = k^{-2/3}(a_1k^{2/3})'',$$

where it is understood that k and its derivatives are evaluated at $s_0^q + a_0$. The right-hand side of (4-16) vanishes. Hence (4-16) is equivalent to

$$k^{-2/3}(a_1k^{2/3})'' = 0.$$

Since a_1 is periodic and vanishes at 0, we necessarily have $a_1 = 0$. The expression of b_2 comes from the first equation of (4-15), namely $b_2 = a''_0/2$.

Expressions of a_2 and b_3 . Although not used later, we derive an explicit expression for the coefficient a_2 . By making use of (4-11) and (4-12), and taking into account that $a_1 = 0$, we obtain the system

$$(4-17) \quad \begin{cases} b_3 = a'_2 + a'''_0/6, \\ A'(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^2a_2 + A(s_0^q + a_0)(b_2^2 + 2b_1b_3) + B(s_0^q + a_0)3b_1^2b_2 + C(s_0^q + a_0)b_1^4 \\ = b_1'''/6 + b_2''/2 + b'_3 \end{cases}$$

From the first equation of (4-17) we have $b'_3 = a''_2 + a_0^{(4)}/6$, which in turn gives

$$b'_3 = a''_2 + \frac{11k'k''k^{-10/3}}{27} - \frac{8(k')^3k^{13/3}}{27} - \frac{k'''k^{-7/3}}{9}.$$

Replacing into the second of (4-17) and grouping all the terms with a_2 , we get

$$(4-18) \quad (k^{\frac{2}{3}}a_2)'' = L^4 \left(\frac{40(k')^3 - 45kk'k'' + 9k^2k''}{810k^5} + \frac{2k'}{45k} \right).$$

The right-hand side is the derivative of

$$L^3 \left(\frac{1}{810} \left(9k''k^{-\frac{7}{3}} - 12(k')^2k^{-\frac{10}{3}} \right) + \frac{k^{\frac{2}{3}}}{15} \right) + c,$$

where c is a constant such that this function has zero mean. At this point we can integrate again and get for $a_2(x)$ the value

$$k^{-\frac{2}{3}}(a_0(x)) \left(\int_0^x L^3 \left(\frac{1}{810} \left(9k''k^{-\frac{7}{3}} - 12(k')^2k^{-\frac{10}{3}} \right) + \frac{k^{\frac{2}{3}}}{15} \right) (a_0(t)) dt + cx \right).$$

The value of b_3 can now be easily derived from the first one of (4-17). □

5. Proof of Theorem 6

This section is entirely devoted to the proof of Theorem 6, providing the coefficient β_5 for the outer length billiard map.

Proof. We start the computation of the beta function by writing its value at rational points of the form $\frac{1}{q}$, which (by the expansion (4-3) of the generating function H) is

$$(5-1) \quad \beta\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} H(s_n, s_{n+1}) \\ = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \varepsilon_n + \frac{k^2}{12} \varepsilon_n^3 + \frac{kk'}{12} \varepsilon_n^4 + \frac{2k^4 + 4k'^2 + 7kk''}{240} \varepsilon_n^5 + O(\varepsilon_n^6).$$

Here, the curvature k and its derivatives k' and k'' are to be understood as evaluated in s_n .

Now, we substitute in the above formula s_n and ε_n with their corresponding Taylor expansions obtained in Proposition 11. We then proceed to group the various terms according to their order of magnitude q^k .

First, we observe that the summation of ε_n is simply equal to the perimeter ℓ of D , so that $\beta_1 = \ell$.

By inspecting the formula even before performing the substitution, we see that there are no terms of order q^{-2} , so that $\beta_2 = 0$, as expected by Marvizi–Melrose theory.

