

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**NON-BRAID-POSITIVE HYPERBOLIC  $L$ -SPACE KNOTS**

KEISUKE HIMENO

# NON-BRAID-POSITIVE HYPERBOLIC $L$ -SPACE KNOTS

KEISUKE HIMENO

**An  $L$ -space knot is a knot that admits a positive Dehn surgery yielding an  $L$ -space. Many known hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots are braid positive, meaning they can be represented as the closure of a positive braid. Recently, Baker and Kegel showed that the hyperbolic  $L$ -space knot  $o9\_30634$  from Dunfield's census is not braid-positive, and they constructed infinitely many candidates for hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots that may not be braid-positive. However, it remains unproven whether their examples are genuinely non-braid-positive. In this paper, we construct infinitely many hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots that are not braid-positive, and are distinct from those considered by Baker and Kegel.**

## 1. Introduction

An  $L$ -space is a rational homology 3-sphere whose (hat version) Heegaard Floer homology has rank equal to the order of its first homology. A knot is called an  $L$ -space knot if it admits a positive Dehn surgery yielding an  $L$ -space.  $L$ -space knots were originally motivated by the study of knots admitting lens space surgeries [14], and they continue to be an active subject of research.


In this paper, we consider the braid positivity of  $L$ -space knots. A knot or link is said to be *braid-positive* if it can be expressed as the closure of a positive braid. Many known  $L$ -space knots are braid-positive; for example, positive torus knots are  $L$ -space knots, and then they are braid-positive. On the other hand, it is known that not all  $L$ -space knots are braid-positive; for example, the  $(2, 3)$ -cable of the right-handed trefoil is not braid-positive (see Example 1 of [1]). However, the existence of non-braid-positive hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots remained an open problem for some time (see Problem 31.2 of [5], Question 2 of [1]).

Recently, Baker and Kegel examined Dunfield's list of 632 hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots. They showed that all but one of these knots are braid-positive, and that the exceptional knot,  $o9\_30634$ , is not braid-positive [2]. Furthermore, they constructed infinitely many candidates for non-braid-positive hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots, although they could not prove that any of their examples are definitely non-braid-positive.

---

MSC2020: 57K10, 57K18.

Keywords:  $L$ -space knot, braid-positive.



**Figure 1.** The braid  $X_n$ .

The purpose of this paper is to give infinitely many hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots that are not braid-positive. While all candidates by Baker and Kegel are represented as closures of 4-braids, we construct the infinite family of such knots by increasing the number of strands in the braid. For each  $n \geq 2$ , we define a knot  $K_n$  as the closure of a  $2n$ -braid, as follows. Let  $[\varepsilon_1 i_1, \varepsilon_2 i_2, \dots, \varepsilon_m i_m]$  denote the braid  $\sigma_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \sigma_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_m}^{\varepsilon_m}$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard generator of the degree  $2n$  braid group and  $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ . Define the braid

$$X_n = [n, n - 1, n + 1, n - 2, n, n + 2, \dots, 1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1, 2n - 2, \dots, 4, 2, \dots, n + 1, n - 1, n],$$


illustrated in [Figure 1](#). Let  $K_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) be a knot represented by the closure of  $2n$ -braid

$$\beta_n = X_n^3 \cdot [-1, -2, \dots, -(n - 1), n, n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n],$$

shown in [Figure 2](#). Note that  $K_2$  coincides with the knot  $o9\_30634$ , and  $K_1$  is the  $(2, 5)$ -torus knot. Although the  $K_n$  for odd  $n$  do not appear in the statement of [Theorem 1.1](#), we use them in the proof.

**Theorem 1.1.** *When  $n$  is even,  $K_n$  is a non-braid-positive hyperbolic  $L$ -space knot.*

Since the knots  $\{K_n\}$  are mutually distinct (see [Lemma 2.8](#)), we have the following corollary.



**Figure 2.** The knot  $K_n$  is the closure of this braid.

**Corollary 1.2.** *There exist infinitely many non-braid-positive hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots.*

When  $n$  is odd, we also expect  $K_n$  to be a non-braid positive hyperbolic  $L$ -space knot. However, in this case, the criterion we use for braid positivity failed to detect it.

### 2. Non-braid positivity

In this section, we prove that  $K_n$  is non-braid-positive when  $n$  is even. Our proof is based on Ito’s criterion using the HOMFLY polynomial [8].

**2.1. HOMFLY polynomial and its zeroth coefficient polynomial.** For an oriented link  $L$ , the HOMFLY polynomial  $P_L(v, z)$  is a two-variable Laurent polynomial defined by the skein relation

$$v^{-1}P_{L_+}(v, z) - vP_{L_-}(v, z) = zP_{L_0}(v, z),$$

together with  $P_U(v, z) = 1$ , where  $U$  is the unknot. Here, the links (or diagrams)  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$  coincide outside a small 3-ball, and inside the 3-ball, they differ as in Figure 3. (Throughout, all braids are assumed to be oriented from left to right.)

In [8], Ito provided a criterion for the braid positivity of a link using the HOMFLY polynomial. We state the result in the case of knots. Let

$$\tilde{P}_K(\alpha, z) = (-\alpha)^{-g(K)} P_K(v, z)|_{-v^2=\alpha},$$

where  $g(K)$  denotes the genus of a knot  $K$ .


**Theorem 2.1** [8, Theorem 2]. *If  $K$  is a braid-positive knot, then  $\tilde{P}_K(\alpha, z)$  is positive, that is, all nonzero coefficients of  $\tilde{P}_K(\alpha, z)$  are positive integers.*

The HOMFLY polynomial  $P_L(v, z)$  can be expressed in the form

$$P_L(v, z) = (v^{-1}z)^{-\#L+1} \sum_{i=0} p_L^i(v)z^{2i},$$

where  $\#L$  is the number of components of the link  $L$ . The polynomial  $p_L^i(v)$  is called the  $i$ -th coefficient (HOMFLY) polynomial of  $L$ . In this paper, we focus on the zeroth coefficient polynomial  $p_L^0(v)$ . It is known that this polynomial satisfies several important properties; see, for example [9, Section 2] and [19, Section 2].

The zeroth coefficient polynomial  $p_L^0(v)$  satisfies the skein relation



**Figure 3.** The small 3-ball in the diagrams of  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$ .

$$(2-1) \quad v^{-2}p_{L_+}^0(v) - p_{L_-}^0(v) = \begin{cases} p_{L_0}^0(v) & (\delta = 0), \\ 0 & (\delta = 1), \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(\#L_+ - \#L_0 + 1) \in \{0, 1\}$ . In particular,  $\delta = 0$  if  $L_+$  is a knot. Furthermore, for a two-component link  $L = k_1 \cup k_2$ , we have

$$(2-2) \quad p_L^0(v) = (v^{-2} - 1)v^{2 \cdot \text{lk}(k_1, k_2)} p_{k_1}^0(v) p_{k_2}^0(v),$$

where  $\text{lk}(k_1, k_2)$  is the linking number of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . In particular, when  $L_+$  is a knot (hence  $L_-$  is also a knot and  $L_0$  is a two-component link  $k_1 \cup k_2$ ), the skein relation (2-1) can be rewritten as

$$(2-3) \quad p_{L_-}^0(v) = v^{-2}p_{L_+}^0(v) + (1 - v^{-2})v^{2 \cdot \text{lk}(k_1, k_2)} p_{k_1}^0(v) p_{k_2}^0(v).$$

**2.2. Degree of  $p_L^0$  for a braid-positive link  $L$ .** For a braid  $\beta$ , let  $L(\beta)$  denote the closure of  $\beta$ . A positive braid  $\beta$  is a *minimal positive braid* if the number of strands of  $\beta$  is minimum among all the positive braid representative of  $L(\beta)$ . The following proposition is useful when applying the skein relation to a braid-positive link.

**Proposition 2.2** [21, Lemma 2]. *Let  $L$  be a braid-positive link that is not an unlink. Then there exists a positive braid  $\beta$  such that  $L$  is the closure of a positive braid of the form  $\sigma_i^2 \beta$  for some  $i$ . Moreover, such a positive braid representative  $\sigma_i^2 \beta$  of  $L$  can be taken so that it is a minimal positive braid.*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\beta$  be a positive  $n$ -braid, and let  $e$  be the number of crossings of  $\beta$ . Then the degree of the zeroth coefficient polynomial satisfies*

$$\deg p_{L(\beta)}^0(v) \leq n + e - \#L(\beta).$$

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by induction on  $e$ . For an unlink  $U$ , we have  $p_U^0(v) = (v^{-2} - 1)^{\#U-1}$ , so  $\deg p_U^0(v) = 0$ .

Assume that  $L(\beta)$  is not an unlink. By Proposition 2.2, we can write  $L(\beta) = L(\sigma_i^2 \beta')$  for some  $i$ , where  $\beta'$  is a positive  $n$ -braid with  $e - 2$  crossings. (If necessary, we may increase the number of crossings to realize  $\beta'$  as an  $n$ -braid.)

Applying the skein relation (2-1), we get

$$p_{L(\beta)}^0(v) = p_{L(\sigma_i^2 \beta')}^0(v) = v^2 p_{L(\beta')}^0(v) + \begin{cases} v^2 p_{L(\sigma_i \beta')}^0(v) & (\delta = 0), \\ 0 & (\delta = 1). \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\#L(\beta') = \#L(\beta)$ , and  $\#L(\sigma_i \beta') = \#L(\beta) + 1$  if  $\delta = 0$ . By the assumption of induction, we have

$$\deg v^2 p_{L(\beta')}^0(v) \leq 2 + (n + e - 2 - \#L(\beta')) = n + e - \#L(\beta),$$

and if  $\delta = 0$ ,

$$\deg v^2 p_{L(\sigma_i \beta')}^0(v) \leq 2 + (n + e - 1 - \#L(\sigma_i \beta')) = n + e - \#L(\beta).$$

The claim follows.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** A positive  $n$ -braid  $\beta$  is said to be *sharp* if

$$\deg p_L^0(\beta)(v) = n + e - \#L(\beta),$$

where  $e$  is the number of crossings of  $\beta$ .