The second term of the summation on the right-hand side of (5-1) becomes, after the substitution and after grouping the various powers of q ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5-2) \quad & \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} k^2(s_n) \varepsilon_n^3 \\
 &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} k^2 \left(a_0 + \frac{a_2}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^3}\right) \right) \left(\frac{b_1}{q} + \frac{b_2}{q^2} + \frac{b_3}{q^3} \right)^3 \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \frac{k^2 b_1^3}{12} \frac{1}{q^3} + \frac{k^2 b_1^2 b_2}{4} \frac{1}{q^4} + \frac{2kk'b_1^3 a_2 + 3k^2(b_1^2 b_3 + b_1 b_2^2)}{12} \frac{1}{q^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^6}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5-3) \quad & \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} k(s_n) k'(s_n) \varepsilon_n^4 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} k k' \left(\frac{b_1}{q} + \frac{b_2}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^3}\right) \right)^4 = \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \frac{k k' b_1^4}{12} \frac{1}{q^4} + \frac{k k' b_1^3 b_2}{3} \frac{1}{q^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^6}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term is

$$(5-4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{2k^4 + 4k'^2 + 7kk''}{240} \right) b_1^5 \frac{1}{q^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^6}\right).$$

We recall that, in the last three formulas, it is implicitly understood that all functions a_i, b_i are evaluated at n/q , and the curvature k and its derivatives k' and k'' , where not explicitly specified, are computed at $s_0^q + a_0(n/q)$. To determine β_3 , we compute $\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} q^3 \left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{\ell}{q} \right)$.

From (5-2), (5-3), and (5-4), we obtain

$$\beta_3 = \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{12q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left(k^2 b_1^3 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right)$$

By Proposition 11, we have $b_1 = Lk^{-\frac{2}{3}} \Rightarrow k^2 b_1^3 = L^3$, so that

$$\beta_3 = \frac{1}{12} L^3 = \frac{1}{12} \left(\int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(r) dr \right)^3.$$

The leading part of this limit is constant, while the term denoted by $O(1/q)$ contains only higher-order terms. We will take this into account when analyzing $\beta - \ell/q - \beta_3/q^3$, considering only the terms present in $O(1/q)$.

For the terms of order 4, we obtain the expression

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{k^2 b_1^2 b_2}{4} + \frac{k k' b_1^4}{12} \right) \frac{1}{q^4}.$$

Since, by Proposition 11, we have $b_1 = Lk^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and $b_2 = -\frac{1}{3}L^2 k' k^{-\frac{7}{3}}$, we immediately conclude (again, as expected by Marvizi–Melrose theory), that $\beta_4 = 0$.

The terms of order 5 are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left[\frac{2k^4 + 4k'^2 + 7kk''}{240} b_1^5 + \frac{1}{12} (2kk' b_1^3 a_2 + 3k^2 (b_3 b_1^2 + b_2^2 b_1) + 4kk' b_1^3 b_2) \right] \frac{1}{q^5} \\ = S_1 + S_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \frac{1}{12} (2kk' b_1^3 a_2 + 3k^2 b_1^2 a_2'), \\ S_2 &:= \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{2k^4 + 4k'^2 + 7kk''}{240} b_1^5 + \frac{1}{12} \left(3k^2 b_2^2 b_1 + 4kk' b_1^3 b_2 + \frac{1}{2} k^2 a_0''' b_1^2 \right) \right); \end{aligned}$$

where we substituted the value $b_3 = a_2' + a_0'''/6$ from Proposition 11. We remark that the sum S_1 contains a_2 and the sum S_2 doesn't contain a_2 . As established earlier, we have

$$\beta_5 = \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} q^5 \left(\beta \left(\frac{1}{q} \right) - \frac{\ell}{q} - \frac{\beta_3}{q^3} \right) = \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} (S_1 + S_2).$$

By studying $\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} S_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5-5) \quad \frac{1}{12} \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} (2kk' k^{-2} a_2 L^3 + 3k^2 k^{-\frac{4}{3}} a_2' L^2) \\ = \frac{1}{12} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2k'}{k} (a_0(x)) a_2(x) L^3 + 3k^{\frac{2}{3}} (a_0(x)) a_2'(x) L^2 \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where once again in the summations we have used the convention that the functions a_i, b_i are evaluated at n/q , while the functions k, k' are evaluated at $a_0(n/q)$. Similarly, in the integral on the right-hand side, a_i, b_i are evaluated at x , and k, k' at $a_0(x)$. Integrating by parts the second term inside the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5-6) \quad \int_0^1 k^{\frac{2}{3}} (a_0(x)) a_2'(x) L^2 dx \\ = k^{\frac{2}{3}} (a_0(x)) a_2(x) L^2 \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \frac{2k'}{3k} (a_0(x)) a_2(x) L^3 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By periodicity, the first term is 0. By substituting the remaining expression of (5-6) inside (5-5), we conclude that the first limit is 0.