We now state several lemmas concerning the notion of sharpness.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If positive braid  $\sigma_i^2\beta$  is sharp, then at least one of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_i\beta$  is sharp.*

*Proof.* The claim follows immediately from the proof of [Lemma 2.3](#).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If a positive braid  $\beta$  is sharp, then it is a minimal positive braid.*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a positive  $n$ -braid that is not minimal, and let  $\beta'$  be a minimal positive braid representative of  $L(\beta)$  with  $n'$  strands ( $n' < n$ ). The number of crossings of  $\beta$  is  $n - \chi(L(\beta))$  and that of  $\beta'$  is  $n' - \chi(L(\beta))$  [18]. Here  $\chi(L)$  is the maximal Euler characteristic among all compact, connected, oriented surfaces whose boundary is a link  $L$ .

By [Lemma 2.3](#),

$$\deg p_{L(\beta)}^0(v) \leq n' + n' - \chi(L(\beta)) - \#L(\beta) < n + n - \chi(L(\beta)) - \#L(\beta).$$

This implies that  $\beta$  is not sharp.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $L = k_1 \cup k_2$  be a two-component link represented as the closure of a positive braid  $\beta$ . Suppose that each component knot  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  can also be represented as the closure of positive braids  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , respectively. If  $\beta$  is sharp, then both  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are sharp.*

*Proof.* Let  $n, n_1, n_2$  be the numbers of strands, and  $e, e_1, e_2$  be the numbers of crossings of  $\beta, \beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , respectively. Then,

$$n = n_1 + n_2, \quad e = e_1 + e_2 + \text{lk}(k_1, k_2).$$

By (2-2) and [Lemma 2.3](#), we have

$$\deg p_L^0 \leq 2 \cdot \text{lk}(k_1, k_2) + (n_1 + e_1 - 1) + (n_2 + e_2 - 1) = n + e - 2.$$


Equality holds if and only if  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are sharp. Since  $\beta$  is sharp, equality holds, and the result follows.  $\square$

**2.3. Non-braid positivity for  $K_n$ .** We are ready to prove that  $K_n$  is not braid-positive when  $n$  is even.

**Lemma 2.8.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , the top term of  $p_{K_n}^0(v)$  is  $(-1)^n v^{3n^2+3n}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ . Using Sage [17], one can confirm that the top term of  $p_{K_2}^0(v)$  is  $v^{18}$ .

Throughout the following, we identify each braid with its closure diagram in the skein tree.



**Figure 4.** The skein tree for the knot  $K_n$ . Consider the crossing change and the smoothing at the crossing indicated by the dashed circle.

Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . From the skein tree shown in Figure 4 and equation (2-3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{K_n}^0(v) &= (1 - v^{-2})v^{2(3(n-1)\cdot 1+1)} p_{T_{2,3}}^0(v) p_{K_{n-1}}^0(v) \\
 &\quad + (1 - v^{-2})v^{-2} \cdot v^{2(3(n-2)\cdot 2+2)} p_{(T_{2,3})_{2,7}}^0(v) p_{K_{n-2}}^0(v) + \dots \\
 &\quad + (1 - v^{-2})v^{-2(n-2)} \cdot v^{2(3\cdot 1\cdot (n-1)+n-1)} p_{(T_{2,3})_{n-1,3n-2}}^0(v) p_{K_1}^0(v) \\
 &\quad + v^{-2(n-1)} p_{K_n^+}^0(v) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} v^{-2(k-1)} (1 - v^{-2})v^{2(3(n-k)\cdot k+k)} p_{(T_{2,3})_{k,3k+1}}^0(v) p_{K_{n-k}}^0(v) + v^{-2(n-1)} p_{K_n^+}^0(v),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(T_{2,3})_{k,3k-1}$  denotes the  $(k, 3k-1)$ -cable of the right-handed trefoil and  $K_n^+$  is the braid-positive knot shown at the bottom in Figure 4.

By the induction assumption and the fact  $\deg p_{K_1}^0(v) = \deg p_{T_{2,5}}^0(v) = 6$ , we have  $\deg p_{K_i}^0 = 3i^2 + 3i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $(T_{2,3})_{k,3k+1}$  is represented by the closure of the positive braid  $X_k^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, k-1]$ , Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg(v^{-2(k-1)}(1-v^{-2})v^{2(3(n-k)\cdot k+k)}p_{(T_{2,3})_{k,3k+1}}^0(v)p_{K_{n-k}}^0(v)) \\ &\leq -2(k-1) + 2(3(n-k)k+k) + (2k+3k^2+(k-1)-1) + 3(n-k)^2 + 3(n-k) \\ &= 3n^2 + 3n. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if the positive braid  $X_k^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, k-1]$  is sharp.

**Claim 2.9.** For  $k \geq 2$ , the positive braid  $X_k^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, k-1]$  is not sharp.

*Proof.* We consider the skein tree


$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} D_0 & \rightarrow & D_1 & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & D_i & \rightarrow & D_{i+1} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & D_k, \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ D_0^s & & D_1^s & & & & D_i^s & & D_{i+1}^s & & & & \end{array}$$

where  $D_0$  is the braid  $X_k^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, k-1]$  and each  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $D_i^s$  ( $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ ) are as illustrated in Figures 5 and 6. The right arrows correspond to crossing changes, and the down arrows correspond to smoothings. Note that all links in the skein tree are braid-positive links.


$D_k$  contains exactly one occurrence of the generator  $\sigma_{2k-1}$ , and is hence a nonminimal positive braid. Each  $D_i^s$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) is also nonminimal, as shown in Figures 7 and 8. Thus, by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, the claim follows.  $\square$

On the other hand,  $K_n^+$  is represented as the closure of the positive braid


$$X_n^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n].$$




**Figure 5.** The diagram  $D_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k$ ). Performing a crossing change at the crossing indicated by the dashed circle, followed by a Reidemeister II move, results in the diagram  $D_{i+1}$ . Alternatively, smoothing the crossing produces the diagram  $D_i^s$ .



**Figure 6.** The diagram  $D_i^s$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ).



**Figure 7.** The positive braid  $D_i^s$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ ) is not minimal.



**Figure 8.** The positive braid  $D_{k-1}^s$  is not minimal.

Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\deg v^{-2(n-1)} p_{K_n^+}^0(v) \leq -2(n-1) + 2n + (3n^2 + n - 1 + 2n) - 1 = 3n^2 + 3n.$$

Equality holds if and only if the positive braid

$$X_n^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n]$$

is sharp again.

**Claim 2.10.** For  $n \geq 3$ , the positive braid

$$X_n^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n]$$

is not sharp.


*Proof.* We consider the skein tree

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} E_0 & \rightarrow & E_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & E_i & \rightarrow & E_{i+1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & E_n, \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ E_0^s & & E_1^s & & & & E_i^s & & E_{i+1}^s & & & & \end{array}$$


where  $E_0$  is the braid described in the claim, and  $E_n$  is  $X_n^3 \cdot [1, 2, \dots, n-1]$ , which is not sharp by Claim 2.9. The diagrams  $E_i$  and  $E_i^s$  are illustrated in Figures 9 and 10.

As shown in Figure 10, the diagram  $E_i^s$  consists of two components  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , represented by the positive braids  $\gamma_i^1$  and  $\gamma_i^2$  respectively. Figure 11 shows that  $\gamma_i^1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) is not minimal, and hence nonsharp by Lemma 2.6. Then, Lemma 2.7 implies that  $E_i^s$  is not sharp for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .


For  $i = 0$ , we observe that  $\gamma_0^1$  is a 1-braid (thus sharp), so we analyze  $\gamma_0^2$ . We perform a crossing change and smoothing at the crossing marked in Figure 12. The resulting diagrams (Figures 13 and 14) demonstrate that  $\gamma_0^2$  is not sharp, and hence  $E_0^s$  is not sharp. □




**Figure 9.** The diagram  $E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ). Performing a crossing change at the crossing indicated by the dashed circle, results in the diagram  $E_{i+1}$ . Alternatively, smoothing the crossing produces the diagram  $E_i^s$ .




**Figure 10.** The diagram  $E_i^s$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) represents the two-component link  $k_1 \cup k_2$ .  $k_1$  is represented by the positive braid  $\gamma_i^1$  (red), and  $k_2$  by  $\gamma_i^2$  (blue).




**Figure 11.** The positive braid  $\gamma_i^1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) is not minimal. The first deformation is a conjugation that moves the X-shaped part on the left side of the braid to the right.



**Figure 12.** The diagram  $E_0^s$ . The red line represents  $\gamma_0^1$ , and the blue line represents  $\gamma_0^2$ . The crossing change at the crossing indicated by the dashed circle changes  $\gamma_0^2$  into the diagram as in Figure 13. Alternatively, the smoothing yields the diagram as in Figure 14.



**Figure 13.** The diagram obtained by the crossing change of  $\gamma_0^2$ . As indicated, the corresponding positive braid is not minimal, hence not sharp.



**Figure 14.** The diagram obtained by the smoothing of  $\gamma_0^2$ . The blue line is the positive braid  $X_{n-1}^3[1, 2, \dots, n-2]$ , which is not sharp by Claim 2.9.

By Claims 2.9 and 2.10, the top term of  $p_{K_n}^0(v)$  arises from the term

$$(1 - v^{-2})v^{2(3(n-1)\cdot 1+1)} p_{T_{2,3}}^0(v) p_{K_{n-1}}^0(v),$$

which evaluates to  $v^{2(3(n-1)\cdot 1+1)}(-v^4)(-1)^{n-1}v^{3(n-1)^2+3(n-1)} = (-1)^n v^{3n^2+3n}$ . The proof of [Lemma 2.8](#) is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *When  $n$  is even, the genus of  $K_n$  is given by*

$$g(K_n) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + 1.$$

*Proof.* By [\[13\]](#) and [Proposition 3.1](#) below,  $K_n$  is an  $L$ -space knot, and hence fibered. A quasi-positive Seifert surface for  $K_n$  can be constructed by attaching  $3n^2 + n + 1$  bands to  $2n$  disks, where one of the bands corresponds to the braid

$$[-1, -2, \dots, -(n-1), n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1]$$

and the remaining bands correspond to the other positive crossings. By [\[16\]](#), the surface is incompressible and therefore serves as the fiber surface of  $K_n$ . A direct calculation then yields the genus  $g(K_n) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12.** *If  $n$  is even, then  $K_n$  is not braid-positive.*