Let us proceed with the calculation of $\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} S_2$. Recalling from (4-10) that $a'_0(x) = Lk^{-\frac{2}{3}}(a_0(x))$, we have

$$a_0''' = L^3 \left(-\frac{2k''}{3k^3} + \frac{14k'^2}{9k^4} \right).$$

Taking into account the expressions of a_i, b_j given in (4-10) and by substituting the previous expression into S_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5-7) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} S_2 \\ &= \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \frac{2k^4 + 4k'^2 + 7kk''}{240} b_1^5 + \frac{1}{12} (3k^2 b_2^2 b_1 + 4kk' b_1^3 b_2 + \frac{1}{2} k^2 a_0''' b_1^2) \\ &= \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{L^5}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{k^{2/3}}{120} - \frac{k^{-\frac{10}{3}} k'^2}{540} + \frac{k^{-\frac{7}{3}} k''}{720} \right) \\ &= L^5 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k^{2/3}}{120} - \frac{k^{-\frac{10}{3}} k'^2}{540} + \frac{k^{-\frac{7}{3}} k''}{720} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We finally integrate by parts the last term of the integral, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 L^5 k^{-\frac{7}{3}} k'' dx &= L^4 \int_0^1 k^{-5/3} k'' (k^{-\frac{2}{3}} L) dx = L^4 \int_0^1 k^{-\frac{5}{3}} (k')' dx \\ &= \frac{5L^5}{3} \int_0^1 k^{-\frac{10}{3}} k'^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (5-7), we conclude that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{q} S_2 = L^5 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k^{2/3}}{120} + \frac{k^{-\frac{10}{3}} k'^2}{2160} \right) dx.$$

Finally, switching to arc length as the variable of integration, we obtain the desired result:

$$\beta_5 = L^4 \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{k^{4/3}(s)}{120} + \frac{k^{-\frac{8}{3}}(s) k'^2(s)}{2160} \right) ds. \quad \square$$

6. Lazutkin coordinates and caustics

A consequence of Proposition 10 is that we can compute explicitly Lazutkin coordinates [14] for order 4 in the case of outer length billiards.

Lemma 12 (Lazutkin for outer length billiards). *The coordinates*

$$x(s) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^s k^{2/3}(r) dr, \quad L := \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(r) dr,$$

$$y(s, \varepsilon) = x(s + \varepsilon) - x(s)$$

are such that the outer length billiard dynamics is given by

$$x \mapsto x + y, \quad y \mapsto y + O(y^4).$$

Proof. Let

$$(s, \varepsilon) \mapsto (x, y) := (f(s), f(s + \varepsilon) - f(s))$$

a change of coordinates so that $(x_k, y_k) \mapsto (x_k + y_k, y_{k+1})$. Then, by using the expansion of ε_1 given in 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 = x_2 - x_1 &= f(s_1 + \varepsilon_1) - f(s_1) = f'(s_1)\varepsilon_1 + \frac{f''(s_1)}{2}\varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{f'''(s_1)}{6}\varepsilon_1^3 + O(\varepsilon_1^4) \\ &= \left(f'(s_0) + f''(s_0)\varepsilon_0 + \frac{f'''(s_0)}{2}\varepsilon_0^3 \right) (\varepsilon_0 + A(s_0)\varepsilon_0^2 + B(s_0)\varepsilon_0^3) \\ &\quad + (f''(s_0) + f'''(s_0)\varepsilon_0) \frac{(\varepsilon_0 + A(s_0)\varepsilon_0^2 + B(s_0)\varepsilon_0^3)^2}{2} + \frac{f'''(s_0)}{6}\varepsilon_0^3 + O(\varepsilon_0^4) \\ &= \left(f'(s_0)\varepsilon_0 + \frac{f''(s_0)}{2}\varepsilon_0^2 + \frac{f'''(s_0)}{6}\varepsilon_0^3 \right) + (f''(s_0) + f'(s_0)A(s_0))\varepsilon_0^2 \\ &\quad + (f'(s_0)B(s_0) + 2f''(s_0)A(s_0) + f'''(s_0))\varepsilon_0^3 + O(\varepsilon_0^4) \\ &= y_0 + (f''(s_0) + f'(s_0)A(s_0))\varepsilon_0^2 + (f'(s_0)B(s_0) + 2f''(s_0)A(s_0) + f'''(s_0))\varepsilon_0^3 \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon_0^4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to get rid of the ε_0^2 and ε_0^3 terms, we need to choose f solving