*Proof.* Set  $n = 2k$ . By [Lemmas 2.8](#) and [2.11](#), the top term of  $(-\alpha)^{-g(K_{2k})} p_{K_{2k}}^0(v)|_{-v^2=\alpha}$  is

$$(-\alpha)^{-(6k^2-k+1)}(-1)^{2k}(-\alpha)^{6k^2+3k} = (-\alpha)^{4k-1} = -\alpha^{4k-1}.$$

Since this is the term of  $z^0$  in  $\tilde{P}_{K_n}(\alpha, z)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_{K_n}(\alpha, z)$  is a non-positive polynomial. Therefore, [Theorem 2.1](#) implies that  $K_n$  is not braid-positive.  $\square$

### 3. $L$ -space surgery


In this section, we prove that  $K_n$  admits a Dehn surgery yielding an  $L$ -space if  $n$  is even. Throughout, we set  $n = 2k$ . We apply the Montesinos trick [\[12\]](#): for a strongly invertible link  $L$  in  $S^3$ , the manifold obtained by Dehn surgery on  $L$  is the double branched cover of a link  $\ell$  arising from a tangle replacement on the axis. See [\[2; 20\]](#) for details.

[Figure 15](#) illustrates a strongly invertible position of the link  $K \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ , where performing  $(-1)$ -surgery on  $C_1$  and 1-surgery on both  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  transforms  $K$  into  $K_{2k}$ . Note that  $r$ -surgery on  $K$  corresponds to  $(8k^2 + r)$ -surgery on  $K_{2k}$ .


**Proposition 3.1.**  *$(12k^2 + 2k)$ -surgery on  $K_{2k}$  yields an  $L$ -space.*

*Proof.* When  $k = 1$ , the 14-surgery on  $K_2 = o9\_30634$  yields an  $L$ -space [\[2\]](#). Assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Consider the quotient of the link in [Figure 15](#) under the involution around the axis, as shown in [Figure 16](#). Note that the surgery coefficient on  $K$  is  $4k^2 + 2k$ , and the writhe of  $K$  in the diagram is  $4k^2 + 2k + 1$ . Hence,  $(4k^2 + 2k)$ -surgery on  $K$  corresponds to a tangle replacement by the  $(-1)$ -tangle.

[Figure 17](#) illustrates a deformation of the quotient. Performing the indicated



**Figure 15.** A strongly invertible position of the link  $K \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ . The dashed boxes total  $2k - 1$ :  $k$  in the upper part and  $k - 1$  in the lower.




**Figure 16.** The quotient by the involution around the axis.

surgeries and tangle replacements on the middle right portion of the figure yields the link diagram in the bottom portion, which we denote by  $\ell$ .


**Claim 3.2.** *The double branched cover of the link  $\ell$  is an  $L$ -space.*

*Proof.* Using the Goeritz matrix derived from a checkerboard coloring of the diagram of  $\ell$  (Figure 17, bottom), we compute  $\det \ell = 12k^2 + 2k$ . By smoothing the  $2k - 1$  crossings indicated by the dashed box in that figure, as shown in Figure 18, we obtain the links (or knots)  $\ell_\infty^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 2k - 1$ ) and a knot  $\ell_0$ .

A computation gives  $\det \ell_\infty^i = 12k^2 + 2k - (6k + 1)i$  and  $\det \ell_0 = 6k + 1$ . Hence,



**Figure 17.** Three deformation steps for the quotient from Figure 16. In the bottom part, integers indicate the number of half-twists: right-handed if positive, left-handed otherwise.




**Figure 18.** Smoothing the crossings indicated by the dashed circle.

$$\det l_\infty^i = \det l_\infty^{i+1} + \det l_0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 2k-2, \quad \text{and} \quad \det l = \det l_\infty^1 + \det l_0.$$

By [14, Proposition 2.1] and [15, Proposition 2.1], it suffices to show that the double branched covers of  $l_0$  and  $l_\infty^{2k-1}$  are both  $L$ -spaces.

As shown in Figure 19, the knot  $l_0$  is the Montesinos knot  $M(-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k}{6k-1})$ , which is not quasi-alternating by [6]. Its double branched cover is the Seifert fibered



**Figure 19.**  $l_0$  is a Montesinos knot.


space

$$M(0; -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k}{6k-1}) = M(-1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k}{6k-1}, \frac{1}{3}),$$

following the convention of [11], consistent with [2; 20].

According to [10; 11], such a Seifert fibered space  $M(-1; r_1, r_2, r_3)$  with  $1 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq 0$  is an  $L$ -space if and only if there are no relatively prime integers  $m > a > 0$  satisfying  $mr_1 < a < m(1 - r_2)$  and  $mr_3 < 1$ . For  $r_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_2 = \frac{2k}{6k-1}$ , and  $r_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ , the condition  $mr_3 < 1$  with  $m > a > 0$  gives  $a = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ , but this violates  $mr_1 < a$ . Thus, the double branched cover of  $\ell_0$  is an  $L$ -space.

Similarly, Figure 20 shows that  $\ell_\infty^{2k-1}$  is the Montesinos knot  $M(\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k}{14k-1})$ ,



**Figure 20.** A deformation of  $\ell_\infty^{2k-1}$ , showing that it is a Montesinos knot.

and its double branched cover is

$$M\left(0; \frac{2}{5}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k}{14k-1}\right) = M\left(-1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2k}{14k-1}\right).$$

Set  $r_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_2 = \frac{2}{5}$ , and  $r_3 = \frac{2k}{14k-1}$ . The condition  $mr_3 < 1$  implies  $m < 7 - \frac{1}{2k}$ , so  $m = 2, 3, \dots, 6$ . But for these values, there exists no integer  $a$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{2}m < a < \frac{3}{5}m$ . Therefore, the double branched cover of  $\ell_\infty^{2k-1}$  is an  $L$ -space.  $\square$

By the Montesinos trick and [Claim 3.2](#), the proof of [Proposition 3.1](#) is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. Hyperbolicity

To complete the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we show that  $K_n$  is hyperbolic. To this end, we prove that the braid  $\beta_n$  representing  $K_n$ , regarded as an element of the mapping class group of a punctured 2-disk, is pseudo-Anosov in the sense of the Nielsen–Thurston classification. We apply the criterion of Bestvina and Handel [\[3\]](#), see also [\[4\]](#).

Let  $D$  be a 2-disk, and let  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  be a set of  $k$  punctures. Take  $k$  small circles  $c_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), each centered at  $p_i$ , such that the interior of  $c_i$  contains no other punctures. Choose a finite graph  $G$  embedded in  $D$  such that it is homotopy equivalent to  $D \setminus P$ , and contains  $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$  as a subgraph. We allow  $G$  to have loops, but assume that it has no vertices of valence 1 or 2. Let  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  denote the sets of vertices and edges of  $G$ , respectively. A *walk*  $\tau$  in  $G$  is a finite sequence alternating between vertices and edges  $(v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \dots, v_\ell, e_\ell, v_{\ell+1})$ , where  $v_1, \dots, v_{\ell+1} \in V(G)$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell \in E(G)$  such that the endpoints of  $e_i$  are  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$ . There is no confusion in denoting this walk by  $\tau = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_\ell$ . Let  $W(G)$  be the set of all walks in  $G$ .

A homeomorphism  $f$  on  $D$  that preserves the set of punctures  $P$  induces a *graph map*

$$g: (V(G), W(G)) \rightarrow (V(G), W(G)),$$

which preserves the set  $C$  set-wise. (The definition of a graph map involves the notion of a *fibred surface* associated with  $G$ , but we omit those details.)

**Definition 4.1.** A graph map  $g$  is said to be *efficient* if there are no integers  $m \geq 1$  and edges  $e \in E(G)$  such that

$$g^m(e) = \cdots e_i e_i \cdots$$

for some  $e_i \in E(G)$ . If such integer  $m \geq 1$  and an edge  $e \in E(G)$  exist, then we say that  $g^m(e)$  has a *back track*.

Let  $\text{Vec}(G)$  be the real vector space spanned by  $E(G)$ . Each walk in  $G$  determines an element of  $\text{Vec}(G)$  by mapping to the linear combination in which the coefficient

of each  $e_i$  is its multiplicity in the walk. For a graph map  $g$ , we define its transition matrix  $T_g$  as the linear transformation

$$\mathcal{T}_g : \text{Vec}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}(G)$$

that maps each edge  $e$  to  $g(e)$ , regarded as an element of  $\text{Vec}(G)$ .

Let  $C^{\text{pre}}$  be the set of edges  $e \in E(G)$  such that  $g^m(e)$  is contained in  $C$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , and define the set of *real edges* by

$$E^{\text{re}}(G) = E(G) \setminus (C \cup C^{\text{pre}}).$$

By the definition of  $g$  and  $C^{\text{pre}}$ , the transition matrix  $\mathcal{T}_g$  has the block form

$$\mathcal{T}_g = \begin{pmatrix} C & A & B \\ 0 & C^{\text{pre}} & D \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $C$ ,  $C^{\text{pre}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}}$  are the transition matrices associated with  $C$ ,  $C^{\text{pre}}$  and  $E^{\text{re}}(G)$ .

Recall that a nonnegative square matrix  $M$  is called *irreducible* if, for any indices  $(i, j)$ , there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $M^m$  is positive. By the Perron–Frobenius theorem, such a matrix  $M$  has a real, positive eigenvalue greater than the absolute values of all other eigenvalues. This is called the *Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue* and is denoted by  $\lambda(M)$  (it coincides with the spectral radius of  $M$ ).

**Theorem 4.2** [3]. *Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism on  $D$  that preserves the set of punctures  $P$ , and  $g$  be an induced graph map for  $f$ . Suppose that*

- $g$  is efficient, and
- the transition matrix  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}}$  with respect to the real edges is irreducible with  $\lambda(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}}) > 1$ .

*Then the mapping class of  $f$  (up to isotopy) is pseudo-Anosov with dilatation equal to  $\lambda(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}})$ .*

**Lemma 4.3.** *The mapping class corresponding to the braid*

$$\beta_n = X_n^3 \cdot [-1, -2, \dots, -(n-1), n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n] \quad (n \geq 2)$$


*is pseudo-Anosov.*

*Proof.* When  $n = 2$ , the braid  $\beta_2$  is pseudo-Anosov (confirmed using Sage [17]). Assume that  $n \geq 3$ .