$$\begin{cases} f''(s_0) + f'(s_0)A(s_0) = 0, \\ f'(s_0)B(s_0) + 2f''(s_0)A(s_0) + f'''(s_0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Integrating the first equation, we immediately obtain the desired formula for f , giving, up to normalization,

$$x(s) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^s k^{2/3}(r) dr, \quad L := \int_0^\ell k^{2/3}(r) dr.$$

Then, by direct computation, it is easy to check that such a function solves also the second equation. \square

As a consequence, the outer length billiard map is a small perturbation of the integrable map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + y, y),$$

satisfying the assumptions of Lazutkin's theorem [14, Theorem 1]. Applying this theorem, the next corollary of Proposition 10 immediately follows.

Theorem 13. *Arbitrarily close to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, there exist smooth caustics for the outer length billiard map. The union of these caustics has positive measure.*

On the other hand, regarding the nonexistence of caustics, we underline that the following outer length billiard version of Mather's theorem still holds.

Theorem 14. *If the curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ vanishes at some point, then the outer length billiard in $\partial\Omega$ has no caustics.*

Proof. We use Mather's necessary analytic condition for the existence of a caustic [16], that is

$$H_{22}(s_0, s_1) + H_{11}(s_1, s_2) < 0.$$

By using the general expression of the generating function (4-4), it is easily seen that

$$H_1(s_1, s_2) = -1 - \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge \gamma''(s_1)}{\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)} - \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge (\gamma'(s_2) - \gamma'(s_1)) \cdot (\gamma''(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))}{(\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{11}(s_1, s_2) &= \frac{\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma''(s_1)}{\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)} - \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge \gamma'''(s_1)}{\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)} \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge \gamma''(s_1)}{(\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^2} (\gamma''(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)) + \frac{\gamma''(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)}{(\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge (\gamma'(s_2) - \gamma'(s_1))}{(\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^2} (\gamma'''(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2)) \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{(\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)) \wedge (\gamma'(s_2) - \gamma'(s_1))}{(\gamma'(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^3} (\gamma''(s_1) \wedge \gamma'(s_2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that at a point on the boundary corresponding to the arc-length parameter value s_1 , the curvature is zero, that is, $k(s_1) = 0$. Since the set is convex, this condition implies that also $k'(s_1) = 0$. From formulas (4-2), it follows that $\gamma''(s_1) = \gamma'''(s_1) = 0$. Substituting into the previous formula, we see that all the terms composing H_{11} vanish, and a similar argument holds for H_{22} . As a consequence, we have $H_{11}(s_1, s_2) + H_{22}(s_0, s_1) = 0$ for every s_0, s_2 , and therefore no topologically nontrivial invariant curve can exist. \square

References

- [1] P. Albers and S. Tabachnikov, “Introducing symplectic billiards”, *Adv. Math.* **333** (2018), 822–867. MR
- [2] P. Albers and S. Tabachnikov, “Monotone twist maps and Dowker-type theorems”, *Pacific J. Math.* **330**:1 (2024), 1–24. MR
- [3] V. Bangert, “Mather sets for twist maps and geodesics on tori”, pp. 1–56 in *Dynamics reported*, vol. 1, Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl., Wiley, Chichester, 1988. MR
- [4] L. Baracco and O. Bernardi, “Totally integrable symplectic billiards are ellipses”, *Adv. Math.* **454** (2024), art. id. 109873, 17 pp. MR
- [5] L. Baracco, O. Bernardi, and A. Nardi, “Area spectral rigidity for axially symmetric and Radon domains”, 2024. arXiv 2410.12644
- [6] L. Baracco, O. Bernardi, and A. Nardi, “Bialy-Mironov type rigidity for centrally symmetric symplectic billiards”, *Nonlinearity* **37**:12 (2024), art. id. 125025, 12 pp. MR
- [7] L. Baracco, O. Bernardi, and A. Nardi, “Higher order terms of Mather’s β -function for symplectic and outer billiards”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **537**:2 (2024), art. id. 128353, 20 pp. MR
- [8] M. Bialy, “Convex billiards and a theorem by E. Hopf”, *Math. Z.* **214**:1 (1993), 147–154. MR
- [9] M. Bialy, “Mather β -function for ellipses and rigidity”, *Entropy* **24**:11 (2022), art. id. 1600, 16 pp. MR
- [10] W. Cieślak and S. Gózdź, “Extremal polygons circumscribed an oval”, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **54**:1-2 (1989), 63–68. MR
- [11] D. DeTemple, “The geometry of circumscribing polygons of minimal perimeter”, *J. Geom.* **49**:1-2 (1994), 72–89. MR
- [12] C. Fierobe, A. Sorrentino, and A. Vig, “Deformational spectral rigidity of axially-symmetric symplectic billiards”, 2024. arXiv 2410.13777
- [13] A. Glutsyuk, “On infinitely many foliations by caustics in strictly convex open billiards”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **44**:5 (2024), 1418–1467. MR
- [14] V. F. Lazutkin, “Existence of caustics for the billiard problem in a convex domain”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **37** (1973), 185–216. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR Izv.* **7**:1 (1973), 185–214. MR
- [15] S. Marvizi and R. Melrose, “Spectral invariants of convex planar regions”, *J. Differential Geom.* **17**:3 (1982), 475–502. MR
- [16] J. N. Mather, “Glancing billiards”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **2**:3-4 (1982), 397–403. MR
- [17] D. E. McClure and R. A. Vitale, “Polygonal approximation of plane convex bodies”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **51**:2 (1975), 326–358. MR
- [18] K. F. Siburg, *The principle of least action in geometry and dynamics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1844**, Springer, 2004. MR
- [19] A. Sorrentino, “Computing Mather’s β -function for Birkhoff billiards”, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35**:10 (2015), 5055–5082. MR
- [20] H. Stachel, “The geometry of billiards in ellipses and their Poncelet grids”, *J. Geom.* **112**:3 (2021), art. id. 40, 29 pp. MR
- [21] S. Tabachnikov, “On the dual billiard problem”, *Adv. Math.* **115**:2 (1995), 221–249. MR
- [22] S. Tabachnikov, *Geometry and billiards*, Student Mathematical Library **30**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR

- [23] D. Tsodikovich, “Local rigidity for symplectic billiards”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **35**:10 (2025), art. id. 306.
MR
- [24] J. Zhang, “Spectral invariants of convex billiard maps: a viewpoint of Mather’s beta function”,
Sci. China Math. (2021). arXiv 2009.12513

Received May 8, 2025. Revised September 8, 2025.

LUCA BARACCO
UNIVERSITY OF PADOVA
ITALY
baracco@math.unipd.it

OLGA BERNARDI
UNIVERSITY OF PADOVA
ITALY
obern@math.unipd.it

CORENTIN FIEROBE
UNIVERSITY OF ROME “TOR VERGATA”
ITALY
cpef@gmx.de

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
School of Sciences
Chongqing University of Technology
Chongqing 400054, China
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sucharit Sarkar
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
sucharit@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$677/year for the electronic version, and \$917/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 339 No. 2 December 2025

Starting the study of outer length billiards	201
LUCA BARACCO, OLGA BERNARDI and CORENTIN FIEROBE	
Mori dream spaces and \mathbb{Q} -homology quadrics	223
PAOLO CASCINI, FABRIZIO CATANESE, YIFAN CHEN and JONGHAE KEUM	
Five-dimensional minimal quadratic and bilinear forms over function fields of conics	243
ADAM CHAPMAN and AHMED LAGHRIBI	
The Manakov equation of mixed type and its matrix generalization	265
QING DING, CHAOHAO YE and SHIPING ZHONG	
Mapping classes fixing an isotropic homology class of minimal genus 0 in rational 4-manifolds	283
SERAPHINA EUN BI LEE	
Lower bounds for fractional Orlicz-type eigenvalues	309
ARIEL SALORT	
Graph thinness: a lower bound and complexity	333
YAROSLAV SHITOV	
Classifying preaisles of derived categories of complete intersections	345
RYO TAKAHASHI	