Consider the braid

$$\beta'_n = [n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n] \cdot X_n^3 \cdot [-1, -2, \dots, -(n-1)],$$

which is conjugate to  $\beta_n$ .



**Figure 21.** The graph  $G$  embedded in  $D \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$ . Each integer inside a circle  $c_i$  represents a puncture.

Let  $G$  be a graph embedded in  $D \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$ , as shown in Figure 21. (Note that this graph was chosen so that Theorem 4.2 can be applied.) Figures 22 and 23 illustrate a deformation of  $G$  induced by the braid  $\beta'_n$ . The induced graph map  $g$  acts on the real edges as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(e_i) &= e_{n+1+i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n - 1, \\
 g(e_{n+i}) &= e_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n - 1, \\
 g(e_n) &= e_{2n+1}e_{n-1}c_{n-1} \cdots e_{2n}c_{2n}e_{2n}e_{n+1}, \\
 g(e_{2n}) &= e_{2n+1}, \\
 g(e_{2n+1}) &= e_{n+1}c_{n+1}e_{n+1} \cdots e_{2n}c_{n-1}e_{2n+2}, \text{ and} \\
 g(e_{2n+2}) &= e_n c_n e_n \cdots e_{n-1} e_{2n+1} e_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n+2}$  are real edges, and  $g(e)$  has no back tracks for any real edge  $e$ .


Suppose that  $g^k(e)$  has a back track for some  $e \in E^{\text{re}}(G)$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Then it must occur at  $e_n$ , that is,  $g^k(e)$  contains a subwalk of the form

$$g^k(e) = \cdots e_{2n+1}e_n e_{2n+1} \cdots .$$

This implies that  $g^{k-1}(e)$  contains a subwalk of the form

$$g^{k-1}(e) = \cdots e_{2n+2}e_{2n} \cdots \quad \text{or} \quad \cdots e_{2n}e_{2n+2} \cdots ,$$

which does not occur by Figure 23. Hence,  $g$  is efficient.



**Figure 22.** The deformation of  $G$  induced by the braid  $\beta'_n$ .


Since  $g(e_n)$  passes through all real edges of  $G$ , and for any real edge  $e$ , there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that  $g^k(e)$  passes through  $e_n$ , it follows that some power  $(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}})^m$  of the transition matrix has all entries that are positive integers. We can choose  $m$  such that the trace of  $(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}})^m$  is greater than  $\#E^{\text{re}}(G)$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}})$  is irreducible and satisfies  $\lambda(\mathcal{T}_g^{\text{re}}) > 1$ . By [Theorem 4.2](#),  $\beta'_n$  is pseudo-Anosov, and hence so is  $\beta_n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.**  $K_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a hyperbolic knot.


*Proof.* Note that  $K_n$  can be obtained by the closure of the braid  $X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$ , and  $X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$  is also pseudo-Anosov by [Lemma 4.3](#).

By [Figure 24](#), the Dehornoy floor of  $X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$  is greater than 1 (see [\[7\]](#) for definition of the Dehornoy floor). Therefore, by [Theorem 1.3 of \[7\]](#), the closure of  $\beta_n$ , namely  $K_n$ , is a hyperbolic knot.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By [Propositions 2.12, 3.1 and 4.4](#), we have the conclusion.  $\square$



**Figure 23.** Continued from [Figure 22](#). Here, the deformation from the right bottom in [Figure 22](#) to the bottom of this figure is by an isotopy.



**Figure 24.** Top: the braid  $X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$ . Middle: the braid obtained by rewriting the braid  $\Delta^{-4}X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Garside fundamental  $2n$ -braid. Here the box with  $-2$  indicates two left-handed full-twists. Bottom: the braid obtained by further modifying the middle braid. Since this braid is  $\sigma_1$ -positive, we have  $\Delta^4 <_D X_n\beta_nX_n^{-1}$  where  $<_D$  is the Dehornoy order.

### Acknowledgments

The author thanks Masakazu Teragaito for his thoughtful guidance and helpful discussions about this work. Additional thanks go to Tetsuya Ito, who gave helpful comments for the HOMFLY polynomial. The author was supported by JST SPRING, grant number JPMJSP2132.

### References

- [1] C. Anderson, K. L. Baker, X. Gao, M. Kegel, K. Le, K. Miller, S. Onaran, G. Sangston, S. Tripp, A. Wood, and A. Wright, “L-space knots with tunnel number  $> 1$  by experiment”, *Exp. Math.* **32**:4 (2023), 600–614. [MR](#)
- [2] K. L. Baker and M. Kegel, “Census L-space knots are braid positive, except for one that is not”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **24**:1 (2024), 569–586. [MR](#)
- [3] M. Bestvina and M. Handel, “Train-tracks for surface homeomorphisms”, *Topology* **34**:1 (1995), 109–140. [MR](#)

- [4] E. Hironaka and E. Kin, “A family of pseudo-Anosov braids with small dilatation”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **6** (2006), 699–738. [MR](#)
- [5] J. Hom, R. Lipschitz, and D. Ruberman, “Thirty yeas of Floer theory for 3–manifolds”, 2017, available at <https://www.birs.ca/cmo-workshops/2017/17w5011/report17w5011.pdf>.
- [6] A. Issa, “The classification of quasi-alternating Montesinos links”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**:9 (2018), 4047–4057. [MR](#)
- [7] T. Ito, “Braid ordering and the geometry of closed braid”, *Geom. Topol.* **15**:1 (2011), 473–498. [MR](#)
- [8] T. Ito, “A note on HOMFLY polynomial of positive braid links”, *Internat. J. Math.* **33**:4 (2022), art. id. 2250031, 18 pp. [MR](#)
- [9] T. Ito, “An obstruction of Gordian distance one and cosmetic crossings for genus one knots”, *New York J. Math.* **28** (2022), 175–181. [MR](#)
- [10] P. Lisca and G. Matić, “Transverse contact structures on Seifert 3-manifolds”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **4** (2004), 1125–1144. [MR](#)
- [11] P. Lisca and A. I. Stipsicz, “Ozsváth–Szabó invariants and tight contact 3-manifolds, III”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **5**:4 (2007), 357–384. [MR](#)
- [12] J. M. Montesinos, “Surgery on links and double branched covers of  $S^3$ ”, pp. 227–259 in *Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds: papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **84**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1975. [MR](#)
- [13] Y. Ni, “Knot Floer homology detects fibred knots”, *Invent. Math.* **170**:3 (2007), 577–608. [MR](#)
- [14] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, “On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries”, *Topology* **44**:6 (2005), 1281–1300. [MR](#)
- [15] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, “On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers”, *Adv. Math.* **194**:1 (2005), 1–33. [MR](#)
- [16] L. Rudolph, “Quasipositivity and new knot invariants”, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **2**:1 (1989), 85–109. [MR](#)
- [17] *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System*, 2024, available at <http://www.sagemath.org>. Version 10.3.
- [18] J. R. Stallings, “Constructions of fibred knots and links”, pp. 55–60 in *Algebraic and geometric topology* (Stanford, CA, 1976), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **32** part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1978. [MR](#)
- [19] H. Takioka, “ $2n$ -moves and the  $\Gamma$ -polynomial for knots”, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **64**:3 (2024), 511–518. [MR](#)
- [20] M. Teragaito, “Hyperbolic  $L$ -space knots and their formal semigroups”, *Internat. J. Math.* **33**:12 (2022), art. id. 2250080, 20 pp. [MR](#)
- [21] J. M. Van Buskirk, “Positive knots have positive Conway polynomials”, pp. 146–159 in *Knot theory and manifolds* (Vancouver, BC, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math. **1144**, Springer, 1985. [MR](#)

Received July 7, 2025. Revised November 5, 2025.

KEISUKE HIMENO  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION  
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY  
YAMAGUCHI  
JAPAN  
[himekei@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp](mailto:himekei@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Wien  
Vienna, Austria  
[matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at](mailto:matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Atsushi Ichino  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan  
[atsushi.ichino@gmail.com](mailto:atsushi.ichino@gmail.com)

Kefeng Liu  
School of Sciences  
Chongqing University of Technology  
Chongqing 400054, China  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Sucharit Sarkar  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[sucharit@math.ucla.edu](mailto:sucharit@math.ucla.edu)

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu](mailto:shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu)

Ruixiang Zhang  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
[ruixiang@berkeley.edu](mailto:ruixiang@berkeley.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2026 is US \$710/year for the electronic version, and \$965/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).


---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2026 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 342 No. 2 June 2026

---

On multilinear maximal operators along homogeneous curves	207
LARS BECKER and BEN KRAUSE	
The plain sphere number of a link	217
RYAN BLAIR, ALEXANDRA KJUCHUKOVA and ELLA PFAFF	
On the test properties of the Frobenius endomorphism	235
OLGUR CELIKBAS, ARASH SADEGHI and YONGWEI YAO	
Symplectic automorphisms of a surface with genus two fibration and their action on $\text{CH}_0$	259
JIABIN DU and WENFEI LIU	
Non-braid-positive hyperbolic $L$ -space knots	275
KEISUKE HIMENO	
The Rankin–Selberg integral on $\text{GSp}_2$ for square-free levels	299
SEIJI KUGA and MASAO TSUZUKI	
Sum of the squares of the $p'$ -character degrees	351
NGUYEN N. HUNG, J. MIQUEL MARTÍNEZ and GABRIEL NAVARRO	
On the first eigenvalue of the Hodge Laplacian of submanifolds	381
CHRISTOS-RAENT ONTI	
Howe duality for the dual pair $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times F_{4,1}$ : a ping pong of $K$ -types	387
GORDAN SAVIN	
Liouville theorems and new gradient estimates for positive solutions to $\Delta_p u + au^q = 0$ on a complete manifold	395
YOUDE WANG and LIQIN ZHANG	
$p$ -nuclearity of reduced group $L^p$ -operator algebras	427
ZHEN WANG	
A higher-rank analog of the strong openness property	441
JINGCAO WU	